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## ABBREVATIONS

| AD | Asymmetric Dihydroxylation |
| :--- | :--- |
| AH | Asymmetric Hydrogenation |
| AIBN | $2,2^{\prime}$-Azobisisobutyronitrile |
| Ac | Acetyl |
| Ar | Aryl |
| bp | Boiling Point |
| Bn | Benzyl |
| BOC | N-tert-Butoxycarbonyl |
| DHQ | Dihydoquinine |
| DHQD | Dihydroquinidine |
| DMF | Dimethyl formamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulphoxide |
| ee | Enantiomeric excess |
| Et | Ethyl |
| EtOAc | Ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | Ethyl alcohol |
| g | Grams |
| h | Hours |
| HPLC | High pressure liquid chromatography |
| IR | Infra red |
| M $^{+}$ | Molecular ion |
| Me | Methyl |
| min | Minutes |
| ml | Milliliter |
| mp | Melting point |
| MS | Mass spectrum |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| NBS | N-Bromosuccinimide |
| Pet. ether | Petroleum ether |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| PTSA | p-Toluene sulfonic acid |
| RT | Room Temperature |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin layer chromatography |
| TBHP | Tert. Butyl hydrogen peroxide |
|  |  |

## GENERAL REMARKS

1. All solvents were distilled and dried before use.
2. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction collected in the boiling range $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
3. Organic layers after every extraction were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate.
4. Column Chromatography was performed over silica gel (60-120 mesh).
5. TLC analyses were performed over glass plates coated with silica gel ( $5-25 \mu$ ) containing UV active G-254 additive.
6. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer model 683 B or 1605 FT-IR and absorptions were expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra were recorded on Brucker FT AC-200 and MSL-300 MHz instruments using TMS as an internal standard. The following abbreviations were used. $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, $\mathrm{bs}=$ broad singlet, and dd = doublet of doublet.
8. Mass spectra (MS) were recorded on an automated finnigan MAT 1020C mass spectrometer using ionization energy of 70 eV .
9. Optical rotations were carried out on JASCO-181 digital polarimeter at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using sodium D light.
10. All melting points and boiling points are uncorrected and the temperatures are in centigrade scale.
11. Elemental analysis was done on Carlo ERBA EA 110B instrument.
12. The compounds, scheme and reference numbers given in each chapter refers to that particular chapter only.
13. The ligands DHQD, (DHQ) 2 -PHAL, ( $\left.{ }^{2} H Q D\right)_{2}$-PYR, (DHQ $)_{2}$-PYR were purchased from Aldrich


#### Abstract

The thesis entitled "Asymmetric Synthesis of Bioactive Molecules and Formation of $\boldsymbol{C}$ C, C-N, C-Br, S-O Bonds by Transition Metal Catalysis" is divided into four chapters.

The title of the thesis clearly reflects the objective, which is to synthesize enantiomerically pure bioactive molecules and to interface synthetic organic chemistry for the development of synthetic methodologies. Chapter 1 describes the asymmetric synthesis of (R)-baclofen [ $\gamma$-amino- $\beta$-(4-chlorophenyl)butyric acid, (9)], a novel GABA B receptor agonist. The stereogenic center in the molecule is introduced both by the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) of the corresponding olefinic ester 1 and by the asymmetric reduction of $\beta$-keto ester $\mathbf{1 1}$ using (S)-BINAP-Ru (II) complex. Chapter 2 deals with the asymmetric synthesis of three $\beta$-adrenergic blockers (S)-propranolol (12a), (S)-moprolol (12b), (S)-toliprolol (12c) by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalyzed AD reaction via its cyclic sulfate. Chapter 3 presents the asymmetric synthesis of $\alpha$-aryl propionic acids such as (S)phenylpropionic acid (22a), (S)-ibuprofen (22b) and (S)-naproxen (22c) via kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols using palladium catalyst. This chapter also presents the synthesis of some novel sulfur and nitrogen palladacycles and their application in arylation reactions. Chapter 4 deals with the use of transition metals for some important organic transformations such as asymmetric sulfoxidation, kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides and bromoamination of olefins. It also presents NBS-catalyzed aziridination of olefins.


## CHAPTER 1

## Enantioselective Synthesis of (R)-Baclofen, a Novel GABA Beceptor $^{\text {R }}$ Agonist via Asymmetric Dihydroxylation and Asymmetric Hydrogenation

$\gamma$-Aminobutyric acids (GABA) are important neurotransmitters used in the treatment of epilepsy. Their deficiency is associated with diseases that exhibit neuromuscular dysfunctions such as epilepsy, Huntington and Parkinson's diseases. ${ }^{1}$ (R)Baclofen (9) is one such neurotransmitter, the enantioselective synthesis of which is presented in this chapter.
(a) Asymmetric Dihydroxylation (AD) Approach: $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation ( AD ) of olefins is one of the most important and practical reactions to yield vicinal 1,2 cis-diols with high degree of optical purity and excellent yields. ${ }^{2}$ The reaction is insensitive to oxygen, easy to perform and carried out in water- ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}$ mixture
at room temperature. Cinchona alkaloids such as dihydroquinine, dihydroquinidine and their derivatives are used as chiral ligands in AD reactions to induce chirality in the resulting diols.

This chapter presents the enantioselective synthesis of (R)-baclofen (9) using asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) (Scheme 1) and asymmetric hydrogenation (Scheme 2) as key reactions to introduce stereogenic center in the molecule.



Scheme 1: (i) cat. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4},(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, \mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0^{\rho}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ ee; (ii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{0} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min} .86 \%$; (iii) a) cat. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$. b) anhydrous $\mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$. c) $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, over all $65 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, benzene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-20^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 79 \%$; (vi) $\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (vii) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (viii) 6 N HCl , reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%,[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-1.70$ (c $0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), $85 \%$ ee.

Reformatsky reaction of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde with ethyl bromoacetate followed by dehydration gave the corresponding $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 1 in an overall yield of $85 \%$. AD reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ using cat. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and (DHQ) $)_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}$ [hydroquinine 1,4-phthalazinediyl diether] as chiral ligand gave chiral diol 2 in $94 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee. Diol 2 was converted to cyclic sulfite $\mathbf{3}$ followed by its conversion to bromoalcohol $\mathbf{4}$ in a three-step procedure with $65 \%$ overall yield. The bromoalcohol 4 was subjected to selective $C$ - $B r$ reduction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ without affecting aryl C - Cl function to afford $\beta$-hydroxy alcohol 5 in $75 \%$ yield. The bromoester 6, obtained by the bromination of 5 with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, was subjected to displacement with NaCN in DMF to afford the nitrile 7 in $88 \%$ yield. Chemoselective reduction of $\beta$-cyanoester 7 was carried out by using either $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$
and $\mathrm{H}_{2}(40 \mathrm{psi})$ to give the lactam $\mathbf{8}$ which was hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl to afford (R)baclofen (9) in 78\% yield and 85\% ee.
b) Asymmetric Hydrogenation (AH) Approach: Asymmetric reduction of $\beta$ ketoesters using BINAP [2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl]-Ru (II) complexes ${ }^{3}$ is a well-known method for the preparation of optically active $\beta$-hydroxy esters because of high yields, clean reaction procedures and excellent optical purity. High efficiency of chiral multiplication (a substrate to catalyst mol ratio > 1000) makes this method much more impressive and practical. Since the optical purity of (R)-baclofen $\boldsymbol{9}$ ) obtained by AD route was only moderate ( $85 \%$ ee), it was of interest to provide an alternative synthesis by AH route.



Scheme 2: (i) $\mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{0}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-(S)-BINAP, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}(400 p s i), 95 \%$ yield, $96 \%$ ee.

Scheme 2 describes the enantioselective synthesis of (R)-baclofen (9) via the asymmetric reduction of $\beta$-ketoester 11, using (S)-BINAP-Ru (II) complex as catalyst. $\beta$ Ketoester 11 was synthesized in two steps starting from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde. Asymmetric hydrogenation of $\beta$-ketoester 11 was carried out using Noyori's catalyst [(S)-BINAP-Ru-(II)] under $\underline{\underline{L}}$ (400 psi) pressure to afford $\beta$-hydroxy ester $\mathbf{5}$ in $95 \%$ yield and $96 \%$ ee. The transformation of $\beta$-hydroxy ester 5 into (R)-baclofen (9) $\left\{[\alpha]_{D}=-1.81\right.$ (c $\left.0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 91 \%$ ee\} was achieved by essentially following the sequence of reactions as shown in Scheme 1.

## CHAPTER 2

## Asymmetric Synthesis of (S)-Propranolol, (S)-Moprolol and (S)Toliprolol via $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ - Catalyzed Asymmetric Dihydroxylation

$\beta$-Adrenergic blocking agents ( $\beta$-blockers) are important drugs widely used for the treatment of hypertension and angina pectoris. ${ }^{4}$ Blocking of $\beta$-receptor system reduces the overall activity of the sympathetic nervous system. $\beta$-Blockers are thus used to increase
life expectancy after the occurrence of the heart attack. Although (S)-isomers are known to be much more effective ( $50-500$ fold) than the (R)-isomers, ${ }^{5}$ these antihypertensive drugs are sold as racemic mixtures. To avoid unnecessary stress or in some cases toxicity to an organism caused by the ( R )-isomers, the administration of optically pure ( S )-isomers is desirable.

This chapter describes the asymmetric synthesis of three $\beta$-adrenergic blockers, (S)-propranolol (12a), (S)-moprolol (12b) and (S)-toliprolol (12c).


O-Allylation of phenols 13acc gave O-allyl ethers $14 \mathbf{a c c}$, which were subjected to AD in presence of ( DHQD$)_{2}$-PHAL [hydroquinidine 1,4 -phthalazinediyl diether] as chiral ligand to yield chiral diols $\mathbf{1 5 a c}$. The diols $\mathbf{1 5 a} \mathbf{a}$ were converted to cyclic sulfates 16a-c in a two step sequence. As nucleophilic opening of cyclic sulfates with amines have resulted in lower yields, the cyclic sulfates 16ac were further transformed to the corresponding epoxides $\mathbf{1 7 a c}$ in three steps. The regiospecific opening of epoxides $\mathbf{1 7 a - c}$ by isopropyl amine gave the corresponding drugs 12ac in high yields and moderate optical purity (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, acetone, reflux, 12 h ; 97-99\%; (ii) cat-OsO 4 , (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PHAL, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $9 \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 94-98 \%$; 73-90\% ee; (iii) $\mathrm{SOCb}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$.; $96-99 \%$; (iv) cat. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$., $94-98 \%$; (v) LiBr, THF $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 23 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) $20 \% \mathrm{HSO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 80-85 \%$ overall in three steps; (viii) ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$.

## CHAPTER 3

## Applications of Pd (II) Complexes in Synthesis of (S)- $\alpha$-Aryl Propionic Acids and $\boldsymbol{C}-\boldsymbol{C}$ Bond Formation

## SECTION I: Asymmetric Synthesis of (S)- $\alpha$-Aryl propionic Acids via PdCatalyzed Kinetic Resolution of Secondary Alcohols

Enantioselective synthesis has produced a strong impact on pharmaceutical and agricultural industry, where efficiency of a chiral biological agent often depends upon the enantiomer administered. For example, (S)-naproxen [(S)-2-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl) propionic acid] (22c), one of the most potent non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, is about 28 times more active than its ( R )-isomer.

Recently, Pd-catalyzed oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols has been reported using molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant in conjunction with the naturally occurring diamine ligands such as $(-)$-sparteine. ${ }^{6}$


22a



22c

This section describes the enantioselective synthesis of three aryl propionic acids (22a-c) using Pd-catalyzed oxidative kinetic resolution as the key reaction (Scheme 4).

( $\pm$ )
18a: phenyl
18b: 4-isobutylphenyl
18c: 6-methoxy-2-naphthyl

19 a-c
Yield 45-50\%
88-98\% ee


Scheme 4: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(\Theta)$ sparteine, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, toluene, $\mathrm{MS} 3 \mathrm{~A}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45-50 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $-20^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70-88 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80-92 \%$; (iv) 4 N HCl , reflux, $78-83 \%$.

Secondary aryl alcohols 18a-c were subjected to palladium catalyzed kinetic resolution using ( - )-sparteine as chiral auxiliary to give enantiomerically pure alcohols 19a-c along with the corresponding ketones. Subsequently, chiral alcohols 19acc were converted to the corresponding bromides 20a-c with complete inversion of configuration using $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}{ }^{7}$ The nucleophilic displacement of bromides 20a-c with NaCN gave the corresponding cyano compounds 21acc with desired stereochemistry. Finally, acid hydrolysis of cyano compounds 21a-c afforded the corresponding (S)-aryl propionic acids 22a-c in excellent optic al purity ( $82-92 \%$ ee).

## SECTION II: Synthesis of Novel Sulfur and Nitrogen Palladacycles and Their Applications in Arylation Reactions

Palladacycles are among the most active catalyst precursors reported to date for Heck reaction. ${ }^{8}$ These highly active and thermally stable catalysts allow Heck reactions to be performed with activated as well as non-activated aryl halides using very low catalyst concentration. This section describes the synthesis of novel air, water and thermally stable sulfur 23 and nitrogen 24 palladacycles respectively (Scheme 5 and 6).


Scheme 5: (i) $\mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.


Scheme 6: (i) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$.
Both palladacycles 23 and 24 showed a remarkable activity towards Heck reaction of aryl halides 25 with various olefins to give corresponding $\beta$-substituted olefins 26 in excellent yields (upto 99\%) and high turn over number (TON upto 6,21,000) (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: (i) cat 24 or $\mathbf{2 5}$, base, $\mathrm{NMP}, 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## CHAPTER 4

## Transition Metal Catalyzed S-O, C-N, C-Br Bond Formation and NBSCatalyzed Aziridination of Olefins

SECTION I: This section is divided into two sub-sections as given bellow.

## A) Tungsten Catalyzed Asymmetric Sulfoxidation of Aryl Alkyl Sulfides using Chiral Cinchona Alkaloids

Chiral sulfoxides have been extensively used as building blocks and source for chiral auxiliaries in various asymmetric synthesis of biologically active compounds. ${ }^{9}$ Asymmetric sulfoxidation is the most effective route to make enantiomerically pure sulfoxides There are various methods known in the literature for asymmetric oxidation of sulfides using transition metal catalysts. ${ }^{10}$ This section describes tungsten-catalyzed asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides 27 using cinchona alkaloids as chiral ligands and $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as oxidant to give optically active sulfoxides $\mathbf{2 8}$ in moderate to good enantiomeric excess (upto 55\%) and excellent yields (upto 90\%) Scheme 8). Among the various ligands screened such as (-)-quinine, ( - )-sparteine, DHQ-CLB, (DHQD) $2^{-}$ PYR, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PHAL, (-)-menthol, etc. (-)-quinine and (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR gave the best enantiomeric excess.


Scheme 8: (i) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (-)-quinine or ( $\mathrm{DHQD}_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18-48 \mathrm{~h}$.

## B) Tungsten Catalyzed Kinetic Resolution of Sulfoxides

Kinetic resolution of sulfoxides is one of the most important transformations in organic synthesis. There are only few examples of procedures based on the kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides known in the literature which involve the employment of titanium (IV)binaphthol complex ${ }^{11}$ and manganese(III)-salen complex as catalysts. ${ }^{12}$

This section deals with the kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides 29 using $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ as catalyst and chiral cinchona alkaloids such as $(-)$-quinine and (DHQD) $)_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ as ligands in conjunction with 30\% aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as oxidant (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9: (i) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20-24 \mathrm{~h}$.

## SECTION II: Cu and Mn-Catalyzed Bromoamination of Olefins

The functionalization of olefins by addition of the two different functional groups in a single step is an important transformation (for e.g. aminohydroxylation, halohydration, haloazidation, haloamination, etc.). Among all these, haloamination is one of the most useful reactions ${ }^{13}$ as the halogens can be replaced by a variety of nucleophiles such as $\mathrm{N}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{NHR}, \mathrm{SR}$, etc. thereby providing a new class of functionalized reactive intermediates in organic synthesis.

This section describes regiospecific and stereoselective bromoamination of olefins 30 catalyzed by Cu (I and II) and Mn (II) salts using NBS (N-bromosuccinimide) as the bromine source and $p$-toluene sulfonamide as the nitrogen source (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: (i) CuI or $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv.), NBS (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-24 \mathrm{~h}$.

However, when the catalytic system was changed from Mn (II) salts to Mn (III) salts or Mn (III)-salen there was remarkable reversal in the regioselectivity of the resulting product giving other regioisomer 33 exclusively (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11: (i) $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen or $\mathrm{CuI} / \mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), NBS (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2-24 \mathrm{~h}$.

Bromoamination of various $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated (32, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{COPh}$, etc.) compounds were also carried out using Cu or Mn-catalysts in good to excellent yields (60$88 \%$ ) in highly regiospecific and stereoselective manner (Scheme 11).

## SECTION III: NBS-Catalyzed Aziridination of Olefins Using Chloramine-T as Nitrogen Source

Because of their highly regio- and stereoselective ring opening reactions, aziridines are valued as building blocks for the synthesis of wide range of nitrogen-containing compounds. ${ }^{14}$ Several groups have developed transition metalcatalyzed aziridinations based on $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NT}$ s as the nitrogen source. ${ }^{15}$

This section deals with the use of NBS or N-bromoacetamide as catalysts for aziridination of olefins 34 including $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compounds, using Chloramine-T (35) as the nitrogen source to yield trans-aziridines $\mathbf{3 6}$ in 50-88\% yields (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12: (i) NBS or N-bromoacetamide ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
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## CHAPTER 1

Enantioselective Synthesis of (R)-(-)-Baclofen, a
 Difydroxylation and Asymmetric $\mathcal{H} y d r o g e n a t i o n$

# Enantioselective Synthesis of (R)-(-)-Baclofen, a Novel GABA $_{B}$ Receptor Agonist, via Asymmetric Dihydroxylation and Asymmetric Hydrogenation 

### 1.0.1 Introduction

Baclofen $[\gamma$-amino- $\beta$-( $p$-chlorophenyl)butyric acid, 1] is a derivative of $\gamma-$ aminobutyric acid (GABA). It plays an important role as an inhibitory neurotransmitter in central nervous system (CNS) of mammalians. ${ }^{1}$ A simple amino acid has two major receptor subtypes, $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\mathrm{GABA}_{\mathrm{B}}$. These receptors play a distinct role in central and peripheral nervous system through ion-channel regulation. ${ }^{2}$ The overall physiological effect is transmission inhibition, pre and post-synaptically mediated by GABA $_{A}$ sites and pre-synaptically by $\mathrm{GABA}_{B}$ sites. However, baclofen is the only potent and selective GABA $_{B}$ agonist known so far against bicuculline receptor. ${ }^{3}$ In contrast to that of simple GABA, baclofen is the most lipophilic and can penetrate to blood/brain barrier. Consequently, baclofen helps to reduce the excitatory effect of active compounds such as benzodiazepine, barbiturate, etc. ${ }^{4}$

The deficiency of GABA is associated with diseases that exhibit neuromuscular dysfuntions such as epilepsy, Huntigton, Parkinsons' diseases etc. ${ }^{5}$ Baclofen is one of the most promising drugs in treatment of the paroxysmal pain of trigeminal neuralgia ${ }^{6}$ as well as spasticity of spinal without influencing the sedation. ${ }^{7}$

### 1.0.2 The Pharmacology of Baclofen


( $\pm$ )-Baclofen (1)

(R)-(-)-Baclofen (1a)

(S)-(+)-Baclofen (1b)

Bow ery et al. ${ }^{1,2}$ has demonstrated that baclofen helps to decrease the neurotransmitter release in mammalian central nervous system by action at the GABAreceptor. This effect is associated with the stereospecificity of (R)-(-)-baclofen (1a) isomer being 100 -fold more active in producing neural depression than (S)-(+)-baclofen (1 b) isomer.

The $\mathrm{GABA}_{B}$ receptors of peripheral and central nervous systems are associated with many biological processes including analgesia, muscle relaxation, hypertension, increased gastric mutility and inhibition of the liberation of corticotropin releasing hormone. There are only few agonist and antagonists available concerning these factors. Baclofen is one of them and used in treatment of spasticity, a serious disease characterized by increase muscle tone, usually perceived muscle tightness or achiness in the limbs. ${ }^{8}$ These symptoms are normally associated with multiple sclerosis. Although baclofen is commercially available in its racemic form, only the (R)-enantiomer (1a) shows entire medicinal activity. ${ }^{1,9}$

### 1.0.3 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that there are several reports available on the synthesis of (R)-(-)-baclofen (1a). They are concerned mostly with resolution, chemo-enzymatic or enantioselective synthesis, which are described below.

## Chenevert's approach (1991)

Chenevert et al. ${ }^{10}$ have achieved the synthesis of both (R)- and (S)-baclofen by enantioselective hydrolysis of intermediate 3 using Chymotrypsin enzyme (Scheme 1). Michael addition of dimethyl malonate with 2 followed by demethoxycarbonylation afforded the key intermediate 3. It was then subjected to enantioselective hydrolysis with Chymotrypsin enzyme to afford chiral monoester 4 in $98 \%$ ee and $85 \%$ yield. The chiral
monoester 4 upon Curtius rearrangement followed by acid hydrolysis gave (R)-(-)baclofen (1a).


Scheme 1: (i) Dimethyl malonate, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux; (ii) $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMSO}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\alpha$ Chymotrypsin, phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{pH} 7.7,25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) a: Ethyl chloroformate, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b: $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone; c: toluene, reflux; d: $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Hubmann's approach (1992) ${ }^{11}$

Hubmann's strategy to synthesize (R)-baclofen (1a) involved stereoselective Michael addition of (S)-pyroglutamic acid derivative 5 with Grignard reagent 6 (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2: (i) $\mathrm{CuBr} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2} \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min} ;-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMS}-\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NHF}$, THF, RT, 45 days; (iii) $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{CCl}_{4}(2: 1), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (iv) N -methylmorpholine, isobutyl chloroformate, $-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) aq. $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{LiOH}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, (vi) 6 M HCl , reflux, 3 h .

## Schoenfelder's approach (1993) ${ }^{12}$

This strategy makes use of enantioselective alkylation of chiral 2-(4-chlorophenyl) acetyl oxozolidone (10). The oxozolidone 10 was prepared from 2-(4-chlorophenyl)acetic acid. It was then converted to chiral $t$-butyl-2-(4-chlorophenyl)succinate $\mathbf{1 1}$ in two steps. Chemoselective reduction of the acid functionality of 11 followed by dehydration gave $\gamma$ butyrolactone 12 . The lactone 12 was then converted to azidoester 14 , which on reduction
followed by cyclization gave lactam 15. Lactam 15 was then hydrolyzed with HCl to afford (R)-baclofen (1a) hydrochloride salt (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: (i) a) $\mathrm{ClCO}^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; b) Li-oxazolidine, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) a) $\mathrm{NaHMDS}, \mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{LiOH}$; (iii) a) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS; b) $p$-TSA, toluene, reflux; (iv) $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{HBr}$; (v) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMSO; (vi) a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; b) DMAP, toluene, reflux; (vii) 6 N HCl , reflux.

## Desjardins's approach (1994) ${ }^{13}$

In this approach lipase was used to carry out the enantioselective acetylation of 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,3-propane diol (16) to give optically active mono acetate $\mathbf{1 7}$ as a key step. The mono acetate 17 was further converted to (R)-baclofen (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: (i) lipase, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Yashifuji's approach (1995) ${ }^{14}$

This approach consists of chiral trans-4hydroxy-L-proline (18) as a chiral precursor for the synthesis of both (R) and (S) baclofen (Scheme 5). The strategy is based on the following two key steps (i) a stereoselective hydrogenation of dehydroproline
derivative 21a and 21b, controlled by $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-carboxyl functionality (ii) an effective Ru catalyzed oxidation of pyrrole 23 to pyrrolidone 24.


Scheme 5: (i) a) MeOH ; b) benzoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{COCl}_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$; (ii) $4 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ph}-$ $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}, 78 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{RT}, 79 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{Pt}, \mathrm{H}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}), \mathrm{RT}, 6 \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{AcOH}, \quad 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) a) cyclohexanol, 2-cyclohexen-1-one, $155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) tert. Butoxylchloride; (vi) $\mathrm{RuO}_{2}$, aq. $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, AcOEt : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) 6 N HCl , reflux, 18 h .

## Langlois's approach (1997) ${ }^{15}$

Using this method both (R)-4-amino-3-phenylbutyric acid (31) and (R)-baclofen (1a) have been synthesized in $50 \%$ ee. The chiral precursors, $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated oxazoline $\mathbf{2 5}$ and 26, were derived from the reaction of (R)phenylglycinol with the corresponding cinnamic acids and these were subjected to hydrocyanation reaction to afford cyanooxazolines 27 and 28. Subsequently, these were reduced to imides 29 and 30 respectively. Finally, imides 29 and $\mathbf{3 0}$ were hydrolyzed to give (R)-(31) and (R)-baclofen (1a) respectively (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6: (i) $\mathrm{AlEt}_{2} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{DCM},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{RT}, 48 \mathrm{~h}, 30-40 \%, 50 \%$ de; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1); (iii) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}: E t O H, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 14 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$.

## Mazzini's approach (1997)

Mazzini et al. ${ }^{16}$ have synthesized (R)-baclofen via chemoenzymatic BaeyerVilliger oxidation as a key step (Scheme 7). 3-(4Chlorophenyl)cyclobutanone (32) was subjected to enantioselective Baeyer-Villiger oxidation in presence of Cunninghamell echinulata (NRLL 3655) enzyme to obtain (3R)-chlorophenyl- $\gamma$-butyrolactone $\mathbf{3 3}$ in $30 \%$ yield and $>99 \%$ ee which was further converted to azidoester 34. Subsequently, hydrolysis with NaOH and Pd -catalyzed hydrogenation afforded (R)-baclofen (1a).


Scheme 7: (i) culture C. echinulata; (ii) MesSiI, EtOH, DCM, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, $95 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 95 \%$;(iv) 2 M NaOH , conc. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{RT}, 95 \%$; (v) Pd-C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{EtOH}$, RT.

## Brenna's approach (1997) ${ }^{\text {T }}$

This approach involves enzymatic resolution of substituted allyl alcohol 35a in presence of Porcine pancreas lipase (PPL, Sigma type II) to yield optically active allylic alcohol 35b in >99\% ee as key step Scheme 8). Subsequently, it was transformed to ester 36 via Claisen orthoester rearrangement, which on ozonolysis followed by reductive amination afforded (R)-baclofen (1a).


Scheme 8: (i) $P P L$, $t$-butylmethyl ether, vinyl acetate; (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ propanoic acid, $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 1), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{RT}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Levadoux's approach (1998)

Levadoux et al. ${ }^{18}$ have developed a process for obtaining optically active baclofen and its analogues by Streptomyces microrganism-mediated resolution (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9: (i) Streptomyces microorganism.

## Resende's approach (1999) ${ }^{19}$

Resende's approach involves enantioselective deprotonation of $\mathbf{3 7}$ with lithium ( S , $\left.S^{\prime}\right)-\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$-dimethylbenzylamide followed by silylation afforded the chiral silylenol ether $\mathbf{3 8}$ in $70 \%$ yield and $98 \%$ ee. Finally, it was transformed to (R)-baclofen (la) in three steps

## (Scheme 10).



Scheme 10: (i) $\mathrm{Zn}-\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{RT}, 14 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (iii) Lithium (S,S')- $\alpha, \alpha$ '-dimethylbenzylamide, THF, $\mathrm{TMSCl},-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~min} 70 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}$; (v) $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{S},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT, 12 h ; (vi) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 6 \mathrm{~N}$ HCl (one pot sequence)

## Licandro's approach (2000)



Scheme 11: (i) aminolysis (ii) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{THF},-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, p-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CHNO}_{2}$; (iii) CAN, acetone, RT, 4 h ; (iv) Raney-Ni, dry-MeOH, $5 \mathrm{~atm}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) 6 M HCl , reflux, 8 h .

Licandro et al. ${ }^{20}$ have achieved the synthesis of (R)-baclofen (1a) using diastereoselective Michael addition of emantiopure Cr-carbene complex 41 to $p$ chloronitrostyrene to give 42 (Scheme 11). The optically active chromium-carbene complex 41 was obtained by condensation of (S, S)-2,6-diemethylmorpholine (39) with pentacarbonyl(methoxymethylcarbene)chromium (40). The nitro group in 42 was then reduced with Raney-Ni and finally hydrolyzed with 6 M HCl to afford (R)-baclofen ( $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}$ ).

## Baldoli's approach (2000) ${ }^{11}$

This approach involves stereoselective Michael addition of nitromethane to chiral chromium (0) complex 44 as a key step. The chiral aldehyde 43 was obtained by resolution of its diastereoisomeric-semioxamazone derivative. Aldehyde 43 was subjected to WittigHorner reaction to obtain ester 44 (Scheme 12). The Michael addition of nitromethane onto ester 44 followed by desilylation and de-complexation yielded nitroester 45 . Finally, hydrogenation of nitroester $\mathbf{4 5}$ followed by hydrolysis afforded (R)-baclofen (1a).


Scheme 12:
(i) $(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{OPCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$, $(\mathrm{MeSi})_{2} \mathrm{NLi}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{RT}$; (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, TEBA, RT; (iii) $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NF}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, RT; (iv) hv, air, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (v) a. $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT} ; \mathrm{b} .6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}$ reflux.

## Corey's approach (2000) ${ }^{22}$

In this approach chiral quaternary ammonium salt 47 was used as a chiral catalyst for the enantioselective Michael addition of nitromethane to $\alpha, \beta$-enone 46 to afford nitroketone 48. Nitroketone 48 was converted to nitroester 49 followed by reduction of nitro group in $\mathbf{4 9}$ to give lactam $\mathbf{1 5}$, which was hydrolyzed to afford (R)-baclofen (1a) as a hydrochloride salt (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{CsF}$, toluene, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $m$-CPBA, EDC, reflux, 36 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$; (iv) 5 N HCl , reflux, 4 h .

## Sudalai's Approach (2002) ${ }^{23}$

Our group have developed a simple method for the enantioselective synthesis of (R)-baclofen (lb) using asymmetric reduction of azido ester 50 using Ru (II)- (S)-BINAP complex to give lactam 15 which on hydrolysis gave (R)-baclofen (Scheme 14 ).


Scheme 14: i) $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Zn}$, benzene, reflux, $p$-TSA, toluene, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 78 \%$; ii) NBS, AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(80: 20), 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; iv) Ru (II)-(S) BINAP, Њ ( 200 psi), MeOH, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$; v) $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, $80 \%$; vi) $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$.

### 1.0.4 Present Work

### 1.0.4.1 Objective

Although racemic baclofen (1) is commercially available in bulk quantities, its entire biological activity is associated only with the (R)-enantiomer 1a. Various methods such as resolution, chemo-enzymatic or enantioselective synthesis have been developed to synthesize (R)-baclofen (1a) (vide supra). However, these methods suffer from disadvantages such as low overall yields, the need for separation of diastereoisomers and the use of expensive reagents. In this context, a more practical approach for the synthesis of (R)-baclofen (1 a) is highly desirable.

Retrosynthetic analysis (Fig. 1) of (R)-baclofen (1a) reveals that either $\beta$-hydroxy ester 52 or nitroketone $\mathbf{4 8}$ could be visualized as a key intermediate. In order to prepare optically pure $\beta$-hydroxy ester 52, Pd-catalyzed oxidative kinetic resolution of the corresponding racemic $\beta$-hydroxyester 55 was attempted (Route 1). However, the desired chiral alcohol 52 was obtained in low optical purity (7\% ee). Further, L-proline catalyzed Michael addition of nitromethane onto 4chlorochalcone 46 was also attempted to get the chiral nitroketone 48 (Corey's intermediate) but with poor enantioselectivity ( $15 \%$ ee) (Route 2).


Fig. 1: Retrosynthetic analysis of (R)-baclofen (1a)

The key intermediate 52, was successfully synthesized by the methods of asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) via chiral diol 53 (Route 3) and by asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) of $\beta$-ketoester 54 (Route 4). This chapter describes the asymmetric synthesis of (R)-baclofen (1 a) from 52 by employing both the AD and AH methods (Schemes 18 and 19).

Since this chapter deals with two important asymmetric reactions (AD and AH), which introduce stereogenicity into the prochiral molecule, a brief account of each is presented in the following sections.

### 1.0.4.2 Asymmetric Dihydroxylation (AD)

In recent years much attention has been focused on the catalytic asymmetric synthesis. There are several methods to obtain enantiomerically pure compounds that include classical optical resolution, chromatographic separation of enantiomer, enzymatic resolution and asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{24}$ It often has significant economic advantages over stoichiometric asymmetric synthesis for industrialscale production of enantiomerically pure compounds. All these asymmetric reactions crucially depend on ligand acceleration effect (LAE). ${ }^{25}$ Among all these reactions, Sharpless's catalytic asymmetric dihydroxylation ( AD ) is one of the most important practical and widely used reaction in organic synthesis. It has become the most general method for the preparation of optically active vicinatcis-diols from activated as well as inactivated olefins. ${ }^{26}$

In 1936, Criegee et al. ${ }^{27}$ have found that addition of pyridine or any other tertiary amine to osmylation of olefins, accelerates the rate of reaction considerably. A major breakthrough has occurred in field of asymmetric oxidation when Sharpless et al. ${ }^{26 b}$ demonstrated that asymmetric induction could be achieved when chiral amines were added to $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-mediated asymmetric oxidation of olefins. Among the various ligands screened best results were obtained with ligands which were representatives of the cinchona
alkaloid family, dihydroquinidine ( DHQD ) and dihydroquinine $(\mathrm{DHQ}) .{ }^{28} \mathrm{~A}$ number of recent methods employ chiral diamine ligands for the asymmetric osmylation of olefins. The simplified mechanism of achiral and chiral dihydroxylation is given in the Scheme 15.


Scheme 15: Mechanism of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalyzed dihydroxylation of olefin
In order to develop a catalytic method, several co-oxidants such as sodium or potassium chlorate, ${ }^{29}$ hydrogen peroxide, ${ }^{30}$ tert-butyl hydroperoxide ${ }^{31}$ and N methylmorpholine N -oxide $(\mathrm{NMO})^{32}$ were introduced. The idea to use these co-oxidants was to minimize the amount of toxic and costly osmium so as to make the process more economical.


Scheme 16: Catalytic cycle for AD using NMO as co-oxidant.

Sharpless et al. ${ }^{33}$ have established that the most practical and suitable catalytic method is with NMO as co-oxidant but the ee's of the diol was less than those produced by the stoichiometric reactions (primary catalytic cycle, Scheme 16). The reason was thought to be the involvement of second catalytic cycle (secondary catalytic cycle, Scheme 16), which results in low or no ee at all. To improve the \%ee of the chiral diol, the second catalytic cycle of AD should be avoided and this was achieved by employing the $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ as reoxidant and using biphasic conditions (Fig. 2)..$^{24,34}$ These conditions helped in protecting the organic osmate-(VI) monoglycolate ester (species A, Scheme 16) from inopportune oxidation prior to hydrolysis and thereby releasing the diol and ligand to the organic phase and osmium-(VI) to the aqueous phase. Subsequently, osmium-(VI) gets reoxidized and recycled into the catalytic cycle. Further improvement in the AD was realized by the addition of methyl sulfonamide $\left(\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ to the reaction mixture. It also helps to accelerate the hydrolysis of the species $\mathbf{A}$, thus facilitating the dihydroxylation smoothly. ${ }^{24}$.Addition of methyl sulfonamide also allowed carrying out the reactions of 1,2 -di, tri and tetra substituted olefins at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$, which improved the selectivity as well as \%ee.


Fig. 2: Catalytic cycle for AD using $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ as co-oxidant.

In order to develop the asymmetric version of the Os-catalyzed AD reaction, Sharpless and coworkers have screened various chiral ligands and found out that the derivatives of cinchona alkaloids gave excellent results. Among all the 250 derivatives of cinchona alkaloid ligands screened, the bis-DHQ 56 or DHQD 57 ethers of phthalazine-1, 4-diol have proven to be the best for obtaining high enantioselectivities of the chiral diols. ${ }^{35}$

(DHQ) ${ }_{2}$-PHAL (56)

(D HQD) ${ }_{2}$-PHAL (57)

Fig. 3: Ligands for asymmetric dihydroxylation reaction
The recent studies have demonstrated the importance of enzyme-like binding pocket of the dimeric cinchona alkaloid for high enantioselectivity of the chiral diols. ${ }^{35,36}$ Sharpless et al. ${ }^{26}$ have shown that the facial selectivity for both ligands $\mathbf{5 6}$ and $\mathbf{5 7}$ is different based on their ability to induce the ee into the diols. This observation has led to the development of mnemonic model (Fig. 4) in which olefin with the constraints will be attacked either from the top (i. e. $\beta$ ) face the presence of dihydroquinidine (DHQD) derivatives or from the bottom (i.e. $\alpha$ ) face in the presence of dihydroquinine (DHQ) derived ligand.


Fig. 4: Enantioseledivity mnemonic scheme

### 1.0.4.3 Asymmetric Hydrogenation (AH)

Optically active secondary alcohols with neighboring functional groups are extremely useful starting materials for the synthesis of various biologically active compounds. As a consequence the asymmetric hydrogenation of functionalized ketones catalyzed by chiral transition metal complexes has attracted much interest. Diphosphine complexes of Rh and Ru have been used as catalysts for this reaction. ${ }^{37}$ Asymmetric hydrogenation of prochiral $\beta$-ketoesters catalyzed by BINAP [2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl]-Ru (II) complexes (60) gives the corresponding alcohols with enantiomeric excess exceeding $98 \%$. The Ru-chiral complex is very easy to prepare as shown below (Scheme 17). ${ }^{38}$


Scheme 17: Preparation of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$-BINAP complex (i) DMF, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}$. or (ii) EtOH:benzene (8:1), $50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$.

