## Studies directed towards the synthesis,

 associated reaction mechanisms and structure of inositols and their derivativesThesis
Submitted to the
UNIVERSITY OF PUNE
For the degree of DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
in
CHEMISTRY

By

## Chebrolu Murali

Organic Chemistry Division
National Chemical Laboratory
Pune-411008

March 2008

Dedicated to my Parents. . .

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work incorporated in the thesis entitled "Studies directed towards the synthesis, associated reaction mechanisms and structure of inositols and their derivatives" submitted by Chebrolu Murali was carried out by him under my supervision at the National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India. Such materials, obtained from other sources have been duly acknowledged in the thesis.

Date: 18 March 2008
Dr. M. S. SHASHIDHAR
Research Supervisor
National Chemical Laboratory
Pune-411 008, India

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled "Studies directed towards the synthesis, associated reaction mechanisms and structure of inositols and their derivatives" submitted for Ph. D. degree to the University of Pune has not been submitted by me for a degree to any other University.

Date: 18 March 2008

## CHEBROLU MURALI

Division of Organic Chemistry
National Chemical Laboratory
Pune-411 008, India

## ACKIVOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my deep and sincere gratitude to my research supervisor, Dr. M. S. Shashidhar for introducing me to this fascinating field of inositol chemistry. His wide 反nowledge and Cogical way of thinking have been of great value for me. His encouragement and personal guidance have provided a good basis for the present thesis.

I wish to express my gratitude to $\operatorname{Dr} . \mathcal{M} . \mathcal{M}$. Bhadbhade and Dr. Rajesh Gonnade for their constant support, encouragement and timely felp in providing the $X$-ray analysis data.

I would like to thank © 1 . Ganesh Pandey ( $\mathcal{H O D}$, Organic Chemistry Division), Dr. $\mathcal{N} . \mathcal{N}$. Joshi, Dr. C. S. Gopinath, Dr. (Mrs.) V.G. Puranik, Dr. S. P. Chavan, Dr. Pradeep Tripathi, Dr. K. $\mathcal{N}$. Ganesh, Prof. D. D. Dhavale, and Dr. B. G. Fazra for their suggestions, help and encouragement.

I would like to thank, central $\mathcal{N}$ MMR facility research group, especially $\operatorname{Dr}$. P. R. Rajamohan for his help and fruitful discussions. I also thank. Dr. Sujatha Biswas, Mrs. Sunitha Sawant, Mrs. Chitra Sanas, MMr. Gaydhankar and MMr. Senthil kumar for Microanalysis data. I would like to thank Dr. Chirmule, Mrs. Annegiri and all other library staff members for their help.

I am very much indebted to my seniors Dr. Aditya, Dr. Manash, Dr. Devaraj, Shailesh, Dr. Sachin for their timely help, advices and support. I am thankful to my labmates Madhuri, Manoj, Rajendra, Sho6hana, Bharat, Alson for maintaining cheerful and amazing atmosphere. I also thank Moreji for his regular help in Caboratory maintenance.

I would like to thank. Dr. (Mrs.) Vidya Shashidhar and Dr. (Mrs.) Ranjana Bhad6hade for their advices and help.

I am very much thankful my great friends and colleagues $\mathfrak{N a g e s w a r ~ r e d d y , ~ M u d u r u ~ ( R a m a n ) , ~}$ Abhijeet, complicated Victor, Sumanth, Kiran, Rajender, Srinivas, Sreedhar reddy, Fresh (Swaroop), Sreedhar reddy (IISER), Indu, Bala, Jookaraju, Raju, Sreedhar, Satti reddy, Venkateshan, Srikanth, Megastar (Suresh), Keshri, Sachin, Biju, Shanbag, Ankur, Vilas, Sreenu, Santosh, Giri, Ravi, Manmath, Sutar, Deepak, Bapu, Neelakant, Sudhir, Prasanna, Ajish and Remo who made cheerful and pleasant atmosphere in and around $\mathcal{N C L}$.

My special thanks to Mr. Khaja Sai and his famify members for their help and affection. I would like to extend my special thanks to Thummala, Paramesh, Kumar, Madhu, Sudhakar, Prasad, Krishna, Venu, Hemasundar, Hemanth, Giri and Jayaprakash for their timely help and support.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my parents, sister (Vani), 6rother-in-Caw (Bhaktavatsal), nephew (Praveen), brother (Poornachandra) and his family members for their constant support, Love and affection.

I also wish to thank. Prof. Subbaraju, Prof. Gunaseßhar, Prof. Bhaskar reddy, Prof. Venkat Rao, Prof. (Mrs.) Padmavathi, Dr. Ramakrishna, Dr. Ramusridhar, Dr. Subrahmanyam Dr. Devaraj and (Dr. Jayanthi for their sincere advises and help.

I would like to thank, many other people in and around $\mathcal{N C L}$ who helped me either directly or indirectly during my research career at $\mathcal{N C L}$

Finally, I thank Director, $\mathcal{N C L}$ for providing the Infra-structural facilities and CSIR NewDethi for the award of Research Fellowship.

## CONTENTS

| Title | Page No. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Abbreviations. | i |
| Synopsis of the thesis. | iv |
| List of publications, presentations and posters. | xiii |
| Chapter 1. A review of the synthetic utility of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters. |  |
| 1.1. Introduction. | 1 |
| 1.2 Biological relevance of myo-inositol (1.1). | 3 |
| 1.3. Inositol isomers. | 7 |
| 1.4. Orthoesters. | 8 |
| 1.5. Inositol orthoesters: Preparation. | 11 |
| 1.6. myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate as a key intermediate for the synthesis of phosphorylated inositol derivatives. | 12 |
| 1.6.1. Synthesis of inositol mono-phosphates and their analogs. | 12 |
| 1.6.2. Synthesis of myo-inositol bis-phosphates. | 16 |
| 1.6.3. Synthesis of myo-inositol tris-phosphates and their analogs | 17 |
| 1.6.4. Synthesis of myo-inositol tetrakisphosphates. | 22 |
| 1.6.5. Synthesis of myo-inositol pentakisphosphates | 29 |
| 1.6.6. Inositol phospholipids | 30 |
| 1.7. Partially protected inositol derivatives | 32 |
| 1.8. Reports on the use of inositol orthoesters other than the orthoformate. | 42 |
| 1.9. Conclusions. | 45 |
| 1.10. References. | 46 |

Chapter 2. myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate as a versatileintermediate for the synthesis of isomeric inositol derivatives.
2.1. Introduction. ..... 54
2.2. Results and discussion. ..... 55
2.2.1. Preparation of the myo-inositol-1, 3, 5-orthobenzoate and its polymorphism.
2.2.2 Orthogonally protected myo-inositol derivatives from the ..... 60 orthobenzoate 1.17.
2.2.3 Synthesis of Sequoyitol (5-O-methyl-myo-inositol, 2.79). ..... 73
2.2.4 Present work. ..... 74
2.2.5 Synthesis of neo-inositol (1.25). ..... 74
2.2.6 Present work. ..... 79
2.2.7 Synthesis of 5-deoxy-5-myo-inosamine. ..... 82
2.2.8 Present work. ..... 83
2.2.9 Attempted synthesis of 2-neo-inosamine (2.140). ..... 83
2.2.10 De-oxy inositols (Quercitols). ..... 87
2.2.11 neo-quercitol. ..... 87
2.2.12 Di-deoxy inositol or yclohexane tetrol. ..... 89
2.2.13. Present work. ..... 90
2.2.14. neo-quercitol. ..... 92
2.3. Conclusion. ..... 93
2.4 Experimental. ..... 94
2.5 References. ..... 123
2.6 Appendix. ..... 128
Chapter 3. Transesterification reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoester derivatives in the solid state: effect of molecularpacking in crystals on acyl transfer reactivity.
3.1. Introduction. ..... 214
3.2. Results and Discussion. ..... 220
3.2.1. Benzoyl Transfer Reaction in crystals. ..... 220
3.2.2. Correlation of Molecular Pre-Organization and intermolecular interactions with Acyl transfer reactivities. ..... 222
3.2.3. Attempted preparation of co-crystals of unsymmetricaldibenzoates of myo-inositol orthoesters leads to a reactivepolymorph of racemic 2,4-dibenzoyl-myo-insitol 1,3,5-orthoacetate (3.2).
3.2.4. Benzoyl group transfer reactivity in monobenzoates of myo-inositol orthoesters. ..... 239
3.2.5. Correlation of Molecular Pre-Organization and intermolecular interactions with Acyl transfer reactivities. ..... 241
3.3. Conclusions. ..... 247
3.4. Experimental. ..... 248
3.5. References. ..... 264
3.6. Appendix. ..... 269

| Abbreviations. |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac | Acetyl |
| $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Acetic anhydride |
| AIBN | Azobisisobutyronitrile |
| All | Allyl |
| Anhy. | Anhydrous |
| aq. | Aqueous |
| Bn | Benzyl |
| BnBr | Benzyl bromide |
| BOMCl | Benzyloxy methyl chloride |
| Bt | butyryl |
| BuLi | Butyl lithium |
| Bz | Benzoyl |
| BzCl | Benzoyl chloride |
| Calcd. | Calculated |
| Cat. | Catalytic |
| Conc. | Concentration |
| CSA | Camphorsulfonic acid |
| $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Dueterium Oxide |
| DABCO | 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane |
| DAG | Diacylglycerol |
| DCM | Dichloromethane |
| DEAD | Diethyl azo-dicarboxylate |
| DIAD | Diisopropyl azo-dicarboxylate |


| DIBAL | Diisobutyl aluminium Hydride |
| :---: | :---: |
| dil. | Dilute |
| DIPEA/Hunig's base/DIEA | Di-isopropyl ethyl amine |
| DMAP | $N$, $N$-dimethylamino pyridine |
| DMF | $N$, $N$-Dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| DPCP | Diphenylchloro phosphate |
| eq. | Equivalent |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | Triethyl amine |
| EtOAc | Ethyl acetate |
| g | Gram |
| GCMS | Gas chromatography-mass spectrometry |
| GPI | Glycophosphatidylinositol |
| h | Hour (s) |
| Hz | Hertz |
| $i$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}$ | Iso-butyl amine |
| IDH | myo-Inositol dehydrogenase |
| IR | Infrared |
| M.p. | Melting point |
| Me | Methyl |
| MeI | Methyl iodide |
| MeOH | Methanol |
| mg. | Milli gram |
| min. | Minute(s) |
| mL | Milliliter |


| mmol | Milli molar |
| :---: | :---: |
| NaOMe | Sodium methoxide |
| NLO | Non Linear Organic |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic Resonance |
| ORTEP | Orthogonal thermal ellipsoid plot |
| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| PI-PLC | Phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C |
| PMB | para-methoxy benzyl |
| PMP | para-methoxy phenyl |
| PNBCl | para-nitro benzoyl chloride |
| PPTS | Pyridinium para toluene sulfonate |
| PtdIns | Phosphatidyl inositol |
| rac- | Racemic |
| Rf | Retention factor |
| rt. | Room temperature ( $23-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| TBAI | tetra (n-butyl) ammonium iodide |
| TBDMS | tert-Butyldimethylsilyl |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin layer chromatography |
| TMS | Trimethyl silyl |
| TsCl | $p$-Toluene sulfonyl chloride |
| TsOH | $p$-Toluene sulfonic acid |

## Synopsis of the Thesis

The thesis entitled 'Studies directed towards the synthesis, associated reaction mechanisms and structure of inositols and their derivatives'
consists of three chapters. Chapter 1 is a review on the utility of myo-inositol 1,3,5orthoestrers for the synthesis of natural and unnatural inositol derivatives such as phosphoinositols and their analogs, metal complexing agents, etc. Chapter 2 describes the preparation and use of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate for the synthesis of isomeric inositol derivatives / analogs. This chapter also describes a new method (discovered during the synthetic studies using myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate) of de-protection of O-benzyl groups, orthoesters and acetals using Perlman’s catalyst. Chapter 3 presents results on the investigation of acyl transfer reactions in crystals of myo-inositol orthoacetate and orthobenzoate derivatives and a comparison of these solid state reactions with earlier known systems which underwent acyl transfer reactions in the solid state. Chapters 2 and 3 also have detailed experimental procedures, spectroscopic, crystallographic and analytical data relevant to the new results described in the thesis. Some of the results reported in this thesis are published in (a) Identical Molecular Strings Woven Differently by Intermolecular Interactions in Dimorphs of myo-Inositol 1,3,5Orthobenzoate G. Bhosekar, C. Murali, R. G. Gonnade, M. S. Shashidhar, M. M. Bhabhade, Cryst.Growth.Des. 2005, 5, 1977-1982; (b) Hydroxyl group deprotection reactions with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$ : a convenient alternative to hydrogenolysis of benzyl ethers and acid hydrolysis of ketals C. Murali, M. S. Shashidhar, C. S. Gopinath, Tetrahedron,

2007, 63, 4149-4155; (c) Investigating Organization of Molecules that Facilitates Intermolecular Acyl Transfer in Crystals: Reactivity and X-ray Structures of O-Benzoylmyoinositol 1,3,5-Orthoesters C. Murali, M. S. Shashidhar, R. G. Gonnade, M. M. Bhadbhade, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 1153-1159.; (d) A convenient method for the preparation D-4-O and D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol, precursors for the preparation of enantiomeric myo-inositol-1,2,3,4,5-pentakisphosphates. C. Murali, M. S. Shashidhar communicated to Carbohydr. Res. 2007.

## Chapter 1. A review of the synthetic utility of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoestrers:

 myo-Inositol and its derivatives / analogs have become conspicuous in the literature related to chemistry and biology due to the involvement of phosphoinositols in cellular signal transduction mechanisms ${ }^{1}$ and anchoring of certain proteins to cell membranes ${ }^{2}$. The biological implications of the myo-inositol cycle are not yet completely understood. Many synthetic methodologies and techniques have been developed in the recent past ${ }^{3}$ for the synthesis of inositol derivatives useful in studies directed towards understanding all the implications of the myo-inositol cycle. Some of these methods have also been used for the synthesis of natural products (other than phosphoinositols) and their analogs. In the last two decades, myo-inositol orthoformate ${ }^{4}$ (1, Scheme 1) which can be easily obtained in gram quantities, has been frequently used as an intermediate during the synthesis of inositol derivatives. Several other interesting aspects of derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$, such as solid state reactivity and metal ion complexation have also been explored. In contrast, reports on the chemistry and utility of other myo-inositol orthoesters such as $\mathbf{1 1 - 1 3}$ are scarce. Hence we have investigated the synthetic utility of myo-inositol orthobenzoate; results of this study are described in Chapter 2.

## Chapter 2. myo-Inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate as a versatile intermediate for the synthesis of isomeric inositol derivatives.

myo-Inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate (12) was prepared from myo-inositol (Scheme 2); it exhibited polymorphic behavior on crystallization from different solvents. Several O-protected derivatives of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate were prepared (Scheme 2) and converted to isomeric inositol derivatives. During this work, we discovered that (a) the racemic dibezoate $\mathbf{1 8}$ underwent facile transesterification reaction in the solid state (this has been discussed in detail in chapter 3); and (b) O-benzyl groups, orthoesters and acetals can be deprotected to the corresponding alcohols using Pearlman's catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}\right]$ in refluxing methanol. Carboxylic acid esters were stable to this reaction condition.

## Scheme 2



Reagents and Conditions: (a) $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{TsOH}, 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$; (b) DMF, NaH, Mel, rt, 30 min., $97 \%$; (c) DMF, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 98 \%$; (d)(i) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$; ii) MOMCI, NaH ; (e) 2.2 eq BzCl, Pyr., rt, $18 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (55psi), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 95 \%$

XPS analysis of the palladium catalyst recovered after the completion of the reaction (cleavage of benzyl ether) showed that $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ had been converted to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ which
suggested the oxidative cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$. This new method of deprotection was used for the preparation of naturally occurring sequoyitol from myoinositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate. This method of de-protection of $O$-benzyl groups, orthoesters and acetals has the potential to be useful in the synthesis of different classes of organic compounds since the reaction conditions do not involve strong acids, bases or hydrogenolysis. myo-Inositol orthobenzoate was also used for the synthesis of other inositol derivatives as shown in Scheme 3. It is interesting to note that mono- or dideoxygenation of myo-inositol could be carried out using the xanthate 29.


Reagents and conditions: (a) DIBAL-H, DCM, rt, 2 h, 97 \%; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}(5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{THF}$, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ (15 eq), reflux, 1h, Mel (5eq), rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (c) Toluene, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN}$, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) TFA, THF $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$

The results presented in this chapter clearly show that the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 2}$ is a potential synthon for the preparation of important isomeric inositol derivatives and has advantages over other myo-inositol orthoesters.

## Chapter 3. Transesterification reaction of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoester derivatives in the solid state: effect of molecular packing in crystals on acyl transfer reactivity.

Acyl migration reactions among the hydroxyl groups of inositol derivatives in solution occurs frequently and this has been exploited for the preparation of several inositol derivatives. Most of these acyl migration reactions however result in the formation of a mixture of isomeric hydroxyl esters and consequently result in poor isolated yield of the required $O$-protected inositol derivative. Facile acyl transfer reactions of inositol derivatives ( $\mathbf{3 5}$ and its co-crystal with $\mathbf{3 6}$ ) in the solid state (Scheme 4) have earlier been reported from our laboratory. ${ }^{5}$

## Scheme 4



Reactions in the solid state are of interest since they often proceed with high regio and stereo specificity. During the course of the work described in the previous chapter we realized that racemic-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate (18) undergoes facile transesterification in its crystals (Scheme 4); this reaction was relatively less facile in solution. The results on the investigation of this interesting solid state reaction in myo-
inositol orthoester derivatives $\mathbf{1 8}$ and one of the polymeric crystals of $\mathbf{3 6}$ are presented in this chapter. An attempt has been made to compare the crystal structure and reactivity of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 8}$ with other compounds that show similar acyl transfer reactivity in their crystals. This comparison showed that the facility of these transesterification reactions is controlled by molecular packing and crystal lattice interactions as well as the availability of a channel (Figure 1) for the acyl tranfer reaction to proceed in a domino fashion.


Figure 1. Molecular packing in the crystals of $\mathbf{1 8}$ (A), 35 (B) molecular complex 35.36 (C) and Form-II crystals of rac- 36.

We also attempted to grow co-crystals of myo-inositol orthoester derivatives 18, 35, 36 and L35 since previous work in our laboratory had shown that acyl transfer reactions could be carried out in co-crystals (35•36). Compounds used for such attempts as well as the preparation of enantiomeric orthoformate dibenzoates D35 and L35 are shown in Scheme 5. Enantiomeric ditosylates 43 and ent-43 were prepared as reported earlier from our laboratory. ${ }^{6}$

$R^{2} \mathrm{O}$




HO
D-35

$\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{1}} \mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$




L-35 OH

Reagents and conditions: (a) DMF, $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min} .94-96 \%$;
(b) NaOMe, MeOH, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 92-98 \%$; (c) BzCl, Pyr., rt., $20 \mathrm{~h}, 96-98 \%$;
(d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(50 \mathrm{Psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}+$ Methanol, r.t., 6 h, $95-96 \%$

One of these attempts (see (5), Scheme 6) led us to a new polymorph (Form-II) of racemic 36 (Scheme 6). Solid state acyl transfer reactivity in Form-I crystals of racemic 36 had earlier been reported from our laboratory. ${ }^{5 b}$

## Scheme 6

chloroform-
$\xrightarrow{\text { light petroleum }} 35.36$ (1:1 co-crystals)
(2) rac-35 + rac-18 $\quad$ " no co-crystals
(3) $\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{1 8}+\mathrm{rac}-\mathbf{3 6} \longrightarrow$ no co-crystals $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$
(4) rac-36 + $\quad \mathrm{H}$ Form-I of $36 \xrightarrow{115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}$ Mixture of products
(5) $\mathrm{rac}-36+\mathrm{L}-35 \longrightarrow$ Form-II of $36 \longrightarrow$ " $39(44 \%)+42(44 \%)$

The Form-II crystals underwent crystal to crystal transformation to Form-I crystals at 145 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, which indicated that Form I crystals are thermodynamically stable while Form II crystals are meta-stable. Single crystal X-ray diffraction data of Form II crystals suggested assembly of molecules favorable for transesterification reaction in crystals. The crystal structure was found to be isostructural (Figure 1D) with crystals of 18 and 35 which exhibited very good acyl transfer reactivity. As expected from the crystal structure, Form II crystals of racemic 36 underwent facile transesterification reaction (unlike the
polymorph Form-I, reported earlier). ${ }^{5 b}$ The results presented in this chapter show that the facility of acyl transfer reaction in crystals can be predicted from their structure.
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## Chapter 1

## A review of the synthetic utility of myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoesters

## Chapter-1

### 1.1. Introduction.

myo-Inositol and its derivatives / analogs have become conspicuous in the literature related to chemistry and biology due to the involvement of phosphoinositols in cellular signal transduction mechanisms ${ }^{1}$ and anchoring of certain proteins to cell membranes. ${ }^{2}$ However, the complete biological implications of the myo-inositol cycle are not yet clearly understood. Hence, sizeable amounts of inositol phosphates, their derivatives and analogs are required to study and understand the intricate details and implications of the myo-inositol cycle. This necessitates the efficient synthesis of naturally occurring phosphoinositols and their synthetic analogs. Consequently, many synthetic methodologies and techniques have been developed in the recent past ${ }^{3}$ for the synthesis of inositol derivatives useful in the study of the inositol cycle. Some of these methods have also been adopted for the synthesis of natural products (other than inositol derivatives and their analogs). ${ }^{4}$ Derivatives of inositols other than phosphoinositols are also important since several of them occur in nature and are essential constituents of our diet. Amino derivatives of inositols are present in antibiotics ${ }^{5}$ and some of them act as glycosidase inhibitors. ${ }^{6}$

Key intermediates for the synthesis of biologically important derivatives of inositols are the corresponding hydroxyl group protected derivatives. Many of these intermediates have been synthesized from commercially available myo-inositol, ${ }^{7}$ naturally occurring quebrachitol, ${ }^{8}$ carbohydrates, ${ }^{9}$ tartaric acid, ${ }^{10}$ benzene and it's derivatives ${ }^{11}$ (Scheme 1.1).


## Scheme 1.1

Regioselective protection of myo-inositol hydroxyl groups is a difficult task since all the hydroxyl groups are secondary and the reactivity differences between them is subtle. Hence reaction of inositols with most reagents leads to the formation of a mixture of products; formation of acetals (1.10-1.13) of myo-inositol is shown in Scheme 1.2 as an example.

1.1

R =Isopropylidene or cyclohexylidene

1.10





1.13

Scheme 1.2

In contrast, reaction of myo-inositol with trialkyl-orthoesters results in the formation of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoester as the sole product in high yield (Scheme 1.3), where in three hydroxyl groups are protected simultaneously. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}, 7 \mathrm{c}, 12}$ Incidentally, this also brings about an inversion of the inositol ring; some chemists have used the terms 'equatorial rich' and 'axial rich', ${ }^{13}$ to describe the normal conformation of the myoinositol ring and the inverted conformation of the same ring present in the orthoesters of myo-inositol. Furthermore, any one or two hydroxyl groups of inositol orthoesters can be derivatized selectively in high yields. ${ }^{3,14}$ Hence, orthoester derivatives of myo-inositol have emerged as useful intermediates for the preparation of many biologically relevant inositol derivatives.


Scheme 1.3: (a) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, \mathrm{TsOH}$, DMSO, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, TsOH, DMF, $90-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{PhC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, DMF, TsOH, $140^{\circ}-145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{BuC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, CSA, DMSO, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The major portion of this chapter is devoted to review the utility of myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoesters 1.15-1.18 for the synthesis of natural and unnatural derivatives or analogs of inositols. However, before going into these details, short notes on the biological relevance of myo-inositol derivatives and orthoesters in general, are given.

### 1.2. Biological relevance of myo-inositol (1.1).

Communication between cells and between organelles within a cell (cell signaling) controls the inner workings of organisms, assisting them to survive, respond and adapt to their surroundings. ${ }^{15}$ All living cells (plant or animal) receive and transmit signals in many forms continuously. Hence all cells must have the ability to detect the
presence of extracellular molecules and conditions, and must also be able to instigate a range of intracellular responses for their survival. Since different kinds of cell signaling systems are interdependent they must work in concert for the well being of an organism. Knowledge of the mechanism of cell signaling is also important for the understanding of the growth and activity of an aberrant cell or that of a cell that is combating adverse conditions, since impairment of cell signaling systems could lead to diseases. Cells may signal to each other in different ways, often classified depending on the distance between the signaling cell and the target cell. Cell signaling in multicellular organisms often involve chemicals such as hormones and neurotransmitters. Lipophillic hormones such as steroids can pass through the lipid bi-layer of cell membranes and bind to their target receptors within the cell. However, hydrophilic chemical messengers are incapable of crossing cell membranes. Hence in order to deliver their message they bind to specific receptors on the outside of the cell membrane and activate mechanisms that transmit the signal into the cell. This process is known as transmembrane signaling or signal transduction. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ A schematic diagram of the transmembrane cell signaling is shown in Figure 1.1.


Figure1.1. Transmembrane cell signaling.

After the arrival of a signaling molecule at the cell surface, the events listed below usually follow: (a) perception of the signal by certain proteins referred to as receptors; (b) transmission of the signal by the receptor into the cell; (c) transmission of the 'message' to a series of cell signaling components referred to as the signaling cascade; (d) receipt of the message by the final destination in the cell; (e) generation of a response by the cell. D-myo-Inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate $\left[\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}\right]$ functions as a second messenger in signal transduction pathways in eukaryotic cells. ${ }^{15}$ The receptor controlled hydrolysis (Scheme 1.4) of the membrane bound lipid, phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(4,5) $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ -
1.19] by phosphatidylinositol-specific phospholipase C (PI-PLC - 1.20) gives $\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$ (1.21), D-myo-inositol-1,2-cyclic-4,5-trisphosphate $\left.[\operatorname{Ins}(1-2 c y c) 4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathbf{- 1 . 2 2}\right]$ and diacylglycerol (DAG, 1.23).


Scheme 1.4

On cleavage of the phospholipid, hydrophobic DAG (1.23) is left in the cell membrane while the hydrophilic inositol phosphates, are released into the cytoplasm. 1.23 activates protein kinase C, while the trisphosphate 1.21 helps in the release of calcium ions from intracellular stores (endoplasmic reticulum). Both 1.21 and 1.23 act as secondary messengers in the target cell. ${ }^{15} \operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$-induced $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ release mediates a variety of cellular responses as diverse as fertilization, cell growth and differentiation,
neuronal signaling, secretion and phototransduction. ${ }^{10}$ The trisphosphate 1.21 is metabolized eventually to myo-inositol via a number of phosphorylation and dephosphoryltion reactions. myo-Inositol is then reused in the biosynthesis of phosphatidylinositol lipids in the endoplasmic reticulum; phospholipids so produced are reincorporated back into the plasma membrane by vesicular transport. ${ }^{15}$
myo-Inositol is also a part of the covalent anchors (glycosyl phosphatidylinositols -GPI) that attach certain proteins to cell membranes, for example, variant surface glycoprotein of trypanosomes. ${ }^{16}$ A typical structure of a GPI anchor is shown in Figure 1.2; GPI anchors attach proteins to cell membranes via a phosphoethanolamine unit linked to a trimannose-glucosamine-inositol back bone and a hydrophobic lipid (DAG) anchors the system to the cell membrane. ${ }^{17}$ Lipophosphoglycans and glycoinositol phospholipids, are also thought to play an important role in parasite virulence. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$


Figure 1.2 Structure of GPI anchor.
Impairment of the myo-inositol cycle, which involves several enzymes, could lead to several diseases and hence these pathways in the myo-inositol cycle are potential targets for the development of drugs. These developments in biology and medicine revived the chemistry associated with inositols in the past two decades.

### 1.3. Inositol isomers.

Inositols are cyclohexane hexols; there are eight known isomers, one of them being an enantiomeric pair (D- \& L-chiro-inositols) making a total of nine distinct stereoisomers (Figure 1.3). Among these nine isomers, myo-, scyllo-, cis-, neo- and (Dand L-) chiro-inositols or their derivatives occur in nature; myo-inositol being the most abundant. ${ }^{18}$

1.1 myo-

1.26 epi-

1.24 scyllo-

1.27 D-chiro-

1.30 allo-

1.25 neo-

1.28 L-chiro-

1.31 mисо-

$132 \mathrm{D}-1$ or $\mathrm{L}-3 \quad \mathrm{R} \neq \mathrm{H}$

$1.33 \mathrm{~L}-1$ or $\mathrm{D}-3$

Figure 1.3. Nine isomers of inositol reported in the literature.
myo-Inositol is a meso isomer with five equatorial hydroxyl groups and an axial hydroxyl group. There is a plane of symmetry passing through two carbon atoms (as shown in Figure 1.3). The carbon bearing the axial hydroxyl group is designated as C-2 and the other ring carbons can be numbered from C-1 to C-6 starting from a C-1 atom and proceeding around the ring in clockwise (1.33) or anticlockwise (1.32) fashion.

## Chapter-1

According to convention, ${ }^{19}$ anti-clockwise numbering in an unsymmetrically substituted myo-inositol leads to the configurational D-prefix and clockwise numbering gives the substituted myo-inositol an L-prefix. An IUPAC nomenclature allowing all biologically relevant compounds to be denoted as D-isomers has also been proposed. ${ }^{20}$ Although, many of the unsymmetrically substituted myo-inositol derivatives reported in this thesis are racemic, for clarity and simplicity they are represented by only one enantiomer in schemes. Optically inactive (racemic, meso) synthetic derivatives of inositol (other than phosphates) are numbered without prefixes, while optically active derivatives are numbered with a suitable prefix ( $\mathbf{D}-$, L-, ent-, Dia- etc).

### 1.4. Orthoesters.

Orthoesters are acetal-like derivatives of carboxylic acid esters (one carbon atom covalently attached to three alkoxy groups). Some biologically active natural products such as daphnetoxin, resiniferatoxin (RTX), kirkinine, synaptolepis factors, ${ }^{21}$ orthoesterol $\mathrm{B}^{22}$ and Hygromycin $\mathrm{B}^{23}$ contain the orthoester moiety (Figure 1.4). Xyloccensins O (1) and $P(2)$ are a unique class of highly oxidized phragmalins that were isolated from the stem bark of the mangrove plant $X$. granatum and identified as 8,9,30-phragmalin orthoesters. ${ }^{24}$

Cyclic and acyclic orthoesters have been extensively used for the protection of alcohols as well as carboxylic acids. ${ }^{25}$ Orthoesters are stable to alkaline conditions and the parent alcohol or the carboxylic acid can be regenerated by the acid hydrolysis of the orthoesters. Alternately, certain orthoesters can also be subjected to reduction reactions to generate ketals and ethers. These reactions provide certain degree of flexibility during the synthesis of complex organic molecules.


Figure 1.4. Structures of some natural products containing orthoester functionality.

Synthesis of an antiobesity agent, tetrahydrolipstatin $1.47,{ }^{26}$ a natural product, $(+)-(9 \mathrm{~s})$-dihydroerythronolide $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 . 5 1})^{27}$ and a cytotoxic agent attenol $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 . 6 0})^{28}$ are shown in Schemes 1.5, 1.6 and 1.7 for illustration.


Scheme 1.5: (a) $\mathrm{PhC}\left(\mathrm{OEt}_{3}{ }_{3}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}\right.$; (b) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \bullet \mathrm{THF}$, HMPA-THF; (c) periodinane; (d) lithium (S,S')- $\alpha, \alpha$-dimethylidinebenzylamide, TMSCl ; (e) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; then $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.


Scheme 1.6: (a) $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, PPTS; (b) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, THF.


Scheme 1.7: Synthesis of attenol-A ${ }^{27}$ : (a) CSA ; (b) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, TMSCN; (c) $(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cl}$; (d) lithium di-t-butyl biphenylide (LiDBB).

Orthoesters have been used as glycosyl donors in carbohydrate chemistry. ${ }^{16 c,} 29$ Formation of glycosidic bonds is of immense importance in the synthesis of complex glycosides and their conjugates, which are being realized to have profound biological implications (Figure 1.5).





Figure 1.5: Orthoesters used as glycosyl donors.

