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## General Remarks

1. All the melting points are uncorrected and the temperatures are in the centigrade scale.
2. The compound numbers, Scheme numbers and reference numbers given in each section refer to that section only.
3. All the solvents were distilled before use. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling in the range of $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4. Organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate.
5. TLC analysis was carried out using thin layer plates pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) and visualized by fluorescence quenching or Iodine or by charring after treatment with $p$-anisaldehyde.
6. In cases where chromatographic purification was done, silica gel (200-400 mesh) was used as the stationary phase or otherwise as stated.
7. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Infrared Spectrophotometer Model 68B or on Perkin-Elmer 1615 FT Infrared Spectrophotometer.
8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were recorded on Bruker AV-200 ( 50 MHz ) or Bruker AV-400 (100 MHz) or Bruker DRX-500 (125 MHz). Figures in the parentheses refer to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ frequencies. Tetramethyl silane was used as the internal standard.
9. Mass spectra were recorded at an ionization energy of 70 eV on Finnigan MAT1020, automated GC/MS instrument and on API Q STARPULSAR using electron spray ionization [(ESI), solvent medium: a mixture of water, acetonitrile and ammonium acetate] technique and mass values are expressed as $m / z$. HRMS were recorded on a micromass Q-T of micro with spray source (ESI ${ }^{+}$) mode.
10. Starting materials were obtained from commercial sources or prepared using known procedures.
11. Microanalysis data were obtained using a Carlo-Erba CHNS-O EA 1108 elemental analyzer within the limits of accuracy ( $\pm 0.4 \%$ ).

| Ac | Acetyl |
| :---: | :---: |
| ADD | (Azodicarbonyl)dipiperidine |
| AIBN | 2,2-Azobis(iso-butyronitrile) |
| Ar | Aryl |
| Aq. | Aqueous |
| BINAP | 2,2'-Bis(diphenylphosphino)-1,1'-binaphthyl |
| BMS | Borane dimethyl sulfide |
| Bn | Benzyl |
| Boc | tert-butoxy carbonyl |
| Bu | Butyl |
| $s-\mathrm{Bu}$ | sec-butyl |
| $t$-Bu | tert-butyl |
| CAN | Cerric ammonium nitrate |
| Cat. | Catalytic |
| Cbz | Carbobenzyloxy |
| $m$-CPBA | meta-chloroperbenzoic acid |
| CSA | Camphor sulfonic acid |
| DBDMH | 1,3-Dibromo-5,5-dimethylhydantoin |
| DBN | 1,5-diazabicyclo[4.3.0]non-5-ene |
| DBU | 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene |
| DCB | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene |
| DCC | $N, N$ '-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide |
| DCE | 1,2-Dichloroethane |
| DCM | Dichloromethane |
| DEPT | Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer |
| DIAD | Diisopropylazodicarboxylate |
| DIBAL | Diisobutyl aluminium hydride |
| DIPT | Diisopropyltartrate |


| DMAP | 4-Dimethylamino pyridine |
| :---: | :---: |
| DME | 1,2-dimethoxyethane |
| DMF | $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-Dimethylformamide |
| DMS | Dimethy sulfide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| dppf | (Bis-diphenylphosphino)ferrocenyl |
| Et | Ethyl |
| g | gram(s) |
| GABA | Gamma-aminobutyric acid |
| h | hour(s) |
| IPA | iso-propyl alcohol |
| IR | Infra red |
| HMPA | hexamethylphosphoramide |
| Hz | Hertz |
| KHMDS | Potassium hexamethyl disilazide |
| LDA | Lithium diisopropyl amide |
| LHMDS | Lithium hexamethyl disilazide |
| LICA | Lithium isopropyl cyclohexylamide |
| MAD | Methylaluminum bis(2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenoxide) |
| Me | Methyl |
| min | minute(s) |
| mL | mililitres |
| Mp | Melting point |
| Ms | Methanesulfonyl |
| MVK | Methyl vinyl ketone |
| NBS | $N$-bromosuccinimide |
| NCS | $N$-chlorosuccinimide |
| NMO | $N$-methyl morpholine oxide |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| ORTEP | Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plot |
| PCC | Pyridinium chlorocromate |


| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| :--- | :--- |
| PEG | Polyethylene glycol |
| PHMS | Poly(hydromethylysiloxane) |
| PLE | Pig liver esterase |
| PMB | para-methoxybenzyl |
| PPA | Polyphosphoric acid |
| PTAB | Phenyl trimethylammonium tribromide |
| PTC | Phase transfer catalysis |
| PPTS | para-toluene sulfonic acid |
| PTSA | Room temperature |
| rt | Tetrabutyl ammonium bromide |
| TBAB | Tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulfate |
| TBAHSO 4 | Tetrabutyl ammonium iodide |
| TBAI | tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate |
| TBSOTf | tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride |
| TBSCl | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| TFA | Tetrahydofuran |
| THF | Thin layer chromatography |
| TLC | $N, N, N{ }^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-tetramethylethylenediamine |
| TMEDA | Trimethylsilyl chloride |
| TMSCl | Toluenesulfonyl |
| Ts | Benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide |
| Triton-B |  |

The thesis entitled, "Synthetic studies towards Stemoamide, Paroxetine, Femoxetine, 3-Hydroxypipecolic Acid and development of synthetic methodology" is divided into three chapters.

Chapter first deals with the introduction and synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine and ( $\pm$ )femoxetine. The second chapter deals with the introduction and synthesis of 3hydroxypipecolic acid. The synthetic studies towards (-)-stemoamide and a methodology for PMB protection of alcohols described in chapter third.

## Chapter 1. Synthetic studies towards ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine and ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine

Section 1: Introduction to paroxetine and femoxetine. The present section includes the details about biological action and comprehensive literature on synthesis of Paroxetine and femoxetine. Both Paroxetine and Femoxetine are the potent antidepressants and these are selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor. Both share a common backbone of 3,4-trans disubstituted piperidine core (Figure 1).


1 (-)-Paroxetine


2 (+)-Femoxetine

Figure 1. Structure of (-)-Paroxetine and (+)-Femoxetine.

## Section 2: Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine

The synthesis started with the commercially available $N$-benzyl-4- piperidone 2 (Scheme 1). $N$-benzyl-4- piperidone on Vilsmeier-Haack formylation provided chloro aldehyde 5 a key intermediate. Suzuki coupling of 5 gave aldehyde 7, which on reduction provided alcohol 9. Alcohol 9 on mesylation followed by replacement of mesyl by sesamol moiety provided 11, which on carbamate deprotection provided ( $\pm$ )-1.


Scheme 1: Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine.