Catalytic cycle of asymmetric hydrogenation of $\beta$-ketoester with $\operatorname{RuCb}\left[\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{3}\right] 3$ is described below in Fig. 5. The hydrogenation seems to occur by the monohydride mechanism. The catalyst precursor has a polymeric structure but perhaps dissociated to the monomer by alcoholic solvents. Upon exposure to hydrogen, $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$ loses chloride to form RuHCl species $\mathbf{A}$, which in turn, reversibly forms the ketoester complex B. The hydride transfer in $\mathbf{B}$, from the Ru center to the coordinated ketone to form C, would be the stereochemistry-determining step. The alcoholic solvent facilitates the liberation of the hydroxyester. The reaction of $\mathbf{D}$ with hydrogen competes the catalytic
cycle. Two diastereomers are possible for the (R)-BINAP-Ru complex $\mathbf{B}$ that has a $\beta$ ketoester as a bidentate $\sigma$-donor ligand. Because of the stereochemical deposition of the hydrogen atoms, these diastereomers must be stereospecfically converted to the respective enantiomeric hydroxyester products. The characteristic chiral feature of the BINAP ligand provides clear bias for hydride delivery to occur via four-membered transition state. The $(\mathrm{R})$-alcohol-generating transition state is more stable than the diastereomeric (S)-alcoholforming structure, which suffers substantial phenylalkyl nonbonded repulsion, which results in high enantioselectivities. Clean reaction procedures and excellent optical purity of resulting products coupled with high efficiency of chiral multiplication (a substrate to catalyst mol ratio $>1000$ ) makes this method much more impressive and practical.


Fig. 5: Catalytic cycle for asymmetric hydrogenation

### 1.0.5 Results and Discussion

The synthetic strategy for (R)-baclofen (1a) is shown in Scheme $\mathbf{1 8}$ wherein Oscatalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) constitutes a key step in introducing chirality into the molecule (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18: (i) cat. $\mathrm{OsO}_{4},(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}, \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, \mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\dagger} \mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ}$ $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ ee; (ii) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min} .86 \%$; (iii) a) cat. $\left.\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{b}\right)$ anhydrous $\mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{THF}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$. c) $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, over all $65 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, benzene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-20^{\circ}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 79 \%$; (vi) $\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (vii) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (viii) 6 N HCl , reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=$ $-1.70\left(\mathrm{c} 0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right), 85 \%$ ee.

The trans-olefinic ester $\mathbf{5 8}$ was prepared in $85 \%$ yield by the Reformatsky reaction of 4-chlorobenzaldehyde with ethyl bromoacetate followed by p-TSA catalyzed dehydration of the corresponding $\beta$-hydroxy alcohol. The trans geometry of the double bond of olefin 58 was confirmed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, which showed doublets at $\delta$ 6.40 and 7.63 respectively with the coupling constant $J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ The olefinic ester 58 was then subjected to AD reaction using catalytic amount of $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PHAL [hydroquinine 1,4 phthalazinediyl diether, 56] as chiral ligand to give the chiral diol $\mathbf{5 3}$ in $94 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee [determined by using $\operatorname{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ as a shift reagent ${ }^{40}$ ]. The formation of diol $\mathbf{5 3}$ was
confirmed by the disappearance of doublets at $\delta 6.40$ and 7.63 and the appearance of proton signals in the region $4.0-5.0 \delta$ for methine protons in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum. Further, its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed signals at $\delta 73.91$ and 74.61 due to the two carbons bearing the OH functions. The carbon signal at $\delta 172.53$ confirmed the presence of the ester functionality in the molecule (Fig. 6).


Fig. 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of diol 53
The diol 53 was then treated with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ in presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . to yield the cyclic sulfite $\mathbf{5 9}$ in $86 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of cyclic sulfite 59 showed the presence of the diastereomeric mixtures as evidenced by a set of four doublets in the region $\delta$ 4.74-6.13. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum also showed signals at $\delta 81.30$, 82.44, $83.21,86.81,165.77$ and 166.62 indicating the presence of diastereomeric mixtures (Fig. 7).

 59


Fig. 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of cyclic sulfite 59

The cyclic sulfite 59 was then subjected to oxidation with catalytic amount of RuCl. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in presence of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ as oxidant in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, but all attempts to isolate the corresponding cyclic sulfate failed. To overcome this difficulty, the cyclic sulfate formed in situ was directly reacted with LiBr followed by acid hydrolysis gave the corresponding bromoalcohol $\mathbf{6 0}$ in $65 \%$ yield. The formation of bromoalcohol $\mathbf{6 0}$ was confirmed from its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$, which showed disappearance of the diastereotopic peaks of cyclic sulfite 59 and the appearance of doublets at $\delta 4.68$ and 5.25 due to $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon bearing Br and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbon bearing OH functionalities respectively (Fig. 8). Its mass spectrum also showed the molecular ion peak at m/e 308 corresponding to bromoalcohol 60.


## Fig. 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of bromo alcohol 60

The bromoalcohol 60 was then reduced with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in presence of catalytic amount of AIBN in benzene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford corresponding $\beta$-hydroxy ester 52 in $75 \%$ yield and $90 \%$ ee [determined by using shift reagent $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ ]. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 52 showed multiplets at $\delta 2.67-2.71$ and $5.06-5.13$ indicating the presence of $\beta$-hydroxy ester (Fig. 9). Its mass spectrum confirms the molecular ion peak at m/e 228.


Fig. 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of hydroxy ester 52

Alcohol 52 was then brominated using $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ and pyridine in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-10^{0}$ to give the corresponding $\beta$-bromoester $\mathbf{6 1}$ in $79 \%$ yield with complete inversion of configuration. ${ }^{39}$ Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR showed downfield shift for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ protons but ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed upfield shift for $C_{3}$ carbon signal (at $\delta 46.42$ ). The mass spectrum also showed its molecular ion at m/e 292 confirming its formation (Fig 10).


Fig. 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and Mass spectra of bromo ester 61

The $\beta$-bromo ester 61 underwent $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ nucleophilic displacement using NaCN in DMF at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the $\beta$-cyanoester 51 in $88 \%$ yield. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra showed upfield shifts for protons and $G_{2}, C_{3}$ carbon signals (Fig. 11). Its mass spectrum showed the molecular ion peak at m/e 237 confirming the formation of $\mathbf{5 1}$. The functional group interconversions have thus generated required stereogenic center at the benzylic position.


Fig. 11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of cyano ester 51
Cyano ester 51 was chemoselectively reduced either with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and NiCb or with catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ in presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}(40 \mathrm{psi})$ to afford lactam $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $75 \%$ yield. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed presence of typical ABX pattern in the region of $\delta$ 2.39-3.84 indicating diastereotopic natures of $\alpha-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\gamma-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (Fig. 12). Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$
spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 38.22,39.51,49.43$ and 178.01. The ee of lactam $\mathbf{1 5}$ was found to be $87 \%$ based on comparison of its data on optical rotation $\left\{[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}\right.$ : -35.8 (c 1.0, EtOH), Lit. ${ }^{12,21}[\alpha]^{25}{ }^{\mathrm{D}}$ : $\left.-39.0(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{EtOH})\right\}$.


Fig. 12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of lactam 15
Lactam $\mathbf{1 5}$ was finally hydrolyzed with 6 N HCl to give ( R )-baclofen (1a) as its hydrochloride salt in $78 \%$ yield and $85 \%$ ee, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}=-1.70\left(\mathrm{c} 0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$.

Since the optical purity of (R)-baclofen (1a) obtained by AD route was only moderate ( $85 \%$ ee), it was of interest to provide its alternative synthesis by employing
asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) route. The AH strategy for the synthesis of (R)-baclofen (1a) is depicted in Scheme 19.


Scheme 19: (i) $\mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{0}-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ (ii) Ru (II)-(S)-BINAP, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 400 psi ), $95 \%$ yield, $96 \%$ ee.
$\beta$-Hydroxyester 52, the key intermediate was synthesized by the enantioselective reduction of $\beta$-ketoester 54, which was in turn prepared from 4-chlorobenzaldehyde in two steps with an overall yield of $72 \%$. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum showed presence of keto and enol form of the compound 54. Singlets at $\delta 3.92$ and 5.60 are assigned to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of keto form and CH of enol forms respectively. The asymmetric reduction of keto function was performed using (S)-BINAP-Ru (II) complex and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ at a pressure of 400 psi to afford the corresponding (R)- $\beta$-hydroxy ester 52 in $95 \%$ yield and $96 \%$ ee [determined by using $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{hfc})_{3}$ as shift reagent ${ }^{40}$ where benzylic proton shows clear shift (Fig. 13).


Fig. 13
The $\beta$-hydroxy ester 52 was then transformed to (R)-baclofen (1a) $\left\{[\alpha]_{D}=-1.81\right.$, (c 0.6 HO ), $91 \%$ ee\} following exactly the same reaction sequences as shown in Scheme
18. The IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectral data of 1 a matched very well with that of the published values (Fig 14). ${ }^{19}$



## Fig. 14: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$, DEPT and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of (R)-baclofen (1a)

### 1.0.6 Conclusion

We have achieved the enantioselective synthesis of (R)-(-)-baclofen (1a) in nine steps with $14 \%$ overall yield and $85 \%$ ee via the Os-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) as a key step and with $26 \%$ overall yield and $91 \%$ ee in seven steps using Ru ( S )BINAP catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation (AH) as a key step.

### 1.0.7 Experimental Section

## Preparation of ethyl 2-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propeonate (58):

A 100 ml twonecked RB flask was charged with activated zinc ( $2.32 \mathrm{~g}, 35.7$ mmol ), and kept under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. Dry benzene ( 30 ml ) was introduced and the reaction mixture was heated to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath temp.). A solution of ethyl bromoacetate $(5.88 \mathrm{~g}, 35.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-chlorobenzaldehyde $(4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 32.46 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( 20 ml ) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. After completion of the addition, the resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h , cooled to RT and quenched by adding ice cold $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(30 \mathrm{ml})$. The crude hydroxyester was extracted with diethyl ether evaporated under reduced pressure and then was subjected to dehydration with $p$ toluenesulphonic acid ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene at reflux. Water generated during the dehydration was azeotropically separated and then toluene was distilled off. The crude olefinic ester 58 was purified by column chromatography packed with silica gel, eluting with pet. ether to give 5.81 g of 58 .
Yield: $85 \%$ overall in two steps; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 685, 712, 998, 1064, 1172, 1202, 1312, 1450, 1578, 1640, 1720, 2874, 2933, 2960; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{q}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.08$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.92,60.13,118.54,128.83,132.61,135.63,142.58,166.10 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50\right), 182$ (30), 165 (100), 155 (70), 139 (55), 101 (50), 75 (40); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.25 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 16.83$; found $\mathrm{C}, 62.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.12 ; \mathrm{Cl}$, 16.68\%.

## Preparation of ethyl (2R,3S)-2,3-dihydroxy-(4-chlorophenyl) propionate

 (53): A double-walled 250 ml RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{FeCN}_{6}(9.4 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.93 \mathrm{~g}, 28.5 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(0.901 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $t-\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 90 \mathrm{ml})$ and stirred for five minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then cooled to $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(200 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene) was added followed by 4-chloroehtyl cinnamate $58(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (monitored by TLC). The reaction was quenched with sodium sulfite ( 10 g ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 60 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The organic layer was washed with brine ( 50 ml ), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated to dryness underreduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using EtOAc: pet. ether (1:1) as eluent to yield $\mathbf{5 3}$ as a white solid ( 2.18 g ).
Yield: $94 \%$; mp: $112-113^{0} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from EtOH); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+15.6$ (c $0.4, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ), $95 \%$ ee; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 715, 1018, 1121, 1220, 1288, 1455, 1560, 1608, 1715, 2978, 2980, 3445; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.92(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (bs, 1H), 4.21-4.32 (m, 3H), $4.97(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~S}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 13.96, $62.19,73.91,74.61,127.73,128.42,133.72,138.42,172.53 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): 244 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4$ ), 227 (4), 153 (14), 141 (72), 104 (97), 76 (100), 58 (14); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}$ requires C, $54.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.49$; found $\mathrm{C}, 54.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.32 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.24 \%$.

## Preparation of ethyl (4S,5R)-4-carbethoxy-5-(4-chlorophenyl)1,3,2-

 dioxathiolane-2-oxide (59): The diol $53(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in triethylamine ( 23 ml ) and cooled to $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ in an icebath under argon atmosphere. Freshly distilled thionyl chloride ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.72 \mathrm{ml}, 9.88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop-wise and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 minutes (monitored by TLC). After completion, was added ice-cold water ( 20 ml ) and extracted with ether ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The ethereal layer was washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and with brine successively. The ether extract was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using pet. ether : EtOAc (9:1) as eluent to furnish 59 as light yellow oil ( 1.94 g ).

Yield: $86 \%$; yellow oil; $[\alpha]^{25}$ D: - 109.0 (c $0.44, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 738,757,827$, 966, 1047, 1091, 1217, 1267, 1373, 1494, 1600, 1740, 2863; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for $2 \mathrm{H}, 7.27-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.58,62.74,82.30,82.44,83.21,86.81,128.09,128.90,129.12$, 132.43, 132.84, 135.52, 135.70, 165.77, 166.62; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $290\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right)$, 226 (27), 188 (13), 180 (25), 154 (25), 139 (93), 125 (86), 104 (64), 89 (54), 77 (100), 63 (25); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 45.45 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.81 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 12.20 ; \mathrm{S}, 11.03$; found C , 45.43; H, 3.69; Cl, 12.31; S, 11.08\%.

Preparation of ethyl (2S,3S)-2-bromo-3-hydroxy-3-(4-chlorophenyl) propionate (60): To a solution of cyclic sulfite $\mathbf{5 9}(1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture $(9: 1,10 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ was added solid $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 minutes at 0 C and immediately filtered through pad of silica and celite directly into the solution of anhydrous $\operatorname{LiBr}(4.35 \mathrm{~g}, 50$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 30 ml ). The resulting solution was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness. To this was added $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and diethyl ether (1:1, 40 ml ) and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( 3 x 15 ml ) the combined ether extracts were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ The ether layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to give crude product which was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel to give pure bromoalcohol $\mathbf{6 0}$ $(1.00 \mathrm{~g})$ as a colorless viscous liquid.

(2S,3S)-60

Yield: $65 \%$ overall; viscous liquid; $[\alpha]^{25}$ D: 120.43 (c 0.5, EtOH); IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 700, 1010, 1121, 1200, 1260, 1445, 1725, 2976, 2990, 3450; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=4.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.92,51.57,62.26,75.09,128.50,130.04,134.71,135.30$, 170.36; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 308 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7$ ), 210 (20), 282 (10), 255 (15), 139 (20), 125 (100), 91 (60), 75 (40), 63 (23); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrClO}_{3}$ requires C, 42.96; H, 3.93; Br, 25.98 ; Cl, 11.53; found C, $42.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.82$; Br, $25.84 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 11.48 \%$.

## Preparation of ethyl (3R)- 3-hydroxy -3-(4-chlorophenyl) propionate (52):

To a solution of bromoalcohol $60(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 10 ml ) in 50 ml RB flask, was added AIBN (2, 2'-azobisisobutyronitrile, $2 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ $(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether: EtOAc (8:2) as eluent to give $52(0.555 \mathrm{~g})$.


Yield: $75 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}$ : +38.7 (c 1.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), $90 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 831, 1014, 1091, 1193, 1284, 1375, 1400, 1490, 1595, 1718, 2981, 3461; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-5.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 14.00,43.22,60.83$, 69.54, 127.03, 128.53, 133.31, 141.11, 171.98; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $228\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 182$ (6), 156 (53), 139 (100), 111 (54), 75 (73); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 57.78 ; \mathrm{H}$, $5.73 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 15.50$; found $\mathrm{C}, 57.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.65 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 15.58 \%$.

## Preparation of ethyl (3S)- 3-bromo-3-(4-chlorophenyl) propionate (61):

To a mixture containing $\beta$-hydroxy ester $52(0.500 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry ether ( 15 $\mathrm{ml})$, pyridine $(0.40 \mathrm{ml}, 4.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(0.650 \mathrm{~g}, 0.230 \mathrm{ml}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 5 ml ) was added drop wise at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then stirred for 3 h at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then for 48 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (monitored by TLC). The reaction was quenched by the addition of crushed ice the ether layer was washed with ice water, $85 \%$ phosphoric acid, cold saturated sodium bicarbonate, twice with cold water and brine, and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The crude product was finally purified by column chromatography on silica using pet. ether: EtOAc (9:1) as eluent to afford $\beta$-bromoester 61 ( 0.504 g ).


Yield: $79 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : -96.3 (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), from ADH ; - 104.2 (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), from $\mathrm{AH} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 617,829,1014,1093,1199,1263,1313,1411,1492,1595,1735$, 2935, 2981; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.08-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}=\mathbf{N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 14.00,44.73,46.42,60.79,128.50,128.79,134.34,139.38,168.82 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}(\%$ rel. intensity): $292\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 247$ (10), 211 (69), 169 (98), 138 (88), 103 (100), 77 (88), 63 (36); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrClO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 45.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.15 ; \mathrm{Br}, 27.41 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 12.61$; found C , 45.53; H, 4.13; Br, 27.44; Cl, 12.55\%.

## Preparation of ethyl (3R)-3-cyano-3-(4-chlorophenyl) propionate (51):

In a 25 ml flask were added $\beta$-bromoester $61(0.474 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{NaCN}(0.106 \mathrm{~g}$, 4 mmol ) and dry DMF ( 10 ml ) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h (monitored by TLC). After completion of the reaction it was diluted with water ( 5 ml ) and extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(4 \mathrm{x} 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ) combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 10 ml ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product. The crude product was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether: EtOAc (8:2) as eluent to afford cyanoester $51(0.340 \mathrm{~g})$.

(R)-51

Yield: $88 \%$; mp: $62-63^{0} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{\mathrm { D }}$ : +12.9 (c $1.5, \mathrm{CHCb}$ ), from $\mathrm{ADH} ;+14.1$ (c $1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), from AH ; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 831,1016,1093,1190,1251,1375,1492,1737,2294,2917$, 2983; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=8.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.72-2.84 (dd, $J=16.08$ Hz and $8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.92-3.04 (dd, $J=16.08 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.33 (s, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 13.78,32.27,39.40,61.23,119.35,128.61$, 129.12, 132.87, 134.27, 168.67; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $237\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7\right.$ ), 163 (30), 150 (27), 137 (13), 101 (15), 88 (15), 75 (100), 63 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}$ requires C , $60.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.09 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.89$; found C, $60.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.12 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 14.88 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.98 \%$.

## Preparation of (3R)-3-(4-chloropheny)-2-pyrrolidone (15):

To a 25 ml RB flask containing a mixture of cyanoester $51(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.619 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(8.0 \mathrm{ml})$, at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added in portions under stirring solid $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.532 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$. Evolution of hydrogen was observed and the black precipitate appeared during the addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . After the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC), the mixture was extracted with chloroform ( $10 \times 3 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The chloroform layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was then purified by column chromatography using pet. ether and EtOAc as eluents to give pure 3-(4-chloropheny)-2-pyrrolidone 15, 0.186 g as light yellow colored solid.

Yield: $75 \%$; mp: $115-117^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : -33.9 (c 1.0, EtOH), from ADH, $87 \%$ ee, -35.8 (c $1.0, \mathrm{EtOH})$, from $\mathrm{AH}, 92 \%$ ee $\left\{\mathrm{Lit} .{ }^{12,21}[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}=-39\right.$ (c 1.0, EtOH$\left.)\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ :

3420, 3200, 2103, 1698, 1492, 1090, 1374, 1216, 758, 700, 668; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.39-2.51(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.90 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.90 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $8.72 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.35-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.62-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 38.22,39.51,49.43,128.02,128.83,132.72$, 140.59, 178.01; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 195 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 15$ ), 140 (24), 138 (100), 75 (5); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{ClNO}$, requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.39$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.15 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 18.12$; N, 7.16 ; found: $\mathrm{C}, 61.29$; H , 4.98; Cl, 18.18; N, 7.09\%.

## Preparation of (R)-(-)-Baclofen hydrochloride (1a):

Lactam $15(0.170 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(4 \mathrm{ml})$ was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h . The excess of water in the reaction mixture was removed under reduced pressure to obtain solid residue, which was triturated in isopropanol affording ( R )-baclofen hydrochloride (1a) as a colorless solid ( 0.170 mg ).
Yield: $78 \%$; mp: $195-197^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{\mathrm { D }}$ : $-1.70\left(\mathrm{c} 0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$ ) from $\mathrm{ADH}, 85 \%$ ee, -1.81 (c 0.6 $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) from AH, $91 \%$ ee $\left\{\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{19}[\alpha]^{25}=-2.00\left(\mathrm{c} 0.6, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\right\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 698,704$, 758, 1090, 1490, 1550, 1620, 2955, 2092, 3200; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-d6 + $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 2.51-2.71 (m, 2H), 3.42-3.65 (m, 2H), 4.15-4.21 (m, 1H), 7.01-7.21 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta$ 37.57, 38.88, 43.00, 128.27, 129.62, 131.57, 138.95, 171.95; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 195 (10), 140 (61), 138 (100), 125 (6), 115 (10), 103 (45), 89 (9), 77 (29); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{CbNO}_{2}$ requires C, 48.02 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.24 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 28.35$; N , 5.60; found C, 48.24; H, 5.15; Cl, 28.41; N, 5.49\%.

## Preparation of ethyl 4-chlorophenylbenzoyl acetate 54:

To a mixture of $\beta$-hydroxy ester $55(4.56 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol})$ in diethyl ether ( 40 ml ) was added drop wise through addition funnel freshly prepared chromic acid solution ( 15 ml ) under ice-cold condition with vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and stirring continued for 3 h (monitored by TLC). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ether ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined ethereal extracts were washed with water ( 15 ml ) followed by brine ( 15 ml ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was further purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether: $\operatorname{EtOAc}(9: 1)$ as eluent to afford $\beta$ ketoester 54 ( 3.39 g ).
Yield: 75\%; viscous oil; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 819, 840, 1012, 1091, 1201, 1265, 1325, 1423, 1490, 1589, 1623, 1689, 1739, 2927, 2981; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}$ ): $\delta 1.21-1.36$ (m,

3H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 4.19-4.30 (m, 2H), $5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.45$ (m, 2H), 7.69 (d, $J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 12.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 13.48,13.67$, 45.17, $59.91, ~ 60.83, ~ 87.07,126.81,127.95,128.20,128.42,128.68,129.20,129.38$, $131.29,133.90,136.66,139.45,166.65,169.41,172.50,190.77$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 226 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 16$ ), 180 (8), 139 (100), 111 (19), 75 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ requires C, $58.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.89 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 15.64$; found $\mathrm{C}, 58.31 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.80 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 15.55 \%$.

## Preparation of (S)-2,2'-bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl Ru(II) complex: ${ }^{38 \mathrm{a}}$

A dry, 25 ml two-necked, round bottomed flask was charged with [ $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}$ (benzene) $)_{2}$ ( $38.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0765 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and (S)-BINAP ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The flask was then evacuated, filled with argon and then $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ 'dimethylformamide ( 2.6 ml ) was introduced through syringe. The suspension was stirred under argon atmosphere at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min the resulting clear reddish brown reaction mixture was cooled and concentrated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})$ with vigorous stirring. Finally, it was dried at 3 mm Hg for 3 h to yield reddish brown solid of Ru (II) (S)-BINAP complex ( 135 mg ) Scheme 17). This solid was directly used as catalyst for the asymmetric hydrogenation.

## Asymmetric reduction of 4-chlorophenylbenzoyl acetate 54:

A dry two-necked round-bottomed flask was charged with $\beta$-ketoester 54 ( 2.27 g , 10 mmol ) and dry ethanol ( 60 ml ). To this mixture was added (S)-BINAP-Ru (II) complex ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under a stream of argon. The resulting yellowish orange solution was degassed with argon and then transferred by cannula to dry and clean autoclave. Hydrogen was introduced into the autoclave until the pressure gauge indicates 5 atm . The pressure was then carefully released to 1 atm . This procedure was repeated for 2 times, and finally hydrogen was pressurized to 400 psi . The reaction mixture was vigorously stirred at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 100 h . The stirring was stopped and excess hydrogen was carefully bled off. The deep reddish orange reaction mixture was transferred to 100 ml round bottom flask and the autoclave was rinsed with dichloromethane ( 3 x 15 ml ). The solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was subjected to column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in pet. ether as eluent) to get pure ( R )-alcohol 52 ( 2.24 g ).

Yield: 95\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{25}$ D: +41.3 (c 1.5, CHCl $_{3}$ ), $96 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 831, 1014, 1091, 1193, 1284, 1375, 1400, 1490, 1595, 1718, 2981, 3461; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-$
$5.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.00,43.22,60.83,69.54$, 127.03, 128.53, 133.31, 141.11, 171.98; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 228 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3$ ), 182 (6), 156 (53), 139 (100), 111 (54), 75 (73); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}_{3}$ requires C, 57.78; H, 5.73; $\mathrm{Cl}, 15.50$; found $\mathrm{C}, 57.77 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.69 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 15.68 \%$.
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## CHAPTER 2

> Asymmetric Synthesis of $(\mathcal{S})$ - Propranolol, (S)-Moprolol and (S)- Toliprolol via OsO Catalyzed Asymmetric Difydroxyaltion

# Asymmetric Synthesis of (S)-Propranolol, (S)-Moprolol and (S)-Toliprolol via OsO ${ }_{4}$-Catalyzed Asymmetric Dihydroxyaltion 

### 2.0.1 Introduction

The members of a pair of enantiomers often show different pharmacological and metabolic characteristics. The synthesis of homochiral drugs has become a key issue not only in academic research but also in the pharmaceutical industry. ${ }^{1}$ Biological systems, in most cases, recognize the members of a pair of enantiomers as different substances, and the two enantiomers will exhibit different responses. Thus one enantiomer may act as a very effective therapeutic drug whereas the other enantiomer is highly toxic. It has been shown for many pharmaceuticals that only one enantiomer contains all the desired activity, and the other is either totally inactive or highly toxic.

There are several methods to obtain enantiomerically pure materials, which include classical resolution via diastereomers, chromatographic separation of enantiomers, enzymatic resolution, chiral kinetic resolution and asymmetric synthesis. OsO ${ }_{4}$-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD), developed by Sharpless et al ${ }^{2}$ (for introduction see chapter 1, section 1.0.4.2) is a simple, efficient and the most reliable method for asymmetric synthesis of chiral vicinal diols.

### 2.0.2 Chemistry of Cyclic Sulfites and Sulfates

The chemistry of cyclic sulfites and sulfates is very old. ${ }^{3}$ These are esters of 1,2 ; 1,3 or 1,4 diols and possess properties similar to epoxides. Unlike epoxide, chemistry of cyclic sulfites and sulfates is less explored in organic synthesis due to lack of an efficient method for their preparation. The significant role of cyclic sulfates in organic synthesis is realized due to their unique properties such as (i) high reactivity towards nucleophiles which is comparable to epoxides (ii) attack of nucleophile is regiospecific and thereby
serving as a protecting group at a second position (iii) nucleophilic opening of fivemembered cyclic sulfates generates two contiguous stereocenters. ${ }^{4}$ The recent developments in Ru-catalyzed oxidation of the cyclic sulfites with sodium periodate extend the scope of cyclic sulfates in organic synthesis. ${ }^{5}$ Cyclic sulfates are important intermediates in obtaining bioactive molecules containing hydroxyl functionality. ${ }^{4}$ Chiral cyclic sulfates are easily prepared from the corresponding chiral glycols, which could be obtained from a variety of olefins by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$-catalyzed asymmetric dihydroxylation.

### 2.0.3 Reactivity of Cyclic Sulfates

The cyclic sulfates (1, 3, 2-dioxathiolane-2, 2-dioxde) are more reactive than their immediate cyclic sulfites (1, 3, 2-dioxathiolane-2-oxide). The high reactivity of the cyclic sulfate has been attributed to the ring strain and partial double bond character between ring oxygen and sulfur and also due to $2 \mathrm{p}(\mathrm{O}) 3 \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{~S})$ orbital interaction. ${ }^{6}$ The good leaving ability of the $\mathrm{ROSO}_{3}{ }^{-}$moiety also enhances the reactivity of cyclic sulfates towards various nucleophilic reagents. The reactivity of cyclic sulfates and epoxides are similar in nature towards nucleophiles but vary in regioselective approach (Scheme 1). For example, the reactions of cyclic sulfate 4 with sodium azide in acetone:water system preferentially gave $\alpha$-azido-product 5 , whereas epoxyester 6 , under similar reaction conditions gave $\beta$ -azido-product $7 .{ }^{7}$


Scheme 1: Reactivity pattern of cyclic sulfatevs epoxide (i) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$; (ii) $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 2.0.4 Preparation of Cyclic Sulfites and Sulfates

Cyclic sulfites 9 are conveniently prepared by condensation of 1, 2, 1, 3- and 1, 4 diols 8 with thionyl chloride (Scheme 2). ${ }^{5,8}$ In case of acid sensitive substrates, triethyl
amine or pyridine is required to scavenge the hydrogen chloride generated in the reaction. It is then transformed to cyclic sulfates 10 by Ru-catalyzed oxidation with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}{ }^{4,5}$


Scheme 2: (i) $\mathbf{S O C l}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathbf{0}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{C}$; (ii) cat. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{3} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4} \mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{C N}: \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 2.0.5 $\quad \beta$-Adrenergic Blockers

$\beta$-Adrenergic blocking agents ( $\beta$-blockers) are important drugs used for the treatment of hypertension and angina pectoris. ${ }^{9}$ Most of the $\beta$-blockers possess a general structure $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathbf{F i g} \mathbf{1})$ and have been used in the form of racemic mixtures. ${ }^{10}$

(S)-Propranolol (1)

(S)-Moprolol (2)

(S)-Toliprolol (3)

(S)-Oxprenolol

(S)-Atenolol

(S)-Xibenolol

Fig. 1
Three fundamental goals of cardiovascular drugs are: the lowering of blood pressure (antihypertensive), return of the heart to rhythmic beating (antiarrhythmics) and the general improvement of the heart muscle tone (cardiotonics). ${ }^{11}$ Biochemically, the mechanism of action involves the adrenergic system in which the hormonal system provides the communication link between the sympathetic nervous system and involuntary muscle. ${ }^{12}$ Some of the representative $\beta$-blockers is shown in Fig. 1. There are four types of
receptors for these molecules $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$. Blocking of $\beta$-receptor system reduces the overall activity of the sympathetic nervous system. Agents, which are $\beta$-blockers, are thus used to increase life expectancy after the heart attack. Although (S)-isomers are known to be much more effective ( $100-500$ fold) than the ( R )-isomer, ${ }^{13}$ these antihypertensive drugs are presently sold as racemic mixtures. To avoid unnecessary stress or in some case toxicity to an organism caused by the ( R )-isomers, the administration of optically pure ( S ) isomer is desirable. (S)-Propranolol (1), (S)-moprolol (2) and (S)-toliprolol (3) are amongst the most widely used $\beta$-blockers, which possesses antihypertensive, antianginal, and sympatholytic properties.

### 2.0.6 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that there are several reports available on the synthesis of $\beta$-blockers 1-3, which are described below.

## Howe's approach (1968)

Howe et al. ${ }^{14}$ synthesized (S)-propranolol (1) and (S)-toliprolol (3) by resolution of their racemates. Thus 1-isopropylamino-3-(1-naphthoxy)-2-propanol (11, $\mathrm{Ar}=1$-naphthyl) and 1-isopropylamino-3-(3-tolyloxy)-2-propanol (11, $\mathrm{Ar}=3$-toluoyl) were resolved using (-)-O,O-di-p-toluoyltartaric acid (Scheme 3 ).


Scheme 3: (i) (-)-O,O-di-p-toluoyltartaric acid, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, fractional crystallization.

## Smith's approach (1971)

Smith et al. ${ }^{15}$ reported the synthesis of (R)-(+)-propranolol (1) (Scheme 4) and confirmed its configuration by correlation with (S)-(+)-lactic acid. The compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was
prepared from (S)-(+)-lactic acid in three steps. The key intermediate (R)-(+)-13 was prepared starting from epichlorohydrine in four steps. After resolution the $( \pm)$ chloroaminoalcohol afforded the (R)-(+)-chloroamonoalcohol 13. The intermediate 13 was treated with LAH to give $\mathbf{1 2}$ which was also prepared from (S)-(+)-lactic acid thereby confirming the configuration of the final product.


Scheme 4: (i) a. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, ether; b. isopropylamine, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, ether; (iii) isopropylamine, MeOH ; (iv) etheral HCl ; (v) 2 N NaOH ; (vi) (-)-di-O,O-p-toluoyltartaric acid, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (vii) a. $\alpha$ naphthol, NaOH ; b. etheral HCl .

## Ferrari's approach (1980)

Ferrari et al. ${ }^{16}$ developed a process for the separation of racemic moprolol into its two optical antipodes. In this process racemic moprolol was treated with equimolar quantity of L-(+)-glutamic acid in an alcohol/ water mixture and, after solvent evaporation the mixture of the two optically active salts were obtained. This mixture was then treated with an appropriate quantity of isopropanol/ methanol/ water in the ratio $80 / 15 / 5$ to yield a crystalline solid which is optically pure L-(+)-glutamic (+)-moprolol salt. The mother liquors of crystallization brought to dryness and then treated with isopropanol/ methanol in a $95 / 5$ ratio, after filtration of the insoluble part resulted in the solution which contained L (+)-glutamic (-)-moprolol salt. After treatment of this salt with aqueous NaOH yielded the pure (-)-moprolol (2) in a crystalline form.

## Tsuda's approach (1981)

Tsuda et al. ${ }^{17}$ have developed a synthetic route for the synthesis of both (R)- and (S)-isomers of propranolol (1) using D-mannitol derivative 14. The aldehyde 15 was used to synthesize both (R)- and (S)-propranolol (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5: (i) a. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, b. TsCl , pyridine; (ii) a. $\alpha$-naphthol, NaH ; b. TsCl , pyridine; (iii) isopropylamine; (iv) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in excess isopropylamine; (v) ethylchloroformate; (vi) hydrolysis; (vii) a. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF; b. TsCl , pyridine; (viii) a. $\alpha$-naphthol;, NaH; b. alkaline hydrolysis.

## Kojima's approach (1982) ${ }^{\text {B }}$

Kojima and coworkers have reported the asymmetric hydrolysis of ( $\pm$ )-1,2-diacetoxy-3-chloropropane (16) with a lipoprotein lipase (1/ 3000 to the substrate) to give (S)-17 in $20 \%$ yield but in high ee ( $90 \%$ ). Reaction of (S)-17 with $\alpha$-naphthol yielded the (S)-3-(1-naphthoxy)-1,2-propanediol (18a) that was converted to (S)-propranolol (1) via epoxide 19a (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6: (i) lipoprotein lipase Amano 40, sodium phosphate buffer, $20 \%$; (ii) 1naphthol, $\mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, Hyflo Super-Cel, water, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $30 \% \mathrm{HBr}$ in AcOH ; (iv) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) isopropylamine, EtOH, $77 \%$.

## Katsuki's approach (1984) ${ }^{19}$

Katsuki has reported the synthesis of (S)-(-)-propranolol (1) by using titanium mediated asymmetric epoxidation via the key intermediate, epoxide 20 (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4},(-)$-DIPT, TBHP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{MsCl}^{\text {S }} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (iii) 1-naphthol, NaH ; (iv) ${ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}{ }_{4} \mathrm{NF}$; (v) isopropylamine.

## Matsuo's approach (1985) ${ }^{20}$

(S)-1-Acetoxy-2-naphthoxypropionitrile (21) was synthesized by the asymmetric hydrolysis of racemate with an enzyme. (S)Propranolol (1) was synthesized from (S)21 by LAH reduction followed by reaction with isopropylamine in $87 \%$ ee (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8: (i) bromoacetal, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (ii) a. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AcOH}$; b. $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{Bu} \mathrm{NBr}$, (iii) lipase; (iv) LAH ; (v) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, acetone.

## Kazunori's approach (1985)

Kazunori et al. ${ }^{21}$ have reported the synthesis of (S)-propranolol (1) and (S)moprolol (2) via asymmetric hydrolysis of ( $\pm$ )-oxazolidinone 22. Asymmetric hydrolysis of $\mathbf{2 2}$ with lipoprotein lipase Amano 3 (L.P.L. Amano 3, origin: Pseudomonas aeruginosa) afforded (S)-23 in high ee (99\%). This intermediate compound was then converted to the corresponding $\beta$-blockers $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9: (i) lipase Amano 3; (ii) chemical hydrolysis; (iii) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (iv) ArOH ( $\mathrm{Ar}=1$ naphthyl or $2-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), NaH ; (v) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Sharpless's approach (1986)

Sharpless et al. ${ }^{22}$ have described two different routes for the synthesis of (S)propranolol (1); both the routes involved Ticatalyzed asymmetric epoxidation of allyl alcohol as key step. In the first procedure after asymmetric epoxidation of allylic alcohol, the reaction mixture was treated with Na -1-naphthoxide to give diol 18a. The diol 18a was then converted to (S)-propranolol hydrochloride ( $48 \%$ overall yield from allyl alcohol) (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ ( + )-DIPT, cumene hydroperoxide; (ii) $\mathrm{ArONa}, \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right)_{4}$ (1 equiv.), ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOH}$.

In the second procedure, the glycidol 24 obtained via asymmetric epoxidation was converted to glycidyl tosylate $\mathbf{2 5}$, which on treatment with Na -1-naphthoxide in DMF afforded the epoxy ether 19a. This epoxyether 19a further on treatment with isopropylamine gave (S)-propranolol (1) in $70 \%$ yield from 25 (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right)_{4}$, (+)-DIPT, cumene hydroperoxide; (ii) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (iii) 1-naphthol, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (iv) isopropylamine, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Wang's approach (1986) ${ }^{23}$

(S)-Propranolol (1) was synthesized by the enzyme-mediated asymmetric hydrolysis of $\pm$ )-2-acetoxy-1-chloro-3-(1-naphthoxy)-2-propanol (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12: (i) enzyme; (ii) isopropylamine.

## Giuliana's approach (1987) ${ }^{24}$

In this approach oxazolidinones 27 and 28 were prepared by cyclization of chiral amine 26 with iodine and Amberlyst A 26 in the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-}$form. Compounds 27 and 28 were then converted in 8 steps to (S)- and (R)-propranolol (1) respectively (Scheme 13).



Scheme 13: (i) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCOCl}$; (ii) $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$; (iii) Amberlyst A 26 in the $\mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{-1}$ form, MeOH , L ; (iv) silica gel chromatography; (v) Amberlyst A 26 in the $\mathrm{AcO}^{-}$form, benzene, reflux; (vi) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, EtOH ; (vii) $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$; (viii) MsCl , pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ix) Amberlyst A 26 in the $\alpha$ naphtholate form, benzene; (x) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux; (xi) acetone, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, EtOH .

## Yoshiyasu's approach (1988)

Yoshiyasu et al. ${ }^{25}$ have developed efficient system for the asymmetric synthesis of chiral glycerol derivatives 29 by lipase catalyzed reaction in organic medium. This chiral derivative 29 was then converted into (S)-propranolol (1) in seven steps (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14: (i) lipase, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CO}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}, 92 \%$ yield, $94 \%$ ee; (ii) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0^{0} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) isopropylamine; (v) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (vi) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (vii) 1naphthol, $\mathrm{MeONa}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ then HCl gas.