### 1.5. Inositol orthoesters: Preparation.

Luk'yanov and Tolkachev ${ }^{30}$ reported the synthesis of myo-inositol monoorthoformate and assigned the structure $\mathbf{1 . 6 5}$ (Scheme 1.8). However, the structure of the mono-orthoformate was proved to be $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ by Lee and Kishi ${ }^{79}$ Procedures for the preparation of the orthoacetate $\mathbf{1 . 1 6},{ }^{7 \mathrm{c}}$ the orthobutanoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}^{12 \mathrm{a}}$ (Scheme 1.3) and the para-methoxy phenoxy-orthoacetate $1.66^{31}$ have been published. Although the orthobenzoate is reported in the literature, ${ }^{32}$ procedure for its preparation was not available. Orthoesters of myo-inositol are usually prepared by the reaction of myo-inositol with a suitable trimethyl or triethyl orthoester, in DMF or DMSO and the inositol orthoester is isolated by column chromatography. Procedures for the preparation of myoinositol orthoesters, without the involvement of chromatography were also developed later. ${ }^{33}$ The PMP orthoester $\mathbf{1 . 6 6}$ has also been converted to other inositol orthoesters such as the acetylene derivative $\mathbf{1 . 6 9}^{34}$ (Scheme 1.8).


Scheme 1.8: (a) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, TsOH , $\mathrm{DMSO}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 18 h ; (b) $\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, DMF, TsOH, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (c) 7 steps as in reference 34 (d) DCM, oxalyl chloride, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} ; \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COC}\left(=\mathrm{N}_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (e) CuCl , TMEDA.

The reaction of scyllo-inositol 1.24 with triethyl orthoformate in DMSO and other solvents has been investigated. ${ }^{35}$ The reaction yielded a polymeric intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 7 0}$
(Scheme 1.9) which on pyrolysis gave hexaoxadiadamentane (1.71). scyllo-Inositol mono-orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 7 2}$ has been prepared by the inversion of the C2-hydroxyl group (Scheme 1.9) in the orthoformate 1.15. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}, 36}$


Scheme 1.9: (a) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}, 150-200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $250-350{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (c) DMF , TBDMSCl, imidazole; (d) NaH, BnBr, DMF; (e) TBAF, THF; (f) Swern oxidation; (g) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF-MeOH; (h) MeOH/ NaOMe.
1.6. myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate as a key intermediate for the synthesis of phosphorylated inositol derivatives.
1.6.1. Synthesis of inositol mono-phosphates and their analogs: Racemic myo-inositol-4- phosphate (1.77) ${ }^{37}$ and myo-inositol-2-phosphate (1.81) ${ }^{38}$ have been prepared from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.10). While the racemic 4-phosphate 1.77 can be obtained by the direct phosphorylation of the orthoformate 1.15 , preparation of the 2 -
phosphate 1.81 required initial protection of the C4- and C6- hydroxyl groups. D-myo-Inositol-4-phosphate (1.83) was prepared in four steps from myo-inositol ${ }^{39}$ by initially desymmetrizing the orthoformate as its di-(S)-O-acetylmandelate esters 1.78 and dia-1.78


Scheme 1.10: (a) $\mathrm{NaH},\left[(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{PO}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (b) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$; (c) $80 \%$ TFA - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (d) (S)-(+)-Oacetylmandeloyl chloride, Py; (e) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{PN}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}$, tetrazole, DCM; (f) m-CPBA, DCM; (g) $\mathrm{NaH}(2 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{BnBr}(2 \mathrm{eq})$.

D-myo-Inositol-1-phosphate (1.87), D-myo-inositol-3-phosphate (1.86), D-myo-inositol-3-deoxy-1-phosphate (1.90) and D-myo-inositol-3,5-dideoxy-1-phosphate (1.89) were made from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ via the tribenzyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ and the methoxy benzyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ as shown in scheme 1.11. ${ }^{40}$


Scheme 1.11: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (c) peptide 1.92.3P, DPCP, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM, 53 \%; (d) Li, liquid $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$; (e) peptide 1.91.1P, DPCP, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 65 \%$; (f) NaH , PMBCl, DMF.

Fluorophosphonate analogues 1.95-1.97 (Scheme 1.12) and 1,2-cyclic phosphates 1.103-1.105 were synthesized and tested for the inhibition of PI-PLC in order to gain an understanding of the mechanism of action of the PI-PLC family of enzymes. The dibenzyl ketone 1.93 was used as the key intermediate for the preparation of the fluoro phosphonate derivatives $1.95-1.97 .^{41}$ A similar synthetic sequence was used for the preparation of three inositol cyclic phosphonates 1.103-1.105 with varying stereochemistry at the C-2 position of the inositol ring; they were tested as water-soluble inhibitors of PI-PLC. ${ }^{42}$

$1.15 \xrightarrow[\text { ref. } 41,44]{2 \text { steps }}$






1.104


Scheme 1.12 : (a) LDA, $\left[(\mathrm{EtO})_{2}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{P}\right]_{2} \mathrm{CHF}$, THF; (b) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O})(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}\right]_{2}$, LDA, THF; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \%$ Pd-C, EtOH, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h},>90 \%$ stereoselectivity; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Raney $\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h},>95 \%$ stereoselectivity; (e) TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) DCC, DMF.

The inositol derivative 1.112 (Scheme 1.13) was prepared from the orthoformate 1.15 and tested as a pro-drug of inositolmonophosphatase ligands. ${ }^{43}$


Scheme 1.13: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$, DCM ; (c) NaH , PMBCl , DMF; (d) aq. TFA; (e) PhOCSCl, DMAP, MeCN; (f) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, PhMe; (g) NaH, THF; CS 2 ; MeI

### 1.6.2. Synthesis of myo-inositol bis-phosphates.

Symmetric myo-inositol-1,3-bis phosphate (1.113, Scheme 1.14$)^{44}$ and optically active myo-inositol-1,5- and 3,5-bisphosphates $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 6}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 7}{ }^{45}$ were synthesized from


Scheme 1.14: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (c) $(\mathrm{PhO})_{2} \mathrm{POCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, ; (d) Li-liq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.
the tribenzyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 8 4}$ (Scheme 1.11) which can readily be prepared from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$.

### 1.6.3. Synthesis of myo-inositol tris-phosphates and their analogs.

The orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ is a precursor for the preparation of the trisphosphate ${ }^{46}$ 1.119 and it serves as a convenient intermediate for the preparation of precursors for myo-inositol-1,3,5-trisphosphate (1.120) $)^{46 b, 47}$ as well as its analogs 1.122 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 4}$ (Scheme 1.15). ${ }^{48}$ Some of these trisphosphates were investigated for their ability to complex with several metal ions. ${ }^{46 a}$ The phosphorothioate analog $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 4}$ did not mobilize intracellular $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ but was a highly potent inhibitor of $\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3} 5$-phosphatase and hence served as a non- $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-mobilizing inhibitor of $\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3} 5$-phosphatase. ${ }^{48}$


Scheme 1.15: (a) 2-Ethylamino-1,3,2-benzodioxaphosphepane; (b) m-CPBA; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, PdC; (d) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py}$; (f) $\mathrm{PMBCl}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF} ;$ (g) $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}(1: 20)$; (h) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}, 1 \mathrm{H}$-tetrazole, then S8, Py.; (i) Na -liq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

D-myo-inositol-1,2,6-trisphosphate $\left[\operatorname{Ins}(1,2,6) \mathrm{P}_{3}\right.$ or $\alpha$-trinositol, 1.128] was prepared ${ }^{49}$ in enantiomerically pure form via a facile enzyme assisted route (Scheme 1.16). The key reactions in the synthetic sequence starting from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ involved (a) regioselective acetylation of the triol 1.15; (b) enantioselective esterification of the dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}$; (c) the selective acylation of the axial hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 6}$ and (d) the selective, base catalyzed methanolysis of one of the benzoate groups in $\mathbf{D}$ 1.127 followed by phosphorylation and deprotection of benzyl groups gave 1.128. $\alpha$ Trinositol (1.128) is known to posses a range of pharmacological properties- suppression of inflammation, ${ }^{50}$ prevention of secondary diabetic complications, ${ }^{50,51}$ antagonist at NPY receptors, ${ }^{52}$ influence on cholesterol transport ${ }^{53}$ and reversing of cadmium induced hypertension. ${ }^{54}$


Scheme 1.16: (a) THF, Vinyl acetate, [LPL]; (b) Py, $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{DCM}$; (c) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) acetone, vinyl butyrate, [LPL]; (e) $\mathrm{MeC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}, \mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{THF}$; (f) $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCNHOBn}, \mathrm{TfOH}$; (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (h) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}$, tetrazole; m-CPBA, DCM; (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$; EtOH, NaOH; Amberlyst-15.
myo-Inositol-1,2,3-trisdihydrogenphosphate (1.132) was synthesized from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 ;}{ }^{55}$ DIBAL-H reduction of the orthoester moiety in the dibenzyl ether


Scheme 1.17: (a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) NaH, BNBr, DMF; (c) DIBAL-H, DCM; (d) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{NaH}, n-\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (e) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (f) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}, 1 H$-tetrazole, DCM; (g) m-CPBA, DCM; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; then ion exchange.
1.130 released the C5-hydroxyl group selectively (Scheme 1.17). The trisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 2}$ was obtained from the acetal by deprotection of the $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 2$, and $\mathrm{C} 3-$ hydroxyl groups followed by phosphorylation.

Synthetic routes to several enantiomeric inositol trisphosphates $D-\operatorname{Ins}(3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$ (1.136), $D-\operatorname{Ins}(3,4,6) P_{3}$ (1.138), $D-\operatorname{Ins}(3,5,6) P_{3}(\mathbf{1 . 1 4 0})$ were developed utilizing catalytic enantioselective and site-selective phosphorylation reactions on the triol $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ (Scheme 1.11). The common intermediate $\mathbf{1 . 8 8}$ carrying orthogonal protecting groups could be accessed via the orthoformate $1.15 .{ }^{40 \mathrm{~b}}$


Scheme 1.18: (a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) NaH , BnOH ; (c) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) (i$\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}$, dicyanoimidazole, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; (e) DDQ, DCM- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$; (g) BOMCl, DIPEA, DMF.

The cyclicphosphate 1.147 has been prepared from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.19). ${ }^{56}$ The orthoester moiety in the diallyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 1}$ was cleaved using

Trimethyl aluminium to release the C 1 (3)-hydroxyl group. The diastereomeric mixture of acetals $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 3}$ served as the key intermediate for the preparation of the cyclic phosphate 1.147. The trisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 7}$ was used to study the structure activity relationship on the interaction of the second-messenger, $D-\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$ with its receptor.


Scheme 1.19: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{AllBr}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$, DMF; (c) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{DCM}$; (d) $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}, \mathrm{DABCO}$, then $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$; (e) $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{POBn}, 1 H$-tetrazole, then $m$-CPBA; (f) TFA, THF-EtOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (g) o-xylylene $N, N$-diethyl phosphoramidite, $1 H$-tetrazole, then m-CPBA; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd-C, MeOH.

Conformationally restricted cyclic phosphate, 1.153, an analogue of the second messenger 1.21, was prepared ${ }^{57}$ from the protected inosose 1.148 (Scheme 1.20). The inosose 1.148 was obtained from orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ in two steps; Wittig methylenation followed by hydroboration-oxidation using $9-\mathrm{BBN}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{OH}^{-} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ gave the axial hydroxymethyl derivative $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 9}$. The orthoester 1.149 was converted to the cyclic phosphate 1.153 by a series of protection / deprotection steps followed by phosphorylation. Testing of structurally-modified analogs (such as 1.153) of biologically active phosphoinositols offers the prospect of pharmacological intervention in the inositol based signaling pathway.


Scheme 1.20: (a) NaH , $\mathrm{PMBCl}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) Swern oxidation; (c) $\mathrm{MePPh}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$, $t$ - BuOK , THF; (d) 9-BBN, $\mathrm{OH}^{-}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$; (e) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$.

The purinyl derivative racemic 1.160 was synthesized ${ }^{58}$ from the orthoformate 1.15 (Scheme 1.21). Introduction of an alkyl side chain containing the purinyl unit at the


Scheme 1.21: (a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (c) NaH , AllBr, DMF; (d) TBAF, THF; (e) NaH, 1-O-tosyl-4-O-TBS butane-1,4-diol; (f) Me ${ }_{3} A 1$, DCM; (g) NaH, BnBr, DMF; (h) TsOH, MeOH.

2-O-position was achieved by the orthogonal protection of the 2, 4 and 6-hydroxyl groups in 1.15. The purinyl analog 1.160 of $\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$ behaved as a potent full agonist at the $\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$-receptor.
scyllo-Inositol-1,3,5-trisphosphate (1.165) was synthesized from myo-inositol 1.1. ${ }^{59}$ The key conversions involved Mitsunobu reaction of the diol $\mathbf{1}$.161 and protection of the $2,4,6$-hydroxyl groups in the monobenzoate 1.162 as the orthoformate (1.163, Scheme 1.22).


Scheme 1.22: (a) 2,2-Dimethoxypropane, TsOH ; (b) EtOH -ether; (c) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (d) NaH , BnBr ; (e) aq. AcOH ; (f) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{DEAD}^{2} \mathrm{PhCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$; (h) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, TsOH ; (i) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py}$; (j) $\mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$.

### 1.6.4. Synthesis of myo-inositol tetrakisphosphates.

Synthesis of racemic-myo-inositol-1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate (1.169) was described by two independent groups starting from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$. One of the approaches ${ }^{60}$ (Scheme 1.23) involved the protection of the 4-hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ as benzyloxymethyl ether while the other ${ }^{37}$ used an allyl group to protect the same hydroxyl group. In both the reports the key step was a novel, highly regioselective mono-alkylation of one of the axial hydroxyl groups of 1.15. ${ }^{44}$


Scheme 1.23: (a) NaH ; $\mathrm{BnOCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) NaH , BnBr , DMF; (c) $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) $\left[(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{PO}\right]_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (TBPP), NaH, DMF; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH} ;(\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{AllBr}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (g) $\mathrm{RhCl}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$, DABCO .
$D-\operatorname{Ins}(1,3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{4}$ (1.172) and $\mathrm{D}-\operatorname{Ins}(1,3,5,6) \mathrm{P}_{4}$ (1.175) were synthesized ${ }^{33 a}$ from myo-inositol via the diastereomeric carbamate derivatives $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 1}$ and dia-171 as shown in the scheme 1.24.


Scheme 1.24: (a) TBSCl, DMF; (b) $t$-BuOK, BnBr, DMF; (c) BuLi, THF, (+)-(R)-(1phenyl ethyl) isocyanate; $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$; (d) $\mathrm{BnOC}(=\mathrm{NH}) \mathrm{CCl}_{3}$, TfOH ; (e) TFA - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (g) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}$, tetrazole; m-CPBA; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$.

The tetrakisphosphates 1.172 and $\mathbf{1 . 1 7 5}$ were also prepared ${ }^{61}$ via the desymmetrization of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ as its dicamphanate derivative (Scheme 1.25). This method was claimed to be capable of providing $D-\operatorname{Ins}(1,3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathbf{1 . 1 7 2})$ in larger quantities required for crystallographic and NMR studies of its interaction with the rapidly expanding range of $\operatorname{Ins}(1,3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{4}$-binding proteins.


Scheme 1.25: (a) (1S)-(-)-camphanoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM ; (b) $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; (c) TFA$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (d) ( BnO$)_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}, 1 H$-tetrazole, DCM; (e) m-CPBA, DCM; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ;(\mathrm{g})$ conc: aq $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$

Precursors for the synthesis of D- and L-myo-inositol 1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate viz., D-2,4- and D-2,6-di-O-benzyl-myo-inositols (1.174 and ent-1.174) were prepared ${ }^{62}$ from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ using sulfonate groups for the protection of inositol hydroxyl groups (Scheme 1.26). The regioselectivity of sulfonylation of myo-inositol orthoester hydroxyl groups was controlled by the use of different bases to obtain the desired sulfonate. Sulfonylated derivatives of myo-inositol orthoesters were stable to conditions of $O$-alkylation but could be cleaved using magnesium / methanol or sodium methoxide in methanol to regenerate the corresponding myo-inositol orthoester derivative.
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Scheme 1.26: (a) TsCl (1 eq.), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (b) NaH , BnBr , DMF ; (c) Mg , MeOH ; (d) (1S)-(-)camphanoyl chloride, Py, DMAP; (e) NaOMe, MeOH; (f) TFA - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Enantioselective and site-selective phosphorylation reaction (Scheme 1.18) using a peptide catalyst and further manipulations on the diol $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 3}$ provided D-Ins $(3,4,5,6) \mathrm{P}_{4}$ (1.182 , Scheme 1.27). ${ }^{40 b}$


Scheme 1.27: (a) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnOH}$; (c) cat. $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) DDQ, DCM- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (e) (i-Pr) $)_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}$, dicyanoimidazole, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$.

The dibenzoates $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 5}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 1 8 6}$ obtained from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ were used for the efficient syntheses of $\operatorname{Ins}(1,2,3,5) \mathrm{P}_{4}(\mathbf{1 . 1 8 4})$ and $\operatorname{Ins}(2,4,5,6) \mathrm{P}_{4}\left(\mathbf{1 . 1 8 7}\right.$, Scheme 1.28); ${ }^{63}$ These tetraphosphates were used as inhibitors of $\mathrm{I}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}$-3- kinase.


Scheme 1.28: (a) AcCl (1.6 eq.), Py ; (b) $\mathrm{BzC1}$ (4 eq.); (c) as in Scheme 1.16; (d) Scheme 1.14; (e) $\mathrm{BzCl}(2.5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Py} ., 67 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$-C.

Racemic myo-inositol 1,2,4,6-tetrakisphosphate (1.190) was synthesized from the orthoformate 1.15 via racemic 1.189. Benzylation and de-allylation followed by phosphorylation afforded racemic $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 0}$ (Scheme 1.29). The tetrakisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 0}$ showed no demonstrable agonism or antagonism for $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ release in permeabilised hepatocytes. ${ }^{64}$


Scheme 1.29: (a) NaH , AllBr, DMF; (b) EtOH-HCl; (c) AllBr , ( $\left.\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$, DCM, aq. NaOH ; (d) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$, DMF; (e) $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TsOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}, 1 \mathrm{H}-$ tetrazole, DCM; (g) m-CPBA; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.
myo-Inositol-1,2,3,5-tetrakisphosphate (1.192) was prepared from the previously mentioned dibenzyl ether 1.80, obtained in three steps from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.30). The tetrakisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 2}$ was tested as an inhibitor of iron-gall-ink corrosion. ${ }^{55}$


Scheme 1.30: (a) Scheme 1.10, page 13; (b) $(\mathrm{BnO})_{2} \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}$, $1 H$-tetrazole, DCM ; (c) $m$-CPBA, DCM; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd-C, MeOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

The tetraphosphate derivative $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 5}$ was synthesized ${ }^{66}$ from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.31). The inositol tetrakisphosphate affinity column prepared using 1.195 was found to be effective in isolating the inositol binding proteins from bovine cardiac membranes.


Scheme 1.31: (a) $\mathrm{BOMCl}, \mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) $\mathrm{PNBCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{DMAP}$; (c) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (d) $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) o-xylylene $N, N$-diethyl phosphoramidite; $m$-CPBA, DCM; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd C, $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{RuO}_{2}$.

A membrane-permeant derivative of inositol, racemic $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 9}$ was synthesized from the dibutyrate 1.197. ${ }^{67}$ The dibutyrate was obtained by the sequential benzylation and acylation of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.32).


Scheme 1.32: (a) BnBr , NaH , DMF; (b) $\mathrm{Bt}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py ; (c) TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$; (e) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}$, tetrazole; $\mathrm{AcO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcOH} ;(\mathrm{g}) \mathrm{AMBr}$, DIPEA-MeCN.

Synthesis of the enantiomeric $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 3}$ (Scheme 1.33) was described, ${ }^{68,}{ }^{9 d}$ starting from D-galactose (1.5).


Scheme 1.33: (a) $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$, CSA, DMF; (b) NaH , AllBr, DMF; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, EtOH; (d) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}, 1 H$-tetrazole, (f) $t-\mathrm{BuOOH}$.

The three hydroxyl groups in the deoxyinositol derivative $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 0}$ were protected as the orthoformate (1.201). Formation of the $n$-propyl ether followed by deprotection and phosphorylation yielded the tetrakisphosphate 1.203.

### 1.6.5. Synthesis of myo-inositol pentakisphosphates.

2-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol (1.204) which is a precursor for the preparation of Ins $[1,3,4,5,6] P_{5}$ (1.207) was obtained from the orthoformate 1.15 by benzoylation followed by hydrolysis of the orthoester moiety. ${ }^{69}$ The 2-benzyl ether 1.206, another precursor for the same pentakisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 7}$ was obtained from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ by using tosylates for the initial protection of the 4- and 6-hydroxyl groups of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5} .{ }^{62}$ Acylation of the C2-hydroxyl group of 1.15 with p-nitrobenzoyl chloride provided access to a biologically interesting inositol pentakisphosphate derivative 1.210. The $p$ aminobenzoate ${ }^{66} 1.210$ was prepared as a potential ligand for an affinity column to isolate the inositol binding proteins from bovine cardiac membranes (Scheme 1.34).


Scheme 1.34: (a) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py}$; (b) TsCl (2 eq.), NaH or $t$-BuOK (2 eq.); (c) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$; (d) $\mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) $\mathrm{PNBCl}, \mathrm{Py}$; (g) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (h) $\alpha$, $\alpha$ '-diyl- $N$, $N$-diethyl phosphoramidite, DCM; m-CPBA; (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 1.6.6. Inositol phospholipids.

L-Phosphatidyl-D-myo-inositol-3,5-bisphosphate [PtdIns(3,5) $\mathrm{P}_{2}, 1.213$ ] has been synthesized from the dibenzyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ which is easily obtainable from the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ in two steps. The 1,2-cis-diol 1.191 could be selectively protected as cyclohexylidene ketal $(\mathbf{1 . 2 1 1})^{70}$ or camphor ketal $(\mathbf{1 . 2 1 4} \text { or 1.215) })^{71}$ since no other vicinal diols are present in the tetrol $\mathbf{1 . 1 9 1}$ (Scheme 1.35). These ketals were converted to the lipid 1.213 as well as its short chain and cross-linkable aminoether analogues by manipulation of the hydroxyl groups and phosphorylation step, as required.


Scheme 1.35: (a) Scheme 1.10, page 13; (b) $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{HCl}$; (c) cyclohexanone, TsOH ; (d) MPMCl, NaH ; (e) S-(-)-camphanic chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM; (f) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{KOH}$; (g) (+)camphor dimethyl ketal, TsOH, DCM.

The D-3-phosphorylated myo-inositol phospholipids PtdIns(3)P (1.216), $\operatorname{PtdIns}(3,4) \mathrm{P}_{2}$ (1.223 and 1.224), $\operatorname{PtdIns}(3,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}(\mathbf{1} .225)$ and $\operatorname{PtdIns}(3,5) \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathbf{1 . 2 1 3})$ were synthesized from the orthoformate 1.15. ${ }^{72}$ Key transformations in this synthesis included the regioselective cleavage of orthoformate in $\mathbf{1 . 2 1 7}$ (Scheme 1.36) and $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}$ (Scheme
1.37 ) with DIBAL-H and a resolution-protection protocol (of 1.221 and 1.222) using the camphor acetals.


Scheme 1.36: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{PMBCl}, \mathrm{DMF}$; (b) NaH , BnBr, DMF; (c) DIBAL-H, DCMhexane; (d) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{AllBr}$, DMF; (e) $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{MeOH}$.


Scheme 1.37: (a) DIBAL-H, DCM; (b) NaH , BnBr, DMF; (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) NaH , PMBCl, THF; (e) (1S)-(-)-camphanic chloride, Py, DCM.

### 1.7. Partially protected inositol derivatives.

There are several reports on the conversion of the orthoformate 1.15 to $O$ protected inositol derivatives, which can be used as intermediates for the preparation of phosphoinositols, their derivatives and analogs. These reports principally deal with the regioselective derivatization of the $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 4$ and the C 6 hydroxyl groups of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ or the regioselective cleavage of the orthoformate moiety in tri-O-protected derivatives of inositol orthoformate to release any one or two of the C1, C3 and the C5 hydroxyl groups. These reports are grouped below since the protected inositols were not converted to any phosphoinositol or a cyclitol derivative.

Reaction conditions that allow the regioselective monobenzoylation of the orthoformate 1.15 at the equatorial or the axial hydroxyl groups could be arrived at by a detailed study on the benzoylation of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ under a variety of reaction conditions and using different benzoylating agents (Scheme 1.38). ${ }^{73}$ However, it is not clear whether



Scheme 1.38: (a) BzCl ( 0.5 eq ), Py ; (b) BzCl ( 0.5 eq ), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (c) DMF , NaH (1 eq.), PhCOCl; (d) NaH (2 eq.), PhCOCl; (e) DMF, NaH (1 eq.); (f) $t$-BuOK, DMF.
these conditions that allowed the regioselective benzoylation could be extended for the preparation of other esters of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$. Regiospecific $O$-acylation of either the C 2 - or the C 4 -
hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ can be achieved by carrying out the acylation reaction in the presence of excess of sodium hydride or one equivalent of sodium hydride respectively. ${ }^{74}$ Formation of the C2-O-acylated derivative is due to the isomerization of the corresponding (initially formed) $\mathrm{C} 4(6)-O$-acylated derivative in the presence of sodium hydride. Thus regioselectivity of the acylation reaction in the triol $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ can be controlled by controlling the amount of sodium hydride in the reaction medium. Although acylation of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (in the presence of tertiary amines) with two or more equivalents of an acylating agent usually yields a mixture of esters, conditions to obtain the racemic benzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ in high yields have been described. ${ }^{75}$ The dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ served as a versatile intermediate for the preparation of several protected myo-inositol derivatives. ${ }^{76,}$ 33b

Diastereomeric camphorsulfonates obtained from the racemic dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ were separable by column chromatography. D-2,4- and D-2,6-di-O-benzyl-myo-inositols (1.174 and ent-1.174) could be obtained from the diastereomeric camphor sulfonates in very good yields (Scheme 1.39). ${ }^{77}$


Scheme 1.39: (a) BzCl (2.2 eq), Py; (b) $1 S-(+)-10$-camphorsulphonyl chloride, Py; (c) $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMF; (d) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

Regioselectivity for the sulfonylation of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ with alkyl or aryl sulfonyl chlorides could be controlled by varying the base used (Scheme 1.40). ${ }^{78}$ This methodology was used for the preparation enantiomeric benzyl ethers D-1.174 and ent1.174 ${ }^{62}$ (Scheme 1.26).


Scheme 1.40: (a) TsCl (1eq), Py ; (b) $\mathrm{TsCl}(1 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (c) TsCl (2 eq), NaH (2 eq)

A general method for the preparation of orthogonally protected myo-inositol derivatives was developed utilizing the subtle differences in reactivity exhibited by alkali metal alkoxides of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ (Scheme 1.41). ${ }^{14}$ Available experimental data suggested that the observed differences in reactivity of alkali metal alkoxides of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ were due to differences in their ability to form chelates (involving the axial and equatorial oxygen atoms of 1.15) with alkali metal ions.


Scheme 1.41: (a) LiH or BuLi (4 eq), THF-DMF, AllBr (2.3 eq); (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$; (c) BuLi (1.1 eq), AllBr (1.2 eq); NaH, MeI.

Protected inositol derivatives have also been prepared by the regioselective cleavage of the orthoformate moiety in $O$-protected derivatives of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ as mentioned earlier [See Schemes (pages): 1.13 (16), 1.19 (20), 1.21 (21), 1.36 (31)]. The orthoformate moiety in the tribenzyl ether $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}$ could be cleaved selectively with DIBAL-H to release the C5-hydroxyl group. ${ }^{79}$ The C1-hydroxyl group could be released
selectively in $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}$ by cleavage of the orthoformate using trimethylaluminium (Scheme 1.42). The observed difference in selectivity in the cleavage of the orthoformate by using different reagents was attributed to the bulk of the reagent.


Scheme 1.42: (a) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$, DCM; (b) DIBAL-H, DCM; (c) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$, rt; (d) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}$

Orthoformate moiety in inositol derivatives $1.246,1.247$ and 1.251 could also be cleaved using Grignard reagents or $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4} / \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, to selectively release one or two hydroxyl groups (Scheme 1.43). ${ }^{32}$ These results are complimentary to the cleavage of orthoester by DIBAL-H and trimethylaluminium mentioned above. ${ }^{79}$ The regioselectivity for the cleavage of the orthoester $\mathbf{1 . 2 5 1}$ was rationalized owing to the presence of the


Scheme 1.43: (a) MeMgI; (b) excess PhMgI, 60\%; (c) $\mathrm{LAH}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, 49 \%$.
equatorial oxygen at the C 2 position which could serve as an auxiliary to form a chelate (as shown in 1.251) with magnesium.

The orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ has served as a starting material for the synthesis of natural and unnatural products (Scheme 1.44) such as $( \pm)$-tetrodotoxin (1.269), ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ ononitols ${ }^{76}$, Dand L-laminitols ${ }^{80}$, mytilitol ${ }^{80}$, scyllo-inositol methyl ethers ${ }^{76 a, 80}$ inositol stereoisomers, ${ }^{36,}$ ${ }^{81}$ 3-O-methyl-scyllo-inosamine (a natural product which favors the RhizobiumLeguminosae symbiosis) ${ }^{82}$ and a novel ferulic acid derivative ${ }^{83}$ of 1.264. The feruloyl-myo-inositols suppressed the cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) promoter activity without marked cytotoxicity in a concentration-dependent manner. ${ }^{83}$


Scheme 1.44: Natural products and their analogs from 1.15

Chiral enterobactin analogs (1.253) which exhibit excellent affinitiy for ferric ions have been synthesized from the orthoformate. ${ }^{84}$ Enterobactin (Figure 1.5) is a siderophore produced by enteric bacteria to trap ferric ions under iron-deficient conditions. ${ }^{85}$


Figure 1.5: Enterobactin
Vasella et al ${ }^{86}$ reported the glycosylation reaction of the triol 1.15 with diaziridine $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 1}$ and the acetamidate $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 3}$.
$1.15+$

1.261


Scheme 1.45: (a) 1,4-dioxane, rt; (b) $\mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Good regioselectivity was observed in the reaction of diaziridine $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 1}$ which gave 4-Oglycosylated products $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 2}$ and dia-1.262 in $90 \%$ yield where as the reaction of the triol $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ with $\mathbf{1 . 2 6 3}$ gave a mixture of products (Scheme 1.45).

Glycosylation of the orthoformate 1.15 using the Appel-Lee protocol was regiospecific for the 4/6-position (Scheme 1.46). Inositol-glycoside conjugates were obtained by removal of the orthoformate group under very mild conditions. These inositol-glycoside conjugates were used as substrates for inositol dehydrogenase from Bacillus subtilis. ${ }^{87}$ Active site of the same dehydrogenase was also probed ${ }^{88}$ using a variety of $4-O$-substituted myo-inositol derivatives (such as 1.267 - 1.270) easily obtainable from 1.15. X-ray crystallographic analysis of 4-O-p-toluenesulfonyl-myoinositol (1.270) and 4-O-(2-naphthyl)-methyl-myo-inositol (1.268), which is a substrate for IDH, showed a distinct difference in the preferred conformation of the aryl substituent.




Scheme 1.46: (a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$, DMF-DCM; (b) tetramethylurea, 1.15; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}$-C ; (d) Dowex ( $\mathrm{H}^{+}$form); (e) TMSOTf, DCM; (f) MeONa / MeOH.

Glycoconjugated dendrimers 1.279 (Scheme 1.47) have been constructed from the orthoformate 1.15 which are potentially useful as glycomimetics for studying multivalency effects in the cell-cell communications by atomic force-field microscopy. ${ }^{89}$

Xanthate esters of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ have served as tools to examine the origin of the $\beta$-oxygen effect in the Barton deoxygenation reaction. ${ }^{90}$


Scheme 1.47: (a) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF; (b) AllBr, NaH, DMF; (c) n-Bu4NI, THF; (d) PCC, DCM; (e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF}$; (f) TsOH, MeOH-EtOAc; (g) trimethyl 4bromoorthobutyrate, TsOH, Toluene; (h) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMF; (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{THF}$; (j) (Boc) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF.

