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| AIBN | Azaisobutyronitrile |
| :---: | :---: |
| Bn | Benzyl |
| DMAP | $N, N$ '-Dimethylaminopyridine |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| EtOAc | Ethyl acetate |
| Im | Imidazole |
| MeI | Methyl iodide |
| Py | Pyridine |
| TEA | Triethyl Amine |
| TBDMSCl | tert-Butyldimethylchlorosilane |
| TBDPSCl | tert-Butyldiphenylchlorosilane |
| $p$-TSA | para-Toluenesulfonic acid |
| TBTH | Tri n-butyltin hydride |
| Boc | tert-Butoxy carbonyl |
| $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Di-tert-butyl dicarbonate |
| DBU | 1,8-Diazabicyclo [5.4.0]undec-7-ene |
| DIBAL-H | Diisobutylaluminium hydride |
| DMP | Dess-Martin periodinane |
| Me | Methyl |
| Et | Ethyl |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| $m$ CPBA | meta-Chloroperbenzoic acid |
| EtOH | Ethanol |
| MeOH | Methanol |
| Pd/C | Palladium on carbon |
| PMB | para-Methoxy benzyl |
| TBAF | Tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| DEAD | Diethyl azodicarboxylate |


| DMF | $N, N^{\prime}$-Dimethylformamide |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{DMS}$ | Boron dimethylsulfide complex |
| DCC | Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide |
| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SOI}$ | Trimethyl sulfoxonium iodide |
| Cy | Cyclohexyl |
| Mes | Mesityl |

## GENERAL REMARKS

> ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on AC-200 MHz, MSL-300 MHz, AV 400 MHz and DRX-500 MHz spectrometers using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Chemical shifts have been expressed in ppm units downfield from TMS.
$>$ EI Mass spectra were recorded on Finngan MAT-1020 spectrometer at 70 eV using a direct inlet system and electron spray ionization mass spectra were recorded in API QSTAR pulsar (LC-MS TOF).
$>$ Microanalyses were performed with Carlo-Elba elemental analyzer.
Infrared spectra were scanned on Shimadzu IR 470 and Perkin-Elmer 683 or 1310 spectrometers with sodium chloride optics and are measured in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO DIP 370 digital polarimeter.
Melting points were recorded on Buchi 535 melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.
$>\quad$ All reactions are monitored by Thin Layer chromatography (TLC) carried out on 0.25 mm E-Merck silica gel plates (60F-254) with UV light, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, ninhydrin and anisaldehyde in ethanol as development reagents.
$>\quad$ All solvents and reagents were purified and dried according to procedures given in Vogel's Text Book of Practical Organic Chemistry. Yields refer to chromatographically and spectroscopically homogeneous materials unless otherwise stated.

All evaporations were carried out under reduced pressure on Buchi rotary evaporator below $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
Silica gel (60-120) used for column chromatography was purchased from ACME Chemical Company, Bombay, India.
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The thesis entitled "Synthetic studies toward Manzacidins, Pondaplin and some applications of cyclopropylmethyl radicals" is divided into three chapters. The first chapter describes the synthesis of spirocarbocycles using radical mediated gem-diallylation followed by RCM strategy and a synthesis of bicyclic carbohydrate derivative using cyclopropylmethyl radical chemistry. The second chapter deals with the synthetic studies on manzacidins B, a bromopyrrole alkaloid. The last chapter describes the synthetic efforts toward pondaplin, a 13-membered macrolide.

Chapter-1: Part I: synthesis of carbohydrate based spirocycles using cyclopropylmethyl radicals

Since many natural products have been characterized as possessing spirolinkages, synthesis of spirocyclic compounds has attracted considerable attention. A novel methodology has been developed in our group for gem-diallylation by trapping the homoallyl radical generated in situ, with allyltri-n-butylstannane. This gem-diallyl (2) system underwent ring closing olefin metathesis reaction with Grubbs catalyst to give spirocyclopentene derivative $\mathbf{3}$. Hydroboration followed by oxidation of compound $\mathbf{3}$ gave

## Scheme 1


a mixture of alcohols, which were oxidized under Swern oxidation conditions to give the spiro ketones 4 and 5.

To extend the application of this strategy for the synthesis of polycyclic spirocompounds, we targeted two novel bis-spirocyclic compounds $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ by following an iterative approach of this method from spiroketones 4 and 5. Accordingly, compound 4 was subjected to a sequence of reactions, Wittig olefination, DIBAL-H reduction, Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation and treatment with $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and MeI in THF to get the xanthate 7. The radical allylation on xanthate 7 gave the diallyl derivative 8 , which on RCM furnished the bis-spiro compound 9 . Similarly, compound 5 was converted to the bis-spirocyclic derivative $\mathbf{1 1}$ by following the same sequence of reactions.

## Scheme 2



Scheme 3


## Chapter-1: Part II: Synthesis of aminocarbocycle from carbohydrate based cyclopropylmethyl radical

Angularly fused triquinane natural products have attracted intense attention of synthetic organic chemists as challenging targets. Structural complexity associated with significant biological activity has necessitated development of various approaches for their synthesis. Among them, the radical cascade reactions are by far the most elegant and efficient approaches.

Scheme 4



Carbohydrate based triquinanes have been synthesized from our group using intramolecular radical cascade by a homoallyl radical generated by the rearrangement of cyclopropylmethyl radical. By following that strategy, we attempted to synthesis N heterocyclic triquinanes. Accordingly, compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ was converted to the saturated ester 14 in four steps. This ester on reduction with DIBAL-H gave an aldehyde, which on treatment with $\mathrm{BnONH}_{2} . \mathrm{HCl}$ yielded a mixture of $O$-benzyl oximes 15. After removing the silyl group, the alcohol obtained was converted to the xanthate derivative 16. This
xanthate 16 on treatment with $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and AIBN gave the tricyclic compound 18. Although the stereochemistry of the newly formed amine and allyl groups were syn, which was necessary for further cyclization, it failed to produce the expected tetracyclic compound 17.

## Chapter-2: Studies toward the synthesis of Manzacidin B

Bromopyrrole alkaloids comprise a large and varied class of marine natural products possessing interesting and potentially useful pharmacological activities as $\alpha$ adrenoreceptor blockers, seretonin antagonists and actomyocin ATPase activators. Manzacidins possess unique structures consisting of an ester linked bromopyrrole carboxylic acid and a tetrahydropyrimidine ring. Although manzacidins exhibit similar biological activities to those of other bromopyrrole alkaloids, only preliminary tests have been carried out, due to the scarcity of these compounds. Because of their interesting biological properties, limited availability in nature and novel structural features, we started the synthesis of these molecules, particularly manzacidin B(20).

Figure 1


## Scheme 5



Our studies toward the synthesis of manzacidin B was started with the oxidation of protected phenylglycinol 21 followed by a stereoselective olefination with the phosphonate 23 and NaH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the $Z-\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 22 exclusively. Allylic alcohol 23 obtained by reduction of the ester 22 with DIBAL-H, was subjected to the stereoselective epoxidation with $m$-CPBA to give the epoxy alcohol 24 as a single product through the co-operative effect of the $N$-Boc and hydroxyl group with the peracid. This epoxy alcohol on exposure with $\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{CCN}$ and DBU produced the imidate 26 in quantitative yield, which on subsequent treatment with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ yielded an unusual orthoamide 28 instead of the desired oxazoline 27 . This orthoamide on hydrolysis with dil. HCl gave a diol, which was converted to its acetonide derivative and characterized by single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis.

Scheme 6
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With this unexpected mode of cyclization, we have slightly modified the substrate in order to prevent the reaction of $t$-butyl carbamate with the epoxide through a conformational change. Thus, the same sequence of reactions was carried out with Garner aldehyde $\mathbf{3 0}$ to get the imidate 33. As we expected, this imidate on treatment with $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ led to the required oxazoline $\mathbf{3 4}$ exclusively. After acid hydrolysis, both the amines were protected as their $N$-Boc derivative to afford the triol 35, which was having all the chiral centers present in manzacidin B. In the mean time a synthesis of manzacidin B with revision of stereochemistry at C-9 was reported. To get the correct stereochemistry, we have synthesized the required diastereomer $\mathbf{3 8}$ from the $E-\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{3 1}$.

## Scheme 7



Scheme 8


Having successfully synthesized the triol 38 with protected diamines, currently studies are directed towards the completion of the synthesis.

## Chapter-3: Studies toward the synthesis of Pondaplin

Pondaplin 43, a cyclic prenylated phenylpropanoid isolated from Annona glabra L.(Annonaceae), is the only example of a [9]-paracyclophane natural product. It shows moderate cytotoxicity among six human solid tumor cell lines. Five $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ centers in its tether confer a strong rigidity to this thirteen-membred macrolide. All these structural features and its biological activity made it an attractive target for its total synthesis.

## Scheme 9



Wittig-Horner olefination of aldehyde 39 with the phosphonate 45 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced the $Z$ - $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 41 as the major product. After transesterification with methallyl alcohol, the triene 42 obtained was subjected to the ring closing olefin metathesis reaction with Grubbs catalyst. Even under very high dilution, we could isolate only the dimer at the monosubstituted olefin (44).

With the failure of RCM strategy, macrolactonization was considered as an alternative route to pondaplin. Thus, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde was converted to the dithioacetal derivative $\mathbf{4 7}$ by alkylation with ethylbromoacetate followed by protection of the aldehyde using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ and ethane-1,2-dithiol. This ester was then reduced to the aldehyde with DIBAL-H at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in toluene and was subjected to the Wittig-Horner olefination with the phosphonate 25 and NaH in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get the $Z-\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester 49 as the major product. This ester was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol 50 using DIBAL-H and protected as TBDPS ether 51. Cleavage of the dithioacetal with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ and HgO yielded the aldehyde 52 .


Simultaneously, we have made this aldehyde in a short method in which the trisubstituted olefin 56 was made first and coupled with the 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde. In this strategy, protected hydroxyacetone 53 was treated with the phosphonate 57 at low temperature to generate the trisubstituted $Z-\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 55 along with the minor $E$-isomer 56. This ester was reduced with DIBAL-H and etherified with 4hydroxybenzaldehyde under mitsunobu conditions to get the aldehyde 52.

## Scheme 11



Aldehyde 52 underwent Wittig-Horner olefination with the phosphonate $\mathbf{4 5}$ to give the $c i s$ - $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester (62) as a major product. Deprotection of this silyl ether with

TBAF followed by hydrolysis of the ester with LiOH furnished the required secoacid (63). Under several conditions tried for macrolactonization, we were unable to isolate the natural product, pondaplin.
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## CHAPTER-1

Synthesis of spiro and fused polycyclic carbohydrates using cyclopropylmethyl radicals

## Introduction

## Cyclopropylmethyl radicals

Both the basic understanding and the synthetic utilization of radical processes have increased dramatically in the last few decades. ${ }^{1}$ One of the most powerful methods in today's synthetic arsenal is radical cyclization and/or radical rearrangement-cyclization. From the literature, it is evident that the cyclopropyl ring can undergo several kinds of ring opening reactions like electrophilic, nucleophilic and radical ring opening. ${ }^{2-4}$ Carboncarbon bond cleavages of strained ring systems like cyclopropane triggered by cyclopropylmethyl radical have been studied as a method for preparing alkenyl compounds ${ }^{5}$ (Figure 1).


Figure 1
The rapidity of the ring opening of cyclopropylmethyl radical has resulted in the widespread use of cyclopropane rings as a probe of reaction mechanism both in chemical and enzyme catalysed reactions. Formation of ring-opened products from substrates containing the cyclopropylmethyl group has been widely used as an indication that a particular reaction proceeds via a radical intermediate. ${ }^{4,6}$ For example, cyclopropanes having different substituents were used to study the mechanism and rate of biological reactions ${ }^{5 b}$ like hydroxylation of alkanes with cytochrome P 450, inhibition of monoamine oxidase by cyclopropylamines, hydrogen transfer reactions by nicotinamide co-enzymes, penicillin biosynthesis, biosynthesis of ethylene from 1-amino cyclopropanecarboxylates and dephosphorylation of organophosphates by Escherichia coli.

Similarly, evidence for the radical intermediates in dissolving-metal reduction of carbonyl compounds ${ }^{7}$ and some photochemical reactions like Norrish type I and type II processes, ${ }^{8}$ Paterno-Buchi reaction ${ }^{9}$ and [2+2] cycloaddition of alkenes to enones ${ }^{10}$ have been found by using cyclopropane compounds.

While extensive work on cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals has focused mainly on kinetic studies only a few examples of reactions from the synthetic point of view have thus far been reported. A tandem radical ring closing-radical ring opening strategy has been developed by Clive and co-workers for the synthesis of several benzofuran derivatives (2) for the evaluation of inhibitors of leukotriene biosynthesis, through the intermediate of cyclopropylmethyl radical 1 (Scheme 1). ${ }^{11}$


Batey et al. developed a general method for the synthesis of fused (3), spiro (4) and bridged (5) bicyclic ring systems from fused cyclopropyl ketones. Here the exoselective cyclopropylcarbinyl-allylcarbinyl radical rearrangement was triggered by single electron transfer from $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ (Scheme 2). ${ }^{12}$

## Scheme 2





Suarez et al. developed a tandem $\beta$-fragmentation-cyclopropylcarbinyl radical rearrangement for the synthesis of 11-membered rings by photolysis of steroidal alcohols using (diacetoxyiodo)benzene and iodine, in which the initially formed alkoxy radical 6 rearranged to the ring expanded iododerivative 8 through the cyclopropylcarbinyl radical intermediate 7 (Scheme 3). ${ }^{13}$


A new method for generation of cyclopropylcarbinyl radical $\mathbf{9}$, has been developed by intramolecular cyclization of a radical on exomethylene cyclopropane by Penfold et al. They have applied this strategy for the synthesis of tricyclic $\beta$-lactam 10 (Scheme 4). ${ }^{14}$

## Scheme 4



Stannyl radical addition to vinyl cyclopropane generates cyclopropylmethyl radical 11, which undergo the rearrangement to give allylcarbinyl radical 12. Ryu and co-workers
utilized this strategy for the stannylformylation of vinyl cyclopropanes by trapping the rearranged radical with carbon monoxide (Scheme 5). ${ }^{15}$

## Scheme 5



Ziegler et al. developed a sequential radical fragmentation of cyclopropylcarbinyl/oxirnylcarbinyl system for the synthesis of prostaglandin $B_{1}$. Here the initially formed radical preferentially ruptures the cyclopropane bond overlapping with the carbonyl group to provide the oxiranylcarbinyl radical $\mathbf{1 3}$ which was in rapid equilibrium with $E$ - and $Z$-allyloxyl radicals $\mathbf{1 4}$ and $\mathbf{1 5}$ respectively. The $Z$-allyloxy radical $\mathbf{1 5}$ underwent intramolecular 1,5-H abstraction to provide the prostaglandin $B_{1}$ orthoester 16 (Scheme 6). ${ }^{16}$

## Scheme 6



Initiation of radical cyclizations by fragmentation of a strained cyclopropyl ring system 17 beginning with the addition of a sulfur centered radical (generated by photolysis of alkyl disulfide) to an alkene was studied by Jung et al. for the synthesis of linear triquinanes 19 through the radical intermediate 18 using suitably placed intramolecular radical acceptor (Scheme 7). ${ }^{17}$


Lee et al. described a procedure for thermodynamically favored endoselective cyclopropane ring opening in fused bicyclic ketones for the synthesis of ring expanded ketones 20 using $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ through the intermediate 21 (Scheme 8). ${ }^{18}$

## Scheme 8



Similarly Lee and co-workers also used bicyclic cyclopropylcarbinyl thiocarbamates 22 as precursor for cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals $\mathbf{2 3}$, which underwent the rearrangement to provide the ring expanded olefins 24 with the ring size of 6 to 8 (Scheme 9 ). ${ }^{19}$

## Scheme 9



Takekawa et al. achieved a regeioselective cleavage of C-C bond of optically active cyclopropanes initiated by cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals and enantioselective synthesis of suitably functionalized alkenes that would serve as versatile chiral building blocks for the construction of a wide variety of biologically active compounds. Here the optically active bromide $\mathbf{2 5}$ obtained from meso-diol $\mathbf{2 6}$ by enzymatic desymmetrization, was converted to enantiopure homoallylic acetate 27 using the cyclopropylcarbinyl-homoallylic radical rearrangement. This acetate was converted to a key intermediate $\mathbf{2 8}$ for the synthesis of biologically active lignanes (Scheme 10). ${ }^{20}$


Scheme 10


Recently Ruedi et al. developed a three-carbon ring expansion strategy for the synthesis of rac-muscone $\mathbf{3 1}$ from inexpensive $\mathrm{C}-12$ starting materials, in which cyclopropyl ketone 29 cleaved homoletically under flash vacuum pyrolysis. Cyclopropylmethyl radical $\mathbf{3 0}$ has been proposed as an intermediate in this rearrangement (Scheme 11). ${ }^{21}$

## Scheme 11



Pattenden and co-workers have disclosed a new total synthesis of rac-oestrone recently, in which three carbocycles were formed in a single step through a radical cascade. Cyclopropylmethyl radical 32 was an intermediate in that synthesis (Scheme 12). ${ }^{22}$

Scheme 12


## Radical mediated gem-diallylation

Introduction of gem-diallyl functionality in a molecule is usually achieved either by direct base mediated diallylation using allyl halides ${ }^{23}$ or by Pd-catalyzed allylation using allyl acetates. ${ }^{24}$ But these methods are limited only to compounds having active methylene groups. To overcome this problem a new method has been developed in our laboratory
(Gurjar et al.) ${ }^{25}$ in which a aldehyde/ketone carbonyl group was converted to gem-diallyl group. Key transformation in this strategy was trapping the allylcarbinyl radical 33 (formed by the rearrangement of cyclopropylcarbinyl radical 34) with allyltri-n-butyltin (Keck allylation) ${ }^{26}$ as given in figure 2.