## Rama Rao's approach (1990) ${ }^{26}$

Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of the 1-naphthylallyl ether 30a afforded diol 18a. Diol 18a was then converted to monotosylate 31, which was further transformed to epoxide 19a. The epoxide 19a on treatment with isopropylamine gave (S)propranolol (1) in $60 \%$ ee (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15: (i) AD mix- $\beta$; (ii) TsCl , pyridine; (iii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (iv) isopropylamine.

## Achiwa's approach (1990) ${ }^{27}$

This approach makes use of highly efficient rhodium catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of oxoamine $\mathbf{3 3}$ to give (S)-propranolol (1) in $91 \%$ ee. The oxoamine $\mathbf{3 3}$ was prepared from allyl ether 30a via its oxobromo compound 32 (Scheme 16). The complexes of pyrrolidine biphosphine ligands (CMPs) such as (2S,4S)-MCCPM 34 with Rh -(I) were found to be efficient catalysts for asymmetric hydrogenation of $\alpha$ aminoketone derivatives.


Scheme 16: (i) sodium bromite, $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (ii) isopropylamine then HCl gas; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2},[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2}$, (2S,4S)-MCCPM, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Bevinakatti's approach (1991)

Bevinakatti et al. ${ }^{28}$ have reported the synthesis of both the optical isomers of propranolol (1) with high optical purity ( $>95 \%$ ee) and moderate chemical yields ( $>30 \%$ ). Lipase catalyzed kinetic resolution of $\mathbf{3 5}$ and $\mathbf{3 6}$ were used as key steps (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: (i) epichlorohydrin, pyridine, RT, 24 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{HCl}, ~ \theta 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 94 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}, 3$ h, $93 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (v) lipase PS, $n$-BuOH or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}$; (vi) isopropylamine, aqueous NaOH ; (vii) aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}, i-\mathrm{PrOH}$; (viii) isopropylamine.

## Shibasaki's approach (1993) ${ }^{29}$

(S)-Propranolol (1) was synthesized in enantioselective manner by the lanthanum-(R)-(+)-binaphthol catalyzed asymmetric nitroaldol reaction. The aldehyde 37 reacted with nitromethane in presence of La-catalyst to give nitroalcohol 38, which was then transformed to (S)-propranolol (1) (Scheme 18).

(S)-Propranolol (1)

Scheme 18: (i) 3-chloro-2-hydroxy propanol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ch}_{2}$; (iii) La -(R)BINOL complex ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) $10 \% \mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) acetone, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Ronald's approach (1993) ${ }^{30}$

Synthesis of enantiomerically pure (S)-(-)-propranolol (1) was achieved in high optical yield starting from sorbitol, an inexpensive and easily available carbohydrate (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19:
(i) MsCl (1.1 eq.), pyridine, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) BzCl (1.2 eq.), RT, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$ (2 steps); (iii) f naphthol, $\mathrm{KO}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}$, DMSO, RT, $17 \mathrm{~h}, 58 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{HCl}$, reflux, 4 h , $84 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (4 eq.), dioxane, RT, 17 h ; (vi) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2 eq.), dioxane, RT, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$ ( 2 steps); (vii) $p$ - TsCl , pyridine, DMAP, RT, 7 days, $72 \%$; (viii) MeOH , isopropylamine, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$.

## Sinisterra's approach (1996) ${ }^{31}$

Synthesis of (R) and (S)-propranolol (1) was achieved using a new chiral stationary phase for the separation of the derivative $\mathbf{3 9}$, which was prepared from 1 naphthol. This simple methodology shows a significant improvement in the separation of the enantiomers of propranolol derivative over the previous methods (Scheme 20).



[^0]
## Hou's approach (1999) ${ }^{32}$

The chiral building block (S)-N-benzyl-N-isopropyl-2,3-epoxypropylamine was synthesized by means of chlorohydroxylation of allylamine, followed by Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution with water. A concise, divergent five step synthesis of three
$\beta$-blockers in high enantiomeric excess using (S)-N-benzyl-N-isopropyl-2,3epoxypropylamine (41) as the key intermediate is developed. $\beta$-Blockers (S)-propranolol (1), (S)-moprolol (2) and (S)-toliprolol (3) were prepared in $26.6 \%, 28.4 \%$ and $28.4 \%$ overall yields and in $>99 \%$ ee respectively from benzylisopropylamine 40 in five steps. It is noteworthy that water was the reagent used in the second and third steps. It involved short synthetic sequences; produced uniformly good overall yields, high ee (Scheme 21).




$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Ar}=\text { 1-naphthyl } \mathbf{1} \\
& \mathrm{Ar}=2 \text {-methoxyphenyl } \mathbf{2} \\
& \mathrm{Ar}=3 \text {-methylphenyl } \mathbf{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 21: (i) allylbromide, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 93 \%$; (ii) a) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ ( $10 \%$ ), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (300\%), DMF, $-10^{0} \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; b) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S} .9 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (S,S)-(salen)-Co-(III)OAc; (iv) ArOH ( $\mathrm{Ar}=$ 1-naphthyl, 2-methoxyphenyl, 3-methylphenyl), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, reflux; (v) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 100 \%$.

## Salunkhe's approach (1999)

Salunkhe et al. ${ }^{33}$ have reported lipase catalyzed enantioselective esterification of racemic propranolol to give (S)-propranolol (1) and the corresponding acetate, which was hydrolyzed, to give (R)-propranolol (Scheme 22).


Scheme 22: (i) lipase $P S-D$, vinylacetate; (ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Backvall's approach (2001)

Backvall et al. ${ }^{34}$ have developed efficient method for enzymatic resolution of $\beta$ azidoalcohols in combination with ruthenium-catalyzed alcohol isomerization, which led to successful dynamic kinetic resolution. The synthetic utility of this procedure has been illustreted by the practical synthesis of (S)-propranolol (1) by kinetic resolution of azidoalcohol $\mathbf{4 2}$ to give azidoacetate 43, which was converted to (S)-1 (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23: (i) novozyme 435 ( $N-435$ ), Ru-catalyst ( $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $p$ - $\mathrm{Cl}^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OAc}$ (3 equiv.); (ii) LiOH , $\mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}$; (iii) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$, acetone, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (1 atm.), $4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{RT}, 98 \%$.

### 2.0.7 Present Work

### 2.0.7.1 Objective

Although racemic $\beta$-blockers have been administered over the last two decades, there is now a great demand for enantiomerically pure isomers, which show higher affinity to $\beta$-receptors. All the reported methods described above for the synthesis of this class of compounds suffer from drawbacks such as use of expensive enzymes and resolving agents, low overall yields, low optical purity, etc. To develop a new general route for the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-adrenergic blockers with good optical purity and yield, we have decided to make use of Sharpless Asymmetric Dihydroxylation (AD) [see Chapter 1 for its introduction] of aryl allyl ethers and che mistry of chiral cyclic sulfates.

Retrosynthetic analysis of these homochiral $\beta$-adrenergic blocking agents (A) is shown in Fig. 2. Evidently, there are three possible disconnections of bonds shown at a, b and c positions. However most of the synthetic routes are based on the disconnection of bonds at either a or b .



Fig.2: Retrosynthetic analysis of $\beta$-blockers (A)

### 2.0.8 Results and Discussion

Our approach for the synthesis of $\beta$-blockers $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ is based on the disconnection route $b$, which results in two chiral synthons (cyclic sulfate 44 and epoxide 19), both of which are accessible using AD method. The general synthetic scheme employed for the synthesis of (S)-propranolol (1), (S)-moprolol (2) and (S)-toliprolol (3) is presented in Scheme 24.



Scheme 24: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, acetone, reflux, 12 h , $97-99 \%$; (ii) cat- $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, (DHQD) $2_{2}$ PHAL, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3},{ }^{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h} ., 94-98 \%, 73-90 \%$ ee; (iii) SOCl , $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min} .96-99 \%$; (iv) cat. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min. $94-98 \%$; (v) LiBr, THF $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 23 \mathrm{~h}$; (vi) $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$; (vii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0 \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 80-85 \%$ overall in three steps; (viii) $i-\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$.

Allylation of phenols 45 a-c $[45 \mathrm{a}=\alpha$-naphthol, $\mathbf{4 5 b}=o$-methoxyphenol (guaiacol),
$45 \mathbf{c}=3$-methylphenol ( $m$-cresol)] with allylbromide gave allyl ethers $\mathbf{3 0}$ acc in $>97 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed pattern typical for the allylic functionality in the region of $\delta$ 4.00-6.00.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR also showed the signals for the carbons of the allylic functionality in the region of $\delta$ 68-118.

These allylic ethers 30 a-c were then subjected for the Os-catalyzed Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) using (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PHAL [hydroquinidine 1,4 phthalazinediyl diether] as chiral ligand in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{d} \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as cooxidant to give optically active diols $\mathbf{1 8}$ ac. The IR spectrum of these diols showed a
broad band in the region of $3400-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydroxyl functionality in the molecules. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed disappearance of signals for allylic protons in the region of $\delta 4.0-6.0$. Multiplets in the region of $\delta 3.65-3.80$ and $\delta$ 4.00-4.15 for 5 protons and broad singlet at $\delta 3.25$ confirmed the formation of the diols (see Fig. 3 for 18c)


Fig. 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the diol 18 c

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR showed signals at $\delta 21.21$ for aromatic $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group and at $\delta 63.45$, 68.81 and 70.44 for the three aliphatic carbons bearing oxo-functionality. The diols 18a-c
were obtained in $91 \%, 73 \%$ and $80 \%$ ee respectively (measured by both chiral HPLC using Chiralcel OD-H column and optical rotation).

The diols 18 acc were treated with freshly distilled $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford cyclic sulfites in $96-99 \%$ yield. The formation of cyclic sulfite was clearly evident from the appearance of multiplets in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ in the region $\delta 4.00-5.50$ due to the presence of diastereomeric mixtures. The cyclic sulfites of the corresponding diols were then converted into cyclic sulfates 44 a-c in $9498 \%$ yiel using Ru-catalyzed oxidation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of cyclic sulfate 44 c showed the disappearance of multiplets at $\delta$ 4.25-4.32, 4.72-4.86 and at 5.22-5.26 due to diastereomeric mixtures. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum also showed simplified pattern because of the absence of diastereomeric mixtures (Fig 4). Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 244$, which confirms the formation of cyclic sulfate moiety.


Fig. 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of cyclic sulfate 44 c

Finally, the cyclic sulfates 44 ac were subjected to nucleophilic displacement with isopropylamine followed by hydrolysis of the resulting salts afforded the corresponding $\beta$ blockers 1, 2 and 3 respectively. However, the opening and hydrolysis of the cyclic sulfates 44 a-c resulted in very low yields of the final $\beta$-blockers (yields were <30\%). Various reaction conditions such as $20 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in ether, $50 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in ether, $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, concentrated $\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \%$ aqueous NaOH and $50 \%$ aq. NaOH were tried but all of them failed to improve the yields of the final products.

Hence, we decided to convert these cyclic sulfates 44 ac into the corresponding epoxides $\mathbf{1 9}$ a-c using a three-step procedure in high overall yields ( $80-85 \%$ in three steps).

Thus, cyclic sulfates 44 acc were first treated with anhydrous LiBr , then with $20 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in ether to give bromoalcohol. It was then treated with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in MeOH at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the corresponding epoxides $\mathbf{1 9} \mathbf{a - c}$.


Fig. 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectra of epoxide 19 c

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{1 9 c}$ showed signals at $\delta 2.73-2.76, \delta 2.87-2.92, \delta 3.32-3.36, \delta$ 3.91-3.99 (dd, $J=4.11$ and 12.14 Hz ) for 1 H and $\delta 4.15-4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.11$ and 12.14 Hz$)$ for 1 H , which indicate the presence of the epoxide functionality. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum also showed the upfield shift in case of the carbons belonging to O-side chain (Fig. 5). The mass spectrum showed very strong $\mathrm{M}^{+}$peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 164$ confirming the formation of epoxide 19c.

The epoxides 19 ac were then subjected to regiospecific nucleophilic attack with isopropyl amine to furnish the corresponding $\beta$-blockers 1, 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ in excellent yields (99\%). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed a doublet at $\delta 1.08-1.11$ for two methyls of isopropyl group and multiplets in the region $\delta 2.76-3.01$ and $\delta 4.08-4.19$ confirming the formation of (S)-propranolol (1) (Fig 7). Similarly ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2 is shown in Fig. 6.


Fig. 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of (S)-moprolol


Fig. 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and Mass spectra of compound (S)-propranolol

### 2.0.9 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a simple and efficient method for the asymmetric synthesis of three $\beta$-blockers namely (S)-propranolol (1) [66.6\% overall yield, $90 \%$ ee], (S)-moprolol (2) [74\% overall yield, 68\% ee] and (S)-toliprolol (3) [77.3\% overall yield, $78 \%$ ee] in eight steps starting from the corresponding phenols $\mathbf{4 5}$ a-c. We have also demonstrated a simple and efficient method for the conversion of cyclic sulfates $44 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}$ to the corresponding epoxides 19 a-c in high yields ( $80-85 \%$ overall yield in three steps) using a three-step procedure.

### 2.0.10 Experimental Section

## Preparation of allyl phenyl ethers 30 a-c:

A mixture of one of the phenols 45 atc ( 10 mmol ), allylbromide ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.07 \mathrm{~g} 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry acetone ( 20 ml ) was refluxed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 20 h (reactions monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture then cooled to room temperature, filtered through sintered funnel to remove solid residue and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by column chromatography using pet. ether: EtOAc (9:1) as eluent to get pure allyl phenyl ethers $\mathbf{3 0} \mathbf{a - c}$ in $97-99 \%$ yield.
Allyl 1 -naphthyl ether (30a): Yield: $97 \%$; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 744, 927, 999, 1012, 1028, 1125, 1200, 1260, 1458, 1520, 2829, 2930; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 4.68$ (d, $J=4.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.08-6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-$ $7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.76-7.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.29-8.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 68.69$, 104.94, 117.07, 120.26, 122.03, 125.08, 125.70, 126.29, 127.36, 133.24, 134.49, 154.23;

Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, 84.75; H, 6.57; found C, $84.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.51 \%$.
Allyl 2 -methoxyphenyl ether (30b): Yield: 99\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 742, 927, 997, 1026, 1124, 1224, 1251, 1454, 1504, 1593, 2835, 2935; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H - N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~} 3$ ): $\delta$ $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-6.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$;
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 55.58,69.55,111.58,113.41,117.53,120.49,121.01$, 133.22, 147.81, 149.27; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 164 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 80$ ), 149 (10), 123 (94), 109 (25), 95 (100), 80 (30), 77 (95), 65 (25); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 73.15 ; H, 7.37; found C, $73.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.34 \%$.

Allyl 3-methylphenyl ether (30c): Yield: 97\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 670, 738, 780, 927, 1020, 1127, 1224, 1260, 1458, 1490, 1510, 1594, 2859, 2945; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.49-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.97-6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-$ $6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 21.39,68.52,111.49,115.49$, 117.26, 121.56, 129.07, 133.40, 139.29, 158.55; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 148 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50$ ), 133 (60), 119 (65), 105 (70), 91 (100), 77 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 81.04 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.16; found C, 81.12; H, 8.21\%.

## Preparation of 1-(aryloxy)-2,3-dihydroxypropane 18 a-c:

A 100 ml RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}(5.92 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.48$ $\mathrm{g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{PHAL}(0.192 \mathrm{~g}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $t-\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1,60 \mathrm{ml})$ and the resulting mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. It was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a
solution of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(256 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.124 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 minutes and then one of the olefins 30 acc ( 6 mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $20-22 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). It was quenched with sodium sulfite ( 4.0 g ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 25$ $\mathrm{ml})$. Combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 20 ml ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using $50 \%$ EtOAc in pet. ether as eluent to yield pure diols 18 acc as white solids in $94-98 \%$ yield.
(2S)-1-(1-Naphthoxy)-2,3-propanediol (18a)


Yield: $96 \%$; mp: $113-114^{0} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+6.10($ c $1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}),,\left[\right.$ lit. ${ }^{26}+4.01$ (c $\left.1.1, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$, $60 \%$ ee]; HPLC: $91 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: (R): $13.23 \mathrm{~min} .(\mathrm{S}): 16.55 \mathrm{~min} . ;$ IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 740,780,845,993,1020,1130,1257$, 1379, 1390, 1458, 1515, 1598, 2845, 2910, 3280; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.55$ (bs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.77-7.81 (m, 1H), 8.24-8.29 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 63.75,69.13,70.44$, 104.86, 120.34, 121.77, 124.98, 125.43, 125.65, 126.20, 127.27, 134.32, $154.21 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): 218 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 70$ ), 144 (100), 127 (10), 115 (43), 89 (7), 77 (5); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 71.54 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.47$; found $\mathrm{C}, 71.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49 \%$.
(2S)-1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-propanediol (18b): Yield: 94\%; mp: $101-102^{0} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +6.70 (c 1.1, MeOH), $73 \%$ ee $\left[\right.$ lit. ${ }^{35}+5.8$ (c 1.1, MeOH), $63 \%$ ee]; HPLC: $73 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{hexane}, 1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: (R): 11.18 min . (S): 15.21 min.; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 744, 837, 993, 1022, 1128, 1257, 1377, 1456, 1510, 1593, 2854, 2953, 3234; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 3.75-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.17(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 6.90-6.97 (m, 4 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 55.98,63.22,69.83,70.68$, 111.55, 113.65, 120.63, 121.18, 147.76, 148.97, 159.45; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 198 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 28\right), 149$ (10), 124 (100), 109 (80), 77 (13); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.60$; H , 7.12; found C, 60.56 ; H, 7.14\%.
(2S)-1-(3-Methylphenyl)-2,3-propanediol (18c): Yield: 98\%; mp: $61-62^{0} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathrm{D}:+$ 7.86 (c 1, EtOH) $80 \%$ ee $\left[\mathrm{lit} .{ }^{36}+9.5\right.$ (c 1, EtOH) $97 \%$ ee]; HPLC: $80 \%$ ee, Chiralcel ODH, $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: (R): 19.18 min . (S): 24.15 min .; IR
$\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 690,775,933,1055,1159,1259,1290,1453,1490,1585,1602,2877$, 2927, 3390; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.25(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H), 6.66-6.85 (m, 3H), 7.20-7.30 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.21,63.45$, 68.81, 70.44, 111.24, 115.19, 121.75, 129.02, 139.27, 158.27; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $182\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 30\right), 133$ (12), 121 (18), 109 (100), 92 (23), 77 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 65.92 ; H, 7.74; found C, $65.86 ;$ H, $7.79 \%$.


Fig. 10: HPLC chromatogram of diol 18c

## Preparation of cyclic sulfates 44 a-c:

[A] To a solution of one of the diols 18 ac ( 4 mmol ) and triethylamine ( $2.21 \mathrm{ml}, 16$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly distilled thionyl chloride $(0.44 \mathrm{ml}, 6$ mmol ) drop-wise under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ for 30-45 minutes (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of cold water ( 10 ml ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ Evaporation of solvent under reduced pressure yielded pale yellow colored liquid, which was purified by the column chromatography using $10 \%$ EtOAc in pet. ether as a eluent to afford the corresponding cyclic sulfite $\mathbf{5 8}$ ac as viscous yellow liquid in 96-99\% yield.
(4S)-4-(1-Naphthoxymethyl)-1,3,2-dioxathiolane-2-oxide (58a)


Yield: $96 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : +80.51 (c 1.2, EtOH); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 750, 972, 1125, 1208, 1277, 1319, 1402, 1470, 1584, 2800, 2920; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.22-5.39(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 6.73-6.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.78-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$

NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 66.83,67.52,68.47,69.06,78.03,80.01,104.79,104.94$, $121.29,121.66,125.23,125.52,126.62,127.47,134.42,153.46 ;$ MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $264\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 55\right), 157$ (48), 143 (100), 121 (30), 115 (21), 89 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ requires C, 59.08; H, 4.58; S, 12.13; found C, 59.12; H, 4.67; S, 12.21\%.
(4S)-4-[(2-Methoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,3,2-dioxathiolane -2-oxide (58b): Yield: 99\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathrm{D}:+51.50$ (c 2.2, EtOH ); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCb}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 578, 667, 757, 1027, 1126, 1217, 1255, 1456, 1506, 1593, 3016, 3056; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.86$ (s, 3H), 4.01$5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 55.72,68.47,68.69$, $70.35,77.85,79.94,112.47,116.15,120.85,123.06,147.47,150.15 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): 244 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 70$ ), 137 (25), 123 (80), 109 (52), 95 (62), 77 (100), 65 (15); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{5}$ requires C, 49.17; H, 4.95; S, 13.13; found C, 49.21; H, 4.91; S, $13.21 \%$
(4S)-4-[(3-methylphenyl)methyl]-1,3,2-dioxathiolane -2-oxide (58c): Yield: 99\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}:+60.26$ (c 1.2, EtOH); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 688,738,773,854,962,1053,1161$, 1211, 1261, 1290, 1454, 1490, 1585, 1602, 2923, 2952, 3035; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.68-6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.20 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $2.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.28,66.16,68.03,68.62,69.65,77.77$, 79.87, 111.26, 115.30, 122.47, 129.23, 139.60, 157.76; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 228 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 70\right), 147$ (10), 135 (23), 121 (95), 108 (40), 91 (100), 77 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ requires C, $52.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.30 ; \mathrm{S}, 14.05$; found C, $52.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.27 ; \mathrm{S}, 14.16 \%$.
[B] To a solution of one of the cyclic sulfites 58 ac ( 3 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture ( 9 : $1,8 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ was added solid $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.963 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for $30-40$ minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (monitored by TLC). After the reaction was completed, it was filtered through a pad of celite. Solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to give the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography using pet. ether: EtOAc (8:2) as eluent to afford cyclic sulfates 44 a-c in $94-98 \%$ yield.
(4S)-4-[(1-Naphthoxymethyl]-1,3,2-dioxathiolane-2,2-dioxide (44a)


Yield: 94\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +17.4 (c 0.5, EtOH); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 651, 753, 984, 1024, 1130, 1190, 2113, 1255, 1398, 1460, 1510, 1600, 2854, 2940; ¹H-NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.25-4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}_{3}$ : $\delta 66.52,68.36,77.99,104.75,121.15,121.48,125.08,125.45$, 126.51, 127.36, 134.31, 153.38; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $280\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 157$ (55), 144 (56), 137 (25), 123 (28), 118 (10), 91 (8); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{5}$ requires C, 55.71; H, 4.32; S, 11.44; found C, 55.56; H, 4.29; S, 11.42\%.
(4S)-4-[(2-Methoxyphenyl)methyl]-1,3,2-dioxathiolane -2,2-dioxide (44b): Yield: 97\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}:+20.12$ (c 1, EtOH); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 651,754,819,981,1026,1126$, 1178, 1213, 1255, 1392, 1456, 1506, 1595, 2839, 2935, 3018; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{t}, J=6.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.23 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 2.12 Hz , 2H), 5.22-5.28 (m, 1H), 6.94-7.10 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 55.68,68.03$, 69.76, 79.28, 112.43, 116.92, 120.93, 123.65, 146.91, 150.22; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 216$ (5), 137 (45), 123 (65), 109 (58), 95 (46), 77 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{6}$ requires C, 46.15; H, 4.65; S, 12.32; found C, $46.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.63 ; \mathrm{S}, 12.26 \%$.
(4S)-4-[(3-methylphenyl)methyl]-1,3,2-dioxathiolane -2,2-dioxide (44c): Yield: 98\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+21.39$ (c 1, EtOH); IR (CHCk, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 652, 750, 819, 944, 1097, 1208, 1291, 1347, 1444, 1584, 2431, 2926, 3020; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.01-4.14 (m, 2H), 4.45-4.51 (m, 1H), 4.78-4.86 (m, 1H), 5.23-5.28 (m, 1H), 6.68-6.84 (m, 3H), 7.14-7.26 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.24,65.53,69.54,79.13$, 111.37, 115.45, 122.87, 129.31, 139.82, 157.43; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $244\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 23\right)$, 228 (16), 147 (10), 121 (96), 108 (92), 91 (100), 77 (15); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{5}$ requires C, 49.17; H, 4.95; S, 13.13; found C, 49.21; H, 4.86; S, 13.06\%.

## Preparation of epoxides 19 a-c:

[A] To a solution of one of the cyclic sulfates $44 \mathbf{a c}(2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 15 ml ) was added anhydrous $\mathrm{LiBr}(1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for $40-50$ minutes (monitored by TLC for the disappearance of cyclic sulfate) at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. In the resulting residue diethyl ether ( 25 ml ) and $20 \% \mathrm{H} S O_{4}(25 \mathrm{ml})$ were added and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 45 h (monitored by TLC). After completion of the reaction the two layers were separated, the aqueous layer extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ), combined organic extracts were washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure to give the corresponding bromoalcohols.
[B] The crude bromoalcohol ( 2 mmol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{ml})$ and treated with anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.10 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at 0 C . The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h (monitored by TLC). After completion the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 10 ml ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 15 \mathrm{ml})$, washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, evaporated under reduced pressure to give crude product. It was then purified by column chromatography using pet. ether: $\operatorname{EtOAc}$ (8:2) as eluents to give pure epoxides 19 a-c as oil in $91-93 \%$ yield.

## (2S)-3-(1-Naphthyloxy)-1,2-epoxypropane (19a)



Yield: $80 \%$ overall in two steps; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +10.91 (c 1.3, EtOH); IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 748, 790, 916, 1021, 1123, 1190, 1240, 1260, 1454, 1510, 1590, 2890, 2990; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}^{2}\right): \delta 2.75-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=12.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $2.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.26-8.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (50 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta 44.51,50.09,68.84,104.94,120.74,121.92,125.23,125.63,126.40$, 127.36, 134.45, 154.15; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $200\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 157$ (28), 144 (65), 127 (18), 115 (53), 89 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 77.98 ; H, 6.04; found C, 77.96; H, 6.04\%.
(2S)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)-1,2epoxypropane (19b): Yield: 85\% overall in two steps; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}:+9.83$ (c 1.2, EtOH); IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 746, 779, 916, 1027, 1124, 1180, 1224, 1255, 1454, 1504, 1593, 2837, 2929, 3001; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R ~(200 ~ M H z, ~ C D C B): ~ \delta ~ 2.72-~$ $2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.11 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $4.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{t}, J=4.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $4.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 44.76,50.13,55.97$, 70.39, 112.54, 115.54, 120.96, 122.10, 148.31, 150.04; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 180 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 98\right), 150(13), 137$ (20), 124 (100), 109 (80), 95 (37), 81 (30), 77 (43), 65 (21); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 66.65; $\mathrm{H}, 6.71$; found C, $66.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.81 \%$.
(2S)-3-(3-Methylphenyl)-1,2-epoxypropane (19c): Yield: $84 \%$ overall in two steps; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}:+13.43$ (c 2.2, EtOH); IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 690, 775, 860, 900, 1041, 1053, 1161, 1261, 1290, 1454, 1488, 1585, 1602, 2871, 2923, 2999; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.73-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.91-$
$3.99(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $3.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $4.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.71-6.80 (m, 3H), 7.13-7.25 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.46,44.69,50.13$, 68.66, 111.52, 115.56, 112.07, 129.23, 139.56, 158.53; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 164 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 134$ (13), 119 (30), 108 (98), 91 (93), 77 (91), 65 (31), 57 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 73.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.37$; found $\mathrm{C}, 73.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.42 \%$.

## Preparation of (S)-propranolol (1), (S)-moprolol (2) and (S)-toliprolol (3) via opening of epoxides $19 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ :

One of the epoxides $19 \mathbf{a - b}$ ( 1.5 mmol ) was dissolved in isopropylamine ( 10 ml ) and refluxed in presence of) water ( 1 drop ) for 1 h . Excess of isopropylamine was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was recrystallized from $n$-hexane to afford pure 1 and 2.


Yield: $99 \%$; mp: $73-74^{0} \mathrm{C}$, (lit. ${ }^{32} 72-73^{0} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25}$ D: -9.00 (c $0.5, \mathrm{EtOH}$ ), $90 \%$ ee $\left[\right.$ lit. ${ }^{52}-$ 9.9 (c $0.5, \mathrm{EtOH})$ ]; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 570,667,750,1029,1120,1175,1210,1240,1450$, 1500, 1594, 2930, 2960, 3300, 3432; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 7.76-7.81 (m, 1 H$), 8.22-8.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.86,48.77,49.62$, $68.44,70.75,104.86,120.45,121.77,125.08,125.48,125.70,126.29,127.39,134.42$, 154.30; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 259 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3$ ), 144 (13), 115 (20), 84 (100), 72 (50), 69 (23), 56 (33); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires C, $74.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.16$; $\mathrm{N}, 5.40$; found $\mathrm{C}, 74.25 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.16; N, 5.30\%.
(S)-(-)-Moprolol (2): Yield: $98 \%$; mp: $8485^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (lit. ${ }^{32}: 82-83^{0} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : -3.90 (c 4.5 , EtOH ), $68 \%$ ee $\left[\mathrm{lit} .^{32}-5.6\right.$ (c 4.5, EtOH)]; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 667,757,1029,1124,1178$, 1217, 1253, 1454, 1506, 1593, 2933, 2966, 3313, 3400; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 1.07 (d, $J=6.09 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.69-2.90 (m, 5H), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.97$ (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.04,48.84,49.39,55.94,68.62,73.18,112.43$, 115.30, 121.11, 121.95, 148.60, 150.15; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 239 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5\right), 224$ (10), 195 (52), 124 (7), 109 (6), 77 (12), 72 (100), 56 (12); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ requires C, $65.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.84 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.85$; found C, $65.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.64 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.75 \%$.

In case of $19 \mathbf{c}$, the resulting gum after evaporation of isopropylamine was dissolved in ether and dry HCl gas was passed through it for 15 minutes, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and resulting solid recrystallized from $\mathrm{MeOH}+\mathrm{EtOAc}$ to afford (S)-toliprolol (3) as its hydrochloride salt.
(S)-(-)-Toliprolol hydrochloride (3): Yield: 99\%; mp: 117-118, (lit. ${ }^{14}: 119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}$ : 21.54 (c 1.01, EtOH) $78 \%$ ee [lit. ${ }^{14}$ - 27.4 (c $\left.\left.1.01, \mathrm{EtOH}\right)\right]$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 694, 775, 968, 1062, 1110, 1257, 1294, 1379, 1461, 1488, 1585, 1612, 2711, 2852, 2933, 3257, 3303; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94$ $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.71-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.50(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.57$ (bs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.67,18.85,21.20,47.85,51.20,65.53,69.32$, 111.22, 115.19, 121.88, 129.01, 139.30, 158.05; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $259\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right)$, 236 (30), 223 (9), 208 (13), 179 (19), 108 (7), 91 (10), 72 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 60.11 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.54 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 13.65 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.39$; found $\mathrm{C}, 60.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.55 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 13.75 ; \mathrm{N}$, $5.41 \%$.
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## CHAPTER 3

Applications of $\operatorname{Pd}$ (II) Complexes in Synthesis of (S)- $\alpha$ - Ary $\operatorname{Propionic}$ Acids and $\mathcal{C}-\mathcal{C}$ Bond

Formation

# Asymmetric Synthesis of (S)- $\alpha$-Arylpropionic Acids via Pd-Catalyzed Kinetic Resolution of Secondary Alcohols 

### 3.0.1 Introduction

$\alpha$-Arylpropionic acids (A) have emerged as an important class of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents during the past three decades. ${ }^{1}$ The therapeutic efficiency of this class of drugs is well demonstrated by the introduction and extensive use of more than a dozen compounds such as naproxen (1), ibuprofen (2), ketoprofen (4), and flurbiprofen (5) as drugs (Fig. 1). All compounds of this class have a similar mode of action i. e. they stop the arachidonic acid cascade to prostaglandins and thromboxane $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ by cyclooxygenase inhibition, which are responsible for the inflammation mechanism.
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Fig. 1

However, in recent years use of enantiomerically pure drugs in chemotherapy has became mandatory not only to realize enhanced specificity of drug action but also to avoid the possible toxicity and undesired load on the metabolism by the other enantiomer. This is also true in case of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids. It is known that in case of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, the (S)isomer is more active than the (R)-isomer. ${ }^{2}$ For example, (S)-naproxen [(S)-2-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl)-propionic acid, 1] is about 28 times more active than its (R)-isomer. ${ }^{2}$ This awareness led to great synthetic efforts to obtain optically pure compounds of this class.

### 3.0.1.1 Kinetic Resolution, an overview

Kinetic resolution (KR) may be defined as a process in which one of the enantiomer constituents of a racemic mixture is more readily transformed into a product than is the other (enantioselective reaction).


Kinetic resolution occurs if $k_{R} \neq k_{S}$ and the reaction is stopped at some stage between $0 \%$ to $100 \%$ conversion. An ideal situation is that in which only one enantiomer reacts, for e.g. $\mathbf{R}\left(k_{R} \gg 1_{\mathbf{S}}\right)$ so that at $50 \%$ conversion, a mixture of $50 \%$ (S)-enantiomer and $50 \%$ product $\mathbf{P}$ is obtained. The difference in specific rate constants originates because a chiral catalyst or a chiral reagent mediates the transformation. The products $\mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{Q}$ can be achiral (identical or not) or chiral (with or without the incorporation of moiety derived from the chiral reagent). The nature of products is irrelevant to the kinetic resolution process itself, where one looks mainly for the enantiomeric excess of recovered starting material. KR essentially requires the partial transformation of a racemic mixture in contrast to the classical methods of resolution, which usually involve complete transformation of a racemic mixture into a mixture of diastereomers.

For the production of enantiomerically pure substances, KR is generally regarded as a poor cousin to asymmetric synthesis. Kinetic resolution (KR) suffers from the disadvantage that at least half of the starting material is lost. There is one striking advantage KR holds over asymmetric synthesis. The enantiomeric excess (ee) realized in asymmetric synthesis simply a consequence of the energy difference $\left.\Delta G^{\#}\right)$ between two diastereomeric transition states; the only way to improve the \%ee is to increase that energy difference. KR too depends on there being an energy difference between diastereomeric
transition states, but the manner in which that energy difference is expressed is unique to kinetic resolutions. The energy difference, manifested as a relative rate difference, represents a constant and unrelenting differential pressure upon the two enantiomers. This process should continue until the last molecule of more reactive enantiomer is swept away and one is left with a substance possessed of absolute enantiomeric purity. This concept of being able to achieve absolute enantiomeric purity in kinetic resolutions by removal of the last molecule of the fast reacting enantiomer has attracted chemists to use it as a tool for the preparation of optically active compounds. The realization that kinetic resolutions can lead to extremely high, if not absolute, optical purities is clearly evident from large number of publications occurring in this field. ${ }^{3}$

### 3.0.1.2 Oxidative Kinetic Resolution of alcohols

Although excellent catalytic enantioselective methods exist for a variety of oxidation processes such as epoxidation, ${ }^{4}$ dihydroxylation, ${ }^{5}$ and aziridination, ${ }^{6}$ there are relatively few catalytic enantioselective examples of ubiquitous alcohol oxidation. ${ }^{7}$ A recent advance in this regard is the catalytic oxidative kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols using molecular oxygen as the terminal stoichiometric reoxidant. ${ }^{8}$ The use of molecular oxygen in combination with a catalytic metal complex has exceptional advantages for applications in organic synthesis. ${ }^{9}$ This is partly due to the favorable economics associated with molecular oxygen and the formation of environmentally benign byproducts in the oxidation manifold (water and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ). Recently Pd-catalyzed kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols that uses molecular oxygen as the terminal oxidant and naturally occurring diamine $(-)$-sparteine as a chiral ligand has been reported (Scheme 1). ${ }^{10}$

$\pm$ )
Scheme 1: (i) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2},(-)$ sparteine, $\mathrm{MS} 3 \AA \AA^{\circ}, \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(1\right.$ atm.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.0.2 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that there are various methods known for the synthesis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids. Most of these methods make use of enzymatic resolution or asymmetric catalysis to create the chiral center.

## Wilke's approach (1984) ${ }^{11}$

Hydrovinylation reactions discovered by Wilke et al. ${ }^{1 \text { a a }}$ in the late sixties has been a useful reaction for the homologation of ole fins. The same authors have demonstrated the synthetic utility of this reaction in obtaining optically pure (S)(+)-2 (Scheme 2). ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ The primary product 6 obtained by the addition of ethylene to $p$-isobutylstyrene on ozonolysis furnished the chiral aldehyde 7 with high enantiomeric purity. The aldehyde 7 was then transformed to (S)-(+)-ibuprofen (2).


Scheme 2: (i) $\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{L}^{*} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4},-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$; (iii) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$.

## Hiyama's approach (1985)

Hiyama et al. ${ }^{12}$ carried out the reaction of 3penten-2-yl-pivalate (9) with different aryl magnesium bromides in the presence of NiCl -(S,S)-chiraphos catalyst and obtained high optical yields in C-C bond formation. Thus, the reaction of 6-methoxy-2-naphthyl magnesium bromide (8) with 3-pentene-2-yl-pivalate (9) using $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}[(2 \mathrm{~S}, 3 \mathrm{~S})$-bis(diphenylphosphino) butane] as a catalyst [abbreviated as NiCl -(S,S)-chiraphos] afforded
olefin 10 which on oxidative cleavage of the double bond lead to (S)-(+)-naproxen (1) in $62 \%$ yield and 64\% ee (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: (i) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~S})$-chiraphos, THF, RT; (ii) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$

## Piccolo's approach (1985)

Piccolo et al. ${ }^{13}$ have provided first example of FriederCrafts alkylation with an acyclic chiral alkylating reagent $\mathbf{1 1}$ leading to high orders of enantioselectivity. Lactic acid derivative viz. (S)-2-(mesyloxy)propanoate (11) was used as alkylating reagent for the alkylation of isobutylbenzene to obtain (S)-(+)-2 in excellent $98 \%$ optical yield but moderate chemical yield (40-80\%) (Scheme 4 ).