The orthoformate 1.15 has been used to prepare inositol cyclopolymer by ring closing metathesis of 4,6-di-O-allyl-2-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate $(\mathbf{1 . 2 8 0})^{91}$ and construction of rigid polymer by free radical-promoted cyclopolymerization of 4,6-bis(4-vinylbenzyl)-2-O-t-butyldimethylsilyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.285) ${ }^{92}$ (Scheme 1.48).


Scheme 1.48: (a) TBDMSCl, lutidine, DMF; (b) AllBr, NaH, DMF; (c) DCM; (d) TsOH, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) 4-vinyl-benzyl chloride, NaH , DMF; (f) AIBN, toluene; (g) TsOH, $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{THF}$.

The orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ has been used as a platform for the preparation of several metal ion complexing agents ${ }^{96 b}$ (1.289-1.291, Figure 1.6), particularly for the selective complexation of lithium ions.
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Figure 1.6

The attempts at tuning of metal ion binding ability of neutral complexing agents is because of various applications in areas of chemistry ${ }^{93}$ biology ${ }^{94}$ and medicine. ${ }^{95}$ A variety of metal ion complexing agents constructed using inositol orthoformate as the platform ${ }^{31,96}$ are shown in Figure 1.6 and Figure 1.7. High selectivity observed for the binding of many metal ions to these ligands was attributed to the rigid conformation of these ligands due to the presence of the orthoformate moiety. The bifacial ligand $\mathbf{1 . 6 9}$ reacts with one equivalent of $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ or $\mathrm{LiBF}_{4}$ to form rod like ionic polymers ${ }^{34}$ (1.292, Figure 1.7).
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Figure 1.7: Inositol orthoester derived metal ion complexing agents
The bipyridine and terpyridine ligands (1.293-1.296) ${ }^{97}$ covalently linked via acetylenic and alkoxy tethers, to the rigid inositol orthoformate platform provide the possibility of
binding of alkali metal ions and transition-metal ions simultaneously. The results of metal ion binding experiments with inositol derived crown ethers and podands (1.297-1.299) suggested that relative binding affinity of metal ions to crown ethers can be tuned by varying the relative orientation of the crown ether oxygen atoms. ${ }^{96 f}$ myo-Inositol 4,6carbonate (1.300) with three syn-axial hydroxyl groups was prepared from the orthoformate 1.15; but its ability to complex with metal ions has not been investigated in detail. ${ }^{98}$

### 1.8. Reports on the use of inositol orthoesters other than the orthoformate 1.15.

myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ was converted to $\mathrm{D}-\operatorname{Ins}(1,4,5) \mathrm{P}_{3}(\mathbf{1 . 2 1})$ in five steps via desymmetrization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ followed by hydrolysis to the acetate (Scheme $1.49) .{ }^{7 c}$


Scheme 1.49: (a) $1 S-(-)$-camphanoyl chloride, Py ; (b) TFA- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (c) $(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{NP}(\mathrm{OBn})_{2}$, $1 H$-tetrazole, DCM; (d) m-CPBA, DCM; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd -C, $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (f) concd: aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

The tribenzyl ethers $\mathbf{1 . 3 0 4}$ and dia-1.304 have been used for the synthesis of $\operatorname{Ptdlns}(3,5) \mathrm{P}_{2}(\mathbf{1 . 2 1 3})$ and $(+)$-bornisitol $(\mathbf{1 . 3 0 6})^{99}$ respectively. The synthetic strategy involved regioselective cleavage of the orthoacetate with trimethylaluminium followed by resolution using (R)-(-)-5-oxo-2-tetrahydrofurancarboxylate esters (Scheme 1.50).


Scheme 1.50: (a) NaH, BnBr, DMF; (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$, DMF; (c) (R)-(-)-5-oxo-2-tetrahydrofurancarboxylic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM.

Enantiomeric mono-deoxy inositols 1.310 and ent-310 were prepared from myoinositol via its $1,3,5$-orthobutanoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 8}$. One of the axial hydroxyl groups was deoxygenated according to Barton's method and the product resolved as diastereomeric carbamates (Scheme 1.51). ${ }^{12 \mathrm{a}}$


Scheme 1.51: (a) $4-\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{S}) \mathrm{Cl}_{1} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM ; (b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, toluene; (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (d) MeONa, MeOH; (e) TBDMSOTf, 2,6-dimethylpyridine, DCM; (f) (S)phenylethyl isocyanate, DMAP, DCM; (g) LiBHEt ${ }_{3}$, THF.

Hydrolysis of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ in the presence of an acid resulted in the formation of myo-inositol 2-benzoate (1.204) exclusively. 1.204 is a precursor for the preparation of the anticancer agent $\operatorname{Ins}(1,3,4,5,6) \mathrm{P}_{5}\left(\mathbf{1 . 2 0 7}\right.$, Scheme 1.52). ${ }^{100}$


Scheme 1.52: (a) TFA - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (b) N,N,-diethyl-1,5-dihydro-2,4,3-benzo-dioxaphosphe-pin-3-amine, 5-phenyltetrazole; m-CPBA, DCM; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ - C ; (d) conc: aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

Chiral desymmetrization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ via the formation of diastereoisomeric bis[(1S)-(-)-camphanate] esters provided convenient access to precursors for the preparation of biologically important inositol phosphates and lipids, and to molecular probes modified at $\mathrm{O}-1$ or $\mathrm{O}-3$ of the inositol ring (Scheme 1.53). ${ }^{101}$


Scheme 1.53: (a) (1S)-(-)-camphanoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM; (b) 2-methoxypropene, TsOH, THF; (c) LiOH, H2O, THF; (d) NaH, BnBr, DMF; (e) HCl-EtOH.

### 1.9. Conclusions.

In the last two decades myo-inositol $1,3,5$-orthoformate (1.15) which can be easily obtained in gram quantities, has been frequently used as an intermediate during the synthesis of inositol derivatives, natural products and their analogs as well as metal ion complexing agents. Some of the crystalline derivatives of the orthoformate are known to exhibit interesting physical and chemical properties (Please see Chapter 3 for details). In contrast, reports on the chemistry and utility of other myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoesters such as 1.16-1.18 are scarce. Hence we have investigated the synthetic utility of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate (1.17) for the synthesis of inositol derivatives. During the course of this investigation, we discovered interesting solid state reactivity of myo-inositol 1,3,5orthobenzoate derivatives. Results pertaining to these aspects are described in the subsequent chapters of this thesis.
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## Chapter 2

myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate as a versatile intermediate for the synthesis of isomeric inositol derivatives.

## Chapter-2

### 2.1. Introduction.

In the last two decades myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters have been used for the synthesis of several phosphorylated inositols, their analogs, isomeric inositols and their derivatives. As seen in Chapter 1, among the orthoesters of myo-inositol (1.15-1.18), the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ has been utilized most, for the synthesis of biologically important inositol derivatives. Although myo-inositol orthobenzoate 1.17 was reported in the literature ${ }^{1}$ at the time of initiation of the work presented in this thesis, it had not been utilized for the synthesis of any inositol derivative. Also, there was no published procedure for the preparation of the orthobenzoate 1.17. This was surprising as the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ presents several synthetic advantages over the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ or the orthoacetate 1.16. For instance, the orthoformate moiety in myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate derivatives can only be cleaved with acids (to regenerate $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C 5 hydroxyl groups), which preclude the use of acid sensitive protecting groups at the C 2 , C4 and C6-hydroxyl groups (Scheme 2.1). Use of the orthobenzoate for the protection of $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C5 hydroxyl groups of myo-inositol could allow the use of acid sensitive protecting groups at the $\mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} 4$, C6-hydroxyl groups, as the orthobenzoate can be cleaved by hydrogenolysis to release the $\mathrm{C} 1, \mathrm{C} 3$ and C 5 hydroxyl groups (Scheme 2.1). Furthermore, cleavage of the orthoformate with hydride reducing agents in principle, leads to the formation of an inositol - methyl ether which may not be easy to cleave to release the corresponding inositol hydroxyl group; however, the orthobenzoate under similar circumstances yields the corresponding benzyl ether, which can be cleaved by hydrogenolysis to release the inositol hydroxyl group (Scheme 2.1). With these ideas in focus, we under took the preparation and exploitation of myo-inositol-1,3,5orthobenzoate as an intermediate for the preparation of inositol derivatives and details of this work is reported and discussed in the present chapter.

## Chapter-2



Scheme 2.1: (a) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (b) > 3 eq DIBAL-H

### 2.2. Results and discussion.

### 2.2.1. Preparation of the myo-inositol-1, 3, 5-orthobenzoate and its polymorphism.

myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (1.17) was prepared from myo-inositol (1.1) (Scheme 1.3, Chapter 1, page 3) adopting the procedure reported ${ }^{2}$ for the preparation of the corresponding orthoformate and the orthoacetate. The high yield ( $90-93 \%$ ) could be consistently reproduced on several grams scale.


Scheme 2.2: (a) Scheme: 1.3, Chapter 1, page 3; (b) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}$, rt.

The orthobenzoate 1.17 exhibited polymorphic behavior depending upon the solvent and time allowed for crystallization. Crystallization from various solvents was attempted due to the contemporary interest in polymorphic behavior of molecular solids. In particular, myo-inositol derivatives are known to frequently exist in polymorphic and
pseudopolymorhic forms. ${ }^{3}$ Crystallization of the triol 1.17 from methanol, ethanol, water, 2-propanol, dichloromethane, acetone, tetrahydrofuran, nitromethane and triethylamine produced long plate like crystals (Form I, monoclinic $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$, Figure 2.1 A ) while crystallization from ethyl acetate, dioxane and acetonitrile yielded square plate-like crystals (Form II, monoclinic $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$, Figure 2.1B). The crystals of Form II could also be obtained by cooling a saturated hot methanol solution of the triol $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ to room temperature ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~h}$, Figure 2.1C). The fact that Form II crystals could be obtained by rapid crystallization from methanol solution showed that these are kinetic crystals while Form I crystals are thermodynamic. ${ }^{3 a}$


Figure 2.1: Images of the crystals of orthobenzoate 1.17; A, from methanol; B, from ethyl acetate; C , by cooling a saturated hot methanol solution of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$.

Although, melting points $\left(209-211^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ of the two polymorphs were quite similar, DTA / TGA curves (see Appendix, page 142) showed small but significant differences in the endotherms before the melting of the crystals began, indicating considerable structural differences between the two crystal forms.

Crystal structures of Forms I and II crystals showed very similar conformation of the individual molecules, although free rotations are possible for the phenyl ring and for the three $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ groups (Figure 2.2). The similarity in $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ group orientation could be because of two intra-molecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ and $\mathrm{O} 2-$ $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$; the former is somewhat stronger than the latter (Table 2.1) as expected.


A


B

Figure 2.2: ORTEP view of molecule in (A) Form I crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ (B) Form II crystals of 1.17. Blue arrows indicate possible free rotations; dotted lines ( $\cdots$ ) indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds. Ellipsoids are shown at 30\% probability level.

Table 2.1: Geometrical parameters for the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds in crystals of 1.17

|  | D-H $\cdots \mathrm{A}$ | D-H (A) | H $\cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | D $\cdots$ ( ${ }^{\text {( }}$ ) | D-H $\cdots \mathrm{A}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Form I | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{a}$ | 0.84(3) | 1.88(3) | 2.712(2) | 172(2) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)^{b}$ | 0.84(2) | 2.03(2) | 2.755(2) | $144(2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)^{c}$ | 0.82(3) | 1.97(3) | 2.691(2) | 146(2) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{c}$ | 0.84(2) | 2.41(2) | 2.851(2) | 113.0(18) |
| Form II | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{\text {d }}$ | 0.86(2) | 1.93(2) | 2.779(2) | 166.8(18) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(6)^{e}$ | 0.849(19) | 2.006(19) | 2.802(1) | 155.7(18) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(4)^{c}$ | 0.85(2) | 2.03(2) | 2.762(1) | 143.9(18) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{c}$ | 0.849(19) | 2.539(19) | 2.940(1) | 110.0(15) |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(2)^{f}$ | 0.85(2) | 2.62(2) | 3.098(2) | 116.5(16) |

Symmetry code: (a) $x, y, z+1$, (b) $x-1, y, z-1$, (c) $x, y, z$; (d) $x, y-1, z$, (e) $x,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2$, (f) $-x, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.

Another common significant feature observed in both the crystal structures was linking of the molecules via the strongest intermolecular hydrogen bond $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ resulting in a one-dimensional H -bonded polymer (Figure 2.3).


Figure 2.3: Intermolecular $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 2$ hydrogen bonded molecular string in Form I crystals of 1.17. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding in Form II crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ is similar to that shown for Form I crystals.

Detailed examination of the crystal structure of the two polymorphs showed that $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ linked one-dimensional isostructural molecular strings in the two forms weave differently by weak intermolecular interactions to produce the dimorphs. Striking difference was seen in the 'zipping' of molecular layers via phenyl $\cdots$ phenyl contacts; thermodynamic crystals of Form I utilize a well-recognized 'edge-to-face' herringbone pattern ${ }^{4}$ making $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions (Figure 2.4). The atom H11 does not point to the center of the ring but makes closer contacts with the three edge atoms C10, C11 and C12 and the angle between the two phenyl rings is $85.11(8)^{\circ}$.


Figure 2.4: Packing of molecules showing $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ interactions in crystals of 1.17: (A, B) edge-to-face organization in Form I with C11-H11 $\cdots$ ת interactions; (C, D) edge-to-edge organization of aromatic rings in Form II with $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \cdots \mathrm{H} 12-\mathrm{C} 12$ short contacts.

In sharp contrast, parallel phenyl rings across center of symmetry are zipped by short $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}$ contacts $(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}=2.35 \AA$ ) in Form II crystals (Figure 2.4D). Surprisingly, there are no significant $\pi \cdots \pi$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between the phenyl rings from different layers (the distances between neighboring phenyl rings are $>6 \AA$ and the angle along the row is $\left.42.61(6)^{\circ}\right)$. Therefore, the cohesion of 2D-layers along a-axis in Form II crystals seems to be only via short $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts. The short $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts investigated in metal hydrides and also in some organic molecules has been seen as a new type of attractive interaction. ${ }^{5}$ The $H \cdots H$ contact in Form II crystals is just at the boundary of the sum of the van der Waals radii $(2.35 \AA)$ and any conclusion based on this
alone could be fortuitous. However, packing mode of aromatic rings observed in Form II crystals could imply a weak adhesive interaction and deserves some attention. The $\mathrm{Ph} \cdots \mathrm{Ph}$ contacts in Form II crystals are expected to be of interest from experimental and theoretical points of view, ${ }^{6}$ since interactions between aromatic rings are important due to their role in the stability of biological macromolecules such as DNA, ${ }^{7}$ proteins ${ }^{8}$ and also in drug-receptor interactions. ${ }^{4 b}$

### 2.2.2. Orthogonally protected myo-inositol derivatives from the orthobenzoate 1.17.

The orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ is stable under basic conditions and can be hydrolyzed to release the $\mathrm{C} 1(3)$ and C 5 -hydroxyl groups, in the presence of an acid. For instance, the acid catalyzed hydrolysis of the trimethyl ether 2.8 resulted in the formation of the 1benzoate 2.9 (Scheme 2.3). Hydrolysis of the orthobenzoate triol 1.17 on the other hand yielded the 2-benzoate 1.204 exclusively. ${ }^{9}$ While our work was in progress, Potter and co-workers ${ }^{9}$ reported the synthesis of the myo-inositol-1,3,4,5,6-pentakisphosphate $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 7}$ from the 2-benzoate 1.204 (Scheme 1.52, Chapter 1). They also investigated the mechanism of hydrolysis of the orthobenzoate 1.17 to yield the 2-benzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}$ exclusively.


Scheme 2.3: hydrolysis of orthobenzoate 1.17 and its derivative 2.8; (a) NaH , DMF, MeI, rt, 45 min.; (b) AcCl, MeOH, DCM, rt, 54 h; (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$.

Similar pattern for the hydrolysis of other orthoesters dia-1.301 (Scheme 1.49, Chapter 1, page 42) and orthobutanoate $\mathbf{1 . 3 0 8}$ (Scheme 2.4) has been reported earlier. ${ }^{10,2 b}$


Scheme 2.4: (a) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Hydrolysis of the orthoformate (2.15) is a special case, since the unstable C1(2.19) or C3- (2.21) or C-5 (2.20) formate ester formed undergoes further hydrolysis to the corresponding myo-inositol derivative 2.22.


Scheme 2.5: Possible intermediates formed during the hydrolysis of the orthoformate 2.15 to afford the corresponding triol 2.22 .

The acid catalyzed deprotection of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters is not a convenient method during the synthesis of inositol derivatives since it does not allow the use of acid sensitive protecting groups at the three hydroxyl groups of the orthoester.

Unlike the myo-inositol orthoesters derived from aliphatic acids, the orthobenzoate can be cleaved under catalytic hydrogenolysis conditions. This is illustrated with the hydrogenolysis of 2,4,6-tri-O-methyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.8), in the presence of Pearlmann's catalyst to give the corresponding triol 2.23 in good yield (Scheme 2.6).


Scheme 2.6: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{Psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$
Orthobenzoate moiety in 2.8 can also be cleaved by Birch reduction to form the corresponding triol (2.23) in good yield (see Experimental, page 97).

The relative reactivity of the three hydroxyl groups of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ is expected to be similar to that of other orthoesters 1.15 , $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ of myo-inositol, due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding between the C4 and C6-hydroxyl groups. ${ }^{11}$ Earlier work in our laboratory ${ }^{12}$ and elsewhere ${ }^{13}$ had shown that the three hydroxyl groups of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ can be selectively derivatized under different reaction conditions and using different reagents. Accordingly, several derivatives of the orthobenzoate shown in Scheme 2.7 could be prepared and utilized for the preparation of various inositol derivatives.

Benzoylation of the orthobenzoate could be controlled to obtain the racemic-2,4dibenzoate 2.28 in very good yield, as in the case of the corresponding orthoformate 1.234. ${ }^{14}$ Such derivatives are versatile intermediates for the preparation of phosphoinositols. ${ }^{15}$ The dibenzoate 2.28 exhibited interesting benzoyl transfer reactivity in the crystalline state and results pertaining to this are discussed in detail in the next chapter.


Scheme 2.7: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{BnBr}$ (1eq), DMF, rt, 30 min ; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MOMCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (55psi), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 95 \%$; (d) LiH, DMF, $\mathrm{BnBr}, \mathrm{rt}, 83 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$, $82 \%$; (f) NaH, BnBr (excess), DMF, rt; (g) DIBAL-H, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py, rt, 4 h, 96 \%.

The di-O-benzyl ehter 2.27 could be obtained in excess of $83 \%$ yield by the benzylation of the orthobenzoate 1.17 using lithium hydride and benzyl bromide in DMF. Use of sodium hydride for the benzylation of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters is known to result in a mixture of isomeric benzyl ethers and we had shown earlier ${ }^{12}$ that the $O$ alkylation of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ in the presence of lithium hydride yields the corresponding 4,6-diether $\mathbf{1 . 8 0}$ exclusively. The symmetric dibenzyl ether $\mathbf{2 . 2 7}$ is also a potential intermediate for the preparation of myo- as well as scyllo-inositol derivatives. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a},}$ 15b, 16

## Chapter-2

Benzylation of orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ with excess of sodium hydride and benzyl bromide gave the tribenzyl ether $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ in excellent yield. The tribenzyl ether $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ when subjected to reduction with DIBAL-H (2.0-2.2 eq.) underwent partial cleavage of the orthoester moiety to selectively release the hydroxyl group at the C5-position. ${ }^{17}$ The benzylidene derivative $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was used for the synthesis of several inositol derivatives viz., sequoyitol, neo-inositol (1.25), neo-quercitol, 5-deoxy-5-amino-myo-inositol and 1(3), 5-di-deoxy-myo-inositol (see pages 74, 80, 83, 91, 93).

The benzylidene derivative $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ was also characterized as its acetate 2.31 . Good crystals of the benzylidene derivative $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ as well as its acetate $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$, suitable for single crystal X-ray crystallography could be obtained by crystallization from dichloromethane and ethyl acetate respectively. The X-ray crystal structures clearly showed that the myoinositol ring adopts a boat conformation in these derivatives, in their crystals (see Appendix; ORTEP diagrams, pages 134, 135). It is not clear whether the benzylidene derivative exists in the same conformation in solution. But, most of the literature reports dealing with similar acetal derivatives of myo-inositol depict the molecule, showing the inositol ring in the chair conformation (see structures of 1.131, 1.218-1.220, 1.227, 1.243 in Chapter 1). ${ }^{17,18}$ Although we have not determined the conformation of the cyclohexane ring (chair and boat) in myo- and neo-inositol derivatives (alcohols, triflates and azide etc. mentioned in this chapter) containing the 1,3-acetal moiety in solution, the structures depicted in schemes are based on the single crystal X-ray analysis of these derivatives.

The observed regioselectivity for the cleavage of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters by DIBAL-H was attributed ${ }^{17}$ to the bulk of the reducing agent which probably coordinates to the more accessible C5-oxygen atom rather than to $\mathrm{C} 1-$ and C 3 - oxygen atoms and cleaves the C5O-CPh bond as shown in the in Scheme 2.8. The oxacarbenium ion 2.33 formed is reduced by DIBAL-H to afford the free alcohol.


Scheme 2.8: A mechanism for the cleavage of orthobenzoate in 2.29 with DIBAL-H; (a) DIBAL-H

The dimethoxymethyl ether 2.25 was prepared by the regioselective $O$ benzylation ${ }^{13}$ of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ using sodium hydride and one equivalent of benzyl bromide followed by reaction with excess of methoxymethyl chloride. Such orthogonally protected derivatives are useful for the synthesis of phosphatidylinositol polyphosphates. ${ }^{19}$ We attempted to prepare 2,6-di-O-methoxymethly-myo-inositol (2.34) by hydrogenolysis of the benzyl ether $\mathbf{2 . 2 5}$, as the di-methoxymethyl ether 2.34 could serve as a precursor for the preparation of myo-inositol-1,3,4,5-tetrakisphosphate (1.172).
1.1


Scheme 2.9: An intended route for the synthesis of rac-2, 4-di-O-methoxymethyl-myoinositol (2.34).

During our attempts to prepare the di-methoxymethyl ether 2.34 (involving the use of Pearlman's catalyst $\left[\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}\right]$ in methanol), we observed the unusual cleavage of O-benzyl groups, orthoesters and acetals to the corresponding alcohols (in the absence of hydrogen-see next page). The product of hydrogenolysis of the methoxymethyl ether 2.25 in the presence of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ was dependent on both the amount of the catalyst used and the solvent used for the reaction. Selective cleavage of only the benzyl ether (to obtain the orthobenzoate 2.26) or cleavage of the benzyl ether as well as the
orthobenzoate (to obtain di-O-methoxymethyl-myo-inositol 2.34) or complete cleavage of all the protecting groups (to obtain inositol, isolated as its hexa acetate 2.36) could be achieved by varying the conditions of the hydrogenolysis reaction and the amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ used.


Scheme 2.10: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), 0.2$ eq. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 13 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py}$, rt. -- h; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), 0.16$ eq. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 95 \%$; (d) $0.8 \mathrm{eq} \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}$, 7d; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), 0.8$ eq. $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 13 \mathrm{~h}$; (f) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 40 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$

Since we observed the cleavage of methoxymethyl ethers under hydrogenolysis conditions, we wondered whether this was due to the complexation of palladium with the substrate which could aid the cleavage of the methoxymethyl ethers. Inositols and their derivatives are known to form complexes with metal ions in solution as well as in the solid state..$^{20}$ Hence we treated the orthobenzoate derivative 2.25 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate or methanol at ambient as well as reflux temperatures (in the absence of hydrogen). This was also to see if the methoxymethyl ethers were cleaved prior to or subsequent to the cleavage of the orthobenzoate in the hydrogenolysis reactions mentioned above (Scheme 2.10). Reaction of the orthobenzoate derivative 2.25 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol unexpectedly resulted in the cleavage of the benzyl ether alone (to give 2.26). Since the reactions of the orthobenzoate 2.25 in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2^{-}}$ C gave unexpected results, we investigated in detail, the reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoesters 1.15-1.17, 1.106, 2.24 and 2.29 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ under non-hydrogenolytic conditions (Table 2.2).

Table 2.2. Non-hydrogenolytic cleavage of benzyl ethers and orthoesters with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}{ }^{-}$ C.


| Entry | Substrate |  |  |  |  | Reaction <br> time | Product | Yield <br> $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{1}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathbf{R}^{4}$ |  | $\mathbf{R}$ |  |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ | Ph | H | H | H | 9 h | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}, \mathrm{Bz}$ | 94 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$ | Ph | H | H | Bn | 12 h | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}, \mathrm{Bz}$ | 92 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$ | Ph | Bn | Bn | Bn | 40 h | $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}, \mathrm{Bz}$ | 84 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ | Me | H | H | H | 52 h | $\mathbf{2 . 3 7}, \mathrm{Ac}$ | 93 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ | H | H | H | H | 32 h | $\mathbf{1 . 1}, \mathrm{H}$ | 96 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}$ | H | Bn | Bn | Bn | 72 h | $\mathbf{1 . 1}, \mathrm{H}$ | 96 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ mixture of isomeric mono acetates

These reactions clearly showed that benzyl ethers are cleaved by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in refluxing methanol and that the orthoester moiety underwent solvolysis to give the corresponding ester. The orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ and its benzyl ethers gave 2-benzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}$ on refluxing with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol while the orthoacetate $\mathbf{1 . 1 6}$ gave a mixture of mono-acetates due to migration of the acetyl group in the initially formed myo-inositol-2-acetate. The orthoformate 1.15 and its tribenzyl ether 1.106 gave myo-inositol (1.1) as the product since the formate ester (of inositol) initially formed undergoes solvolysis with ease (see Scheme 2.5). myo-Inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters are known $^{2 b, 10}$ to give the
corresponding myo-inositol monoester derivative on acid hydroysis. (Schemes 2.3 and 2.4 , pages 60,61 ).

In order to get a clue on the mechanism of cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2^{-}}$ C and to check the generality of the reaction, we subjected the racemic 1,2:4,5-di-isopropylidine-myo-inositol (2.38) and its di-benzyl ether (2.39), as well as cyclohexyl bezyl ether (2.42) to reaction with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ under a variety of conditions (Scheme 2.11 and Table 2.3).


Scheme 2.11: (a) $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 8 - 22 h
$\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol de-protected the diisopropylidene derivative 2.38 completely to give myo-inositol (1.1). GC-MS analysis (see Appendix, page 142) of the reaction mixture during the cleavage of the ketal 2.38 showed the presence of acetone and 2,2-dimethoxy propane (2.41) which indicated that the ketal was cleaved by hydrolysis as well as methanolysis.

Cyclohexanol (2.43) was obtained in good yield by the reaction of its benzyl ether 2.42 with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol (Table 2.3). Ethyl acetate or THF could also be used as solvent for the cleavage of cyclohexyl benzyl ether (2.42), but the reaction times were longer. Cyclohexyl benzyl ether (2.42) could also be cleaved by palladium acetate, although relatively slowly as compared to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixture of products (see Appendix, page 174) formed on the cleavage of benzyl ethers 2.42 and 1.106 with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol showed the presence of benzaldehyde (2.44) and methyl benzoate (2.45), which suggested the oxidative cleavage of benzyl ethers and ruled out their cleavage by transfer hydrogenation (scheme 2.12). ${ }^{21}$

Table 2.3: Rection of cyclohexl benzyl ether with palladium (II) catalysts under different reaction conditions.

| SL. No. | Substrate | Reaction conditions | Product | Yield \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{eq}$.$) ,$ <br> MeOH , reflux, 10h |  <br> 2.43 | quant.* |
| 2 |  | $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, <br> MeOH , reflux, 10 h |  | 84 |
| 3 |  | $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, <br> THF, reflux, 48 h |  | 71 |
| 4 |  | $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, <br> EtOAc, reflux, 48 h |  | 73 |
| 5 |  | $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ <br> MeOH , reflux, 40 h |  | 83 |
| 6 |  | $10 \%$ Pd-C (Aldrich) (1eq.), <br> MeOH , reflux, 21 h |  | 87 |
| 7 |  | $10 \%$ Pd-C (Lancaster) (1eq.), <br> MeOH , reflux, 25 h |  | 90 |

* 3 equivalents of benzyl ether was added at the end of 10 h and 20 h .

The results described so far suggested that $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ cleaved benzyl ether, orthoesters as well as acetals (see below) by different mechanisms and that ester groups were stable under the conditions of cleavage of the benzyl ether and orthoesters.


Scheme 2.12: (a) $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 10 h

Instances of oxidative cleavage of ethers ${ }^{22}$ and palladium catalyzed oxidation reactions ${ }^{23}$ have been reported earlier (Scheme 2.13).


Scheme 2.13: (a) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, 4-bromodiphenyl, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF, $100 \%$; (b) $5 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, 20 \% \mathrm{Py}$, toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (c) $3 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 6 \mathrm{~mol}{ }^{2} \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, rt, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (d) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}\left(10 \mathrm{wt} \%\right.$ ), $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, 160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$

In order to confirm the oxidative cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$, we carried out a control reaction using $\operatorname{Pd}(0)-\mathrm{C}$ (obtained from Aldrich Chemical Company as well as Lancaster Synthesis). Unexpectedly, benzyl ether 2.42 was cleaved by these samples of ' $\operatorname{Pd}(0)-C$ ' in the absence of hydrogen. We suspected this to be due to the presence of $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species in (supposedly) ' $\mathrm{Pd}(0)-\mathrm{C}$ ' samples that we used and recorded their X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS).

A comparison of the XPS (Figure 2.5) of the Pd 3 d core level of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ (curve 1), ' $\mathrm{Pd}(0)-\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ (curve 2) and the spent $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ recovered after the cleavage of benzyl ether (curve 3) clearly showed (i) that the spent palladium recovered after the
reaction did not contain $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species; (ii) the presence of considerable amount of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species in the sample of ' $\operatorname{Pd}(0)-C^{\prime}$ that we used for control experiments.


Figure 2.5: Comparison XPS spectra of palladium catalysts, see text for details.
$\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ is known to undergo oxidation to $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ on storage and exposing to air. ${ }^{24}$ Unexpected reactivity patterns of Pd-C during hydroxyl group deprotection have earlier been recorded in the literature (Scheme 2.14). ${ }^{25}$


Scheme 2.14: (a) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 73-80 \%$; (b) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{en})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, 92-100\%

We also carried out experiments wherein cyclohexyl benzyl ether 2.42 (in one equivalent portions) was added to the reaction mixture (when TLC indicated the absence of the starting material) to see for how many cycles the same $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ could be used. These experiments showed that one mmol of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ was able to cleave about two mmol of benzyl ether 2.42. However, similar experiments on the cleavage of the ketal with $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ showed that the same $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ was able to cleave up to ten equivalents of the ketal 2.38. Also, cleavage of the benzyl ether as well as ketals could be completely prevented by the addition of about 0.5 equivalents of triethylamine to the reaction mixture. This perhaps indicates that complexation of the benzyl ether or the ketal with palladium is essential for cleavage. Based on these observations a plausible mechanism for the cleavage of acetals by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ is shown in Scheme 2.15.


Scheme 2.15: Plausible mechanism for the cleavage of acetals by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$.

A survey of the literature revealed that oxidation reactions of benzyl ethers by palladium have earlier been reported, but perhaps not well investigated. Scheme below shows the oxidation of benzyl ethers by Pd-C ${ }^{26}$


Scheme 2.16: (a) Pd-C, i-PrOH, reflux, 5 h (2.67 + 2.69); 3 h (2.70 + 2.71)

It is highly probable that the actual species responsible for the cleavage / oxiation of benzyl ethers in the carbohydrate derivatvie 2.67 was $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ preset in $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ (as we
realized during our experiments and supported by the XPS spectra of the catalyst, see Figure 2.5). Based on these results and our own results in the present thesis, it can be postulated that the cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{II})$ species proceeds through benzylidene acetal and / or the corresponding orthoester (Scheme 2.17). We have postulated this pathway for the cleavage of benzyl ethers since the products formed include benzaldehyde as well as methyl benzoate. However, the formation of methyl benzoate can also be explained based on the aerial oxidation of benzaldehyde formed to methyl benzoate. Hence arrival at an unambiguous mechanism for cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ requires further studies.