Reagents and conditions: a) DMF, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \% \mathrm{~b}$ EtOCOCl, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, $95 \%$ c) p-Fluoro phenylboronicacid, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{TBAB}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 73 \%$ d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \%$ e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 \%$ f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, quant. g) Sesamol, NaH, DMF, reflux, 61\% h) KOH, MeOH, reflux, 70\%.

## Section 3: Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine



Scheme 2: Synthesis of femoxetine

Reagents and conditions: a) phenylboronic acid, TBAB, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $70 \%$ b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 56 \%$ c) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, quant. d) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, quant. e) p-methoxyphenol, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}$, reflux, $72 \%$ f) LAH, THF, rt, $85 \%$.

This section describes the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine. The total synthesis of ( $\pm$ ) femoxetine started from 6 as described in Scheme 2. The Suzuki coupling followed by catalytic reduction of double bond as well as aldehyde with sodium borohydride provided 14. The mesylation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ followed by replacement with $p$-methoxyphenol and carbamate reduction leads to ( $\pm$ )-2.

## Chapter 2. Synthetic studies towards (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

## Section 1: Introduction to (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

This section describes the biological activity and reported synthetic routes to (2S,3S)-3hydroxypipecolic acid 27. (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid 27 belongs to the azasugar family compounds. It is constituent of many biologically active compounds like swainsonine, prosopinene, nojirimycin, febrifugine and tetrazomine.

## Section 2: Formal synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxy pipecolic acid




Scheme 3. Synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-Hydroxy pipecolic acid

Reagnets and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCOOEt}, \mathrm{MeOH},-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$ b) Cat. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 82 \%$ c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ d)TBSCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 80 \%$ (over two steps) e) $\mathrm{HN}_{3}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{DEAD}, \mathrm{THF}, 92 \%$ f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 \%$ g) MeONa, MeOH, 83\% h) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS, THF and then $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O} . E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 73 \%$ i) TBAF, THF, $88 \%$.

The synthesis started from L-(+)-tartaric acid (Scheme 3), which was converted to aldehyde 18 by known method. The Wittig reaction on aldehyde followed by acid treatment provided lactone 20. The amine 23 was obtained by hydrogenation, selective mono TBS protection and Mitsunobu reaction on 20. Cyclisation of amine 23, amide reduction followed by Boc protection and TBS deprotection provided piperidine 26.

## Section 3: Total synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

Another approach for synthesis of 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid started from $\mathrm{L}(+)$-tartaric acid (Scheme 4) is described in the present section.


Scheme 4. Synthesis of Pipecolic acid
Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, Cat. DMF, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 74 \%$ (over two steps) c) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . D M S$, Cat. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 60 \%$ d) TBSOTf, $\left.E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, ~ D C M, 85 \% ~ e\right) ~ P P T S, ~$ $\mathrm{MeOH}, 78 \%$ f) IBX, EtOAc, reflux g) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}_{2}$ Et, toluene, reflux, $75 \%$ (over two steps) h) $H_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 90 \%$ i) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{DMS}$, THF, $78 \%$ j) $6 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{HCl}$, reflux, $91 \%$

The sulfite 28 was opened with azide followed by selective reduction of ester moiety in 29 provided azido alcohol 30. The di-TBS protection, selective mono TBS deprotection followed by oxidation and two carbon Wittig reaction provided unsaturated ester 33.

Azide 33 on hydrogenation followed by selective amide reduction and acid treatment furnished target molecule 27.

Chapter 3: Synthetic studies towards stemoamide and methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective mono PMB protection of diols \& di-PMB protection of diols.

## Section 1: Introduction to (-)-Stemoamide

The present section describes the isolation and reported synthetic approaches for the synthesis of (-)-Stemoamide 36 (figure 2).


Figure 2: (-)-Stemoamide

## Section 2: Synthetic studies towards (-)-stemoamide

Our retrosynthetic plan is shown in scheme 5.


Scheme 5: Retrosynthesis for (-)-36.
The butenolide fragment 41 was prepared from D-mannitol diacetonide by known method. Conjugate addition of imine 42 on 41 provided butyrolactone 40 (Scheme 6). However subjecting 40 to Michael addition with ethyl acrylate did not furnish the desired ester 39.


Scheme 6. Synthesis of Stemoamide.

Reagents and conditions: a) LiBr, Et ${ }_{3}$ N, THF, 80\% b) Ethyl acrylate, NaH, THF
After failure in C-C bond formation the strategy was changed. The nitroester 44 was obtained by two strategies as shown in scheme 7. The attempts to reduce nitro group to the amine to obtain lactam 38 failed in spite of several conditions tried.


Scheme 7. Synthesis of Stemoamide
Reagents and conditions: a) Nitromethane, $D B U, 84 \%$ b) Ethyl acrylate, $D B U, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, 75\%, c) NaOEt, THF, reflux, 78\%

Taking in account failure of the two approaches, the plan was changed. The revised retrosynthetic plan is shown in Scheme 8.


Scheme 8. Retrosynthetic analysis for 43.
The $N$-allyl pyrrolinone 47 was prepared from methyl crotonate in five steps. According to the plan Vinylogous Mukaiyama Michael reaction was carried out in between
substrates 41 \& 47, which provided 48, which was confirmed by mass but furnished complex ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9. Vinylogous Mukaiyama Michael reaction
Unfortunately in this case also the required product could not be obtained. Hence again the strategy was changed. It is according to the retrosynthesis shown in Scheme 10.




Scheme 10. New retrosynthetic plan to 36

Synthesis started from L-pyroglutamic acid (Scheme 11). Allylation of 54 using allyltrimethyl silane furnished diallyl alcohol 53.


Scheme 11. Synthesis of diallyl alcohol 53 from 57

The crucial intermediate ketone 52 was prepared by subjecting 53 to RCM followed by hydrogenation and oxidation (Scheme 12).



Scheme 12. Synthesis of ketone 52
The alternative approach to RCM for the synthesis of $\mathbf{5 2}$ is depicted in Scheme 13.




Scheme 13. Synthesis of ketone 21 alternate route to RCM

Reagents and conditions: a) IBX, EtOAc, reflux b) THF, $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $74 \%$ over two steps) c) MOMCl, DIPEA, DCM, reflux, 90\% d) Na, NH3, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 87 \%$ e) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DCM f) NaH, THF (86\% over two steps) g) trace HCl, MeOH, reflux, 74\% h) IBX, EtOAc, reflux, 82\%

Accordingly ketone 52 was subjected to Reformatsky reaction using ethyl propionate to provide alcohol 39 (Scheme 14). Elimination of 39 furnished the undesired products.