Scheme 4: (i) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, RT; (ii) hydrolysis.
(S)-2-(4'-isobutylphenyl)propionic acid $[(S)$ ibuprofen (2)] and (S)-2-(6'-methoxy-2'-naphthyl)propionic acid [(S)-naproxen (1)] were synthesized in $82 \%$ and $96 \%$ optical purity respectively. ${ }^{14}$ Optically pure (S)-2-chloropropionyl chloride on FriedelCrafts reaction with the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{H}$ ( $\mathrm{Ar}=4$ isobutylbenzene or 6-methoxy-2-naphthyl) gave the corresponding 1-chloroethyl ketone $\mathbf{1 2}$, which was then converted to acetals $\mathbf{1 3}$ or $\mathbf{1 4}$. $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$-catalyzed stereospecific rearrangement of optically active acetals $\mathbf{1 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ to corresponding esters was used as the key step for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ in good optical purity (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5: (i) (S)-2-chloropropionyl chloride, $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$; (ii) trimethylorthoformate, MeOH ; (iii) 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-propanediol, azeotropic distillation; (iv) ZnCb ; (v) $30-37 \%$ aqueous HCl , acetone.

## Noyori's approach (1987) ${ }^{15}$

The Monsanto process is one of the industrial processes for the synthesis of $\alpha$ arylpropionic acids using electrochemistry. One of the biggest advantages of this process is that the key "process reagent" the electron, is environmental friendly and is quite economical. The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acid $\mathbf{1 6}$ was prepared from ketone $\mathbf{1 5}$ in three steps and was reduced using a chiral catalyst. The recent Monsanto naproxen process Scheme 6) involves two key steps; electrocarboxylation and asymmetric hydrogenation using Rur catalyst $\mathbf{1 7}$ to afford (S)-naproxen (1) in $98.5 \%$ ee. Even at lower pressure (upto 500 psi ) the reaction gives good ee.


Scheme 6: (i) $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, electrolysis at anode, Pb cathode; (ii) $\mathrm{HO}^{+}$; (iii) dehydration; (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (135 atm.), Ru(II)-BINAP (17), MeOH, $98.5 \%$ ee.

## Stille's approach (1987) ${ }^{16}$

Stille and cowokers have carried out hydroformylation using chiral catalyst $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}[(-)-\mathrm{BPPM}], \quad(2 \mathrm{~S}, \quad 4 \mathrm{~S})-\mathrm{N}$-(t-butoxycarbonyl)-4-(diphenylphosphino)-2-(diphenylphosphino)-methyl pyrrolidine i.e. BPPM (19) in the presence of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{2}$ to get the chiral aldehyde 18. The aldehyde 15 after oxidation afforded the desired acid (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}(2700 \mathrm{psi}), 19, \mathrm{SnCl}_{2}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 9 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$ conversion; (ii) pyridinium $p$-toluene sulfonate; (iii) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$.

## Fuji's approach (1989)

Synthesis of optically active $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids via diastereoselective alkylation of binaphthyl esters of arylacetic acids have been reported by Fuji et al. ${ }^{17}$ Significant diastereoselectivity ( $92-96 \%$ ) in the alkylation of the ester $\mathbf{2 0}$ containing the free hydroxy group leading to 21 and 22 while the corresponding methyl ether 23 furnished 1:1 mixture of diastereomers 24 and 25 (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8: (i) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$; (ii) LDA, THF, HMPA, MeI, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) $\mathrm{H}^{+}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, recrystallization.

## Larsen's approach (1989) ${ }^{18}$

Tertiary amine mediated addition of the chiral alcohols such as (S)-ethyl lactate, (R)-iso-butyl lactate or (R)-pentolactone to ketenes 27 prepared from corresponding 2arylpropionic acids provided the respective esters in 94-98\% diastereomeric excess (de). The (S) and (R)-hydroxy esters afforded the S and R stereochemistry at the benzylic carbon respectively. Synthesis of (S)-ibuprofen (2) using this method is shown in Scheme 9. The naproxen ester [ $\mathrm{Ar}=(6$-methoxy-2-naphthyl)] was obtained with a de of $80 \%$.


Scheme 9: (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(110 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{DMF}\left(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), heptane or toluene, $50-55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) Me N or Me NEt ( $300 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{R} * \mathrm{OH}$ ( $120 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), toluene, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) 3-(dimethylamino) propylamine ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{AcOH}-2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or LiOH , heptane- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Tokano's approach (1989) ${ }^{19}$

The enantiodivergent synthesis of both enantiomers of ibuprofen (2), from a common starting material, (2S, 3S)-3-phenylglycerol (28) is reported using Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation as the key step (Scheme 10).



Scheme 10: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$, , + )-DET, TBHP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Mes}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuCNLi}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{CHCOCl}^{2}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$; (vi) $\mathrm{NH}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{KOH}$, $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)_{2}, 180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) $\mathrm{RuCl} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeCN}^{2} / \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:2:3)
Giordano's approach (1989) ${ }^{20}$

A synthesis of (S)-naproxen (1), with tartaric acid as chiral auxiliary is reported. Enantiomerically pure naproxen (1) was obtained by using the differential rate of rearrangement vs an intermolecular carboxylate alkylation (Scheme 11). This procedure has been applied by the Zambon Company of Italy to prepare (S)-(+)-naproxen (1) commercially.


Scheme 11: (i) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$, (2R, 3R)-diethyl tartarate, triethyl orthoformate, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{PhNO}_{2}$, toluene, $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{AgBF}_{4}, \mathrm{EDC}, 15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, conc. HCl , water, $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Alper's approach (1990) ${ }^{21}$

(S)-Naproxen (1) and (S)-ibuprofen (2) was synthesized in 89-64\% yields and 91$83 \%$ optical purity by the $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$-catalyzed carbonylation of olefins in the presence of (S)BNPPA [(S)-(+)-2,2'-(1,1'-binaphthyl)phosphoric acid, 34]. The hydrocarbonylation reaction is completely regiospecific and proceeds at room temperature and 1 atm . pressure (Scheme 12).

$1 \mathrm{Ar}=$ 6-methoxy-2-naphthyl
$2 \mathrm{Ar}=4$-isobutylbenzene


Scheme 12: (i) $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$, THF, (S)-(+)-BNPPA (34), $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$.

## Hamon's approach (1990)

Hamon et al. ${ }^{22}$ subjected the enantiomerically pure epoxide 36, obtained by Sharpless epoxidation from the olefin 35, to hydrogenolysis. The resulting diol $\mathbf{3 7}$ on oxidative cleavage afforded (S)-2-phenylpropionic acid (3) as an intermediate for (S)ibuprofen (2) (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: (i) LAH ; (ii) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{Pr}}\right)_{4}$, (+)-DET, TBHP, $85 \%$ ee; (iii) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{NaOH},-45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) $\mathrm{RuCl} .3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$.

Hamon et al. ${ }^{23}$ have synthesized (S)-ibuprofen (2) in $95 \%$ ee. Control of the stereochemistry was achieved by a combination of Sharpless epoxidation of olefin 38 followed by catalytic hydrogenolysis of benzylic epoxide oxygen bond (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14: (i) triethylphosphono acetate, LiOEt; (ii) LAH; (iii) Ti(O'Pr) ${ }_{4}$ (+)-DET, TBHP, $98 \%$ ee; (iv) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{NCS}$; (vi) acetone, H ; (vii) ${ }^{\text {ºb }} \mathrm{BuLi}$; (viii) isobutylalcohol; (ix) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$; (x) hydrogenolysis, $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$; (xi) $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$
(S)-Naproxen (1) was synthesized in high optical purity using Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD) as a key step. ${ }^{24} \mathrm{AD}$ of the corresponding methyl styrene 39 gave diol 40, which was then converted to epoxide 41 and then to $\mathbf{1}$ in $96 \%$ ee (Scheme 15).



Scheme 15: (i) Mg , acetone; (ii) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (iii) AD -mix- $\alpha$; (iv) TsCl , pyridine; (v) NaH ; (vi) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, trace ${ }^{-} \mathrm{OH},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) Jones oxidation.

## Sonawane's approach (1091) ${ }^{25}$

Treatment of 2-hydroxy acetals 42 with $\mathrm{PPh}_{B}$ and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ resulted in a stereospecific 1,2-aryl migration leading to asymmetric synthesis of ibuprofen and naproxen esters (Scheme 16).


Scheme 16: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$.

Sonawane et al. reported single-step efficient photochemical approach for the synthesis of (S)-ibuprofen (2) from the corresponding $\alpha$-chloropropiophenone 43 (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: (i) (S)-(+)- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$; (ii) $\mathrm{h} v$, aqueous acetone, propylene oxide.

## Kumar's approach (1991) ${ }^{26}$

A novel synthesis of chiral 2-phenylpropionic acids or esters via catalytic enantioselective protonation in the Michael addition of PhSH has been described. (S)Naproxen (1) has been prepared starting from naphthyl acrylate 44, by Michael addition
with PhSH in presence of $(-)$-quinine as catalyst, followed by Raney nickel desulfurization and hydrolysis of the Michael adduct (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18: (i) PhSH, ( - -quinine ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), toluene; (ii) Raney nickel, $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{HCl}$, crystallization.

## Gonzalez's approach (1991)

Gonzalez et al. ${ }^{27}$ have reported the preparation of (S)-naproxen (1) and (S)ibuprofen (2) based on asymmetric alkylation of oxazolidinones 45 (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, nitrobenzene, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) S , morpholine, reflux; (iii) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{HCl}(1: 1)$, reflux; (iv) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaOH}$; (v) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (vi) sodium oxazolidinone; (vii) LDA, THF, MeI, $-78^{0} \mathrm{C}$; (viii) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$.

## Fadel's approach (1992) ${ }^{28}$

The chiral imides (3-arylacetyl4-isopropyl/benzyl2-oxazolidinones (46), were alkylated via the imide enolates with methyl iodide, subsequent removal of the chiral auxiliary provided (S)-2-arylpropionic acids $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ in good yield and with high stereoselectivity (Scheme 20).


Scheme 20: (i) NaHMDS, MeI, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3},-78$ to $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) LiOOH, dioxane or LiOH, $\mathrm{THF}^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Brown's approach (1992) ${ }^{29}$

Methyl ester of (S)-naproxen was synthesized by the asymmetric pinacol-type rearrangement. The pinacoltype reaction of sec-tert-vicinal diol 47 provided aryl ketone 48, which was oxidized with NaOCl in presence of MeOH to give methyl ester of $\mathbf{1}$ in $97.3 \%$ ee (Scheme 21). This is the first report of the use of a haloform reaction in the synthesis of optically active $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids.



Scheme 21: (i) morpholine, reflux; (ii) a. ArLi, THF, $-78^{0} \mathrm{C}$ to RT ; b. $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$; (iii) 2.2 equiv. $\mathrm{MeMgCl}, \mathrm{THF},-10$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; iv) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vi) $\mathrm{NaOCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Sinisterra's approach (1993) ${ }^{30}$

One pot synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-2-arylpropionic acids was carried out by addition of dichlorocarbene to the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond of aryl methyl ketone 49 and hydrogenolysis of the addition product. The racemic mixture was resolved by enantiospecific hydrolysis of the racemic ethyl esters $\mathbf{5 0}$ using native lipase from Candida rugosa (Scheme 22)..



Scheme 22:
(i) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \rightleftarrows \mathrm{O}$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3} / \mathrm{ZnI}_{2}$; (iii) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3},{ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOK}$, (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$; (v) EtOH ; (vi) lipase C. rugosa, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Fronczek's approach (1993) ${ }^{31}$

Various chiral ruthenium complexes such as $\left[(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{BINAP}-\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}\right]$ and $\left[(\mathrm{DIOP}) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}\right]$ were prepared from appropriate phosphine and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$. Hydrogenation of 51 at about 1000 psig, afforded (S)-ibuprofen (2) in good ee (upto 90\%) (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23: (i) Rucatalyst, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 1000 psig), M eOH .

## Wan's approach (1994) ${ }^{32}$

A supported aqueous phase asymmetric hydrogenation catalyst, SAP-Ru-BINAP$4 \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}$ was synthesized and used for the hydrogenation of 2-(6'-methoxy-2'naphthyl)acrylic acid (16) to give (S)-naproxen (1) in $\sim 70 \%$ ee (Scheme 6).

## Camps's approach (1995)

Camps et al. ${ }^{33}$ have reported the reaction of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-methylareneacetyl chlorides 52 with (R)- or (S)-3-hydroxy-4,4-dimethyl-1-phenyl-2-pyrrolidinone 53 in the presence of triethylamine to give (R,R) or (S,S)-2-oxo-3-pyrrolidinyl- $\alpha$-methylareneacetates $\mathbf{5 4}$ respectively with high diastreoselectivity. Controlled acidic hydrolysis afforded the corresponding (R)- or (S)- $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids with high enantioselectivity, the chiral auxiliary being recovered efficiently (Scheme 24).


Scheme 24: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{AcOH}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Jung's approach (1997) ${ }^{34}$

Wittig reaction of 4 isobutylbenzaldehyde (prepared from isobutylbenzene in three steps) has afforded cinnamyl alcohol $\mathbf{5 5}$; Sharpless epoxidation, followed by Swern oxidation and methylation gave the key substrate 56. Rearrangement using triethylsilane afforded the desired alcohol 57 in $67 \%$ yield and $84 \%$ ee. A vinyl group migrates faster to a benzylic cation than an Ar group migrates to an allyl cation. Tosylation, reduction with super-hydride ${ }^{\circledR}$, and oxidative cleavage of the alkene with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}$ and periodate gave (S)ibuprofen (2) (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25: (i) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$; (ii) DIBAL; (iii) TBHP, (+)-DIPT, $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right)_{4}, 65 \%$ yield, $84 \%$ ee; (iv) Swern oxidation; (v) Wittig; (vi) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}, \mathrm{BF}_{3}: \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 67 \%$ yield, $84 \%$ ee; (vii) TsCl , pyridine; (viii) $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$; (ix) $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{CCl}_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 57 \%$ yield.

## Oppolzer's approach (1997) ${ }^{35}$

A very short, four step synthesis of (S)ibuprofen (2) was achieved in $57 \%$ overall yield and $95 \%$ ee, using a highly diastereoselective alkylation of the chiral enolate derived from N -(4-isobytylphenyl)acetyl bornanesultam $\mathbf{5 8}$ as the key step (Scheme 26).


Scheme 26: (i) $\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{AgNO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH} /$ trimethylorthoformate, $98 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{Me} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cb}_{2}$, reflux, $79 \%$; (iii) a. $n$ BuLi, THF; b. MeI, N,N'-dimethylpropyleneurea (DMPU); (iv) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 89 \%$.

## Bando's approach (1997) ${ }^{36}$

(S)-Naproxen (1) and (S)-ibuprofen (2) were synthesized by employing the lipasemediated asymmetric acetyaltion of prochiral 1-aryl-1,3-propanediol 59, which was
derived using Heck reaction as the key step (Scheme 27). Monoacetate 60 was then converted to the corresponding 2-arylpropionic acids ( $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ ) by tosylation, reduction and oxidative cleavage.



Scheme 27: (i) KI, CuI, HMPA, $150-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 82 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{IPy}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{3} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}, 100 \%$; (iii) 2 butyl-4,7-dihydro-1,3-dioxepin, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OAc}_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3},{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; (iv) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH},-78-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}$; (v) $P P L$, vinylacetate, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, RT; (vi) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 4 \mathrm{DMAP}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (vii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, DMSO, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (viii) $\mathrm{LAH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ix) Jones' oxidation, $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$.

## Sudalai's approach (1998) ${ }^{37}$

Asymmetric synthesis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids, naproxen (1), and ibuprofen (2) was achieved by employing Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation followed by the stereoselective hydrogenolysis of the chiral diols coupled with Jones oxidation as the key steps (Scheme 28).


Scheme 28: (i) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{AcCl}(80-95 \%)$; (ii) MeMgI , ether, $0^{0} \mathrm{C},\left(90-95 \%\right.$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, pyridine (90\%); (iv) AD mix- $\beta, 28 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{4}$, ethanol, reflux, 6 h ; (vi) Jones' reagent, 8 h .

## Ishibashi's approach (1999)

Ishibashi et al. ${ }^{38}$ synthesized 1 and 2 by hydrogenolysis of (S)-aryl-1,2-propanediol 61 prepared by AD of the corresponding $\alpha$-methyl styrene with AD -mix- $\alpha$ over Pearlman's catalyst to give (S)-2-aryl-1-propanol 62. The chiral alcohol 62 was further converted to $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$ by oxidative cleavage (Scheme 29).


Scheme 29: (i) AD-mix- $\alpha$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH}) / \mathrm{C}$; (iii) Jones' oxidation $\left(\mathrm{CrO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$.

## Cleij's approach (1999)

Cleij et al. ${ }^{39}$ investigated biohydrolysis of various $\alpha$-methylstyrene oxide derivatives 63 differently substituted at the aromatic ring using epoxide hydrolases from different origins. Using a combined chemoenzymic stategy they developed a four-step synthesis of (S)-ibuprofen (2) in optically pure form with a $47 \%$ overall yield (Scheme $30)$.


Scheme 30: (i) Aspergilus niger enzyme extract; (ii) $\mathrm{Pd}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$; (iii) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.

## Hodous's approach (1999) ${ }^{40}$

Arylpropionic acid esters were obtained in high yield and ee by reaction of arylketenes 64 with MeOH in presence of chiral azaferrocene catalyst 65 (Scheme 31).


Scheme 31: (i) $10 \%(+)-\mathbf{6 5}$, toluene, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2,6$-di-t-butylpyridinium triflate ( $12 \%$ ).

## Crudden's approach (1999)

Crudden et al. ${ }^{41}$ reported a new catalytic asymmetric one step one-carbon homologation strategy. Reaction of styrene using catalytic amounts of $\left[\operatorname{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2}\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$
and (S)-BINAP generated the boronate ester 66 asymmetrically which was then homologated with $\mathrm{LiCHCl}_{2}$ followed by oxidation to afford the (S)-phenylpropionic acid (3) in $91 \%$ ee (Scheme 32).

 (iv) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ oxidation.

## Carde's approach (2000) ${ }^{12}$

Poly-(D)-leucine-catalyzed epoxidation of chalcones 67 furnished (+)-epoxides 68, treatment of these epoxides with $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}$ followed by oxidative cleavage furnished (S)-2arylpropionic acids ( $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{3}$ ) (Scheme 33).


Scheme 33: (i) Poly-(L)-leucine adsorbrd on solica, urea- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, DBU, THF, upto $95 \%$ ee; (ii) $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{BH})_{4}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{0} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) $\mathrm{MeMgI}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (iv) Me Al (3 equiv.), hexane, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (v) $\mathrm{NaIO} / 4 \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ then Jones oxidation.

## Bhattacharya's approach (2000) ${ }^{43}$

The racemic anti-inflammatory drugs naproxen (1) and ibuprofen (2) were resolved to give corresponding (S)-isomers by reduction to their alcohols, acetylation of the alcohols, porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL) catalyzed deacetylation and then oxidation of the chiral alcohols (Scheme 34).

$\mathrm{Ar}=6$-methoxy-2-naphthyl 4-isobutylphenyl

1 or 2
Scheme 34: (i) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP; (iv) PPL, phosphate buffer, pH 8.0 , acetone; (v) PDC, DMF.

## Wang's approach (2001) ${ }^{44}$

(S)-2-(6-Methoxy-2-naphthyl)propionic acid [(S)-naproxen, 1] has been prepared by the reaction of 1-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl)propan-1-one (69) and D -sorbitol under $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$ catalysis, followed by hydrolysis (Scheme 35).


Scheme 35: (i) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, trimethoxy orthoformate, $\mathrm{DMF}, 130-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) periodate oxidation.

### 3.0.3 Present Work

### 3.0.3.1 Objective

Although there are various methods known in the literature for the synthesis of $\alpha$ arylpropionic acids, many of them make use of chiral starting materials, large number of steps, use of costly enzymes in case of kinetic resolution (KR)and often resulting in low overall yields However, there is no report on the application of metal catalyzed KR for the synthesis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids. Hence, we decided to explore the usefulness of Pd catalyzed KR of secondary alcohols for the enantioselective synthesis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids.

Retrosynthetic analysis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids is shown in Fig. 2. There are four possible ways $(a, b, c$ and $d)$ to disconnect $\alpha$-arylpropionic acid $\mathbf{A}$.

Asymmetric hydrocyanation


70


72

Asymmetric arylation


Asymmetric hydroformylation

Fig. 2: Retrosynthetic analysis of arylpropionic acid (A)

While route $a_{l}$ involves asymmetric hydroformylation of olefins, route $b$ asymmetric methylation, route $c$ asymmetric reduction of corresponding aryl acrylic acid, route $d$ constitutes asymmetric arylation reaction. Disconnection approach $a_{2}$ leads to precursor, chiral arylcyanide 72, which could be readily converted to $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids by simple hydrolysis. The chiral cyanide $\mathbf{7 2}$ could in turn be obtained from olefinic hydrocyanation or from chiral alcohol 70, readily prepared by Pd-catalyzed KR of secondary alcohols.

### 3.0.4 Results and Discussion

We present here a novel approach for the synthesis of three members of $\alpha$ arylpropionic acids: (S)-naproxen (1), (S)-ibuprofen (2) and (S)-phenylpropionic acid (3) by following the disconnection route $a_{2}$. Palladium-catalyzed kinetic resolution $(\mathrm{KR})$ of secondary alcohols constitutes the key step here. Detailed synthetic sequence is presented in Scheme 36.


70a: 6-methoxy-2-naphthyl (S)-70 a-c
70b: 4-isobutylphenyl
70c: phenyl

Yield 45-50\%
$88-98 \%$ ee


Scheme 36: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(-)$ sparteine ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}$.$) , toluene, \mathrm{MS} 3 \AA, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45-$ $50 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $-15^{0}$ to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70-88 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{NaCN}, \mathrm{DMF}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80-92 \%$; (iv) 4 N HCl , reflux, $78-83 \%$.
$( \pm)$-Secondary alcohols 70 a-c, readily prepared by Friedel-Crafts acylation, followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of the corresponding aromatic ketones, was used as the starting materials. Palladium-catalyzed KR of these ( $\pm$ )-alcohols 70 a-c using (-)sparteine as a chiral ligand in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the corresponding ( S )-(-)-alcohols 71 a-c in excellent optical purity (upto $98 \%$ ee) confirmed by both HPLC analysis using chiralcel OD column and the optical rotation. Typically, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 70 c showed a doublet at $\delta 1.42$ for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group and a quartet at $\delta 4.79$ for the benzylic CH proton. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ - NMR spectrum showed the required signals at $\delta 24.99$ and 69.83 for the homobenzylic and benzylic carbons respectively ( $\mathbf{F i g} .3$ ).


Fig. 3: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of chiral alcohol (S)-70c

Chiral (S)-(-)-alcohols (S)-70 a-c were then treated with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ in presence of pyridine as a scavenger to quench the HBr generated, to afford the bromo compounds ( $\mathbf{R}$ )71 a-c with complete inversion of configuration. ${ }^{45}$ This was possible as the reaction was done at a lower temperature $-15^{0}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and for a longer time (for $50-82 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in order to achieve complete cleavage of the intermediate phosphite esters and to achieve high enantiomeric excess of the bromo compounds ( $\mathbf{R}$ )-71 ac. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of ( $\mathbf{R}$ )71c showed a doublet at $\delta 1.98$ and a quartet at $\delta 5.11$, little downfield shift than the corresponding alcohol. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum also shows little dowrfield shift in case of homobenzylic $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group at $\delta$ 27.01, but the benzylic carbon shows an upfield shift at $\delta$ 49.03 (Fig 4).


Fig. 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of chiral bromide (R)-71c

Bromo compounds ( $\mathbf{R}$ )-71 ac were then treated with NaCN in DMF as solvent to yield the corresponding (S)-cyano compounds (S)-72 acc, again with complete inversion of configuration at benzylic carbon atom. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of (S)-72 $\mathbf{c}$ showed a doublet at $\delta 1.59$ and a quartet at $\delta 3.87$, upfield shift compared to (R)-71 c. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum also showed a drastic upfield shift as compared to (R)-71 c, for its homobenzylic and benzylic carbons occurring at $\delta 21.24$ and 31.02 respectively (Fig. 5).


Fig. 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of chiral nitrile (S)-72c

The cyano compounds (S)-72 ac were finally hydrolyzed using 4 N HCl to afford the corresponding (S)- $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids (1, 2 and 3) in good yields and excellent optical purity (ee upto $92 \%$ ). Their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra match very well with those reported in the literature [for (S)-1 see Fig. 6, Fig. 7 and for (S)-3 Fig. 8].


Fig. 6: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of (S)-naproxen (1)


Fig. 7: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of compound (S)-naproxen (1)


Fig. 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of (S)-phenylpropionic acid (3)

### 3.0.5 Conclusion

We have successfully demonstrated the use of a new methodology involving Pd catalyzed kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols, for the synthesis of $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids such as (S)-naproxen ( $27 \%$ overall yield, $90 \%$ ee), (S)-ibuprofen ( $22 \%$ overall yield,
$82 \%$ ee) and (S)-phenylpropionic acid ( $29 \%$ overall yield, $92 \%$ ee) in four steps starting from the corresponding $( \pm)$-secondary alcohols.

### 3.0.6 Experimental Section

## Preparation of (S)-70 a -c via Pd-catalyzed kinetic resolution of ( $\pm$ )-70 a-c:

Two-necked RB flask was charged with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$, (-)sparteine ( $0.234 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and toluene ( 12 ml ) and flushed with oxygen (five times) and was covered with $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ balloon. The contents of the flask were heated in an oil bath under $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ atmosphere at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $30-40$ minutes. Then solution of secondary alcohols $( \pm)-70$ ac ( 5 mmol ) in toluene ( 12 ml ) was added slowly at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $72-80 \mathrm{~h}$. Then it was allowed to cool to room temperature and filtered through the pad of silica gel and celite. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by column chromatography using $10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$ in pet. ether as eluent to afford enantiomerically pure alcohols (S)-(-)-70 a-c in 45 to $50 \%$ yields and the corresponding ketones. The ee was determined by HPLC as well as by optical rotation.
(S)-(-)-1-(6-Methoxy-1-naphthyl)ethanol (70a)


Yield: $47 \%$; mp: $62-63^{0} \mathbf{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : - 39.8 (c 2, EtOH); HPLC: $95 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OJ, $\lambda=$ $254 \mathrm{~nm}, 4 \%$ 2-propanol/hexane, $1 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$., Retention time: (S) 31.32 min . (R) 38.69 min .; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 761, 907, 1088, 1200, 1461, 1593, 2953, 3029, 3465; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{q}, J=6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64-7.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}$ : $\delta 23.62,56.08,65.61,113.17,122.84,123.35,125.41,126.33$, 128.39, 129.20, 131.11, 153.97, 159.41; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 202 ( ${ }^{+}, 20$ ), 187 (70), 163 (13), 157 (23), 144 (55), 127 (39), 115 (100), 89 (29), 77 (30), 62 (39); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $77.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.98$; found $\mathrm{C}, 77.14 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.10 \%$.


Fig. 9: HPLC chromatogram for alcohol (S)-70a
2-Acetyl-6-methoxynaphthalene : Yield: $51 \%$; mp: $68-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 688,994 , 761, 1360, 1456, 1583, 1599, 1690, 2857, 2951, 3087; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3,88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70-7.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}$ ): $\delta 32.30,55.94,112.43,123.46,123.72,124.82,127.25$, 127.87, 128.57, 130.12, 131.11, 153.68, 203.63; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 77.98 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.04; found C, 77.72 ; H, 6.09\%.
(S)-(-)-1-(4-Isobutylohenyl)ethanol (70b): Yield: 50\%; viscous liquid; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : - 38.9 (c $0.9, \mathrm{CHCb}_{3}$ ); HPLC: $88 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}, 3 \% \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{hexane}, 1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: (R) 14.60 min , (S) $16.52 \mathrm{~min} . ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 669,920,1080,1204$, 1461, 1493, 1540, 2903, 3029, 3382; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $6.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 22.49,25.10,30.28,45.20,70.20,125.23,129.16,140.74,143.27$, MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 178 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 20$ ), 162 (100), 134 (33), 122 (30), 120 (37), 117 (40), 114 (27), 90 (53); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, 80.85; H, 10.18; found C, $80.63 ; \mathrm{H}, 10.21 \%$.
4-Acetyl-isobutylbenzene: Yield: $45 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 698,991,761,966$, 1360, 1450, 1583, 1599, 1686, 2857, 3087; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.87 (d, $J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 22.08,26.24,29.88$, 45.17, 128.09, 129.09, 134.78, 147.32, 197.49; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 71.54; H, 6.47; found C, 71.52 ; H, 6.49\%.
(S)-(-)-1-Phenylethanol (70c): Yield: $45 \%$; bp: $88-89^{0} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{~mm} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : -46.2 (c 5 , $\mathrm{MeOH}) ;$ HPLC: $98 \%$ ee, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$, Chiralcel OD-H, $3 \% \mathrm{EtOH} /$ hexane, $1.0 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: (R) 10.69 min . (S) 13.37 min .; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 669, 761, 907, 1078, 1204, 1461, 1493, 2973, 3029, 3365; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.42$ (d, $J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.55(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{q}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.21-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 24.99, 69.83, 125.26, 127.03, 128.13, 145.81; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 122 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 35\right), 107$ (100), 79 (76), 77 (38), 51 (16), 43 (17); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, $78.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.25$; found C, $78.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.38 \%$.

## Preparation of aryl bromides (R)-(+)-71 a-c:

The two-necked RB flask was charged with any one of the alcohols (S)-(-)-70 a-c ( 3 mmol ) and dry pyridine ( $0.73 \mathrm{ml}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Dry ether ( 3 ml ) was added to it through syringe under nitrogen atmosphere. The solution was cooled to -15 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by the slow addition of a solution of $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{ml}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 5 ml dry ether. It was stirred at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h then at ${ }^{9} \mathrm{C}$ for $72-80 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). The excess $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ was destroyed by the addition of ice water to the reaction mixture; it was then extracted with ether ( 3 x 15 ml ) washed with ice water, $85 \%$ orthophosphoric acid, cold saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution again with ice water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure to get the crude products as gum. The crude products were then purified by column chromatography by using $5 \%$ EtOAc in pet. ether as eluent to afford the corresponding optically pure bromides ( $\mathbf{R}$ )-(+)-

## (R)-(+)-1-(6-Methoxy-2-naphthalene)bromoethane (71a)



71 arc as viscous liquids. The products were stored at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in refrigerator and used immediately for the next reaction.
Yield: 78\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}:+148.4$ (c 1.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 768,1036,1055$, 1181, 1313, 1377, 1445, 1466, 1494, 1520, 2922, 2975, 3032; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{q}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.12$, $46.75,55.81,113.21,122.86,123.40,125.44,126.32,128.37,128.91,129.34,132.14$, 154.51, 159.62; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 185 (100), 170 (25), 154 (12), 115 (8), 89 (10), 70 (20), 62 (15); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.94 ; \mathrm{Br}, 30.14$; found C , 58.82; H, 4.99; Br, 30.18\%.
(R)-(+)-1 -(4-isobutylphenyl)-bromoethane (71b): Yield: 70\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}$ : + 124.3 (c $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 563,695,766,1026,1045,1191,1326,1383,1441,1490$, 1494, 2943, 2975, 3050; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.53$ (d, $J=8.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{q}, J=8.11 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1 H ), 7.13 (d, $J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 21.94, 22.52, 30.66, 39.20, 45.28, 125.31, 130.11, 140.09, 142.87; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 161 (100), 146 (8), 118 (10), 117 (5), 77 (2); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Br}$ requires C, 59.76; H, 7.11; Br, 33.13; found C, 59.52; H, 7.19; Br, $33.21 \%$.
(R)-(+)-1 -Phenylbromoethane (71c): Yield: 88\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +161.3 (neat)\{lit. ${ }^{45}$ $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+160.8$ (neat) $94 \%$ ee $\}$; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 563, 592, 695, 763, 1026, 1045, 1181, 1313, 1377, 1441, 1466, 1494, 2922, 2975, 3032; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 1.98$ (d, $J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{q}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 27.01, 49.03, 126.84, 128.31, 128.61, 143.31; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 185 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 105$ (100), 91 (15), 77 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Br}$ requires C, 51.92; H, 4.90; Br, 43.18; found C, 51.86 ; H, 4.78; Br, $43.36 \%$.

## Preparation of aryl cyanides (S)(-)-72 a-c:

A 25 ml RB flask was charged with any one of the aryl bromides 71 ac ( 2 mmol ) and NaCN ( $0.196 \mathrm{gm}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry DMF ( 4 ml ) was added through syringe under nitrogen atmosphere. The resulting reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10-12 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, diluted with ethyl acetate washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography using 15\% EtOAc in pet. ether as eluent to afford (S)-(-)-72 a-c.
(S)-(-)-2-(6-Methoxy-2-naphthalene)propionitrile (72a)


Yield: $89 \%$; mp: $68-69^{0} \mathbf{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : -26.5 (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), $90 \%$ ee, $\left\{\mathrm{lit} .^{45 \mathrm{~b}}[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}\right.$ : -29.4 (c $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 699,744,760,1267,1463,1510,1600,2238,2876,2939$, 2988, 3042; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.88 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ $(\mathrm{q}, J=7.89 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-7.90(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.44,32.21$, $57.12,114.08,121.64,122.88,123.35,125.44,126.45,128.41,128.92,129.20,131.26$, 153.14, 158.21; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 211 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 42), 196 (100), 181 (44), 165 (15), 115 (8), 89 (20), 77 (12); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 79.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.20 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.63$; found C, 79.52; H, 6.29; N, 6.62\%.
(S)-(-)-2-(4-isobutylphenyl)propionitrile (72b): Yield: $80 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{25}$ D: - 19.34 (c 1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 733,760,1277,1463,1498,1580,1610,2248,2880,2939$,

2958, 3033; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{q}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 22.63,28.15$, 30.68, 44.86, 55.86, 121.66, 125.44, 130.11, 140.21, 143.12; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $187\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 17\right), 172$ (100), 171 (10), 144 (22), 117 (20), 90 (13); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 83.37; H, 9.15; N, 7.48; found C, 83.32; H, 9.10; N, 7.41\%.
(S)-(-)-2 -phenylpropionitrile (72c): Yield: $92 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : -21.92 (c 1.2, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 699, 733, 760, 1267, 1463, 1494, 1600, 2243, 2876, 2939, 2988, 3032; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{q}, J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34$ (s, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}_{3}\right): \delta 21.24,31.02,121.44,126.51,127.84,128.98$, 136.95; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 131 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 34$ ), 116 (100), 104 (7), 89 (10), 77 (9), 51 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 82.41 ; H, 6.92; N, 10.68; found C, 82.48 ; H, 6.89; N, $10.66 \%$.

## Preparation of (S)(+)- $\alpha$-aryl propionic acids (1, 2 and 3) by hydrolysis of aryl cyanides (S)-(-)-72 a-c:

Aryl cyanides (S)-72 ac (1mmol) were dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{ml})$ and 3 ml of 8 N HCl was added to it. The resulting reaction mixture was refluxed in an oil bath for $10-12 \mathrm{~h}$ (monitored by TLC). Excess solvent was removed under reduced pressure by distillation. The resulting gum was purified by column chromatography (in case of 3) using 50\% EtOAc in pet. ether as eluent or recrystallized from EtOAc and hexane (in case of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2) to afford the corresponding $\alpha$-arylpropionic acids $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}$ or $\mathbf{3})$ in pure form.
(S)-(+)-2-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl)-propionic acid (naproxen) (1)


Yield: $83 \%$; mp: $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}:+59.56$ (c 1, $\mathbf{C H C}$ ) $90 \%$ ee $\left\{\right.$ lit. $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+66$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 896, 926, 1029, 1159, 1176, 1260, 1378, 1606, 1630, 1664, 1728, 2866, 2925, 2954; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-$ $3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}_{3}$ ): $\delta 18.10,45.29,55.26,105.55,119.02,126.14,126.18,127.22$, 128.86, 129.29, 133.79, 134.83, 157.66, 181.05; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 53\right)$,

185 (100), 170 (10), 154 (7), 141 (11), 115 (9), 77 (2), 63 (2); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, $72.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.08$; found $\mathrm{C}, 72.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.19 \%$.
(S)-2-(4'-Isobutylphenyl)propionic acid [(S)-ibuprofen (2)]:Yield: 78\%; mp: $50-52^{0} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}:+49.14$ (c 2, EtOH) $82 \%$ ee $\left\{\right.$ lit. $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +59 (c 2, EtOH) $\}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 729$, 947, 1137, 1413, 1459, 1601, 1707, 2946, 2982, 3088; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.13$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{q}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 18.11, 22.40, 30.15, 45.06, 127.31, 129.40, 137.02, 140.84, 181.11; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 206 ( ${ }^{+}, 50$ ), 163 (94), 161 (100), 119 (42), 107 (30), 91 (49); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $75.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.80$; found C, $75.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.79 \%$.
(S)-(+)-2 -Phenyl propanoic acid (3): Yield: $80 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +73.28 (c 1.6, CHCb) $92 \%$ ee $\left\{\right.$ lit. $[\alpha]^{25}$ D +73 (c 1.6, CHCl $_{3}, 97 \%$ ee) $\}$; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 698, 729, 937, 1130, 1413, 1454, 1600, 1706, 2935, 2981, 3087; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}\right): \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.69(\mathrm{q}, J=8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 11.19(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 18.22,45.50,127.65,128.68,139.82,180.9 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $150\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13\right), 105$ (100), 91 (13), 77 (50), 63 (13); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 71.98; H, 6.71; found C, 71.82; H, 6.66\%.

## Synthesis of Novel Sulfur and Nitrogen Palladacycles and Their Applications in Arylation Reactions

### 3.1.1 Introduction

The palladium-catalyzed arylation of olefins with aryl halides generally referred to as the Heck reaction (Scheme 34) has received increasing attention in the last two decades. ${ }^{46}$ This is primarily due to the enormous synthetic potential of this versatile method for generating new C-C bonds. This reaction represents a powerful and popular method for the formation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds; in particular the Heck reaction is an important method for the preparation of arylfunctionalized alkenes in synthetic organic chemistry as applicable to pharmaceutical industry. ${ }^{47}$ Thus, organopalladium compounds play an important role in homogeneous catalysis due to their versatility and nontoxicity.