Scheme 2.17: Plausible mechanisms for the cleavage of benzyl ethers by $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$

### 2.2.3. Synthesis of Sequoyitol (5-O-methyl-myo-inositol, 2.79).

Sequoyitol was first isolated from the cold water extract of the heartwood of redwood (Sequoia sempervirens) and identified as the optically inactive mono-methyl ether of myo-inositol. ${ }^{27}$ Sequoyitol is also found in heartwood of sugarpine,,${ }^{28}$ soyabean, ${ }^{29}$ carob pods ${ }^{30}$ Amentotaxus yunnanensis ${ }^{31}$ Melicope micrococca ${ }^{32}$ Aristolochia arcuata ${ }^{33}$ etc. Sequoyitol was used in the biological experiments to check inhibitory activity in phosphoinositide pathway. ${ }^{34}$ Sequoyitol (2.79) has been synthesized from pinitol (2.77) ${ }^{35}$
by aerial oxidation in the presence of platinum followed by reduction using sodium amalgam, in an overall yield of $8 \%$ (Scheme 2.18).


Scheme 2.18: (a) $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{Pt}, 85-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{Hg})$, glacial AcOH

### 2.2.4. Present work.

Sequoyitol was prepared from myo-inositol (5 steps) in an overall yield of $81 \%$. Methylation of the alcohol $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ followed by deprotection of all the hydroxyl groups with Pearlman's catalyst gave sequoyitol.


Scheme 2.19: (a) 3 steps, Schemes 2.2 and 2.7; (b) NaH, MeI, DMF, rt, 1 h, 98\%; (c) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $20 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$

### 2.2.5. Synthesis of neo-inositol (1.25):

neo-Inositol (1.25) has been isolated from calf brain ${ }^{36}$ and identified as its trimethyl silyl ether and as its acetate ester by GC-MS. neo-Inositol also occurs in plants such as Croton celtidifolius. ${ }^{37}$ L-neo-Inositol-1-phosphate is present in the brain, heart, kidney, testis, and spleen of rats ${ }^{36}$ and higher phosphates viz., neo-inositol hexakisphosphate, 2-diphospho-neo-inositol pentakisphosphate, and 2,5-bisdiphospho-neo-inositol tetrakisphosphate are present in trophozoites of the parasitic amoeba, Entamoeba histolytica. ${ }^{38}$ neo-Inositol hexakis- and pentakis- phosphates were detected in the soil samples collected from Australia, Canada, England, Scotland, USA. ${ }^{39}$

In 1955, Angyal and Matheson described an optically inactive inositol obtained from 1,2:5,6-di-O-isopropylidine-L-chiro-inositol in an overall yield of $4 \%$ and named it as 'neo-inositol' (Scheme 2.20) ${ }^{40}$


Scheme 2.20: (a) $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$, AcOH , dry acetone, $43 \%$; (b) TsCl ; (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; 25 \%$ (2 steps); (d) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, 72 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; total yield $=4 \%$, from L-chiro-inositol
neo-Inositol (1.25) was prepared from the endo- adduct 2.86 of the Diels-Alder reaction between vinylene carbonate (2.85) and furan (2.84). The carbonate 2.86 was dihydroxylated with osmium tetroxide and


Scheme 2.21: (a) $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h ; (b) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$; (c) NaOH ; (d) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py ; (e) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$.
acetylated to afford the tetraacetate $\mathbf{2 . 8 8}$. Treatment of $\mathbf{2 . 8 8}$ with acetic acid and sulfuric acid in water yielded neo-inositol (1.25) in an overall yield of $\sim 1 \%$. (Scheme 2.21). ${ }^{41}$

Fernández-Mayoralas et. al. reported a 10 step synthesis of neo-inositol hexaacetate (2.92) from D-mannitol (1.6) in an overall yield of $7 \%{ }^{42}$ The key step involved the samarium iodide mediated cyclization of the dialdehyde 2.90.


Scheme 2.22: (a) Swern oxidation; (b) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}, \mathrm{THF},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 85 \%$ (2 steps); (c) TFA-MeOH; (d) TBAF, THF; (e) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, 41 \%$ (3 steps)

Potter et al ${ }^{43}$ reported the synthesis of neo-inositol (1.25) starting from myoinositol (1.1) as shown in Scheme 2.23. The 1,3,4,6-hydroxyl groups in $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ were protected as the symmetrical bis(butane-2,3-diacetal). Inversion of the 5-hydroxyl group in the diol 2.93 was achieved via the triflate 2.94 by treatment with aqueous dimethyl acetamide. Deprotection of acetals afforded neo-inositol (1.25) in an overall yield of 17 $\%$ in 5 steps.


Scheme 2.23: (a) Butanedione, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3},( \pm)$-CSA, reflux; (b) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$; (c) dimethylacetamide- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50: 1), 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (d) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux; (e) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), reflux.

Chung and Kwon ${ }^{44}$ prepared a neo-inositol derivative 2.102 in an overall yield of $14 \%$ from myo-inositol (1.1) via the conduritol 2.99 (Scheme 2.24).


Scheme 2.24: (a) 2,2-mimethoxy propane, DMF, TsOH; (b) BzCl, Py, 26\%; (c) AcCl (cat.), DCM-MeOH, 75\%; (d) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, imidazole, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, toluene, reflux, $77 \%$; (e) NaOMe , MeOH , reflux; (f) BnBr , NaH , DMF, $90-95 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO , aq. acetone ( $95 \%$ )

Biotransformation of bromobenzene to the corresponding cyclohexadiene-cis-diol in 99\% ee by treatment with Escherichia coli JM109 (which expresses both toluene dioxygenase and dihydrocatechol dehydrogenase) formed the key step in the synthesis of neo-inositol by Hudlicky et al. ${ }^{46}$ Subsequent debromination of $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 6}$ and dihydroxylation of the diene moiety followed by deprotection of the vicinal diols afforded neo-inositol in an overall yield of $17 \%$ in 7 steps (Scheme 2.25).


Scheme 2.25: (a) Toluene dioxygenase; (b) dimethoxypropane, TsOH, acetone; 1,3-dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, acetone; (c) $10 \% \mathrm{aq}$. KOH , DME, 5 h , rt; (d) aq. KOH , reflux (to obtain 2.106); (e) $\mathrm{BF}_{3}, \mathrm{BnOH}$ (2.107); (f) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, benzene, reflux, 18 h ; (g) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NMO}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}$, acetone- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (h) concd. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$.

Altenbach et. al. obtained neo-inositol 1.25 from benzoquinone (2.110) in an overall yield of $10 \%$ in seven steps. ${ }^{47}$ Enantiopure dibromodiacetate 2.113 was obtained by enzymatic resolution by pig pancreas lipase [PPL] in a phosphate buffer. The dibromoacetate 2.113 was converted into neo-inositol $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$ by epoxidation followed by hydrolysis (Scheme 2.26).


Scheme 2.26: (a) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} \bullet \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py, 12 h ; (d) PPL, phosphate buffer ( pH 7 ), 4 d ( $38 \%$ each); (e) $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 10 \mathrm{~d}, 130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DCM, DMAP; (f) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, DCM, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$; (g) NaOMe, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$-Dowex 50-X.

Ring-closing metathesis of the diene 2.117 resulted in the formation of the conduritol 2.118 which was converted to neo-inositol in four steps. ${ }^{48}$ The diene 2.117 was obtained by stereoselective $\gamma$-allylboration of the aldehyde 2.116 with a chiral $\gamma$ silylallylborane. Dihydroxylation of the alkene $\mathbf{2 . 1 1 9}$ gave a mixture of diastereomeric inositol derivatives 2.120 and dia-2.120 which were separated and dia-2.120 was converted to neo-inositol 1.25 in an overall yield of $8 \%$.


Scheme 2.27: (a) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{PhSiCH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{Ipc})_{2}$, from ( + ) $-\mathrm{Ipc}_{2} \mathrm{BOMe} 40 \%(79 \%, 1: 1 \mathrm{dr}$ ), (b) 2.122, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, toluene, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$, (c) TBSOTf, $99 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \mathrm{K} \mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN}) 6$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, quinuclidine; (e) $\mathrm{HF}-\mathrm{Py}, 70 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{AcOOH}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 51 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, 99 \%$

### 2.2.6. Present work.

Our initial attempts for the inversion of alcohol at the 5-position in the bicyclic derivative 2.31 by Mitsunobu reaction ${ }^{49}$ under different reaction conditions failed and in most of the cases the substrate was recovered (Table 2.4).

Table 2.4: Attempted Mitsunobu reaction on alcohol 2.30


Hence we switched over to oxidation followed by hydride reduction to cause the inversion of the C5-hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$. Swern oxidation of the C-5 hydroxyl group in 2.30 to obtain the corresponding ketone $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 3}$ did not give consistent yields, perhaps due to the concomitant de-protection of the acid sensitive benzylidene acetal. Use of pyridinium dichromate for the same reaction afforded the ketone in good yield and the crude product was pure enough to be used in the next step.


Scheme 2.28: (a) 3 steps, Schemes 2.2 and 2.7; (b) PDC ( 1.5 eq), molecular sieves ( $3 \AA$ ), DCM, rt, 22 h ; (c) THF-MeOH, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, ~ \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$ (2 steps); (d) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}(50 \mathrm{Psi}), 6 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$ (total yield $68 \%$ from myo-inositol); (f) excess $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 40 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; (g) BzCl, Py, rt, 56 h, $93 \%$.

The pure ketone 2.123 could be obtained by crystallization of the crude product from dry methanol at low temperature $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Single crystal X-ray analysis of the ketone (good crystals were obtained from DCM-light petroleum by diffusion method) showed
that the inositol ring is slightly distorted from the chair form (see ORTEP diagram, Appendix, page 136).

Reduction of the ketone 2.123 with sodium borohydride in a mixture of THF and methanol resulted in the exclusive formation of the C5-alcohol with neo-configuration, in $94 \%$ yield (for two steps). The exclusive formation of the alcohol with neo-configuration is perhaps due to the bulk of the diaxial-dibenzyl ether groups (at C4- and C6-positions) which prevent the attack by the borohydride on one face of the ketone 2.123. It is interesting to note that the alcohol $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ formed crystals with the inclusion of DCM in its crystal lattice (see packing diagram of 2.124, Appendix, page 137). Deprotection of the benzylidene acetal and three $O$-benzyl groups by catalytic hydrogenolysis in the presence of Pearlmann's catalyst afforded neo-inositol which was also characterized as its hexa acetate (2.92) as well as hexa benzoate (2.126). Thus, we prepared neo-inositol in an overall yield of $68 \%$ starting from myo-inositol. Table 2.5 shows a comparison of the present work with the literature reports.

Table 2.5. Synthesis of neo-inositol (1.25); comparison with methods reported in the literature.

| SL. <br> No. | Starting material | No. of <br> steps | Yield <br> $\%$ | Product | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.84 and 2.85 | 3 | 1 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | 41 |
| 2 | D-Mannitol (1.6) | 10 | 7 | neo-Inositol <br> hexaacetate (2.92) | 42 |
| 3 | myo-Inositol (1.1) | 5 | 17 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | 43 |
| 4 | myo-Inositol (1.1) | 6 | 14 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 0 2}$ | 44 |
| 5 | Bromobenzene (1.9) | 7 | 17 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | 46 |
| 6 | p-Benzoquinone (2.110) | 6 | 10 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | 47 |
| 7 | Aldehyde 2.116 | 7 | 8 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | 48 |
| 8 | myo-Inositol (1.1) | 6 | 68 | neo-Inositol (1.25) | Present <br> work |

### 2.2.7. Synthesis of 5-deoxy-5-myo-inosamine.

A survey of the literature showed that there is only one report on the synthesis of 5 -deoxy-5-myo-inosamine acetic acid salt (2.135). The inosamine derivative 2.135 was synthesized (Scheme 2.29) from cis- 1,2- diacetoxycyclohexa-3,5-diene (2.127) in an overall yield of $7 \%$ (7 steps). ${ }^{50}$ Interest in the preparation of various aminocyclitols is perhaps because they are known to act as glycosidase inhibitors. ${ }^{51}$


Scheme 2.29: (a) NMO, $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, 83 \%$; (b) lipase from Mucor miehei, vinyl acetate in $t$-butyl methyl ether; (c) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Py}, 94 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, 84 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{DMF}$; $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 78$ \%; (f) a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMMO ; $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py ; (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-$ C; $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{MeOH}(9: 1), 98 \%$.

### 2.2.8. Present work.

myo-Inosamine 2.138 was prepared from the protected neo-alcohol 2.136 (Scheme 2.30). Conversion of the neo-alcohol triflate 2.136 to the corresponding myoazide $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ proceeded smoothly at room temperature ( 12 h ). The azide $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ formed inclusion complex with DCM when crystallized from DCM-light petroleum by diffusion method in a closed container (see packing diagram of 2.137, page 141). Reduction of the azide 2.137 as well as deprotection of the hydroxyl groups was achieved by hydrogenation in the presence of Pearlmann's catalyst. The crude 5-deoxy-5-myoinosamine (2.138) obtained was isolated and characterized as its hexa acetate (2.139) in a good overall yield of $55 \%$ (for 7 steps).


Scheme 2.30: (a) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py},-42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 91 \%$ (b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(50$ Psi), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TFA}-\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 44 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dry Py, rt, $40 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$ (two steps).

### 2.2.9. Attempted synthesis of 2-neo-inosamine (2.140).

2-neo-inosamine (2.140) was isolated by hydrolysis of an antibiotic 'Hygromycin A’ (Figure 2.8, 2.141, an inhibitor of bacterial ribosomal peptidyl transferase) produced by Streptomyces hygroscopicus ${ }^{52}$

2.140


Figure 2.8: 2-neo-inosamine (2.140) and Hygromycin A (2.141).

However there is no reported synthesis of 2-neo-inosamine 2.140. We attempted to prepare 2-neo-inosamine by Mitsunobu reaction of the myo-inositol derivative 2.30 (Scheme 2.31). However, in all the attempts, the starting alcohol was recovered.


Scheme 2.31: $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.1 \mathrm{eq}), \operatorname{DIAD}(1.2 \mathrm{eq}), 3 \AA$ Mol. Seives (powder, $2 \mathrm{~g} / 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), THF, $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$ ( 1.1 eq ), rt, 2 days, reflux, 2 days.

We then attempted to prepare 2-neo-inosamine by the reductive amination of the ketone 2.123 used for the preparation of neo-inositol. This method (reductive amination of inosose) had earlier been used in our laboratory for the preparation of an inosamine (2.145), starting from myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.15). ${ }^{53}$


Scheme 2.32: (a) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$, TFA-MeOH, $\mathrm{H}_{2}(60 \mathrm{psi})$, rt, 8 h ; (d) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}$, DMAP, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$

Reductive amination of the ketone 2.123 with benzylamine and sodiumcyanoborohydride in methanol resulted in the formation of a mixture of several products as revealed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of the corresponding acetates. No attempt was made to separate these products. When the reductive amination was carried out at low temperature $\left(-41{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, neo-alcohol 2.124 was obtained as the only product (Scheme 2.33).


Scheme 2.33: (a) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, or reflux, 30 min.; (b) $\mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{NaCNBH}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH},-42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92$ \%.

We then attempted to prepare the desired inosamine $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 0}$ via the corresponding azide 2.148. Attempted nucleophilic displacement reaction of the mesylate 2.146 with sodium azide was unsuccessful and the starting material was recovered. We then carried out substitution reaction on the triflate 2.147 with sodium azide in DMF at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra (see appendix, page 196) of the product suggested the presence of a mixture of azides. Lowering of the reaction temperature to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \mathrm{~h})$ also resulted in a mixture of azides while no reaction was observed at ambient temperature.



Scheme 2.34: (a) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, dry Py-dry DCM, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 91 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (e) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py , rt, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ (2 steps); (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(50$ Psi), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 32 \mathrm{~h}$; (g) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 45 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$.

A comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the mixture of azides with that of the bicyclic azide with the myo-configuration 2.137 (Scheme 2.30 ), showed that the myoazide 2.137 was present in the mixture of azides. The ratio of the two diastereomeric azides (neo : myo) was estimated to be $1: 0.46$. It is interesting to see that the bicyclic
neo-triflate 2.136 undergoes clean $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ displacement reaction with sodium azide to give the myo-azide 2.137 exclusively, while the myo-triflate 2.147 under similar reaction conditions gives a mixture of myo- and the neo-azides.

From the result of the displacement reaction of the neo-triflate $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ with sodium azide, it appears there is enough room for the azide ion to attack the C 5 -carbon in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ fashion to yield the azide 2.137 with the myo-configuration. But in the reaction of the myo-triflate 2.147, perhaps there is not enough room for the azide to attack the C5-carbon in an $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ fashion and hence the reaction proceeds by both $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ mechanism resulting in a mixture of products. Also, it is likely that the C4- and C6-oxygen atoms stabilize the carbonium ion formed at C-5 of 2.147 which makes the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1$ path more facile (in the reaction of myo-triflate). While during the reaction of the neo-triflate since the C4and C6-oxygen atoms are axial, they cannot stabilize the carbonium ion which makes the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ path more facile. However, further work is essential to understand the reason for the observed difference in reactivity of the triflates $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ and 2.147 towards substitution by the azide ion.

Prior to obtaining the myo-azide 2.137 (Section 2.2.8), we were not sure whether the signals at $\delta 5.78$ and 5.59 (page 196) due to the acetal hydrogen arose due to the presence of isomeric azides (mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 8}$ or $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 2}$ and 2.153, Figure 2.9).

2.137

2.148

2.152

2.153

Figure 2.9.
Hence the mixture of azides 2.137 and 2.148 obtained as mentioned above was reduced to the corresponding amines with triphenylphosphine and water in THF and the amines obtained acetylated to afford the corresponding amides 2.149 and 2.150. The
acetamides so obtained were subjected to hydrogenolysis in the presence of Pearlmann's catalyst and the resulting pentol was acetylated in pyridine to obtain hexaacetates $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ and 2.151. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixture of acetamides 2.149 and 2.150 (see Appendix, Page 197) as well as the hexaacetates 2.139 and 2.151 (see Appendix, Page 198) revealed the presence of isomeric inosamine derivatives (Scheme 2.34). This mixture of products could not be separated by chromatography.

### 2.2.10. De-oxy inositols (Quercitols).

Cyclohexane pentols or mono-deoxy inositols are called using a generic term, 'Quercitols'. Among the sixteen stereoisomers reported in the literature, ${ }^{54}(+)$-proto-, (-)-proto- and (-)-vibo- quercitols occur in nature. ${ }^{55}$ The biological activity shown by some of these quercitols against glycosidases led to an interest in the synthesis of natural and unnatural quercitols as well as their derivatives / analogs.

### 2.2.11. neo-quercitol.

neo-Quercitol (2.157) was formed in trace amount during the synthesis of talo-quercitol (Scheme 2.35) from the neo-epoxide 2.156. ${ }^{54}$


Scheme 2.35: (a) $50 \% \mathrm{AcOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min .; (b) aq. $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, rt; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Raney Ni.
Ogawa et al ${ }^{56}$ reported the synthesis of neo-quercitol hexa acetate (2.165) from myoinositol in an overall yield of $<1 \%$.


Scheme 2.36: (a) Cyclohexanone, benzene, TsOH ; (b) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Py}$; (c) $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Py}, 76 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, toluene, $55 \%$; (e) leq $\mathrm{BzCl}, \mathrm{Py},-5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight, $4 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, Py, $62 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{H}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; (h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, 87 \%$; (i) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, toluene, $77 \%$.

Shih et. al. reported the synthesis of neo-quercitol (2.157) from 1,4- cyclohexadiene (2.166) in an overall yield of $27 \%$ (Scheme 2.37). ${ }^{57}$


Scheme 2.37: (a) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py ; (b) 1.5 eq. $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, 1.5$ eq. $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt; (c) $80 \%$ aq. TFA; (d) $7 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}, 88 \%$.

### 2.2.12. Di-deoxy inositol or cyclohexane tetrol.

Synthesis of a few racemic-di-deoxy myo-inositols (or cyclohexane tetrols, 2.172-
2.174) have been reported in the literature. ${ }^{58}$

2.172

2.173

2.174

Figure 2.10
Schlewer et. al. ${ }^{59}$ reported the synthesis of 3, 5-dideoxy mono phosphorylated myo-inositol (1.112) in an overall yield of 20 \% (10 steps) from myo-inositol (Scheme 2.38) and used it as an inhibitor of myo-inositol monophosphatase. There is no report on the synthesis of 1(3), 5-dideoxy-myo-inositol (2.184) so far. Although its 2,4,6-tri-Obenzyl ether 2.175 is reported, its preparation and characterization data are not published. ${ }^{59}$


Scheme 2.38: (a) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}$ ( 8 eq.), $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(3 \mathrm{~h})-\mathrm{rt}(4 \mathrm{~h}), 87 \%$; (b) NaH (3 eq.), PMBCl (2 eq.), DMF, rt $4 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (c) TFA, EtOH-H2O, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (d) NaH , THF, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, MeI, $15 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, Toluene, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$; (f) HCl (3 N), MeOH , reflux, $30 \mathrm{~min} ., 93 \%$; (g) 2.176, $1 H$-tetrazole, DCM, rt; (h) m-CPBA, DCM, rt, $15 \mathrm{~min}, 70 \%$.

Optically active tribenzyl ether of 3,5-dideoxy-myo-inositol 2.179 was obtained from the cyclohexane epoxide 2.177 (Scheme 2.39). ${ }^{60}$


Miller et. al. ${ }^{61}$ reported the synthesis of dideoxy inositol phosphate (2.181) in an overall yield of $14 \%$ from myo-inositol. This phosphate was used to test the role of hydroxyl groups in binding of the substrate with mammalian IMPase. The hydroxyl group at 1-position was selectively ( $>98 \%$ ee) phosphorylated using a peptide catalyst ${ }^{62}$ in good yield as shown in scheme 2.40.


Scheme 2.40: (a) Scheme 1.11, page 14, Chapter 1; (b) ClP(S)OPh, Py, DMAP, DCM, 48 h ; (c) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, toluene, reflux; (d) Li, Liq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$.

### 2.2.13. Present work.

We planned to prepare quercitols by the deoxygenation of suitably protected inositol derivatives. The alcohol $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$ (Scheme 2.41) was converted to the corresponding xanthate (2.182) by reaction with carbon disulphide and methyl iodide in the presence of sodium hydride. The de-oxygenation was carried out under Barton reaction condition in toluene at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Unexpectedly, the product obtained was 1(3),5-dideoxy inositol $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 3}$ instead of the C5-deoxygenated inositol derivative. The benzoate was deprotected completely to obtain the tetrol $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 4}$.


Scheme 2.41: (a) $\mathrm{NaH}(5 \mathrm{eq}), \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}(15 \mathrm{eq})$, reflux, 1 h , MeI (5eq), rt, $16 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) toluene, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (c) $i-\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 93 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(55 \mathrm{psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.

The formation of the dideoxygenated product $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 3}$ and conversion of the benzylidene acetal to benzoate can be rationalized as shown in the scheme 2.42. Radical initiated cleavage of benzylidene acetals of 1,3-diols leading to the formation of benzoates has earlier been reported (scheme 2.42). ${ }^{63}$


Radical Source: $(t-\mathrm{BuO})_{3} \mathrm{SiSH}$ or $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{3} \mathrm{SiSH}$ and 2,2 - bis ( $t$-butyl peroxy) butane

Scheme 2.42: Intramolecular hydrogen abstraction in benzylidene acetals.

Although cleavage of the benzylidene acetal 2.185 via an intramolecular hydrogen abstraction is shown in the scheme 2.42 , other routes shown in the scheme 2.43 are in principle possible. Formation of the benzylidene radical by $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{Sn}$ radical (formed in the presence of $\operatorname{AIBN}$ ) can be ruled out since the alcohol 2.30 was stable to the reaction conditions whereas deoxygenation occurred in the xanthate 2.182. Further work is essential to rule out or rule in the intermolecular hydrogen abstraction pathway for the cleavage of the benzylidene acetal 2.193 shown in Scheme 2.43.


Scheme 2.43: Intermolecular H -abstraction by the radical.

### 2.2.14. neo-quercitol.

De-oxygenation of only the C5-oxygen could be achieved by first hydrolyzing the benzylidene acetal in 2.182 to obtain the corresponding xanthate diol 2.194 and subjecting the resulting xanthate to Barton de-oxygenation conditions. The benzyl ethers in the deoxy-derivative 2.195 were cleaved by hydrogenolysis to obtain neo-quercitol (2.157, Scheme 2.44). Hence dideoxygenation or mono deoxygenation in the xanthate 2.182 can be achieved by carrying out the deoxygenation reaction prior to or subsequent to the hydrolysis of the benzylidene acetal respectively. neo-Quercitol was made in an overall yield of $67 \%$ in 7 steps from myo-inositol. The yield in earlier reports did not exceed $27 \%$.


Scheme 2.44: (a) TFA, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 96$ \% (b) toluene, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1$ h, $93 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(50 \mathrm{psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$.

### 2.3 Conclusion.

We have discovered a new method for the cleavage of benzyl ethers and acetals. This method of cleavage could have applications in the synthesis of inositol-derived endproducts wherein other functional groups present in the required products do not allow reductive cleavage of benzyl ethers and acid catalyzed hydrolysis of acetals and orthoesters. The results presented in this chapter clearly show that myo-inositol-1,3,5orthobenzoate is a potential synthon for the preparation of isomeric inositol derivatives and has advantages over other protected derivatives of myo-inositol. The synthetic schemes reported in this chapter can also be tailored for the preparation of several phosphorylated as well as inositol ring modified derivatives.
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### 2.4. Experimental.

General: All the solvents were purified according to the literature procedures ${ }^{64}$ before use. $60 \%$ dispersion of sodium hydride in mineral oil was used for $O$-alkylation reactions. All air or moisture sensitive reactions were conducted under argon or nitrogen atmosphere. All the palladium compounds used were obtained from Aldrich chemical Co. or Lancaster Synthesis. Thin layer chromatography was performed on E. Merck precoated $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates and the spots were rendered visible either by shining UV light or by charring the plates with concd. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Column chromatographic separations and flash column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel 60-120 mesh and 230400 mesh respectively, with solvent system as mentioned in experimental procedures. The compounds previously reported in the literature were characterized by comparison of their melting points and / or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with reported data. IR spectra were recorded either in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ solutionor or in Nujol or as thin film (neat) on a Shimadzu FTIR-8400 spectrophotometer. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV200 spectrometer in duterated solvents as mentioned in the experimental. Microanalytical data were obtained using a Carlo-Erba CHNS-0 EA 1108 elemental analyzer. All the melting points were recorded on a Büchi B-540 electro-thermal melting point apparatus. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically pure compounds. All the asymmetrically substituted myo-inositol derivatives reported are racemic; however, only one of the enantiomers shown in all the schemes. Triethylamine treated silicagel indicates that the silicagel was treated with $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in light petroleum and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Work up of the residue / reaction mixture indicates washing of organic layer successively with water, $2 \%$ dil. HCl solution (when acid sensitive groups are not present), water, saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and water followed by brine.

Compounds $1.15,{ }^{2 a} \mathbf{1 . 1 6},{ }^{2 b} \mathbf{1 . 1 0 6},{ }^{13} \mathbf{2 . 3 8},{ }^{45,71} \mathbf{2 . 3 9}{ }^{70}$ were prepared as reported in the literature.
myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (1.17): myo-Inositol (1.1) (9.072 g, 50.40 mmol ), trimethyl orthobenzoate $(19.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 110.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-toluenesulfonic acid ( 2.5 g , $14.51 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 80 mL ) were heated at $145-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3.5 h . The clear solution obtained was allowed to cool to room temperature and triethylamine ( 2.02 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The gummy residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography to afford orthobenzoate 1.17 as a white solid. (eluent: $60 \%$ ethyl acetate - petroleum ether); yield: $12.45 \mathrm{~g}(93 \%)$. Data for 1.17:

Mp. $=210-211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}$ (nujol) $v: 3200-3450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR [200 MHz, $\left.\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right)\right] \delta$ 7.51-7.67(m, 2H), 7.30-7.45(m, 3H), $5.57(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \times \mathrm{OH}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \times \mathrm{OH}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.37-4.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm; ${ }^{13}$ C NMR [75.5 MHz, $\left.\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}\right] \delta 138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $125.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 106.8\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 76.1$ (Ins C), 70.3 (Ins C), 67.6 (Ins C), 58.2 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, $58.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.30$. Found: C, $58.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.33 \%$.

2,4,6-tri-O-acetyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.7): To an ice cooled solution of triol $1.17(0.055 \mathrm{~g}, 0.207 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, acetic anhydride $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.12$ mmol ) was added and stirred at ambient temperature for 3 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was worked up with ehtylacetate and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography with $30 \%$ ehytlacetate in light petroleum as eluent to afford the triacetate 2.7 as a white solid ( $0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ )

Data for 2.7
$\mathbf{M p} .=168-171{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.63-7.68$ (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.33-7.39 (m, 3H, Ar H), 5.63 (t, 2H, Ins H, J = 3.2 Hz), 5.22-5.26 (m, 1 H , Ins H), 4.70-4.74 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.50-4.56 (m, 2H, Ins H), $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.12$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125.7 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$,
$136.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.83\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 70.4$ (Ins C), 67.7 (Ins C), 67.1 (Ins C), 62.3 (Ins C), $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 58.16; H, 5.10; Found: C, 58.30 ; H, $4.95 \%$.

2,4,6-tri-O-methyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.8): Sodium hydride ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of $1.17{ }^{65}(1.331 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 15 min . Methyl iodide $(1.86 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the stirred solution and stirring was continued for 45 min . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude reaction mixture was worked up with ethyl acetate. The gummy residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 20\% ethylacetate in petroleum ether) to obtain $2.8^{1}(1.514 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a white solid.

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 8}$
Mp. $=121-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.62-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.31-7.36$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 4.55-4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), $4.28(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), $3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), $3.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.50\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125.8 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 137.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.8\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 76.0$ (Ins C$), 70.7$ (Ins C), 68.4 (Ins C), 68.3 (Ins C), $57.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 56.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 62.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49$. Found: C, 62.36; H, 6.76 \%.

2,4,6-tri-O-Methyl-myo-inositol (2.23): A solution of 2.8 ( $0.309 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.112 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16$ mmol ) at 55 psi . After 5 h , the reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain 2.23 as a white solid ( $0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ).

Data for 2.23
Mp. $=192-193^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}$ (nujol): v 3150-3550 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.65-3.71$ (m, 1H, Ins H), 3.59-3.64 (m, 2H, Ins H), $3.58\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.56\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, 3.193.43 ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50.3 \mathrm{MHz}, 0.75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.03 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ): $\delta 83.5$,
83.4, 73.8, 71.6, 62.8, 60.7 ppm ; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 48.64; H, 8.16. Found: C, 48.43; H, 8.24 \%.

Birch reduction of 2,4,6-tri-O-methyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.8): A mixture of orthobenzoate $2.8(1.542 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and tert-butyl alcohol $(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.54$ mmol ) in dry THF ( 7 mL ) was taken in a clean and dry 250 mL three neck round bottomed flask. Ammonia ( 120 mL ) was collected into the flask at $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Freshly cut sodium ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the stirred solution and the reaction mixture turned to blue color. Stirring was continued for 3 h and the reaction mixture was quenched with solid ammonium chloride ( 5 g ). Ammonia was allowed to evaporate at rt and the crude compound was extracted with methanol and purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate-light petroleum (3:1) as eluent to afford trimethyl triol 2.23 as a white solid $(0.951 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%), \mathrm{Mp} .=188-191^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Hydrolysis of 2,4,6-tri-O-methyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.8): To a solution of orthobenzoate $2.8(0.308 \mathrm{~g}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(0.16 \mathrm{~mL})$ and DCM ( 4 mL ), acetyl chloride ( $0.29 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm to rt . Water $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and stirred at rt for 54 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the diol $2.9(0.345 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained was acetylated with acetic anhydride ( $0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry pyridine ( 3 mL ) at rt for 7 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with ethylacetate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum to afford the diacetate $2.10(0.371 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a white solid.

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 0}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=163-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v 1732,1747,1753 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right):$ $\delta 8.05-8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.18$ (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.87-4.99 (dd, 1H, Ins H, $J=2.17,10.25 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.51\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.45\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.15\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.14\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.6(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$,
$169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 78.5$ (Ins C), 78.3 (Ins C), 77.9 (Ins C), 73.1 (Ins C), 72.4 (Ins C), $61.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 60.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $59.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{9}: \mathrm{C}, 58.53 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.38$. Found: C, 58.45; H, 6.38 \%.