This gem-diallylation method has been successfully used in aliphatic (35), carbohydrate (36, 37) and amino acid (38) systems as given below (Scheme 13). ${ }^{25}, 27$

Scheme 13








Similarly, methallyltri-n-butyltin was used to generate differentially substituted gemdiallyl system 39 as a single diastereomer. Exclusive formation of the compound 39 was a result of steric hindrance from the $1,2-O$-isopropylidene group for the approach of methallyl tin reagent (Scheme 14). ${ }^{27}$

Scheme 14


By using this radical mediated gem-diallylation strategy an expedient synthesis of tetrakis(cyclopropylmethyl)methane 41, a symmetric molecule was achieved for its conformational studies in solid and solution phase (Scheme 15). ${ }^{28}$

## Scheme 15



## Spirocycles by gem-diallylation/RCM strategy

Molecules containing spirocycles find innumerable applications particularly in peptides, ${ }^{29}$ nucleosides ${ }^{30}$ and carbohydrates. ${ }^{31}$ Synthesis of spirocycles was difficult until the advent of novel catalysts (Figure 3) by Schrock ${ }^{32}$ (42) and Grubbs $^{33}$ ( $\mathbf{4 3}$ and 44) used in ring closing olefin metathesis (RCM) though there are a number of methods available like, intramolecular alkylation, cycloaddition and rearrangements. ${ }^{34}$ The RCM based approaches have made the introduction of a spiro group in the structural framework of an organic
molecule an easy proposition. ${ }^{35}$ For instance, gem-diallyl containing substrates undergo RCM to produce spirocyclopentenyl derivatives. ${ }^{36}$


Schrock's catalyst 42


Grubbs 1st generation catalyst

43


Grubbs 2nd generation
catalyst
44

Figure 3
A new strategy has been developed in our laboratory by the combination of radical mediated gem-diallylation and RCM to synthesis spirocyclopentenyl derivatives. Accordingly, carbohydrates and amino acids having diallyl functionality were cyclised by RCM to generate spirocyclopentenyl derivatives 45,46 and 47 (Scheme 16). ${ }^{27}$

Scheme 16





## Triquinanes from cyclopropylcarbinyl radicals

Angularly fused triquinanes have attracted intense attention of synthetic organic chemists as challenging targets. ${ }^{37}$ Structural complexity associated with significant biological activity has necessitated development of many approaches for their synthesis. ${ }^{38}$ Among them, radical cascade reactions are by far the most elegant and efficient approaches as significantly demonstrated by the work of Curran and others. ${ }^{39}$ Fraser-Reid ${ }^{40}$ and coworkers have performed some novel transformations mediated by serial radical cyclization on carbohydrate substrates to synthesize naturally occurring triquinanes.

A novel approach has been disclosed for the synthesis of carbohydrate based oxaand dioxa-triquinanes from our group using a radical cascade initiated by the cyclopropylcarbinyl radical. In this approach the olefin initially formed from the rearrangement of cyclopropylcarbinyl radical acts as an intramolecular radical acceptor to complete the radical cascade with the formation of triquinanes. ${ }^{41}$ Substrate 49 on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ produced a fused bicyclic compound $\mathbf{5 2}$ instead of the expected angularly fused tricyclic compound 51. This premature termination of radical cascade could be attributed to the poor reactivity of the methyl radical 50 (Scheme 17).


In order to circumvent this problem a homopropargyl alcohol substrate $\mathbf{5 3}$ was used to generate the desired triquinanes ( $\mathbf{5 5}$ and 56) as a mixture of epimers at the newly formed methyl center (Scheme 18).


Similarly dioxa-triquinanes were also synthesized by following the same strategy, as a mixture of epimers, 57 and 58 (Scheme 19).

## Scheme 19



## Present Work

Part I: synthesis of carbohydrate based spirocycles using cyclopropylmethyl radicals

In continuation of our work in carbohydrate based cyclopropylmethyl radical chemistry, ${ }^{25,28,41}$ we were interested in the synthesis of enantiomerically pure spirocyclic ring systems 59 and 60, with defined quaternary carbon centers and the bis-spirocyclic ring systems 61 and 62 using the gem-diallylation/RCM strategy. ${ }^{27}$ Since compounds 59 and 60 could be further elaborated to natural as well as designed molecules with suitable modifications, especially by opening of the furanose ring, these spirocycles are considered as chiral building-blocks for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure carbocyclic spirocompounds (Figure 4).



Figure 4 : synthetic plan
We started the synthesis of spiroketones $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ from the spirocyclopentenyl derivative 45 , which was made by the procedure reported from our laboratory using radical mediated gem-diallylation followed by RCM methodology. ${ }^{25}$ Accordingly, 1,2:5,6-di- $O$ -
isopropylidene- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose 63 was subjected to PDC oxidation followed by Wittig olefination with the ylide $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ in refluxing benzene to get the conjugated ester 64. This ester on cyclopropanation using dimethylsulfoxonium methylide (generated in situ from $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SOI}$ and NaH ) followed by reduction with DIBAL-H gave the corresponding cyclopropylmethyl alcohol 65. The bromide 66 obtained from the alcohol 65 using $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ was subjected to the radical mediated gem-diallylation with allyltri-n-butyltin to get the diallyl compound 37 . Ring closing olefin metathesis of compound 37 gave the spirocyclopentenyl derivative 45 (Scheme 20).

## Scheme 20



Hydroboration/oxidation of the olefin to get the spirocyclopentyl alcohol followed by oxidation of hydroxyl groups to the ketones was considered for the synthesis of the spiroketones 59 and 60 from compound 45. Accordingly, hydroboration of compound 45 using $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$ in THF followed by oxidative workup with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and NaOAc gave a
mixture of alcohols 67 (four diastereomers). Although the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 67 was complex, we did observe the absence of resonances due to olefinic protons. This mixture of alcohols on treatment with oxalyl chloride, DMSO and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Swern condition), ${ }^{42}$ furnished a mixture of ketones $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ which were separated by silica gel column chromatography, in a ratio of 9:11 (Scheme 21).

Scheme 21


In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{5 9}$, isolated methylene protons ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{a}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{b}$ ) in the carbocycle were observed as two doublets at 1.95 and 2.50 ppm with $J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ whereas in compound $\mathbf{6 0}$, the same ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{c}^{\prime}$ ) were observed at 2.54 ppm as an ABq . Absolute stereochemistry at the newly formed quaternary carbon centers was established by NOESY experiments. In the compound 59, NOE was observed between $\mathrm{H}-2$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{a}$ as well as $\mathrm{H}-4$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{c}$. This proved that the newly formed quaternary carbon have ( $S$ )configuration. Similarly NOE between H-2 and H-a’ as well as H-4 and H-b’ clearly indicated that the C-4 carbon have ( $R$ )-configuration in compound 60 (Figure 5). In ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, resonance due to the carbonyl carbons were observed at 216.2 and 215.8 ppm for compounds $\mathbf{5 9}$ and $\mathbf{6 0}$ respectively. The mass spectrum [m/z 297 for $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}$for both the ketones] and elemental analysis supported the structure of these compounds. Spectroscopic and analytical data of compound 59 matched with the literature report by



Figure 5: NOE studies
Tadano et al. ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}}$ We proceeded further with these ketones separately, for the synthesis of bis-spirocyclic compounds 61 and 62, without any attempt to improve the selectivity in favor of a particular ketone.

## Tadano's approach for the synthesis of ketone 59

Tadano et al. ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}}$ have reported a stereoselective synthesis of ketone $\mathbf{5 9}$ from the allylic alcohol 68 using claisen orthoester rearrangement to generate the quaternary carbon center. The olefinic ester obtained was elaborated to the target ketone 59 through intramolecular aldol reaction (Scheme 22).

## Scheme 22



Having synthesized the spiroketones, we next turned our attention for the synthesis of bis-spirocyclic compounds 61 and 62 using gem-diallylation protocol followed by RCM methodology. For this endeavor, we started the synthesis of cyclopropylmethyl alcohol 75, which was required to execute the radical mediated diallylation. To synthesize compound 75, we adapted the Corey-Chaykovsky ${ }^{43}$ method of cyclopropanation. Accordingly, the ketone 59 on treatment with triethyl phosphonoacetate and NaH in THF (Wittig-Horner olefination) produced a mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters 73. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 73 showed a singlet at 5.76 ppm for olefinic proton. The signal due to $\mathrm{H}-1$ was observed as two doublets at 5.63 ppm , which clearly indicated that compound 73 was a mixture of $E$ - and $Z$ - isomers. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the peaks at 165.8 and 166.1 ppm were assigned for the ester carbonyl and a signal at 165.0 ppm for the olefinic quaternary carbon. When we attempted for the cyclopropanation on compound 73 with dimethylsulfoxonium methylide (generated in situ from $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SOI}$ and NaH in DMSO), ${ }^{43}$ the starting material decomposed under the reaction conditions (Scheme 23).

## Scheme 23



The Simmons-Smith reaction was considered as an alternative method to achieve this cyclopropanation. The allylic alcohol 76 required for this transformation was obtained by reduction of 73 with DIBAL-H in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 76, hydroxyl methylene protons were located at 3.85-4.17 ppm along with other furanose ring protons as a multiplet and the olefinic protons were observed at 5.58 ppm .

Mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 340$ for $\mathrm{M}^{+}$) and elemental analysis of compound 76 were also supportive of this structure. Cyclopropanation of this mixture of allylic alcohols 76 was accomplished by treatment with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (modified SimmonsSmith condition $)^{44}$ to get the cyclopropylmethyl alcohols 75, which were found to be a mixture of four isomers arising from the two new chiral centers in cyclopropane ring. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{7 5}$, we observed resonances due to the cyclopropane protons in the upfield region with the absence of olefinic proton signals (Scheme 24).

Scheme 24


Mixture of cyclopropylmethyl alcohols $\mathbf{7 5}$ was converted to bromo derivatives 77 using $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was subjected for radical mediated gemdiallylation under standard reaction conditions (allyltri-n-butyltin and AIBN in benzene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). At this temperature, the cyclopropylmethyl bromo derivative decomposed and we were unable to isolate the desired diallyl compound 78. With this unexpected thermal instability of the bromo compound as a radical precursor, we went for the xanthate derivative 79, which was believed to be a stable radical precursor in this case. Accordingly, treatment of the alkoxide generated from alcohol $\mathbf{7 5}$ using NaH in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and MeI provided compound 79. This transformation was confirmed from spectral and elemental analysis. When this xanthate was subjected to radical mediated gem-diallylation reaction, diallyl derivative $\mathbf{7 8}$ formed as a single product. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 78 showed multiplets at 5.73 and 5.03 ppm integrating for two and four protons

Scheme 25

respectively for the allylic olefin protons. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{7 8}$ showed characteristic signals for the olefinic carbons at 135.4 and 117.4 ppm . Rest of the signals in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were in complete agreement with the assigned structure and elemental analysis of this compound was satisfactory (Scheme 25).

## Scheme 26



Compound 78 on treatment with Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature gave the desired bis-spirocyclic derivative 61 in $88 \%$ isolated yield. Absence of resonance due to terminal olefinic protons in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum confirmed the structure. Signals due to the internal disubstituted olefinic carbons in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum were noticed at 129.5 and 130.0 ppm . Elemental analysis for this compound was satisfactory (Scheme 26).

Similarly, spiroketone 60 was converted to the bis-spirocyclic derivative 62 by following the same sequence of reactions described above. Accordingly, ketone $\mathbf{6 0}$ was transformed into allylic alcohol $\mathbf{8 1}$ by Wittig-Horner olefination followed by reduction of the ester to the corresponding allylic alcohol using DIBAL-H. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of

## Scheme 27


compound 81, signals due to $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ methylene and the olefin protons were observed at $4.10(\mathrm{~m})$ and $5.49(\mathrm{~m}) \mathrm{ppm}$ respectively. In the mass spectrum, a signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 340$ for $\mathrm{M}^{+}$ was observed. Synthesis of the diallyl derivative $\mathbf{8 4}$ was achieved in three steps from compound 81. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{8 4}$, resonances due to the allylic olefin protons were noted at $5.73(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $5.01(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$. Signals at 135.7, 135.9 ppm $\left(-\underline{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $117.0,117.3 \mathrm{ppm}\left(-\mathrm{CH}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}_{2}}\right)$ were observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the gem-diallyl compound $\mathbf{8 4}$. Finally, ring closing olefin metathesis of compound $\mathbf{8 4}$ gave the bis-spirocyclic compound $\mathbf{6 2}$ in $87 \%$ yield. Absence of resonances due to the terminal olefinic protons revealed the formation of compound 62. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, signals due to the internal olefinic carbons were noticed at 129.4 and 130.0 ppm . Elemental analyses for all these compounds were satisfactory (Scheme 27).

In conclusion, we have synthesized carbohydrate based novel spirocyclic ketones $\mathbf{5 9}$, 60 and bis-spirocyclic ring systems 61, 62 using our radical mediated gem-diallylation/ring closing olefin metathesis strategy. ${ }^{27}$ Since enantiopure carbocycles are important chiral building-blocks in natural product synthesis, these ketones should serve as synthetic precursors for spirocyclic compounds. In addition, synthesis of bis-spiro derivatives $\mathbf{6 1}$ and 62 shows that the diallyl followed by RCM methodology could be used for the synthesis of polycyclic spiro compounds.

## Present Work

## Part II: Synthesis of aminocarbocycle from carbohydrate based cyclopropylmethyl radical

Oximes are well known as radical acceptors in carbohydrate derived substrates for the synthesis of various five and six membered amino cyclitols. ${ }^{45}$ Marco-Contelles has studied the off template diastereoselectivity in radical cyclization on furanose ring system using oxime as radical acceptor and synthesized aminocarbocycle 86 in good diastereoselectivity. ${ }^{46}$ Carbohydrate based cyclopropylmethyl-homoallyl radical rearrangement was successfully employed for the synthesis of angularly fused oxatriquinane ring systems 55 and 56 from these laboratories (Scheme 28). ${ }^{25,41}$ In continuation of our studies on carbohydrate based cyclopropylmethyl radicals, ${ }^{27,28}$ we were interested to apply this radical cascade for the synthesis of oxaza-triquinanes by combining these two strategies.

Scheme 28



Accordingly, a substrate like 87 should undergo the radical rearrangement to give the stable tertiary radical 88, which could further react with the suitably placed oxime in a 5-exo-trig fashion to generate the nitrogen centered radical 89 with the formation of two vicinal chiral centers. The main objective of this work was to study the stereochemical outcome of this step and further cyclization of the nitrogen centered radical for the synthesis of oxaza-triquinane 90 (Figure 6).


Our first target was to synthesize the radical precursor 87 in order to study the proposed radical cascade. Our synthetic endeavor started with the oxidative cleavage of the known compound $65^{47}$ to get the aldehyde 91 by treatment with periodic acid in ethyl acetate. The crude aldehyde obtained was used for the next step without further purification. Treatment of the aldehyde 91 with ylide $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature gave an inseparable mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters 92 in a ratio of 4:6. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, signals due to ethyl protons were obsevered at $1.29\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $4.19\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ integrating for three and two protons respectively and for olefinic protons, the resonances were at 5.76-6.08 ppm and at 6.46 ppm as multiplets. Resonances observed in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum at 165.3 and 164.8 ppm were assigned for the ester carbonyl carbons whereas the signals at $123.2,123.6,141.1$ and 142.4 ppm were assigned for the olefinic carbons. IR ( $1718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ ), mass spectral $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283\right.$ for $\left.\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis was in supportive of the assigned structure 92 (Scheme 29).

## Scheme 29



Compound 92 was reduced to the saturated ester 93 as a single product under hydrogen atmosphere (balloon pressure) using $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, absence of signals due to the olefinic protons confirmed this transformation. Elemental analysis and mass spectrum [m/z 285 for $\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}$] were as expected for the assigned structure. The free hydroxyl group in compound $\mathbf{9 3}$ was then protected as its silyl ether using TBDMSCl and imidazole in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $96 \%$ yield. Presence of silyl group was confirmed from the signals observed at $0.07(6 \mathrm{H})$ and $0.90(9 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$ in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and at $-5.5,18.0,25.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. A signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 399$ for (M$\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}$was observed in mass spectrum of compound 94 (Scheme 30).

## Scheme 30



For the introduction of $O$-benzyl protected oxime in place of the carboxylic ester a two-step procedure was considered. Accordingly, ester 94 was reduced to the corresponding aldehyde 95 by treatment with DIBAL-H in toluene at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This crude aldehyde on treatment with $O$-benzyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride and pyridine in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a mixture of syn and anti-O-benzyl oxime derivative 96 in $82 \%$ overall yield for two steps. ${ }^{46}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, signals due to oxime protons ($\mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{NOBn}$ ) were observed at 7.47 and 6.71 ppm as triplets whereas presence of two singlets at 5.03 and 5.09 ppm and a multiplet at $7.27-7.40 \mathrm{ppm}$ confirmed the benzyl group. The signals for the oxime carbons were observed at 150.1 and 151.0 ppm in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. Mass $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 476\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis were in supportive of the assigned structure 96 (Scheme 31).

## Scheme 31






The silyl ether 96 was cleaved by TBAF in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get the free hydroxyl group in $91 \%$ yield. Absence of signals for the silyl group in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra and mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 362\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$confirmed the formation of compound 97. The alkoxide formed from the alcohol 97 and NaH in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and MeI to get the xanthate derivative 87. The resonance observed at 2.58 ppm integrating for three protons was assigned for $-\mathrm{SC}_{3}$ protons. The down field shift of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - methylene protons from 3.25 and 4.04 ppm (in alcohol) to 4.49 and 4.99 ppm in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and a signal in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum at $215.7 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{S})$ were in supportive of the assigned structure 87 (Scheme 32).

Scheme 32


Having synthesized the radical precursor 87, the next step was to subject this xanthate for the proposed radical cascade. Thus compound 87 on treatment with tri-nbutyltinhydride and AIBN in refluxing benzene for 8 h gave a mixture of products. Among those, the major product (compound 98) was isolated in $23 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 98 showed signals at $5.09\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}-\right)$ and $5.86\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}-\right) \mathrm{ppm}$ which were characteristic of olefinic protons with the absence of signals for the oxime protons. A new signal at 3.41 ppm (triplet, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was assigned for the $>$ CHNHOBn proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum clearly showed resonances for the allylic olefin carbons at 117.7 and 137.7 ppm . In mass spectrum m/z 346 for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$was observed (Scheme 33).

## Scheme 33



Stereochemistry at the newly formed chiral centers was assigned based on NOESY experiment (Figure 7). NOE between H-4 and the allylic methylene protons proved the stereochemistry at C-3 was (S) and the ring fusion was cis. Another NOE between H-2 and H-7 clearly indicated the stereochemistry at the carbon (C-7) having the amino functionality as $(R)$-configuration. From these studies structure of the major product was assigned as compound 98.


Figure 7: NOE studies
Formation of compound 98 can be explained by the following mechanistic pathway (Figure 8). Initially formed cyclopropylmethyl radical 99 rearranged to the more stable homoallylic tertiary radical as expected. This tertiary radical can have two different
conformations ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ and 101) for further (5-exo-trig) cyclization with the oxime. Based on steric considerations, it was clear that this exo-cyclization took place through the re-face attack (conformation 100), where it avoids the steric hindrance between oxime and the furanose ring. It is worthy to mention here that the newly formed stereocenter at $\mathrm{C}-7$ was $(R)$, where as the amino carbocycle synthesized by Marco-Contelles had the $(S)$ configuration.