Scheme 34: Heck coupling reaction, (i) Pd-catalyst, base, solvent.
Traditionally, variety of palladium sources such as $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PdCl}, \mathrm{PdCb}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}$, etc. were used as catalysts with or without phosphine (for e.g. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ ) ligands. However, these catalytic systems suffer from some severe limitations, such as non-applicability of this reaction on industrial scale. Typically a relatively large amount of catalyst ( $1-5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) is needed for reasonable conversions and the catalyst recycling is often hampered by early precipitation of palladium black. ${ }^{48}$ Due to the fast catalyst deactivation the turn over number (TON= mole of product/mole of Pd ) and turn over frequency (TOF= mole of product/mole of $\mathrm{Pd}^{-1}$ ) was very less for all these conventional catalysts. During the past few years very active systems have been developed in order to improve the stability of palladium-based catalysts and to increase their efficiency. Carbometalated $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes, especially palladacycles have emerged as very promising
catalysts for C-C bond forming reaction. Although these reactions can be mediated by a variety of $\mathrm{Pd}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ compounds, phosphorous or nitrogen containing palladacycles are among the most active catalyst precursors reported to date. ${ }^{49}$

The discovery of these catalyst precursors allowed the Heck reactions to be performed with activated and nonactivated aryl halides using very low catalyst concentrations (down to ppm in case of aryl iodides). ${ }^{50}$ Two of the most intriguing aspects of the use of palladacycles in the Heck reaction are the probable involvement of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{NV}}$ species and that the $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ catalyst precursors are recovered unchanged after catalysis.

### 3.1.2 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that there are several reports on use of palladacycles (mostly phosphorous or nitrogen) for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation reactions like Heck reaction, biaryl formation, Suzuki coupling, etc. Palladacycles offer a much wider variety of catalytic applications and several new and efficient complexes based on different ligand backbone have since been developed.

## Clark et al.

Clark et al. ${ }^{51}$ studied the cyclopalladation of benzylidenebenzylamines 73 with one equivalent $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ to give dimer 74 .


Scheme 35: (i) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$; (iii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$; (iv) NaOAc , acac (acetylacetone).

The cyclopalladated product 74 was reacted with acetylacetone (acac), $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ or NaOAc to give various products, which were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy (Scheme 35).

## Balavoine et al. ${ }^{32}$

Aryloxazolines 75, with various substituents on the aromatic ring, have given dimeric cyclopalladated complexes 76 by reaction with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$. The reaction of these complexes with carbon monoxide to afford diaryl ketones 77 in aprotic solvents has been studied (Scheme 36).


Scheme 36: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OAc}_{2}\right.$, benzene; (ii) $\mathrm{CO}(1 \mathrm{~atm},) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ acetone.

## Gonzalez et al. ${ }^{53}$

The reaction of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ with (R)-3-p-tolylsulfinyl-2-propanone gave trimeric palladacycle 78 containing a stereogenic carbon directly joined to the Pd atom (Scheme 37).

a section of the structure of the catalyst 78
Scheme 37: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{OAc}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48-72 \mathrm{~h}\right.$.

## Hermann et al.

Palladacycle 79 was synthesized and used as efficient catalyst for the Heck reaction of aryl bromides as well as aryl chlorides Scheme 38). ${ }^{54}$ Turn over number (TON, mmol of product/ mmol of Pd ) upto $2,00,000$ was achieved in case of Heck reaction of aryl bromides (Scheme 34).


Scheme 38: (i) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$, toluene, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Herrmann et al. ${ }^{55}$ have reported the use of the same catalyst 79 for the Suzuki coupling to synthesize unsymmetrical biphenyl derivatives (Scheme 39). Yield in the range of $21-92 \%$ and TON upto 74,000 were achieved with this catalyst.


Scheme 39: (i) palladacycle 79, xylol, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Bedford et al. ${ }^{56}$

The reaction of tris(2,4-di-tert-butylphenyl)phosphite with PdCb afforded the orthometallated dimer 80 (Scheme 40). For coupling of aryl halides with phenyl boronic acid (Suzuki coupling), TON upto $10,00,000$ and TOF (turn over frequency) upto $9,00,000$ were obtained. In case of Stille reaction, TON upto $8,30,000$ were achieved.


## Scheme 40:

 (i) solvent, $96 \%$; (ii) catalyst $\mathbf{8 0}$, base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right)$ DMA or toluene.
## Shaw et al.

Shaw et al. ${ }^{59}$ have synthesized palladacycles 81,82 and 83 (Scheme 41) and used them for Heck reaction. The catalysts $81 \mathbf{a}, 82 a$ and $82 \mathbf{b}$ were found to be excellent catalysts for the Heck reaction Scheme 34). TON upto $11,20,000$ was achieved with the catalyst $\mathbf{8 2 b}$.

81a $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ naphthyl, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OAc}$
81b $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ naphthyl, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$
81c $R_{2}=$ naphthyl, $X=B r$


82a $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ naphthyl, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
83a $\mathrm{R}_{2}=o$-tolyl, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Me}$
83b $\mathrm{R}_{2}=o$-tplyl, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{CF}_{3}$
82b $\mathrm{R}_{2}=$ naphthyl, $\mathrm{R}_{1=} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$

Scheme 41: Phosphorous palladacycles for Heck reaction.

## Luo et al.

Luo et al. ${ }^{58}$ demonstrated the use of palladacyck 79 (Scheme 38) as efficient catalyst for the symmetric biaryl formation from aryl iodides. Aryl chlorides and aryl bromides failed to give any reaction even at elevated temperatures (Scheme 42).


Scheme 42: (i) cat. $79(0.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, DMF, base, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Milstein et al.

The cyclopalladated, phosphine free imine complex 84 proved to be an excellent catalyst for the Suzuki cross coupling, ${ }^{59}$ leading to the TON upto $590 \times 10^{3}$ with aryl bromides. The catalyst is air and thermally stable (Scheme 43).


Scheme 43: (i) cat. 84, $o-x$ xylene, base, $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The new cyclopalladated, phosphine free imine complexes 85 acc (Scheme 44) have shown to be exceptional catalysts for the Heck arylation of olefins (Scheme 34). ${ }^{60}$ The maximum TON observed was $14,29,000$ with PhI and methyl acrylate with catalyst 85a.


Scheme 44: Phosphine free nitrogen palladacycles.
Milstein et al. ${ }^{61}$ have reported the synthesis of palladacycles 86 and $\mathbf{8 7}$ from $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{TFA})_{2}\left(\mathrm{TFA}=\mathrm{OCOCF}_{3}\right)$ and the corresponding diphosphine in THF at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and their application in Heck reaction (Scheme 34). Very high TON (maximum TON observed was $5,28,700$ with $87 \mathbf{a}$ ) and $77-100 \%$ yield was observed with all three catalysts, while the complex 87a showed higher turn over rates (Scheme 45).



87a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Pr}$
87b $R={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{Bu}$
86
Scheme 45: Diphosphine palladacycles for Heck reaction.

## Shibasaki et al.

Shibasaki et al. ${ }^{62}$ synthesized a new palladacycle 88 (Scheme 46) and this showed high catalytic activity with TON upto $89,00,000$ and TOF upto $4,00,000$ in the coupling of aryl halides with olefins (Scheme 34).


Scheme 46: (i) $p$ - $\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OH}$ ( 2 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $2,5-$ dihydroxyiodobenzene (3 equiv.), tetrazole ( 6 equiv.), THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}, 2$ days; (iii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{dba})$. $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(0.5\right.$ mol equiv.), toluene, $23^{0} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 26 \%$.

## Osburn et al. ${ }^{63}$

Sulfur palladacycle 89 was synthesized (Scheme 47) and used as an efficient catalyst for the Heck reaction of aryl iodides with olefins to yield $\beta$-substituted olefins (Scheme 34) in 91-96\% yields.



Scheme 47: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, EtOH, reflux, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (ii) LAH, reflux, $8 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{RT}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $85 \%$; (iv) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (v) $\mathrm{PhSH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (vi) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{PhCN})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, reflux, $14 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$.

## Brunel et al.

Brunel et al. ${ }^{64}$ synthesized palladacycle $\mathbf{9 0}$, and used it as a catalyst for the hydroarylation of norbornene (Scheme 48). Very high TON upto $196 \times 10^{6}$ was observed in presence of hydrogen donor $\mathrm{NE}_{3} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.


Scheme 48: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})$ 2, toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) cat. 90, solvent, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3} / \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \Delta 16 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Alonso et al. ${ }^{65}$

Oxime palladacycles 91 a-e were prepared from very cheap starting materials (Scheme 49) and were used as versatile and very efficient catalysts for different C-C bond forming reactions (fig. 10).


Scheme 49: (i) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$.


Fig. 10: Use of palladacycles 91 ae for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reactions.

## Dupont et al.

The cyclopalladation reactions of several sulfur-containing ligands have been investigated by Dupont et al. ${ }^{66}$ The thioethers were metallated by $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ in acetic acid at either aryl or alkyl carbon atoms to afford, after reaction with lithium chloride in acetone, the dimeric chloride bridged cyclopalladated complexes 92 a-c in 22-81\%yields (Scheme 50).


92a


92b


92c

Scheme 50: Palladacycles prepared by cyclopalladation of thioethers.

The air, water and highly thermally stable sulfur-containing palladacycles 93 a-d (Scheme 51), mainly derived from the orthopalladation of benzylic thioethers, are used as catalyst precursors for the Heck reaction. ${ }^{67}$ The reaction can be performed with aryl iodides, bromides and chlorides with acrylic esters and styrene, leading to TON upto 18,50,000.


93a $R={ }^{1} \mathrm{Bu}$ 93b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$



Scheme 51:
Sulfur-containing palladacycles used as catalyst precursors for the Heck reaction (Scheme 34)

Sulfur-palladacycle 93a was shown to be efficient catalysts for the Suzuki crosscoupling reaction of aryl bromides and chlorides with phenyl boronic acid (Scheme 52). ${ }^{68}$


Scheme 52: (i) cat. 93a ( 0.1 to $0.5 \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{BuNBr}(20 \%), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Sulfur palladacycles 93a and 93c (Scheme 51) were proved to be an efficient catalyst under relatively mild conditions $\left(100-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ for the homocoupling of aryl iodides ( $50-100 \%$ yields) and aryl bromides ( $12-70 \%$ yields). ${ }^{69}$

The reaction of (R)-(1-alkylsulfanylethyl)benzenes with palladium acetate in acetic acid at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the corresponding orthopalladated compounds 94 a-d. The
palladacycle 94b is an excellent catalyst precursor, for the arylation of 3,4-dihydro2- H pyran under mild conditions. However asymmetric induction was not observed (Scheme 53). ${ }^{70}$


Scheme 53: (i) $94 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMA}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Beletskaya et al.

Beletskaya et al. ${ }^{71}$ demonstrated that cationic derivatives of binuclear conjugated palladacycles 95 (Scheme 54) could act as highly active catalyst precursors for the Heck reaction of iodobenzene with styrene or methyl acrylate (Scheme 34).


Scheme 54: Binuclear conjugated palladacycles.

## Iyeret al. ${ }^{72}$

Amine and oxime based palladacycles 96a and 96b were found to be excellent catalysts for the Heck reaction. High TON upto 1,45,454 were obtained. (Scheme 55)



Scheme 55: (i) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{RT}$.

## Gladyszet al. ${ }^{73}$

The fluorous Schiff base 97 was prepared and cyclopalladated to afford highly effective catalyst precursor 98 for Heck reaction (Scheme 56).


Scheme 56: (i) $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) cat. $98, \mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.1.3 Present Work

### 3.1.3.1 Objective

The Heck reaction is widely used in academic research institutions for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formations, but industrial applications are rare. To make the process more economical it is necessary to reduce the amount of catalyst required for impressive conversions. Another challenge of equal importance is the desire to simplify the catalyst by working under phosphine-free conditions. ${ }^{74}$ Palladacycles are popular and thoroughly investigated class of organopalladium compounds. The sulfur and nitrogen containing palladacycles are emerged as alternative catalyst precursors for the phosphine palladacycles due to their high stability towards moisture, air and high temperature. There are some reports in the literature, which make use of benzyl sulfides and benzyl amines as ligands for making these palladacycles. However, sulfoxides and sulfimines are not used as ligands for the synthesis of palladacycles. This prompted us to make use of sulfoxide 99 and sulfimine $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ as ligands to make palladacycles $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ and to explore their utility as catalysts for the Heck reaction and other C -C bond forming reactions such as biaryl synthesis Sonogoshira coupling, etc.

### 3.1.4 Results and Discussion

Palladacycles $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ were readily prepared from sulfoxide $\mathbf{9 9}$ and sulfimine 100 respectively using $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}$ as palladium source in good yields (Schemes 57 and $\mathbf{5 8}$ ).


Scheme 57: (i) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$.


Scheme 58: (i) $\mathrm{Li}_{2} \mathrm{PdCl}_{4}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$.

Both the palladacycles were characterized by IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}$, N, S analysis. For example, compound $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ shows two doublets at $\delta 4.07$ and $\delta 4.25$ corresponding to two benzylic protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum shows signals at $\delta 61.75$ and $\delta 127.00-144.00$ for benzylic and aromatic carbons respectively (Fig. 11).


Fig. 11: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of palladacycle 101
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR Spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ showed singlets at $\delta 2.32$ and $\delta 2.95$ for aromatic methyl and the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ group attached to nitrogen respectively. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$
spectrum showed signals at $\delta 20.88$ and 37.42 for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups, where as aromatic carbons showed signals in the range $\delta 125.00$ to 142.00 (Fig. 12).


Fig. 12: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of palladacycle 102

We then turned our attention to systematically evaluate the effectiveness of these palladacycles ( $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ ) for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond forming reactions.

Both the palladacycles were employed as catalysts for the Heck reaction of aryl halides ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br}$ ) with a variety of olefins (Scheme 59).


$\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{Ph}$, etc.
$\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}$ or Me
103
60-99\% yield
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{Cl}$
Scheme 59: (i) catalyst 101 or $\mathbf{1 0 2}$, base $\left(\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\right.$ or $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}\right)$, NMP or DMF, $140-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As can be seen from Table 1, a wide range of $p$-substituted halides (entries 1,15 to 26) were allowed to react with a variety of olefins such as styrene, butylacrylate, acrylonitrile (entry 12), methyl methacrylate (entry 14), methyl acrylate, and ethyl acrylate. The reaction gave yields in the range of $60-99 \%$. NMP, DMF and DMSO can be employed as solvents for the reaction. While DMSO gave very less yield (<10\%), DMF and NMP have proved to be solvents of choice for the reaction. Poor yield (37\%) was observed when triethylamine was used as base in DMF whereas triethylamine was proved to be a suitable base in case of NMP as a solvent. Other bases such as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (20\% yield) and NaOAc ( $16 \%$ yield) were less effective. NaOAc was better base in DMF (entry 8) than triethylamine (entry 7). Maximum TON was observed in case of reaction of $p$ iodoanisole with $n$-butylacrylate using catalyst $\mathbf{1 0 2}$. The catalyst $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ has shown better catalytic activity than catalyst $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ (for comparison see entries 2 and 3 or 23 and 24). Catalyst $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ was able to activate bromobenzene also but the yield and TON were poor (entry 26) whereas there was no reaction when chloroarenes such as chlorobenzene, $p$ nitrochlorobenzene were used as substrate. The reaction was also performed at lower temperature $\left(90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, entry 25$)$ using acetonitrile as a solvent but it gave very less yield as well as low TON. Reactions were also performed without inert atmosphere (entries 10 and 11) also resulted in sufficiently high TON.

Table 1: Heck reaction (Scheme 59) catalyzed by catalysts 101 and $\mathbf{1 0 2}^{\text {a }}$

| Sr. <br> No | R | W | R' | Catalyst | Catalyst <br> $(\mathrm{mmol})$ | Base | Temp <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | Time <br> $(\mathrm{h})$ | Yield $^{\text {b }}$ <br> $(\%)$ | TON $^{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | Ph | H | $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 140 | 14 | 99 | $3,97,200$ |
| 2. | H | Ph | H | $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 140 | 10 | 82 | $3,26,400$ |


| 3. | H | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 10 | 94 | 3,92,800 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. | H | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-06}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | 150 | 11 | 20 | 80,000 |
| 5. | H | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | NaOAc | 150 | 11 | 38 | 1,53,600 |
| 6. | H | Ph | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | NaOAc | 150 | 23 | 16 | 62,400 |
| 7. | H | Ph | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 24 | 37 | 1,49,600 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 8. | H | Ph | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | NaOAc | 150 | 24 | 64 | 2,57,600 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 9. | H | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEH}_{3}$ | 150 | 23 | 70 | 2,78,400 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 10. | H | Ph | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | NaOAc | 150 | 24 | 60 | 2,41,600 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 11. | H | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | NaOAc | 150 | 24 | 84 | 3,37,600 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 12. | H | CN | H | 102 | $10^{-5}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 12 | 68 | 1,36,000 |
| 13. | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 4 | 97 | 3,96,000 |
| 14. | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | Me | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 8 | 67 | 2,69,000 |
| 15. | OMe | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Bu}$ | H | 102 | $2 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 24 | 62 | 6,21,000 |
| 16. | OH | $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{Bu}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 18 | 65 | 2,60,000 |
| 17. | OMe | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 10 | 94 | 3,76,000 |
| 18. | OMe | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 10 | 78 | 3,12,000 |
| 19. | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 8 | 87 | 3,48,000 |
| 20. | Cl | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 18 | 86 | 4,44,000 |
| 21. | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 12 | 99 | $5,94,000^{\text {f }}$ |
| 22. | Cl | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 16 | 88 | $5,28,900{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 23. | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Ph | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 25 | 97 | 3,88,000 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | Ph | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 25 | 86 | 3,44,000 |
| 25. | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | H | 101 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 90 | 52 | 25 | 1,00,000 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| 26 | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | H | 102 | $5 \times 10^{-6}$ | $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ | 150 | 38 | 21 | $76,000^{\text {h }}$ |

(a) reaction conditions: aryl halide ( 2 mmol ), olefin ( 5 mmol ), base ( 4 mmol ), solvent ( 2 ml ); (b) isolated yields; (c) TON= mmol of product/mmol of Pd ; (d) in DMF; (e) in DMF without inert atmosphere; (f) reaction was carried out on 3 mmol of aryl halide; $(\mathrm{g})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (h) bromobenzene was used.

The catalyst $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ has also been found to be an effective catalyst for other C-C bond forming reactions such as biaryl formation from aryl halides in presence of hydroquinone (Scheme 60). ${ }^{75}$ The symmetrical biaryl formation was carried out at relatively milder temperature to produce the corresponding biaryls in moderate yields (Table 2).


Scheme 60: (i) catalytic 102, hydroquinone ( $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NMP}, 125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table2: Biaryl formation from aryl halides catalyzed by 102 . ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Sr. <br> N 0. | R | Catalyst <br> $(\mathbf{m m o l})$ | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time (h) | Yield $^{\mathbf{b}}$ | TON $^{\mathbf{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | H | 0.005 | 125 | 24 | 41 | 164 |
| 2. | $4-\mathrm{CN}$ | 0.005 | 125 | 24 | 35 | 140 |
| 3. | $2-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | 0.005 | 125 | 22 | 48 | 192 |
| 4. | $4-\mathrm{Cl}$ | 0.005 | 125 | 24 | 44 | 176 |

(a) reaction conditions: aryl halide ( 2 mmol ), hydroquinone ( 1 mmol ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{1 0 2}(0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMP ( 2 ml ), $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) yields are isolated yields after chromatography; (c) $\mathrm{TON}=\mathrm{mmol}$ of product/ mmol of Pd.

The Sonogoshira coupling ${ }^{76}$ between aryl halide and acetylene derivative (propargyl alcohol) was also attempted using the catalyst 102 (Scheme 61). The Sonogoshira coupling was performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using diethylamine both solvert as well as base. However, the reaction took longer time ( 40 h ) giving only $35 \%$ yield (TON=14).


Scheme 61: (i) catalyst $102(0.05 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuI}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{Et} 2 \mathrm{NH}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
As can be seen, both the palladacycles $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ showed remarkably high activity in catalyzing the Heck reaction. The catalysts $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ are stable at reaction temperature, as the formation of palladium black is not observed even after 24 h , demonstrating their thermal stability. These catalysts were not affected by air or moisture and can be stored at room temperature for a long time.

## Mechanism

The mechanism of these reactions involves the mediation of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ species. The mechanism for the Heck reaction is shown in Fig. 13. In the first step of catalytic cycle (step I) haloarene oxidatively add to palladacycle to generate $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{V}}$ species. This then undergoes syn-addition on alkene (step II). The internal rotation (step III) followed by $\beta$ hydride elimination (step IV) results in formation of trans-1,2-disubstituted olefin. The catalyst is regenerated after the reductive elimination of HX in presence of base.


Fig. 13: Catalytic cycle for the Heck reaction
A plausible mechanism for hydroquinone-mediated palladium catalyzed biaryl formation is shown in Fig. 14. The first step involves the oxidative addition of aryl halide to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ species to form $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ species $\mathbf{A}$. Under basic conditions, hydroquinone displaces the iodide group to form the species $\mathbf{B}$. This $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ species $\mathbf{B}$ then undergoes the elimination of benzoquinone to form anionic aryl palladium species $\mathbf{C}$. The reaction of $\mathbf{C}$ with ArI followed by the loss of $\Gamma$ would produce diaryl palladium species $\mathbf{D}$, from which reductive elimination of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{H}}$ would regenerate the catalyst and the corresponding biaryl product.


Fig. 14: Plausible catalytic cycle for Pd-catalyzed biaryl formation

### 3.1.5 Conclusion

We have synthesized novel, air, and moisture and thermally stable sulfur and nitrogen palladacycles (101 and 102) from easily available precursors and employed them as effective catalysts for the Heck reaction as well as other CC bond forming reactions such as biaryl formation from aryl iodides and Sonogoshira coupling. It is remarkable that the palladacycle $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ gave excellent yields (upto $99 \%$ ) as well as high TON (upto 6,21,000).

### 3.1.6 Experimental Section

## Preparation of Palladacycle 101:

Two-necked $25-\mathrm{ml}$ RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $0.177 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), LiCl $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{ml})$; the resulting reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then to the same reaction mixture was added a solution of phenyl benzyl sulfoxide ( $\mathbf{9 9}, 0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{ml})$. The stirring was continued at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h (monitored by TLC). Then distilled water ( 8 ml ) was added to the reaction mixture, which resulted in precipitation of yellow colored solid. The solid was filtered on a sintered funnel, washed with distilled water and dried under reduced pressure ( 5 mm ) for 3 h to afford complex $101(0.258 \mathrm{~g}$, $72 \%$ yield) as a yellow colored solid.


101


Yield: $72 \%$; mp: $143-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 495, 694, $744,765,1037,1085,1442,1456$, 1494, 2910, 2960, 3060; ${ }^{1} H$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 4.06$ (d, $J=12.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=12.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( 50 MHz, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 61.75$, 124.35, 127.85, 128.14, 128.90, 130.42, 130.90, 143.50; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClSOPd}$ requires C, 43.72; H, 3.10; Cl, 9.27; S, 8.98; found C, 43.42; H, 3.13; Cl, 9.58; S, 8.89\%.

## Preparation of Palladacycle 102:

Two-necked $25-\mathrm{ml}$ RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.177 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{LiCl}$ $(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{ml})$; the resulting reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h . Then to the same reaction mixture was added NaOAc ( $0.123 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and a solution of sulfimine $\mathbf{1 0 0}(0.293 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{ml})$. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h . Then, distilled water ( 6 ml ) was added to it and resulting solid was filtered on sintered funnel, washed with water and dried under reduced pressure ( 5 mm ) for 3 h to afford palladacycle $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ as brown colored solid ( $0.286 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ ).


102

Yield: $66 \%$; mp: $135-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.); IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 547, 576, 688, 746, 825,933 , 1087, 1141, 1280, 1296, 1446, 1539, 1595, 2866, 2922, 3024; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, DMSO-d): $\delta 2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.78(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$

NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ D M S O-\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 20.88,37.42,125.79,126.15,129.28,129.83,132.22$, 136.22, 141.23, 141.30; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{ClNS}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Pd}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 38.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.25 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 8.16$; N, 3.23; S, 14.77; found C, 38.68; H, 3.50; Cl, 8.24; N, 3.34; S, 14.77\%.

## General experimental procedure for the Heck reaction:

A 25 ml two-necked RB flask with double walled water condenser was charged with aryl halide ( 2.0 mmol ), triethylamine ( $0.303 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), olefin ( 2.0 mmol ) and NMP ( N -methylpyrrolidone) ( 2 ml ). To this $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}\left(5 \mathrm{x} 10^{-6} \mathrm{mmol}\right) 0.001 \mathrm{M}$ solution of catalyst ( $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ or $\mathbf{1 0 2}$ ) in NMP was added via micro syringe under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was heated in an oil bath at specified temperature and time (Table 1) (the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC or GC). The reaction mixture was then allowed to cool to room temperature. It was quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 10 ml ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude product. It was then purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether and ethyl acetate as eluents to afford the products in pure form.
(E)-1-(4-Aminophenyl)-2-phenylethylene: Yield: $99 \%$; mp: 148-150 $0^{\circ}$; IR (Nujol, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 692,756,821,970,1178,1286,1377,1465,1515,1589,1616,2854,2923,3361$, 3448 ; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.67$ (d, $\left.J=9.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.49 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta$ 115.15, 125.08, 126.07, 126.84, 127.73, 127.95, 128.57, 137.94, 146.14; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 195 ( $100, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 177 (13), 165 (13), 97 (16), 89 (12), 77 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, 86.12; H, 6.71; N, 7.17; found C, 86.22; H, 6.78; N, 7.21\%.
(E)-1-(4-Nitrophenyl)-2-phenylethylene: Yield: $97 \%$; mp: $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 788, 1378, 1449, 1463, 1510, 1596, 1632, 2854, 2925, 2966; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 8.00$ (d, $J=8.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 123.84,126.12,126.67,126.82$, 128.58, 128.65, 133.17, 136.06, 143.71, 146.62; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $225\left(100, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$, 179 (77), 165 (8), 152 (14), 89 (10), 77 (6); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ requires C, 74.65; H, 4.92; N, 6.22; found C, 74.62; H, 4.88; N, 6.24\%.
trans-Stilbene: Yield: 99\%; mp: $123-124^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 766, 962, 1378, 1452, 1464, 1496, 1598, 2866, 2869, 2926, 2966; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}$ ): $\delta 7.07$ (s, 2H), 7.22-7.36 (m, 6H), $7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.03 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 126.53$,
127.61, 128.67, 137.36; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $180\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 165$ (31), 152 (6), 89 (14), 76 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ requires C, $93.29 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$; found C, $93.24 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.67 \%$.

## (E)-2-Phenyl acrylonitrile



Yield: 68\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 690, 749, 967, 1206, 1449, 1578, 1622, 2218, 3020, 3062; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 94.86, $95.86,117.58,127.06,128.79,130.81,133.31,149.96$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 129 (100, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$), 102 (47), 76 (23), 63 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}$ requires C, $83.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.46 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.85$; found C, 83.69 ; H, $5.26 ; \mathrm{N}, 10.78 \%$.

## (E)-n-Butyl 3-phenyl-2-propeonate



Yield: 97\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 572, 684, 711, 979, 1026, 1172, 1201, 1255, 1280, 1311, 1326, 1384, 1450, 1496, 1577, 1639, 1712, 2873, 2933, 2960; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H - N M R}$ ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.12$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.37,18.85,30.50,63.77,118.10,127.65,128.40,129.71$, 134.27, 144.07, 166.17; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 204 (12, M ${ }^{+}$), 148 (57), 131 (93), 103 (80), 77 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 76.44 ; H, 7.90 ; found C, $76.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.86 \%$.
(E)-n-Butyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propeonate: Yield: 94\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 580, 720, 780, 979, 1186, 1210, 1276, 1329, 1455, 1498, 1579, 1646, 1709, 2890, 2943;
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.71$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{t}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.59,19.11,30.83,54.98,63.80,114.20,115.89,127.28,129.45,143.90$, 161.29, 166.69; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 234 ( $52, \mathrm{M}^{+}$), 178 (100), 161 (81), 133 (71), 121 (39), 105 (23), 90 (65), 77 (64), 63 (45); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 71.77; H, 7.74; found C, $71.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.78 \%$.
(E)-n-Butyl 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-propeonate : Yield: 65\%; gum; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 578, 690, 780, 1030, 1173, 1210, 1278, 1320, 1498, 1580, 1620, 1723, 2873, 2933, 3260; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.72$
(m, 2H), $4.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $13.63,19.11,30.69,64.65,115.08,115.34,155.96,126.77,129.56,130.00,145.00$, 158.35, 168.38; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 220 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 17$ ), 164 (87), 147 (100), 119 (63), 107 (18), 91 (73), 65 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 70.89; H, 7.52; found C, 70.78; H, 7.33\%.
(E)-Methyl 3-phenyl-2-propeonate (Methyl trans-cinnamate). Yield: 67\%; mp: 36$38^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 689, 716, 776, 986, 1014, 1030, 1169, 1183, 1202, 1514, 1531, 1722, 2863, 2946, 3029; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.81$ (s, 3H), 6.45 (d, $J=16.08$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.29-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.73$ (d, $J=16.08 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 51.54, 117.92, 128.08, 128.89, 130.26, 134.49, 144.79, 167.25; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $162\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 131(52), 103$ (28), 77 (17); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, 74.06; H, 6.21; found C, 74.12 ; H, 6.18\%.
(E)-Methyl 3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-propeonate: Yield: $87 \%$; mp: $160-161^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 875,1010,1178,1232,1356,1532,1620,1656,1726,2868,2980,3056 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}$ ) : $\delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.73 (d, $J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $8.25(J=8.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 51.60$, 121.62, 123.76, 128.42, 140.22, 141.80, 149.10, 166.58; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 207 (7, $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ ), 176 (60), 152 (12), 118 (8), 106 (12), 90 (100), 77 (26), 65 (12); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ requires C, $57.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.38 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.76$; found C, $57.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.41 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.77 \%$.
(E)-Methyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propeonate: Yield: $86 \%$; gum; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 575, 695, 1032, 1068, 1192, 1212, 1318, 1455, 1568, 1630, 1722, 2874, 2960; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45$ (d, $J=9.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 51.31$, 118.06, 128.31, 128.76, 130.59, 132.54, 135.74, 142.91, 166.62; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 192$ (68), 161 (100), 133 (42), 118 (23), 89 (35); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.09 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.61 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 18.03$; found $\mathrm{C}, 61.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 18.14 \%$.
(E)-Methyl 2-methyl-3-phenyl-2-propeonate: Yield: 67\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 689, 716, 776, 986, 1014, 1030, 1169, 1183, 1202, 1514, 1531, 1722, 2863, 2946, 3029; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}$ ): $\delta 2.11$ (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 7.33-7.37 (m, 5H), 7.67 (s, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.92,51.64,128.28,129.49,136.00,138.75,168.53$; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $176\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 60\right), 161$ (5), 145 (28), 115 (100), 91 (41), 77 (14); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $74.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.86$; found $\mathrm{C}, 74.87 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.88 \%$.
( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-Ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propeonate : Yield: 94\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): 820, 844, 970, 1020, 1177, 1298, 1340, 1435, 1510, 1580, 1620, 1722, 2998, 3018; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=6.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=6.24 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (d, $J=16.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{DCl}_{3}\right): \delta 13.96,54.84,59.83,113.94,115.41$, 126.81, 129.31, 143.83, 161.03, 166.80; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 206 ( $100, \mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ ), 178 (19), 161 (99), 134 (57), 118 (20), 89 (44), 77 (38), 63 (51); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ requires C, 69.88; H, 6.85; found C, $69.79 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.82 \%$.
(E)-Ethyl 3-(4-nitrophenyl)-2-propeonate: Yield: 99\%; mp: $139-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 873, 1000, 1166, 1211, 1356, 1525, 1644, 1720, 2855, 2956, 3075; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=6.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=6.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.19 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=16.19 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): 13.96, $60.25,122.30,123.72,129.23,140.31,141.56,147.90$, 165.48; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 221 ( ${ }^{+}$, 31), 193 (32), 177 (12), 176 (100), 160 (16), 129 (19), 102 (33), 76 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ requires C, 59.73; H, 5.01; N, 6.33; found C, 59.68; H, 5.21; N, 6.25\%.
(E)-Ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-propeonate : Yield: 88\%; gum; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 572, 685, 712, 998, 1064, 1172, 1202, 1312, 1450, 1578, 1640, 1720, 2874, 2933, 2960; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, J=7.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.15$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): ~ \delta 13.92,60.13,118.54,128.83,132.61,135.63$, 142.58, 166.10; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 210 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50$ ), 182 (30), 165 (100), 155 (70), 139 (55), 101 (50), 75 (40); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{ClO}_{2}$ requires C, 62.72; $\mathrm{H}, 5.25 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 16.83$; found C, $62.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.12 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 16.68 \%$.

## General experimental procedure for biaryl formation:

A 25 ml two-necked RB flask charged with aryl iodide ( 2.0 mmol ), hydroquinone $(0.110 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalyst $102(0.002 \mathrm{~g}, 0.005 \mathrm{mmol})$, NMP ( 2 ml ) was added to it. The reaction mixture was heated under inert atmosphere at $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a specified time (Table 2). The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution ( 4 ml ) was added to it and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{ml}$ ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was
purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether: ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent to give pure products.
Biphenyl: Yield: $41 \%$; mp: $71-72^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 698, 734, 1008, 1074, 1261, 1377, 1431, 1463, 1481, 1568, 2854, 2923, 2954; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.37-7.47(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 126.78,126.85,128.35,140.83 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}(\% \mathrm{rel}$. intensity): $154\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 126$ (9), 115 (10), 102 (7), 76 (38), 63 (7); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 93.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.54$; found $\mathrm{C}, 93.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.52 \%$.
2,2'-Dinitrobiphenyl: Yield: $48 \%$; mp: $122-123^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 681, 747, 767, 786, 861, 1266, 1300, 1364, 1377, 1468, 1521, 2726, 2924, 2954; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.35 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 8.20-8.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta 124.74,129.12,130.96,133.38,134.17,147.28 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $198\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 168$ (34), 141 (11), 139 (33), 115 (27), 63 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ requires C, $59.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.30$; N, 11.47; found C, $58.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.27 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.39 \%$.

4,4'Biphenyldicarbonitrile: Yield: $35 \%$; mp: $231-232^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 816, 1377, 1404, 1492, 1604, 2287, 2855, 2924; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.70-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 112.48,118.41,127.98,132.90,143.55$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $204\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right), 177$ (8), 151 (2), 102 (4), 88 (4), 75 (5); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ requires C, 82.34; H, 3.95; N, 13.71; found C, 82.33; H, 3.98; N, 13.69\%.

4,4'-Dichlorobiphenyl: Yield: $44 \%$; mp: $148-149^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 572, 685, 712 , 998, 1064, 1172, 1202, 1312, 1450, 2854, 2923, 2954; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.34-7.38 (m, 4H), 7.40-7.45 (m, 4H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 127.89,128.74$, 133.55, 138.21; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.61 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 31.78$; found $\mathrm{C}, 64.63$; H, 3.57; Cl, 31.68\%.

## Sonogoshira coupling of $p$-iodoanisole with propargyl alcohol:

A two-necked 25 ml RB flask was charged with $p$-iodoanisole $0.468 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), propargyl alcohol $(0.168 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{CuI}(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst $102(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05$

## 3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-propargyl alcohol (105)


mmol ) and diethylamine ( 8.0 ml ). The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . Then the reaction was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 20 ml ), washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{ml})$, water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and concentrated under reduced pressure to give black colored thick oil. This crude product was purified on column chromatography using $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in pet. ether as eluent to afford pure $105(0.113 \mathrm{~g}, 35 \%)$ as pale yellow colored solid.
Yield: $35 \%$; mp: $7475^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 669, 757, 833, 1033, 1172, 1215, 1249, 1292, 1463, 1510, 1606, 2856, 2927, 3018, 3421; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.78$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}$ : $\delta 51.05,54.87,85.27,86.12,113.76,114.79,132.98,159.45$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 162 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100$ ), 145 (33), 131 (40), 108 (30), 102 (33), 91 (57), 77 (30), 63 (43); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ requires C, $74.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.21$; found C, $74.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.23 \%$.