## Racemic-2,4-di-O-methoxymethyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate

(2.25): Sodium hydride $(0.252 \mathrm{~g}, 6.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of triol 1.17 ( $1.598 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 24 mL ) and stirred for 15 min . Benzyl bromide ( $0.71 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to this solution and stirring was continued for 1 h at rt . To the same reaction mixture, sodium hydride $(0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at ice cold temperature and stirring was continued for 15 min . Methoxymethyl chloride $(1.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added and stirring was continued for $12 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{66}$ Solvents were then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with ethyl acetate. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) to obtain 2.25 as a white solid ( $2.366 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ).

Data for 2.25
$\mathbf{M p} .=80-82{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.60-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, 7.27-7.42 (m, $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 4.69-4.87(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.66(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} H, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.45(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.42\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.0$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.6$ $\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 96.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 95.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 73.5$ (Ins C), 73.3 (Ins C), 73.1 (Ins C), 72.4 (Ins C), $71.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.1$ (Ins C), 65.3 (Ins C), $55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 64.85; H, 6.35. Found: C, 64.95; H, $6.31 \%$.

## Hydrogenolysis of racemic-2,4-di-O-methoxymethyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-

 orthobenzoate (2.25): Method A: The benzyl ether 2.25 ( $0.222 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenolyzed in ethylacetate ( 2.5 mL ), in the presence of $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.06 \mathrm{~g})$ at 55 psi . After 2 h , the reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and the
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solvent was concentrated. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum to obtain 2.26 as a gum ( $0.172 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ).

Data for 2.26
IR (nujol): v 3350-3600 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.60-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, 7.30-7.42 (m, 3H, Ar H), 4.88 (s, 2H, CH2), 4.79 (q, 2H, CH2, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.58-4.72 (m, $3 H$, Ins H), 4.50-4.56 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.39-4.47 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.19 (t, 1H, Ins H, J = 1.8 $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.46\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.32 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.2$ $\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 97.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 95.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 74.2$ (Ins C), 72.5 (Ins C), 69.1 (Ins C), 67.9 (Ins C), 64.2 (Ins C), $56.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 57.62; H, 6.26. Found: C, 57.44; H, 5.95 \%.

Method B: The compound $2.25(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrogenolyzed in methanol ( 6 $\mathrm{mL})$, in the presence of $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.161 \mathrm{~g})$ at 55 psi at rt . After 13 h , the reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite was washed with methanol (10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the gummy residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ methanol in ethyl acetate) to obtain the tetrol 2.34 as a white solid ( $0.285 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$
Mp. $=117-118{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (nujol) $v: 3200-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (Acetone-d6, 200 MHz ): $\delta$ 4.74-4.86(m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $3.97(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.52-3.70 (m, 3H, Ins H), 3.43-3.46 (m, 1H, Ins H), $3.41\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.40\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.20-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09$ $(\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 4 \times \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (Acetone-d6, 50.3 MHz$): \delta 98.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 98.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 82.0$ (Ins C), 81.4 (Ins C), 74.9 (Ins C), 73.9 (Ins C), 71.8 (Ins C), 71.4 (Ins C), $55.83\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $55.78\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 44.77; H, 7.51. Found: C, 44.42; H, 7.27 \%.

Method C: Hydrogenolysis of the compound $2.25(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ using excess of $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.64 \mathrm{~g})$ as above gave $1.1(0.204 \mathrm{~g})$, which was characterized as its hexa-acetate 2.36: the crude 1.1 was suspended in pyridine ( 6 mL ) and acetic anhydride $(1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.667 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was continued for 40 h at rt . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked with dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography afforded 2.36 as a white solid $(0.469 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%), \mathbf{M p} .=210-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Lit} .{ }^{67} \mathrm{Mp} .=211-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Reaction of racemic 2,4-di-O-methoxymethyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthobenzoate (2.25) with $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol: A mixture of racemic 2.25 $(0.222 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.281 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred at rt for 7 d . The reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite was washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $40 \%$ ethylacetate in petroleum ether) to obtain racemic 2.26 as a gum ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ). This reaction could be carried out in shorter time ( 32 h ) in refluxing methanol to afford racemic 2.26 ( $0.172 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ ).

Racemic-1,3,5,6-tetra-O-benzoyl-2,4-di-O-methoxymethyl-myo-inositol (2.35): To an ice cooled solution of tetrol $2.34(0.033 \mathrm{~g}, 0.123 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, benzoyl chloride ( $0.14 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( 5 mg were added and stirred at ambient temperature for 40 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography using $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum to obtain tetrabenzoate 2.35 as a white solid $(0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$.

Data for 2.35
$\mathbf{M p} .=212-214{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v \quad 1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.8-8.14$ (m, 8H, Ar H), 7.28-7.62 (m, 12H, Ar H), 6.22 (t, 1H, Ins H, J=10.5), $5.76(t, 1 H$, Ins H,
$J=9.4), 5.38-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ins} \mathrm{H}), 4.54-4.82\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2\right.$ Ins H, $\left.2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.00\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 165.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.44$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.39(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 97.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 75.9$ (Ins C), 74.1 (Ins C), 73.0 (Ins C), 72.5 (Ins C), 71.7 (Ins C), 70.3 (Ins C), $56.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ : C, 66.66; H, 5.3. Found: C, 66.74; H, $5.14 \%$.

## Reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (1.17) with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ in methanol: A

 mixture of orthobenzoate triol $1.17(0.133 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) and $20 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.281 \mathrm{~g})$ were stirred at rt for 8 days. The reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ methanol in ethylacetate) to obtain 1.204 as a white solid ( $0.132 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). Mp. $=236-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $^{68} \mathrm{Mp} .240-242{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Refluxing a solution of triol $1.17(0.267 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 5 mL ) in the presence of $20 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.562 \mathrm{~g})$ for 9 h also afforded $1.204(0.266 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$.Racemic-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.24): Sodium hydride (0.168 $\mathrm{g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the triol $1.17(1.065 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 16 mL ) and stirred for 15 min . To this solution, benzyl bromide $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, 4.2 mmol ) was added and stirring continued for 30 min at rt . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with ethyl acetate. The gummy product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $40 \%$ ethylacetate in petroleum ether) to obtain the monobenzyl ether 2.24 as a white solid ( $1.363 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 2 4}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=86-88{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v 3300-3600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.54-$ $7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 4.69\left(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.50-4.62(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 H$, Ins H), 4.35-4.46(m, 3H, Ins H), $4.15(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J=$ 10 Hz , $), 3.23(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J=11 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 136.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 135.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.1$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.1\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 75.8$ (Ins C), 73.7 (Ins C), $73.3\left(\right.$ Ins C), $72.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.0$ (Ins C), 67.5 (Ins C), 59.5 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 67.40$; H , 5.66. Found: C, 67.10; H, 5.72 \%.

Reaction of racemic 4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.24) with $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{O H})_{2}-\mathbf{C}$ in methanol: A mixture of the monobenzyl ether $2.24(0.267 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.420 \mathrm{~g})$ were stirred at rt for 6 d . The reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ methanol in ethylacetate) to obtain 1.204 as a white solid ( $0.196 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ); Mp. $=236-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When the above reaction of the monobenzyl ether $2.24(0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ with $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ $(0.281 \mathrm{~g})$ was carried out in refluxing methanol $(3.5 \mathrm{~mL})$, the reaction was completed in 12 h to afford 1.204 ( $0.133 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orhtobenzoate (2.29): Sodium hydride (1.92 g, $48.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to an ice cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of triol $1.17(3.195 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 60 mL ) and stirred for 15 min . To this solution, benzyl bromide $(7.1 \mathrm{~mL}$, 60.0 mmol ) was added and stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with ethyl acetate. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethylacetate in petroleum ether) to obtain 2.29 as a white solid ( $6.288 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).

Data for 2.29
Mp. $=83-85{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystals obtained from ehtylacetate and light petroleum at $\sim 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.60-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 4.37-$
$4.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}, 5 \times\right.$ Ins $\left.\mathrm{H}, 3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50.3 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 137.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.7\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right)$, 73.9 (Ins C), 71.7 (Ins C), $71.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.8$ (Ins C), 66.0 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 76.10 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.00$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 75.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.36 \%$.

4,6-di-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.27): LiH ( $0.134 \mathrm{~g}, 16.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to triol $1.17(1.064 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 15 mL ) and stirred at rt for 1 h . Benzyl bromide ( $1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.83 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 5 mL ) was added to the stirred solution drop wise and stirring continued for 12 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with ice cold water and worked up with dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography with $30 \%$ ehtylacetate in light petroleum to afford the dibenzyl ether 2.27 as a white solid ( $1.488 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ).

Data for 2.27
$\mathbf{M p}=65-67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.56-7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.44(\mathrm{~m}$, $13 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 4.67\left(\mathrm{ABq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.54-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ins} \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.38-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 4.20-4.33 (m, 1 H , Ins H), $3.08(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$.

Reaction of 2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.29) with $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{O H})_{2}-\mathbf{C}$ in methanol: A solution of $2.29(0.268 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.526 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ were refluxed for 40 h . The reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ methanol in ethylacetate) to obtain $\mathbf{1 . 2 0 4}$ as a white solid ( $0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%) \mathbf{M p} .=235-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. ${ }^{68} \mathrm{Mp} .240-242$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate (1.16) with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ - C in methanol: A solution of the triol $1.1 \mathbf{6}^{2 \mathrm{~b}}(0.204 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ $(0.562 \mathrm{~g})$ were refluxed for 52 h . The reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with methanol ( 10 mL ). The combined methanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was chromatographed (eluent: $10 \%$ methanol in ethylacetate) to obtain a mixture of myo-inositol monoacetates 2.37 ( $0.206 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ) as revealed by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum; no attempt was made to separate them.

Reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.15) with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ - C in methanol: A solution of the triol $1.15{ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ $(0.562 \mathrm{~g})$ were refluxed for 32 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with distilled water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the catalyst was removed by filtration using a Whatman filter paper. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was acetylated with acetic anhydride ( $1.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 20.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 40 h at rt . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and worked up with dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 35\% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) gave the hexa-acetate 2.36 as a white solid ( $0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ), Mp. $=210$ $212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. ${ }^{67} \mathrm{Mp} .211-212{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Reaction of 2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.106) with $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{O H})_{2}-\mathbf{C}$ in methanol: A solution of $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}^{13}(0.231 \mathrm{~g}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.528 \mathrm{~g}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ were refluxed for 72 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with distilled water ( 10 mL ) and the catalyst was removed by filtration using a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with distilled water ( 10 mL ). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to obtain $\mathbf{1 . 1}$ as a white solid $(0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%), \mathbf{M p} .=$ $221-223{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{69} \mathrm{Mp}=224-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

## Reaction of racemic-1,2:4,5-di-isopropylidine-3,6-di-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (2.39)

 with $\mathbf{P d}(\mathbf{O H})_{2}-\mathbf{C}$ in methanol: A mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 3 9}^{70}(0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $20 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.078 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 22 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 2 mL ) and filtered over a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with water ( 5 mL ). The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain 1.1 as a white solid ( $0.019 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$.
## Reaction of racemic-1,2: 4,5-di-isopropylidine-myo-inositol (2.38) with $\mathbf{P d}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ - C

 in methanol: A mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}{ }^{45,71}(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.175 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) was refluxed for 8 h , when TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the absence of ketal 2.38. The ketal 2.38 was added ( 0.065 g for every 8 h) to the same reaction mixture and the refluxing was continued. This addition of $\mathbf{2 . 3 8}$ was repeated ten times at the end of which the reaction mixture was diluted with distilled water ( 5 mL ) and the catalyst was filtered using a short bed of Celite. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to obtain 1.1 as a white solid $(0.436 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%) ; \mathbf{M p} .=$ $223-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.Cyclohexyl benzyl ether (2.42): Sodium hydride ( $0.48 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of cyclohexanol $(2.43,1.004 \mathrm{~g}, 10.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was continued for 1 h . Benzyl bromide ( $2.05 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the solution and stirred for 4 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and worked up with chloroform. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography using $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether as eluent to obtain 2.42 as a thick liquid ( $1.78 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

Data for 2.42
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)=\delta 7.23-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 4.54\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.25-3.44$ (m, 1H, CH), 1.89-2.04 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.65-1.83 (m, 2H, CH2), 1.15-1.56 (m, 6H, $3 \times$ $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 139.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$,
$127.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 76.8(\mathrm{CH}), 69.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 32.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 24.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$;
Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 82.06$; H, 9.53. Found: C, 81.95; H, $9.80 \%$.

Reaction of cyclohexyl benzyl ether (2.42) with $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ - C in methanol : A mixture of benzyl ether $2.42(0.195 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.359 \mathrm{~g}, 0.51$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 5 mmol ) was refluxed for 10 h under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and the catalyst was filtered using a short bed of Celite. The catalyst was washed with methanol $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) to obtain cyclohexanol (2.43) as a colourless liquid ( $0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ).

Reaction of cyclohexyl benzyl ether (2.42) with $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathbf{O A c})_{2}$ in methanol: A mixture of benzyl ether $2.42(0.048 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 5 mL ) was refluxed for 40 h . The product (cyclohexanol, 2.43) ( $19,0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ) was isolated as above.

1,3-O-benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (2.30): 1 M solution of DIBAL- $\mathrm{H}^{17}$ in toluene ( $4 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop wise over a period of 15 min . to a solution of $2.29(1.073 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $(16 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at ambient for 2 h . The reaction mixture was poured into a rapidly stirred solution of saturated aq. $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{K}$ tartrate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and ammonium chloride $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 12 h. The mixture was extracted with ethylacetate $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and usual workup followed by column chromatography (ethylacetate $:$ dichloromethane $:$ petroleumether $=1: 1: 8$ ) afforded $2.30(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%)$ as a gummy compound which was converted to solid upon cooling.

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$
Mp. $=102-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystallized from a solution of dichloromethane and light petroleum ether cooled to $\left.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}$ (neat) $v=3452 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.49-7.61$
(m, 2H, Ar H), 7.27-7.46 (m, 18H, Ar H), $5.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhHCO}_{2}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.70$ (AB q, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.95-4.07 (m, 2H, Ins H), 3.74-3.87 (m, 1H, Ins H), 3.62 (t, 1 H , Ins H, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.50(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 137.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.7\left(\mathrm{PhHCO}_{2}\right), 81.5$ (Ins C), 73.5 (Ins C), 73.4 (Ins C), 71.6 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.1$ (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 75.82; H, 6.36. Found: C, 75.80; H, 6.40 \%.

1,3-O-benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-acetyl-myo-inositol (2.31): $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}$, $3.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to an ice cooled solution of alcohol $2.30(0.512 \mathrm{~g}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 5 mL ) and stirring continued for 4 h at ambient temperature. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the gummy residue obtained was worked up with ethylaetate followed by drying over anhy. sodiumsulfate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography with $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum to afford acetate 2.31 as a white solid ( $0.535 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

## Data for 2.31

Mp. $=$ 126-127 C (crystallized from ehtylacetate and light petroleum); $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=$ $1747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.50-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}$, Ar H), $5.74\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 5.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65-4.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 4.38-4.53 (m, 4H, $1 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $2 \times \operatorname{Ins} \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ins} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.98\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, 137.9 ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 137.7 ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.1$ ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.25\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $128.19\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.84\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.80\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.61\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.58\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.71\left(\mathrm{PhHCO}_{2}\right), 78.9$ (Ins C), 73.31 (Ins C), 73.25 (Ins C), $70.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.7$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.0$ (Ins C), $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O} 7$ : C, 74.46; H, 6.25; Found: C,74.22; H, 6.12 \%.

1,3-O-benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-methyl-myo-inositol (2.80): Sodium hydride $(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $2.30(0.480 \mathrm{~g}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 30 min . The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methyl iodide $(0.08$ $\mathrm{mL}, 1.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop wise and the mixture stirred for 1 h at rt . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and worked up with chloroform. Column chromatography ( $10 \%$ ethylacetate in petroleum ether) of the residue obtained after evaporation of chloroform afforded the methyl ether of 2.80 as a solid $(0.481 \mathrm{~g}$, 98\%).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.50-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.47(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.74$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhHCO}_{2}\right), 4.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.67\left(\mathrm{ABq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.37(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.63-6.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, CH 3$), 3.44(\mathrm{t}$, 1 H , Ins $\mathrm{H}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 138.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $137.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $126.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.8\left(\mathrm{PhHCO}_{2}\right), 83.5$ (Ins C), 82.3 (Ins C), 73.2 (Ins C), $71.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.6$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.2$ (Ins C), $59.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 76.06$; H, 6.56; Found: C, 76.21; H, 6.43 \%.

5-O-Methyl-myo-inositol (Sequoyitol, 2.79): A mixture of the methyl ether of $\mathbf{2 . 8 0}$ $(0.280 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ obtained above and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.0 .554 \mathrm{~g}, 0.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 5 mL ) was refluxed in argon atmosphere for 20 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and diluted with 3 mL of water. The catalyst was filtered using a short bed of Celite and Celite washed with water $(2 \times 3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product obtained by evaporation of the filtrate, was dissolved in methanol and water mixture (3:1) and cooled in a freezer to obtain colorless crystals of 2.79 ( $0.096 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$, two crops).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 7 9}$
Mp. $=237-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{72} \mathrm{Mp} .=238-239{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 500 \mathrm{MHz},\right): \delta 4.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.71\left(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.60\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J$
$=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.07\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}}{ }^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50.3 \mathrm{MHz}, 0.75 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{D} \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\right.$ 0.03 mL methanol): $\delta 84.8$ (Ins C), 72.6 (Ins C), 72.3 (Ins C), 71.7 (Ins C), $60.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm.

1,3-O-benzylidine-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-myo-inosose (2.123): Method A: To a cooled $\left(-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of oxalyl chloride $(0.567 \mathrm{~g}, 4.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 12 mL ), a solution of dry dimethyl sulfoxide $(0.627 \mathrm{~g}, 8.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) was added drop-wise and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min . A solution of the alcohol $2.30(2.154 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane $(12 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop-wise and stirring was continued for 1 h . Dry triethylamine ( $2.262 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added to the reaction mixture and allowed to warm to room temperature slowly. The reaction mixture was worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography using triethylamine treated silicagel (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford the ketone 2.123 as a white solid $(1.684 \mathrm{~g}$, 78\%).

Data for 2.123
$\mathbf{M p} .=107-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.40-$ 7.52 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.17-7.39 (m, 18H, Ar H), 5.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}$ ), 4.65-4.80 (m, 4H, $2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.45-4.62 (m, 4H, CH2), $4.25(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 200.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $136.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 93.8\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 79.2$ (Ins C), 73.6 (Ins C), $71.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 66.4$ (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 76.1; H, 6.01; Found: C, 76.34; H, 5.96 \%.

Method B: A mixture of the alcohol $2.30(2.69 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}), \operatorname{PDC}(2.818 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $3 \AA$ molecular sieves (powder, 5 g ) in dry dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) was stirred at rt . for

22 h . Celite ( 10 g ) was added to the stirred reaction mixture and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a free flowing solid. The solid was packed over a short column of Celite and eluted with dry diethyl ether $(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic portions were concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude ketone 2.123 (2.71 g). The ketone was reduced with sodium borohydride in the next step. Crystals of the pure ketone 2.123 could be obtained by crystallization either from methanol or from DCM - light petroleum mixture at low temperature $\left(\sim 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) ; \mathbf{M p} .=106-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

1,3-O-benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-neo-inositol (2.124): The crude ketone 2.123 ( 2.71 g ) was dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) and diluted with methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this solution, sodium borohydride $(0.57 \mathrm{~g}, 15.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in one lot and stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the absence of the starting material. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the gummy residue obtained was worked up dichloromethane. The combined organic extracts were concentrated to obtain a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography [eluent $=$ ethyl acetate $:$ dichloromethane : light petroleum (1:1:8)] to afford the alcohol 2.124 as a white solid ( $2.52 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=98-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=3332-3593 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.47-$ 7.59 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.16-7.44 (m, 18H, Ar H), 5.81 (s, 1H, $\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}$ ), 4.55-4.76 (m, 6H, $3 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.27-4.49 (m, 3 H , Ins H), 4.04-4.13 (m, 3H, Ins H), $3.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}, J=11.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 139.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.82\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.79\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.53\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 95.4\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 78.7($ Ins C$), 73.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 71.0 (Ins C), $70.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.7$ (Ins C), 65.4 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 75.82 ; H, 6.36; Found: C, $75.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.49 \%$.

1,3-O-benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-acetyl-neo-inositol(2.125): Acetic anhydride $(0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 2.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop-wise to an ice cooled solution of the alcohol $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ $(0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at ambient temperature for 4 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude reaction mixture was worked up with ethyl acetate and dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to obtain a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $15 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford the acetate 2.125 as a white solid $(0.111 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$.

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$
Mp. $=119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystals obtained from dichloromethane light petroleum mixture);
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)(v)=1742 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.48-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.44 (m, $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}$ ), $5.87\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 5.60(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.63 (s, 2H, CH2), 4.65 (ABq, $\left.4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.31-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 4.15-4.25 (m, 3 H , Ins H), $2.05\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 139.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $138.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.83\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 94.6\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 76.4$ (Ins C), $73.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.9$ (Ins C), $70.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.9$ (Ins C), 66.3 (Ins C), $20.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C, 74.46 ; H, 6.25; Found: C, 74.60 ; H, 6.24 \%.
neo-Inositol (1.25): The neo-alcohol $2.124(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 4.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in ethanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a hydrogenation flask and $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.16 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to the solution. The contents were hydrogenolyzed on Parr hydrogenator for 36 h at rt . The catalyst was allowed to settle and the supernatant liquid was removed using a pipette. The catalyst was repeatedly washed with warm $\left(50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ distilled water $(6 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined washings were filtered through a short column of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to get an off white solid which was washed with hot ethyl acetate
to afford neo-inositol (1.25) as a colorless solid ( $0.636 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%) . \mathbf{M p} .=305-310{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Mp}=315{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
neo-Inositol hexa acetate (2.92): neo-inositol $1.25(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dry pyridine $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Acetic anhydride $(0.47 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop wise to the solution and stirring continued at ambient temperature until the reaction mixture turned to a clear solution $(\sim 40 \mathrm{~h})$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was worked up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 5\% ethyl acetate in dichloromethane) to afford neo-inositol hexaacetate (2.92) as a white solid ( $0.115 \mathrm{~g}, 96$ \%). Mp. $=255-258{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{73} \mathrm{Mp} .=257-259{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
neo-Inositol hexa benzoate (2.126): neo-inositol $1.25(0.03 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ was suspended in dry pyridine $(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Benzoyl chloride $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.17$ mmol ) was added drop-wise to the solution and stirring continued at ambient temperature for 56 h . Excess of benzoyl chloride was quenched with ice cold water and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The gummy residue was worked up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to afford neo-inositol hexabenzoate 2.126 as a white solid ( $0.125 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 6}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=287-290{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.07-8.20$ (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.78-7.92 (m, 8H, Ar H), 7.39-7.74 (m, 10H, Ar H), 7.20-7.35 (m, 8H, Ar H), $6.44\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H), $6.20\left(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $165.35(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.33(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$,
$129.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3$ ( $\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}$ ), 69.1 (Ins C), 68.7 (Ins C) ppm;
Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ : C, 71.64 ; H, 4.51; Found: C, $71.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.86$ \%.

Amination of inosose 2.123 with amine: To a solution of the ketone $2.123(0.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.56$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, benzyl amine $(0.177 \mathrm{~g}, 1.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at rt for 30 min . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the absence of starting material. Sodium cyanoborohydride $(0.105 \mathrm{~g}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring continued for 1 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated ammonium chloride solution and worked up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhy. sodiumsulphate. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and co-evaporated with dry toluene to obtain a gummy compound which was subjected to acetylation with acetic anhydride ( 1 mL ) in dry pyridine ( 5 mL ) for 20 h at rt . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up in dichloromethane. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 20\% ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford a mixture of acetamides.

When the reductive amination of the ketone $2.123(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was carried out with benzyl amine $(0.324 \mathrm{~g}, 2.024 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium cyanoborohydride $(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at low temperature $\left(-41^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ the corresponding neo-alcohol $2.124(0.478 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ with neo-configuration was obtained. Mp. $=96-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## 1,3-O-Benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-trifluoromethane sulfonyl-neo-inositol

(2.136): Triflic anhydride $(0.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop-wise to a cooled ( -41 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the neo-alcohol $2.125(1.347 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dry dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ over a period of 15 min . The temperature of the reaction mixture was allowed raise to rt and stirring was continued for 2 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the crude reaction mixture was worked up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The crude product was purified by
column chromatography over triethylamine treated silicagel (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford the triflate ester $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ as a gummy residue ( $1.529 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).

Data for 2.136
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.46-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}), 5.74$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 5.55(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.68\left(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $4.52\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.33-4.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 4.22-4.32 (m, 2H, Ins H), $4.19(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 139.3,137.6,136.9,129.2,128.5,128.3$, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 126.3, $94.5\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 82.6$ (Ins C), 76.7 (Ins C), $73.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 71.4 (Ins C), $70.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 65.8$ (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SF}_{3}$ : C, 62.68; H, 4.96; Found: C, 62.68; H, 5.12 \%.

1,3-O-Benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-deoxy-5-azido-myo-inositol (2.137): A mixture of the triflate ester $2.136(1.462 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium azide $(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 13.1$ mmol ) in dry DMF ( 8 mL ) was stirred at rt for 12 h under argon atmosphere. TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the complete consumption of the triflate ester 2.136. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was worked up with ethylacetate followed by drying over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford the myo-azide 2.137 as a white solid ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ).

Data for 2.137
$\mathbf{M p} .=90-93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=2106 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.47-7.56$ (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.26-7.46 (m, 18H, Ar H), $5.59\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCO}_{2}\right), 4.72\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 4.67$ (Abq, $4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ ), $4.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), $3.93(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 3.53-3.62 (m, 2H, Ins H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 137.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 136.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.7\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 80.2\left(\right.$ Ins C), $73.0\left(\right.$ Ins C), $71.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 68.1 (Ins C), 65.0 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 72.45; H, 5.90, N, 7.46; Found: C, 72.30; H, 5.77, N, 7.35\%.

1,2,3,4,6-penta-O-acetyl-5-deoxy-5-acetylamino-myo-inositol (2.139): The azide 2.137 $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $20 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.045 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol ( 4 mL ) and acetic acid ( 2 mL ) at rt for 44 h at 50 psi . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the absence of the azide. Catalyst was filtered by using a short bed of Celite and the catalyst was washed with water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined filtrate and washings were evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was co-evaporated with dry toluene $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to obtain the crude product $(0.262 \mathrm{~g})$ as an off white solid. The crude product was acetylated with acetic anhydride ( 2.0 mL ) in dry pyridine ( 5 mL ), at ambient temperature for 40 h . The crude reaction mixture was workd up with dichloromethane and purified by column chromatography (eluent $=1: 1$ dichloromethane: ethylacetate) to obtain 5-deoxy-5-acetylamino-myo-inositol-pentaacetate $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ as a white solid ( $0.409 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ for two steps).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=264-270{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=3385,1751,1690,1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200\right.$ MHz): $\delta 5.63$ (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), $5.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.07-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 4.23-4.44 (m, 1H, Ins H), $2.19\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.04\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, 2$ $\left.\times \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.91\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.9(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 170.1$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 69.6$ (Ins C), 68.8 (Ins C), 68.3 (Ins C), 51.7 (Ins CH$\mathrm{NH})$, $22.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $20.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{11}: \mathrm{C}, 50.12 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.84, \mathrm{~N}, 3.25$; Found: C, 49.9; H, 6.0, N, 3.2 \%

## 1,3-O-Benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-methane sulfonyl-myo-inositol (2.146):

Methane sulfonyl chloride ( $0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to an ice cooled solution of the alcohol $2.30(2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ drop wise over a period of 30 min . and stirring was continued for 7 h at ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and solvents were removed under reduced pressure.

The residue obtained was worked up with ethylacetate and dried over anhy. sodiumsulfate. The crude product was purified by column chromatography with $15 \%$ ethylacetate in light petroleum as an eluent to afford mesylate 2.146 as a gummy compound ( $2.275 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 6}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.48-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.70$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 4.68-4.81(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.63(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.64\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H, $J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.92\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $137.42\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.39\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 136.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.9\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 82.6$ (Ins C), 79.1 (Ins C), 73.1 (Ins C), $71.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 67.7$ (Ins C), $38.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$.

## 1,3-O-Benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-trifluoromethane sulfonyl-myo-inositol

 (2.147): Triflic anhydride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop-wise to a cooled (- 41 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the alcohol $2.30(2.154 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine ( 8 mL ) and dry dichloromethane ( 8 mL ) over a period of 10 min . The cooling bath was removed and stirring was continued at ambient temperature for 2 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford the triflate ester 2.147 as a white solid ( $2.351 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%$ ).Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 7}$
Mp. $=81-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.49-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, 7.28-7.47 (m, $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.71\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 4.94(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $4.65\left(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{q}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.23(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.59(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 100.6 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ : $138.1,136.7,129.9,128.9,128.84,128.73,128.65,128.34,128.17,126.8,93.2$
$\left(\mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 88.3$ (Ins C), 78.8 (Ins C), 73.7 (Ins C), $72.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 71.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.3$ (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{SF}_{3}$ : C, 62.68; H, 4.96; Found: C, 62.51; H, 5.00 \%.

## Reaction of myo-triflate ester 2.147 with sodium azide:

A mixture of the triflate ester $2.147(2.02 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$, sodium azide $(1.175 \mathrm{~g}, 18.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 20 mL ) was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h under argon atmosphere. TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the complete consumption of the triflate ester. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was worked up with ethyl acetate and dried over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The solvent was removed and the crude product was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford a mixture of azides 2.148, 2.137 (as revealed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, Appendix, page 196) a white solid (1.545 g, 91 $\%$ ).; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=2110 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 72.45 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.9; N, 7.45; Found: C, 72.12; H, 5.68, N, 7.07 \%.

## Reduction of the mixture of azides (2.148, 2.137):

The mixture of azides 2.148 and $2.137(0.706 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ obtained in the previous step was reduced to amine with triphenylphosphine $(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ and water $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in THF ( 8 mL ) by heating at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the absence of the starting material. The reaction mixture was cooled to rt and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was co-evaporated with dry toluene $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The crude product $(1.106 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained was acetylated with acetic anhydride $(1.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ in dry pyridine $(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ at ambient temperature for 6 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with ethyl acetate. The crude product obtained on evaporation of the solvent was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $40 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford a mixture
of acetamides 2.149 and 2.150 as white solid ( $0.61 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as revealed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, Appendix, page 197)

Hydrogenolysis of the mixture of acetamides 2.149 and 2.150: The mixture of acetamides $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 9}$ and $2.150(0.583 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained in the previous step was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(0.034 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 50 psi for 32 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the catalyst was filtered using a short bed of Celite; the catalyst was washed with ethanol-water mixture $(1: 1,2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined filtrate and washings were concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was co-evaporated with dry toluene $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford the crude compound as an off-white solid. The crude residue ( 0.206 g ) was acetylated with acetic anhydride (1.9 mL ) in dry pyridine ( 6 mL ) at rt for 45 h . The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhy. sodiumsulphate. The crude product obtained on evaporation of the solvent was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $40 \%$ ethylacetate in dichloromethane) to afford a mixture hexaacetates 2.139, 2.151 (as revealed by 1H NMR spectroscopy, see Appendix, page 198) as a white solid ( $0.355 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$.

## 1,3-O-Benzylidene-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-5-O-(methyl-thio) thiocarbonyl-myo-inositol

 (2.182): To a cooled $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ solution of the alcohol $2.30(2.774 \mathrm{~g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaH}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 25.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min. Carbon disulfide ( $4.68 \mathrm{~mL}, 77.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture and refluxed for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to rt and methyl iodide (1.6 $\mathrm{mL}, 25.701 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for 16 h at rt . The reaction mixture was diluted with ethanol ( 6 mL ), water ( 12 mL ) and extracted with ethylacetate $(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ by washing with saturated ammonium chloride solution, brine followed by drying over anhy. sodium sulfate. The gummy residue obtained after evaporation of the solvent was purified bycolumn chromatography (eluent: $15 \%$ ethylacetate in light petroleum) to obtain the xanthate $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$ as a white solid ( $3.118 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$
$\mathbf{M p} .=112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1215,1062 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 7.50-7.58 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.16-7.48 (m, $18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H$ ), 6.27 (t, 1H, Ins H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 5.81 $\left(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCHO}_{2}\right), 4.73\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.63\left(\mathrm{ABq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, InsH, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.83(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 215.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 138.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 136.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.69$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.65\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 92.9\left(\mathrm{PHCO}_{2}\right), 81.8$ (Ins C), 79.1 (Ins C), 73.4 (Ins C), $71.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 70.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 68.1\left(\right.$ Ins C), $19.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 68.77; H, 5.77; Found: C, 69.04; H, 5.63 \%.