Figure 8: mechanism for the radical cascade

In conclusion, our attempted radical cascade for the synthesis of oxaza-triquinane yielded only the prematured aminocarbocycle 98, by trapping the rearranged homoallyl radical with a suitably placed oxime in the radical precursor. Though compound 98 had the syn relation between the amine and olefin, which was required for further cyclization, it failed to produce the angularly fused aza-heterocycle. With the availability of reactions like, aminomercuration and iodoamination it could be possible to achieve this transformation.

## Experimental

(3S)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-(3-oxo-cyclopentane)- $\alpha$-D-
glucofuranose (59) and (3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-(3-oxo-cyclopentane)- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose ( 60 ):

To a solution of compound $45(1.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 2 h , saturated aq. solution of sodium acetate and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(30 \%$ solution, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at $-15{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Solvent was removed and the residue dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated. The mixture of alcohols $67(1.6 \mathrm{~g})$ obtained after silica gel column chromatography (light petroleum and ethyl acetate -3:2) was added to a solution of $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}(0.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ under nitrogen at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h at that temperature, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, washed with water, brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated. The crude product was purified on silica gel column with light petroleum and ethyl acetate (5:1) to afford the less polar ketone 59 followed by its diastereomer $\mathbf{6 0}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%, 59: 60=9: 11)$ as colourless thick liquids.

## Compound 59:


$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+89.1\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\right.$ lit. $\left.[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+73.7\left(c 1.26, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right]$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.17-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 25.1,26.4,26.7,26.9,36.4,43.0,53.1,68.8,74.2$, 82.2, 86.1, 104.1, 109.7, 112.2, 216.2

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 312): C, 61.52; H, 7.74; Found C, 61.65; H, 7.46.
MS (EI) m/z: $297\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}$

## Compound 60:


$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+32.3\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.17-2.32 (m, 2H), $2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.07 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4,5.2,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.18 $(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.2,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.9,25.5,26.1,26.4,26.6,36.7,41.8,52.6,68.6$, 73.8, 81.8, 86.6, 103.9, 109.6, 111.9, 215.8

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 312): C, 61.52; H, 7.74; Found C, 61.69; H, 7.56. 3 MS (EI) m/z: $297\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}$
(3R)--Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3E/Z-(ethoxycarbonylmethylene)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (73):


Triethyl phosphonoacetate $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a suspension of NaH ( $48 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature. Reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of aldehyde $59(0.312 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise. After stirring for 30 min at room temperature, saturated
aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken in ethyl acetate, washed with water, brine, dried and concentrated. The crude product was purified on silica gel column by using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:2) to afford a mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters $73(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a colourless paste.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.22(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ $2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(2 \mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 14.1,25.0,26.2,26.5,26.7,27.7,29.4,30.0,32.3$, $36.1,39.1,55.0,56.1,59.1,68.5,74.0,74.1,80.9,81.2$, 85.0, 85.5, 103.9, 109.1, 109.2, 111.6, 113.2, 113.4, $165.0,165.8,166.1$

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (MW. 382): C, 62.81; H, 7.91; Found C, 63.06; H, 8.13. MS (EI) m/z: 382 ( $\mathbf{M}^{+}$)

## Reaction of (3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3E/Z-

 (ethoxycarbonylmethylene)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (73) with trimethyl sulfoxoniun ylideTo a mixture of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SOI}(277 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dry DMSO ( 3 mL ) at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature. A solution of the unsaturated ester 73 ( $320 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMSO ( 5 mL ) was added to this reaction mixture and stirring continued. Under this reaction condition within 1 h , starting material was decomposed.
(3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3E/Z-(hydroxyethylidene)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (76):


DIBAL-H ( 2.0 M solution in toluene, $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of ester $73(0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 30 min , saturated aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was added at the same temperature and the biphasic mixture was separated, aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 2 x 10 mL ), combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The crude product was passed through a short bed of silica gel eluting with light petroleum and ethyl acetate (1:1) to give the corresponding allylic alcohol 76 ( $0.26 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.30,1.32,1.40,1.52(4 \mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.29-2.60 (m, 3H), 3.85-4.17 (m, 7H), $5.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 25.2, 25.9, 26.3, 26.6, 26.8, 27.2, 27.5, 30.2, 32.6, $37.4,55.0,55.8,59.9,60.1,68.4,74.2,81.1,85.2,85.4$, $103.9,109.2,111.5,121.5,143.6$

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 340): C, 63.51; H, 8.29; Found C, 63.59; H, 8.13. MS (EI) m/z: $340\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$

Reaction of (3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3E/Z-(bromoethylidene)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (77) with allyl tri-n-butyltin:

To a solution of allylic alcohol $76(337 \mathrm{mg}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ under Ar at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a 1.0 M solution of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{Zn}(2.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}(0.47$ $\mathrm{mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$. After 12 h , the reaction was quenched with ice and partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water. Organic layer was dried, concentrated and the residue passed through a short column of silica gel using light petroleum and ethyl acetate as eluent (1:1) to afford a mixture of cyclopropylmethyl alcohol $75(220 \mathrm{mg})$ as a colourless paste. To a solution of this cyclopropylmethyl alcohol ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and pyridine ( 1 mL ) was added $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}(410 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(325 \mathrm{mg}, 1.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . After removing solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give the corresponding bromide derivative 77 as a colourless paste ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 51 \%$ for two steps). A solution of this cyclopropylmethyl bromide ( $210 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), allyl tri-n-butyltin ( $330 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ )
and AIBN ( 20 mg ) in benzene ( 5 mL ) was degassed with argon and refluxed. Within 3 h , starting material decomposed.

## (3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2;5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-(3,3-C-diallyl-cyclopentane)- $\alpha$-D-

 glucofuranose (78)To a solution of cyclopropylmethyl alcohol $75(85 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) was added 19 mg of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 15 $\mathrm{min}, 0.06 \mathrm{~mL}(0.96 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of MeI were added at 15 min interval and stirring continued for 30 min . After quenching the reaction with aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, THF was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken in ethyl acetate and washed with water, dried, concentrated and purified over silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to give the xanthate derivative $79(90 \mathrm{mg})$. A solution of this xanthate ( $90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), allyl tri-n-butyltin ( $0.13 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AIBN ( 5 mg ) in benzene ( 4 mL ) was degassed and refluxed under Ar for 12 h . Benzene was removed under reduced pressure, diluted with ether and stirred with an aq. solution of KF for 3 h and then filtered. The filtrate was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give the gem-diallyl derivative 78 ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \%$ overall yield for two steps).

$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+22.8\left(\right.$ c 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32,1.34,1.40,1.50(4 \mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.83-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80-3.93$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.94-5.10 (m, 4H), $5.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.66-5.92$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.5,26.5,26.8,27.1,27.9,35.5,39.2,43.3,43.9$, 45.7, 56.2, 69.1, 74.0, 82.8, 87.8, 104.1, 109.4, 111.9, 117.4, 135.4

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 378): C, 69.81; H, 9.05; Found C, 70.03; H, 9.13.
(3R)-3-Deoxy-1,2;5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3,3-C-(3-cyclopentenyl)-cyclopentane)- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (61):

A solution of gem-diallyl compound $78(22 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Grubbs catalyst ( 4 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . Solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude product purified by column chromatography on silica gel using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give the spiro cyclopentenyl derivative 61 $(18 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$, as a colourless paste.

$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}+22.7\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.22(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.32,1.35,1.41,1.51(4 \mathrm{~s}$, $12 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 25.6,26.6,26.8,27.2,28.8,39.5,43.7,47.0,47.3$, 50.3, 55.9, 69.1, 74.2, 83.1, 88.4, 104.3, 109.4, 111.8, 129.5, 130.0

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 350): C, 68.55; H, 8.63; Found C, 68.30; H, 8.73.
(3S)-3-Deoxy-1,2:5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3E/Z-(hydroxyethylidene)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (81)


Ketone $60(380 \mathrm{mg})$ was converted to a mixture of allylic alcohols $\mathbf{8 1}$ by following the two-step process described for compound 76, in $70 \%$ ( 289 mg ) overall yield.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\quad \delta 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.80-2.02 (m, 2H), 2.24-2.72 (m, 4H), 3.28 (br s, 1H), $3.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 24.8,25.9,26.2,26.4,26.5,27.6,27.8,30.9,35.2$, 54.7, 55.2, 59.5, 68.0, 73.7, 73.9, 80.6, 81.0, 84.9, 85.3, 103.7, 108.9, 111.2, 120.3, 120.5, 142.2

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 340): C, 63.51; H, 8.29; Found C, 63.73; H, 8.36.
MS (EI) m/z: $340\left(\mathbf{M}^{+}\right)$
(3S)-3-Deoxy-1,2;5,6-di- $O$-isopropylidene-3,3-C-(3,3-C-diallyl-cyclopentane)- $\alpha$-Dglucofuranose (84):

Compound 84 was prepared from allylic alcohol 81 ( $26 \%$ overall yield for three steps) by following the same procedure described for compound 78.

$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+47.6\left(c\right.$ 1.0, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.31,1.33,1.39,1.49(4 \mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 1.76-$ $1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75-3.93(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.98-4.17 (m, 3H), 4.98-5.08 (m, 4H), $5.63(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.72-5.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$

## ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.7,26.5,26.8,27.2,29.0,35.9,38.0,43.9,44.1$, $44.3,55.9,69.1,74.2,82.6,87.7,104.4,109.4,111.6$, 117.0, 117.3, 135.7, 135.9

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 378) : C, 69.81; H, 9.05; Found C, 69.82; H, 9.15.
(3S)-3-Deoxy-1,2;5,6-di-O-isopropylidene-3,3-C-[3,3-C-(3-cyclopentenyl)-cyclopentane]- $\alpha$-D-glucofuranose (62):

Following the procedure described for compound 61, diallyl compound $84(30 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.08 mmol ) was converted to the bis-spiroderivative $\mathbf{6 2}$ using Grubbs' catalyst ( 4 mg ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in $87 \%(24 \mathrm{mg})$ yield.

$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+38.9\left(c 0.85, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.32,1.34,1.40,1.49(4 \mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.11(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 2.20-2.41 (m, 4H), 3.79-3.94 (m, 2H), 4.04-4.20 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 25.6,26.5,26.8,27.1,30.0,39.9,42.2,46.6,47.3$, 49.0, 55.5, 69.0, 74.1, 82.9, 88.8, 104.3, 109.4, 111.6, 129.4, 130.0

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 350): C, 68.55; H, 8.63; Found C, 68.80; H, 8.80.

## Ethyl-3,5,6-trideoxy-3,3-C-[(S)-(hydroxymethyl)ethylene]-1,2-isopropylidene- $\alpha$-D-erythro-hept-5E/Z-eno-1,4-furanos-7-onate (92)

Periodic acid ( $2.92 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of compound $\mathbf{6 5}(3.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 10.7 mmol ) in ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ) and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. The solid formed was filtered, washed with ethyl acetate and the combined washings were concentrated to dryness. This crude aldehyde 91 was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 4 h with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(4.45 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature. After removing solvent, the crude material was chromatographed on silica gel column using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:2) to give a mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters $92(1.97 \mathrm{~g}$, $62 \%$ for two steps) as a colourless oil.

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C D}_{3}$ : $\quad \delta 0.42(\mathrm{t}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.5(\mathrm{t}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.79(\mathrm{dd}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(\mathrm{dd}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.76-$ $6.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2.8 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{dd}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7,15.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 11.3,11.9,13.9,18.4,18.6,26.1,26.4,26.6,26.7$, $34.0,34.6,60.3,64.1,64.3,74.9,78.1,85.0,85.3$, 104.5, 104.7, 111.7, 123.2, 123.6, 141.1, 142.4, 164.8, 165.3

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3518,1718,1663,1384,1163$ and 1045.
MS (EI) m/z: $283\left(\mathrm{M}^{\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{+}}\right.$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 298): C, 60.39; H, 7.43; Found C, 60.19; H, 7.31.

## Ethyl-3,5,6-trideoxy-3,3-C-[(S)-(hydroxymethyl)ethylene]-1,2-isopropylidene- $\alpha$-D-

 erythro-hepto-1,4-furanos-7-onate (93)Unsaturated esters $92(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ in ethyl acetate ( 5 mL ) was stirred for 6 h under hydrogen atmosphere (ballon pressure). After filtration through Celite, the solution was concentrated and passed through a short bed of silica gel (light petroleum and ethyl acetate, 3:2) to afford the saturated ester $93(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as colourless oil.

$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+88.8\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.48(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $2.0,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 3.26 (ddd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.0,10.3,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.32(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=3.9,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.89(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.1,13.5,18.9,24.7,25.7,26.0,30.4,33.7,59.5$, $63.7,77.3,85.2,103.6,110.5,172.2$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3483,1728,1165$ and 1053.
MS (EI) m/z: $285\left(\mathrm{M}^{(\mathrm{CH}}\right)^{+}{ }^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 300): C, 59.98; H, 8.05; Found C, 59.82; H, 8.21.

## Ethyl-3,5,6-trideoxy-3,3-C-[(S)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl)ethylene]-1,2-

 isopropylidene- $\alpha$-D-erythro-hepto-1,4-furanos-7-onate (94)$\operatorname{TBSCl}(1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a mixture of the alcohol $93(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0$ mmol ) and imidazole $(570 \mathrm{mg}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the solid was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Combined washings were concentrated and
chromatographed over silica gel, eluting with light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) to afford the silylether $\mathbf{9 4}(2.78 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a colourless thick liquid.

$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+112.9\left(\mathrm{c} 1.3, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right): \quad \delta 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.56(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, $0.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.65$ (m, 2H), 3.54 (dd, 1H, $J=6.5,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.03 (dd, 1H, $J=4.2,10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta-5.5,11.1,14.0,18.0,19.0,25.2,25.7,26.4,26.8$, $30.9,33.2,59.8,62.4,77.6,85.9,104.0,110.8,172.5$

I R $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2956,1729,1471,1166$ and 1084.
MS (EI) m/z: $399\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{- C H}}^{3}\right)^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Si}$ (MW. 414): C, 60.84; H, 9.24; Found C, 60.63; H, 9.17.

## 3,5,6-Trideoxy-3,3-C-[(S)-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl)ethylene]-1,2-isopropylidene-7E/Z-( $O$-benzyloximino)- $\alpha$-D-erythro-hepto-1,4-furanose (96)

A 2.3 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the ester $94(1.4 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the reaction was quenched by the addition of 1 mL of methanol and excess of aq sodium potassium tartrate solution and stirred for 1 h at room temperature. Layers were separated and aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give the crude aldehyde 95 . To a solution of this aldehyde ( 1.2 g ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2 mL of pyridine and $636 \mathrm{mg}(4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $O$-benzyl hydroxylamine hydrochloride at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. After removing solvent under reduced pressure,
the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (6:1) to give a mixture of oximes 96 (syn: anti-4: 6) as a colourless thick paste in $82 \%(1.31 \mathrm{~g})$ yield.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.55(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.99-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.58(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $4.3,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 5.81(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.71(\mathrm{t}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.27-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{t}$, $0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta-5.5,10.9,18.0,18.8,23.0,25.7,26.2,26.5,26.7$, $33.0,62.4,75.2,75.3,77.6,77.8,85.5,103.8,110.8$, $127.3,127.4,127.6,127.9,128.0,137.5,137.8,150.1$, 151.0

I R $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3066,1471,1454,1253,1165$ and 1083.
MS (ESI) m/z: $476(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$ (MW. 475): C, 65.65; H, 8.69; N, 2.94; Found C, 65.78; H, 8.59; N, 3.05.

3,5,6-Trideoxy-3,3-C-[(S)-(hyroxymethyl)ethylene]-1,2-isopropylidene-7E/Z-( $O$ -benzyloximino)- $\alpha$-D-erythro-hepto-1,4-furanose (97)


A 1.0 M solution of TBAF ( $1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the silyl ether 96 ( 650 $\mathrm{mg}, 1.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h , solvent was removed under reduced pressure and purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (7:3) to afford the alcohol 97 as a colourless thick paste in $91 \% ~(450 \mathrm{mg})$ yield.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 0.46(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.26(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.04(\mathrm{dt}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.2,11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(2 \mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.43$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 5.88$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.70(\mathrm{t}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-$ $7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.6,19.4,23.0,26.3,26.4,26.6,26.8,34.4,64.5$, $75.4,75.6,77.9,78.1,85.6,104.0,111.1,127.5,127.8$, $128.0,128.1,137.6,137.9,149.9,150.7$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3521,1454,1165$ and 1047.
MS (ESI) m/z: $362(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 361): C, 66.46; H, 7.53; N, 3.88; Found C, 66.28; H, 7.34; N, 4.08.

## 3,5,6-Trideoxy-3,3-C-\{(S)-[(S-methyl-dithiocarbonato)methyl]ethylene\}-1,2-

 isopropylidene-7E/Z-( $O$-benzyloximino)- $\alpha$-D-erythro-hepto-1,4-furanose (87)

To a solution of cyclopropylmethyl alcohol $97(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 15 $\min 0.27 \mathrm{~mL}(4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and $0.41 \mathrm{~mL}(6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of MeI were added at 15 min interval and stirring continued for 30 min . Reaction was quenched by the addition of aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, THF was removed, and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate
and water. Organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified over silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent to give a mixture of xanthate derivative $\mathbf{8 7}$ as pale yellow paste in $90 \%$ ( 449 mg ) yield.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\left.{ }_{3}\right): \quad \delta 0.66(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.14-1.45(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.31$ (m, 1H), $4.34(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$, 11.7 Hz ), $4.99(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $1.2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72(\mathrm{t}$, $0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.28-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 5.6 Hz ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.0,15.4,18.9,23.0,26.4,26.5,26.6,26.8,34.5$, $74.8,75.4,75.6,77.4,77.6,85.5,104.1,111.4,127.6$, 127.8, 128.1, 128.2, 137.7, 137.9, 149.9, 150.7, 215.7

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3064,2988,2930,1454,1165$ and 1064.
MS (EI) m/z: $436\left(\mathrm{M}^{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)}{ }^{+}\right.$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ (MW. 451): C, 58.51; H, 6.47; N, 3.10; S, 14.20; Found C, 58.38; H, 6.20; N, 3.24; S, 14.02.