Fig. 15: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of (E) ${ }^{n}$ butyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-propeonate



Fig. 17: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of (E)-methyl 2 -methyl-3-phenyl-2 -propeonate

### 3.1.7 References

1. (a) Sonawane, H. R.; Bellur, N. S.; Ahuja, J. R.; Kulkarni, D. G.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1992, 3, 163. (b) Rieu, J. -P.; Boucherle, A.; Cousse, H.; Mouzin, G.; Tetrahedron 1986, 41, 4095.
2. (a) Shen, T. Y.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1972, 6, 460. (b) Harrison, I. T.; Lewis, B.; Nelson, P.; Rooks, W.; Roszkowski, A.; Tomolonis, A.; Fried, J. H.; J. Med. Chem. 1970, 13, 203; (c) Dentsch, D.; CHEMTECH 1991, 159.
3. (a) Wong, C. -H.; Whitesides, G. M.; "Enzymes in Synthetic Organic Chemistry", Pergamon, Oxford, U. K. 1994. (b) Ruble, J. C.; Latham, H. A.; Fu, G. C.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1492. (c) Chen, C. -S.; Fujimoto, Y.; Girdaukas, G.; Sih, C. J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 7294. (d) Miller, S. J.; Copeland, G. T.; Papaioannou, N.; Horstmann, T. E.; Ruel, E. M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 1629. (e) Vedjes, E.; Daugulis, O.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 12 1, 5813.
4. Johnson, R. A.; Sharpless, K. B.; in "Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis" Ojima, I.; (Ed.); Wiley \& Sons, New York, 2000, pp. 227-270.
5. Kolb, H. C.; VanNieuwenhze, M. S.; Sharpless, K. B.; Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 2483.
6. Muller, P.; in "Advances in Catalytic Processes" JAI Press Inc. Greenwich, CT, 1997, Vol. 2, pp 113-151.
7. (a) Ohkubo, K.; Hirata, K.; Yoshinaga, K.; Okada, M.; Chem. Lett. 1976, 183. (b) Ma, Z.; Huang, Q.; Bobbitt, J. M.; J Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 4837. (c) Rychnovsky, S. D.; McLernon, T. L.; Rajapakse, H.; J Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 1194. (d) Berti, C.; Perkins, M. J.; Angew Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1979, 18, 864.
8. (a) Martin, V. S.; Woodard, S. S.; Katsuki, T.; Yamada, Y.; Ikeda, M.; Sharpless, K. B.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 6237. (b) Kagan, H. B.; Fiaud, J. C.; in "Topics in Stereochemistry" Eliel, E. L.; (Ed.); Wiley \& Sons: New York, 1988; Vol.18, pp 249330. (c) Ruble, J. C.; Latham, H. A.; Fu, G. C.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 1492. (d) Wong, C. H.; Whitesides, G. M.; in "Enzymes in Synthetic Organic Chemistry" Pergamon, Oxford, UK, 1994.
9. Barton, D. H. R.; Martell, A. E.; Sawyer, D. T.; in 'The Activation of Dioxygen and Homogenous Catalytic Oxidation" Plenum Press, New York, 1993.
10. (a) Jensen, D. R.; Pugsley, J. S.; Sigman, M. S.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7425. (b) Ferreira, E. M.; Stoltz, B. M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7725.
11. Wilke, G.; in "Organometallics in Organic Synthesis" Helmut, W.; Gerhard, E.; (Eds.), Spriner-Verlag, NY, Chapter I, pp. 1-21.
12. Hiyama, T.; Wasaka, N.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3259.
13. (a) Piccolo, O.; Spereafico, T.; Visenten, G.; J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 183. (b) Piccolo, O.; Azzena, V.; Melloni, G.; Delogu, G.; Valoti, E.; J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 183.
14. Piccolo, O.; Spreafico, F.; Vesentin, G.; Valoti, E.; J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 10.
15. Noyori, R.; Nagai, K.; Kitamura, M.; J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 3174.
16. Stille, J. K.; Parrinetto, G.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7122.
17. Fuji, K.; Node, M.; Tanaka, F.; Hosoi, S.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 10, 2825.
18. Larsen, R. D.; Corley, E G.; Davies, P.; Reider, P. J.; Grakowski, E. J. J.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111,7680.
19. Takono, S.; Yanase, M.; Ogasawara, K.; Heterocycles 1989, 29, 1849.
20. Giordano, C.; Castaldi, G.; Cavicchioli, S.; Villa, M.; Tetrahedron 1989, 45, 4243.
21. Alper, H.; Nathalie, H.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2803.
22. Coghlan, D. R.; Hamon, P. G.; Massy, W. R. A.; Slobedman, D.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1990, 1, 299.
23. Hamon, D. P. G.; Massy-Westropp, R. A.; Newton, J. L.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1993, 4, 1435.
24. Griesbach, R. C.; Hamon, D. P. G.; Kennedy, R. J.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1997, 8, 507.
25. (a) Sonawane, H. R.; Nanjundiah, B. S.; Kulkarni, D. G.; Ahuja, J. R.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1991, 2, 251. (b) Sonawane, H. R.; Bellur, N. S.; Kulkarni, D. G.; Ayyangar, N. R.; Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 1243.
26. Kumar, A.; Salunkhe, R. V.; Rane, R. A.; Dike, S. Y.; J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1991, 485.
27. Gonzalez, A.; Synth. Commun. 1991, $21,1353$.
28. Fadel, A.; Synlett 1992, 48.
29. Brown, J. D.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1992, 3, 1551.
30. Garcia, M.; del Campo, C.; Llama, E. F.; Sanchez-Montero, J. M.; Sinisterra, V.; Tetrahedron 1993, 49, 8433.
31. Fronczek, F. R.; Watkins, S. E.; Organometallics 1993, 12, 1467.
32. Wan, K. T.; Davis, M. E.; J. Catal. 1994, 148, 1.
33. Camps, P.; Gimenez, S.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1995, 6, 991.
34. Jung, M. E.; Anderson, K. L.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 2605.
35. Oppolzer, W.; Rosset, S.; Brabander, J. D.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 1539.
36. Bando, T.; Namba, Y.; Shishido, K.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1997, 8, 2159.
37. Nandanan, E.; Jayachandran, B.; Phukan, P.; Pais, G. C. G.; Sudalai, A.; Ind. J. Chem. 1998, 37B, 1221.
38. Ishibashi, H.; Maeki, M.; Yagi, J.; Ohba, M.; Kanai, T.; Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 6075.
39. Cleij, M.; Archelas, A.; Furstoss, R.; J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 5029.
40. Hodous, B. L.; Ruble, J. C.; Fu, G. C.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 12 1, 2637.
41. (a) Chen, A. C.; Ren, L.; Crudden, C. M.; Chem. Commun. 1999, 611. (b) Chen, A.; Ren, L.; Crudden, C. M.; J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 9704.
42. Carde, L.; Davies, D. H.; Roberts, S. M.; J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 2000, 2455.
43. Bhattacharya, G.; Mandal, S.; Nag, S.; Tetrahedron Asymmetry 2000, 11, 2403.
44. Wang, B.; Ma, H.Z.; Synth. Commun. 2001, 31, 1047.
45. (a) McNamara, J. M.; Gleason, W. B.; J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 1071. (b) Casalnuovo, A. L.; RajanBabu, T. V.; Ayers, T. A.; Warren, T. H.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, $116,9869$.
46. (a) Heck, R. F.; Nolley, J. P.; J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2320; (b) Mizoroki, T.; Mori, K.; Ozaki, A.; Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 44, 581. (c) De Meijere, A.; Meyer, F. E.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Eng. 1995, 33, 2379. (d) ‘Palladium-catalyzed Olefinations of Aryl Halides (Heck Reaction) and Related Transformations" Beller, M.; Riermeier, T. H.; Stark, G.; in Transition Metals for Organic Synthesis Beller, M.; Bolm, C.; (Eds.), Wiley-VCH, vol. 2, pp 208-240, 1998. (e) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V.; Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 3009. (f) de Vries, J. G.; Can. J. Chem. 2001, 79, 1086.
47. (a) ‘Catalytic carbon-Carbon Coupling by Palladium Complexes: Heck Reactions’; Herrmann, W. A.; in "Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Metal Complexes" Collins, B.; (Ed.) VCH, Weinheim, 1996. (b) Grushin, V. V.; Alper, H.; Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1047. (c) Heck, R. F.; ‘Palladium Reagents in Organic Synthesis" Academic Press, London, 1985.
48. Heck, R. F.; "Vinyl Substitutions with Organopalladium Intermediates" in "Comprehensive Organic Synthesis", Trost, B. M.; Fleming, I.; (Eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 1991, ch. 4.3, pp. 833.
49. (a) Littke, A. F.; Fu, C.; J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 10. (b) Reetz, M. T.; Lohmer, G.; Schwickardi, R.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 481. (c) Portnoy, M.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D.; Organometallics 1993, 12, 4734.
50. (a) Herrmann, W. A.; Bohm,V. P. W.; Reisenger, C. P.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1999, 576, 23. (b) Miyazaki, F.; Yamaguchi, K.; Shibasaki, M.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7379.
51. Clark, P. W.; Dyke, S. F.; Smith, G.; Kennard, C. H. L.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1987, 330, 447.
52. Dupont, J.; Beydoun, N.; Pfeffer, M.; J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1989, 1715.
53. Balavoine, G.; Clinet, J. C.; Zerbib, P.; Boubekeur, K.; J. Organomet. Chem. 1990, 389, 259.
54. Garcia-Ruano, J. L.; Gonzalez, A. M.; Lopez-Solera, I.; Masaguer, J. R.; Navarro-

Ranninger, C.; Raithby, P. R.; Ridriguez, J. H.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1351.
55. Herrmann, W. A.; Brossmer, C.; Ofele, K.; Reisinger, C.; Priermeier, T.; Beller, M.; Fischer, H.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1844.
56. Beller, M.; Fischer, H.; Herrmann, W. A.; Ofele, K.; Brossmer, C.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1848.
57. Milstein, D.; Boom, M. E.; Ohff, A.; Ohff. M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 1 19, 11687.
58. Albisson, D. A.; Bedford, R. B.; Lawrence, S. E.; Scully, P. N.; Chem. Commun. 1998, 2095.
59. Shaw, B. L.; Perera, S. D.; Staley, E. A.; Chem. Commun. 1998, 1361.
60. Luo, F.; Jeevanandam, A.; Basu, M. K.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 7939.
61. Milstein, D.; Weissman, H.; Chem. Commun. 1999, 1901.
62. Ohff, M.; Ohff, A.; Milstein, D.; Chem. Commun. 1999, 357.
63. Miyazaki, F.; Yamaguchi, K.; Shibasaki, M.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 7379.
64. (a) Bergbreiter, D. E.; Osburn, P. L.; Liu, Y, -S.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 9531.; (b) Bergbreiter, D. E.; Osburn, P. L.;Wilson, A.; Sink, E. M.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 9058.
65. Brunel, J. M.; Heumann, A.; Buono, G.; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 1946.
66. Alonso, D. A.; Najera, C.; Pacheco, M. C.; Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1823.
67. Gruber, A. S.; Zim, D.; Ebeling, G.; Monteiro, A. L.; Dupont, J.; Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1287.
68. Zim, D.; Gruber, A. S.; Ebeling, G.; Dupont, J.; Monteiro, A. L.; Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2881.
69. Beletskaya, I. P.; Chuchurjukin, A. V.; Dijkstra, H. P.; Klink, G. P. M.; Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 1075.
70. Dupont, J.; Gruber, A. S.; Fonseca, G. S.; Monteiro, A. L.; Ebeling, G.; Burrow, R. A.; Organometallics 2001, 20, 171.
71. Iyer, S.; Ramesh, C.; Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 8981.
72. Silveira, P. B.; Lando, V. R.; Dupont, J.; Monteiro, A. L.; Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 2327.
73. Rocaboyc, C.; Glagysz, J. A.; Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 1993.
74. Reetz, M. T.; Westermann, E.; Lohmer, R.; Lohmer, G.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39,
8449.
75. Hennings, D. D.; Iwana, T.; Rawal, V. H.; Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1205.
76. Sonogoshira, K.; Tohda, Y.; Hagihara, N.; Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 50, 4467.

CHAPTER 4
Transition Metal Catalyzed S-O,

C-N $\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{B r}$ Bond Formation and $\mathcal{N}(B S$-Catalyzed
Aziridination of Olefins

SECTION-I
Tungsten Catalyzed Asymmetric Sulfoxidation and Kinetic Resolution of Aryl Alkyl Sulfides using Chiral Cinchona Alkaloids

### 4.0.1 Introduction

The oxidation of thioethers to give either sulfoxide or sulfone is one of the important synthetic reactions in organic chemistry. Gentle oxidation to sulfoxide alone requires highly selective conditions, which are generally difficult to achieve, whereas complete oxidation to sulfone is much easier to achieve. Asymmetric oxidation of thioethers to chiral sulfoxides has gained considerable importance in past few years. Chiral sulfoxides are important class of compounds that are finding increasing uses as chiral auxiliaries in asymmetric synthesis. ${ }^{1}$ Current interest in chiral sulfoxides is also due to the existence of products with biological properties that contains sulfinyl group for e.g. antiulcer drugs such as lansoprazole, omeprazole, leminoprazole, rabeprazole, NMDA $\mathrm{NR}_{1 \mathrm{~A} 2 \mathrm{~B}}$ antagonist PD-196860, etc. (Fig. 1).


Fig. 1: Some of anti-ulcer drugs with sulfinyl group.

Chiral sulfoxides are also important chiral synthons for the asymmetric $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formation, ${ }^{1,2}$ These compounds are of interest in the pharmaceutical industry also. ${ }^{3}$

### 4.0.2 Review of Literature

Asymmetric oxidation of prochiral sulfides is in principle a straightforward route to chiral sulfoxides. Unfortunately, for a long time the enantioselectivity of such reactions remained very low. Renewed interest came in the early 80 's with progress obtained in
various approaches. Among these methods, oxidation by hydroperoxides in the presence of chiral complexes, the use of chiral oxaziridines, electrochemical oxidation with chiral electrodes and enzymatic or microbial reactions seems to be the most attractive for the synthesis. There are very few examples of procedures based on kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides known in the literature, which involve employment of chiral Ti or Mn complexes as catalysts.

## Ross et al.

Ross et al. ${ }^{4}$ have reported microbial oxidation of sulfides (Scheme 1). Enantioselectivities were very high but the yields were low (upto 30\%).


## Scheme 1: (i) Aspergillus niger.

## Czarnik A. W. ${ }^{5}$

A "template directed" asymmetric sulfoxidation has been attempted in the presence of excess of $\beta$-cyclodextrin. Oxidation reactions were performed by using $m$-CPBA in water. The best result ( $33 \%$ ee) was attained for $m$ - $t$-butyphenylethyl sulfoxide.

## Ohta et al. ${ }^{6}$

Corynebacterium equi IFO 3730 gave high ee's in the oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides with no formation of sulfones (Scheme 2 ).


Scheme 2: (i) Corynebacterium equi IFO 3730.

## Drabowicz et al.

Drabowicz et al. ${ }^{7}$ observed modest asymmetric induction ( $27 \%$ ee) in oxidation of $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{S}^{n} \mathrm{Bu}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in the presence of $\beta$-cyclodextrin.

## Furia et al.

Furia et al. ${ }^{8}$ reported asymmetric sulfoxidation of thioethers using stoichiometric amount of Sharpless' asymmetric epoxidation reagent (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right)_{4},(+)$-diethyl tartarate, $\operatorname{TBHP}(1: 2: 1: 4), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or toluene, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Komori et al. ${ }^{9}$

The authors prepared various types of polyamino acid coated electrodes. The electrodes were platinum or graphite plates and in some cases polypyrrole films were coated or covalently bound to the base electrode surface. Oxidations were carried out by means of a controlled potential method in acetonitrile containing ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBF}_{4}$ and water. The best results were obtained for sulfides bearing bulky alkyl groups (e.g. Ph-S-'Bu gave $93 \%$ ee).

## Pasini et al.

Pasini et al. ${ }^{10}$ have developed chiral oxotitanium(IV)-Schiff base complexes $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 4), (catalyst:substrate ratio $=1: 1000$ to $1: 1500$ ) for the oxidation of $\mathrm{Ph} \mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Me}$ with $35 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ but ee was low (<20\%) and some sulfone is also formed.


Scheme 4: Chiral Ti-Schiff base complex for asymmetric sulfoxidation.

## Yamagishi et al. ${ }^{11}$

Clay-chiral chelate adducts were also used as templates in the presence of an oxidant such as $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, m$-CPBA or $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ in water. $\Delta$ - $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { phen })_{3}{ }^{+}$-montmorillonite clay showed an appreciable enantioselectivity. CyclohexylS-Ph at room temperature gave the corresponding sulfoxide in $78 \%$ ee ( $90 \%$ yield) using $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ and $62 \%$ ee ( $90 \%$ yield) using $m$-CPBA. Unfortunately, the sulfide must be preadsorbed on montmorillonite clay, with the latter in large excess.

## Colonna et al.

Colonna et al. ${ }^{12 a}$ have investigated a wide range of sulfides to study periodate oxidation catalyzed by bovin serum albumin (BSA). The reactions were performed by stirring a heterogeneous mixture of sulfides, $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{BSA}(0.05 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$. The ee obtained was in the range of $33-40 \%$. Same authors observed a low level of enantioselectivities with chiral titanium complexes of N -salicylidene-L-amino acids $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 5). ${ }^{12 b}$ These catalysts ( 0.1 mol equiv.) gave ee below $25 \%$ in oxidation of various sulfides with TBHP in benzene at room temperature.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 2a } \mathrm{R}={ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr} \\
& \text { 2b } \mathrm{R}={ }^{i} \mathrm{Bu} \\
& \text { 2c } \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~N}=} \mathrm{NH}
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 5: Chiral Ti-aminoacid complex for asymmetric sulfoxidation.

Dioxiranes were generated in situ from ketones and caroate ( $\mathrm{KHSO}_{5}$ ) in presence of $B S A$ and sulfides at $\mathrm{pH} 7.5-8.0$. Reactions were performed at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Yields were satisfactory and enantioselectivities were upto $89 \%$ ee. ${ }^{12 \mathrm{c}}$

## Fujita et al.

Fujita et al. ${ }^{13 a}$ prepared the catalyst by the reaction of a Schiff base of (R,R)cyclohexanediamine $\mathbf{3}$ with $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ in pyridine (Scheme 6). The isolated complex $\mathbf{4}$ was used as a catalyst ( $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ equiv.) for the asymmetric oxidation of methyl phenyl sulfide
by trityl hydroperoxide in methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The (R)-sulfoxide with $53 \%$ ee was isolated in good yield.


Scheme 6: (i) TiCl, pyridine.

Asymmetric oxidation of sulfides with cumene hydroperoxide using the Schiff base-oxovanadium(IV) complex 5 (Scheme 7) was also reported by Fujita and coworkers. ${ }^{13 b}$


Scheme 7: (i) Catalyst 5 ( 0.1 mol equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{RT}$.

## Sugimoto et al.

Sugimoto et al. ${ }^{14}$ found that BSA, a carrier protein in biological systems, is a host for aromatic sulfides. Based on this observation, the oxidation of sulfides with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ was attempted in aqueous solution ( pH 9.2 ) in the presence of BSA ( 0.06 to 0.5 mol equiv. with respect to sulfide). The enantioselectivities were in range of 7-81\% ee.

## N aruta et al.

Naruta et al. ${ }^{15}$ prepared chiral "twin coronet" iron porphyrin 6 (Scheme 8). This $C_{2}$ symmetric complex efficiently catalyzed the oxidation of sulfides with PhIO . Addition of 1-methylimidazole, which acts as an axial ligand of iron, is necessary to get good ee.




Scheme 8: (i) catalyst 6, PhIO (iodosylbenzene), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, 1-methylimidazole.

## Halterman et al.

Halterman et al. ${ }^{16}$ provided a new example of the asymmetric oxidation of sulfides by iodosylbenzene, catalyzed by a $D_{4}$ symmetric manganese-porphyrin complex 7 (Scheme 9 ).



Scheme 9: Mn-tetraphenylporphyrin complex.

## Jacobsen et al.

Jacobsen et al. ${ }^{17}$ used chiral $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-(salen) complexes as catalyst for asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides with unbuffered $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ in acetonitrile. The catalytic activity is excellent ( $2-3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), but the maximum enantioselectivity achieved was $68 \%$ ee.

## Umeura et al.

Umeura et al. ${ }^{18}$ found that kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides can be catalyzed by a chiral $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$-binaphthol complex to give the optically pure sulfoxides in moderate chemical yields under very mild conditions (Scheme 10). The catalytic system used was
$(\mathrm{R})$-(+)-binaphthol $/ \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=0.10 / 0.050 / 1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ equiv. relative to racemic sulfoxide.


Scheme 10: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$, (R)-binaphthol, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70 \%$ aqueous $\operatorname{TBHP}(0.05 / 0.1 / 1.0 / 1.0), \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Imagakawa et al. ${ }^{19}$

Enantioselective aerobic oxidation of sulfides as well as kinetic resolution of sulfoxides into optically active sulfoxides was achieved by using pivalaldehyde in the presence of a catalytic amount of optically active $\beta$-oxo Mn-(III) complexes 8 (Scheme
11).


Scheme 11: (i) cat. 8, $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}$.$) , pivalaldehyde, toluene, RT.$

## Kagan et al. ${ }^{20}$

The Sharpless reagent for asymmetric epoxidation was modified by the addition of 1 mol equivalent of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to give a new homogeneous reagent [ $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4} /$ diethyl tartarate $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{TBHP}=1: 2: 1: 1$ ). This reagent was used for oxidation of alkyl aryl sulfoxides (ee ranged between $70-90 \%$ for R isomer) and dialkyl sulfoxides (ee $50-71 \%$ ) (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right)_{4}(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R})$-diethyl tartarate, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{TBHP}(1: 2: 1: 1.1), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Kagan et al. ${ }^{20 b}$ reported the optimization of the water-modified Sharpless titanium complex $\left(\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4} /(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R})-\mathrm{DET} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1: 2: 1\right)$ which gave rise to very high enantioselectivities (ee over 99\%) (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: (i) 1 equiv. of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{P} \mathrm{P}_{4} 4 / \mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}\right)-\mathrm{DET} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=1: 2: 1$, cumyl hydroperoxide, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Scettri et al. ${ }^{21}$

Kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides was achieved by enantioselective oxidation to sulfones under Sharpless-type conditions (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14: (i) $\left.\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.5 equiv.), L-DET (2 equiv.), cumene hydroperoxide (CHP, 0.65 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-23^{0} \mathrm{C}$.

Scettri et al. ${ }^{51}$ reported the use of furulhydroperoxides 9 as oxidant for the Ticatalyzed kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i} P r}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.5 equiv.), L -DET ( 4 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-23^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Adam et al. ${ }^{\text {² }}$

Adam and coworkers have examined the Ti-catalyzed asymmetric oxidation of alkyl aryl sulfides (ee 99\%) by enantiomerically pure hydroperoxide 10 (Scheme 16). In case of kinetic resolution of ( $\pm$ )-methyl $p$-tolyl sulfoxide ee upto $44 \%$ was achieved.


Scheme 16: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathbf{1 0}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Rosini et al. ${ }^{23}$

Asymmetric oxidation of prochiral sulfides to optically active sulfoxides mediated by a chiral Ticomplex with diol 11 and TBHP as oxidant was developed (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}($ cat. $),(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R}) \mathbf{1 1}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, t-\mathrm{BuOOH}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Bonchio et al. ${ }^{24}$

Partially hydrolyzed zirconium catalyst bearing the polydentate ligand 12 (Scheme
18) was used as a catalyst for asymmetric sulfoxidation with high ee ( $80-90 \%$ ).


Scheme 18: (i) $\left[\left(\mathrm{ZrL}_{2}{ }_{2}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{BuO}\right)(\mathrm{OH}) \cdot \mathrm{nH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]_{\mathrm{m}}\right.$, cumene hydroperoxide, $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$. (L* $\left.{ }^{*}=12\right)$

## Fontecave et al. ${ }^{25}$

Complex 13 efficiently catalyzes the oxidation of aryl sulfides to the corresponding sulfoxides by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, with yields ranging from $45-90 \%$ and ee upto $40 \%$ (Scheme 19).
complex 13



Scheme 19: Fe-Chiral bipyridine derivative complex.

## Katsuki et al. ${ }^{26}$

(R,R)-Di- $\mu$-oxo Ti(salen) 13a was found to serve as an efficient catalyst for asymmetric oxidation of various sulfides with urea- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Scheme 20). High enantioselectivities (ee upto 94\%) were achieved using this catalyst.


Scheme 20: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathbf{1 3 a}\left(2 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), urea- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (UHP), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Matsugi et al. ${ }^{27}$

An effective catalytic asymmetric oxidation of prochiral sulfide $\mathbf{1 4}$ to (S)-14a was achieved by the use of chiral Ti-mandelic acid complex (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21: $\quad \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}_{4}\right.$, cumene hrdroperoxide (CHP), MS $4 \AA, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{c}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$.

### 4.0.3 Present Work

### 4.0.3.1 Objective

Although there are many methods known in the literature for asymmetric oxidation of sulfides to chiral sulfoxides, ${ }^{1}$ they involve use of costly enzymes, cumbersome
experimental procedures and use of ligands, which are either costly or very difficult to prepare. There are very few reports available on metal catalyzed kinetic resolution (KR) of racemic sulfoxides. In order to overcome these difficulties a new method for asymmetric sulfoxidation as well as for KR of racemic sulfoxides involving commercially available cheap reagent is highly desirable.

Tungsten is known for catalyzing or assisting a variety of oxidation reactions like hydroxylation of dienes, ${ }^{28}$ epoxidation of allylic alcohol ${ }^{29 \mathrm{a}}$ and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated acids ${ }^{29 \mathrm{c}}$ as well as oxidation of sulfides to sulfoxides or sulfones. ${ }^{30}$ Even though it has excellent ability to catalyze oxidation reactions, its use in asymmetric oxidation has not been reported. We have decided to explore the use of tungsten salts [such as sodium tungstate $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}\right)$ and tungsten trioxide $\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)$ ] in combination with chiral ligands and various oxidants for effecting asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides as well as KR of racemic sulfoxides.

### 4.0.4 Results and Discussion

## a) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed asymmetric sulfoxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides

Phenyl benzyl sulfide was selected as a model substrate for studying the asymmetric sulfoxidation reaction (Scheme 22). Various catalytic systems were screened for the enantioselective oxidation of thioethers, the results of which are summarized in Table 1. Among all the systems studied, a combination of tungsten trioxide $\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)$ and chiral cinchona alkaloids (entries 4, 6, 7 and 16) was found to be the best catalytic system ( $70 \%$ yield, $53 \%$ ee), which was taken for further studies.


Scheme 22: (i) catalyst ( $10-20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), oxidant ( 1.5 equiv.), solvent.
Table 1: Asymmetric oxidation of $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{S}_{-} \mathrm{Ph}^{\mathrm{a}}$ using $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : effect of catalysts ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Catalyst | Chiral auxiliary | Solvent | Temp ( ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time <br> (h) | Yield ${ }^{\text {c }}$ (\%) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{ee}^{\mathrm{d}}(\%) \\ (\mathrm{ac})^{\mathrm{e}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{WO}_{4}$ | Quinine sulfate | MeOH | 25 | 0.5 | $47^{\text {r }}$ | 2 (R) |
| 2. | - | (R)-Camphor | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 38 | 2 | - |
| 3. | - | (R)-Camphor | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{\text {g }}$ | 25 | 24 | 3 | - |
| 4. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 15 | 28 | 29 (R) |
| 5. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | (-)-Sparteine | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 40 | $9^{\text {h }}$ | 2 (S) |
| 6. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | DHQD ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 0 | 46 | 79 | 27 (S) |
| 7. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}{ }^{\text {j }}$ | (-)-Quinine, | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 0 | 48 | 83 | 25 (R) |
| 8. | - | (-)-Quinine | THF | 25 | 28 | $62^{\text {k }}$ | 13 (R) |
| 9. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}{ }^{1}$ | (-)-Quinine | THF | 0 | 45 | 39 | 15 (R) |
| 10. | $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ | (+)-Menthol | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -20 | 2 | 89 | 3 (R) |
| 11. | - | L-Tartaric acid | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 48 | 37 | 0 |
| 12. | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | (-)-Sparteine ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | Toluene | 60 | 48 | 0 | - |
| 13. | - | (-)-Quinine | - | 0-25 | 30 | 83 | 3 (R) |
| 14. | - | (-)-Sparteine | - | 0-25 | 48 | 0 | - |
| 15. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | PTC ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cb}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 8 | 86 | 13 (R) |
| 16. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2} \mathrm{PY}^{\text {P }}{ }^{\text {o }}$ | THF | 25 | 5 | 70 | 53 (R) |
| 17. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}{ }^{\text {p }}$ | Hydroquinidine | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 26 | 58 | 0 |
| 18. |  | PTC ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 47 | 34 | 6 |
| 19. | $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ | (-)-Quinine ${ }^{\text {q }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 24 | 0 | - |

a) $\mathrm{Bn}=$ benzyl; b) reaction conditions: catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), chiral auxiliary ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(1.5$ equiv.), solvent; c) yield refer to isolated yields after column chromatography; d) based on $[\alpha]_{D}$ values; e) absolute configuration (ac) determined by comparison of $[\alpha]_{D}$ with literature values; f) $44 \%$ sulfone; g) $\mathrm{MeOH}\left(20 \mathrm{vol} \%\right.$ ) used as co solvent; h) $30 \%$ sulfone; i) dihydroquinidine; j) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ ( 2 equiv.) used as additive; k) $16 \%$ sulfone; l) $\mathrm{CuCl}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ used as cocatalyst; m$) \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1 atm .) used as oxidant; n ) N-benzyl cinchoninium chloride; o) hydroquinidine 2,5-diphenyl-4,6-pyrimidinediyl diether; p) sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ); q ) isovaleraldehyde and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ were used as oxidant.

The catalytic system consisting of $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ and chiral cinchona alkaloids was tested with variety of solvents like $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, acetone, THF, etc. The results of sulfoxidation are summarized in Table 2. The reaction was carried out at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as well as $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ to study the effect of temperature on various catalytic systems. THF was proved to be the best solvent among all solvents used, whereas water and acetic acid system have proved to be detrimental for oxidation.

Table 2: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed oxidation of sulfides (Ar-S-R) using $30 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Sr. <br> No. | Sulfide | Ligand | Solvent | Temp. ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{b} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \%eec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ph-S-Me | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 5 | 88 | 14 |
| 2. | Ph-S-Me | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 0 | 28 | 85 | 25 |
| 3. | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Me}$ | (-)-Quinine | MeOH | 25 | 2 | 86 | 3 |
| 4. | $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Me}$ | (-)-Quinine | MeOH | 0 | 16 | 89 | 4 |
| 5. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | Acetone | 25 | 10 | 79 | 17 |
| 6. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | Acetone | 0 | 30 | 75 | 23 |
| 7. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | THF | 25 | 4 | $58^{\text {d }}$ | 34 |
| 8. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | THF | 0 | 27 | 88 | 41 |
| 9. | Bn-S-Ph | $(-)$-Quinine | AcOH | 25 | 0.5 | 96 | - |
| 10. | Bn-S-Ph | $(-)-\mathrm{QS}^{\text {e }}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 25 | 24 | 65 | - |
| 11. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 25 | 20 | 68 | 9 |
| 12. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 0 | 42 | 62 | 13 |
| 13. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Quinine | - | 0-25 | 20 | 84 | 5 |
| 14. | Bn-S-Ph | (-)-Sparteine | - | 0-25 | 48 | - | - |

a) reaction conditions: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, ligand ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.); b) yields are isolated yields after column chromatography; c) (R)isomer, determined by comparison of $[\alpha]_{D}$ values reported in literature; d) $24 \%$ sulfone formed; e) quinine sulfate.

Various oxidants such as aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, aq. $70 \%$ TBHP, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-urea complex, Oxone ${ }^{\circledR}$, etc. were also screened for this catalytic system, the results of which are summarized in Table 3. Among all oxidants employed for this reaction, $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as well as $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-urea complex was found to work well with the present catalytic system. 1.5 Equivalent of aq. $30 \% \mathrm{HO}_{2}$ was necessary to give excellent yields of sulfoxides, but if it was increased to 2 or 3 equivalent, formation of considerable amounts of sulfones was observed. However, it may be noted that the catalytic system filed to induce optical induction with oxidants like $m-\mathrm{CPBA}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, aq. $70 \% \mathrm{TBHP}$, Oxone $^{\circledR}$, etc.

Table 3: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed oxidation of $\mathrm{Bn}-\mathrm{S}^{-\mathrm{Ph}^{\mathrm{a}}}$ : effect of oxidants

| Sr. | Ligand | Oxidant | Solvent | Temp. <br> No. |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 1. | (-)-Quinine | 70\% TBHP | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 25 | 48 | 2 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm}$. | THF | 25 | 30 | 0 | - |
| 3. | (-)-Quinine | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-urea | THF | 25 | 32 | 25 | 33 |
| 4. | (-)-Quinine | $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | THF | 25 | 4 | 58 | 34 |
| 5. | (-)-Quinine | 70\% TBHP | THF | 25 | 24 | 16 | 0 |
| 6. | (-)-Quinine | $m$-CPBA | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 25 | 36 | 35 | 0 |
| 7. | Hydroquinidine | 70\% TBHP | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 25 | 48 | 30 | 0 |
| 8. | Hydroquinidine | $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ | THF | 25 | 2.5 | 35 | 0 |
| 9. | Hydroquinidine | Oxone ${ }^{\circledR}$ | Acetone | 25 | 0.1 | 67 | 0 |

a) reaction conditions: catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ligand ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), oxidant ( 1.5 equiv.); b) yields are isolated yields after column chromatography; c) (R)-isomer, determined by comparison of $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ values reported in literature.

After all these initial studies, $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, chiral cinchona alkaloid ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and aq. $30 \% \varliminf_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.) in THF as solvent has emerged as the best catalytic system for the asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides. Various aryl alkyl sulfides $\mathbf{1 5}$ a-j (Scheme 23) were subjected to oxidation with this catalytic system to get optically active sulfoxides 16 a-j (Table 4).


Scheme 23: (i) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF .

Table 4: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Ar | R | Temp ( ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ ) | Time <br> (h) | Yield $(\%)^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $[\alpha]^{25}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \mathbf{e e}^{\text {c }} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | ac ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | 49 | 88 | + 60.01 (c 1.7, acetone) | 41 | R |
| 2. | Ph | Et | 0 | 44 | 82 | + 95.70 (c 0.03 acetone) | 51 | R |
| 3. | Ph | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 0 | 44 | 83 | + 76.52 (c 1.6, acetone) | 45 | R |


| 4. | Ph | $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 0 | 40 | 90 | +62.63 (c 2.0, EtOH) | 35 | R |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. | Ph | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 0 | 36 | 78 | + 90.53 (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) | 46 | R |
| 6. | Ph | $\mathrm{Bn}^{\text {e }}$ | 0 | 24 | 88 | +129.71 (c 1.5, acetone) | 51 | R |
| 7. | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | 46 | 83 | - 49.75 (c 2.2, EtOH) | $39^{\text {f }}$ | S |
| 8. | Ph | Bz | 25 | 10 | $61^{\text {g }}$ | +109.28 (c 1.5, acetone) | 43 | R |
| 9. | Ph | $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 25 | 24 | $35^{\text {h }}$ | + 82.31 (c 2.0, EtOH) | 46 | R |
| 10. | Ph | Me | 0 | 40 | 77 | + 65.78 (c 1.7, acetone) | $45^{\text {i }}$ | R |
| 11. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | 44 | 81 | + 63.90 (c 2, acetone) | 44 | R |
| 12. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Et | 0 | 46 | 86 | + 81.04 (c 1.3, acetone) | 43 | R |
| 13. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 0 | 42 | 83 | + 72.28 (c 2.6, acetone) | 41 | R |
| 14. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Bn | 0 | 34 | 85 | + 137.50 (c 1, acetone) | 55 | R |
| 15. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 0 | 32 | 87 | - 30.16 (c 3, EtOH) | $52^{\text {f }}$ | S |

a) reaction conditions: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (1.5 equiv.), THF; b) yields refer to isolated yield after column chromatography; c) ee based on comparison of $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ values reported in literature ${ }^{20,31}$; d) absolute configuration; e) $\mathrm{Bn}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}$; f) ( DHQ$)_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (hydroquinine 2,5-diphenyl-4,6-pyrimididinediyl diether) ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) used as ligand; g) $30 \%$ corresponding sulfone is also formed; h) $60 \%$ corresponding sulfone is formed; i) $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ligand used.

It is evident from Table 4 that a variety of aryl alkyl sulfides $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{a j}$ underwent oxidation under the reaction conditions to yield the corresponding optically active sulfoxides 16 a-j in $70-90 \%$ yields and $35-55 \%$ enantiomeric excess. Sulfides possessing bulky R groups such as benzyl showed better enantioselectivity than other alkyl groups. Reactions performed at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ resulted in less enantioselectivity as compared to reactions at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Increasing amount of ligand (upto $50 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) does not have any significant effect on the enantiomeric excess of the product. Both antipodes (R) and (S) of sulfoxides can be prepared by changing the chiral ligand (entries 7 and 15). Continuing the reaction at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for longer time results in formation of considerable amount of the corresponding sulfone with improvement in enantioselectivity of sulfoxide (entry 9), which indicates that kinetic resolution of sulfoxide formed in situ is probably taking place resulting in the enrichment of its enantiomer. This gave us the idea that this catalytic system could also be used for the kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides as discussed in the following section.

## b) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed kinetic resolution of $( \pm$ )-sulfoxides

Various racemic sulfoxides $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 6} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{j}$ were subjected to the tungsten-catalyzed kinetic resolution using (DHQD)2-PYR as ligand (Scheme 24).

$( \pm)-16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{j} \quad 17 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{j} \quad(+)-16 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{j}$
Scheme 24: (i) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR $\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF , $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Kinetic resolution was performed at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford optically active sulfoxides (+)$\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{a j}$ in $25-44 \%$ yields and $40-82 \%$ ee along with the corresponding sulfones $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{j}$. The results are summarized in Table 5. Pd-catalyzed system, reported for the kinetic resolution of secondary alcohols, ${ }^{32 a}$ was used for the kinetic resolution of sulfoxides but failed to give any kinetically resolved product. Sulfoxides bearing bulky R substituents like benzyl gave higher enantioselectivity as compared to other sulfoxides.

Table 5: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed kinetic resolution of aryl alkyl sulfoxides. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Sr. <br> No. | Ar | R | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{\text {b,c }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $[\alpha]^{25}$ | $\% \mathrm{ed}^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ph | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 12 | 40 (55) | + 86.22 (c 1.7, acetone) | 59 |
| 2. | Ph | Et | 10 | 39 (52) | + 123.78 (c 0.03, acetone) | 66 |
| 3. | Ph | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 12 | 32 (60) | + 101.96 (c 1.6, acetone) | 60 |
| 4. | Ph | $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 10 | 44 (48) | + 44.74 (c 2.0, EtOH) | 25 |
| 5. | Ph | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 13 | 35 (62) | + 139.69 (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) | 71 |
| 6. | Ph | Bn | 20 | 31 (57) | + 201.00 (c 1.5, acetone) | 79 |
| 7. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 16 | 29 (66) | + 97.21 (c 2.0, acetone) | 67 |
| 8. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Et | 14 | 33 (67) | + 109.31 (c 1.3, acetone) | 58 |
| 9. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 12 | 28 (66) | + 109.25 (c 2.6, acetone) | 62 |
| 10. | $4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Bn | 18 | 25 (72) | + 205.11 (c 1.0, acetone) | 82 |

[^1]

Fig. 2: HPLC chromatogram for ( $\mathbf{R}$ )-phenyl benzyl sulfide
c) Asymmetric synthesis of anti-ulcer drug lansoprazole

The asymmetric sulfoxidation methodology was applied for the enantioselective synthesis of both the isomers of anti-ulcer drug, lansoprazole, from sulfide $\mathbf{1 8}$ (prepared by following the reported procedure ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~b}}$ ) (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25: (i) $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF

## d) Mechanism

The following pieces of information throw light on the mechanism of the reaction. The asymmetric sulfoxidation proceeded well in presence of chiral PTC ( N -benzyl cinchoninium chloride, Table 1 entry 15 and 18). Further, the catalyst $\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)$ remained undissolved in the reaction mixture throughout the reaction so that it might be possible that the ligand is acting as an excellent phase-transfer catalyst for transferring the peroxo species $\mathbf{A}$ formed by the reaction of $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (Fig. 3). The coordination of ligand with tungsten could also be seen as other possibility but the insolubility of tungsten in the
reaction mixture rules out this possibility. The quinine-catalyzed reaction gave $62 \%$ yield and 13\%ee (Table 1 entry 8), which indicates that the presence of tungsten is necessary to improve the \%ee. Although mechanism is not quite clear at this stage, it can be said that ligand acts as a PTC for the transfer of tungsten peroxo species, which results in optical induction in the resulting sulfoxide.