Scheme 14. Construction of butenolide ring

Since the problem was faced during elimination Wittig Horner reaction on 52 was carried out which furnished 67. The allylic oxidation of 67 followed by reduction furnished butyrolactone 69. The selenation of $\mathbf{6 9}$ followed by selenoxide formation and elimination to funrnish butenolide 70ab which on epimerization gave 70a. This constitutes a formal total synthesis of Stemoamide.


Scheme 15. Alternative route for butenolide ring construction.

Reagents and conditions: a) Triethyl phosphonoacetate, NaH , benzene, $88 \%$ b) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, AcOH , reflux, $45 \%$ c) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 78 \%$ d) LiHMDS, $\mathrm{PhSeBr}, \mathrm{THF}, 95 \%$ e) 30\% $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, DCM, $92 \%$ f) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 2$ days

## Section 3: Development of methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective Mono-PMB protection of diols and di-PMB protection of diols

The present section describes an efficient, practical and catalytic methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective mono PMB protection of diols and di-PMB protection of diols. PMB protection of alcohols carried out using anisyl alcohol and cat. Amberlyst-15 in DCM


Scheme 16. PMB protection of alcohols.
The catalyst Amberlyst-15 was recycled and reused; up to 3 times it gives good yield without loss in activity. The conventional methods for mono-PMB protection of diols are associated with low yield and di-PMB protected compounds as a common impurity. Thus a mild, highly selective and simple method for mono-PMB protection of diols has been developed.


Scheme 17. Selective mono PMB protection of diols.
The various diols furnished good yields of mono-PMB protected diols. The di-PMB protection of diols was also carried out successfully in yields ranging from 75-92 \% (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18. di-PMB protection of diols

Chapter 1. Synthetic studies towards ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine and ( $\pm$ )-Femoxetine

Section 1: Introduction to Paroxetine and Femoxetine

### 1.1.1. Introduction

The class of compounds containing 3, 4-disubstituted piperidine ring as a core structure is of substantial medicinal use. Many biological compounds, which consist the piperidine core have wide range of biological activity. ${ }^{1}$ The biological activity of these classes of compounds depends on the position and substitution at the piperidine ring. The two molecules belonging to the 3 , 4 -disubstituted piperidine class are paroxetine ( $\mathbf{1}^{2}$ and femoxetine (2), both are the well known antidepressant drugs.

(-)-Paroxetine
1

(-)-Preclamol
4

(+)-Femoxetine
2


Meperidine
5


Peptidomimetic inhibitor Roche-1
3


Haloperidol
6

Figure 1

Paroxetine is a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor (SSRI) introduced in market by a pharmaceutical company, GlaxoSmithKline in 1992. Paroxetine is mainly used for the treatment of major depression, obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD), panic disorder, social anxiety and generalized anxiety disorder in adult outpatients. ${ }^{3}$ Paroxetine is marketed under trade names Paxil, Seroxat and Aropax.

Femoxetine (2) and piperidine Roche-1 (3) have close proximity in structure with paroxetine (1) and also act as a potent SSRI. The piperidine Roche-1 (3) is a nonpeptide peptidomimetic type III inhibitor of rennin. The other structurally related piperidine
biologically active compounds include preclamol (4) which is a dopamine (DA) autoreceptor agonist at the $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ receptor, ${ }^{4}$ meperidine $(5)^{5}$ is an opoid analgesic drug and haloperidol (6) ${ }^{6}$ is an antipsychotic drug. This shows the broad spectrum of biologically active piperidine derivatives.

### 1.1.2. Pharmacology

The human brain consists of neurotransmitters ${ }^{7}$ like serotonin or 5-hydroxy tryptamine (5HT), dopamine, noradrenaline (NA) or norepinephrine (NE), acetylcholine (ACh), glutamate and GABA. These neurotransmitters work as signal transmitters, the imbalance of neurotransmitters results in depression in man. If a person has reduced levels of serotonin and noradrenaline in the part of the brain that controls above said factors, the person is said to be suffering from depression. There are many theories about how depression occurs but present day clinical pharmacology treats depression on the basis of monoamine hypothesis. ${ }^{8}$ Paroxetine is a potent and selective inhibitor of the neuronal reuptake of serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine; 5-HT), ${ }^{9}$ which results in increased concentration of serotonin. Paroxetine is also found to be useful in other disorders like obsessive compulsive disorder and panic disorder. ${ }^{10}$ The short term clinical trial studies indicated that paroxetine has greater clinical improvements compared to placebo. A similar type of improvement in reduction of depression was observed in case of paroxetine compared to other agents like tricyclic antidepressants, maprotiline, nefazodone and the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors like fluoxetine, sertraline and fluvoxamine. Up to one year treatment of patients with paroxetine indicated that, this is effective in prevention of relapse or recurrence of depression. In elders the overall efficacy of paroxetine was found to be good compared with other agents.

In conclusion, paroxetine is effective and well tolerated, and suitable as first-line therapy for depression. It also appears to be a useful alternative to other available agents for the treatment of patients with OCD or panic disorder.

### 1.1.3. Literature review

The piperidine alkaloids have broad spectrum of biological activities. The potent antidepressant properties of both paroxetine and femoxetine attracted attention of many
organic chemists. The broad classification of the reported routes based on piperidine ring construction are categorized as (a) asymmetric synthesis using chiral ligands (b) synthesis using chiral auxiliaries (c) using chiral pool approach (d) using resolution strategy and (e) miscellaneous. Some of the important syntheses from each class have been discussed below.

## (a) Asymmetric syntheses using chiral ligands

In this section the reported syntheses for paroxetine and femoxetine are mainly based on asymmetric conjugate addition of active methylene compounds on the unsaturated electron withdrawing partners. The other reports are based on asymmetric reduction and asymmetric alkylation as well as arylation.

Beak's Approach (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 1004-1005; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11689-11698)

Beak et al. developed a novel diastereoselective conjugate addition of allylamines on the nitro alkenes in presence of $(-)$-sparteine as a chiral ligand. The conjugate addition of allylamines on nitro alkenes was exploited for the synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1) as well as (+)-femoxetine (2) (Scheme $1 \& 2$ ). ${ }^{11}$


## Scheme 1

The protected allylamine 7 was treated with nitro alkene 8 in presence of $n$ - BuLi and (-)sparteine as a ligand to furnish nitro amine 9 . Subsequent acidification of 9 provided aldehyde which was reduced using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The nitro group was reduced using palladium/ C as the catalyst and ammonium formate as the hydrogen donor and the resultant amine was protected as its Boc derivative to provide carbamate alcohol 10. Mesylation of amino alcohol 10 and subsequent treatment with base and TBAF provided piperidine 11. Hydroxy group in 11 was mesylated and replaced by sesamol using base, to provide (-)-paroxetine (1).