Racemic-1-O-benzoyl-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-3,5-dideoxy-myo-inositol (2.183): To a solution of the xanthate $2.182(3.11 \mathrm{~g}, 4.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 40 mL ), tri-n-butyl tin hydride $(5.735 \mathrm{~g}, 19.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{AIBN}(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added and heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to obtain a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to obtain dideoxy inositol derivative 2.183 as a gum ( $2.35 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).

Data for 2.183.
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.01-8.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, 7.13-7.66 (m, 18H, Ar H), 5.14 (dd, 1H, Ins H, $J=9.5,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.45-4.76 (m, 6H, $3 \times$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.97-4.17 (m, 2H, Ins H), 3.71-3.95 (m, 1H, Ins H), 2.48-2.64 (m, 1H, CH2), 2.27$2.46\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.48-1.71\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.0$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.49\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.46\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 130.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.71$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.36\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.32\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.21\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.19\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.59\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$,
$127.56\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 77.4$ (Ins C), 74.3 (Ins C), 74.0 (Ins C), 72.1 $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 71.9\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 71.4($ Ins C $), 70.6\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 36.0(\mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{CH} 2), 34.3\left(\mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$. Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 78.14 ; H, 6.56; Found: C, 78.28 ; H, $6.54 \%$

Racemic-1,5-dideoxy-2,4,6-tri-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (2.175): A mixture of the di-
 $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford dideoxy inositol $\mathbf{2 . 1 7 5}$ as a white solid ( $1.736 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ). Data for 2.175.

Mp. $=54-57{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=3276-3616 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.25-$ 7.42 (m, 15H, Ar H), 4.35-4.75 (m, 6H, $3 \times \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ ), 3.88-4.02 (m, 1H, Ins H), 3.50-3.84 (m, 3H, Ins H), 2.44-2.69 (m, 3H, $2 \times \mathrm{OH}, 1 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 2.26-2.43 (m, 1 H , Ins $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.29$1.51\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 138.42\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.39$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.22\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.55\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.47\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.43\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 76.7$ (Ins C), 76.1 (Ins C), $75.3\left(\right.$ Ins C), $71.8\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 71.4\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 70.4\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 35.2$ (Ins $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $33.7\left(\right.$ Ins $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 77.48 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.23$; Found: C, 77.44; H, 7.23 \%.

Racemic-1, 5-dideoxy-myo-inositol (2.184): The tribenzyl ether 2.175 ( $0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenolyzed in ethanol $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}$ at 50 psi for 4 h on a Parr shaker. The catalyst was filtered using a short bed of Celite and the catalyst was washed with ethanol $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined ethanol solution was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain off white solid which was dissolved in hot ethanol and allowed to cool to rt. Cooling the solution to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the crystalline tetrol $2.184(0.238 \mathrm{~g}$, 84\%).

Data for $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 4}$
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Mp. $=154-157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystals from ethanol); IR (nujol) $v=3090-3520 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.89-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 3.67-3.84 (m, 1H, Ins H), $3.41(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 9.6, 3.3 Hz, Ins H), 1.96-2.32 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.24-1.60 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left[\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})+\mathrm{MeOH}(0.02 \mathrm{~mL}), 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right]: \delta 75.9(\mathrm{CHOH}), 69.5(\mathrm{CHOH}), 68.2$ $(\mathrm{CHOH}), 64.6(\mathrm{CHOH}), 41.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 39.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 48.64; H, 8.16; Found: C, 48.78; H, $7.94 \%$.

2,4,6-tri-O-Benzyl-5-O-(methyl-thio) thiocarbonyl-myo-inositol (2.194): A mixture of the xanthate $2.182(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, TFA $(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF-water mixture $(10 \mathrm{~mL}+0.5$ mL ) was stirred at rt for 24 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was co-evaporated with dry toluene $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ to afford a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography [eluent $=$ ethyl acetate and light petroleum mixture $(1: 3)]$ to afford the xanthate diol 2.194 as a colorless gummy compound ( $1.04 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Data for 2.194
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=3323-3580,1211,1059 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.27-7.46$ (m, 15H, Ar H), $6.17(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.82\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 4.68(\mathrm{ABq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=11$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), $4.01(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H, $J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.94(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} \mathrm{H}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.58-3.74$ (m, 2H, Ins H), $2.61\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.41(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{OH}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 215.8(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S}), 138.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 137.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.2$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 83.7$ (Ins C), 80.0 (Ins C), 78.6 (Ins C), $75.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $75.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.0\left(\right.$ Ins C), $19.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 64.42; H, 5.96; Found: C, 64.58; H, 5.62 \%.

## 2,4,6-tri-O-Benzyl-5-deoxy-myo-inositol or 1,3,5-tri-O-Benzyl-2-deoxy-neo-inositol

 (2.195): A mixture of the xanthate diol $2.194(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri- $n$-butyltin hydride $(2.153 \mathrm{~g}, 7.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{AIBN}(0.04 \mathrm{~g})$ and dry toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for1 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was purified by column chromatography [eluent: ethyl acetate and light petroleum mixture, (1:3)] to afford $2.195(0.747 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a colorless gummy compound.

Data for 2.195
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=3200-3650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.26-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}$ H), $4.84\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 4.62\left(\mathrm{ABq}, 4 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{PhCH}_{2}, J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.4$ Hz , Ins H), 3.55-3.78 (m, 4H, Ins H), 2.30-2.69 (m, 3H, $1 \times$ Ins H, $2 \times \mathrm{OH}$ ), 1.19-1.40 (m, 1H, Ins H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 138.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 138.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 79.3$ (Ins C), 76.6 (Ins C), 75.1 $\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 74.7$ (Ins C), $71.7\left(\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}\right), 31.1\left(\right.$ Ins $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 74.63; H, 6.96; Found: C, 74.60; H, 6.62 \%.

2-deoxy-neo-inositol or neo-Quercitol (2.157): The tribenzyl ether 2.195 ( $0.732 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.03 \mathrm{~g})$ in ethanol $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 50 psi for 6 h . The catalyst was allowed to settle and the supernatant liquid was removed using a pipette. The catalyst was repeatedly washed with warm $\left(50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ aqueous ethanol $(1: 1,3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL})$. Combined washings were filtered over a short column of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure to obtain a white solid which was crystallized from hot methanol to afford neo-quercitol 2.157 colorless crystals ( 0.245 g , 88 \%). Mp. $=235-238{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{57 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{Mp} .=237-241^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
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| 46 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$ | 168 |
| 47 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$ | 169 |
| 48 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 3 4}$ | 170 |
| 49 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2.35 | 170 |
| 50 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 3 5}$ | 171 |
| 51 | IR spectrum of 2.35 | 172 |
| 52 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 2.42 | 172 |
| 53 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ | 173 |
| 54 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of reaction mixture of $\mathbf{2 . 4 2}$ (entry 2, table 2.3) | 174 |
| 55 | ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of reaction mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 6}$ (entry 6, table 2.2) | 174 |
| 56 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 8 0}$ | 175 |
| 57 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 8 0}$ | 176 |
| 58 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 3}$ | 177 |
| 59 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 3}$ | 178 |
| 60 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ | 179 |
| 61 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ | 180 |
| 62 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$ | 181 |
| 63 | ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$ | 181 |
| 64 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$ | 182 |
| 65 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$ | 183 |
| 66 | ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 6}$ | 183 |
| 67 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 6}$ | 184 |
| 68 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 6}$ | 185 |
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| 69 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ | 185 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 70 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ | 186 |
| 71 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 6}$ | 187 |
| 72 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ | 187 |
| 73 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ | 188 |
| 74 | IR spectrum of 2.137 | 189 |
| 75 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ | 189 |
| 76 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ | 190 |
| 77 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ | 191 |
| 78 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 6}$ | 192 |
| 79 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 6}$ | 193 |
| 80 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 7}$ | 194 |
| 81 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 7}$ | 195 |
| 82 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of mixture of azides $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ | 196 |
| 83 | DEPT spectrum of mixture of azides 2.148 and 2.137 | 197 |
| 84 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of acetamides $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 0}$ | 197 |
| 85 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of hexaacetates $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 1}$ | 198 |
| 86 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$ | 198 |
| 87 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$ | 199 |
| 88 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$ | 200 |
| 89 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 3}$ | 200 |
| 90 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 3}$ | 201 |
| 91 | IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 3}$ | 202 |
| 92 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 7 5}$ | 202 |
| 93 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 7 5}$ | 203 |
| 94 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 7 5}$ | 204 |
| 95 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 4}$ | 205 |
| 96 | DEPT and IR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 4}$ | 206 |
| 97 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 4}$ | 207 |
| 98 | ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 4}$ | 208 |
| 99 | IR spectrum of 2.194 | 209 |
| 100 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 5}$ | 209 |
| 101 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR- $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exchange and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{2 . 1 9 5}$ | 210 |
| 102 | DEPT and IR spectra of 2.195 | 211 |
| 103 | Crystal data table of Form-I and Form-II of 1.17 | 212 |
| 104 | TGA / DTA of Form I crystals of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$ | 213 |



ORTEP diagram of 2.7
Crystal data table of 2.7

| Identification code | $2.7\left(\right.$ crystals from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-light petroleum) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ |
| Formula weight | 392.35 |
| Temperature | $297(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=8.029(4) \AA \quad \alpha=93.700(8)^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{b}=8.781(4) \AA \quad \beta=104.715(8)^{\circ}$ <br> $\mathrm{c}=14.465(7) \AA \quad \gamma=104.563(8)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $945.6(8) \AA^{3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{2 . 8}$
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 8}$

| Identification code | 2.8 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 308.32 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P 21/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{a}=20.130(5) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=12.225(3) \AA & \beta=91.303(4)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=12.654(3) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 3113.1(13) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $8,1.316 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.101 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1312 |
| Crystal size | $0.26 \times 0.12 \times 0.10 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.95 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-23<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-15<=\mathrm{l}<=14$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | 21890 / 5476 [R(int) $=0.0297]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9900 and 0.9743 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5476 / 0 / 403 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.116 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0633, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1508$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0751, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1581$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.236 and -0.234 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of 2.29
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 2 9}$

| Identification code | 2.29 (crystals from EtOAc-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 536.60 |
| Temperature | 133(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P 21/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{a}=12.0073(9) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=18.6909(14) \AA & \beta=90.8990(10)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=12.1567(9) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 2728.0(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.307 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.089 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1136 |
| Crystal size | $0.56 \times 0.18 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.00 to $26.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-14<=\mathrm{h}<=14,-23<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-14<=\mathrm{l}<=14$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $14661 / 5336$ [R(int) $=0.0248$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.5 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9868 and 0.9519 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5336 / 0 / 361 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.048 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ ( I )] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0397, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0994$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0466, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1037$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.552 and -0.409 e. ${ }^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 3 0}$

| Identification code | 2.30 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 538.61 |
| Temperature | $133(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P21/n |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=19.0061(12) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=7.3448(5) \AA \quad \beta=92.8170(10)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}=20.7414(13) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |  |
| Volume | $2891.9(3) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.237 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.084 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1144 |
| Crystal size | $0.55 \times 0.15 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.42 to $26.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-20<=\mathrm{h}<=23,-8<=\mathrm{k}<=8,-25<=1<=24$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $15158 / 5617[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0192]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | $99.2 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9883 and 0.9553 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $5617 / 114 / 508$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.041 |
| Final R indices [I $>\sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0475, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1090$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0545, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1136$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left(\rho_{\text {max }} \& \rho_{\text {min }}\right)$ | 0.280 and $-0.209 \mathrm{e} . \mathrm{A}^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of 2.31 (Hydrogen atoms are not shown for clarity)
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 3 1}$

| Identification code | 2.31 crystals from EtOAc-light petroleum |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| Formula weight | 580.65 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 § |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, Pc |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \mathrm{a}=9.624(5) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=18.190(9) \AA & \beta=99.041(12)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=9.159(5) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Volume | $1583.5(14) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,1.218 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.084 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 616 |
| Crystal size | $0.43 \times 0.10 \times 0.07 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.24 to $24.99^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-21<=\mathrm{k}<=20,-10<=1<=10$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $11341 / 5429$ [R(int) $=0.0508$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9942 and 0.9648 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5429 / 2 / 389 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 0.985 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ ( I )] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0589, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0883$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1384, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1123$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.157 and -0.152 e. $\mathrm{A}^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 3}$
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 3}$

| Identification code | 2.123 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 536.60 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 Å |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, C2/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{a}=25.703(2) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=9.8125(9) \AA & \beta=107.934(2)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=23.494(2) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 5637.5(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $8,1.264 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.086 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 2272 |
| Crystal size | $1.06 \times 0.18 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.06 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-30<=\mathrm{h}<=29,-11<=\mathrm{k}<=11,-27<=\mathrm{l}<=27$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | 19882 / 4966 [R(int) $=0.0269$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 100.0 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9881 and 0.9144 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 4966 / 0 / 361 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.024 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ ( I )] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0463, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1163$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0636, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1282$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.233 and -0.220 e. $\mathrm{A}^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram and packing of molecules with the inclusion of DCM in $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 4}$

| Identification code | 2.124 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| Formula weight | 623.54 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{a}=9.6485(11) \AA & \alpha=91.389(2)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=11.7692(13) \AA & \beta=90.479(2)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=14.8045(16) \AA & \gamma=107.542(2)^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 1602.3(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | 2, $1.292 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.247 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 656 |
| Crystal size | $0.84 \times 0.19 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.25 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=11,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-17<=\mathrm{l}<=17$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $15627 / 5626$ [R(int) $=0.0223$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9663 and 0.8196 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5626 / 0 / 389 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.049 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ ( I ] $]$ | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0457, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1024$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0599, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1111$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.261 and -0.197e. $\AA^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of 2.125
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 5}$

| Identification code | 2.125 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| Formula weight | 580.65 |
| Temperature | $297(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P2(1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=12.797(6) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=21.398(10) \AA \quad \beta=102.246(11)^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{c}=11.467(5) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$ |  |
| Volume | $3069(3) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.257 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.087 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 1232 |
| Crystal size | $0.76 \times 0.06 \times 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.37 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-15<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-25<=\mathrm{k}<=25,-13<=\mathrm{l}==13$ |
| Reflections collected $/$ unique | $29092 / 5400[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.1492]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | $99.9 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9987 and 0.9371 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $5400 / 0 / 390$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.022 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0785, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1336$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1726, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1671$ |
| Extinction coefficient | $0.0078(9)$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left(\rho_{\text {max }} \& \rho_{\text {min }}\right)$ | 0.174 and $-0.170 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |

## Chapter-2



ORTEP diagram of neo-inositol-hexabenzoate (2.126)
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 2 6}$

| Identification code | 2.126 (crystals from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{12}$ |
| Formula weight | 804.77 |
| Temperature | 133(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P 21/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{\|ll\|} \hline \mathrm{a}=12.897(3) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=6.5969(15) \AA & \beta=118.285(8)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=27.217(5) \AA & \gamma=90^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 2039.1(8) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,1.311 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.095 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 840 |
| Crystal size | $0.28 \times 0.12 \times 0.09 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.70 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-14<=\mathrm{h}<=15,-7<=\mathrm{k}<=7,-32<=\mathrm{l}<=32$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $9311 / 3570$ [R(int) $=0.0502$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.7 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9915 and 0.9740 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3570 / 0 / 343 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.251 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ ( I )] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0813, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1749$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1004, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1837$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.470 and -0.269 e..$^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram of xanthate $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$
Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 8 2}$

| Identification code | 2.182 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ |
| Formula weight | 628.77 |
| Temperature | $133(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $0.71073 \AA$ |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\mathrm{a}=10.1862(9) \AA \quad \mathrm{b}=13.0607(11) \AA \quad \beta=67.4530(10)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=13.5161(11) \AA \quad \gamma=70.6430(10)^{\circ}$ |
| Volume | $1565.7(2) \AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,1.334 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.217 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 664 |
| Crystal size | $0.66 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.93 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-15<=\mathrm{k}<=15,-16<=\mathrm{l}==16$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $13590 / 5487[\mathrm{R}($ int $)=0.0298]$ |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | $99.6 \%$ |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9871 and 0.8703 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | $5487 / 0 / 416$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.092 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0526$, wR2 $=0.1090$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0653$, wR2 $=0.1147$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole $\left(\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\min }\right)$ | 0.370 and $-0.212 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |



ORTEP diagram and packing of molecules with the inclusion of DCM in azide $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$ Crystal data table of $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$

| Identification code | 2.137 (crystals from DCM-light petroleum) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Formula weight | 648.56 |
| Temperature | 133(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, P-1 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{array}{ll} \hline \mathrm{a}=10.512(4) \AA & \alpha=108.742(5)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{b}=11.823(4) \AA & \beta=90.330(5)^{\circ} \\ \mathrm{c}=14.923(5) \AA & \gamma=114.203(5)^{\circ} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Volume | 1581.6(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,1.362 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.253 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 680 |
| Crystal size | $0.71 \times 0.52 \times 0.14 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.46 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-14<=\mathrm{k}<=14,-17<=\mathrm{l}<=17$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $22142 / 5543$ [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int}$ ) $=0.0535$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.4 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9654 and 0.8407 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 5543 / 0/433 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.042 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma$ (I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0800, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2191$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0876, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.2312$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.832 and -0.758 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

GC-MS analysis of the reaction mixture of 2.38 (Scheme 2.11):
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the reaction mixture of 2.42, entry 2, table 2.3, chapter 2

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ of the reaction mixture of 1.106 , entry 6 , table 2.2 , chapter 2
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Figure 1. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of azides 2.148 and $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 7}$


Figure 2. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of azides 2.148 and 2.137
(

Figure 3. DEPT spectrum of mixture of azides 2.148 and 2.137


Figure 4. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of acetamides $\mathbf{2 . 1 4 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 0}$


Figure 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of mixture of hexaacetates $\mathbf{2 . 1 3 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 . 1 5 1}$



## Chapter 2



## Chapter 2




## Chapter 2






## Chapter 2


$\boldsymbol{}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR
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Crystal data table of Form-I and Form-II of $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$

|  | Form I of 1.17 | Form II of 1.17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ |
| $M_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 266.24 | 266.24 |
| temperature/K | 293(2) | 293(2) |
| morphology | Long plate | needle |
| crystal Size | $0.51 \times 0.28 \times 0.21$ | $0.92 \times 0.23 \times 0.11$ |
| crystal system | Monoclinic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| a ( $\AA$ ) | 6.2323 (9) | 17.080 (4) |
| b ( $\AA$ ) | 33.014 (5) | 6.3196 (14) |
| c ( $\AA$ ) | 6.4077 (9) | 11.361 (3) |
| $\alpha\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ | 115.897 (2) | 108.087 (4) |
| $\gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 90 | 90 |
| volume ( $\AA^{3}$ ) | 1186.0 (3) | 1165.7 (4) |
| Z | 4 | 4 |
| $\mathrm{D}_{\text {calc }}\left(\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right)$ | 1.491 | 1.517 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.12 | 0.12 |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 25.5 | 25.0 |
| h, k, l (min, max) | (-7,7), (-38,39), (-6,7) | (-20,18), (-7,7), (-13,11) |
| reflns collected | 8778 | 5607 |
| unique reflns | 2203 | 2055 |
| observed reflns | 2078 | 1776 |
| no. of parameters | 228 | 228 |
| GOF | 1.15 | 1.05 |
| R1 (all) | 0.046 | 0.040 |
| R1 (all) | 0.044 | 0.034 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ (all) | 0.105 | 0.096 |



TGA / DTA of Form I crystals of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$


TGA / DTA of Form II crystals of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 1 7}$

## Chapter 3

Transesterification reaction of myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoester derivatives in the solid state: effect of molecular packing in crystals on acyl transfer reactivity.

## Chapter-3

### 3.1. Introduction.

Migration of acyl groups among the hydroxyl groups in polyhydroxy organic compounds, especially carbohydrates and their derivatives have interested organic chemists for several decades. ${ }^{1}$ Transesterification reactions among the hydroxyl groups of partially acylated inositol derivatives in solution occur frequently ${ }^{2}$ and this has been exploited for the preparation of several biologically relevant phosphorylated inositol derivatives. ${ }^{3}$ Most of these acyl migration reactions however result in the formation of a mixture of isomeric hydroxy esters and consequently result in poor isolated yield of the required $O$-protected inositol derivative. Also, isolation of each individual isomer resulting from indiscriminate acyl migration reactions requires efficient and laborious methods of separation. First examples of facile acyl transfer reactions of inositol derivatives (1.234 and its co-crystal with 3.2) in the crystalline state (Scheme 3.1) have earlier been reported from our laboratory. ${ }^{4}$


Scheme 3.1: (a) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, heat.
During the course of the work presented in the previous chapter, we realized that crystals of the dibenzoyl orthobenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ had structure similar to that of the analogous orthoformate 1.234 (as well as to the co-crystals of $1.234 \cdot 3.2$. (see pages 222, 232 / Figure 3.2 A and 3.7 B ). Hence we wondered whether this could lead to an efficient
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transesterification reaction in crystals of di-benzoyl orthobenzoate 2.28 as we had observed in crystals and co-crystals of 1.234. As expected, the crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ did exhibit facile acyl transfer reactivity in their crystals. Investigation of this acyl transfer reaction in the crystalline state and comparison of similar reactions of a few analogous myoinositol orthoester derivatives (in the crystalline state as well as in solution) forms the subject of this chapter. The results obtained indicate that the transesterification reaction of myo-inositol orthoester derivatives 2.28, the mono-benzoate 3.48 (Scheme 3.5) and the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ are facilitated in the crystalline state as compared to the same reaction in solution. The crystal structure - reactivity correlations presented here support our earlier proposition ${ }^{4 b}$ that helical molecular pre-organization with favorable weak intermolecular interactions in the crystal lattice aid these transesterification reactions. Before proceeding to present the details of this work, a brief introduction to reaction of organic compounds in the solid state is given below.

There is an upsurge in interest in the study of solvent free organic reactions, ${ }^{5}$ including the use of ionic liquids ${ }^{6}$ and reactions in aqueous media ${ }^{7}$ due to environment related reasons. Among these methods, reactions in molecular solids are of interest from synthetic as well as mechanistic points of view. ${ }^{5,8}$ Often reactions in crystals proceed with high facility, regio- and stereo-selectivity due to topochemical control in molecular crystals, as compared to their solution state reactions. ${ }^{4 a, 8}$ Since determination of conformation, relative orientation and non-covalent interactions of reacting molecules with their neighbors in crystals is possible by X-ray diffraction analysis, the crystal structure analysis of reactants could provide information on the mechanism and course of organic reactions in the solid state. The reaction mechanisms can also be established by analyzing molecular dynamics in the solid state reactions since the dynamic behavior can be studied by spectral data and X-ray analysis. ${ }^{9}$

Only a few types of reactions occurring in crystals such as addition reactions to carbon-carbon multiple bonds, ${ }^{10}$ photolytic carbon-carbon bond cleavage in carbonyl
compounds, ${ }^{11}$ certain radical forming reactions ${ }^{12}$ have been studied in detail and these have provided great insight into the mechanisms of these reactions. Illustrative examples of organic reactions in the solid state are given in Scheme 3.2. ${ }^{13}$

3.6
3.5

3.7
$\xrightarrow{d}$


3.13

3.15



Scheme 3.2: (a) $h \nu, \alpha$ - crystals; (b) $h \nu, \beta$ - crystals; (c) $h \nu, \gamma$ - crystals; (d) $h \nu$, solution; (e) $h \nu$; (f) RHT (Reverse Hydrogen Transfer); (g) h $v$, solid; (h) removal of template; (i) $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (j) $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

It is pertinent to mention that since the facility of covalent bond formation in the solid state is dependent on the relative orientation of the molecules involved in a
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chemical reaction, the observed facility of the reaction could vary from one solid form (phase) to another solid form (phase) consisting of the same molecules. Also polymorphic modifications of crystal forms can have profoundly different reactivity (as illustrated by the solid state reactivity of cinnamic acid 3.5). Hence tracking thermal changes in molecular solids becomes more or less mandatory during the study of chemical reactions in the solid state.

Other types of reactions occurring in the solid state that have been studied systematically are (Scheme 3.3) rearrangement of methyl pdimethylaminobenzenesulfonate (3.20) to the zwitterionic product, $p$ trimethylammoniumbenzenesulfonate (3.21, Scheme 3.3); ${ }^{14}$ Chapman-like thermal rearrangements (1,3-O to $N$ methyl transfer) in 5-methoxy-2-phenyl-1,3,4-oxadiazole (3.22) to give the corresponding $N$-methyl amide $3.23,{ }^{15} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow N$ - methyl group transfer reaction in binaphthol derivative $3.24 ;{ }^{16}$ non-topochemical methyl transfer reaction in 3.26; ${ }^{17}$ photochemical rearrangement of the enone $3.28{ }^{18}$ involving transfer of a phenyl group; $O \rightarrow N$ - acyl migration in salicyl amide, 3.31; ${ }^{19}$ transketalization reaction in an inositol derivative 3.33; ${ }^{20}$ and thermal transformation of ammonium cyanate to urea. ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 3.3: (a) Crystals, $81-8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20-120 \mathrm{~min}, 49-90 \%$; (b) Crystals, rt, $17 \mathrm{~d}, 79 \%$; (c) Melt, $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 500 \mathrm{~min}, 53 \%$; (d) $120-140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (e) $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 15 min .; (f) $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7$ days; (g) $\mathrm{h} v$, solid, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (h) solid, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (i) solid, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~min}, 92-95 \%$; (j) crystals, heat.

Although, the topochemical criteria for double bond juxtaposition has been well recognized for the $[2+2]$ dimerization and polymerization reactions in the crystalline state ${ }^{10}$ such detailed analysis for other types of reactions has not been carried out. However, there are reports on solid-state organic reactions ${ }^{5}$ which have potential for applications in synthesis, but most of these have not been investigated systematically. ${ }^{22}$ Illustrative examples of these reactions are given in Scheme 3.4. It is even possible that some of these reactions may be occurring in the molten state which could result due to mixing of several reactants and reagents.



Scheme 3.4: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 100 \%$; (b) solid, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$; (c) solid, $\mathrm{h} v, 30 \%$ ee, $65 \%$; (d) $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 34$ days.

### 3.2. Results and Discussion.

### 3.2.1. Benzoyl Transfer Reaction in crystals.

Crystals of racemic dibenzoyl-orthobenzoate (2.28), when heated with solid sodium carbonate underwent transesterification with facility to give the tri-benzoylorthobenzoate (3.47) and the 2- benzoyl-orthobenzoate (3.48) in almost quantitative yield (Scheme 3.5 and Table 3.1).


Scheme 3.5: (a) See Table 1 for reaction conditions.

Table 3.1: Summary of results of transesterification of the dibenzoates 2.28 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ under different conditions.

| Entry | Reactant | Solvent / base / Temp ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) / time (h) | Yield (\%) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.28 | None / $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 140 / 62$ | 3.47 (49) | 3.48 (48) |
| 2 | 2.28 | None / $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 120 / 62$ | 3.47 (33) | 3.48 (32) |
| $3^{\text {b }}$ | 1.234 | None / $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 140 / 60$ | 3.1 (47) | 1.232 (49) |
| $4^{\text {b }}$ | 1.234 | None / $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 120 / 60$ | 3.1 (31) | 1.232 (30) |
| 5 | 2.28 | DMF / DIPEA ${ }^{\text {c }} 130 / 72$ | 3.47 (16) | 3.48 (14) |
| 6 | 2.28 | DMF / DIPEA / rt ${ }^{\text {d } / 72}$ | 3.47 (0) | 3.48 (0) |
| 7 | 1.234 | DMF / DIPEA / 130 / 60 | 3.1 (29) | 1.232 (27) |
| 8 | 1.234 | DMF / DIPEA / rt ${ }^{\text {d }} / 120$ | 3.1 (10) | 1.232 (8) |
| 9 | 2.28) | None ${ }^{\mathrm{e}} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 195 / 12$ | f | $\ldots$ |
| 10 | 2.28 | None ${ }^{\text {e } / ~} \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} / 180 / 36$ | . | ..... |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ This reaction being a disproportionation reaction, the maximum yields possible for 3.47, 3.48, 3.1, 1.232 is $50 \%$ each. ${ }^{\mathbf{b}}$ From reference 4a; ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Diisopropylethylamine; ${ }^{\mathbf{d}}$ Ambient
temperature; ${ }^{\mathbf{e}}$ Reaction in melt; ${ }^{\mathbf{f}}$ Mixture of several products was obtained; see experimental section for details.

At lower temperatures, the reaction proceeded smoothly but the conversion was slower, as expected. The DSC curve of di-benzoyl-orthobenzoate (2.28) consisted of only a single endotherm (melting, Figure 3.1) and did not show any phase changes in the temperature range over which the reactions in the crystalline state were carried out.


Figure 3.1. DSC profile of crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$.

Interestingly, base catalyzed transesterification reaction of the same dibenzoate 2.28 in solution (at comparable temperature) was less facile and did not react at all, at ambient temperature $\left(25-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. Reaction of dibezoate 2.28 in the molten state afforded a mixture of several products and was not as clean as the reaction in crystalline and solution states. We also carried out the transesterification reaction of the dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ under comparable conditions (Scheme 3.5 and Table 3.1).

A comparison of the results of transesterification (Table 3.1) shows that although transesterification of the dibenzoates $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}{ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ are equally facile in their crystals, they are not so in the solution state; reactivity of the orthobenzoate 2.28 in solution is less
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than that of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$. These results strongly suggest the significant role of molecular packing in crystals on the benzoyl transfer reactivity of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ and the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$.

### 3.2.2. Correlation of Molecular Pre-Organization and intermolecular interactions with Acyl transfer reactivities.

Crystal structure analysis of the dibenzoates 2.28, 1.234 and $1: 1$ molecular complex $1.234 \cdot 3.2^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ was carried out with the aim of correlating their solid-state reactivities with crystal structures. Earlier work ${ }^{4}$ in our laboratory, pertaining to the solid-state transesterification reaction of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ and its co-crystals with dibenzoyl orthoacetate (1.234•3.2), had shown that the facility of intermolecular benzoyl group transfer in crystals was dependent on the relative orientation of the reactive C4(6)hydroxyl group (nucleophile-Nu) and the C6(4)-acyl carbonyl group (electrophile-El) in terms of distance ( d ) and the angle ( x ) between them (Figure 3.2).


A


B

Figure 3.2: Geometrical parameters that determine the facility of intermoleucular acyl group transfer in crystals: (A) helical molecular packing in crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ and (B) relative orientation of the reacting molecules.
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The corresponding distance (d) and the angle (x) conducive for the intermolecular benzoyl transfer reaction being about $3.2 \AA$ and $80-120^{\circ}{ }^{4 \mathrm{a}} \mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ interactions had earlier been observed in crystals of simple organic compounds ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a},}{ }^{23}$ as well as in macromolecules ${ }^{24}$ although there was no observable chemical reaction. Hence crystal structure of the orthobenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ was analyzed for these parameters to explain the facility of benzoyl transfer reaction in its crystals.

3.49

3.50

Nu

3.53

Nucleophile at infinity: planar $s p^{2}$ carbon

3.54

Approach of nucleophile: deviation from planar $s p^{2}$ carbon

3.51

3.52

Figure 3.3: Molecular systems that were used to arrive at the geometry of attack of a nucleophile on a carbonyl group by structure correlation. ${ }^{23}$

Crystals of the dibenzoate 2.28 belong to the monoclinic space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$. Molecules in crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ are arranged helically around a crystallographic two-fold screw axis via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding, very similar to the arrangement of molecules in crystals of the corresponding orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$. $^{4 b}$ The OH group at the C-4 position in the orthobenzoate 2.28 donates its H atom to the carbonyl oxygen O 7 of the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}$-acyl group forming a helical assembly along the b -axis. It is interesting to note that this helical architecture is retained (Figure 3.4A and Table 3.2) despite the large difference on substituting the orthoformate ' H ' (in the orthoformate, 1.234) ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ with a phenyl group (in the orthobenzoate 2.28). This 'reactive' helical pre-organization shows two striking geometrical similarities - first the electrophile (El) $\cdots$ nucleophile (Nu) (Figure 3.4B and

Table 3.2) and secondly the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi^{25}$ interaction that the leaving benzoyl group makes with the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group of the reacting partner molecule along the helix (Figure 3.4B and Table 3.2).