The plausible mechanism for the $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-catalyzed sulfoxidation is shown in Fig. 3. The peroxo species A formed by the reaction of $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ is believed to coordinate with ligand ( $L^{*}$ ) to form species $\mathbf{B}$ in situ. Aryl alkyl sulfide also coordinates with one of the oxygen to form the species $\mathbf{C}$ where oxygen transfer takes place in a chiral environment of ligand ( $L^{*}$ ) to form chiral sulfoxide and the catalyst is regenerated to continue the catalytic cycle


Fig. 3: Plausible mechanism for $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ catalyzed asymmetric sulfoxidation

### 4.0.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated for the first time, the use of tungsten trioxide as a catalyst for the asymmetric oxidation of aryl alkyl sulfoxides in presence of chiral cinchona alkaloids such as $(-)$-quinine, $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$, $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2}$-PYR and dihydroquinidine to give optically active sulfoxides in $70-90 \%$ yield and $35-55 \%$ ee. The catalytic system is also very effective for the kinetic resolution of racemic aryl alkyl sulfoxides to give chiral sulfoxides in $24-44 \%$ yield and $40-81 \%$ ee.

### 4.0.6 Experimental Section

## General procedure for asymmetric sulfoxidation of aryl alkyl sulfides:

To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ mixture of $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$-PYR $(0.088 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sulfide $\mathbf{1 5} \mathbf{~ a j}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 ml ), $30 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.17 \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for the specified time (Table 4), the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 ml ) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography by using pet. ether and EtOAc as eluents to afford the corresponding pure sulfoxides (+)-16 a-j.

## General procedure for kinetic resolution of racemic sulfoxides:

A 25 ml RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR $(0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and racemic sulfoxide $( \pm)-\mathbf{1 6} \mathbf{~ a j}(1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 ml ). To this reaction mixture was added $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.17 \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was further stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the specified time (Table 5). Then the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc ( 10 ml ) and washed with water and brine. Organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified on column chromatography using pet. ether and EtOAc as eluents to afford optically active sulfoxides (+)-16 a-j and the corresponding sulfones $\mathbf{1 7} \mathbf{a - j}$


Yield: $40 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}$ D +86.22 (c 1.7, acetone); HPLC: $59 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=$ 254 nm , hexane:2-propanol (9:1), $0.5 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: $(\mathrm{R})=21.72 \mathrm{~min},(\mathrm{~S})=$ $26.31 \mathrm{~min} . ;$ IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 692, 750, 958, 1037, 1089, 1253, 1296, 1415, 1444, 1477, 2914, 2999, 3050; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}$ ): $\delta 2.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ $7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 42.96,123.06,128.83,130.59,144.56 ; \mathbf{M S}$ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $140\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 90\right), 125$ (100), 109 (10), 97 (65), 94 (20), 77 (52); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 59.97$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.75$; S, 22.87; found $\mathrm{C}, 59.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.72 ; \mathrm{S}$, $22.81 \%$.
(R)-(+)-Phenyl ethyl sulfoxide (16b): Yield: 39\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : +123.78 (c 0.03, acetone), $66 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 692, 748, 997, 1020, 1045, 1085, 1145, 1255, 1307, 1401, 1444, 1477, 1583, 2875, 2975, 3056; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.20(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.79-2.97 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.63 (m, 5H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.40$,
49.47, 123.76, 128.68, 130.59, 142.63; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 154 ( ${ }^{+}$, 48), 126 (98), 109 (15), 97 (45), 91 (20), 78 (100), 69 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, 62.30; H, 6.54; S, 20.79; found C, 62.28; H, 6.48; S, 20.81\%.
(R)-(+)-Phenyl isopropyl sulfoxide (16c): Yield: $32 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : +101.96 (c 1.6 , acetone), $60 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 536, 692, 752, 1022, 1087, 1365, 1382, 1442, 1477, 1630, 2827, 2931, 2970, 3056; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.13$ (d, $J=7.06 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.78-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.35,15.50,54.10,124.60,128.53,130.63,141.25 ; \mathbf{M S}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$, RI): $168\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8\right), 126$ (80), 110 (10), 97 (12), 78 (100), 65 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, $65.25 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.19 ; \mathrm{S}, 19.06$; found $\mathrm{C}, 65.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.23 ; \mathrm{S}, 19.03 \%$.
(R)-(+)-Phenyl ${ }^{n}$ butyl sulfoxide (16d): Yield: 44\%; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +44.74 (c 2.0, EtOH), $25 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 536, 692, 750, 1037, 1087, 1145, 1305, 1444, 1465, 1583, 2871, 2933, 2958; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.61-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{t}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.23,21.42,23.66,56.45,123.61,128.79,130.52,143.42$; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 65.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.74 ; \mathrm{S}, 17.59$; found $\mathrm{C}, 65.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.63$; S, $17.53 \%$.
(R)-(+)-Phenyl cyclohexyl sulfoxide (16e): Yield: $35 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }_{\mathbf{D}}$ : + 139.69 (c 2.0, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ), $71 \%$ ee; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 689, 997, 1022, 1050, 1080, 1142, 1255, 1401, 1444, 1477, 1583, 2975, 3056; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}$ ) : $\delta 1.25-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.52-2.63 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 23.74,25.06,25.32,26.02$, 62.85, 124.79, 128.69, 130.70, 141.47; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 208 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 126 (100), 110 (10), 78 (7); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, 69.19; H, 7.74; S, 15.39; found C, 69.08 ; H, 7.72; S, 15.23\%.
(R)-(+)-Phenyl benzyl sulfoxide (16f) : Yield: $31 \%$; mp: $124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +201.00 (c 1.5, acetone); HPLC: $79 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$, hexane:2-propanol (9:1), 0.5 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: $(\mathrm{R})=14.82 \mathrm{~min},(\mathrm{~S})=17.42 \mathrm{~min}$; IR $\left(\right.$ Neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 694,746,765$, 914, 1035, 1085, 1149, 1215, 1377, 1442, 1454, 1463, 1494, 2854, 2921, 2958; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}$ ): $\delta 3.99$ (d, $J=14.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-7.00(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 7.27-7.45 (m, 8H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 63.44,124.31,128.09,128.28$, 128.72, 129.05, 130.23, 131.00, 142.69; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 216 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5$ ), 200 (2),

125 (5), 97 (6), 91 (100), 65 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, 72.19; H, 5.59; S, 14.82; found C, $72.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.53 ; \mathrm{S}, 14.83 \%$.
(R)-(+)-p-Tolyl methyl sulfoxide (16g): Yield: $29 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{D}}+97.21$ (c 2.0 , acetone); HPLC: 67\% ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$, hexane:2-propanol (9:1), 0.5 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: $(\mathrm{R})=18.51 \mathrm{~min},(\mathrm{~S})=20.42 \mathrm{~min}$.; IR $\left(\mathrm{Neat}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 692,750,958$, 1037, 1089, 1253, 1296, 1415, 1444, 1477, 2914, 2999, 3050; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 20.98, 43.47, 123.24, 129.67, 141.18, 141.80; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, $62.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.54 ; \mathrm{S}, 20.79$; found C, $62.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.53 ; \mathrm{S}, 20.63 \%$.
(R)-(+)- $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolyl ethyl sulfoxide (16h): Yield: $33 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +109.31 (c 1.3, acetone); HPLC: 58\% ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$, hexane:2-propanol (9:1), 0.5 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: $(\mathrm{R})=14.91 \mathrm{~min},(\mathrm{~S})=17.83 \mathrm{~min} . ; ~ I R ~\left(N e a t, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 540,692$, 752, 1021, 1097, 1365, 1386, 1442, 1477, 1630, 2827, 2970, 3056; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( 200 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=8.00 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), $7.50\left(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.47,20.91,49.76,123.72$, 129.38, 139.60, 140.85; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 168 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18$ ), 152 (7), 139 (50), 92 (100), 91 (79), 77 (39), 65 (61); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, 64.25; H, 7.19; S, 19.06; found C, 64.22; H, 7.13; S, 19.13\%.
(R)-(+)- $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolyl isopropyl sulfoxide (16i): Yield: $28 \%$; gum; $[\alpha]^{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{D}$ : +109.25 (c 2.6, Retention time: $(R)=15.40 \mathrm{~min},(S)=16.82 \mathrm{~min} . ; \quad$ IR (Neat, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 698,756,1012,1088$, 1368, 1388, 1444, 1479, 1632, 2828, 2931, 2978, 3056; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $1.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.75-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30$ (d, $J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 13.41$, 15.21, 20.83, 53.88, 124.45, 129.05, 137.01, 140.81; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $182\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, 3), 140 (50), 124 (6), 92 (100), 91 (68), 77 (13), 65 (26); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}$ requires C, 65.89; H, 7.74; S, 17.59; found C, 65.82; H, 7.63; S, 17.53\%.
(R)-(+)- p-Tolyl benzyl sulfoxide (16j): Yield: $25 \%$; mp: $140-141^{0} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]^{25} \mathbf{D}:+205.11$ (c 1, acetone), $82 \%$ ee; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCb}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 698,748,765,914,1035,1095,1159,1215,1378$, 1444, 1454, 1468, 1494, 2854, 2924, 2958; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}): ~ \delta 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.28(\mathrm{~m}$, 7 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.05,63.22,124.09,127.80,128.06,129.20,130.00$, 139.27, 141.18; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $230\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right.$ ), 91 (100), 77 (5), 65 (30);

Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 73.01$; $\mathrm{H}, 6.13$; S, 13.92; found $\mathrm{C}, 73.12$; H, 6.13; S, 13.83\%.

## Spectral data for some selected sulfones

Phenyl methyl sulfone (17a): Yield: $55 \%$; mp: $88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 501,540$, 692, 748, 956, 997, 1049, 1089, 1151, 1305, 1415, 1444, 1477, 1593, 2914, 2997, 3056; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.07$ (s, 3H), 7.58-7.68 (m, 3H), 7.95 (d, J = $8.28 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 44.14,126.39,129.12,133.46,140.30 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}(\% \mathrm{rel}$. intensity): 156 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 78$ ), 141 (70), 125 (8), 94 (64), 77 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 53.83; H, 5.16; S, 20.53; found C, 53.82; H, 5.13; S, 20.43\%.
Phenyl ethyl sulfone (17b): Yield: $52 \%$; mp: $42-43^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 544,697,758$, 966, 997, 1049, 1091, 1152, 1305, 1416, 1448, 1477, 1593, 2925, 2997, 3066; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}_{\mathrm{B}}$ ): $\delta 1.13(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 6.98,50.17,127.23,128.94,133.31$, 138.42; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $170\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 75\right), 141$ (60), 125 (20), 94 (75), 77 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 56.45 ; H, 5.92; S, 18.84; found C, 56.32 ; H, 5.93; S, 18.63\%.

Phenyl isopropyl sulfone (17c): Yield: $60 \%$; mp: $46-47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Neat, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 729,763 , 1053, 1087, 1143, 1263, 1303, 1446, 1467, 1585, 2939, 2981, 3066; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( 200 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=$ $8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 58.67 ; H, $6.56 ; \mathrm{S}, 17.40$; found C, $58.62 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.43; S, 17.33\%.

Phenyl ${ }^{n}$ butyl sulfone (17d): Yield: $48 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 588,671,729,768$, 1053, 1088, 1143, 1273, 1304, 1446, 1467, 1585, 2939, 3068; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10(\mathrm{t}, J=6.14$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.58-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 60.57; H, 7.12; S, 16.17; found C, 60.52; H, 7.23; S, 16.13\%.

Phenyl benzyl sulfone (17f) : Yield: $67 \%$; mp: $150-151^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 668,758$, 785, 914, 1035, 1095, 1154, 1215, 1379, 1434, 1454, 1468, 1510, 2854, 2934, ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 62.70,127.98,128.46,128.46,128.76,130.70,133.61,137.12$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $232\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 10\right), 167$ (8), 132 (15), 91 (100), 77 (10), 65 (98); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.22 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.21 ; \mathrm{S}, 13.80$; found $\mathrm{C}, 67.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.23 ; \mathrm{S}$, 13.73\%.
p-Tolyl ethyl sulfone (17h): Yield: $57 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 544,687,759,968$, 997, 1051, 1091, 1152, 1305, 1406, 1438, 1478, 1593, 2905, 2957, 3066; ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR (200 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.92 (d, $J=8.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 6.90,50.02,127.62,128.83$, 133.28, 138.05; Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 58.67 ; H, 6.56 ; S, 17.40; found C, 58.62 ; H, 6.43; S, $17.43 \%$.
p-Tolyl benzyl sulfone (17j): Yield: $72 \%$; mp: $151-152^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 748,775$, 914, 1035, 1095, 1149, 1215, 1378, 1404, 1424, 1458, 1494, 2854, 2914, 2958, 3015; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, $68.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.73$; S, 13.02; found C, $68.12 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.73; S, 13.13\%.

Asymmetric synthesis of (R)-(+)-lansoprazole from sulfide 18: A 25 ml RB flask was charged with $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol})$, (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR $(0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sulfide $\mathbf{1 8}^{32 \mathrm{~b}}(0.353 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 2 ml ) and the mixture was cooled to 0 C . To this was added aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.17 \mathrm{ml}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0^{0} \mathrm{C}$ (monitored by TLC). After completion the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc $(10 \mathrm{ml})$ and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was purified by column chromatography by using pet. ether: EtOAc (6:4) as eluent to afford 0.312 g ( $84 \%$ ) (R)lansoprazole.
(R)-(+)-Lansoprazole: Yield: $84 \%$; mp: $162-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dec.); $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}:+150.60$ (c 0.5 , acetone); HPLC: $82 \%$ ee, Chiralcel OD-H, $\lambda=254 \mathrm{~nm}$, hexane:2-propanol (9:1), 0.5 $\mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}$. Retention time: $(\mathrm{R})=18.4 \mathrm{~min},(\mathrm{~S})=21.3 \mathrm{~min}$. $\mathrm{IR}\left(\right.$ Nujol, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 576,746,858$, 973, 1037, 1110, 1163, 1263, 1267, 1379, 1444, 1579, 1658, 2852, 2950, 3053, 3200; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{q}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.30 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.30 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 8.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 11.08,30.19,61.11,66.25(\mathrm{q}, J=0.40 \mathrm{~Hz}), 107.27,116.61,124.66$, 148.88, 150.54, 153.52, 163.07; MS m/z (rel. intensity): 369 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 26$ ), 353 (20), 308 (4), 320 (60), 252 (16), 238 (83), 204 (40), 165 (56), 150 (32), 137 (51), 122 (72), 106 (82), 90 (73), 77 (50), 65 (76), 52 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2}$ requires C, 52.03 ; H, 3.82; N, 11.38; S, 8.68 found C, 52.02; H, 3.72; N, 11.31; S, 8.73\%.


Fig. 4: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 h}$


Fig. 5: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 c}$


Fig. 6: ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1 6 g}$


Fig. 7: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of ( $\mathbf{R}$ )-lansoprzaole

## SECTION-II

## Cu and Mn-Catalyzed Bromoamination of Olefins

### 4.1.1 Introduction

The fuctionalization of olefins by addition of two different functional groups in a single step provides access to wide range of functional group manipulation in organic synthesis. Variety of reactions such as aminohydroxylation, halohydration, haloazidation, azidohydroxylation and haloamination are some of the examples of this kind of synthetic transformation. The vicinal haloamine functionality presents a very useful structural moiety in synthetic organic chemistry as the halo functionality can be replaced by a variety of nucleophiles such as azido $\left(\mathrm{N}_{3}\right)$, cyano $(\mathrm{CN})$, acetate ( OAc ), alkoxy (OR), amino (NHR), thio (SR), etc. thereby providing a new class of functionalized reactive intermediates in organic synthesis. On treatment with base the vicinal haloamines can be converted to the corresponding aziridines, which are important building blocks in organic synthesis. Thus, the vicinal haloamines represents a very useful class of compounds in organic synthesis. ${ }^{33}$

### 4.1.2 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that even though the initial work has been started in the late forties, the progress on direct haloamination of olefins has been quite tardy. Most of these methods, which involve use of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dihalo sulfonamides or carbamates as halogen and amine sources, are described below.

## Kharasch et al.

Kharasch et al. ${ }^{34}$ have studied the addition of Nbromo-N-methyl sulfonamides 19 and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibromosulfonamides 20 to styrene to give the corresponding bromoamine (Scheme 26).


Scheme 26: (i) RT, stirring.

## Terauchi et al. ${ }^{35}$

Reaction between N , N -dihalosulfonamide with cyclohexene and styrene was studied, cyclohexene gave many addition products such as cis and trans-2-halo-1benzenesulfonamidocyclohexanes 21 a and $\mathbf{b}$, trans-1,2-dihalocyclohexane (22), 1,3cyclohexadiene (23), 1-cyclohexene-3-one (24) and benzene sulfonamide (25) (Scheme 27).


Scheme 27: (i) reflux 10 min. then $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min ; (ii) $5 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$.

## Danither et al. ${ }^{36}$

The addition of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dichlorosulfonamides to olefins and conjugated dienes has been examined. The reaction of these reagents with propylene and styrene gave high yields of N -chloro-N-( $\beta$-chloroalkyl)sulfonamides 26 which have predominantly antiMarkovnikov orientation (Scheme 28).

$$
\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NCl}_{2}+{ }_{\mathrm{Ph}} \rightleftharpoons \xrightarrow{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Cl})-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{Cl}^{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}(\mathbf{2 6 )} 91 \% \text { yield }\right.
$$

Scheme 28: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{0}-\mathrm{RT}$

## Zwierzak et al. ${ }^{37}$

Diethyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dibromophosphoramidate (DBPA, 27) and $t$-butyl $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ dibromocarbamate (28), prepared from $t$-butyl carbamate, was added to phenyl ethylenes and terminal olefins to give N -bromo adducts, which were reduced in-situ $\left(\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}\right)$ to give diethyl-N-( $\beta$-bromoalkyl)phosphoramidates and $\beta$-bromo-N-Boc-amines respectively (Scheme 29). The addition followed anti-Markovnikov fashion.



Scheme 29: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux; (ii) $12 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}, 5-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) HCl , benzene; (iv) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (2 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, RT; (v) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux.

## Bach et al. ${ }^{38}$

2-Alkenyloxycarbonyl azides 29 underwent an efficient intermolecular aminochlorination with TMSCl catalyzed by $\mathrm{FeCl}_{2}$ to furnish the corresponding 4 (chloromethyl) -oxazolidinones 30 a-b in $60-84 \%$ yield (Scheme 30).


Scheme 30: (i) $\mathrm{FeCl}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to RT.

## Li et al. ${ }^{39}$

Recently, Cu or Zn -catalyzed aminochlorination of cinnamic esters had been developed producing vicinal haloamine derivatives 31 in $52-85 \%$ yields and $>95 \%$ regioand stereoselectivities. ${ }^{39 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dichloro-p-toluenesulfonamide was used as chlorine as well as nitrogen source (Scheme 31).


Scheme 31: (i) $\mathrm{TsNCl}_{2}, 4 \AA \mathrm{MS}, \mathrm{CuOTf}$ or $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, RT; (ii) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$.

Same authors developed new regio-and stereoselective aminohalogenation of cinnamic esters using the combination of $2-\mathrm{NsNCl}_{2} / 2-\mathrm{NsNHNa}$ (Ns= nitrobenzenesulfonyl) as the nitrogen and chlorine sources respectively and CuOTf as catalyst (Scheme 32). ${ }^{39 b}$


## Scheme 32: (i) $2-\mathrm{NsNCl}_{2} / 2-\mathrm{NsNHNa}(2 / 1), \mathrm{CuOTf}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, RT ; (ii) aq. $\mathrm{NaSO}_{3}$.

Li et al. ${ }^{39 \mathrm{c}}$ used Pd-complex 32 catalyzed aminohalogenation of cinnamic esters has been developed using $p-\mathrm{TsNCb}$ as the nitrogen and chlorine sources (Schene 33).


Scheme 33: (i) $\mathrm{TsNCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}^{2}$-catalyst 32 ( $8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (ii) aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$.

N -Chloro- N -sodium-sulfonamide was found to react with olefins in the presence of copper catalyst to give vicinal haloamine derivative instead of aziridines (Scheme 34). ${ }^{39 \mathrm{~d}}$


Scheme 34: (i) $o$ - $\mathrm{NsNCINa}, \mathrm{CuOTf}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$; (ii) aq. $\mathrm{NaSO}_{3}$.

### 4.1.3 Present Work

### 4.1.3.1 Objective

Although there are many methods available in the literature for haloamination of olefins, they suffer from certain drawbacks like low yields, multi-step reaction sequences, cumbersome experimental procedures and the use of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dihalo sulfonamides or carbamates as the nitrogen as well as bromine sources.

Initially, we were interested in developing a simple and efficient procedure for transition metal catalyzed aziridination of olefins using $p$-toluene sulfonamide as nitrogen source in presence of N -bromosuccinimide (NBS). It is believed that bromosulfonamide formed in situ, by the reaction of NBS and p-toluene sulfonamide is expected to form nitrene in presence of copper salts, which then reacts with olefins to form aziridines. However, such a reaction took altogether a different course in furnishing bromoaminated products in high yields, the results of which are discussed in this section.

### 4.1.4 Results and Discussion

When styrene was treated with $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ and NBS (all in equimolar quantities) in presence of catalytic amount of CuI in dichloromethane, the corresponding bromoaminated product was obtained in very high yield with high regioselectivity (>99\%) (Scheme 35). Change of either solvent or catalyst has not affected the product selectivity (Table 6).


Scheme 35: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuI}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

We then turned our attention to systematically explore the utility of this catalytic system for the bromoamination of olefins using $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ and NBS as nitrogen and bromine sources respectively. Accordingly, different metal salts were screened as catalysts for this reaction (Table 6).

Table 6: Bromoamination of styrene using $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ and NBS: Effect of catalysts ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$

| Sr. No. | Catalyst | Time <br> (h) | Product | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{\text {b }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | CuI | 2 | 33a | 92 |
| 2. | $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.5 | 33a | 85 |
| 3. | CuCN | 4 | 33a | 71 |
| 4. | $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 5 | 33a | 65 |
| 5. | NiCb. $6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 8 | 33a | 58 |
| 6. | $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 6 | 33a | 64 |
| 7. | $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | 6 | 33a | 65 |
| 8. | $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ | 12 | 33a | 30 |
| 9. | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 2 | 33a | 89 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1 | 34a | 97 |

a) reaction conditions: styrene ( 2.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{NBS}(2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$, catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(5 \mathrm{ml}), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) yields refer to isolated product after column chromatography; c) N , N '-bis( 3,5 -di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1, 2-cyclohexanediaminomanganese(III) chloride.

Among various metal salts screened, Cu and Mn metal salts (particularly CuI and $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ ) were found to give better results. However, in the case of $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen complex, there was a reversal in the regioselectivity of the product to give 34a exclusively.

In order to screen the best solvent system, bromoamination of styrene was performed in a variety of solvents using salts of copper or manganese as catalysts. The results of such solvent study are summarized in Table 7. Among all solvents used, dichloromethane $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ and benzene gave the best results.

Table 7: Bromoamination of styrene: Effect of solvents ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Sr. No. | Catalyst | Solvent | Time <br> (h) | Product | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{\text {b }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | CuI | $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | 3 | 33a | 88 |
| 2. | CuI | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 6 | 33a, 34a $(1: 1)^{\text {c }}$ | 15 |
| 3. | CuI | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 3 | 33a | 91 |
| 4. | CuI | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 2 | 33a | 92 |
| 5. | CuI | $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | 4 | 33a | 75 |
| 6. | CuI | Acetone | 8 | 33a | 45 |
| 7. | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 3 | 33a | 86 |
| 8. | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 3 | 33a | 90 |
| 9. | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1 | 34a | 94 |
| 10. | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 2$ | 1 | 34a | 97 |
| 11. | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen | Toluene | 2 | 34a | 92 |
| 12. | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen | MeOH | 6 | -- | $0^{\text {e }}$ |

a) reaction conditions: styrene ( 2.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$, NBS ( 2.2 mmol ), catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), solvent $\left.(5 \mathrm{ml}), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{b}\right)$ yields refer to isolated product after column chromatography; c) determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectroscopy; d) N , N '-bis(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1, 2-cyclohexanediaminomanganese(III) chloride; e) starting material recovered back.

A variety of olefins were then subjected to bromoamination using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as solvent (Scheme 36), the results of which are summarized in Table 8 .


Scheme 36: (i) Cu or Mn catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As can be seen from Table 8 that a variety of olefins underwent bromoamination smoothly with $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ and NBS to give the corresponding bromoaminated product in excellent yields. Aromatic olefins gave better yields compared to aliphatic olefins.

Table 8: Bromoamination of olefins using Cu or Mn catalysts: Substrate scope ${ }^{\text {a }}$
Sr.
No.

The structures of both regioisomers were confirmed by mp, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ and mass spectroscopy. For example, compound 33a showed a doublet at $\delta 3.58$ for homobenzylic protons and a quartet at $\delta 4.57$ for benzylic proton. Further a doublet at $\delta$ 5.38 is due to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton, which is exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed typical peaks at $\delta 36.39$ and 58.11 for the homobenzylic and benzylic carbons respectively (Fig. 8).


Fig. 8: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of bromoamine 33a

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{3 4 a}$, the regioisomer of $\mathbf{3 3}$, shows multiplets at $\delta 3.50-3.58$ and $\delta 4.85-4.99$ corresponding to homobenzylic and benzylic protons respectively. On exchange with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, multiplet at $\delta 4.85-4.99$ and $3.50-3.58$ simplifies to a triplet and doublet of doublet respectively which shows that the amino functionality is
present on homobenzylic carbon. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum shows signals at $\delta 49.84$ and 52.45 due to homobenzylic and benzylic carbons respectively. Its mass spectrum showed a fragmented ion peak at m/e 184, due to $\mathrm{TsNH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (Fig. 9).


Fig. 9: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$-exch. spectra of bromoamine 34a

Various $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds were also subjected to bromoamination (Table 9) using this catalytic system to give the corresponding bromoaminated products in good to excellent yields. However it may be noted that both Cu and Mn catalysts gave the same regioisomer and no reversal of regiochemistry was observed except in the case of 40Me-phenyl case (Scheme 37).


Scheme 37: (i) Cu or Mn-catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv.), NBS (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 9: Bromoamination of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Ar | R | Catalyst | Time <br> (h) | Product | anti:syn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{\text {c }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | CuI | 28 | 36a | >99:1 | 75 |
|  | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 24 | 36a | >99:1 | 80 |
|  | Ph | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 24 | 36a | >99:1 | 82 |
| 4. | 4-Cl-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 26 | 36b | >99:1 | 78 |
| 5. | Ph | COPh | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 10 | 36 c | >99:1 | 88 |
| 6. | 4-Cl-C6 ${ }_{6}$ | $\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 28 | 36d | >99:1 | 60 |
| 7. | 4-Cl-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | COPh | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 26 | 36e | >99:1 | 72 |
| 8. | 4-OMe-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 20 | 37 f | >99:1 | 80 |
| 9. | 4-OMe-C6 ${ }_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | COPh | $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ | 15 | 37 g | >99:1 | 88 |
| 10. | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | CuI | 40 | 36b | >99:1 | 63 |

(a) ) reaction conditions: olefin ( 2.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(2 \mathrm{mmol})$, NBS ( 2.4 mmol ), catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CL}_{2}$ ( 5 ml ), $25^{0} \mathrm{C}$; (b) determined based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$; c) yields refer to isolated product after column chromatography; d) $\mathrm{N}, \quad \mathrm{N}$ '-bis(3,5-di-tert-butylsalicylidene)-1, 2-cyclohexanediaminomanganese(III) chloride.

As can be seen from Table 9, a variety of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds reacted smoothly to afford the corresponding bromoaminated products in good regio- and diastereoselectivity. $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ proved to be better catalyst than those of either CuI or $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen. The amine functionality is generally introduced at the $\alpha$ position to carbonyl group except in case of $\mathbf{3 7} \mathbf{f}$ and $\mathbf{g}$ (entries 8 and 9). This may be due to the influence of electron donating nature of OMe group. The regiochemistry was confirmed by
mass spectrum which showed a typical peak for $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{NHTs}) \mathrm{COR}$ fragment. The stereochemistry was confirmed by converting the bromoaminated compound 36a into the corresponding known trans-aziridine.


Fig. 10: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of bromoamine 36a

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 6}$ a shows a multiplet at $\delta 4.444 .52$ for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ proton due to coupling with $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$; which simplifies to a doublet after $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange. A doublet at $\delta 5.11$ is due to $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ proton; a doublet at $\delta 5.27$ is due to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed typical peaks at $\delta 51.20$ and 52.48 for $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbons ( $\mathbf{F i g}$. 10).

Other nitrogen sources like cyclohexyl amine, aniline and acetamide were also tried but in all these cases the reaction failed to give bromoaminated product and only the
corresponding dibromides were isolated in low yields. Asymmetric version of this reaction was also attempted by using the chiral $\mathrm{Mn}(\mathrm{III})$-salen complex $[(\mathrm{R}, \mathrm{R})-(-)-\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-bis( $3,5-\mathrm{di}-$ tert-butylsalicylidine)-1,2-cyclohexanediaminomanganese(III) chloride, Jacobsen's catalyst]. The reaction proceeded well to give the products in excellent yield but very low specific rotation $\left\{[\alpha]_{D}=-0.41 .5\right\}$ values were observed.

## Mechanism

The mechanistic aspect of this reaction is not yet clear. Preliminary studies show that first $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ reacts with NBS to form TsNHBr (species $\mathbf{A}$ ), which acts as an active species in presence of metal catalyst. The formation of TsNHBr was confirmed by isolating it and characterizing by the mass spectrometry when stochiometric amount of $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ and NBS was allowed to react. The plausible mechanism for this reaction is shown in Fig. 11. The coordination of metal with nitrogen of species $\mathbf{A}$ weakens the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Br}$ bond so as to form $\mathrm{TsNH}^{\delta-} \mathrm{Br}^{\delta+}$ species for subsequent electrophilic addition onto olefin (B). The electrophilic addition onto olefin results in formation of the species $\mathbf{C}$. The metal associated $\mathrm{TsNH}^{-}$species as the nucleophile attacks the bromonium anion $\mathbf{C}$; resulting in bromoaminated product and the metal catalyst is regenerated to continue the catalytic cycle. The regiochemistry of the products can be explained based on the stearic factors. In case of CuI or $\mathrm{MnSO}_{4}$ the $\mathrm{TsNH}^{-}$attacks the benzylic position, which is more electrophilic for styrene type substrates. However, when Mn -(III)-salen is used as catalyst, the less hindered homobenzylic site is preferred for attack rather than benzylic position due to bulky nature of ligand. In case of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated system ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ar}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon undergoes the nucleophilic attack by $\mathrm{TsNH}^{-}$species to give the corresponding bromoaminated product. In case of $p$-OMe group, the benzylic carbocation is better stabilized with strong electron donating nature of OMe functionality so that the $\mathrm{TsNH}^{-}$ attacks at $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ position giving the other regioisomer exclusively.


Fig. 11: Plausible mechanism for bromoamination of olefins

### 4.1.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a novel method for the bromoamination of olefins catalyzed by Cu and Mn using $\mathrm{TsNH}_{2}$ as nitrogen source and NBS as bromine source. The corresponding bromoaminated products were formed in excellent yields (upto $97 \%$ ) with high regio- and stereoselectivity (>99\%).

### 4.1.6 Experimental Section

## General experimental procedure for bromoamination of olefins:

A mixture of olefin ( 2 mmol ), Cu or Mn catalyst $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{TsNH}_{2}(0.342 \mathrm{~g}, 2$ mmol) and NBS ( $0.427 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{ml})$ was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion the reaction, it was diluted with EtOAc ( 15 ml ) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude product, which was purified on column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether and EtOAc as eluents to afford pure product.


Yield: $92 \%$; mp: $168-169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 661, 705, 811, $935,1093,1165,1325$, 1334, 1461, 1596, 2854, 2923, 3257; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H - N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.39$ (s, 3H), 3.58 (d, $J=621 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{q}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.38\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.46$, 36.49, 58.11, 126.70, 127.10, 128.20, 128.57, 129.49, 136.80, 137.61, 143.53; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 354 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 260 (60), 155 (60), 118 (30), 104 (60), 91 (100), 77 (40), 65 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 50.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55 ; \mathrm{Br}, 22.56 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.95 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.05$; found C, $50.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.50 ; \mathrm{Br}, 22.58 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.81 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.12 \%$.
1-(4-Chloromethylphenyl)-1-(p-toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromoethane (33b): Yield: $90 \%$; mp: $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 669,761,1159,1215,1377,1463,2921,2952$, 3236; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.38$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.55 (d, $J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.51-4.64 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.54\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.08-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}\right.$ : $\delta 21.42,36.24,45.50,57.81,127.14$, 128.72, 129.49, 136.80, 137.47, 137.91, 143.57; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $403\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 354$ (8), 308 (40), 155 (20), 132 920), 118 (100), 105 (25), 91 (98), 77 (10), 65 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 47.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.25 ; \mathrm{Br}, 19.84 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 8.80 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.48 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.96$; found C , 47.62; H, 4.10; Br, 19.86; Cl, 8.66; N, 3.41; S, 7.89\%.
$\mathbf{2 - ( 4 - C h l o r o p h e n y l )}$-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)-3-bromopropane (33c): Yield: 93\%; mp: $144-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 565,765,825,1091,1151,1319,1458,1596,2854$, 2923, 2954, 3253; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.72$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.64 (d, $J=$ $11.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.83\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ), 5.55 (s, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.71-7.75$
$(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.24 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.39,25.24,42.89$, 60.13, 126.88, 127.69, 128.24, 129.31, 133.68, 138.94, 139.12, 143.24; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $403\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 388$ (2), 308 (90), 231 (10), 166 (25), 155 (96), 138 (40), 125 (30), 91 (100), 65 (25); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 47.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.25 ; \mathrm{Br}, 19.84 ; \mathrm{Cl}$, 8.80; N, 3.48; S, 7.96; found C, 47.74; H, 4.18; Br, 19.76; Cl, 8.86; N, 3.44; S, 7.83\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-1-Phenyl-1 -( $\boldsymbol{p}$-toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromopropane (33d): Yield: $92 \%$; mp: $134-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 669,759,1161,1215,1377,1460,1598,2854,2925,2954$, 3269; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.23 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$ exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.05-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 21.28,22.05,53.29,62.63,126.95,127.62$, 127.87, 128.13, 128.35, 129.16, 129.64, 136.33, 136.99, 143.09; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $368\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 260$ (20), 212 (10), 155 (45), 107 (48), 91 (100), 71 (40), 57 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 52.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.93 ; \mathrm{Br}, 21.70 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.80 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.71$; found $\mathrm{C}, 52.22 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.90; Br, 21.55; N, 3.71; S, 8.68\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-2-Bromo-1-(p-toluenesulfonamido)-indane (33e)


Yield: $89 \%$; mp: $169-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCb}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 588, 665, 736, 923, 1081, 1153, 1331, 1377, 1463, 1595, 2872, 2923, 3245; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-$ $3.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.14 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.14 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.18$ Hz exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.06-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}\right): \delta 21.30,40.91,51.64,66.74,124.26,124.67,126.87,127.24,128.55$, 129.38, 138.29, 139.79, 142.91; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $366\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 286$ (15), 221 925), 155 (23), 130 (100), 115 (60), 103 (50), 91 (96), 77 (30), 65 (40); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 52.47 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.40 ; \mathrm{Br}, 21.82 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.82 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.76$; found $\mathrm{C}, 52.42 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.28; Br, 21.83; N, 3.81; S, 8.72\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans -1-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromocyclohexane (33f): Yield: 78\%; mp: 116$117^{0} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 665,813,1093,1159,1326,1448,1598,2862,2929,3276 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.21-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.35 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.28,23.08,24.77,32.30,35.32,54.72,58.18,127.03,129.34,137.32,143.23 ;$

MS m/z (rel. intensity): 333 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+1}, 25$ ), 210 (60), 172 (28), 155 (80), 91 (100), 81 (92), 65 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 46.99 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.46 ; \mathrm{Br}, 24.05 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.22 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.65$; found C, 46.92; H, 5.40; Br, 23.98; N, 4.21; S, 9.58\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-1-(p-Toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromocyclooctane (33g): Yield: 80\%; mp: 98$99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 669, 757, 1091, 1159, 1215, 1328, 1444, 1598, 2860, 2929, 3020, 3280; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.25-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.42-3.52(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.02-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.78 (d, $J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.31,24.77$, 25.21, 25.47, 31.64, 32.01, 59.32, 60.79, 127.28, 129.27, 136.77, 143.20; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $359\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}, 1\right), 333$ (10), 280 (25), 210 (40), 172 (20), 155 (65), 133 (20), 91 (100), 65 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 50.00 ; $\mathrm{H}, 6.15$; Br, 22.18; N, 3.89; S, 8.90; found C, 49.92; H, 6.10; Br, 22.04; N, 3.81; S, 8.88\%.

3-(p-Toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromo-1-propanol (33h) TsHN $\underbrace{\mathrm{Br}} \mathrm{OH}$
Yield: $75 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $667,813,1093,1159,1290,1326,1429,1596,2923$, 3278, 3480; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=$ $6.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.14 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.32$ (d, $J=8.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}): \delta 21.35$, 45.54, 52.54, 63.40, 126.77, 129.75, 136.29, 143.72; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $309\left(\mathrm{M}^{+1}, 1\right)$, 184 (20), 171 (40), 155 (50), 135 (20), 121 (20), 107 (80), 91 (100), 77 (15), 65 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 38.97; H, 4.58; Br, 25.93; N, 4.55; S, 10.40; found C, 38.92; H, 4.50; Br, 25.88; N, 4.41; S, 10.32\%.

1-Phenyl-1-methanesulfonamido-2-bromoethane (33i): Yield: 94\%; mp: $106-108^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 669,757,977,1149,1215,1326,1423,1496,3020,3280 ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(200$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

1-(3-Methylphenoxy)-2-bromo-3-(p-tolouenesulfonamido)propane (33k)

exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.35-7.45 (m, 5 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 36.58$, 41.77, 58.47, 126.64, 128.59, 128.91, 138.33; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $277\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}, 1\right), 184$ (100), 118 (23), 106 (98), 91 (30), 78 (38), 65 (10); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C,
38.86; H, 4.35; Br, 28.73; N, 5.04; S, 11.53; found C, 38.82; H, 4.30; Br, 28.75; N, 4.91; S, 11.48\%.