Beak et al. also achieved the synthesis of (+)-femoxetine (2) using diastereoselective conjugate addition (Scheme 2).
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Scheme 2

The conjugate addition of protected allylamine 12 on nitroalkene 13 in presence of $n$ BuLi and (-)-sparteine provided nitro allylamine 14 . The allylamine 14 was converted to aldehyde on acidification which was subsequently oxidized to acid and further converted to ester. The nitro group on reduction was furnished lactam 15, which was treated with nBuLi and methyl chloroformate to provide lactam ester 16. The lactam ester 16 was reduced to alcohol 17, which in turn was converted to its mesyl derivative and replaced with $p$-methoxyphenol which on LAH reduction furnished femoxetine (2).

Jacobsen's approach (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 11204-11205)
Jacobsen et al. demonstrated the use of Al-salen complex for the asymmetric conjugate addition of aryl and heteroaryl cyanoacetates to alkyl and aryl substituted unsaturated imides. The method was further exploited for the synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1) (Scheme 3). ${ }^{12}$


Scheme 3

The conjugate addition of $19\left(\mathrm{EWG}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}\right)$ on $p$-fluoroaryl substituted imide 18 gave cyano imide 20. The cyano group in imide 20 was reduced using Raney-Ni to furnish lactam 23, which was further transformed to (-)-paroxetine (1).

Jørgensen’s approach (Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 4305-4309)

The first organocatalytic approach for the synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1) \& (+)femoxetine (2) was reported by Jørgensen et al. ${ }^{13 a}$ An efficient organocatalytic enantioselective conjugate addition of malonates to aromatic $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes was developed and exploited for the synthesis of 1 and 2 (Scheme 4). The conjugate addition of di-benzyl malonate (25) on unsaturated aldehyde 24 in presence of (S)-26 provided 27 in more than $92 \%$ ee. The aldehyde ester 27 was subjected to reductive amination using benzyl amine followed by LAH reduction to provide alcohol 30, which
has been already reported to be converted to (-)-paroxetine (1) thus accomplishing the formal synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1).


## Scheme 4

The use of $R-26$ for conjugate addition (Scheme 5) of di-benzyl malonate (25) on cinnamaldehyde 31 provided aldehyde ester 32, which was exploited for the formal synthesis of $(+)$-femoxetine (2) in two steps. A similar strategy for the synthesis of ( - )paroxetine 1 appeared from Rios et al. in 2009 (Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 19431946). ${ }^{13 b}$
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## Figure 2

The other syntheses of paroxetine and femoxetine using conjugate addition are by Hayashi et al. ${ }^{14}$ (J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6852-6856) and Dixon et al. (Organic Lett. 2008, 10, 1389-1391). Hayashi et al. used the stereoselective conjugate addition of substituted aryl boron reagents using BINAP ligands on $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $\delta$-lactams (Figure 2). Dixon et al. reported the synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1) using enantioselective Michael addition of malonates to nitro olefins using quinine derived bifunctionalorganocatalyst (Figure 2). ${ }^{15}$ Jew et al. (Organic Letters 2010, 12, 2826-2829) developed stereoselective alkylation of malonamides using phase transfer chiral
catalyst. ${ }^{16}$ The alkylated product was further transformed to (-)-paroxetine (1) in 15 steps (Figure 2). Hamada et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 4977-4981) carried out the nucleophilic addition to allyl-carbonates using, $\operatorname{Ir}$ (DIAPHOX) catalyst and the substituted product obtained was converted to (-)-paroxetine (1). ${ }^{17}$ Krische et al. (Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 10594-10602) in 2006 reported synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ using Heck arylation strategy (Figure 2). ${ }^{18}$

## b) Syntheses using chiral auxiliaries

The majority of reports employed the chiral auxiliaries as ester derivatives for the diastereoselective conjugate addition on $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated- $\delta$-lactams.

Amat's approach (J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 3074-3084); Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1996, 7, 1591-1594)

Amat et al. used a phenyl glycinol 34 as a chiral auxiliary in order to prepare the $\alpha, \beta$ -unsaturated- $\delta$-lactams as a precursor to carry out the diastereoselective conjugate addition

of organocuprates with good diastereoselectivity (Scheme 6). Reaction of phenyl glycinol 34 with 5-oxopentanoate 35 gave the cis and trans lactams 36 with equilibrium favored towards cis-36. The cis-bicyclic lactam 36 on one-pot treatment with LHMDS, methylchloroformate and phenylselenyl bromide furnished the seleno ester 37 which was converted to unsaturated lactam 38. The diastereoselective conjugate addition of $p$ fluorophenyl cuprate reagent was carried on unsaturated lactam 38 to give lactam 39 with a very high diastereoselectivity (97:3). The lactam 39 was further transformed to (-)paroxetine $\mathbf{1}$ in 4 steps. ${ }^{19}$

Keshava Murthy et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 5355-5358) also reported the similar type of conjugate addition using Oppolzer's (1S)-(-)-camphorsultam as a chiral auxiliary and aryl magnesium reagents with good diastereoselectivity for the addition. ${ }^{20}$

Cossy's approach (New J. Chem. 2003, 27, 475-482; Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 78057807)

Cossy et al. used the $\delta$-valerolactam for the synthesis of paroxetine 1 (Scheme 7). The crucial intermediate $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 42 was prepared by treatment of lactam 40 with strong base LHMDS, methyl chloroformate followed by transesterification with camphor derived chiral auxiliary. ${ }^{21}$


Scheme 7

The diastereoselective conjugate addition of $p$-fluoro phenyl lithium cuprate 43 on $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester 42 furnished ester 44 with excellent diastereoselectivity (98: 2). The amido ester 44 was subjected to reduction using LAH, which was further converted to (-)-paroxetine 1.

Reddy's approach (Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2011, 22, 1-3)
Reddy et al. ${ }^{22}$ recently used the diastereoselective conjugate addition on $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amido ester 46 of ethyl- $N$-methylmalonamide (47), by taking the advantage of the Evans chiral auxiliary for the formal synthesis of (-)-paroxetine 1 (Scheme 8).


The conjugate addition of $\mathbf{4 6}$ and 47 in the presence of NaH and DMSO furnished imide 48. The subsequent reduction of imide 48 furnished alcohol 49 which is a crucial intermediate for the synthesis of paroxetine 1.