## (1S,2R,6R,8R,11R)-1-(3-Propenyl)-11-( $O$-benzyloxyamino)-4,4-dimethyl-3,5,7-

 trioxatricyclo-[6.3.0.0 $0^{2,6}$ ]-undecane (98)

A solution of xanthate $87(380 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$, tri-n-butyltinhydride ( $490 \mathrm{mg}, 1.69$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, AIBN ( 30 mg ) in benzene ( 5 mL ) was degassed with argon and refluxed for 8 h . After removing solvent under reduced pressure, the crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give the tricyclic compound 98 as a colourless thick liquid in $23 \%$ ( 67 mg ) yield.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+111.5\left(\mathrm{c} 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $5.5,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 26.7,27.3,28.6,29.5,37.0,58.9,65.1,75.8,83.3$, 89.4, 106.9, 111.1, 117.7, 127.9, 128.4, 128.5, 134.7, 137.6

MS (ESI) m/z: $346(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW. 345): C, 69.54; H, 7.88; N, 4.05; Found C, 69.68; H, 7.79; N, 4.19.
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## CHAPTER-2

Studies toward the synthesis of Manzacidin B

## Bromopyrrole alkaloids

Bromopyrrole alkaloids comprise a large and varied class of marine natural products possessing interesting and potentially useful pharmacological activities as $\alpha$ adrenoreceptor blockers, seretonin antagonists and actomyosin ATPase activators. ${ }^{1}$ Some of the open chain and closed bromopyrrole-imidazole alkaloids, bromopyrrole alkaloids with tetrahydropyrimidine core and their closely related compounds are given below.

## Open chain pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids

The first member of this class of compounds, oroidin (1) was isolated from Agelas oroides by Forenza et al. and its structure was reassigned by its synthesis by Garcia and co-workers. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ Hymenidin (2), a novel antagonist of serotonergic receptors, isolated from the Okinawan marine sponge Hymeniacidon sp. by Kobayashi and co-workers. ${ }^{2 c}$ Bromopyrrole alkaloids dispacamide (3) and monobromo dispacamide (4) were isolated by Cafieri and co-workers from the Caribbean Agelas species, namely A. dispar, A. clathrodes, A. longissima, and A, conifera. These compounds show a potent and selective antagonistic activity against histaminergic receptors as a result of tests performed in vitro on the guinea pig ileum ${ }^{3}$ (Figure 1).



$5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Br}$, Tauroacidin A

6 R=H, Tauroacidin B


Figure 1
Jun'ichi Kobayashi et al. have isolated two new bromopyrrole alkaloids, tauroacidins A (5) and B (6), with tyrosine kinase inhibitory activity from an Okinawan marine sponge Hymeniacidon sp. and the structures were elucidated on the basis of spectral data and
chemical means. ${ }^{4}$ Keramadine (7), a novel antagonist of serotonergic receptors, has been isolated from the Okinawan sea sponge Agelas sp. by Nakamura et al. Keramadine is biogenetically closely related to oroidin and sceptrin, which have been isolated from the same genus Agelas. However, the configuration of the double bond is in reverse of those in these compounds. ${ }^{5}$

## Cyclic pyrrole-imidazole alkaloids

Sceptrin (8), the first dimeric pyrrole-imidazole alkaloid was isolated from Agelas sceptrum (Lamarck) by Faulkner and co-workers. It shows potent antiviral, antimuscarinic, antibacterial, and antihistaminic activity. ${ }^{6 a}$ Ageliferin (9), an antiviral agent structurally related to sceptrin, was isolated in 1989 from Agelas conifera by Rinehart ${ }^{6 \mathrm{bb}}$ (Figure 2).



Figure 2
Slagenins A, B and C (10-12) are novel bromopyrrole alkaloids with a unique tetrahydrofuro[2,3-d]imidazolidin-2-one moiety, isolated from the Okinawan marine sponge, Agelas nakamurai by Kobayashi and co-workers ${ }^{7}$ (Figure 3).



11 R=Me, Slagenin B


12 Slagenin C

Figure 3
Cyclooroidin (13), isolated from the Mediterranean sponge Agelas oroides possesses the unprecedented N1:C9 connection. ${ }^{8}$ Hymenialdisine (14), a tricyclic bromo pyrrole alkaloid, was isolated from the Okinawan marine sponge Hymeniacidon aldis by Kitagawa and co-workers in 1983. ${ }^{9}$ Dibromophakellin (15), a guanidine alkaloid isolated as a hydrochloride salt from the marine sponge Phakellia flabellate by Sharma et al. It exhibits
a very mild antibacterial action against B. subtilis and E. coli. ${ }^{10 \mathrm{a}}$ Dibromoagelaspongin (16) was isolated as its hydrochloride salt from the marine sponge Agelas sp.(Tanzania) and contains a guanidine moiety, possesses a different molecular skeletal structure. This compound appeared to be biogenetically related to phakellinss ${ }^{10 \mathrm{~b}}$ (Figure 4).


13 Cyclooroidin


14 Hymenialdisine


15 Dibromophakellin


16 Dibromoagelaspongin

Figure 4
Agelastatin A (17), a tetracyclic alkaloid of the oroidin family was isolated from the axinellid sponge Agelas dendronorpha by D’Ambrosio et al. It shows cytotoxicity toward tumour cells. ${ }^{11}$ Palau'amine (18), a hexacyclic bisguanidine alkaloid was isolated from the Sponge Stylotella agminata by Scheuer and co-workers in 1993 (Figure 5). It possesses antibiotic, antifungal and immunosuppressive activity. ${ }^{12}$


17 Agelastatin A


18 Palau'amine

Figure 5

## Bromopyrrole alkaloids with 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine core

Manzacidins $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and $\mathrm{C}(\mathbf{1 9 - 2 1})$ belonging to an unprecedented class of bromopyrrole alkaloids were isolated from the marine sponge Hymeniacidon sp. collected from Okinawa, Japan. ${ }^{13 a}$ Manzacidin D (23) was isolated from the coralline demosponge Astrosclera willeyana collected in Australia. ${ }^{13 b}$ N-Methyl manzacidin C (22) was from the marine sponge Axinella brevistyla in Japan. ${ }^{13 \mathrm{c}}$ Manzacidins consists of pyrrolecarboxilic
acid and an unusual 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine unit in which the two amino groups of the latter are attached to secondary and tertiary stereogenic carbon centers. While manzacidin A and C are diastereomeric at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ position, manzacidin A and D have different substituents at the $\mathrm{C}-3$ and $\mathrm{N}-14$ positions (Figure 6). In the preliminary tests, manzacidins exhibit similar biological activities like other bromopyrrole alkaloids.



Figure 6

## Alkaloids structurally related to manzacidins

Clathramides A (24) and B(26) are two novel isomeric bromopyrrole alkaloids containing the uncommon N -methylimidazolinium moiety, isolated from the Caribbean sponge Agelas Clathrodes. ${ }^{14 a}$ Clathramides C (25) and D (27) are N-14 nor- derivatives of clathramides A and B respectively isolated from the same source by Cafieri et al. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ These compounds show mild antifungal activity (Figure 7).



Figure 7
Ectoine (28) and hydroxyectoine (29) possess the 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine moiety, which is present in all the manzacidins. ${ }^{15 a}$ Though this pyrimidine core is found in a number of chromopeptidic siderophores of fluorescent Pseudomonsa, compounds 28 and 29 are closely related to manzacidins (Figure 8). These secondary aminoacids act as agonists or antagonists for the receptors of peptide molecules. ${ }^{15 b}$


28 Ectoine


29 Hydroxyectoine

Figure 8

## Synthetic approaches in manzacidins

## Ohfune's approach (manzacidin A and C) ${ }^{16}$

Ohfune et al. synthesized both the manzacidin A (19) and C (21) using asymmetric Strecker reaction with phenylalanine as the chiral axillary, to create the quaternary amine and assigned the absolute stereochemistry of these natural products. Phenylalanine was removed from the substrate by oxidation into the imine followed by hydrolysis in the synthesis of manzacidin A. But in the case of manzacidin C, the axillary was removed by oxidation into the corresponding hydroxylamine and was hydrolyzed (Schemes $\mathbf{1}$ and 2).



Reagents: a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, Boc-L-phenylalanine succinimide; b) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, p-TSA; c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$; d) TMSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; e) $\mathrm{TMSCN}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$; f) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; g) conc. HCl ; h) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$; I) $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{NOH} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (Boc)$)_{2} \mathrm{O} ;$ j) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$; k) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$; l) PDC; m) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, conc. $\left.\mathrm{HCl} ; \mathrm{n}\right) \mathrm{NaH}, 4-$ bromotrichloroacetylpyrrole.

## Scheme 2



Reagents: a) TMSOTf, 2,6-lutidine; b) TMSCN, $\mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}$; c) $\mathrm{MeReO}_{3}$, urea- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$; d) conc. HCl ; e) $10 \%$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; f) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$; g) $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{NOH} .5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$; I) $0.3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH} ;$ j) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$; k) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$; l) PDC; m) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, conc. HCl ; n) NaH , 4-bromotrichloroacetylpyrrole.

## Du Bois' approach (manzacidin A and C) ${ }^{17}$

Du Bois et al. have synthesized both manzacidin A (19) and C (21) through a C-H insertion of nitrene reaction for the construction of the quaternary amine. Their synthesis commenced with the diastereoselective hydrogenation of the homoallylic alcohol (Schemes 3 and 4).

Scheme 3: synthesis of manzacidin-A


Reagents: a) $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2} \mathrm{OTf},(\mathrm{R})-\mathrm{PHANEPHOS} ; \mathrm{b}\right) \mathrm{ClSO}_{2} \mathrm{NCO}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$; c) $\mathrm{Rh}_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4}, \mathrm{PhI}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{MgO}$; d) $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; e) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$; f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, N -formylbenzotriazole; g) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 2,6-{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}_{2}-4-\mathrm{MeC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$; h) 8 M HCl ; i) $\mathrm{NaH}, 4$-bromotrichloroacetylpyrrole.

## Scheme 4: synthesis of manzacidin-C



Reagents: a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Rh}\left[((S, S)\right.$-Et-DUPHOS)(cod) $] \mathrm{OTf}$; b) $\mathrm{ClSO}_{2} \mathrm{NCO}, \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$.

## Lanter's approach (manzacidin C) ${ }^{18}$

A total synthesis of manzacidin C (21) was reported by Lanter and co-workers by asymmetric aza-Mannich reaction utilizing chiral sulfinimine anion as the nucleophile and N -sulfonyl aldimine as the electrophilic component (Scheme 5).

## Scheme 5




Reagents: a) LHMDS ; b) MeMgBr ; c) $\mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane; d) $\mathrm{AcOCH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}$; e) $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{AcOH}$; f) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; g) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$; h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} ;$ I) NaH , 4-bromotrichloroacetylpyrrole.

## MacKay's approach (manzacidin D) ${ }^{19}$

The first total synthesis of manzacidin D (23) was reported by MacKay and coworkers using a diastereoselective iodocyclization of an olefinic isothiourea 57 to
introduce the quaternary center. Conversion of thiourea 59 to the requisite formamidine $\mathbf{6 0}$ was achieved using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. urea complex (Scheme 6).

## Scheme 6



Reagents: a) NaH , methallylbromide; b) dil. HCl ; c) MeNCS ; d) MeI, MeCN ; e) IBr; f) $\mathrm{AgOCOCF}_{3}$; g) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; h) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$.urea; i) 4 N HCl ; j) NaH , trichloroacetylpyrrole.

## Kano's approach (manzacidin A) ${ }^{20}$

Using catalytic asymmetric 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition, a short total synthesis of manzacidin A (19) was reported by Kano and et al. This natural product was achieved in just five steps in good enantioselectivity (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7


Reagents: a) Bis \{((S)-binaphthoxy)(isopropoxy)titanium \}oxide; b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; c) PPTS, $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$; d) Raney$\mathrm{Ni}, \mathrm{H}_{2},{ }^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{PrOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} ;$ e) NaH , 4-bromotrichloroacetylpyrrole.

## Li Deng's approach (manzacidin A) ${ }^{21}$

A formal total synthesis of manzacidin A (19) has been reported by Deng and coworkers, using cinchona alkaloid catalyzed asymmetric tandem conjugate additionprotonation for the direct creation of nonadjacent stereocenters (Scheme 8).

## Scheme 8




Reagents: a) 20 mole \% Quinidine-9-acetate; b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$; c) $\mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$; e) TBDPSCl, imidazole; f) $\left[\mathrm{PtH}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right)\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}\right] ;$ g) $\left.\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C},(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O} ; \mathrm{h}\right) \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{OAc})_{4},{ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOH} ;$ i) TBAF.

## Present Work

Bromopyrrole alkaloids manzacidin A-D and $N$-methyl manzacidin C (19-23) were isolated from marine sponges. ${ }^{13}$ The constitution and relative stereochemistry of manzacidins were elucidated via a combination of NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic techniques. These molecules consist of a bromopyrrolecarboxylic acid and an unusual 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidine unit in which the two amino groups of the latter are attached to secondary and tertiary stereogenic carbon centers. While manzacidin A and C are diastereomeric at the $\mathrm{C}-9$ position, manzacidin A and D have different substituents at the C-3 and N-14 positions. The most complex member of this class of alkaloids, manzacidin B has an additional, secondary hydroxyl group in the pyrimidine core. Bromopyrrole alkaloids are known to exhibit pharmacologically useful activities such as $\alpha$-adrenoceptor blockers, antagonists of serotonergic receptor, actomyosin ATPase activators etc. Although manzacidins exhibit similar biological activities, only preliminary tests have been carried out owing to the extremely small amount of samples available from marine sources (Figure 9).
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Figure 9: Manzacidins
Due to their structural complexity, natural scarcity, and biological importance, manzacidins prompted many groups to pursue their total synthesis. Enantioselective
syntheses of manzacidin A and C, ${ }^{16-18,20,21}$ and a racemic synthesis of manzacidin $D^{19}$ have been reported. Because of their daunting molecular architecture and biological properties, we embarked on a program directed toward their total synthesis, initially targeting manzacidin $B$.

## Synthetic strategy

In planning our approach, we hoped to develop a convergent, flexible, and stereocontrolled route that would provide the natural products as well as their analogues for further biological study. Inspection of the structural features of manzacidin B (20) coupled with the literature precedents revealed the ester functionality as the strategic site for disconnection to give the known bromopyrrole moiety ${ }^{16}$ (68) and the highly functionalized tetrahydropyrimidine core (69). We planned to construct the compound 69 from the lactone 70 using trimethyl orthoformate by following a similar strategy reported by Ohfune et al. ${ }^{16}$ For the synthesis of the lactone 70, which includes all the three chiral
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Figure 10: Synthetic strategy
centers present in the molecule, we have devised a novel strategy by the combination of a chelation controlled epoxidation ${ }^{22}$ of the allylic alcohol 73 and an intramolecular epoxide opening with trichloroacetimidate (Hatakeyama's protocol) ${ }^{23}$ for the introduction of the tertiary amine stereoselectively. The allylic alcohol $\mathbf{7 3}$ could be made from phenyl glycinol 74 by a stereoselective Wittig-Horner olefination followed by reduction of the ester (Figure 10).

Our synthesis was started with the reduction of phenyl glycine using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{I}_{2}$ in THF to get the phenyl glycinol $\mathbf{7 4}$ by following the known procedure. ${ }^{24}$ The amino group in phenyl glycinol was protected by reductive amination followed by carbamate formation. The imine formed from compound 74 and $p$-anisaldehyde in methanol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in the same pot. ${ }^{25}$ This secondary amine 76 was converted to the Boc derivative 77 by treatment with ( Boc$)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{THF} /$ water. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 77, a resonance due to the tert-butyl protons was observed at $1.44 \mathrm{ppm}(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ and the $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ protons were at 3.77 ppm as a singlet. PMB methylene protons were observed at $4.18 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$ and the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ protons were at $3.98 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s})$. The Boc-carbonyl carbon resonated at 158.1 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 358\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed the assigned structure (Scheme 9).

Scheme 9


For the stereoselective construction of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $Z$-ester 79, the alcohol 77 was first subjected to the Dess-Martin periodinane oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde 78. ${ }^{26}$ This aldehyde (without column purification) on treatment with the anion generated from the phosphonate $\mathbf{8 0}$ and NaH , at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h afforded the desired $Z$-unsaturated ester 79, exclusively. ${ }^{27}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 79, a signal for the olefinic
proton was observed at $6.18 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and the resonance due to the allylic $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ was at $1.93 \mathrm{ppm}(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. The ester carbonyl carbon was observed at 166.5 ppm whereas the olefinic carbons were at 129.5 and 137.6 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. Configuration of the olefin was confirmed from the NOE studies on compound 79. NOE between the allylic $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and the olefinic proton clearly indicated the Z-geometry of the olefin. In the mass spectrum, a signal for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$was observed at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 440$. Though

## Scheme 10


we could get the conjugated ester in good selectivity, the product was found to be optically inactive, probably due to racemization in the olefination step. Further studies were carried out with this racemic product without any attempt to get the optically pure material (Scheme 10).


The conjugated ester was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol 73 using DIBAL-H in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The allylic methylene protons of compound 73 were resonated at 4.02 and 4.05 ppm as doublets with $J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and the olefinic proton was at 5.52 ppm as a doublet with $J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 398\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed this transformation. For the synthesis of the syn epoxide 72, we followed the chelation-controlled epoxidation reported by Kishi. ${ }^{22}$ This transformation was accomplished by using $50 \% m$ - CPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the desired epoxy alcohol 72, as a single diastereomer (Scheme 11). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 72, the epoxide proton signal appeared at 3.39 ppm as a doublet with $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 414\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$supported the oxidized product. Stereoselectivity in this epoxidation was expected based on chelation between the allylic alcohol and carbamate in the substrate with the perbenzoicacid (co-operative effect, Figure 11) and confirmed at a later stage.


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { R = PMB } \\
& R^{\prime}=\text { tBu } \\
& \text { Ar = 4-chlorophenyl }
\end{aligned}
$$

Figure 11: Chelation controlled epoxidation
To introduce the tertiary amine, we had adapted the Hetakeyama's protocol ${ }^{23}$ using the trichloroacetimidate. Accordingly, compound $\mathbf{7 2}$ was treated with trichloroacetonitrile and DBU in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to get the imidate $\mathbf{8 1}$. After column purification, the imidate was subjected to the Lewis acid catalyzed epoxide opening using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the expectation to get the oxazoline derivative 71 (Scheme 12). From the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, it was clear that the product formed was an inseparable mixture of two compounds in a ratio of $6: 4$. Absence of a signal due to the tertiary butyl group in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, molecular ion at m/z 501 [which was 56 (butyl) less than the expected oxazoline] and the presence of a carbamate carbon in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum at 157.2 and 157.3 ppm indicated that the epoxide opening had taken place with the loss of tert-butyl group to give the compounds $\mathbf{8 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$. Formation of these compounds can be explained
through the intermediates of $\mathbf{7 1}$ and $\mathbf{8 2}$. Use of $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ instead of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ also produced the same result.