Figure 3.4. (A) Helical self-assembly of molecules in crystals of 2.28 via $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonding; (B) relative orientation of the reacting molecules in crystals of 2.28 (the 2-O-benzoyl group is not shown for clarity) and (C) packing of helices in crystals of 2.28; (D) ORTEP of 2.28.

Table 3.2. Geometrical parameters for intermolecular hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions (see Figure 3.4) in crystals of 2.28. The corresponding geometrical parameters in crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}{ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ is given for comparison.

| Compound | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H}^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{A}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)^{[\mathrm{ad]}}$ | $1.97(2)$ | $2.795(2)$ | $168(2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(2)^{\mathrm{[b]}}$ | 2.83 | 3.805 | 166 |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 1.94 | $2.871(7)$ | 158 |
|  | $\mathrm{O}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(4^{\prime} \mathrm{A}\right) \cdots \mathrm{O}\left(7^{\prime}\right)^{[\mathrm{dd]}}$ | 1.91 | $2.853(7)$ | 166 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}$ | 2.52 | 3.520 | 162.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime}\right) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}$, | 2.62 | 3.582 | 155.4 |

$\mathrm{Cg}=$ Ring Centre-of-Gravity (centroid), $\mathrm{Cg} 1=\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{Cg} 2=\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 21$
Symmetry code: [a]-x, -1/2+y, 1/2-z; ; [b]-x, $1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z . . ; ~[c] ~ 1-x,-0.5+y, 0.5-z ;$ [d] $2-\mathrm{x}, 0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$.

Also, the O6-C15 bond length (see Figure 3.4D) of the C6-axial benzoate group is longer $(1.347(2) \AA$ ) compared to the chemically equivalent $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 8$ bond of the C 2 -equatorial benzoate group (1.334 (2) $\AA$ ), a feature noted in the reactive crystals of the orthoformate 1.234. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ In fact, the El $\cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ geometrical parameters are better in crystals of orthobenzoate 2.28 (Table 3.3) than those observed in crystals of orthoformate 1.234. ${ }^{4}$

Table 3.3. Geometry of the reacting groups ( $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$, see Figure 3.4B) in crystals of 2.28. The corresponding geometrical parameters in crystals of orthoformate $1.234^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ is given for comparison.

| Distance ( $\AA$ ) / Angle ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 2.28 | 1.234 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \left.\mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 8) \cdots{ }^{\prime}{ }^{( }\right) \\ & \left(\mathrm{C} 15^{\prime} \cdots{ }^{\prime} 4^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $3.144(2)^{[a]}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.226^{[b]} \\ (3.249)^{[\mathrm{cc]}} \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \angle \mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{O} 8 \\ & \left(\angle \mathrm{O} 4^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{C} 15^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 8^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 85.6(1) | $\begin{aligned} & 88.1,{ }^{[b]} \\ & (89.9)^{[c]} \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15 \\ & \left(\angle \mathrm{C} 4{ }^{\prime}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{C} 15^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 111.1(1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 117.6, } \\ (113.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \angle \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15 \\ & \left(\angle \mathrm{H} 4, \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 4{ }^{\prime} \cdots \mathrm{C}^{\prime} 5^{\prime}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 113(1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 113.1, } \\ \text { (110.0) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

Symmetry code: [a] -x, $-0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$; [b] 1-x, $-0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$; [c] 2-x, $0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$.
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It is also important to note the packing of individual helices in crystals of orthobenzoate 2.28 (Figure 3.4C). In crystals of 2.28, the helices linked to each other by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group of the inositol ring with carbonyl oxygen of the C6-O-benzoyl group. Additionally, the aromatic C-H group of C6-O-benzoyl group also makes $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact with the C2-O-oxygen. (See Appendix, page 270). This pattern of weak interactions keeps the reactive C6-O-benzoyl group in proper orientation with respect to the C4-hydroxyl group during the benzoyl transfer reaction.

The helices thus assembled (Figure 3.4C) are thought to provide 'reaction tunnels' throughout the crystal with the intermolecular benzoyl transfer going in a 'domino' fashion within each helix. ${ }^{4 b}$ A plausible mechanism of the benzoyl transfer reaction in each helix in a domino fashion is shown in Scheme 3.6.


Scheme 3.6: A plausible mechanism for the benzoyl group transfer in crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$

It appears the nucleophilic attack by the hydroxyl group on the benzoate carbonyl carbon is initiated by the base (sodium carbonate) at the surface of the crystal. Subsequently the reaction progresses by a series of alternate proton transfers and nucleophilic attacks.

### 3.2.3. Attempted preparation of co-crystals of unsymmetrical dibenzoates of myoinositol orthoesters leads to a reactive polymorph of racemic 2,4-dibenzoyl-myoinsitol 1,3,5-orthoacetate (3.2).

Earlier work in our laboratory ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ had revealed that the dibenzoates $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ together form 1:1 co-crystals, in which intermolecular migration of the benzoyl group took place efficiently. ${ }^{4 b}$ The solid state reactivity of the molecular complex 1.234•3.2 in the presence of solid sodium carbonate afforded the expected tribenzoates $\mathbf{3 . 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 . 3}$ as well as the diols 1.232 and 3.4 as anticipated from its crystal structure (Scheme 3.1, page 214). The arrangement of molecules in these co-crystals was almost identical to that found in the crystals of the orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ and the orthobenzoate 2.28 (Figure 3.4; page 224). In these co-crystals, each helical assembly resulted due to the aggregation of orthoacetate $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ and orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ molecules alternately as shown in the Figure 3.5. Geometrical parameters of El $\cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ interaction were conducive for the transesterification reaction (Table 3.4).


Figure 3.5. Packing of helices (A) and relative orientation (B) of the reacting molecules in co-crystals $1.234 \cdot 3.2$ (the 2-O-benzoyl group is not shown for clarity).
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Table 3.4: Geometrical parameters of the reacting molecules in co-crystals $1.234 \cdot 3.2$.

| SL. No. | Distance $(\AA) /$ Angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | formate.acetate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 13) \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ | $3.170^{[a]}, 3.155^{[b]}$ |
| 2 | $\angle \mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 13)-\mathrm{O} 8$ | $85.9^{[a]}, 88.4^{[b]}$ |
| 3 | $\angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 13)$ | $117.6^{[\mathrm{a}]}, 116.1^{[b]}$ |
| 4 | $\angle \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 13)$ | $107.9^{[\mathrm{ab]}}, 119.8^{[b]}$ |

Symmetry code: [a] x, y, z.; [b] 1.5-x, $-0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$
Furthermore, a comparison of the packing of molecules in crystals obtained from dibenzoates 1.234, 3.2 and $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ revealed that (a) crystals of 1.234, 2.28 and 3.2 consisted of helices; (b) each helix was formed by the aggregation of the molecules of a unique configuration [either $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{D}-2,4-)$ or $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{D}-2,6-)$ ]; (c) each helix is surrounded by the helices formed by the molecules of the opposite configuration (either D or L, Figure 3.4); (d) cocrystals $1.234 \cdot 3.2$ consisted of only two diastereomeric pairs [D, D; L, L; OR L, D; D, L] of molecules instead of the statistically expected four diastereomeric pairs [(a) D, D; (b) L, L; (c) L, D; (d) D, L]. Hence we attempted the co-crystallization of orthobenzoate 2.28 with orthoformate 1.234 , orthoacetate 3.2 as well as enantiomeric dibenzoates $\mathbf{D}$ 3.56 with 2.28 and 3.2. It was interesting to see whether crystallization of racorthoacetate 3.2 (or rac-orthobenzoate 2.28) with optically active dibenzoyl-orthoformate (either D 3.56 or ent 3.56 ) would result in co-crystals (B, Scheme 3.7) consisting of enantiomeric dibenzoyl-orthoformate and enantiomeric dibenzoyl orthoacetate (or orthobenzoate) leaving the other enantiomer of the orthoacetate (or the orthobenzoate) either in solution or as separate crystalline form (Scheme 3.7).


Scheme 3.7

The optically active dibenzoates D 3.56 and ent 3.56 were prepared from myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.15, Scheme 3.8). The ditosylate 3.58 was resolved as (-)camphanate esters 3.59 and dia 3.59. Enantiomeric mono-benzyl ethers D 3.63 and ent $3.63^{26}$ were prepared from the diastereomeric ditosylates D 3.60 and ent 3.60 to confirm the configuration of the enantiomeric dibenzoates D 3.56 and ent 3.56. Mono benzyl ethers D 3.63 and ent 3.63 are also suitable precursors for the synthesis of D-myo-Inositol-1,2,3,5,6-pentakisphosphate and D-myo-inositol-1,2,3,4,5-pentakisphosphates. ${ }^{26,}$
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Scheme 3.8: (a) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Py}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $1 S$-(-)camphanoyl chloride, Py, DMAP, $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 10 h ; (c) $i$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}-\mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 8 h ; (d) BnBr , DMF, $\mathrm{NaH}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$., r.; (e) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 12 h ; (f) TFA - $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, 24 h ; (g) BzCl, Py, rt, 20 h ; (h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (55 $\mathrm{psi}), \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}$.

The enantiomeric dibenzoates (D 3.56 and ent 3.56) were crystallized by slow diffusion of light petroleum $\left(40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ into a chloroform solution in a closed vessel. The crystal structures of both D-2,4- (ent 3.56) and D-2,6-(D 3.56)- dibenzoates were found to
be isostructural to each other, but not isostructural with the corresponding dibenzoate
1.234 .

A

C

Figure 3.6 (A) Packing of molecules of D-2,6-dibenzoate D 3.56 in its crystal; (B) packing of molecules in rac-dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ is shown for comparison; (C) relative orientation of neighboring molecules of D-2,6-dibenzoate D $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ showing El...Nu geometry.

There is a striking difference in the arrangement of molecules in crystals of the optically active dibenzoate D 3.56 or ent 3.56 and the corresponding rac-di-benzoylorthoformate 1.234. The molecules in the crystals of rac-1.234 are arranged in helical
fashion via hydrogen bonding between the $\mathrm{C} 4(6)-\mathrm{OH}$ of one molecule and the 'equatorial' benzoate carbonyl group of another molecule ( $\mathrm{O} 4 \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} 7$ ) where as in the case of optically active dibenzoates D 3.56 and ent 3.56 the helical assembly is formed via hydrogen bonding between the $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{OH}($ or $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{OH}$ ) of one molecule and the 'axial' C4-benzoate (or the C6-benzoate) carbonyl group of another molecule (O6-H6A $\cdots \mathrm{O} 8$ or $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 8$, see figure 3.6 and 3.7).

Table 3.5. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in crystals of enantiomeric dibenzoate D 3.56. See Table 3.2 for a comparison of corresponding parameters in crystals of the rac- dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$.

|  | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D 3.56 | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(8)^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $2.02(2)$ | $2.788(2)$ | $172(2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(1)$ | 3.265 | 4.086 | 139 |

$\mathrm{Cg}=$ Ring Centre-of-Gravity (centroid), $\mathrm{Cg} 1=\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{Cg} 2=\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 21$
Symmetry code: [a] x-1/2,-y-1/2,-z.
The difference in the packing of the molecules in the crystals of D-2,6-di-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.56) and the corresponding rac-dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ is evident from the ball and stick model (Figure 3.7).


Figure 3.7: Packing of molecules in crystals of (A) D 3.56; (B) rac-1.234.
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The solid-state transesterification reaction in crystals of the optically active dibenzoate D 3.56 in the presence of solid sodium carbonate at $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 36 h afforded a mixture of products. No attempt was made to separate these products. This was as expected from the crystal structure since the distance between electrophile and nucleophile ( $\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15$, Figure 3.6) was found to be too long ( $4.484 \AA$ ) unlike in the reactive crystals of the rac-orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}(3.144 \AA$ ) and the rac-orthobenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ ( $3.226 \AA$ ). In addition to the interactions between the molecules in individual helices (Figure 3.4 and Tables 3.2 and 3.3), the non-covalent interactions among the helices may also play a role in the formation and alignment of the helical assembly of the molecules. This fact could also be a reason why optically active dibenzoates D 3.56 and ent 3.56 could not form proper helical assembly conducive for benzoyl transfer reaction (similar to those present in rac-dibenzoates $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ and 3.28 in their crystals.

Attempts to obtain co-crystals of racemic orthoformate-orthobenzoate (1.2342.28) and racemic orthoacetate-orthobenzoate (3.2-2.28) from chloroform (Scheme 3.9) failed. In both cases, either precipitation or formation of amorphous solid was observed. Crystallization from an equimolar solution (in chloroform) containing any one of the enantiomeric of dibenzoate (either D 3.56 or ent 3.56 ) and rac-dibenzoate 3.2 yielded needle shaped crystals. Similar results were obtained on reducing the relative amount of D 3.56 (or ent 3.56) in solution. The minimum amount of the optically active active dibenzoate required to obtain the needle shaped crystals of rac-orthoacetate 3.2 was about $10 \%$. Analysis of these needle shaped crystals by single crystal X-ray diffraction established them to be a hitherto unknown polymorph of the rac-dibenzoate 3.2. The needle shaped crystals of 3.2 obtained in these experiments is designated as 'Form-II' crystals in the subsequent pages of this thesis. The crystals of 3.2 reported earlier ${ }^{4 \mathrm{~b}}$ from our laboratory is designated as 'Form I' crystals. Crystallization of rac-orthoacetate 3.2 in the presence of less than $5 \%$ of $\mathbf{D} 3.56$ (or ent 3.56 ) resulted in the formation of concomitant polymorphic forms (From-I and From-II crystals) of 3.2. Thus,
crystallization of 3.2 alone from chloroform solution leads to one form (Form-I crystals) while its crystallization in the presence of $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ or ent $\mathbf{3 . 5 6}$ led to the formation of a different crystal form (Form-II). The exact role of the optically active dibenzoates during


Scheme 3.9: Results of co-crystallization experiments with 1.234, 2.28 and 3.2.
the formation of Form-II crystals of $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ is not known. The presence of enantiomers $\mathbf{D}$ 3.56 or ent 3.56 might be either preventing the formation of Form-I crystals or aiding in the formation of Form-II crystals. ${ }^{28}$ No co-crystals consisting of an enantiomeric D 3.56 or ent 3.56 and rac-dibenzoate 3.2 could be obtained in any of these experiments. These results are schematically summarized in Scheme 3.9.

Form-I crystals of the rac-orthoacetate 3.2 were bulky crystals where as Form-II crystals were bunches of sharp needles (Figure 3.8). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (in solution) of manually separated crystals of Form-II (Figure C) showed the presence of 3.2 and the enantiomeric dibenzoate D 3.56 in the ratio 20:1. Also, similar analysis of Form-II crystals obtained and separated from different crystallization experiments indicated that the ratio of $\mathbf{3 . 2}$ to $\mathbf{D} 3.56$ present varied. These results suggested that the enantiomeric $\mathbf{D}$
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3.56 present in these Form-II crystals of 3.2 are not incorporated in the crystals but perhaps was just adhering to the Form-II crystals.


Figure 3.8. Crystals of 3.2; (A) Form-I crystals: Crystallized from chloroform-lightpetroleum; (B) Form-II crystals: Crystallized from chloroform-light-petroleum in the presence of either D 3.56 or ent 3.56 and (C) manually separated Form-II crystals from the bunch shown in (B).

The possibility of the formation of co-crystal of D 3.56 and 3.2 was ruled out on the basis of the space group ( $C 2 / \mathrm{c}$ ) of Form-II crystals, which belongs to an achiral space group. This is because incorporation of chiral molecules in crystals must necessarily result in the formation of crystals belonging to chiral space groups.

DSC analysis of Form-II crystals of 3.2 suggested a phase change around 145-150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before its melting (Figure 3.9). Analysis of the crystals (by X-ray diffraction) obtained by heating the Form-II crystals at $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ showed them to be Form-I crystals. Hence it was clear that Form-II crystals underwent crystal to crystal transformation to form Form-I crystals around $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This showed that Form-I crystals are thermodynamically stable while Form-II crystals are meta-stable. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crystals of 3.2 was recorded after the conversion of Form-II to Form-I crystals, which showed no change in the ratio of rac-orthoacetate 3.2 to optically active orthoformate $\mathbf{D} 3.56$.


Figure 3.9: DSC analysis of Form-II crystals of rac-orthoacetate 3.2.


Figure 3.10: DSC analysis of Form-I of 3.2.

Analysis of the Form-II crystal structure (of 3.2) showed that they were isostructural (Figure 3.11D) with crystals of rac- dibenzoate 1.234 (Figure 3.2A) and racorthobenzoate 2.28 (Figure 3.4A) both of which exhibited very good acyl transfer reactivity. Similarities were observed in terms of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ and $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ interactions (Tables 3.2, 3.3, 3.6 and 3.7) and the helical assembly of molecules (which is favorable for transesterification reaction in crystals).


Figure 3.11. A comparison of the crystal structure of Form-I and Form-II crystals of 3.2. Arrangement of molecules in a helix (A and D); A pair of reacting molecules ( B and E ); Packing of helices ( C and F). Figures A, B, C are reproduced from ref. 4b for comparison.

Table 3.6. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in Form-I and Form-II crystals of rac-orthoacetate 3.2. See Tables 3.2 and 3.3 for a comparison of corresponding parameters in crystals of orthobenzoate 2.28 and orthoformate 1.234.

|  | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Form I | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 1.93 | $2.775(2)$ | 175 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(1)^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | 3.67 | 4.472 | 142 |
| Form II | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(7)^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 2.00 | $2.822(3)$ | 176 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(1)^{[\mathrm{d}]}$ | 2.62 | 3.582 | 167 |

$\mathrm{Cg}=$ Ring Centre-of-Gravity (centroid), $\mathrm{Cg} 1=\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 14$.
Symmetry code: [a] 1.5-x, $-0.5+y, 0.5-z$. [b] 1.5-x, $0.5+y, 0.5-z[c] 0.5-x,-0.5+y, 0.5-$ z; [d] ] 0.5-x, 0.5+y, 0.5-z.

Table 3.7. Geometry of the reacting groups ( $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ ) in Form-I and Form-II crystals of 3.2. See Tables 3.2 and 3.3 for a comparison of corresponding parameters in crystals of the orthobenzoate 2.28 and the orthoformate 1.234.

| Distance $(\AA) /$ Angle $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | Form-I | Form-II |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 15 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ | $3.299^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 3.135 |
| $\angle \mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{O} 8$ | $84.01^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 87.6 |
| $\angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15$ | $97.20^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 116.5 |
| $\angle \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15$ | $105.8^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 114 |

Symmetry code: [a] 1.5-x, $-0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$. [b] 0.5-x, $-0.5+\mathrm{y}, 0.5-\mathrm{z}$.

These geometrical parameters (Tables 3.6, 3.7 and Figure 3.11) indicated the possibility of a facile intermolecular benzoyl group transfer in Form-II crystals of the rac-orthoacetate 3.2. Heating the Form-II crystals of 3.2 at $115{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (well below the phase transition temperature of $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with anhydrous solid sodium carbonate gave very good yield of the corresponding tribenzoate 3.3 and the diol 3.4 (Scheme 3.10). Similar reaction of Form-I crystals at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ did not proceed well (see experimental for details) and most of the starting material was recovered. Prior work in our laboratory ${ }^{4 b}$ had shown
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that benzoyl group transfer in Form-I crystals of rac-orthoacetate 3.2 was inefficient at higher temperatures (unlike in the case of rac-orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ and rac-orthobenzoate 2.28 crystals) and gave rise to a mixture of several products.


Scheme 3.10: (a) Anhy. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 190 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) Anhy. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} 140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 162 \mathrm{~h}$
A comparison of the crystal structures of Form-I and Form-II crystals of 3.2 and the observed differences in facility of benzoyl transfer reaction in these polymorhphic crystals clearly reveals the importance of weaker intermolecular interactions for benzoyl group transfer in crystals. These results also show that the facility of acyl transfer reaction in crystals can be predicted from their structure.

### 3.2.4. Benzoyl group transfer reactivity in monobenzoates of myo-inositol orthoesters.

The sodium hydride assisted facile intermolecular acyl migration in rac-4-O-acyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters 3.67 (Scheme 3.11) to the corresponding 2-O-acyl derivatives 3.68 in excellent yields ( $>90 \%$ ), in the solution state had earlier been reported ${ }^{2 b}$ from our laboratory.
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Scheme 3.11: (a) DMF, NaH or $t$-BuOK.

This reaction in solution was irreversible and the 2-O-acyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters 3.68 were completely stable both in the presence of strong bases (sodium hydride and potassium $t$-butoxide) and at higher temperatures. Encouraged by the results obtained with crystals of rac-2,4-di- $O$-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters (1.234, 3.2, 2.28), we wondered whether the 2-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoesters (1.232 and 3.48) would undergo transesterification reaction in the crystalline state.

The benzoates 3.48 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ were crystallized from chloroform-light petroleum mixture by diffusion method. The orthobenzoate $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ on crystallization spontaneously yielded chiral crystals (orthorhombic, space group $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ ) irrespective of the solvent used. Our attempts to obtain an achiral polymorph of 3.48 were not successful. Cases where meso compounds like 3.48 produce chiral crystals are intriguing, having significance both in understanding the fundamental process of crystallization ${ }^{29}$ as well as in their applications as NLO materials.

Analysis of the crystal structures of the benzoates 3.48 and 1.232 revealed a relative orientation of molecules that appeared conducive for exhibiting the solid state acyl transfer reactivity, although the $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ interaction parameters (see tables 3.8-3.10) were not as good as those in crystals of rac-dibenzoate 2.28 and 1.234. This implied that even if the benzoyl group transfer occurred in crystals of benzoates 3.48 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$, the facility of the reaction would be perhaps less as compared to similar reaction in crystals of rac-dibenzoates 2.28 and 1.234. In order to verify our speculation based on the crystal structures, we subjected crystals of the benzoates 3.48 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ to transesterfication
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conditions in the presence of solid sodium carbonate. Although this reaction proceeded to give transesterified products, as expected, it was not a clean reaction. The various products obtained from 1.232 are shown in the Scheme 3.12. Transesterification of crystalline 3.48 also yielded a mixture of products similar to that observed in the case of 1.232. Analysis of the mixture of products by TLC indicated the presence of several products including the tribenzoate 3.47 , the dibenzoate 2.28 , the triol 1.17 along with two unidentified products; no attempt was made to separate these products.


Scheme 3.12: (a) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py.

However, it is interesting to note that although both these mono-benzoates (3.48 and 1.232) failed to undergo transesterfication in solution (See Scheme 3.11), they did undergo the same reaction in the crystalline state owing to the relatively 'frozen' assembly of molecules favorable for the transesterification reaction. However, facility and specificity of transesterification in crystals of $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ are not as good as those compared to the reaction in crystals of rac-dibenzoates 2.28 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$ due to differences in the packing of the molecules in their crystals as discussed below.

### 3.2.5. Correlation of Molecular Pre-Organization and intermolecular interactions with Acyl transfer reactivities.

Although the benzoates 3.48 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ have two hydroxyl groups at C4 and C6 positions, these molecules do not assemble by forming intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen
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bond in their crystals, from either of these with the $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}$ of the C 2 -benzoate group (as observed in crystals of the dibenzoates 2.28 and 1.234. In both 3.48 and 1.232, intermolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonding is formed between the OH group at the C 4 and C 6 position and the oxygen O 1 and O 3 of the orthoformate (Figure 3.12 and 3.13, Table 3.8).


Figure 3.12. (A) Helical self-assembly via $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ hydrogen bonding in crystals of 3.48; (B) relative orientation of the reacting molecules in crystals of 3.48 and (C) intersecting helices ( $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$, red curved line and $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O}$, blue curved line) forming two dimensional sheet in crystals of 3.48.

Table 3.8. Intermolecular hydrogen bonding and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions in crystals of 3.48 and 1.232.

|  | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D} \cdots \mathrm{A}(\AA)$ | $\mathrm{D}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.48 | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{[\mathrm{ad}}$ | $2.56(3)$ | $3.018(2)$ | $117(2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $2.11(3)$ | $2.861(2)$ | $156(3)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(1)^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | 3.91 | 4.720 | 139 |
| $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ | $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(1)^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | $1.90(3)$ | $2.656(2)$ | $159(3)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{O}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A}) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)^{[\mathrm{d}]}$ | $2.53(3)$ | $3.063(3)$ | $123(2)$ |
|  | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5) \cdots \mathrm{Cg}(1)^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ | 2.64 | 3.561 | 162 |

$\mathrm{Cg}=$ Ring Centre-of-Gravity (centroid), $\mathrm{Cg} 1=\mathrm{C} 9-\mathrm{C} 14, \mathrm{Cg} 2=\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{C} 21$
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Symmetry code: [a] $1-x,-1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z$; [b] $-x,-1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z$; [c] $1 / 2+x, 1 / 2-y$, $1 / 2+z$; [d] $-1 / 2+x, 1 / 2-y,-1 / 2+z$.

Intramolecular $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \mathrm{O}$ bond exists with the $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{OH}$ donating its proton to the oxygen of the C6-OH group in both benzoates 3.48 and 1.232. Detailed molecular organization in crystals of these benzoates $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ is described below.

The helical assembly in crystals of 3.48 is formed by the $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ interaction around $2_{1}$-screw axis (Figure 3.12A). However, one can also imagine another helix that is formed via $\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ hydrogen bonding, again along b-axis (Table 3.8). Actually, these two helices are part of an extended two dimensional sheet created by crystallographic $2_{1}$ screw axis along b-axis. The first helix brings the two reacting groups C2-O-benzoyl group (El) and the C4-hydroxyl group ( Nu ) of the adjacent molecule closer along the helix axis (Figure 3.12B), but the $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ distance $(\mathrm{C} 8 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4=3.532$ (2) $\AA$, Table 3.9) is somewhat longer than that seen in crystals of rac-dibenzoates 2.28 and 1.234. However, the angle of approach of Nu to $\mathrm{El}\left(\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 7=106.1^{\circ}\right.$, Table 3.9) is closer to the tetrahedral value. The phenyl ring of the C2-O-benzoyl group is not engaged with any $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group of the inositol ring in the formation of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ contacts (Table 3.8). The second helical assembly with O6-H6A…O1 interactions makes very long and unfavourable $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ contacts (Table 3.8). Furthermore, the entire sheet structure (Figure 3.12C) does not leave a 'modular' reaction channel in the crystal lattice for the reaction to proceed. This is in contrast to that observed in crystals of the rac-dibenzoate 2.28 (Figure 3.4).

Table 3.9. Geometry of the reacting groups $(\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu} ; \mathrm{El}=\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Nu}=\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{OH})$ in crystals of 3.48 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$

| Distance $(\AA) /$ Angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | 3.48 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 8) \cdots \mathrm{O} 4$ | $3.532(2)^{[\mathrm{a}]}$ | $3.628(2)^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 8)-\mathrm{O} 8(\mathrm{O} 7)$ | $106.1(2)$ | $105.6(2)$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 8)$ | $112.1(2)$ | $110.3(2)$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 15(\mathrm{C} 8)$ | $77(1)$ | $73(1)$ |

Symmetry code: [a] $1-x, 1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z ;[b]-1 / 2+x, 1 / 2-y, 1 / 2+z$

In crystals of the orthoformate 1.232, the one-dimensional assembly although appears to be 'helical' (Figure 3.13A), the molecules along the string are actually related by n -glide of the space group symmetry. The $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ hydrogen bonded glide related molecules self-assemble to bring the electrophile and the nucleophile together (Figure 3.13B) with an angle close to the tetrahedral value ( $\angle \mathrm{O} 4 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 7=105.6^{\circ}$, Table 3.9) but again with somewhat longer $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}(\mathrm{C} 8 \cdots \mathrm{O} 4)$ distance ( $3.628 \AA$ ) as compared to crystals of the rac-dibenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ or rac-dibenzoate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$.


Figure 3.13. (A) Association of molecules in crystals of 2-benzoyl-orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ via $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ hydrogen bonding interaction, (B) relative orientation of the reacting molecules in crystals of 2-benzoyl-orthoformate $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ and (C) linking of helices via C$\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact forming two dimensional sheet.
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However, the geometry of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \pi$ contacts made by the $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ of the inositol ring with the phenyl ring of the C2-O-benzoyl group from the next molecule is significantly better as compared to that in crystals of $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ (Table 3.8).

The self-assembled (diagonal glide related) chains make centrosymmetric inter-chain contacts (essentially of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ type, Figure 3.13C). In addition to $\mathrm{O} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ contact, the molecules of $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ also form another chain via $06-\mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A} \cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ bonding as well as via $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6$ contact (Figure 3.14) along the diagonal glide related molecules. But along this direction, the reactive groups $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{OBz}$ are not at all in close proximity; the $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$ distance is $4.452 \AA(\mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8)$ and angle $\mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 7=$ $154.2^{\circ}$ (Table 3.10).

Table 3.10. Geometry of the reacting groups ( $\mathrm{El} \cdots \mathrm{Nu}$; $\mathrm{El}=\mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{Nu}=\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{OH}$ ) in crystals of $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ along $2_{1}$-screw and diagonal glide related molecule respectively.

| Distance $(\AA)$ ) Angle $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ | 3.48 | $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 8 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6$ | $4.599(3)^{[\text {a] }}, 4.128(3)^{[\mathrm{b}]}$ | $4.452(3)^{[\mathrm{c}]}$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8-\mathrm{O} 7$ | $149.6(2), 64.9(2)^{\circ}$ | $154.2(2)^{\circ}$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8$ | $77.8(3), 109.2(3)^{\circ}$ | $140.3(2)^{\circ}$ |
| $\angle \mathrm{H} 6 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{O} 6 \cdots \mathrm{C} 8$ | $48(1), 104(1)^{\circ}$ | $101(1)^{\circ}$ |

Symmetry code: [a] -x, $-1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z ; ~[b] 1-x, 1 / 2+y, 1 / 2-z ;[c]-1 / 2+x, 1 / 2-y,-1 / 2+z$.

Further, there is no $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}^{\cdots} \pi$ interaction along these chains. These chains are linked with each other along b-axis by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ contact between the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ groups of the $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}$-benzoyl group and with one of the orthoformate oxygen (Figure 3.15). Unfavorable approach geometry of the Nu with respect to El and lack of a reaction channel (as in crystals of rac-dibenzoate 2.28 ) in crystals of $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ might well explain the low facility of the acyl transfer reaction as compared to the reactivity in crystals of 2.28 and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 4}$.


Figure 3.14. Helical assembly formed by O6-H6A $\cdots \mathrm{O} 3$ and $\mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \cdots \mathrm{O} 6$ interactions in crystals of 1.232, which are linked via $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5$ interhelical contact.


Figure 3.15. Linking of helices in crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ via $\mathrm{C} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \cdots \mathrm{O} 5$ and $\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 \cdots \mathrm{O} 7$ contacts forming two dimensional sheet.
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### 3.3. Conclusions.

A comparative study on the intermolecular acyl transfer reactivity in crystals of benzoylated inositol derivatives 2.28, 1.234 3.2, 3.48 and 1.232 as well as in solution and an analysis of their crystal structures show that (a) acyl transfer reactivity in crystals is controlled by the relative geometry and juxtaposition of the hydroxyl and ester carbonyl groups; (b) weak intermolecular interactions ${ }^{25}$ between the reacting molecules in the crystal lattice and (c) packing of molecules in crystals that provide 'reaction channels' for the propagation of the reaction. A comparison of the reactivity of mono-benzoates $\mathbf{3 . 4 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ in solution and crystals show that molecules that are un-reactive in solution can be coaxed to react in crystals due to proximity of the reacting groups and crystal packing parameters. The crystal structure reactivity correlation described for crystals of the dibenzoate 2.28 is the third example that we have encountered in our laboratory (for first two examples see reference 4 . Hence, this spontaneously assembled 'reactive' molecular pre-organization in crystals of the dibenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$ promises to be valuable while designing reactive crystals that facilitate intermolecular acyl transfer reactions.