Yamada's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8673-8676)

Yamada et al. used the novel face selective addition of aryl cuprates to chiral pyridinium salt. The face selectivity was attributed to the selective blockage of one face due to the electronic interaction between sulfur and pyridinium salt (Scheme 9). Amide 50 on treatment with benzoyl chloride and diaryl lithiumcuprate at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished amide 51 in $78 \%$ yield and $>99 \%$ de. The chiral auxiliary was removed using $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ and reduction using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ provided amino ester 52. The amino ester 52 was epimerized using NaOMe and the resulting ester was reduced using LAH to furnish alcohol 49 in
quantitative yield. Similarly the formal synthesis of (+)-femoxetine (2) was achieved by using the other enantiomer of the chiral auxiliary for the conjugate addition. ${ }^{23}$


## (c) Syntheses using chiral pool approach

Cossy's Approach (Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 3543-3551; Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 5705-5707)

Cossy et al. used pyroglutamic acid as a chiral starting material for the formal synthesis of (-)-paroxetine (1) (Scheme 10). The key steps used are the ring enlargement from five to six membered ring and diastereoselective conjugate addition. L-pyroglutamic acid was esterified using thionyl chloride in methanol. The resultant ester was reduced with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and the alcohol as well as amide was protected using benzaldehyde PTSA to furnish the bicyclic compound 54. The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amido-ester 55 was prepared from 54 by treatment with LHMDS and corresponding chloroformate followed by phenyl selenyl chloride and elimination using hydrogen peroxide. The conjugate addition of 56 was carried out on 55 in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by reduction using borane THF to furnish amino alcohol 58. In a key step, amino alcohol 58 on treatment with mesyl chloride and triethylamine furnished amino ester 59.



Scheme 10

Dechlorination of 59 was carried out using tributyltin hydride and catalytic AIBN to give amino ester $\mathbf{6 0}$. The ester $\mathbf{6 0}$ was reduced using LAH in THF to provide alcohol 30. ${ }^{24}$

## (d) Synthesis using desymmetrisation and resolution strategy

Yu`s Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 5647-5651)
Yu et al. used the desymmetrisation of the glutaric ester 62 using pig liver esterase enzyme (PLE) (Scheme 11). p-Fluorobenzaldehyde was subjected to reaction with methyl acetoacetate, followed by NaOH and esterification to furnish substituted glutaric acid ester 62. The substituted glutaric acid diester 62 was subjected to enzymatic desymmetrization using PLE (600U) to provide acid ester 63 in $86 \%$ and $94 \%$ ee. The acid 63 was reduced to alcohol using borane dimethylsulfide complex and subsequently the alcohol was mesylated and treated with benzylamine to furnish amide 64. The $\alpha$ functionalisation of amide 64 was carried out using NaH , dimethyl carbonate and the resultant ester as well as amide were reduced using LAH to give alcohol 65. The alcohol

in amino alcohol 65 was exchanged with sesamol via mesylate and the benzyl deprotection on hydrogenation using palladium furnished (+)-paroxetine (1). ${ }^{25}$

Gotor's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 8947-8953, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 33333336)

Gotor et al. have done the extensive optimization of the conditions for the resolution of alcohol 67 (Scheme 12). The enzyme CAL-A and vinyl acetate 68 as an acylating agent in toluene at $15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ proved to be the ideal conditions. ${ }^{26}$


Scheme 12

Gotor et al. (Scheme 13) in 2003 reported the $2^{\text {nd }}$ resolution approach using enzymes CAL-A, CAL-B and cyclic anhydride. CAL-A and CAL-B furnished complementary enantiopreference for opposite enantiomers. The trans-alcohol on treatment with CAL-A lipase enzyme in toluene at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ provided the ( $3 R, 4 S$ )-71. However, the CAL-B lipase provided the enantiomer of $\mathbf{7 1}$ under identical conditions. ${ }^{27}$


Scheme 13

The present method provides the access to both the enantiomers of the alcohol 71 in efficient manner.

Guisan's approach (Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 2375-2381)

Guisan et al. (Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2002, 13, 2375-2381) (Scheme 14) carried out the enzymatic resolution of amido ester 73 using the CAL-B enzyme in aqueous conditions with $>99 \%$ ee. ${ }^{28}$


Scheme 14

Nemes's Approach (Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 3336-3339)

Nemes et al. (Scheme 15) exploited the $N$-benzyl piperidone 75 for the synthesis of (-)paroxetine (1). The key steps used are Prins reaction on amino alkene 76 using
formaldehyde and acid resolution of and the resulting alcohol 77 using (-)dibenzoyltartaric acid. Double bond in amino alcohol 77 was hydrogenated using palladium under hydrogen atmosphere to furnish syn amino alcohol 78 which on mesylation followed by replacement of mesyl group with sesamol provided 80. The compound $\mathbf{8 0}$ was debenzylated to provide paroxetine $1 .{ }^{29}$


Scheme 15

## (e) Miscellaneous Approaches

Ihara's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3957-3962)
Ihara et al (Scheme 16) reported novel racemic formal synthesis of paroxetine using azadouble Michael addition of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated amides with unsaturated carbonyls.


Scheme 16
The unsaturated amide $\mathbf{8 1}$ was treated with methyl acrylate $\mathbf{8 2}$ in presence of TBSOTf and triethylamine followed by treatment with sodium methoxide to furnish lactam 83 in $58 \%$ yield. The lactam was reduced using LAH in THF to give alcohol 65. ${ }^{30}$

Correia's Approach (Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 1657-1660)
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1. $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. DMS , THF
$\xrightarrow[\text { Sesamal } \mathrm{NaH}]{\text { 2. } \mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}}$ 4. $\mathrm{KOH} . \mathrm{MeOH}$

( $\pm$ )-paroxetine 1

Scheme 17
Correia et al. (Scheme 17) developed a Heck arylation of acyclic- and cyclic-substituted acrylates using different substituted tetrafluoroborates. The method was exploited for the synthesis of racemic paroxetine. The unsaturated ester $\mathbf{8 4}$ was subjected to Heck reaction using $p$-fluorobenzenetetrafluoroborate in presence of palladium acetate to give ester 85 with shifting of double bond. Double bond in 85 was reduced using Mg , methanol to furnish the cis product $\mathbf{8 6}$. Ester 86 was transformed to trans ester using KOH, methanol and hydrolyzed using KOH in water to acid 87 . Acid 87 was reduced using borane
dimethylsulfide complex and the resulting alcohol was mesylated, replaced with sesamol using NaH in DMF and finally carbamate was deprotected using base to furnish racemic paroxetine $1 .{ }^{31}$

Chavan's Approach (Synth. Commun. 2007, 37, 3143-3149)

Concurrent to Correia's approach this group reported the synthesis of racemic paroxetine 1 (Scheme 18) starting from cheap and commercially available starting materials benzylamine and methyl acrylate. The key step used is the Heck reaction using pfluorobromobenzene in presence of palladium catalyst in aqueous conditions. Methyl