## Scheme 12



To further confirm the proposed structures, the mixture of compounds $\mathbf{8 3}$ and $\mathbf{8 4}$ was subjected to acid hydrolysis with 2 N HCl in THF. After disappearance of the starting material (TLC), the reaction mixture was treated with solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in the same pot. To our surprise, there was no reaction in the second step and the intermediate $\mathbf{8 5}$ was isolated as a single compound. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of this compound, a singlet was observed at $3.33 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H})$ along with two doublets at 3.50 and 4.78 ppm with a coupling constant 14.7 Hz . But the elemental analysis showed presence of only one nitrogen atom in this compound. Formation of a single compound from a mixture of starting material and the elemental analysis did not help to assign the structure. Fortunately, an acetonide derivative $\mathbf{8 6}$ obtained from compound $\mathbf{8 5}$ by treatment with 2,2dimethoxypropane and p-TSA gave suitable crystals for the single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis to assign the structure and relative stereochemistry of the

Scheme 13


compound 85 (Scheme 13). From the crystal structure analysis, it was found that an inversion at the methine carbon (>CHO-) of the oxazolidinone and the retention at the quaternary center had taken place in the Lewis acid catalyzed reaction of compound $\mathbf{8 1}$ (Figure 12). From the elemental analysis it was found that compound $\mathbf{8 6}$ also had only one nitrogen. From the crystal structure analysis and the elemental analysis result we have assigned the structure of this compound to be 86. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of this compound 86, the acetonide methyl protons resonated at 1.25 and 1.35 ppm . The quaternary carbon of this acetonide was observed at 110.0 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum. The crystal structure showed the three chiral centers were $R, R, R$ (relative stereochemistry), which in turn proved the geometry of the olefin in the Wittig olefination step and the stereochemistry of the epoxide.


Figure 12: ORTEP diagram of compound 86

From the formation of compound 86, we have assigned the structure of the hydrolysis product as a diol 85 . Since compound $\mathbf{8 5}$ was obtained as a single product in $82 \%$ yield from a $6: 4$ mixture of starting material, we came to a conclusion that both the starting compounds should have the diol $\mathbf{8 5}$ as part of their structure. From these results the product formed in the epoxide opening reaction with Lewis acid was reassigned as compound 87. Here the epoxide opening had taken place with the tert-butoxy carbonyl group with the loss of the tert-butyl group to give the oxazolidinone. And the tertiary alcohol formed in this reaction cyclised with the imidate under the influence of the Lewis

Scheme 14

acid to form an unusual orthoamide with a quaternary carbon chiral center. This structure was confirmed from the careful analysis of the NMR spectra of compound $\mathbf{8 7}$. The singlets observed at 2.39 ppm and at 2.61 ppm were assigned for the amine protons. The signal at 115.7 and 116.9 ppm were assigned for the orthoamide quaternary carbon. Formation of a mixture of products was due to the newly formed orthoamide quaternary chiral center (diastereomers, Scheme 14).

## Mechanism for the formation of the orthoamide

Lewis acid promoted epoxide opening with N-tert-butyl carbamate ( $\mathbf{8 8}$ to $\mathbf{9 0}$ ) was employed by Hirama and co-workers in a synthesis of TMC-A, a potent proteasome inhibitor (Scheme 15). ${ }^{28}$ Similarly a series of epoxide opening with a carbonate
functionality for the synthesis of trans fused polycyclic ethers (Scheme 16), using Lewis acid also reported (91 to 93 ). ${ }^{29}$ From these reactions it was clear that the epoxides undergo Lewis acid catalyzed ring opening and also it take part in further reactions if a suitably placed electrophile is available in the substrate.

Scheme 15


Scheme 16


Use of trichloroacetimidate is well documented in the literature as an activator in glycosidation ${ }^{30 \mathrm{a}}$ and used in the protection of hydroxyl groups as their benzyl ${ }^{30 \mathrm{~b}}$ and tertbutyl ethers as well as formation of tert-butyl esters catalyzed by Lewis acids. ${ }^{30 \mathrm{c}}$ In all these cases, the carbon attached with the imidate oxygen becomes electrophilic when the imidate nitrogen complexes with the Lewis acid (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17


From these literature reports, a possible mechanism for the formation of the orthoamide has been outlined below by a combination of two distinct reactions (Figure 13). First, the epoxide opening took place with the tert-butyl carbamate (as a nucleophile) to form an oxazolidinone instead of the expected trichloroacetimidate in the presence of a Lewis acid (98). Second, the tertiary hydroxyl group formed in the epoxide opening with the Boc group 99, added with the trichloroacetimidate in a 1,2-fashion under the influence of the same Lewis acid to form an unusual cyclic orthoamide 87 with a stereogenic carbon


Figure 13: Proposed mechanism
center (path A). Also the simultaneous formation of both the oxazolidinone and orthoamide as given in path $B$ (via 100) cannot be ruled out. In both the cases, the trichloroacetimidate acts as an electrophile instead of a nucleophile. Though the epoxide opening with carbamate is well known, ${ }^{28}$ to the best of our knowledge, formation of an orthoamide from the trichloroacetimidate (in a 1,2-addition in the presence of a Lewis acid) was a new observation.

It is pertinent to mention that there are few examples found in the literature having the orthoamide functionality as part of a molecule. For example, Spiroleucettadine (101) ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}}$ and Frankiamide $(\mathbf{1 0 2})^{31 \mathrm{~b}}$ possess this orthoamide group. In addition to these molecules, synthetic analogue of spirocyclic nucleosides (103) also have this moiety (Figure 14). ${ }^{31 \mathrm{c}}$


101 Spiroleucettadine


102 Frankiamide


103 spiro nucleoside

Figure 14 : Natural and designed orthoamides

## Revised strategy

From the formation of the unusual orthoamide 87, it was clear that the tert-butyl carbamate act as a better nucleophile than the trichloroacetimidate in the compound $\mathbf{8 1}$ under the reaction conditions. To overcome the chemoselectivity problem, we have devised an alternative approach in which the tert-butoxy carbonyl group was conformationally restricted in such a way that it could not react with the epoxide in the reactive conformation. Since the carbamate protection was necessary for the stereoselective epoxidation through the co-operative effect with the perbenzoic acid, we opted for this strategy. We believed that incorporating the Boc protected amine in a ring, like the substrate, $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ could bring the required conformational change. Though the tertbutoxycarbonyl group can occupy either pseudo equatorial ( $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ and 106) or axial ( $\mathbf{1 0 7}$ and 108) positions as given in conformations A-D (Figure 15), the former should be favored
for the steric considerations. Similarly, the side chain having both the epoxide and the imidate can be either in a folded ( $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ and 107) or in a linear ( $\mathbf{1 0 6}$ and 108) conformations and the linear one was expected to be the more stable, again for the steric reasons.


Figure 15: Conformational analysis

From this conformational analysis, it was clear that the Boc couldn't react with the epoxide unless until the molecule reaches the conformation 107 (which will not be favored due to steric congestion). But in all the conformations, the imidate could react with the epoxide to form the required oxazoline without facing any such steric hindrance. To test our hypothesis, we planed to synthesize the substrate 104 by following the same strategy described for the synthesis of the imidate 81, from the Garner aldehyde. Since the absolute stereochemistry of the natural product was not known, the ( $S$ )-Garner aldehyde was selected as the starting material (Figure 16). The oxazoline (110) formed could be converted to the triol (112) by acid hydrolysis followed by protection of the amine. This triol in turn could be converted to the lactone $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ either by direct oxidation or through selective protection/deprotection sequence.


Figure 16: Revised strategy
Garner aldehyde ${ }^{32}(\mathbf{1 1 5})$ on treatment with the phosphonate 80 and NaH at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF for 4 h produced a mixture of the conjugated esters in the ratio of 3.5:1 in favor of the desired $Z$-isomer (114). ${ }^{27}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the major isomer, the olefinic proton signal was observed at 6.00 ppm as a multiplet due to rotamers whereas the same for the minor isomer was a doublet at 6.63 ppm with $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The carbonyl carbons of the ester groups were resonated at 166.0 ppm for the major isomer whereas in minor isomer it was noticed at 166.6 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. The IR spectrum ( 1691 and $1701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $Z$ and $E$ isomers respectively) and the mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 314\right.$ for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$in both the

isomers] supported the formation of these compounds (Scheme 18). The configurations of the olefins were confirmed from the NOE studies. NOE between the olefinic proton and the allylic $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons clearly indicated the $Z$-geometry of the major isomer. In the minor isomer 116, a NOE between the allylic $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and the allylic methine proton proved the $E$-geometry of the olefin (Figure 17).



Figure 17: NOE studies
Having synthesized the required conjugated ester 114, we next turned our attention for the construction of the syn epoxide 113, which was required for the introduction of the tertiary amine chiral center. Thus, unsaturated ester 114 was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ using DIBAL-H at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of

## Scheme 19


compound 117, signals for the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ protons were observed at 3.64 and 4.51 ppm as doublets with $J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ whereas the olefinic proton was at $5.27 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the olefinic carbons were resonated at 124.7 ppm and 137.8 ppm . Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 272\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed this transformation. Epoxidation of this allylic alcohol with $m$ - CPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave the epoxy alcohol 113 as a single diastereoisomer (Scheme 19). The epoxide proton was located at $2.80 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. In the mass spectrum $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288$ was observed for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

The epoxy alcohol 113 was converted to the imidate $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ using trichloroacetonitrile and DBU in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When this imidate was subjected to the epoxide opening using $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, desired oxazoline derivative $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ was isolated as a single product (Scheme 20). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the compound 110, the oxazoline protons were observed at 4.25 and 4.99 ppm as two doublets with $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ where as the CHOH was at $3.76 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, $-\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ and the $\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{N}$ were observed at 86.2 and 161.3 ppm respectively. In the IR spectrum an absorption at $1658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$ was observed for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ of the oxazoline. The mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 431\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$ and elemental analysis confirmed this structure assignment. Finally, the regioselectivity of the epoxide opening and the relative stereochemistry were confirmed from the single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis of the compound 110 (Figure 18).

## Scheme 20
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Figure 18: ORTEP diagram of compound 110

With this oxazoline formation, the synthesis of the chiral fragment present in the natural product, manzacidin B was achieved. A comparison of the structure and the reactivity of both the imidates derived from phenyl glycine and from the Garner aldehyde were shown in figure 19. Though both the substrates ( 81 and 104) have the same electrophile (epoxide) and the nucleophiles (imidate and Boc), the complete reversal of


Figure 19: Effect of conformation on reactivity
chemoselectivity was achieved by bringing an overall conformational change with a slight modification in the substrate, under identical reaction conditions.

The oxazoline $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ was next converted to the triol $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ in two-steps by treatment with 2 N HCl in THF to hydrolyze the oxazoline and the acetonide followed by protection of both the amines as their Boc derivative using $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ after neutralizing the reaction mixture with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in the same pot (Scheme 21). In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 112, the methylene protons adjacent to the tertiary amine carbon center were located at 3.67 and 3.95 ppm as doublets $(J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ where as the other methylene protons resonated at $3.64 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and $3.74 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6$, 3.6 Hz ). In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the methylene carbons were resonated at 63.7 and 65.8 ppm while the quaternary carbon having an amine was at 59.8 ppm . In the mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 365$ was observed for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Scheme 21


After the stereoselective synthesis of the triol $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ with all the stereocenters required for the construction of manzacidin B was completed, a report appeared with the synthesis and structural revision of manzacidin $B^{33}$ (Figure 20). This article described the revision in the stereochemistry of the C-9 chiral center of manzacidin B based on the total synthesis (details not available).



118 (revised structure)

Figure 20: structure of manzacidin B
Based on this report, synthesis of the triol $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ was of no use as the stereochemistry at the C-9 carbon of triol needed revision. Therefore we directed our effort for the
synthesis of triol $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ by following the same strategy used for the synthesis of the triol $\mathbf{1 1 2}$. In order to get the correct stereochemistry at C-9, we started the synthesis of the triol $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ from the trans isomer $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ of the Wittig-Horner reaction. Accordingly, compound $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ using DIBAL-H at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the compound $\mathbf{1 1 9}$, a signal for the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ was observed at 4.00 ppm as a singlet for two protons whereas the olefinic proton was at $5.44 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the olefinic carbons were resonated at 124.2 ppm and 135.8 and 137.1 ppm (rotamer). The mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 272\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed this transformation. Epoxidation of this allylic alcohol was accomplished by treatment with $m$ - CPBA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum

## Scheme 22


of the compound $\mathbf{1 2 0}$, the epoxide proton was located at $2.87 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. In the mass spectrum $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288$ was observed for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. This alcohol was converted to the trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ using trichloroacetonitrile and DBU in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. When this imidate was subjected for the epoxide opening using $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the desired oxazoline derivative $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ was isolated as a single product. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of this compound, the oxazoline protons were observed at 4.30 and 4.70 ppm as two doublets with
$J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ where as the $\mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{HOH}}$ was at $3.64 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum $-\mathrm{CCl}_{3}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\mathrm{N}$ were observed at 86.5 and 161.8 ppm respectively. In the IR spectrum, an absorption at $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was observed for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ of the oxazoline. The mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 431\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed this structure assignment. Finally, the regioselectivity of the epoxide opening and the relative stereochemistry of compound $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ were confirmed from the single crystal X-ray crystallographic analysis (Figure 21). Hydrolysis and Boc protection of the compound $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ yielded the triol $\mathbf{1 2 3}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the compound $\mathbf{1 2 3}$, the methylene protons adjacent to the tertiary amine carbon center were located at $4.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.9$ $\mathrm{Hz})$ and $4.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$ where as the other methylene protons resonated at $3.99(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.6,9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$ and $4.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm}$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the methylene carbons were resonated at 63.5 and 67.9 ppm while the quaternary carbon having an amine was at 59.1 ppm . In the mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 365$ was observed for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$(Scheme 22).


## Figure 21: ORTEP diagram of compound 122

Having synthesized the triol $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ with the required stereochemistry our next concern was to synthesise the lactone 124 from the triol (Scheme 23). Studies are directed toward the synthesis of this triol and the natural product, manzacidin B $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ (revised).

## Scheme 23



In conclusion, our attempt for the Lewis acid catalyzed epoxide opening with the imidate derived from phenyl glycine yielded an undesired compound 87, which includes a cyclic carbamate and an unusual cyclic orthoamide. To overcome this problem, a change in the overall conformation was brought in without changing the functional groups, and two diastereomers of the basic unit present (with all the chiral centers) in manzacidins were synthesized. Conversion of the triol 123 into the natural product 118 through the lactone 124 is in progress in our laboratory.

## Experimental

## (2R)-2-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(4-methoxybenzyl)amino]-2-phenylethanol (77)



To a mixture of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(12.1 \mathrm{~g}, 317.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and D-phenyl glycine $(20.0 \mathrm{~g}, 132.5$ mmol ) in THF ( 250 mL ) was added a solution of iodine ( $33.7 \mathrm{~g}, 132.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and refluxed for 18 h . After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, methanol was added to the reaction mixture and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $20 \%$ aq KOH solution ( 200 mL ), stirred for 4 h and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated to give the phenyl glycinol $74(17.6 \mathrm{~g})$ that was used in the next step without further purification. This amino alcohol was dissolved in methanol ( 200 mL ) and $p$-anisaldehyde ( $17.5 \mathrm{~g}, 128.5$ mmol ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 141.4$ mmol ) was added and stirring continued for 2 h . The reaction was quenched by the addition of dilute acetic acid and the solvent was removed at reduced pressure. The residue was suspended in a $1: 1$ mixture of THF-water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}(31.7 \mathrm{~g}, 145.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 2 h , solvent was removed and the crude material was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water. The organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (7:3) as eluent to give the protected amino alcohol $77(35.9 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ for three steps) as a colourless thick paste.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-30.6\left(c \quad 1.4, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18$ (br s, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.09-7.32 (m, 7H).

[^0]IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3437,2975,2933,1682,1585,1513,1455,1404,1366,1246,1162$, and 1036.

MS (ESI) m/z: $358(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW. 357): C, 70.56 ; H, 7.61; N, 3.92; Found C, 70.42; H, 7.65; N, 3.82.

Ethyl-(2Z,4S)-4-[(tert-butoxycarbonyl)(4-methoxybenzyl)amino]-2-methyl-4-phenyl-but-2-enoate (79)


Dess-Martin periodinane $(5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of amino alcohol $77(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred at room temperature. After 30 min , diethyl ether ( 25 mL ) was added followed by a solution of sodium thiosulphate ( 23 g ) in $80 \%$ saturated aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 10 min . The organic layer was separated and the aq layer was extracted with ether ( 20 mL ). Combined organic layer was washed with water and brine. After drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, solvent was removed at reduced pressure to give the aldehyde $78(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$ as a thick paste.

Phosphonate $80(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution of aldehyde 78 $(2.8 \mathrm{~g})$ was added dropwise. After stirring for 6 h at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction was quenched by the addition of aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. THF was removed at reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (19:1) to afford $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 79 ( $2.66 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ for two steps) as a colourless thick paste.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.17(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.31(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.09(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.14(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 50 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.6,20.2,27.8,48.9,54.6,57.6,60.0,79.5,113.2$, $126.3,127.7,128.6,129.5,130.8,137.6,140.3,155.1$, 158.2, 166.5

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2978,2931,1711,1691,1512,1452,1366,1247,1163$, and 1032.
MS (ESI) m/z: $440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 439): C, 71.05; H, 7.57; N, 3.19; Found C, 71.09; H, 7.60; N, 3.21.

## (2Z, 4S)-4-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(4-methoxybenzyl)amino]-2-methyl-4-phenyl-but-2-

 en-1-ol (73)

To a solution of the ester $79(2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added a 2.0 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise. After stirring for 30 min , the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aq sodium potassium tartrate and stirred for 30 min at room temperature. Organic layer was separated, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:2) as eluent to give the allylic alcohol $73(1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ yield as a colourless thick paste.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO- $_{\mathbf{6}}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.02(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.05$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.85(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.05-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.4,27.9,47.3,54.7,55.6,60.6,80.0,113.1$, 123.7, 126.8, 128.0, 131.2, 139.2, 140.0, 155.9, 158.0

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3437,2974,2933,1687,1612,1513,1454,1366,1247,1163$, and 1035. MS (ESI) m/z: $398(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW. 397): C, 72.52 ; H, 7.86; N, 3.52; Found C, 72.36; H, 7.79; N, 3.65.
(2R,3S,4R)-4-[(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)(4-methoxybenzyl)amino]-2,3-epoxy-2-methyl-4-phenyl-butan-1-ol (72)


To a solution of the allylic alcohol $73(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was $\operatorname{added}(4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 27.2 \mathrm{mmol}) \mathrm{m}$-CPBA and stirred for 40 min . After quenching the reaction with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and the combined organic layer was dried, concentrated. Purification of this crude material by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:1) as eluent gave the epoxy alcohol $72(2.47 \mathrm{~g}, 66 \%$ yield $)$ as a colourless paste.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, DMSO-d $_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{3 3 3 K}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 9.1 Hz), $3.49(\mathrm{ABq}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=11.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.74$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $4.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.46(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.91(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.16-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.

## ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):$

$\delta 19.9,28.0,49.0,55.0,58.1,62.0,63.1$, $63.5,80.2,113.5,126.5,127.3,128.5$, $130.8,138.1,155.8,158.4$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3460,2975,2931,1693,1613,1513,1455,1366,1247,1163$, and 1036.
MS (ESI) m/z: $414(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 413): C, 69.71; H, 7.56; N, 3.39; Found C, 69.52; H, 7.73; N, 3.18.
(4R,5R)-5-[(4R,2R/S)-2-Amino-2-(trichloromethyl)-4-methyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4-phenyl-2-oxazolidinone (87)


Trichloroacetonitrile ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.68 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of epoxy alcohol $72(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 5.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min, solvent was removed at reduced pressure and passed through a short silica gel column using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent to give the imidate $\mathbf{8 1}$ as a colourless solid ( 2.96 g ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ :
$\delta 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.32(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.46-4.89 (m, 2H), 6.81 (d, 2H, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 7.147.35 (m, 7H), 8.27 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

## ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.1,28.3,49.3,55.1,58.4,60.0,63.4,69.8,80.3$, 91.0, 113.8, 126.7, 127.5, 128.6, 130.9, 138.1, 155.7, 158.8, 162.1

To a solution of this imidate $\mathbf{8 1}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.3$ mmol ) at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , the reaction was quenched by addition of aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (light petroleum and ethylacetate-4:1) to give compound $\mathbf{8 7}$ ( $2.42 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ for two steps) as a colourless sticky solid.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right): \quad \delta 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61$ (s, 0.8 H ), $3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1.6 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1.8 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.28(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, 0.6 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.80(\mathrm{~d}, 0.4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, 1.2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 0.8 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 20.3,21.4,45.2,55.0,60.6,60.9,74.8,80.4,81.0$, 83.8, 84.3, 102.5, 103.1, 114.0, 115.7, 116.9, 127.2, $128.6,129.3,129.8,133.0,157.2,157.3,159.3$

GCMS m/z: $501\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$for both the diastereomers (MW. 501).


To a solution of the compound $\mathbf{8 7}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2 mL of 2 N HCl at room temperature and stirred for 6 h . After neutralizing with solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and water. The organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by silica gel column (light petroleum and ethyl acetate-2:3) to give diol $\mathbf{8 5}(930 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%)$ as a colourless thick paste.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 0.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6$ Hz), 7.21 (br s, 2H), 7.37 (m, 3H).

## ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 19.6, 45.2, $55.1,61.3,67.7,72.7,81.4,114.1$, $127.5,128.6,129.1,129.7,134.0,158.0,159.3$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3432,2937,1745,1612,1514,1415,1248,1175$, and 1039.
MS (ESI) m/z: $358(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 357): C, 67.21; H, 6.49; N, 3.92; Found C, 67.16; H, 6.35; N, 4.09.
(4R,5R)- 5-[(4R)-2,2,4-Trimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl]-3-(4-methoxybenzyl)-4-phenyl-2oxazolidinone (86)


A solution of the diol $85(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol}), p$-TSA ( 50 mg ) and 2,2-dimethoxy propane (4 $\mathrm{mL})$ in acetone ( 4 mL ) was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether ( 20 mL ) and washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and brine. After drying over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel column using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) to give the acetonide derivative $\mathbf{8 6}(0.49 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colourless crystalline solid (Mp $144-146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=14.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.99(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.19 (br s, 2H), 7.38 (m, 3H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 21.5,26.1,27.0,45.0,54.9,60.9,71.6,80.2,81.1$, $110.0,113.8,127.3,128.2,128.8,129.2,129.6,133.4$, 157.5, 159.1

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2936,1752,1611,1513,1411,1249$, and 1037.
MS (ESI) m/z: $398(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 397): C, 69.50; H, 6.85; N, 3.52; Found C, 69.32; H, 6.80; N, 3.60.

Ethyl-2-methyl-3-[3-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-prop-(2Z)enoate (114) and ethyl-2-methyl-3-[3-(tert-butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-prop-(2E)-enoate (116)
Compounds 114 and 116 were made from ( $S$ )-Garner aldehyde $115(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using the phosphonate ( $9.9 \mathrm{~g}, 27.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaH}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 26.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $86 \%(5.87 \mathrm{~g})$ yield in the ratio 7:2 by following the procedure described for the compound 79. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and purified by silica gel column chromatography (light petroleum and ethyl acetate -20:1).

## Compound 114


$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-11.9\left(c \quad 1.2, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 7 \mathrm{H})$, $1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 4.18 (t, 2H, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and m, 1H), $5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 13.6,19.3,23.2,24.1,25.8,26.8,27.7,55.7,56.6$, 59.6, 68.3, 69.0, 78.5, 79.2, 92.9, 93.4, 126.7, 126.9, 144.1, 145.9, 151.0, 151.4, 166.0

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2982,2934,1691,1392,1368,1106$, and 1052.
MS (ESI) m/z: $314(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 313): C, 61.32; H, 8.68; N, 4.47; Found C, 61.14; H, 8.75; N, 4.36.

## Compound 116


$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}-21.1\left(c\right.$ 1.1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.36-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=3.6,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.11$ (dd, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.4,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.21(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 11.8,13.6,23.4,24.2,25.5,26.7,27.7,54.7,59.8$, 66.9, 79.0, $92.9,93.6,127.4,128.4,139.4,139.9$, 150.9, 166.6

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2981,2936,1701,1386,1366,1259,1100$, and 1055.
MS (ESI) m/z: $314(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 313): C, 61.32; H, 8.68; N, 4.47; Found C, 61.23; H, 8.88; N, 4.32.

## (2Z)-3-[3-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-2-methyl-prop-2-en-

## 1-ol (117)



Compound $\mathbf{1 1 4}(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 7}$ using DIBAL-H ( $11.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure described for the compound $73(2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%)$ as a colourless paste.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-2.4\left(c 1.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.03(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.28(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.84(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.8,10.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 21.4,24.0,26.7,27.6,53.8,60.3,67.5,78.4,79.5$, 92.4, 124.7, 137.8, 151.3

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3436,2979,2936,1697,1675,1395,1366,1249,1111$, and 1057. MS (ESI) m/z: $272(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW. 271): C, 61.97 ; H, 9.29; N, 5.16; Found C, 62.16; H, 9.31; N, 5.02.
(2S,3R)-3-[3-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-2-methyl-2,3-epoxy-propan-1-ol (113)


Compound 117 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to the epoxide 113 using m-CPBA (5.7 $\mathrm{g}, 16.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure described for the compound $72(2.48 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$ as a colourless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} 103-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+7.2\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d $_{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{3 3 3 K}$ ): $\delta 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.48$ (ABq, 2H, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00$ (dd, 1H, $J=6.3,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 4.60 (br s, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ):
$\delta 19.8,23.1,24.3,26.6,27.2,28.0,56.2$, 59.4, 59.9, 63.1, 65.8, 66.1, 79,7, 80.2, 93.7, 152.1, 152.4.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3432,2983,2936,1690,1392,1367,1170,1105$, and 1056.
MS (ESI) m/z: $288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 287): C, 58.52; H, 8.77; N, 4.87; Found C, 58.34; H, 8.59; N, 4.75.
oxazoline (110)


Trichloroacetonitrile ( $0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DBU ( $0.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to a solution of epoxy alcohol $113(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 20 min , solvent was removed at room temperature under reduced pressure and the residue was
purified by a short silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) to give imidate $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ in quantitative yield as a colourless crystalline solid. To a solution of this imidate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and molecular sieves powder ( 1.0 g ), $\mathrm{SnCl}_{4}$ $(0.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 15 min , the reaction was quenched by the addition of aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. After separation of the layers, the aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to give the oxazoline $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ ( $980 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ for two steps) as a colourless crystalline solid ( Mp $142-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-3.5\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right): \quad \delta 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60$ (s, 3H), $3.76(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}$, $J=5.4,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.97(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.4,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.10(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.99(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

## ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 23.5,25.9,26.8,28.0,58.1,66.0,75.1,77.6$, $77.9,81.2,86.2,93.3,155.7,161.3$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3406,2983,2936,1694,1658,1405,1368,1249,1167,1110$, and 1058. MS (ESI) m/z: $431(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 430): C, 44.51; H, 5.84; N, 6.49; Cl, 24.63; Found C, 44.68; H, 5.74; N, 6.47; Cl, 24.45.

## (2R,3R,4S)-2,4-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-2-methyl-pentan-1,3,5-triol (112)



To a solution of the oxazoline $110(850 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of 2 N HCl was added and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. After basification with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirring continued for 24 h . THF was removed at reduced pressure and the residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(4 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried and
concentrated. This crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (2:3) to give the triol 112 ( $618 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ for two steps) as a white solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} 136-138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-36.7\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right): \quad \delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dd, 1H, $J=10.6,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.67(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=11.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 3.74(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.6,3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, Acetone- $\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}} \quad \delta 18.9,28.5,51.8,59.8,63.7,65.8,71.7,78.6$, +DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ : 78.9, 156.0, 156.6

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3449,2924,2856,1717,1670,1499,1460,1365,1254$, and 1180.
MS (ESI) m/z: $365(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (MW. 364): C, 52.73; H, 8.85; N, 7.69; Found C, 52.64; H, 9.02; N, 7.52.
(2E)-3-[3-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-2-methyl-prop-2-en-1-ol (119)


Compound 116 ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol 119 using a 2.0 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $9.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure described for compound $\mathbf{7 3}(1.91 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a colourless paste.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+26.5\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.42-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 8.7 Hz), 4.00 (s, 2H), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J $=6.2,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.3,23.6,24.8,26.0,26.6,28.1,54.7,67.0,68.1$, 79.0, 79.6, 93.1, 93.4, 124.2, 135.8, 137.1, 151.6

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3442,2982,1688,1393,1367$, and 1055.

MS (ESI) m/z: $272(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW. 271): C, 61.97; H, 9.29; N, 5.16; Found C, 61.88; H, 9.42; N, 5.13.
(2R,3R)-3-[3-(tert-Butoxycarbonyl)-2,2-dimethyl-(4R)-oxazolidinyl]-2-methyl-2,3-epoxy-propan-1-ol (120)


The allylic alcohol $\mathbf{1 1 9}(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was converted to the epoxy alcohol $\mathbf{1 2 0}(1.15 \mathrm{~g}$, $68 \%$ ) as a colourless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} .128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) using $m$-CPBA ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 8.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the procedure described for compound 72.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}+10.0\left(c \quad 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( 500 MHz, DMSO-d $\left._{\mathbf{6}}, \mathbf{3 2 3 K}\right): \quad \delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.35$ (s, 2H), 3.80 (m, 2H), 4.04 (dd, 1H, $J=7.2$, $9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):$
$\delta 14.4,23.2,24.5,26.6,26.9,28.0,56.9$, $59.4,61.9,65.2,65.5,79.9,93.9,152.0$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3452,2983,2935,1691,1391,1254,1170$, and 1059.
MS (ESI) m/z: $288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW. 287): C, 58.52; H, 8.77; N, 4.87; Found C, 58.34; H, 8.92; N, 4.74.
oxazoline (122)


The epoxide $\mathbf{1 2 0}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was converted to the oxazoline $\mathbf{1 2 2}(1.27 \mathrm{~g})$ in $85 \%$ yield as a colourless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} .156-158{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) by following the procedure described for compound 110.
$[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}-95.3\left(c 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right): \quad \delta 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.51(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.99(\mathrm{dd}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.6,9.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.35(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.70(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=8.9$ Hz ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ):
$\delta 19.3,23.9,27.0,28.2,58.6,66.1,74.9,78.3$, 81.6, 81.7, 86.5, 93.6, 155.8, 161.8

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3436,2982,1654,1404,1368,1168,1109$, and 1055.
MS (ESI) m/z: $431(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW. 430): C, 44.51; H, 5.84; N, 6.49; Cl, 24.63; Found C, 44.58; H, 5.96; N, 6.56; Cl, 24.81.

## (2S,3R,4S)-2,4-Bis(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)-2-methyl-pentan-1,3,5-triol (123)



The oxazoline 122 ( $720 \mathrm{mg}, 1.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted to the triol $123(480 \mathrm{mg})$ as a colourless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} 89-91{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $79 \%$ yield by following the procedure described for compound 112.
$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}+12.9\left(c 1.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz, DMSO-d $_{\mathbf{6}}$ ): $\delta 1.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.00(\mathrm{~d}$, $1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.71(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 18.1,28.3,50.5,59.1,63.5,67.9,68.7,79.2,79.9$, 155.8, 157.1

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3435,2978,2929,1684,1500,1367,1169$, and 1056.
MS (ESI) m/z: $365(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$ (MW. 364): C, 52.73; H, 8.85; N, 7.69; Found C, 52.64; H, 9.06; N, 7.69.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound No. 86.

| Identification code | Compound No. 86 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C23 H27 N O5 |
| Formula weight | 397.46 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P2 (1)/c |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=10.516(6) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { alpha }=90 \text { deg. } \\ & \mathrm{b}=20.155(11) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { beta }=117.623(9) \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 2128(2) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | $4,1.241 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.087 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| F (000) | 848 |
| Crystal size | $0.41 \times 0.20 \times 0.19 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.02 to 25.00 deg . |
| Limiting indices | $-11<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-23<=\mathrm{k}<=23,-13<=1<=13$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $10468 / 3749[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0234]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00$ | 99.9 \% |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9836 and 0.9647 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3749 / 0 / 266 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.007 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0405, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1017$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0546, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1106$ |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.144 and -0.137 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}$-3 |

Table 2. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound No. 110

| Identification code | Compound No. 110 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C16 H25 Cl3 N2 O5 |
| Formula weight | 431.73 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | ORTHORHOMBIC, P2 (1)2(1)2(1) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=11.210(6) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { alpha }=90 \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{b}=18.823(9) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { beta }=90 \text { deg. } \\ & \mathrm{c}=20.925(10) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=90 \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 4415(4) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | $8,1.299 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.441 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| F(000) | 1808 |
| Crystal size | $0.43 \times 0.26 \times 0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.11 to 24.99 deg . |
| Limiting indices | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=13,-22<=\mathrm{k}<=22,-23<=1<=24$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $22284 / 7767$ [ $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0554]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=24.99$ | 99.9\% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9668 and 0.8336 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 7767 / 0 / 483 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.101 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0748, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1418$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.1130, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1576$ |
| Absolute structure parameter | -0.09(8) |
| Largest diff. peak and hole | 0.304 and -0.171 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$ |

Table 3. Crystal data and structure refinement for Compound No. 122.

| Identification code | Compound No. 122 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | C16 H25 Cl3 N2 O5 |
| Formula weight | 431.73 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, P2(1) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{a}=11.454(9) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { alpha }=90 \text { deg. } \\ & \mathrm{b}=6.186(5) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { beta }=105.582(12) \mathrm{deg} . \\ & \mathrm{c}=15.081(12) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=90 \text { deg. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1029.4(14) A^3 |
| Z, Calculated density | $2,1.393 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{\wedge} 3$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.473 \mathrm{~mm}^{\wedge}-1$ |
| F(000) | 452 |
| Crystal size | $1.13 \times 0.129 \times 0.052 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.00 to 25.00 deg . |
| Limiting indices | $-12<=\mathrm{h}<=12,-2<=\mathrm{k}<=7,-10<=1<=17$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $2597 / 2094[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0418]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00$ | 90.1 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 2094 / 1 / 245 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{\wedge} 2$ | 1.069 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma(I)] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0710, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1791$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0778, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1875$ |

Absolute structure parameter
-0.04(16)
Largest diff. peak and hole
0.544 and -0.383 e. $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge}-3$
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## CHAPTER-3

Studies toward the synthesis of Pondaplin

A wide variety of bridged aromatic compounds, known as cyclophanes, ${ }^{1,2}$ have become available as a result of extensive research over the past 50 years. The unique physical and chemical properties of cyclophanes, a direct result of their unusual architecture, vary considerably on the basis of size and constitution. ${ }^{3}$ Notably, larger cyclophanes possess intramolecular cavities suitable for the formation of inclusion complexes, leading to applications in host-guest chemistry ${ }^{33, c, 4}$ and mimicry of natural enzymes. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}, 5}$ Other applications include template-directed synthesis, ${ }^{6}$ anion binding, ${ }^{7}$ and catalysis. ${ }^{8}$ Planar-chiral paracyclophanes are increasingly recognized as attractive chiral sources for various stereoselective reactions. ${ }^{9}$ Though most of these studies are on designed cyclophanes, there are a number of natural products containing paracyclophane unit in their skeleton, which have been reported. Some of these natural products which represent an unusual class of compounds have been listed below.


1 R=H, Haouamine A
2 R=OH, Haouamine B


3 Cylindrocyclophane A

Figure 1
Haouamines A (1) and B (2), novel [7]-paracyclophane metabolites, were recently isolated from the ascidian Aplidium haouarianum, collected off Tarifa Island in the south of Spain. ${ }^{10}$ Belonging to an unprecedented class of alkaloids, these compounds are characterized by two constrained ring systems, particularly the strained azaparacyclophane moiety. The strain in the cyclophane portion is evident from the reported X-ray crystal structure and molecular models, which show the paradisubstituted benzene ring to be nonplanar. In 1990, Moore and co-workers reported the isolation of cylindrocyclophane A (3) and nostocyclophanes D. ${ }^{1 \text { 1a }}$ Five additional members of the
cylindrocyclophane family were then reported in 1992 . $^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ Interestingly, these 22membered [7,7]-paracyclophanes were found to be the major cytotoxic components in three different strains of the terrestrial blue-green algae Cylindrospermum lichenforme, displaying in vitro cytotoxicity against the KB and LoVo tumor cell lines.


4 Hirsutellone A


5 Pondaplin

Figure 2
Hirsutellone A (4), a [8]-paracyclophane was isolated from Hirsutella nivea BCC 2594 by Isaka and co-workers recently. ${ }^{12}$ A highly strained 12 -membered ring containing a succinimide, a para-substituted phenyl ether, and a tricyclic polyketide are characteristic of these class of alkaloids. Pondaplin (5), isolated from the bioactive ethanolic extracts of the leaves of Annona glabra L. (Annonaceae) in 1999 by McLaughlin and co-workers was found to have moderate antitumor activity with selectivities for the breast (MCF-7) and prostate (PC-3) cancer cell lines. ${ }^{13}$ It is a structurally unique molecule as it appears to be the only example of a [9]-paracyclophane natural product. This thirteen-membered macrolide contains a short tether that bears five $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ centers (two isolated double bonds and a lactone), imparting a high degree of strain energy and a potentially significant deformation of the aromatic ring from planarity.