Yield: $76 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 667, $754,881,842,1024,1091,1161,1240,1290$, 1330, 1477, 1573, 1596, 2871, 2923, 3024, 3282; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.35(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.43 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 7.73 (d, $J=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 21.36,22.89,46.14,48.25$, 68.91, 113.47, 117.13, 126.78, 129.65, 132.67, 136.36, 143.59, 156.72; MS m/z (rel. intensity): 324 (8), 219 (20), 199 (10), 188 (90), 171 (20), 155 (20), 107 (100), 91 (52), 77 (40); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 51.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.06 ; \mathrm{Br}, 20.06 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.52 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.05$; found C, $51.32 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.98 ; \mathrm{Br}, 19.91 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.51 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.88 \%$.
Yield: $97 \%$; mp: $113-114^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 662,710,1086,1153,1340,1461,1590$, 1593, 1655, 2930, 2985, 3252; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-3.58(\mathrm{~m}$,

1-Phenyl-1-bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)ethane (34a)


2H), 4.85-4.99 (m, simplifies to triplet with $J=7.12 \mathrm{~Hz}$ on D2O exchange, 2 H ), 7.24-7.33 $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.42,49.84,52.45$, 126.84, 127.51, 128.79, 129.71, 136.69, 138.09, 143.64; MS m/z (rel. intensity): 354 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$, 1), 184 (30), 155 (35), 118 (20), 105 (20), 91 (100), 77 (20), 65 (25); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 50.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.55$; $\mathrm{Br}, 22.56$; $\mathrm{N}, 3.95$; S , 9.05 ; found $\mathrm{C}, 50.83$; H,4.50; Br, 22.58; N, 3.81; S, 9.12\%.

1-(4-Chloromethylphenyl)-1-bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)ethane (34b): Yield: $95 \%$; mp: $111-112^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 549, 669, 757, 1093, 1161, 1215, 1334, 1419, 1456, 1598, 2854, 2925, 3018, 3284; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.43$ (s, 3H), 3.51$3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.23 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with D2O, 1H), $4.92(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.43 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta \quad 21.42,45.35,49.76,51.75,126.88,127.98,128.98,129.78,136.69,138.17,138.81$, 143.72; MS m/z (rel. intensity): 403 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 219 (10), 184 (100), 155 (80), 139 (15), 130 (30), 117 (30), 103 (25), 91 (85); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 47.72; H, 4.25; Br, 19.84; Cl, 8.80; N, 3.48; S, 7.96; found C, 47.62; H, 4.10; Br, 19.86; Cl, 8.66; N, 3.41; S, 7.89\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-1-Phenyl-1-bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)propane (34d): Yield: 97\%; gum; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCb}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 584, 667, 700, 757, 813, 896, 987, 1093, 1161, 1211, 1332, 1380, 1450, 1494, 1598, 2927, 2981, 3029, 3276; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.32 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-5.10(\mathrm{~m}$, simplifies to d, $J=4.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$ on $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange, 2 H ), $7.29-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta$ 17.01, 21.28, 55.06, 61.34, 126.81, 128.06, 128.28, 129.56, 137.76, 143.27; MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (rel. intensity): 368 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 212 (10), 198 (65), 171 (15), 155 (60), 120 (20), 91 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 52.18; H, 4.93; Br, 21.70; N, 3.80; S, 8.71; found C, 52.15; H, 4.86; Br, 21.65; N, 3.78; S, 8.61\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-1-Bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)indane (34e): Yield: $90 \%$; mp: $136-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 667, 752, 767, 1093, 1161, 1215, 1340, 1429, 1598, 3020, $3274 ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $10.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.93-23.04 (dd, $J=6.13 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $16.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.55 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.20 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.19-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.36 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.50,36.49,56.31,59.54,125.04,127.10$, 127.60, 129.75, 137.43, 139.49, 140.52, 143.75; MS m/z (rel. intensity): $365\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}, 1\right), 286$ (30), 196 (15), 155 (20), 130 (100), 115 (25), 103 (40), 91 (38); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 52.47; H, 4.40; Br, 21.82; N, 3.82; S, 8.76; found C, 52.38; H, 4.48; Br, 21.79; N, 3.81; S, 8.74\%.

Ethyl 3-(4-chlorophenyl)-3-bromo-4-(p-toluenesulfonamido)butanoate (34j)


Yield: $94 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : $551,667,732,813,1012,1093,1163,1184,1334$, 1494, 1596, 1731, 2925, 2981, 3274; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}_{3}\right): \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{t}, J=10.11 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.21-7.44 (m, 6H), $7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.45 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 13.67,21.20,44.84,52.67,60.68,64.54,126.70,128.17,129.49,133.90$, 136.36, 138.97, 143.35, 168.38; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $474\left(\mathrm{M}^{-1}, 2\right), 283$ (15), 246 (20), 194 (70), 171 (80), 165 (100), 155 (70), 136 (40), 107 (20), 91 (95); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $47.96 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.66 ; \mathrm{Br}, 16.79 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 7.45 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.94 ; \mathrm{S}, 6.74$; found C , 47.92; H, 4.50; Br, 16.65; Cl, 7.32; N, 2.91; S, 6.72\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-Methyl 3-bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)-3-phenylpropionate (36a)


Yield: $75 \%$; mp: $136-137^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 696,754,904,1091,1159,1215,1346$, 1367, 1454, 1494, 1596, 1730, 2854, 2923, 3280; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.41$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.44-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.23 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.22-7.29 (m, 7H), $7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ : $\delta 21.35,51.20,52.48,61.60,126.92,127.10,128.09,128.50,128.87$, 129.38, 136.22, 143.61, 169.34; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $411\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 353$ (1), 242 (36), 155 (55), 117 (36), 91 (100), 77 (25), 65 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 49.52; H, 4.40; Br, 19.38; N, 3.40; S, 7.78; found C, 49.42; H, 4.33; Br, 19.24; N, 3.41; S, 7.72\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-Ethyl 3-bromo-3-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-toluenesulfonamido)propionate (36b): Yield: $78 \%$; mp: $119-121^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 676,813,1027,1091,1163,1265$, 1336, 1461, 1595, 1716, 2854, 2923, 3236; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.15(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{q}, J=6.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.08 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and 8.14 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.08 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 7.19-7.32 (m, 6H), $7.60\left(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 13.74,21.42$, $50.09,61.60,62.11,127.10,128.61,129.42,129.64,134.71,135.22,136.62,143.53$, 169.12; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 461 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1$ ), 388 (10), 307 (10), 256 (80), 155 (92), 117 (33), 91 (100), 65 (35); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 46.92; H, 4.16; $\mathrm{Br}, 17.34$; Cl, 7.69; N, 3.04; S, 6.96; found C, 46.82; H, 4.10; Br, 17.28; Cl, 7.66; N, 3.01; S, 6.85\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-3-Phenyl-3-bromo-2-(p-toluenesulfonamido)propiophenone (36c): Yield: $88 \%$; mp: $142-144^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 662,756,921,1091,1161,1217,1338,1450$, 1596, 1687, 2925, 3022, 3274; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.14 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $8.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.14 \mathrm{~Hz}$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 21.16,51.45,60.31,125.26,126.84,127.21$, 128.42, 128.76, 129.23, 133.97, 135.08, 136.55, 143.27, 196.54; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $457\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.5\right), 377$ (1), 354 (10), 288 (15), 273 (20), 155 (33), 117 (67), 105 (100), 91 (53), 77 (40), 65 (13); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 57.65; H, $4.40 ; \mathrm{Br}$, 17.43; N, 3.06; S, 7.00; found C, 57.52; H, 4.33; Br, 17.49; N, 2.91; S, 6.88\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-4-bromo-3-(p-toluenesulfonamido)2-butanone (36d):
Yield: $60 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 667,757,1091,1159,1215,1336,1492,1596,1724$, 3020, 3278; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.13 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.04-7.17$ (m, 6H), $7.41\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.50,30.43,49.10$, 64.76, 126.88, 128.64, 129.56, 134.78, 135.22, 136.40, 143.90, 205.21; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $429\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.1\right), 388$ ( 0.5 ), 246 (10), 226 (3), 196 (10), 155 (50), 125 (73), 91 (100), 65 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 47.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.98$; $\mathrm{Br}, 18.55 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 8.23$; N, 3.25; S, 7.44; found C, 47.42; H, 3.90; Br, 18.45; Cl, 8.21; N, 3.21; S, 7.41\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-3-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3-bromo-2-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-toluenesulfonamido)-propiophenone (36e): Yield: $72 \%$; $\mathbf{m p}: 168-170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 667,757,1091,1159,1215,1336$, 1492, 1596, 1691, 3020, 3278; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.31$ (s, 3H), 5.00 (d, $J=$ $6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.66\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.11 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right)$, 6.98-7.26 (m, 6H), 7.39-7.50 (m, 5H), $7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 21.28,49.98,59.65,126.66,128.57,128.98,129.20,129.89,133.97,134.71$, 135.48, 135.63, 137.17, 143.13, 197.05; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 493 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3$ ), 412 (6), 388 (32), 307 (50), 288 (73), 155 (33), 117 (45), 105 (100), 91 (73), 77 (73), 65 (23); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{BrClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 53.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.89 ; \mathrm{Br}, 16.21 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 7.19 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.84 ; \mathrm{S}$, 6.51; found C, $53.42 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.79 ; \mathrm{Br}, 16.12 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 7.12 ; \mathrm{N}, 2.81 ; \mathrm{S}, 6.45 \%$.
( $\pm$ )-trans-Ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-tolylsulfonamido)-2-bromopropionate (37f)


Yeild: $80 \%$; mp: $117-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 676, $813,1027,1091,1163,1265,1336$, 1461, 1595, 1708, 2854, 2923, 3246; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=6.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79-$ $4.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.21 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.25 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.21 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67-6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.52,21.13,47.19,54.98,59.43,62.26,113.61,126.88$, 128.17, 128.98, 137.32, 142.80, 159.26, 168.09; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $455\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right)$, 410 (1), 370 (2), 290 (60), 155 (40), 134 (27), 91 (100), 65 (30); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 50.00; H, 4.86; Br, 17.52; N, 3.07; S, 7.03; found C, 49.82; H, 4.80; Br, 17.44; N, 3.11; S, 6.92\%.
( $\pm$ )-trans-3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-(p-toluenesulfonamido)-2-bromopropiophenone
(37g): Yield: $88 \%$; mp: $132-134^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCb}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 662, $756,921,1091,1161,1217$, 1338, 1450, 1596, 1681, 2925, 3022, 3274; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-5.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.22 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61$ (d, $J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $6.70\left(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.22 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, exchangeable with $\left.\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right), 7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $7.36-7.56(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.78\left(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.39 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.24,46.64$, $54.91,59.72,113.72,126.99,128.61,128.94,133.83,134.38,137.87,142.50,159.15$, 193.30; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 487 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 407 (10), 290 (15), 252 (6), 208 (2), 155 (15), 105 (100), 91 (43), 77 (50), 65 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 56.56 ; H, 4.54; Br, 16.36; N, 2.37; S, 6.57; found C, 56.42; H, 4.38; Br, 26.32; N, 2.41; S, 6.55\%.

## SECTION-III

## NBS-Catalyzed Aziridination of Olefins Using Chloramine-T as Nitrogen Source

### 4.2.1 Introduction

Aziridines and azirines can be regarded as representatives of the first and most simple of all heterocyclic systems, which are characterized by the presence of two carbon atoms and one nitrogen atom in a three-membered ring. Interest in these nitrogen containing small rings is due to the general influence of ring strain upon chemical reactivity. The stabilities and overall profiles of chemical reactivity of these heterocycles are attributable not only to the combined effects of bond shortening and angle compression but also to the presence of the electron rich nitrogen atom. ${ }^{40}$ The chemistry of aziridines has been a very active area of research. Synthetic approaches to the aziridine ring, modifications of functionalized aziridines and the reactions of aziridines have received particular attention. The aziridine ring is a versatile building block for organic synthesis, not only because the ring opening of aziridines provides a convenient entry to the stereoselective preparation of functionalized amino compounds but also because the exocyclic N -substituent controls the properties and reactivity of the three membered ring. Many biologically active compounds such as amino acids, $\beta$-lactam antibiotics and alkaloids have been derived from aziridines. ${ }^{41}$

### 4.2.2 Review of Literature

Literature search revealed that there are various catalytic as well as non-catalytic approaches available for the synthesis of aziridines. Important catalytic methods for the synthesis of aziridines are discussed below.

## Baeckvall et al. ${ }^{42}$

Aminopalladation of alkenes followed by oxidation by bromine gave N -substituted aziridines (Scheme 38).


Scheme 38: (i) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PhCN}_{2}, \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2},-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$; (ii) BB .

## Mahy et al. ${ }^{43}$

N -Substituted aziridines are formed by $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {(III) }}$. or $\mathrm{Mn}^{(\text {IIII })}$-porphyrin catalyzed reactions of $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NR}$ compounds $\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ tosyl or $\left.\mathrm{COCF}_{3}\right)$ with alkenes (Scheme 39).


Scheme 39: (i) catalyst, $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

## Jacobsen et al. ${ }^{44}$

A new class of chiral bisbenzylidenediaminocyclohexane ligands $\mathbf{3 8} \mathbf{a g}$ has been developed which, in association with CuOTf catalyze aziridination of alkenes by $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$. Moderate to excellent enantioselectivities were attained in the aziridination of a range of different substrates (Scheme 40).


[^2]
## Evans et al. ${ }^{45}$

Copper (I) and (II) salts such as $\mathrm{CuOTf}, \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OTf})_{2}$, etc. were found to be superior catalysts compared to other metal complexes such as Mn -(TPP)Cl, Fe -(TPP) $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}$ for the aziridination of olefins employing $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$ as a nitrene source. Asymmetric version of this reaction was also developed using bis(oxazolines) 39 ae with CuOTf ; ee up to $97 \%$ was achieved (Scheme 41).


Scheme 41: (i) PhI=NTs, CuOTf ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 39are.

## Vedejs et al. ${ }^{46}$

Electron rich alkenes are converted into N -methoxyaziridines by treatment with $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$ and trimethylsilyl triflate. Reduction with $\mathrm{Li} /$ ammonia afforded the aziridines (Scheme 42).


Scheme 42: (i) $\mathrm{HN}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$, TMSOTf; (ii) NaOH ; (iii) $\mathrm{Li}^{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

## Muller et al. ${ }^{47}$

The $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ catalyzed decomposition of $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NNs}$ (Ns: p-nitrobenzenesulfonyl) in the presence of olefins afforded aziridines in $18-85 \%$ yield. With chiral catalysts asymmetric induction up to $73 \%$ ee was obtained (Scheme 43).


Scheme 43: (i) $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NNs}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

## Perez et al. ${ }^{48}$

The copper (I) complex $\quad \mathrm{Tp}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \quad[\mathrm{Tp}=\quad$ hydrotris(3,5-dimethyl-1pyrazolyl)borate] catalyzes nitrene transfer from $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$ to olefins to produce aziridines in 40-90\% yields.

## Atkinson et al. ${ }^{49}$

3-Acetoxyaminoquinazoline in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{4}$, aziridinates olefins such as styrene, butadie ne and indene in a stereoselective manner (Scheme 44).


## Scheme 44: (i) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\prime} \mathrm{Bu}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

## Ruano et al. ${ }^{50}$

The reaction of optically pure N -sulfinyl phenylamine with dimethyloxosulfonium methylide afforded a mixture of N -sulfinylaziridines 40a-b, epimers at $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, which are easily separated. The sulfinyl group can be eliminated under mild conditions to give optically pure phenylaziridines (Scheme 45).


Scheme 45: (i) Mes SOI, base, THF; (ii) MeLi, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Pellacani et al. ${ }^{51}$

$\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated esters were aziridinated (Scheme 46) using CaO and ethyl $\mathrm{N}-[(4$ nitrobenzenesulfonyl)oxy]carbamate ( $\mathrm{NsONHCO}_{2}$ Et) in good yields (57-72\%).


Scheme 46: (i) $\mathrm{NsONHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CaO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{MeONa}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

## Aggarwal et al. ${ }^{32}$

A new method for the preparation of aziridines from SES $\{N-[\beta-$ (trimethylsilyl)ethanesulfonyl]\} protected imines and diazo compounds using Rh -catalyst in presence of sulfide as co-catalyst was developed. The use of chiral sulfide 41 derived from (+)-camphor sulfonyl chloride gave the required aziridine ( $55 \%$ yield, $97 \%$ ee) (Scheme 47).


Scheme 47: (i) $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}$ or $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{cacac}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~mol} \%), 41(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)\right.$.

## Wang et al. ${ }^{53}$

Aziridines were prepared in high yields and stereoselectivity by aziridination of N aryl or N -alkyl imines with S-ylides in the presence of Lewis acids (Scheme 48).


Scheme 48: (i) $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{RT}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ or $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. $\mathrm{OEt}{ }_{2}$, THF .

## Langham et al. ${ }^{54}$

Copper-exchanged zeolite Y was used as a highly active catalyst for the aziridination of olefins. Modification of the catalyst with chiral bis(oxazolines) 42 induced enantioselectivity upto 61\% ee (Scheme 49).


33-90\% yield


42
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me}$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=t \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{Me}$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$
$\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R}_{3}=\mathrm{H}$

Scheme 49: (i) $\mathrm{CuHY}, \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or (ii) $\mathrm{CuHY}, 42, \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Sharpless et al. ${ }^{55}$

Aziridination of olefins and allylic alcohols by Chloramine-T (43) or N-chloramine salt of tert-butylsulfonamide 44 was carried out using PTAB (phenyltrimethylammonium tribromide, $\mathrm{PhNMe}_{3}{ }^{+} \mathrm{Br}_{3}{ }^{-}$) as catalyst (Scheme 50).


Scheme 50: (i) PTAB ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), anhydrous $\mathbf{4 3}$ or $\mathbf{4 4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{RT}$.

## Minakata et al. ${ }^{56}$

Various $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{I})$ and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{II})$ salts were used as catalysts for aziridination of olefins with Chloramine-T as the nitrogen source (Scheme 51). ${ }^{56 a}$ Catalytic amount of iodine was also used for the same reaction. Use of neutral buffer (phosphate buffer, pH 6.86) improved the yield of the aziridines. ${ }^{56 \mathrm{~b}}$


Scheme 51: (i) $\mathrm{CuCl}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ or catalytic $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, Chloramine-T, MS $5 \AA, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Sudalai et al. ${ }^{57}$

A heterogeneous catalytic method for the preparation of trans-aziridines from imines and methyl diazoacetate was developed using $\mathrm{Rh}^{\text {III }}$ and $\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}$-exchanged montmoritinolite K 10 clays as catalysts (Scheme 52a). Same group reported pyridinium
hydrobromide perbromide as a catalyst for the aziridination of electron deficient as well as electron rich olefins using Chloramine-T as a nitrogen source (Scheme 52b).
a)

b)


Scheme 52: 52a: (i) Rh-clay ( $10 \% \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{m}$ ), benzene, reflux. 52bx (ii) $\mathrm{Py}^{2} \cdot \mathrm{HBr}_{3}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Chloramine-T, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Dauban et al. ${ }^{58}$

$\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SES}\left(\mathrm{SES}=\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{2}\right)$ reacted with olefins in presence of catalytic amount of CuOTf to give the corresponding NSES aziridines in synthetically useful yields (Scheme 53).


Scheme 53: (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$; (ii) $\mathrm{PhI}\left(\mathrm{OAc}_{2}, \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 95-100 \%\right.$; (iii) olefin, CuOTf, $\mathrm{MS} 4 \AA \AA^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

N -Chloramine salts of $\omega$-unsaturated sulfonamides were allowed to react in intramolecular fashion in the presence of PTAB to yield bicyclic aziridines (Scheme 54).


Scheme 54: (i) $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOCl}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{RT}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) PTAB, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{RT}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$.

Dauban et al. have also developed a direct copper-catalyzed nitrogen transfer mediated by the powerful oxygen atom donor iodosylbenzene ( $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{O}$ ) to afford aziridines (Scheme 55). Use of chiral oxazoline ligands afforded corresponding chiral aziridines in 59-86\% ee.


Scheme 55: (i) $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right){ }_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{MS} 3 \AA$.

## Taylor et al. ${ }^{59}$

Cu -catalyst 45 was used for aziridination of olefins using Chloramine-T. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a nitrogen source (Scheme 56).


Scheme 56: (i) Chloramine-T, $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (43); (ii) olefin, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 3$ days.

## Komatsu et al. ${ }^{60}$

The chiral nitridomanganese complex 46 was used to carry out aziridination of styrenes to afford N -tosylaziridines in good to excellent ee. Additive like $\mathrm{Ts}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $p$ toluenesulfonic anhydride) was found to be effective for the activation of the complex 46. Both the yield and ee were improved by the addition of pyridine N -oxide (Scheme 57).



46

Scheme 57: (i) 46, pyridine, $\mathrm{T}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine N -oxide, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{0} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

## Zhu et al. ${ }^{61}$

Addition of dimethyloxosulfonium methylide, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SOI}$, to chiral non-racemic pure (+)-camphor derived sulfinimine 47, afforded sulfinyl aziridines $\mathbf{4 8} \mathbf{a b}$, which are readily separable. The sulfinyl auxiliary can be removed without ring opening by the treatment with MeLi (Scheme 58).


Scheme 58: (i) base, Mes SOI, THF.

## Halfen et al. ${ }^{62}$

Copper complex 49 was used as a catalyst for aziridination of olefins using $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$ as nitrogen source (Scheme 59).


Scheme 59: (i) $49, \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.

## Antunes et al. ${ }^{63}$

Pd-Catalyzed one pot procedure for preparation of N -tosyl aziridines was developed using Bromamine-T as nitrogen source (Scheme 60).


Scheme 60: (i) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, Bromamine-T (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{RT}$.

## Bedekar et al. ${ }^{64}$

Variety of transition metal salts such as $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{FeCl}_{3}, \mathrm{MnCl}$, $\mathrm{MgCl}_{2}, \mathrm{SrCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$, etc. were used as catalysts for aziridination of olefins using Bromamine- T as nitrogen source.

## N guyen et al. ${ }^{65}$

Methyltrioxorhenium (MTO) was found to catalyze the transfer of nitrene unit of $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$ to a number of olefins providing aziridines in moderate to good yields (28-70\%).

## Handy et al. ${ }^{66}$

Copper-poly(pyrazolyl)borate complex generated in situ from copper chloride and a sodium poly(pyrazolyl)borate salt was found to be effective catalyst for the aziridination of variety of olefins (Scheme 61).


Scheme 61: (i) $\mathrm{TP} * \mathrm{Na}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{CuCl}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{RT}$.

### 4.2.3 Present Work

### 4.2.3.1 Objective

Although there are many methods available in the literature for aziridination of olefins many of them suffer from certain drawbacks such as use of metal salts, $\mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}$ as nitrogen source, limited substrate scope, etc. Our objective is to use nonmetallic sources such as N -bromosuccinimide (NBS) and N -bromoacetamide as catalysts for aziridination of variety of olefins including $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds.

### 4.2.4 Results and Discussion

When styrene was reacted with Chloramine-T (43) in the presence of catalytic amount of NBS, the corresponding aziridinated product was obtained in $65 \%$ yield. Among various solvents such as THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, acetone, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and dioxane, only $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ gave the aziridinated product in reasonably good yield.


Scheme 62: (i) NBS or N-bromoacetamide ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Chloramine-T (43), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Variety of olefins 50 an were successfully aziridinated to afford the corresponding aziridines 51 a-n in moderate to good yields Scheme 62). The results of aziridinations are summarized in Table 10.

Table 10: NBS-catalyzed aziridination of olefins (Scheme 62).

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sr. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Olefin | Tine <br> (h) | Product | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{\text {b }} \\ (\%) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mp } \\ & \left({ }^{0} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{Ph}^{\nearrow}$ | 8 |  | 65 (55) | 88-89 |
| 2. |  | 6 |  | 67 | 100-102 |
| 3. |  | 6 |  | $68^{\text {d }}$ | 73-75 |
| 4. |  | 12 |  | 75 | 155-157 |
| 5. |  | 10 |  | 82 | 55-56 |
| 6. |  | 11 |  | 79 | 123-124 |
| 7. |  | 14 |  | $73^{\text {d }}$ | Gum |
| 8. |  | 5 |  | 88 | Gum |
| 9. |  | 8 |  | 68 | Gum |
| 10. |  | 3 |  | 87 | 76-77 |
| 11. |  | 24 |  | 51 | Gum |
| 12. |  | 8 |  | 70 | Gum |
| 13. |  | 28 |  | $53^{\text {d }}$ | 143-145 |
| 14. |  | 30 |  | $50^{\text {d }}$ | 150-152 |

a) reaction conditions: NBS or N-bromoacetamide ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), Chloramine-T (43, 1 mmol ), olefin ( 1 or 3 equiv.), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) yields refer to isolated product after column chromatography; c) yield in parenthesis refer to chloramine-T. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; d) trans isomer formed exclusively (confirmed by $J$ values in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum).

As can be seen from Table 10, aziridines were formed in good to excellent yields (50-88\%) using Chloramine-T as nitrogen source. Chloramine-T. $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ also afforded the

N -( $p$-tolylsulfonyl-2-phenylaziridine from styrene in reasonable yield (55\%). Variety of aromatic and non-aromatic olefins afforded the corresponding aziridines in good yields. Allylic alcohols and allyl bromide also reacted very well under these reaction conditions to afford the corresponding aziridines in excellent yields (entries 7-10). $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated carbonyl compounds are of great interest for the aziridination reactions because they can act as synthons for synthesis of biologically active compounds. It may be noted that previously reported catalytic systems such as $\mathrm{PTAB}^{55}$ and $\mathbf{L}^{56 \mathrm{~b}}$ were not effective against these systems. It is remarkable that NBS catalyzes the aziridination of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester as well as ketones efficiently to afford the corresponding aziridines in $50-70 \%$ yields. However, cinnamates such as methyl cinnamate and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amides such as acrylamide failed to give aziridines under these conditions. Further 1-2 disubstituted olefins also underwent the reaction successfully. The stereochemistry of 1-2 disubstituted aziridines was found to be trans, confirmed by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum $(J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## Mechanism

Although the mechanistic aspect of aziridination is not yet clear, we believe that NBS acts as the source for the generation of $\mathrm{Br}^{+}$species. The proposed catalytic pathway is shown in Fig. 12. Initially, NBS reacts with Chloramine-T to give the species A, which then reacts with the corresponding olefin to afford the bromonium ion $\mathbf{B}$. The bromonium ion B then undergoes regiospecific opening by TsNCI species to give $\beta$-bromo-N-chloro-N-toluenesulfonamide (C). Cyclization of $\mathbf{C}$ leads to the formation of aziridine. The reaction between Chloramine- T and $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{Cl}$ regenerates species $\mathbf{A}$, thus completing the catalytic cycle. It has been observed that there was very slow reaction of olefin with stoichiometric amount of NBS in the absence of Chloramine-T to yield the corresponding dibromo compounds, indicating that NBS is not directly reacting with olefin. It is quite possible that species $\mathbf{A}$ is formed first which subsequently reacts with olefin. Also we
found that N -chlorosuccinimide failed to catalyze the aziridination reaction under similar reaction conditions which indicates that species $\mathbf{A}$ is probably the key intermediate.


Fig. 12: Catalytic cycle for NBS-catalyzed aziridination of olefins

### 4.2.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, we have successfully demonstrated the use of easily available, simple, safe and cheap NBS and N-bromoacetamide as catalysts for aziridination of olefins to afford the corresponding aziridines in good to excellent yields. Under these reaction conditions $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compounds also reacted efficiently to give the corresponding aziridines in $50-70 \%$ yield.

### 4.2.6 Experimental Section

## General procedure for aziridination of olefins:

A 25 ml RB flask was charged with olefin $\mathbf{5 0}$ an $[3 \mathrm{mmol}(1 \mathrm{mmol}$ in case of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated systems)], anhydrous Chloramine-T ( $0.228 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{NBS}$ or N bromoacetamide ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and acetonitrile ( 5 ml ). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (monitored by TLC). After completion, the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using pet. ether and EtOAc as eluent to afford pure aziridines 51 a-n.
$\mathbf{N}$ - $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-phenylaziridine (51a): Yield: $65 \%$; mp: $88-89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from hexane-EtOAc); IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 665, 696, 715, 769, 783, 916, 1161, 1217, 1327, 3017; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.11 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $4.01 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.53,35.76,40.76,126.44,126.91,128.13$, 128.42, 129.56, 135.08, 135.37, 144.16; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 273 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8$ ), 171 ( 18 ), 155 (10), 118 (75), 91 (100), 65 (20); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 65.91 ; $\mathrm{H}, 5.53$; N, 5.12; S, 11.73; found C, 65.82; H, 5.50; N, 5.21; S, 11.72\%.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-(4-chloromethylphenyl)aziridine (51b): Yield: 67\%; mp: $100-$ $102^{0} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCk}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 574, 667, 754, 813, 912, 1093, 1161, 1215, 1325, 1450, 1515, 1595, 2925, 2964, 3020; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}$ ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}_{\mathrm{B}}$ : $\delta 2.31$ (d, $J=4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.42 (s, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-3.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.16-7.35 (m, 6H), 7.83 (d, $J=8.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 21.53,35.83$, $40.43,45.50,126.84,127.87,128.72,129.67,135.08,135.37,137.50,144.45$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 286 (2), 166 (100), 155 (4), 139 (74), 130 (34), 104 (29), 91 (39), 77 (26), 65 (25); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{ClNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $59.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.01 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 11.02 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.35 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.96$; found C, 59.62; H, 5.10; Cl, 10.88; N, 4.41; S, 9.92\%.
trans-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-methyl-3-phenylaziridine (51c): Yield: $68 \%$ : mp: $73-75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 536, 590, 686, 750, 815, 891, 974, 1037, 1089, 1157, 1321, 1413, 1456, 1496, 1596, 2933, 3031; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCk}_{\mathrm{B}}\right): \delta 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.38 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H); ${ }^{13}$ C-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}$ ): $\delta 13.96,21.35,48.95,126.18,127.03,128.35,129.38$,
135.41, 137.83, 143.45; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 132 (100), 117 (10), 105 (70), 98 (15), 91 (40), 77 (20), 65 (18); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 67.06 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.91 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.88$; S , 11.18; found C, 67.12; H, 5.90; N, 4.71; S, 11.12\%.
cis-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-2,3-diphenylaziridine (51d): Yield: 75\%; mp: $155-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from pet.ether); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 532, 588, 657, 696, 756, 900, 1085, 1153, 1217, 1340, 1454, 1496, 1596, 3031, 3060; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.44(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.31 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDC}): \delta 21.53,47.37,127.65,127.87,129.75,131.95,134.78$, 144.67; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 349 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 260 (13), 194 (100), 165 (13), 91 (12); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 72.18 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.01 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.17$; found $\mathrm{C}, 72.22 ; \mathrm{H}$, $5.44 ;$ N, $4.11 ; \mathrm{S}, 9.12 \%$.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-7-azabicyclo[4,1,0]heptane (51e): Yield: $82 \%$; mp: $55-56^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 921,965,1091,1156,1305,1311,1395,1444,1600,2860,2954,3050$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.21-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.41 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 19.29,21.35,22.67,39.62,127.47,129.42,136.11,143.79 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): $252\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 210(5), 155$ (13), 96 (100), 92 (27), 69 (33); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 62.12; H, 6.82; N, 5.57; S, 12.76; found C, $62.22 ;$ H, 6.70; N, 5.41; S, $12.62 \%$.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-9-azabicyclo[6,1,0]nonane (51f): Yield: 79\%; mp: $123-124^{0} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from hexane); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 610,922,970,1091,1160,1306,1314$, 1391, 1442, 1498, 1600, 2926, 2982, 3028; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.38-1.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.42,25.10,26.02,26.24$, 43.73, $127.39,129.45,135.78,143.90 ; \mathbf{M S ~ m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\% rel. intensity): 279 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 210 (10), 155 (5), 125 910), 124 9100), 98 (15), 91 (23), 90 (15); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 64.48; H, 7.58; N, 5.01; S, 11.48; found C, 64.32; H, 7.48; N, 5.11; S, 11.42\%.
trans-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-3-phenyl-2-aziridinemethanol (51g): Yield: 73\%; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 549,655,702,756,813,1001,1159,1232,1326,1452,1598,2883,2925$, 3028, 3506; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 4.12 Hz, 1H), 4.18-4.37 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.31 (m, 7H), $7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.46 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C - N M R}$ $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}\right): \delta 21.35,46.34,54.10,60.31,126.31,126.81,126.99,128.20,129.49$, 134.34, 136.92, 144.19; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 288 (3), 246 (17), 193 (15), 133 (20),

106 (20), 82 (100), 59 (40); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 63.35 ; H, 5.65 ; N, 4.62; S, 10.57; found C, 63.32; H, 5.50; N, 4.41; S, 10.42\%.
trans-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-3-methyl-2-aziridinemethanol (51h): Yield: $88 \%$; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 549,655,702,756,813,1001,1159,1232,1326,1452,1598,2883,2925$, 3028, 3506; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCb}_{3}\right): \delta 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(8,3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97$ (d, $J=8.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.72-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84$ (d, $J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 14.59,21.35,42.52,50.53,60.64$, 126.95, 129.49, 137.28, 144.05; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 241 (M+, 61), 218 (6), 193 (13), 178 (25), 139 (55), 82 (100); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 54.75; H, 6.27; N, $5.81 ; \mathrm{S}, 13.29$; found C, $54.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.10 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.91 ; \mathrm{S}, 13.12 \%$.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-aziridinemethanol (51i): Yield: $68 \%$; gum; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ): 545, 655, 702, 756, 813, 1101, 1155, 1232, 1322, 1452, 1598, 2883, 2925, 3028, 3520; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 21.46,30.91,40.35,60.72,127.87,129.67,134.31,144.74 ; \mathbf{M S} \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%$ rel. intensity): 227 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 184 (3), 155 (10), 91 (52), 72 (100), 65 (31); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, $52.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.77 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.16 ; \mathrm{S}, 14.11$; found C, $52.82 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70 ; \mathrm{N}$, 6.21 ; S, 14.12\%.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-aziridinebromomethane (51j): Yield: $87 \%$; mp: $76-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 1093,1119,1160,1292,1328,1403,1597,2929,2957,2985,3030,3132$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ $4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.48 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.28,33.15,45.43,49.84,126.88,129.49,236.36,143.64$; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 290 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2$ ), 184 (57), 155 (52), 91 (100), 65 (33); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 41.39 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.17$; $\mathrm{Br}, 27.54 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.83 ; \mathrm{S}, 11.05$; found $\mathrm{C}, 41.32 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.10; Br, 27.55; N, 4.61; S, 11.12\%.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2 -(carbo ${ }^{\boldsymbol{n}}$ butoxy)aziridine (51k) Yield: $51 \%$; gum; IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ ): 642, 692, 710, 733, 781, 816, 909, 1096, 1167, 1189, 1211, 1229, 1292, 1309, 1333, 1396, 1442, 1756, 2950; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 0.90(\mathrm{t}, J=6.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26-$ $1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.56-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.81$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.29-3.34 (dd, $J=7.81$ and $4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=6.13 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 12.41,18.74$, $21.46,30.17,31.71,35.90,65.68,128.02$, 129.71, 134.01, 145.04, 166.65; MS m/z (\% rel.
intensity): $297\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7\right), 242$ (4), 224 (4), 155 (21), 92 (52), 86 (100), 65 (21), 57 (41); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 56.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.44 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.71 ; \mathrm{S}, 10.78$; found $\mathrm{C}, 56.32 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.48 ; N, 4.71; S, 10.72\%.
$\mathbf{N}$-( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-acetyl-3,3'-dimethylaziridine (511): Yield: 70\%; gum; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 551,671,707,815,881,1091,1159,1326,1380,1598,1722,2929,2977$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta 20.66,21.25,21.51,28.38,52.93,54.85,127.07,129.39,137.00,144.09$, 201.66; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): $267\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 224$ (7), 155 (14), 112 (100), 91 (23), 70 (22); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 58.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.41$; N, $5.24 ; \mathrm{S}, 11.99$; found C, $58.32 ; \mathrm{H}$, 6.40; N, 5.21; S, 11.82\%.
trans-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-benzoyl-3-phenylaziridine (51m): Yield: 53\%; mp: 143$145^{0} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.54 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.447 .62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.54 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C - N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}, ~ C D C 3): ~ \delta ~ 21.28, ~ 47.08, ~ 50.02, ~ 127.25, ~ 127.39, ~ 128.39, ~ 128.64, ~$ 129.27, 132.69, 133.83, 135.67, 136.33, 144.16, 190.07; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 377 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7\right), 278$ (9), 222 (86), 167 (27), 105 (100), 91 (46), 77 (68), 65 (23); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 70.00 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.07 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.71 ; \mathrm{S}, 8.50$; found $\mathrm{C}, 70.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.10 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.81$; S, 8.42\%.
trans-N-(p-Tolylsulfonyl)-2-b enzoyl-3-(4-chlorophenyl)aziridine (51n): Yield: 50\%; mp: $150-152^{0} \mathrm{C}$ (recrystallized from pet. ether-EtOAc); IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}(200$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.42 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCb}_{3}$ : $\delta$ 21.50, 46.49, 50.17, 127.62, 128.87, 129.49, 131.51, 134.08, 135.00, 136.02, 137.21, 144.49, 189.99; MS m/z (\% rel. intensity): 411 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6$ ), 307 (3), 278 (6), 255 (100), 227 (12), 201 (19), 165 (39), 139 (13), 105 (45), 90 (26), 77 (50); Analysis: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 64.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.40 ; \mathrm{Cl}, 8.61 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.40 ; \mathrm{S}, 7.79$; found C , 64.32; H, 4.32; Cl, 8.55; N, 3.41; S, 7.71\%.


Fig. 13: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectra of 511


Fig. 14: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of 51 d


Fig. 15: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectra of 51 m


Fig. 16: Mass spectrum of 51 m
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[^0]:    Scheme 20: (i) epichlorohydrin, pyridine, then $\mathrm{HCl}, 0-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OAc}$; (iii) naphthalene-2carbaldehyde, molecular sieves; (iv) aspartic acid based chiral stationary phase; (v) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$; (vi) $i-\mathrm{PrCl}, \mathrm{NaOMe}$; (vii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$.

[^1]:    a) reaction conditions: $\mathrm{WO}_{3}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%),(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, aq. $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) yield refers to isolated yields after column chromatography; c) yield in parenthesis refers to corresponding sulfone; d) (R)-isomer, ee based on comparison of $[\alpha]_{D}$ values reported in literature as well as by chiral HPLC analysis using Chiralcel OD-H column (see experimental section).

[^2]:    Scheme 40:
    (i) $\mathrm{CuOTf}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathbf{3 8 g}(11 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PhI}=\mathrm{NTs}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