84
91


( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine 1

Scheme 18
acrylate on refluxing with benzyl amine and triethyl amine gave double Michael adduct of benzyl amine which on treatment with NaH underwent Dieckmann condensation to furnish $\beta$-keto ester 89. $\beta$-keto ester $\mathbf{8 9}$ on $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction followed by mesylation provided eliminated product $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 90, which was subjected to Heck arylation using $p$-fluorobromobenzene and palladium catalyst in an attempt to obtain the coupled product but the reaction met with failure. Then the benzyl group in $\mathbf{9 0}$ was
replaced with methyl carbamate using methyl chloroformate to form ester carbamate 84. The ester carbamate $\mathbf{8 4}$ was then subjected to Heck arylation using similar conditions as above to furnish the arylated product 91 with migration of double bond as well as carbamate deprotection. ${ }^{32}$ Protection of 91 with methyl chloroformate furnished the carbamate 85 which was identical to the one obtained by Correia.
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Chapter 1. Synthetic studies towards ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine and ( $\pm$ )-Femoxetine

Section 2: Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine

### 1.2.1: Present Work

### 1.2.1.1: Objective

Literature survey revealed that paroxetine as well as femoxetine attracted the attention of many organic chemists towards its synthesis due to their potent antidepressant activities. The literature reports also revealed that the piperidones are less explored for the synthesis of paroxetine and femoxetine. The reported routes based on asymmetric conjugate additions, chiral pool approaches and enzymatic resolutions are associated with lengthy routes and low yields. In this context, there is a need of convenient and efficient route for the synthesis of these compounds from piperidones.

This group is engaged in the synthesis of biologically active compounds and earlier this group developed a technically practical, efficient and economically viable protocol for the synthesis of potent antidepressant drug venlafaxine. ${ }^{1}$ In continuation of search for practical routes for such molecules, synthesis of antidepressant drugs paroxetine and femoxetine was undertaken.

3,4-Di-substituted piperidines are the common core structure in many biologically active compounds. Methods for construction of 3,4-di-substituted derivatives of piperidines are limited compared to methods for construction of C-2 and C-6 substituted piperidines. If the construction of 3,4-di-substitution of piperidines is done efficiently, it would be possible to prepare paroxetine and femoxetine in good yields and less number of steps.

### 1.2.1.2. Retrosynthetic analysis

According to our retrosynthetic plan (Scheme 1), the paroxetine (1) could be obtained from alcohol 2. The alcohol 2 could be obtained by reduction of double bond followed by reduction of aldehyde. The p-fluorophenyl group could be introduced by Suzuki coupling ${ }^{2}$ on unsaturated chloro aldehyde 4 . The unsaturated chloro aldehyde 4 could be obtained by taking advantage of Vilsmeier-Haack formylation on piperidone 5. ${ }^{3}$
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Scheme 1: Retrosynthetic analysis for 1

### 1.2.1.3. Results and discussion

The synthetic strategy for ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine (1) is shown in scheme 2 . The exploitation of piperidone in to 3,4-di-substituted piperidines using Vilsmeier-Haack formylation and Suzuki coupling reaction in aqueous medium constitute the key steps.

The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated chloro aldehyde 7 was prepared by the Vilsmeier-Haack formylation of $N$-benzyl-4-piperidone (5) using $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}$ in DCM in poor yield (42\%). The lone pair of nitrogen may be interacting with electrophilic adduct during formylation resulting in the low yield of the reaction. Keeping this in mind, the $N$-benzyl group in $\mathbf{5}$ was removed and replaced with carbamate group to furnish 6. Then carbamate 6 was subjected to Vilsmeier-Haack formylation, furnishing aldehyde 4 in $90 \%$ yield. The IR spectrum of 4 showed strong bands at 1694,1676 and $1631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of unsaturated aldehyde, carbamate and olefin functionalities. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed characteristic singlet at $\delta 10.14$ due to presence of aldehyde functionality $(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CH})$. The peak at $\delta 4.18$ corresponding to $\left(\mathrm{NCH}_{2}\right)$ merged in to the quartet corresponding to ethyl carbamate at $\delta 4.15$ and appearance of triplet at $\delta 1.28$ confirmed the presence of unsaturated aldehyde 4.
The unsaturated aldehyde 4 was subjected to Suzuki coupling conditions using $p$ fluorophenyl boronic acid in presence of palladium acetate catalyst in water at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to

$5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$
$6 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et} \longleftrightarrow \mathrm{b}$

b






3







Scheme 2: Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine.
Reagents and conditions: a) DMF, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \%$ b) $\mathrm{EtOCOCl}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, $95 \%$ c) p-Fluoro phenylboronic acid, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{TBAB}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 73 \%$ d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} e) \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 50 \%$ f) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 95 \%$ g) Sesamol, NaH, DMF, reflux, $61 \%$ h) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $70 \%$.
provide $\beta$-aryl aldehyde 3 in $73 \%$ yield. ${ }^{2 a}$ The IR spectrum of 3 showed intense bands at 1693 and $1669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of unsaturated aldehyde and carbamate functionalities. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{3}$ showed peaks in aromatic region at $\delta 7.05$ and $\delta 7.18$ confirming the presence of aromatic ring. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 190$ for aldehyde carbon and three doublets at $\delta 115.6(J=30 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.3(J=8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 133.3 $(J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to the C-F coupling clearly indicating the presence of $p$-fluorophenyl ring.

The $\beta$-aryl aldehyde 3 was subjected to catalytic hydrogenation using palladium catalyst in presence of hydrogen atmosphere to furnish saturated aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ which was found to be unstable and was immediately subjected to reduction using sodium borohydride in methanol to provide alcohol 2. The absence of peaks at 1630 and $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IR spectrum of 2 confirmed the reduction of double bond as well as aldehyde and presence of strong band at $1675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of carbamate functionality. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a characteristic peak at $\delta 2.56$ which appeared as a dt with coupling constants of 11.6 Hz and 4.5 Hz corresponding to ax-ax (11.6 Hz) coupling further split in to doublet with ax-eq coupling ( 4.5 Hz ) indicating the presence of diequatorial substitution. The peak at $\delta 2.76$ with coupling constant 11.7 Hz for (Ar-CH) clearly indicated the position of Ar to be equatorial. The ax-ax coupling in case of both protons at C3, as well as C4 clearly indicated the trans stereochemistry of substituents at C 3 and C4. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed peak at $\delta 155.4$ for carbamate carbonyl while the doublets at $\delta 115.5,128.3$ and 128.7 clearly indicated the $p$-fluoro aryl substitution. Its mass spectrum showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$confirming the formation of 2.