6 Sanjoinine A


7 Pandamine


8 Cavicularin

Figure 3

Cyclopeptide alkaloids are para or meta cyclophanes with a polypeptide tether. The wide-spread occurrence of these 13,14 , and 15 -membered macrocyclic molecules in different plants such as rhamnaceae, pendaceae, and rubiaceae has made them an important class of natural products. Sanjoinine A (6) and pandamine (7) are examples of [10]paracyclophane cyclopeptide alkaloids. ${ }^{14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$ Cavicularin (8) is also a [10]-paracyclophane, isolated from Cavicularia densa Steph. Its three-dimensional structure was characterized by X-ray crystallographic analysis. It was shown to be a cyclic bibenzyldihydrophenanthrene derivative, having a highly strained paradisubstituted phenyl ring. It possesses both planar and axial chirality. ${ }^{15}$


9 Galeon


10 Combretastatin D-1


11 Combretastatin D-2

Figure 4
Galeon (9), a [7,1]-metaparacyclophane isolated from the stems of Myrica gale L, has a seven membered carbon chain that connects both the aromatic rings. Although it does not contain any chiral center, restricted rotation around the para-substituted aromatic ring gives optical activity for this compound. ${ }^{16}$ Combretastatins D-1 (10) and D-2 (11) are 15membered [8,1]-metaparacyclophane macrolides isolated from the South African tree Combretum caffrum that have been found to inhibit PS cell line growth. ${ }^{17}$


12 Longithorone B


13 Longithorone C


14 Kedarcidin core

Figure 5

Longithorones B(12) and C(13) are benzoquinones bridged across the para-position by a farnesyl unit, isolated from a tunicate, Aplidium longithorax. These compounds show atropisomerism due to restricted rotation of the quinone ring. ${ }^{18}$ Kedarcidin is a chromoprotein antitumor antibiotic family consisting of a carrier apoprotein and a cytotoxic nine-membered enediyne chromophore. Kendarcidin chromophore (14) possesses a [13]-paracyclophane macrolide. ${ }^{19}$

## Present Work

Pondaplin 5, isolated from the bioactive ethanolic extract of the leaves of Annona glabra L. (Annonaceae), as colorless crystals, mp 194-195 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is a structurally unique molecule as it appears to be the only example of a [9]-paracyclophane natural product. It was found to have moderate antitumor activity with selectivities for the breast (MCF-7) and prostate (PC-3) cancer cell lines when screened across six human tumer cell lines in a seven day MTT human solid tumor cytotoxicity test. This thirteen-membered macrolide contains a short tether that bears five $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ centers (two isolated double bonds and a lactone), imparting a high degree of strain energy and a potentially significant deformation of the aromatic ring from planarity. The geometry of both the olefins were found to be in Zconfigurations and the whole structure was characterized by NMR, IR and mass spectral studies. ${ }^{13}$ These interesting structural features and its significant biological properties made it an intriguing target for total synthesis.

Inspection of structure 5 led to the identification of the trisubstituted olefin as well as the ester functionality as the appropriate strategic sites for the retrosynthetic disconnection through $\mathrm{RCM}^{20}$ and macrolactonization reactions respectively. We opted for the first one, RCM mainly for the construction of the macrolide and the trisubstituted olefin simultaneously and this disconnection simplified the target to a cinnamate derivative 15, which in turn could be synthesized from 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde $\mathbf{1 7}$ by allylation and Wittig-Horner olefination to get the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ethyl ester $\mathbf{1 6}$ followed by transesterification with methallyl alcohol (Figure 6).


Figure 6 : synthetic strategy (RCM approach)

Our synthesis started with the readily available 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde (17), which was converted to 4-allyloxy benzaldehyde (18) using allyl bromide and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in refluxing acetone for 3 h . For the stereoselective construction of the cis-cinnamate ester 16, the modified phosphonate reagent developed by Still was used. ${ }^{21}$ Accordingly, treatment of aldehyde with the anion generated from phosphonate 19 and NaH , in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a mixture of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters in a ratio of $8: 1$ ( $87 \%$ yield). These esters were separated by silica gel column chromatography and characterized thoroughly. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the major isomer 16, signals due to the conjugated olefinic protons appeared at 5.79 and 6.79 ppm as doublets with $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ which indicated the cis-geometry of this olefin. In the minor isomer 20, the signals for the olefinic protons were observed at 6.26 and 7.60 ppm as doublets with $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ which was assigned for the trans olefin. Signals for the carbonyl carbons in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were noticed at 165.7 and 166.2 ppm for cis and trans-isomers respectively. Mass spectrum [m/z 233 for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$], IR spectrum (1719 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ for cis and $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for trans ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups) and elemental analysis were supportive of the assigned structures (Scheme 1).

## Scheme 1



Our next concern was conversion of the ethyl ester 16 into the methallyl ester 15, which was required to execute the RCM reaction to reach the target molecule. For this transformation, compound 16 was treated with excess of methallyl alcohol ( 20 eq.) in presence of catalytic ( 0.5 eq.) amount of $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ in toluene at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $26 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{22}$ In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 5}$, the signals due to the protons of methallyl group were observed at $1.75 \mathrm{ppm}\left[-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right], 4.92$ and $4.97 \mathrm{ppm}\left[-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right]$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, resonances for the methallyl olefinic carbons were noticed at 112.3 (methylene) and 139.5 (quaternary) ppm whereas the resonance due to the methallyl $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbon was at 19.1 ppm . Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 281\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis were satisfactory.

Having synthesized the required triene 15, we subjected the compound for the final ring closure. Thus, treatment of compound 15 with Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst (22) in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 0.005 M solution) produced a more polar compound along with the unreacted starting material. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed disappearance of resonances due to the terminal olefinic protons of the allylic $\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ group whereas the methallyl group was intact. From this observation it was clear that the new compound formed was a dimer at the monosubstituted olefin (cross metathesis product) instead of the desired RCM product. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, a signal at 128.2 ppm was assigned for the newly formed internal olefin. This dimeric structure was confirmed from mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 489\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$. Use of Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst (23) in refluxing benzene also gave the dimer but not the natural product. This failure of RCM could be attributed to the ring strain that would develop in the transition state required to achieve the ring closure (Scheme 2).

## Scheme 2



## Revised strategy (macrolactonization approach)

With the failure of RCM reaction for the synthesis of pondaplin, we have devised an alternative approach to synthesize the target molecule through a final stage macrolactonization. Our strategy for the synthesis of the macrolide has been outlined in figure 7, which includes two stereoselective Wittig-Horner olefination reactions for the construction of both the $Z$-olefins present in the target molecule. We envisaged that the protected seco-acid 24 could be obtained from compound 25 by cleavage of dithiolane followed by olefination with the modified phosphonate reagent. Compound 25 in turn could be made from ester 27, through the intermediate 26, by reduction of the ester to the corresponding aldehyde, Wittig-Horner olefination followed by conversion into the allylic alcohol. Compound 27 could be prepared in a straightforward method from the readily available 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde (17) by alkylation using ethyl bromoacetate followed by protection of the aldehyde group as its dithiolane derivative (Figure 7).


Figure 7: Revised strategy (macrolactonization approach)
According to our plan, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde 17 on treatment with ethyl bromoacetate and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in refluxing acetone for 3 h gave the aryl ether $\mathbf{2 8}$ in $96 \%$ yield. Compound 28 showed signals at 9.88 and 4.70 ppm for -CHO and $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ protons
in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the aldehyde carbon resonance was observed at 189.9 ppm whereas the signal due to $-\underline{\mathrm{CO}}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ was at 167.5 ppm . The IR spectrum displayed two characteristic absorption peaks at 1715 and $1695 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to the ester and aldehyde $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups. Before going into the construction of trisubstituted Z olefin, the aldehyde group in compound 28 was protected as its dithiolane derivative 27 using ethane-1,2-dithal ${ }^{23}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (89 \%). With the absence of signal for the aldehyde proton, we could observe resonances for methylene protons at $3.38 \mathrm{ppm}(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and the benzylic methine proton at $5.58 \mathrm{ppm}(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ of the dithiolane group in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 27 . In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the methine carbon of dithiolane was noticed at 55.3 ppm . Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 285\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis were supportive of the assigned structure 27 (Scheme 3).

Scheme 3


Our next task was to synthesize the trisubstituted Z-allylic alcohol. For this transformation, first the ester 27 was reduced to the corresponding aldehyde 29 using 1.2 M solution of DIBAL-H in toluene at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crude aldehyde obtained was used for the next reaction without further purification. Thus, compound 29 on treatment with the anion generated from the phosphonate ${ }^{24} 31$ and NaH , in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h afforded a mixture of $Z$ - and $E$-isomers of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester in the ratio of $6: 1$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the major isomer 26, the olefinic proton appeared at 6.21 ppm as a multiplet due to the coupling with vicinal methylene and allylic methyl protons. But in compound 30, it appeared at 6.90 ppm as a triplet $(J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Generally, the $E$-olefinic proton has higher chemical shift value due to deshielding of the olefinic proton by the ester carbonyl than the $Z$-isomer for system like, $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{R}$. From the chemical shift values and the splitting pattern, it was clear that the major isomer had the Z-configuration. This was further confirmed by NOESY studies on compound 26, in which NOE was observed

between the olefinic proton and the allylic methyl protons (Figure 8). In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra, signals due to the methine carbon of the olefins were observed at 139.8 and 135.8 ppm for $Z$ and $E$-isomers respectively. Mass [m/z 325 for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$in both isomers], IR (1710 and $1712 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching in $Z$ - and $E$-isomers respectively) and elemental analysis were supportive of the assigned structures (Scheme 4).


Figure 8 : NOE studies
The $Z$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{2 6}$ was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol $\mathbf{3 2}$ using DIBAL-H in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Resonance due to the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was observed at $4.18 \mathrm{ppm}(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ with the concomitant disappearance of resonances due to ethyl protons. Absence of signal due to the ester carbonyl was noticed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and IR spectra. Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$confirmed this transformation. The allylic hydroxyl group was then protected as its TBDPS-ether (25) using TBDPSCl and imidazole in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $96 \%$ yield. The resonances due to the tert-butyl and phenyl
groups were observed at $1.05(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.38(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $7.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) \mathrm{ppm}$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum. A signal at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 543$ for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$was noticed in the mass spectrum of compound 25. In order to construct the conjugated carboxylic acid present in the target molecule, we needed to liberate the aldehyde group from the dithiolane. For this endeavor, compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ was treated with $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HgO}^{25}$ in a $3: 1$ mixture of acetonitrile and water to get the aromatic aldehyde 33 in $88 \%$ yield after silica gel column chromatography. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum the - CHO proton signal was observed at 9.87 ppm as a singlet whereas in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum aldehyde carbon was noticed at 190.7 ppm . In the IR spectrum, a signal at $1686 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was assigned for the aldehyde $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ group. Mass spectrum [ $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 445$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis also confirmed the structure 33 (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5


Simultaneously, we have designed another method for the synthesis of compound 33, in which we planned to make the trisubstituted olefin first and couple with the aromatic part by Mitsunobu etherification so that we could avoid the protection/deprotection steps for the aromatic aldehyde. Wittig-Horner olefination on protected hydroxy acetone 35 followed by reduction of the ester was our plan to synthesise the trisubstituted allylic alcohol 34 (Figure 9).


Figure 9 : synthetic strategy
Accordingly, hydroxy acetone was protected as its TBDPS-ether $\mathbf{3 5}$ using TBDPSCl and imidazole in good yield and was characterized thoroughly by spectroscopic and analytical methods. Since the yield of pure compound was less due to decomposition in silica gel column chromatography, crude material was used for the next reaction without purification. Analytical sample was obtained by a short column chromatography. This ketone on treatment with the phosphonate ${ }^{24} 37$ and NaH in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave a separable mixture of $Z$ - and $E$-conjugated esters ( $\mathbf{3 8}$ and $\mathbf{3 9}$ ) in favor of the desired $Z$-isomer. (4:1). Signals due to the olefinic protons in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were observed at 5.62 ppm for compound 38 and at 6.18 ppm for compound 39 (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6


Configurations of the olefins were confirmed from the NOE studies. In the major isomer 38, NOE was observed between the olefinic proton and the $-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons, which clearly indicated the $Z$-geometry of the olefin. Similarly, in the minor isomer 39, NOE was observed between the olefinic proton and the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OSi}$ protons which confirmed the $E$ geometry (Figure 10).


38 major isomer (Z)


39 minor isomer (E)

Figure 10 : NOE studies
The ester group in compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was reduced to the corresponding allylic alcohol $\mathbf{3 4}$ using DIBAL-H in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, resonance due to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ protons was observed at 3.89 ppm as a doublet with $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum, the olefinic carbon signals were noticed at 125.8 ( $-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{C}<$ ) and 137.9 ( $\mathrm{CH}=\underline{\mathrm{C}}<) \mathrm{ppm}$. Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 341\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis confirmed the assigned structure. Treatment of this allylic alcohol with 4-hydroxy benzaldehyde, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and DEAD (Mitsunobu condition) ${ }^{26}$ afforded the aryl allyl ether $\mathbf{3 3}$ in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 7). Compound 33 obtained in this method was identical in all respects with the one prepared by deprotection of the dithiolane $\mathbf{2 5}$ earlier.

Scheme 7


With the required aldehyde in hand by a relatively short method, our next concern was the construction of the cis-unsaturated carboxylic acid $\mathbf{4 2}$. For this endeavor, the compound 33 was first treated with the anion generated from the phosphonate ${ }^{21} 19$ with NaH in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The required cis-cinnamate derivative 24 was isolated along with the minor trans isomer 40 in $79 \%$ yield (cis: trans- $7: 1$ ) combined yield. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$

NMR spectrum of the major isomer, coupling constant for the newly formed olefinic protons was 12.8 Hz that is typical for a cis-olefin whereas in minor isomer that was 15.9 Hz (trans isomer). In the IR spectra the stretching frequencies for ester $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ were observed at 1717 and $1703 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the cis and trans isomers respectively. Mass spectrum [m/z 515 for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$in both the isomers] and elemental analysis were supportive of the olefination products (Scheme 8).

## Scheme 8



With this olefination, the complete skeleton for the target molecule was in place. To get the seco acid 42, compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ was first subjected to the silyl deprotection using a 1.0 M solution of TBAF in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to free the hydroxyl functionality. This transformation was confirmed from the absence of signals due to the TBDPS group in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra. Mass spectrum $\left[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 277\right.$ for $\left.(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}\right]$and elemental analysis were supportive of the assigned structure 41 . This hydroxyl ester was hydrolyzed to the free acid by treatment of the compound 41 in a mixture of THF and water (2:1) with LiOH. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at rt for 15 h . This seco acid 42 was characterized thoroughly by spectral and elemental analysis. In the mass spectrum, $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 249$ for $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$was observed (Scheme 9).

## Scheme 9



Having synthesized the seco-acid 42, we have attempted for the final macrolactonization under different reaction conditions. But in our hands all the methods that we tried failed to produce the required macrolide natural product 5 due to the formation of a complex mixture and the difficulty in isolation of them (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10


## Reaction conditions

1. 2,4,6-Trichlorobenzoyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}^{27}$
2. $2,2^{\prime}$-dithiopyridine, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}{ }^{28}$
3. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{DEAD}^{29}$
4. $\mathrm{DCC}, \mathrm{DMAP}^{30}$
5. DCC, DMAP.HCl, DMAP ${ }^{30}$

In conclusion, our attempt for the synthesis of pondaplin 5 through RCM yielded an open chain dimer instead of highly strained macrolide. Also we have synthesized the seco acid of the macrolide using Wittig-Horner olefination for the construction of both the Zolefins present in the molecule. But all our attempts to synthesize the macrolide under various conditions failed.

## Synthetic studies on pondaplin by other groups (Post work)

## Bressy's RCM approach (2003)

Bressy et al. have studied the ring closing olefin metathesis reaction for the synthesis of pondaplin and its analogues. Although they could not make the natural product, due to the formation of dimers and oligomers, a fluorine substituted analogue 46 has been synthesized (Scheme 11). ${ }^{31}$

## Scheme 11
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## Macrolactonization approach by Cheng et al. (2003)

Cheng et al. reported the first total synthesis of pondaplin 5 by macrolactonization using DCC/DMAP as the key step through a convergent approach. The trisubstituted olefin 48 was made from methylbutenolide and the cinnamate part 50 was prepared by stereoselective phenyltellurenylation of arylacetylene 49 (Scheme 12). ${ }^{32}$

## Scheme 12



Reagents: a) $48 \% \mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$; b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$; c) $\left(\mathrm{PhTe}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\right.$; d) $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{PdCl}_{2} / \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH} ;$ e) NaH ; f) NaOH then HCl ; g) DCC, DMAP.

## Leonard's approach (2004)

Leonard and co-workers have devised a novel strategy that would construct a macrocycle 56 containing a core that would serve as a masked aromatic ring and would not impose strain on the molecule during the cyclization events. Accordingly they had prepared the Diels-Alder adduct 54 and subjected to the direct macrocyclization by Heck

## Scheme 13


reaction and also by coupling with vinyltin reagent to get the triene $\mathbf{5 5}$ which could be used for the synthesis of pondaplin analogue $\mathbf{5 7}$ by RCM reaction. But both the reactions failed to deliver the desired products (Scheme 13). ${ }^{33}$

Having failed in these methods, they have synthesized the iodo compound 62 and subjected to the Heck macrocyclization. Even under high dilution conditions they could isolate only the trans-dimer 63 and trimer but not the natural product 5 (Scheme 14).

## Scheme 14




63 trans-dimer

Reagents: a) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$; b) DIBAL-H; c) p-iodophenol, DEAD, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$; d) $50 \%$ aq. AcOH; e) acryloyl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; f) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}$, $\left.\mathrm{DMF}(1 \mathrm{mM}) ; \mathrm{g}\right) \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(2 \text {-furyl })_{3}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Ag}, \mathrm{DMF}$ ( 10 mM ).

## Macrolactonization approach by Yadav et al. (2005)

Yadav and co-workers also attempted for the total synthesis of pondaplin through macrolactonization. But the lactonization under the same conditions reported by the Chinese group failed. They could isolate only the trans-dimer 63 in this reaction (Scheme 15). ${ }^{34}$ Having failed with the lactonization method, intramolecular Wittig and Reformatzky reactions were studied for the final ring closure. But none of them gave the desired macrolide (Scheme 16).

Scheme 15


Reagents: a) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$; b) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$; c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; d) NaH , 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde; e) NaH , $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$; f) PPTS; g) aq. $\left.\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{MeOH} ; \mathrm{h}\right)$ DCC, DMAP.