Reactions in the solid state and single crystals are attractive as 'green reactions' since no solvent is necessary to carry out reactions and also because the possibility of obtaining a single (crystalline?) product starting from a (single crystalline?) starting material cannot be ruled out. Hence solid state reactions can be referred to as a class of potential green futuristic reactions. However, realization of such objectives requires the availability of various types of efficient chemical reactions - those that proceed with ease in the crystalline state. An understanding of polymorphic modifications (of related systems) which could have profound control on reactivity in the crystalline state is also needed to enable engineering of reactive crystals of either a single molecular entity or cocrystals constituted by more than one molecular entities. A survey of the literature pertaining to reactions in crystals shows that there are very few reactions involving group
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transfers in crystals. Hence, results presented in this chapter are a valuable addition to the list of well defined group transfer reaction in crystals.

### 3.4. Experimental.

3.4.1 General methods: General experimental methods are same as in the section 2.4 (Chapter 2).

### 3.4.2. X-ray Crystallographic structure determination.

Single crystals of the dibenzoate 2.28, the monobenzoate 3.48 and 1.232 were obtained from chloroform and light petroleum mixture and good quality crystals were selected using Leica Polarizing microscope. X-ray intensity data were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer with omega and phi scan mode, $\lambda_{\mathrm{MoK} \alpha}=0.71073 \AA$ at $\mathrm{T}=297(2) \mathrm{K}$. All the data were corrected for Lorentzian, polarization and absorption effects using Bruker's SAINT and SADABS programs. SHELX-97 ${ }^{30}$ was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $F^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms of the inositol ring and hydroxyl group of 2.28 were located in the difference Fourier map and the rest were included in the refinement as per the riding model, whereas for the 2 benzoate 3.48, all the H -atoms were located in the difference Fourier map and refined isotropically. Crystal data and details of data collection, structure solution and refinements for $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}, \mathbf{3 . 4 8}$, and $\mathbf{1 . 2 3 2}$ are summarized in a Table (see Appendix). All the weak interaction calculations were carried out using PLATON. ${ }^{31}$

Racemic-2,6-di- $\boldsymbol{O}$-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.28): To a solution of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthobenzoate (1.17) ${ }^{32}(2.130 \mathrm{~g}, 8.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(24 \mathrm{~mL})$, freshly prepared benzoyl chloride ( $2.470 \mathrm{~g}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop-wise over a
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period of 30 min at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirring was continued for 18 h . Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue worked up with ethyl acetate. The crude product was chromatographed (Eluent: ethylacetate: dichloromethane: petroleum ether $1: 2: 7$ ) to get the tribenzoate 3.47 ( $0.385 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%$ ), rac-dibenzoate 2.28 ( $3.130 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) and the diol 3.48 ( $0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \%$ ).

## Data for 2.28:

$\mathbf{M p} .=187-189^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1722(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 3450-3620(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.03-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.66$ (m, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 7.35-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.92-6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), $5.74(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 4.73-4.92 (m, 3H, Ins H), 4.64-4.71 (m, 1H, Ins H), $2.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH})$ ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 136.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.7\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 73.1$ (Ins C), 71.0 (Ins C), 69.4 (Ins C), 68.5 (Ins C), 67.2 (Ins C), 63.0 (Ins C) ppm.; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C 68.35, H 4.67; Found C 68.53; H $4.68 \%$.

Data for 3.47:
Mp. $=225-226{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ v: $1728(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 8.14-8.22 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.85-7.94 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.73-7.83 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.40-7.66 (m, 8H, Ar H), 7.15-7.25 (m, 4H, Ar H), 5.95-6.05 (t, 2H, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 5.75-5.81 (t, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 5.09-5.18 (m, 1 H , Ins H), 4.85-4.93 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, Ins H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.2(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 136.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.1\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 125.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$,
$108.2\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 70.8$ (Ins C), 68.5 (Ins C), 67.8 (Ins C), 63.1 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C 70.58, H 4.53; Found C 70.40, H $4.83 \%$.

Data for 3.48:
Mp. $=185-187{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (Nujol) $v: 1715(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 3350-3600(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 8.10-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 7.55-7.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H})$, $5.64(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 4.73-4.85 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.61-4.70 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.45$4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H), 4.00-4.10 (d, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (Acetone-d6, $50.3 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 166.4(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 138.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 134.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 131.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 130.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $130.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 126.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 107.9\left(\mathrm{PhCO}_{3}\right), 74.5$ (Ins C), 70.9 (Ins C), 68.7 (Ins C), 64.0 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ : C 64.86, H 4.89; Found C 64.52, H 4.59 \%.

## Reaction of racemic-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.28) in the

 solid-state ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ : Crystals of dibenzoate $2.28(0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium carbonate $(0.213 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were ground together using mortar and pestle and heated in a sealed tube under argon atmosphere at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 62 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and extracted with chloroform - methanol mixture. The residue obtained from this extract was chromatographed to isolate tribenzoate $3.47(0.071 \mathrm{~g}$, $49 \%$ ) and diol 3.48 ( $0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%$ ).
## Reaction of racemic-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.28) in the

 molten state: Racemic dibenzoate $2.28(0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ was melted and heated $\left(190-195{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ in a sealed tube under argon atmosphere for 30 min . TLC analysis showed the presence of only the dibenzoate (2.28). Finely powdered anhydrous sodium carbonate
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$(0.213 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added and heating continued for 12 h . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the presence of several products including dibenzoate $\mathbf{2 . 2 8}$, tribenzoate 3.47, 2-benzoate diol 3.48 and triol 1.17. No attempt was made to separate these products.

Reaction of racemic-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthobenzoate (2.28) in solution: A mixture of dibenzoate $2.28(0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylethylamine $(0.259 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 72 h . No reaction was observed. The same reaction when carried out at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 h afforded the tribenzoate $3.47(0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \%)$ and the diol 3.48 ( $0.013 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \%)$ along with the unreacted dibenzoate 2.28 (68\%).

Reaction of racemic-2,6-di- $O$-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate ${ }^{33}$ (1.234) in solution: A mixture of di-benzoyl-orthoformate $1.234(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diisopropylethylamine ( $0.519 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 3 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 120 h . (No products could be detected by TLC at the end of 60 h ). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the products were isolated by column chromatography (eluent $=$ ethyl acetate: dichloromethane: petroleum ether, $1: 1: 8$ ) to obtain tribenzoate $3.1(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)$ and 2-benzoate $1.232(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$ as white solids along with the unreacted starting material $1.234(0.151 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%)$

Transesterification of 2-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1, 3, 5-orthobenzoate (3.48) in the solid-state: Crystals of 2-benzoyl-orthoformate $1.232(0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and sodium carbonate $(0.424 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ were ground together to a fine powder and heated at 140 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere for 62 h . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the
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presence of several products including rac-dibenzoate 2.28, tribenzoate 3.47, 2-benzoate 3.48 and triol 1.17. No attempt was made to separate these products.

Transesterification of 2-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (1.232) ${ }^{2 b}$ in the solid-state: Crystals of 2-benzoyl-orthoformate 1.232 ( $0.196 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and anhydrous sodium carbonate $(0.565 \mathrm{~g}, 5.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ were ground together to a fine powder and heated at $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere for 60 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and extracted with chloroform-methanol mixture $(1: 1,2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The residue obtained from this extract was chromatographed using $10 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether to get dibenzoate $1.234(10 \%), \mathbf{M p} .=163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Lit} .{ }^{33} \mathrm{Mp} .=163-165\right.$ $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, tribenzoate $3.1(6 \%)$, Mp. $=215-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Lit} .{ }^{34} \mathrm{Mp} .=216-218{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right), \mathbf{9}(9 \%)$, $\mathbf{M p} .=$ $208-211{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{35} \mathrm{Mp} .=210-213{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, axial mono-benzoate 1.233 (11\%), orthoformate triol 1.15 (48\%) and recovered starting material 2-benzoyl-orthoformate 1.232 (10 \%).

Structures of 1.233 and 1.15 were established as their acetate derivatives 3.70 and 3.71: To a mixture of 1.233 and $1.15(0.086 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry pyridine ( 2 mL ), acetic anhydride $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added drop wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 30 min and the mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature. Solvents were removed under reduced pressure and the residue worked up with ethyl acetate. The crude product was chromatographed (eluent: 20\% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) to obtain $3.70(0.028 \mathrm{~g})$ and $3.71(0.102 \mathrm{~g}), \mathbf{M p} .=172-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{36} \mathrm{Mp} .=173-174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

Data for 3.70:
Mp. $=167-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v=1738(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ 7.98-8.10 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.55-7.68 (m, 1H, Ar H), 7.40-7.53 (m, 2H, Ar H), 5.72-5.78 $\left(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H), $5.55-5.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H), $5.33-5.40\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 4.68-4.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$,
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Ins H), 4.45-4.52 (m, 1 H , Ins H), 4.35-4.43 (m, 1 H , Ins H), $2.24\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.79(\mathrm{~s}$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 170.5(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 169.0(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 164.7$ $(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 103.0\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 69.2$ (Ins C), 69.0 (Ins C), 67.7 (Ins C), 67.5 (Ins C), 66.3 (Ins C), $63.2\left(\operatorname{Ins~C),~} 21.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 20.3\right.$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C 57.15, H 4.79; Found C 56.79, H 4.68 \%.

Racemic-2,4-di- $\boldsymbol{O}$-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (3.58): To a solution of the triol $1.15(2.090 \mathrm{~g}, 10.991 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry pyridine $(55 \mathrm{~mL})$ tosyl chloride $(4.603 \mathrm{~g}, 24.144$ mmol ) was added and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . Reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and pyridine was removed by evaporation under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was worked with in dichloromethane and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate to get gummy compound which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afforded rac-2,4-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate (3.58) as a white solid (4.681g, $85 \%$ ).

Mp. $=112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{37} \mathrm{Mp} .=114-115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.

D-2,4- and $\mathrm{D}-2,6-\mathrm{di}$-O-tosyl-4(6)-O-[(-)- $\omega$-camphanoyl]-myo-inositol-1,3,5-
orthoformates (2.59 and dia 2.59): To a solution of rac-2,4-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol $1,3,5$-orthoformate $(3.58)(2.780 \mathrm{~g}, 5.576 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(0.05 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry pyridine (30 mL ), freshly prepared $1 S$-(-)-camphanoyl chloride ( $1.812 \mathrm{~g}, 8.363 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was worked up with dichloromethane to get gummy product. The mixture of diastereomers was isolated by
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flash column chromatography (eluent: light petroleum, dichloromethane, ethylacetate mixture (7: 2.7: 0.3 ) to get D-2,4-di- $O$-tosyl-6- $O$-[(-)- $\omega$-camphanoyl]-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate (dia 2.59) (1.678 g, 44 \%); Mp. $=183-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{38} \mathrm{Mp} .=184-185{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=-25.44, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{38}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-25.4, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ and D-2,6-di- $O$-tosyl-4-O-[(-)- $\omega$-camphanoyl]-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate
$(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 41 \%) ; \mathbf{M p} .=222-224^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{38} \mathrm{Mp} .=222-225^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}=-7.71, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{38}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}=-7.7, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and a mixture of both diastereomers $(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$.

D-2,4-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.60): A mixture of D-2,4-di-O-tosyl-6-O-[(-)- $\omega$-camphanoyl]-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (dia 2.59$)(1.402 \mathrm{~g}, 2.065$ mmol ) and isobutyl amine ( 5 mL ) in dichloromethane ( 10 mL ) and methanol ( 10 mL ) was refluxed for 8 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $30 \%$ ethylacetate in light petroleum to get D-2,4-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.60), $0.967 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ).

Mp. $=111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left..^{27} \mathrm{Mp} .=112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=-9.08 \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$

D-2,4-di-O-tosyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.61): To an ice cooled solution of D-2,4-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.60) ( 0.951 g , $1.907 \mathrm{mmol})$ and benzyl bromide ( $0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.861 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, sodium hydride $(0.087 \mathrm{~g}, 2.175 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min .
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Excess of sodium hydride was quenched with ice and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Usual work up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhyd. sodium sulfate afforded a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography using $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum as eluent to get D-2,4-di- $O$-tosyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.61) as a white solid ( $1.077 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Data for ent 3.61:
$\mathbf{M p} .=76-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}=-8.85 \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.67-7.84$ (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.21-7.38 (m, 9H, Ar H), $5.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 5.08(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=4.1$ and 1.7 Hz , Ins H), $4.92(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.27,1.76 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), $4.42-4.64(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $\left.11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.33-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, Ins H$), 4.24(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2$ and 1.6 Hz , Ins H), 4.124.20 ( m, 1H, Ins H), 4.01-4.11 (m, 1H, Ins H), 2.47 (s, 3H, Me), 2.43 (s, 3H, Me) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 145.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 145.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 132.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $132.2\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 130.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $127.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.4\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 72.2$ (Ins C), $72.0\left(\right.$ Ins C), $71.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.8$ (Ins C), 69.6 (Ins C), 68.6 (Ins C), 67.0 (Ins C), $21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 57.13; H, 4.79; S, 10.89; Found: C, 57.20 ; H, 4.88 ; S, $10.55 \%$.

D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.62): A mixture of D-2,4-di- $O$ -tosyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.61 ) ( $1.015 \mathrm{~g}, 1.724 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium methoxide $(0.931 \mathrm{~g}, 17.234 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and methanol was removed under reduced pressure to get a gummy product which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 1:2 ethyl acetate and light petroleum) to obtain D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.62) as a gummy compound ( $0.445 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ).

## Data for ent 3.62:

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=-14.9, \mathrm{C}=1$, Ethanol. Lit. ${ }^{27 \mathrm{c}}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-16.6, \mathrm{C}=1$, Ethanol; IR (neat) $v=3300-$ $3650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.28-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ), 4.61-4.73 (q, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 3.39-4.45 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.18-4.32 (m, $3 H$, Ins H), $4.09(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), $3.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.21(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 135.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.5\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 74.6\left(\right.$ Ins C), $74.0\left(\right.$ Ins C), $72.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.1$ (Ins C), 67.7 (Ins C), 67.1 (Ins C), 60.4 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 59.99; H, 5.75; Found: C, 59.84; H, 5.84\%.

D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (ent 3.63): A mixture of D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate (ent 3.62$)(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.249 \mathrm{mmol})$, trifloroaceticacid $(0.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(0.1$ mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and residue co-evaporated with dry toluene. The residue obtained was crystallized from methanol - dichloromethane mixture (1:4) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain $\mathrm{D}-4-\mathrm{O}$ -benzyl-myo-inositol (ent 3.63) as white needle type crystals ( $0.059 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ).

Mp. $=175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left..^{39} \mathrm{Mp} .=176-178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{29}=-6.38, \mathrm{C}=1$, Methanol. Lit. ${ }^{39}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=-6^{\circ}, \mathrm{C}=1$, Methanol).

D-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.64): To an icecooled solution of D-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.62 ) ( 0.350 g , $1.249 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(0.02 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry pyridine ( 6 mL ), benzoyl chloride ( 1.053 g , 7.491 mmol ) was added drop-wise over a period of 10 min . The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h at ambient temperature and pyridine was removed under reduced
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pressure. The residue was worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The gummy product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford D-2,4-di- $O$-benzoyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.64) as a white solid ( $0.596 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).

Data for ent 3.64:
Mp. $=140-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+25.6, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1724 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 8.13-8.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$, Ar H), 7.21-7.32 (m, 7H, Ar H), $5.80(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 5.64-5.70 (m, 2H, Ins $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ), 4.70-4.75 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.52-4.69 (m, 4H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and Ins H), $4.48(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.8$ and 1.7 Hz$) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, $136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $128.43\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.37\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 103.2\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 73.4$ (Ins C), $72.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.9$ (Ins C), 69.6 (Ins C), 68.1 (Ins C), 67.4 (Ins C), 64.2 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 68.84; H, 4.95; Found: C, 68.93 ; H, 4.89 \%.

D-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.56): A solution of D-2,4-di-$O$-benzoyl-6-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.64$)(0.489 \mathrm{~g}, 1.001 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 3 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ) was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $20 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.040 \mathrm{~g})$ at 50 psi . After 6 h , the reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and the Celite was washed with ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ). Removal of the solvents from filtrate and washings under reduced pressure followed by column chromatography (eluent: 1:3 ethyl acetate and light petroleum) afforded D-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (ent 3.56 ) as a white solid ( $0.380 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ).
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## Daa for ent 3.56:

Mp. $=162-164{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (crystal obtained from chloroform and light petroleum); $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=$ $+66.0, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v=3540-3240,1701,1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $200 \mathrm{MHz}):$ 8.02-8.20 (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.55-7.70 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.39-7.54 (m, 4H, Ar H), $5.83\left(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, 3.7,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right.$, Ins H), 5.62-5.75 (m, 2H, Ins H and $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ), 4.70-4.82 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.56-4.67 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.45-4.55 (m, 1H, Ins H), $2.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.6$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.9\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 71.7$ (Ins C), 69.6 (Ins C), 68.5 (Ins C), 68.4 (Ins C), 67.2 (Ins C), 63.8 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 63.31; H, 4.55; Found: C, 63.21 ; H, $4.29 \%$.

D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.60): A mixture of D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-4-O-[(-)- $\omega$-camphanoyl]-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (3.59) (1.004 g, 1.479 $\mathrm{mmol})$, isobutyl amine $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, dichloromethane $(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol ( 8 mL ) was refluxed for 8 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and the solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was worked up with dichloromethane, and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $30 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to obtain D-2,6-di- $O$ -tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.60 ) $(0.708 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$.

Mp. $=111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{38} \mathrm{Mp} .=112-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}=+9.13\left(\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
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D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.61): To an ice cold solution of D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.60) ( $0.701 \mathrm{~g}, 1.41$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and benzyl bromide ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.102 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DMF ( 8 mL ), sodium hydride $(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min . Excess of sodium hydride was quenched with ice and solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Usual work up with dichloromethane followed by drying over anhydrous sodium sulfate and evaporation of the solvent afforded a gummy residue which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to obtain D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate as a white solid (D 3.61) ( $0.778 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ).

Data for D 3.61:
Mp. $=75-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+8.8\left(\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.68-7.83$ (m, 4H, Ar H), 7.21-7.40 (m, 9H, Ar H), $5.43\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 5.08(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=3.9,1.64 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 4.89-4.96 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.42-4.65 (q, $\left.2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 4.35-4.41 (m, 1H, Ins H), $4.24(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.1,1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 4.12-4.20 (m, 1 H , Ins H), 4.01-4.10 (m, 1H, Ins H), $2.47\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$, $50.3 \mathrm{MHz}): \delta 145.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 145.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 136.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 132.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 132.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $130.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $126.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.5\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 72.3$ (Ins C), 72.1 (Ins C), $71.3\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.9$ (Ins C), 69.6 (Ins C), 68.7 (Ins C), 67.1 (Ins C), $21.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 21.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 57.13; H, 4.79; S, 10.89; Found: C, 57.4; H, 4.53; S, 11.03 \%.

D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.62): A mixture of D-2,6-di-O-tosyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.61 ) ( $0.589 \mathrm{~g}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium methoxide ( $0.541 \mathrm{~g}, 10.015 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry methanol ( 8 mL ) was refluxed at 12 h . The
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reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and methanol was removed under reduced pressure to get a gummy product which was purified by column chromatography (eluent: 1:2 ethyl acetate and light petroleum) to obtain D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.62) as a gum ( $0.275 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{D}$ 3.62:
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=+15.3, \mathrm{C}=1$, Ethsnol; IR (neat): $v=3200-3550 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200\right.$ $\mathrm{MHz}): \delta 7.23-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 5.44\left(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 4.67(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 4.38-4.55 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.18-4.35 (m, 3H, Ins H), 4.09 (s, 1H, Ins H), 3.75 $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75.48 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 135.8$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.7\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 74.7($ Ins C), 74.2 (Ins C), $73.0\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 72.2$ (Ins C), 67.8 (Ins C), 67.3 (Ins C), 60.6 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ : C, 59.99; H, 5.75; Found: C, 60.11; H, $5.70 \%$.

D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (D 3.63): A mixture of D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate (D 3.62) ( $0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 0.075 \mathrm{mmol})$ in trifluoroacetic acid and water $(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}+$ 0.1 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solvents were removed under reduced pressure and co-evaporated with dry toluene. The residue obtained was crystallized from methanol - dichloromethane mixture (1:4) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol (D 3.63) as white needle type crystals ( $0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ).

Mp. $=175-176{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left..^{39} \mathrm{Mp} .=175-177{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{30}=+6.41, \mathrm{C}=1$, Methanol. Lit. ${ }^{39}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}=+6^{\circ}, \mathrm{C}=1$, Methanol.

D-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.64): To a cold solution of D-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.62 ) ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.89 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
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and DMAP $(0.01 \mathrm{~g})$ in dry pyridine $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$, benzoyl chloride $(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 0.62 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.33$ mmol ) was added drop-wise over a period of 10 min . Stirring was continued for 20 h at ambient temperature and pyridine was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was worked up with dichloromethane and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The gummy product obtained was purified by column chromatography (eluent: $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in light petroleum) to afford D-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5orthoformate ( $\mathbf{D} 3.64$ ) as a white solid ( $0.418 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Data for $\mathbf{D} 3.64$ :
Mp. $=140-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=-25.02, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v=1722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): 8.13-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.87-7.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar~H}), 7.43-7.68(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{ArH}), 7.20-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}, \operatorname{Ar} H), 5.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz} 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ins} H), 5.64-5.70(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , Ins H, $\mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ), 4.69-4.76 (m, 1H, Ins H), 4.52-4.68 (m, 4H, Ins H), $4.48(\mathrm{dt}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 3.8, 1.6 Ins H) ppm; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 166.1(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 136.7$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.4\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.4$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.0\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 127.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 103.2\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 73.4$ (Ins C), 72.1 $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 69.9$ (Ins C), 69.6 (Ins C), 68.1 (Ins C), 67.4 (Ins C), 64.2 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 68.84; H, 4.95; Found: C, 68.57; H, $4.61 \%$.

D-2,6-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.56): A solution of D-2,6-di-$O$-benzoyl-4-O-benzyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.64$)(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 2 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 3 mL ) was hydrogenolyzed in the presence of $20 \%$ $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}-\mathrm{C}(0.025 \mathrm{~g})$ at 50 psi . After 6 h , the reaction mixture was filtered over a short bed of Celite and the Celite was washed with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. Removal of the solvents from combined filtrate and washings under reduced pressure followed by
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column chromatography (eluent: 1:3 ethyl acetate and light petroleum) afforded D-2,6-di-$O$-benzoyl-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoformate (D 3.64) as white solid ( $0.234 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ); it was crystallized by slow diffusion of light petroleum vapor into a chloroform solution of the dibenzoate (D 3.64) in a closed container at rt.

Data for $\mathbf{D}$ 3.64:
Mp. $=163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{27}=-65.9, \mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v=3412,1722,1703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 8.10-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} \mathrm{H}), 7.54-$ 7.66 (m, 2H, Ar H), 7.40-7.52 (m, 4H, Ar H), 5.84 (dt, 1H, $J=4.0,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, Ins H), 5.63$5.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{HCO}_{3}$ ), 4.69-4.81 (m, 1 H , Ins H), 4.56-4.67 (m, 2H, Ins H), 4.45$4.54\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}\right.$, Ins H), $2.65(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{ppm} ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50.3 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta$ $166.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 165.3(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}), 133.7\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 133.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.9\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 129.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right)$, $129.3\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.8\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.6\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 128.5\left(\mathrm{C}_{\text {arom }}\right), 102.9\left(\mathrm{HCO}_{3}\right), 71.7($ Ins C $), 69.6$ (Ins C), 68.5 (Ins C), 68.4 (Ins C), 67.3 (Ins C), 63.8 (Ins C) ppm; Elemental analysis calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 63.31; H, 4.55; Found: C, $63.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.41 \%$.

Solid state reaction of D -2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate. A mixture of crystals of D-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate $(0.04 \mathrm{~g})$ and anhydrous sodium carbonate ( 0.085 ) was ground together in to a fine powder using a mortar and a pestle. The resultant contents were heated in a sealed tube under argon atmosphere for 36 h . TLC analysis of the reaction mixture showed the presence of mixture of products and no attempt was made to separate these products.

Crystallization of rac-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate in the presence of $\mathrm{D}-2,6-\mathrm{di}$ - $O$-benzoyl myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate in chloroform
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solvent: Racemic 2,4-di-O-benzoyl myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoacetate ( 0.052 g ) and D-2,6-di-O-benzoyl myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoformate $(0.005 \mathrm{~g})$ were dissolved in chloroform (3 mL ). Light petroleum was diffused into this solution in a closed container over 4-5 days at rt . Bunches of sharp needles were obtained $(0.044 \mathrm{~g})$ as shown in the Figure 3.8B. The sharp needles (Figure 3.8C) were separated manually and collected ( 0.037 g ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of these needles showed that they contained rac-2,4-di-O-benzoyl myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoacetate and D-2,4-di-O-benzoyl myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoformate in the ratio 20 $: 1$.

Transesterification reaction of Form-II crystals of rac-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate in solid state: Form-II crystals of the orthoacetate ( 0.032 g ) and anhydrous sodium carbonate $(0.07 \mathrm{~g})$ were ground together as a fine powder and heated at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere for 195 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the products were extracted with chloroform- methanol mixture ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1$ ). The crude products were separated by preparative TLC to obtain 2,4,6-tri-$O$-benzoyl myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate (3.3) ( $0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 42 \%$ ); Mp. $=153-155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Lit. ${ }^{40} \mathrm{Mp} .=154-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 2-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol-1,3,5-orthoacetate (3.4) (0.01 g, 42 \%). Mp. $=156-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;$ Lit. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}} \mathrm{Mp} .=160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as white solids.

## Transesterification reaction of Form-I crystals of rac-2,4-di-O-benzoyl-myo-inositol

 1,3,5-orthoacetate (3.2) in solid-state. The reaction was carried out as above using Form-I crystals of the orthoacetate $3.2(0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous sodium carbonate $(0.212 \mathrm{~g})$ at $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 195 h. TLC analysis of the products obtained showed the presence of tribenzoate 3.3, 2-benzoate 3.4 along with the starting material 3.2 and
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two unidentified products. The tribenzoate $3.3(0.017 \mathrm{~g}, 13 \%)$ and the starting material $3.2(0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \%)$ were separated by column chromatography using a mixture of ethyl acetate-dichloromethane-petroleum ether (1:1:8) as the eluent.
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Figure 3.16. Packing of helices in crystals of orthobenzoate 2.28 showing interhelical contacts.

Crystal data table of dibenzoate 2.28, and monobenzoates 3.48 and 1.232.

| Crystal data | 2.28 | 3.48 | 1.232 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 474.45 | 370.34 | 294.25 |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.38 \times 0.33 \times 0.28$ | 0.41x0.15x0.13 | 0.51x0.23x0.22 |
| Temp. (K) | 297(2) | 297(2) | 297(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic | orthorhombic | monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ | $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| A [ $\AA$ ] | 14.819(2) | 6.2886(17) | 6.198(3) |
| B [ $\AA$ ] | 9.4652(14) | 11.361(3) | 17.764(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}[\AA]$ | 16.977(3) | 23.481(6) | 11.748(7) |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 103.908(3) | 90 | 91.599(14) |
| $\mathrm{V}\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | 2311.5(6) | 1677.6(8) | 1293.0(13) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| F(000) | 992 | 776 | 616 |
| D calc [ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ] | 1.363 | 1.466 | 1.512 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.101 | 0.112 | 0.123 |
| absorption correction $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{T}_{\text {min }} \\ & \mathrm{T}_{\text {max }} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { multi-scan } \\ 0.9628 \\ 0.9726 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { multi-scan } \\ 0.9552 \\ 0.9851 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { multi-scan } \\ 0.9400 \\ 0.9733 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| reflns. collected | 11265 | 12068 | 6204 |
| Unique reflns. | 4053 | 2963 | 2263 |
| Observed reflns. | 3254 | 2498 | 1989 |
| index range | $\begin{aligned} & -16 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~h} \Rightarrow 17, \\ & -9 \Rightarrow \mathrm{k} \Rightarrow 11, \\ & -15 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -7 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~h} \Rightarrow 7, \\ & -13 \Rightarrow \mathrm{k} \Rightarrow 8, \\ & -27 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -5 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~h} \Rightarrow 7, \\ & -21 \Rightarrow \mathrm{k} \Rightarrow 19, \\ & -7 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 13 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$ | 0.0384 | 0.0396 | 0.0464 |
| $\mathrm{wR}_{2}$ | 0.0953 | 0.0757 | 0.1071 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (all data) | 0.0509 | 0.0501 | 0.0539 |
| $\mathrm{WR}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.1032 | 0.0788 | 0.1110 |
| goodness-of-fit | 1.037 | 1.048 | 1.128 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-3}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | -0.174, 0.157 | -0.126, 0.143 | -0.173, 0.153 |
| CCDC number | 617709 | 617710 | 617711 |
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ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{3 . 5 6}$
Cryatal data table of D 3.56

| Identification code | D 3.56 crystals from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-light petroleum |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| Formula weight | 398.35 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 £ |
| Crystal system, space group | Orthorhombic, P 212121 |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=5.914(2) \AA \\ & \mathrm{b}=16.229(6) \AA \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.957(7) \AA \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1819.3(12) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.454 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.113 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 832 |
| Crystal size | 0.69 x $0.19 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 2.15 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-6<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-19<=\mathrm{k}<=19,-22<=1<=22$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | 11640 / 3200 [R(int) $=0.0290$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9862 and 0.9265 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3200 / 0 / 334 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.099 |
| Final R indices [I>2 ${ }^{\text {(I) }}$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0344, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0779$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0379, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0797$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.2(9) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\min }$ ) | 0.127 and -0.119 e. $\AA^{-3}$ |

Crystal data table of ent 3.56

| Identification code | ent 3.56 crystals from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-light petroleum |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| Formula weight | 398.35 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Orthorhombic, P $2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=5.9105(17) \AA \\ & \mathrm{b}=16.200(4) \AA \\ & \mathrm{c}=18.861(5) \AA \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1805.9(9) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.465 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.114 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| F(000) | 832 |
| Crystal size | $0.50 \times 0.12 \times 0.11 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| $\theta$ range for data collection | 1.66 to $25.00^{\circ}$ |
| Limiting indices | $-7<=\mathrm{h}<=7,-16<=\mathrm{k}<=19,-18<=\mathrm{l}<=22$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $8909 / 3161$ [R(int) $=0.0334$ ] |
| Completeness to $\theta=25.00$ | 99.9 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9876 and 0.9454 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3161/0/266 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.176 |
| Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma$ ( I ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0520, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0838$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0654, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0872$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.6(14) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole ( $\rho_{\max } \& \rho_{\text {min }}$ ) | 0.150 and $-0.174 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$ |

Crystal data table of Form-II of 3.2:

| Identification code | Form-II crystals from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$-light petroleum |
| :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ |
| $M_{r}$ | 412.38 |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.78 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$ |
| Temp. (K) | 297(2) |
| Crystal system | monoclinic |
| space group | C2/c |
| A [ $\AA$ ] | 27.591(7) |
| B [ $\AA$ ] | 9.383(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}[\AA]$ | 16.938(4) |
| $\beta\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ | 117.346(4) |
| $\mathrm{V}\left[\AA^{3}\right]$ | 3895.0(18) |
| Z | 8 |
| $\mathrm{F}(000)$ | 1728 |
| D calc [ $\mathrm{g} \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ ] | 1.406 |
| $\mu\left[\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right]$ | 0.108 |
| absorption correction | multi-scan |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {min }}$ | 0.9205 |
| $\mathrm{T}_{\text {max }}$ | 0.9912 |
| Reflections collected | 13715 |
| Unique reflections | 3422 |
| Observed reflections | 2857 |
| index range | $-32 \Rightarrow \mathrm{~h} \Rightarrow 32,-11 \Rightarrow \mathrm{k} \Rightarrow 11,-20 \Rightarrow 1 \Rightarrow 19$ |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})$ ] | 0.0693 |
| wR ${ }_{2}$ | 0.1468 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ (all data) | 0.0834 |
| $\mathrm{WR}_{2}$ (all data) | 0.1534 |
| goodness-of-fit | 1.214 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-3}{ }^{-3}\right)$ | -0.259, 0.178 |
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| DEPT <br> 臺 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
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