Alcohol 2 was treated with mesyl chloride and triethylamine in DCM to provide mesyl compound 10 in $95 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed strong band at $1694 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to carbamate. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed singlet at $\delta 2.90$ for $-\mathrm{SO}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ of mesyl group. Peaks of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ were shifted from $\delta 3.44$ and 3.25 to $\delta 3.99$ and 3.81 respectively due to -I effect of mesyl group. The strong peak at $\delta 36$ along with all other required peaks present in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR confirmed the mesyl compound 10.

The mesyl compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was subjected to displacement of mesyl group by sesamol in presence sodium hydride as a base in DMF under refluxing conditions to provide compound 11 in $61 \%$ yield. A strong band at $1689 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum showed the presence of carbamate carbonyl. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed a singlet at $\delta 5.89$, a characteristic
 corresponding to the aromatic protons of sesamol group. Absence of mesyl methyl peak at $\delta 2.90$ suggested that the mesyl group was replaced. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR of $\mathbf{1 1}$ showed peaks at $\delta$ $154.1,141.6,138.9,107.8,105.5,101.1$ and 98.0 corresponding to the sesamol moiety. Peak at $\delta 155.5$ corresponded to the carbamate carbonyl group. Doublets at $\delta 128.7$ and
115.5 were the characteristic peaks due to fluoro group coupling. The DEPT spectrum showed the presence of $6 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and total 8 peaks corresponding to CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons. Further the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$ showed the molecular ion peak at m/z 424 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{1 1}$.

Finally, the carbamate group in $\mathbf{1 1}$ was deprotected using 10 eq. of KOH in methanol under reflux conditions to provide the target molecule ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine $\mathbf{1}$ in $70 \%$ yield. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed absence of peaks at $\delta 4.17(\mathrm{q})$ and at $\delta 1.30(\mathrm{t})$ which indicated the deprotection of carbamate. Peak at $\delta 1.93-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ ) corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}$ protons, multiplet at $\delta 2.34-2.40$ due to the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$ and peak at $\delta 2.69-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ for the $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}$ and peak at $\delta 2.82-3.14(\mathrm{~m})$ correspond to the $-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons. Peak at $\delta 5.88$ was assigned to $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - group in sesamol and the other peaks included $\delta 6.08$ (dd), $\delta$ $6.31(\mathrm{~d}), \delta 6.59(\mathrm{~d})$ and $\delta 6.98(\mathrm{t}), \delta 7.20(\mathrm{~m})$ corresponding to sesamol and $p$ fluorophenyl ring respectively. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR showed peaks at $\delta 30\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \delta 39.3(\mathrm{CH}), \delta$ $41.7(\mathrm{CH})$ and $67.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ corresponding to aliphatic region of paroxetine (1). Peaks at $\delta$ $97.9(\mathrm{CH}), \delta 100.5\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), \delta 105.5(\mathrm{CH}), \delta 107.9, \delta 115.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), \delta 128.5$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{CH}), \delta 137.2$ (d, $J=3 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C}), \delta 142.0$ (C), $\delta 148.2$ (C), 153.7 (C) and $162.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=246 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathrm{C})$ all correspond to aromatic region in paroxetine (1). Presence of a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in its mass spectrum confirmed the structure of paroxetine (1). The spectral data of synthetic paroxetine were in good agreement with the reported one. ${ }^{4}$

### 1.2.2. Conclusion

Total synthesis of $( \pm)$-paroxetine has been accomplished employing Suzuki coupling reaction under aqueous media. The commercially available starting material N -benzyl piperidone was employed for synthesis by taking advantage of Vilsmeier-Haack formylation. The present method for construction of 3,4-di-substituted piperidines could be explored for the synthesis of different piperidine derivatives and similar natural products.

### 1.2.3. Experimental

## Ethyl 4-chloro-3-formyl-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate (4)



A mixture of anhydrous DCM ( 8 mL ) and anhydrous DMF (15.2 $\mathrm{mL}, 197 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using ice and to that was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(14.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 158 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise and stirred further for 2 hours at room temperature. Ethyl 4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (6) $(13.5 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred further for 3 hours at rt . Reaction mixture was quenched first by using ice followed by careful addition of sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. Reaction mixture was allowed to separate in separating funnel, organic layer was separated and aqueous layer again extracted twice using DCM ( 50 mL ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to furnish $\beta$-chloro aldehyde 4 ( $15.4 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a crude product.

Molecular formula
Yield
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.

## Ethyl 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-formyl-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate (3)


$\beta$-Chloro aldehyde 4 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $p$-fluorophenyl boronic acid $(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$, tetra-butylammonium bromide $(7.4 \mathrm{~g}$, 23 mmol ), potassium carbonate ( $8 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and palladium acetate ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) were taken together and to that was added deionized water ( 50 mL ). The solution was stirred vigorously at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours. The dark and nonhomogenous reaction mixture was diluted with water (50 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to provide sticky dark brown liquid. Purification
by flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate $75: 25$ ) furnished ( $4.15 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) of pure product aldehyde $\mathbf{3}$ as a colorless dense liquid.

Molecular formula
Yield
IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}$
: 73\%
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 9.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.00-7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07-4.20 (m, 4H), $4.63(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.63$ (m, 2H), $1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 190.5,162.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=250 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.3,133.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.6$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 132.2,135.1,130.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 61.6,41.4,39.8,33.0,14.7$.

MS (ESI) (m/z)
Elemental analysis
: $300(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
: Calculated C, 64.97; H, 5.82; N, 5.05\%
Found C, 64.95; H, 5.85; N, 5.01\%

## Ethyl 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(hydroxymethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (2)



To the aldehyde $3(3 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(5 \%, 10 \mathrm{mg})$ and put under hydrogen atmosphere ( 60 psi ) on shaker for 1 hour. Reaction mixture was filtered from celite and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude saturated aldehyde as a dense liquid. The crude aldehyde was subsequently dissolved in methanol and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise over 10 min and stirred further for 1 hour. Methanol was removed from reaction mixture and water was added ( 30 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to provide colorless dense liquid. Purification of crude product using flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate $60: 40$ ) furnished alcohol $2(1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.