Reagents: a) $\mathrm{BrCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}$, 2,6-lutidine; b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$; c) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$.
Next the dihydro-analogues, in which the strain in the aromatic ring was expected to be relatively less than the natural product, were targeted through lactonization for the synthesis of both the $Z$ and $E$-isomers of the macrolide 76 and 79 (Schemes 17 and 18).

Scheme 17


Reagents: a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$; b) $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; c) NaH , 73; d) PPTS , MeOH ; e) aq. $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; f) DCC , DMAP.


Reagents: a) Prenyl bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; b) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$; c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; d) aq. LiOH , MeOH ; e) DCC, DMAP.

## Experimental

## (Z)-Ethyl-4-allyloxy cinnamate (16) and (E)-Ethyl-4-allyloxy cinnamate (20)

A solution of phosphonate $\mathbf{1 9}(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 15.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ) was added slowly to a suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(564 \mathrm{mg}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{THF}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 min at room temperature. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of the aldehyde $\mathbf{1 8}(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 11.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise. After stirring for 4 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, reaction was quenched by the addition of aq $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. THF was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was partitioned between ethyl acetate and water. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The crude material was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (19:1) as eluent to afford the less polar cis- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{1 6}$ followed by the trans isomer 20 ( $2.36 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ ) as colourless liquids in the ratio 8:1.

## Compound 16


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.53(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.8,59.5,68.1,113.6,116.6,117.1,127.1,132.0$, 132.6, 142.8, 159.1, 165.7

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3084,2982,2937,2872,1719,1625,1602,1509,1254$ and 1171.
MS (ESI) m/z: $233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 232): C, 72.39; H,6.94; Found C, 72.00; H, 6.89.

## Compound 20


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.32(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.22(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.51(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.39(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.26(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 13.8,59.5,68.0,114.4,115.2,117.0,126.6,129.1$, 132.4, 143.5, 159.7, 166.2.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3072,2982,2936,2871,1710,1634,1603,1575,1511,1252$ and 1172.
MS (ESI) m/z: $233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 232): C, 72.39; H,6.94; Found C, 72.33; H, 6.74.

## (Z)-Methallyl-4-allyloxy cinnamate (15)



To a solution of the ethyl ester $\mathbf{1 6}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ and methallyl alcohol ( 1.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 15.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(6 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added titanium tetraisopropoxide $(0.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.39$ mmol ) and heated at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 26 h . After removing the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed successively with dil HCl , aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine and concentrated. Purification on silica gel column using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (19:1) gave the methallyl ester $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $86 \%$ ( 172 mg ) yield as a colourless liquid.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.29(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=17.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 5.87 (d, 1H, $J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ 8.8 Hz ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 19.1,66.7,68.0,112.3,113.6,116.0,117.0,126.9$, 132.1, 132.6, 139.5, 143.4, 159.1, 165.1.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3083,3032,2975,2924,2871,1720,1623,1602,1510,1255$ and 1160. MS (ESI) m/z: $281(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 258): C, 74.40; H,7.02; Found C, 74.33; H, 7.24.

## Dimer (21)



To a 0.005 M solution of the triene $\mathbf{1 5}(120 \mathrm{mg}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst $22(20 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and refluxed for 22 h . After removal of the solvent, the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (light petroleum and ethyl acetate-19:1) to give the unreacted starting material ( 45 mg ) followed by the more polar dimeric compound $21(52 \mathrm{mg})$ as a colourless crystalline solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} 76-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $73 \%$ yield based on recovered starting material.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{C l}_{3}$ : $\quad \delta 1.75(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 4 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.70 (d, 4H, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (75 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\quad \delta$ 19.5, 67.4, 67.5, 113.0, 114.2, 116.9, 127.7, 128.2, 132.3, 139.9, 143.6, 159.4, 165.7

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3082,2978,2926,1717,1625,1602,1509,1254$ and 1163.

MS (ESI) m/z: $489(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ (MW. 488): C, 73.75; H,6.60; Found C, 73.52; H, 6.61.

## Ethyl-(4-formyl-phenoxy)-acetate (28)



A mixture of 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 82.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethyl bromoacetate ( 10.0 $\mathrm{mL}, 90.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(13.6 \mathrm{~g}, 98.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $(80 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 3 h . After removing the solvent in rotavapor, the residue was taken in ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) and washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to afford compound $28(16.3 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a colourless liquid.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right): \quad \delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.27(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.6,60.9,64.7,114.5,130.4,131.4,162.3,167.5$, 189.9

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3086,2985,2938,2831,2742,1757,1695,1601,1509,1278$ and 1163.
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (MW. 208): C, 63.45; H, 5.81; Found C, 63.26; H, 6.08.

## Ethyl-(4-[1,3]dithiolan-2-yl-phenoxy)-acetate (27)



To a mixture of aldehyde $28(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 40.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ethane-1,2-dithiol ( $3.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 45.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. After 30 min the reaction was quenched by addition of aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{x} 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The residue was purified by silica gel column
chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent to afford the compound 27 ( $10.3 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a colourless thick liquid.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\left.\mathbf{C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 13.6,39.5,55.3,60.4,64.8,113.9,128.6,132.5$, 157.0, 167.8

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3090,2983,2926,1757,1608,1508,1275$ and 1174.
MS (ESI) m/z: $285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 284): C, 54.90; H, 5.67; S, 22.55; Found C, 54.90; H, 5.40; S, 22.32.

Ethyl-4-(4-[1,3]Dithiolan-2-yl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(Z)-enoate (26) and Ethyl-4-(4-[1,3]Dithiolan-2-yl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(E)-enoate (30)

To a solution of the ester $27(3.4 \mathrm{~g}, 12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 30 mL ), a 1.2 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $12.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . The reaction was quenched by the addition of 2 mL of methanol followed by an excess of aq sodium potassium tartrate. The organic layer was separated and the aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried and concentrated under reduced pressure at room temperature to give the aldehyde 29 as a syrup ( 2.80 g ), which was used in the next step without further purification. Conjugated esters $\mathbf{2 6}$ and $\mathbf{3 0}$ were made from the aldehyde $29(2.80 \mathrm{~g})$ using phosphonate $31(5.4 \mathrm{~g}, 15.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(576 \mathrm{mg}, 14.4$ mmol ) in $67 \%$ ( 2.6 g , for two steps) yield as colourless thick liquids in the ratio of $6: 1$ by following the procedure described earlier. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and light petroleum-ethyl acetate (24:1) was used for column chromatography.
Compound 26

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.33(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.44(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 13.9,19.2,39.7,55.6,60.1,66.2,114.1,127.7,128.7$, 131.7, 139.8, 157.7, 166.3.

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2978,2926,1710,1607,1508,1252$ and 1172.
MS (ESI) m/z: $325(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 324): C, 59.23; H, 6.21; S, 19.76; Found C, 58.93; H, 6.05 ; S, 19.89.

## Compound 30


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.21(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.69(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.60$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.81(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.90(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=5.7$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $75 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 12.6,13.9,39.8,55.6,60.4,64.6,114.1,128.9,129.7$, $132.2,135.8,157.7,166.6$

IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2980,2926,1712,1607,1508,1250$ and 1173.
MS (ESI) m/z: $325(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW. 324): C, 59.23; H, 6.21; S, 19.76; Found C, 58.91; H, 5.93; S, 19.91.

## 4-(4-[1,3]-Dithiolan-2-yl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(Z)-en-1-ol (32)



To a solution of the ester $26(1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 4.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon at $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a 1.2 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $8.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise and stirred for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by the addition of methanol ( 2 mL ) followed by an excess of aq sodium potassium tartrate, stirred for 1 h at rt and the layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:2) as eluent to give the allylic alcohol 32 in $94 \%(1.13 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as a colourless syrup.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 20.9,39.7,55.5,60.9,63.5,114.1,121.8,128.7$, 131.6, 140.0, 157.7.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3393,2986,2921,1607,1507,1244$ and 1172.
MS (ESI) m/z: $283(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (MW. 282): C, 59.54; H, 6.42; S, 22.71; Found C, 59.24; H, 6.35; S, 22.97.

1-tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy-4-(4[1,3]dithiolan-2-yl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(Z)ene (25)


To a mixture of the allylic alcohol $32(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole ( $350 \mathrm{mg}, 5.2$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 1.23 mL of $\mathrm{TBDPSCl}(4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 30 min . The solid formed was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The filtrate was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give the silyl ether $\mathbf{2 5}$ in $96 \%(1.98 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as a colourless syrup.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.28(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.67(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 19.1,21.1,26.7,40.0,56.0,62.7,63.8,114.3,121.6$, 127.6, 128.9, 129.6, 131.4, 133.2, 135.4, 139.2, 158.3.

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2931,2859,1608,1508,1254$ and 1172.
MS (ESI) m/z: $543(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{Si}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (MW. 520): C, 69.18; H, 6.97; S, 12.31; Found C, 69.37; H, 7.18; S, 12.56.

## 1-tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy-4-(4-formyl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(Z)-ene (33)



To a solution of the dithiolane $25(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 15 mL ) and water $(5 \mathrm{~mL}), 2.07 \mathrm{~g}$ of $\mathrm{HgO}(9.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2.6 g of $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(9.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added at room temperature. After stirring for 20 min , the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. Solvent was removed at reduced pressure and the crude material was partitioned between chloroform and water. The organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by silicagel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) to afford the aldehyde $33(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colourless syrup.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J$ $=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40$ (m, 6H), $7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 9.87$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \text { NMR }\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): & \delta 19.2,21.2,26.7,63.0,64.4,114.8,120.6,127.7, \\
& 129.7,131.8,133.2,135.5,140.3,163.6,190.7
\end{aligned}
$$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2932,2963,2859,1686,1600,1509,1253$ and 1160.
MS (ESI) m/z: $445(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ (MW. 444): C, 75.64; H, 7.25; Found C, 75.59; H, 7.47.

## 1-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-propan-2-one (35)



TBDPSCl ( $7.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 29.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a mixture of hydroxyacetone $(2.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 27.0 mmol ) and imidazole ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 32.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 1 h the solid formed was filtered and washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. This filtrate was concentrated to afford the silylether $35(1.49 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a colourless syrup that was used in the next step without further purification. Analytical sample was obtained by purification on silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (19:1).
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $\left.)_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 19.1,26.0,26.7,69.8,127.8,129.9,132.5,135.4$, 207.2

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3051,3072,2960,2932,2859,1718,1589,1487$ and 1113.
MS (ESI) m/z: $335(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (MW. 312): C, 73.03; H, 7.74; Found C, 72.79; H, 7.80.

## Ethyl-4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-enoate (38) and Ethyl-4-

 (tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-3-methyl-but-(2E)-enoate (39)Conjugated esters 38 and 39 were made from the ketone 35 ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using phosphonate $37(6.54 \mathrm{~g}, 18.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(692 \mathrm{mg}, 17.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $82 \%(4.52 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as colourless thick liquids in the ratio of $4: 1$ by following the procedure described earlier. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and light petroleum-ethyl acetate (19:1) was used for column chromatography.

## Compound 38


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\quad \delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.16(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.38 (m, 6H), 7.65 (m, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 14.2,19.3,21.6,26.9,59.5,63.7,115.4,127.7,129.6$, 133.4, 135.5, 159.5, 165.6

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3072,2959,2932,2858,1708,1644,1589,1150$ and 1112.
MS (ESI) m/z: $383(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ (MW. 382): C, 72.21; H, 7.90; Found C, 72.43; H, 7.80.

## Compound 39


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.41 (m, 6H), 7.65 (m, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 14.3,15.4,19.2,26.8,59.4,67.5,113.5,127.8,129.8$, $132.9,135.4,156.4,166.8$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3071,3050,2958,2932,2858,1716,1661,1589,1151$ and 1112.

MS (ESI) m/z: $383(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{SiO}_{3}$ (MW. 382): C, 72.21; H, 7.90; Found C, 72.24; H, 7.93.

## 4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-en-1-ol (34)



A 2.0 M solution of DIBAL-H ( $8.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise to a solution of the ester $38(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 7.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 40 min the reaction was quenched with $\mathrm{MeOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated aq sodium potassium tartrate. Stirring was continued for 1 h and the layers were separated. The aq layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(2 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) and the combined organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent to give the allylic alcohol 34 in $89 \% ~(2.21 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as a colourless syrup.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 1.05(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 7.64 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 19.2,21.2,26.8,58.3,62.6,125.8,127.7,129.7$, 133.3, 135.5, 137.9

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3351,3071,3049,2958,2931,2890,2857,1670,1589$ and 1112.
MS (ESI) m/z: $341(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (MW. 340): C, 74.07; H, 8.29; Found C, 73.82; H, 8.39.

1-tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy-4-(4-formyl-phenoxy)-2-methyl-but-2(Z)-ene (33) by mitsunobu etherification


DEAD ( $0.93 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added slowly to a solution of allylic alcohol 34 $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 4.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1.55 \mathrm{~g}, 5.9$ mmol) in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere. After stirring for 2 h , the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the resulting oil was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluent to afford the ether $\mathbf{3 3}(1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 81 \%)$ as a colourless oil.

## Ethyl-4-[4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-enyloxy]-(Z)-cinnamate

 (24) and Ethyl-4-[4-(tert-Butyldiphenylsilanyloxy)-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-enyloxy]-(E)cinnamate (40)Conjugated esters 24 and 40 were made from the aldehyde 33 ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) using phosphonate $19(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaH}(172 \mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $79 \% ~(1.46 \mathrm{~g})$ yield as colourless thick liquids in the ratio of $7: 1$ by following the procedure described earlier. The reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and light petroleum-ethyl acetate (19:1) was used for column chromatography.

## Compound 24


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.18 (q, 2H, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.79(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.72$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.38(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 7.66$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.1,19.1,21.1,26.7,59.8,62.8,63.8,113.8,116.8$, 121.4, 127.1, 127.6, 129.6, 132.1, 133.1, 135.4, 139.3, 143.1, 159.3, 166.0

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3071,2931,2857,1717,1602,1509,1250$ and 1162.
MS (ESI) m/z: $515(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ (MW. 514): C, 74.67; H, 7.44; Found C, 74.63; H, 7.54.

## Compound 40


${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.06(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $4.22(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.33(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.73$ $(\mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 14.4,19.2,21.2,26.8,60.1,62.9,64.1,114.9,115.6$, $121.2,127.0,127.7,129.5,129.7,133.3,135.5,139.8$, 144.2, 160.4, 167.1

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 2932,2858,1703,1603,1510,1247$ and 1171.
MS (ESI) m/z: $515(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{SiO}_{4}$ (MW. 514): C, 74.67; H, 7.44; Found C, 74.42; H, 7.33.

## Ethyl-4-[4-hydroxyl-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-enyloxy]-(Z)-cinnamate (41)



A 1.0 M solution of TBAF in THF ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of the silylether $24(1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. After 30 min , the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (2:1) to afford the allylic alcohol $41(530 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a thick paste.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, 3 \mathrm{H}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17 (q, 2H, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.81$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \quad \delta 14.1,21.2,60.0,61.3,63.7,113.9,117.0,122.0$, $127.3,132.0,140.5,143.1,159.2,166.3$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right): 3440,2983,2926,1712,1603,1509,1252$ and 1172.
MS (ESI) m/z: $277(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (MW. 276): C, 69.55; H, 7.30; Found C, 69.30; H, 7.19 .

## 4-[4-hydroxyl-3-methyl-but-(2Z)-enyloxy]-(Z)-cinnamic acid (42)



A mixture of compound $41(470 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{LiOH} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(357 \mathrm{mg}, 8.51$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in a solvent mixture of THF $(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and water $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred for 15 h at room temperature. After acidifying the reaction mixture with 1 N HCl , the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude material was passed through a short bed of silica gel using light petroleum and ethyl acetate (3:7) as eluent to give the seco acid $\mathbf{4 2}$ as a colourless solid in $88 \%$ ( 375 mg ) yield.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\quad \delta 1.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.65(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.85(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 7.68 (d, 2H, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).
$\begin{aligned}{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): & \delta 21.4,61.8,63.9,114.2,116.2,122.3,127.2,132.5, \\ & 140.9,145.6,159.5,170.9\end{aligned}$

MS (ESI) m/z: $249(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (MW. 248): C, 67.73; H, 6.50; Found C, 67.49; H, 6.53.

## Lactonization of secoacid 42 under Yamaguchi condition

To an ice-cooled solution of compound $42(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.11 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by 2,4,6-trichlorobenzoyl chloride ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. After stirring for 1 h at room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with toluene ( 50 mL ) and added slowly to a refluxing solution of DMAP ( $98 \mathrm{mg}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 150 mL ) over a period of 4 h . After refluxing for 8 h , the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Evaporation of the solvent and purification by column chromatography afforded an inseparable mixture of compounds.

## Lactonization of secoacid 42 under Corey-Nicolaou condition

A solution of secoacid $42(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}),(\mathrm{PyS})_{2}(440 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ $(524 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in deoxygenated anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was stirred for 5 h at room temperature under argon. The mixture was diluted with deoxygenated anhydrous toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then was added dropwise to the refluxing dry deoxygenated toluene ( 150 mL ) over 3 h . The solution was refluxed under argon for 30 h . After removal of toluene, the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to afford a complex mixture of compounds.

## Lactonization of secoacid 42 under Mitsunobu condition

To a solution of triphenylphosphine ( $157 \mathrm{mg}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 175 mL ) at reflux was added, over a period of 3 h , a solution of compound $42(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diethyl azodicarboxylate ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 25 mL ). The reflux was maintained for an additional 12 h and then the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The crude residue on silica gel column chromatography gave a complex mixture of compounds.

## Lactonization of secoacid 42 under Keck condition (DCC/DMAP)

To a solution of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $412 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 4(dimethylamino)pyridine ( $244 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of
compound $42(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ over a period of 4 h . After stirring for 8 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with methanol $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and 10 drops of AcOH . The solution was concentrated, diluted with ether, and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed and the crude material was passed through a silica gel column to give a complex mixture of compounds.

## Lactonization of secoacid 42 under Keck condition (DCC/DMAP/DMAP.HCl)

To a solution of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide ( $412 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, 4(dimethylamino)pyridine $(244 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine hydrochloride ( $316 \mathrm{mg}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in chloroform $(150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) at reflux was added a solution of compound $42(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of THF over a period of 3 h . After stirring for 12 h , the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with methanol ( 3 mL ) and 10 drops of AcOH . The solution was concentrated, diluted with ether, and filtered through a pad of Celite. The solvent was removed and the crude material was passed through a silica gel column to give a complex mixture of compounds
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Erratum


[^0]:    ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.9,47.9,54.5,61.1,62.1,79.7,113.2,126.9,127.4$, 127.9, 130.9, 138.0, 156.1, 158.1