Molecular formula
Yield
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.08-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) 4.40(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44$ (dd, $J=10.8,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (dd, $J=10.8,6.5$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.77$
(m, 2H), $1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 161.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=140 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.4,139.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0$
$\mathrm{Hz}), 128.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=20.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
$62.8,61.3,47.1,44.4,44.0,43.8,34.0,14.8$

MS (ESI) (m/z)
Elemental analysis
: $304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
: Calculated C, 64.04; H, 7.17; N, 4.98\%
Found C, 64.06; H, 7.13; N, 4.99\%

Ethyl 4-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-(((methylsulfonyl)oxy)methyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (10)


To the alcohol $2(230 \mathrm{mg}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added triethylamine ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and reaction mixture was further stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Water ( 15 mL ) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted using DCM (10 x 3). Organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and removal of the solvent furnished a residue which was purified on flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate $65: 35$ ) to furnish mesylate 10 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%$ ) as a thick oil.

Molecular formula
Yield
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FNO}_{5} \mathrm{~S} \\
& : 95 \% \\
& : 2983,2937,1694,1510,1437,13551224,1174 \\
& 962 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.27-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-6.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-$

$$
4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=
$$

$$
10.1,2.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz},
$$

$$
1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.52
$$

$$
(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),
$$

$$
1.73-1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \text { NMR (50 MHz, } \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 161.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=246 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.1,137.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5} \begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Hz}), 128.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \\
& \mathrm{Hz}), 69.3,61.4,46.3,44.0,43.6,41.0,36.9, \\
& 33.8,14.6 . \\
\text { MS (ESI) (m/z) } & : 382(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Ethyl-((benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yloxy)methyl)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperidine-1carboxylate (11)



To the solution of sesamol ( $216 \mathrm{mg}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(93 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%$ ) under nitrogen atmosphere. Mesyl compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 0.78$ mmol) dissolved in DMF ( 2 mL ) was added and reaction mixture refluxed for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched carefully using water ( 10 mL ) and extracted using diethyl ether (3 x 15 mL ). The collected organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate 75:25) to provide compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( $190 \mathrm{mg}, 61 \%$ ) as colorless liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{FNO}_{5}$
Yield $: 61 \%$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \quad: 2980,1685,1432,1023,735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.11-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-7.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J$

$$
=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{dd},
$$

$$
J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43-4.52(\mathrm{~m},
$$

$$
1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}),
$$

$$
3.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4
$$

$6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.5$,
$4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.33(\mathrm{~m}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=245 \mathrm{~Hz}), 155.5,154.2,148.1$,

$$
141.7,138.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 128.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}),
$$

115.5 (d, $J=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 107.8, 105.6, 101.1, 98.0, 68.7, 61.4, 47.2, 44.3, 43.9, 41.9, 33.8, 14.7.

MS (ESI) (m/z)
Elemental analysis
: $424(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
: Calculated C, 65.82; H, 6.03; N, 3.49\%
Found C, 65.82; H, 6.00; N, 3.48\%

## ( $\pm$ )-3-((benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yloxy)methyl)-4-(4-fluorophenyl)piperidine (1)



To the solution of carbamate $\mathbf{1 1}(190 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{KOH}(265 \mathrm{mg}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and reaction mixture refluxed for 5 days. Reaction mixture was then cooled to rt, methanol was evaporated on rotavapour and excess KOH was quenched using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution till pH was neutral and the reaction mixture was extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organics were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to furnish ( $\pm$ )paroxetine (1) as a dense liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{FNO}_{3}$
Yield : 61\%
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )
: 2980, 1430, 1050, $738 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.17-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-7.02(\mathrm{t}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
6.09 (dd, $J=8.5,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.88 (s, 2H), 3.56-
3.71(m, 2H), 3.41-3.48(m, 1H), 2.82-3.14 (m,
$3 H), 2.69-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.40,(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-$
2.03 (m, 2H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 162.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=246 \mathrm{~Hz}), 153.7,148.2,142.0$,
$137.2,28.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 115.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=21.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
107.9, 105.5, 101.1, 97.9, 67.5, 64.2, 46.7, 44.5,
41.7, 39.3, 30.0

MS (ESI) $(m / z)$
Elemental analysis
: $352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
: Calculated C, 69.29; H, 6.12; N, 4.25\%
Found C, 69.28; H, 6.10; N, 4.28\%

### 1.2.4 NMR Spectra

( H NMR spectrum of compound $\mathbf{4}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$





(3)
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Chapter 1. Synthetic studies towards ( $\pm$ )-Paroxetine and ( $\pm$ )-Femoxetine

Section 3: Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Femoxetine

### 1.3.1: Present Work

### 1.3.1.1: Objective

The potent serotonin (5-HT)-uptake inhibitory properties of the femoxetine ${ }^{1}$ and its structural similarity with paroxetine was the driving force for its synthesis, using 4-piperidone as a commercially available starting material.

### 1.2.1.2. Retrosynthetic analysis

The retrosynthetic analysis for $( \pm)$-femoxetine (1) is shown in scheme 1 .



Scheme 1: Retrosynthetic analysis for 1

The target molecule 1 could be obtained from carbamate 2 by LAH reduction and attaching side chain via $\mathrm{SN}^{2}$ displacement of the alcohol with 4-methoxy phenol. The carbamate 2 could be readily obtained from unsaturated aldehyde 3 by hydrogenation, epimerization and aldehyde reduction. The unsaturated aldehyde 3 could be constructed by taking advantage of Suzuki reaction on chloro aldehyde 4 using phenyl boronic acid. ${ }^{2}$ The common intermediate used for the synthesis of paroxetine (chapter 1, section 2) chloro aldehyde 4 could be employed for the synthesis of $( \pm)$-femoxetine (1). The chloro aldehyde 4 could be obtained from 4-piperidone 5 by Vilsmeier-Haack formylation. ${ }^{3}$

### 1.3.1.3. Results and discussion



Scheme 2: Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-Femoxetine 1.
Reagents and conditions: a) DMF, $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 90 \%$ b) $\mathrm{EtOCOCl}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 95 \%$ c) Phenylboronic acid (8), $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{TBAB}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 70 \%$ d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}$-C, MeOH , rt e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 56 \%$ (over two steps) f) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}$, (g) p-Methoxyphenol, NaH, DMF, reflux, $72 \%$ h) LAH, THF, rt, $85 \%$

The synthesis of $( \pm)$-femoxetine (1) started from $N$-benzyl piperidone 5 as a commercially available starting material which was also used for the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-paroxetine. The replacement of $N$-benzyl group in $\mathbf{5}$ by ethyl carbamate and its Vilsmeier-Haack formylation ${ }^{3}$ provided aldehyde 4 as described in detail in chapter-1-section-2. Chloro aldehyde 4 was subjected to Suzuki coupling reaction using phenyl boronic acid $\mathbf{8}$ in presence of catalytic palladium acetate in water to provide the coupled product $\beta$-aryl aldehyde 3 in $70 \%$ yield. ${ }^{2}$ Its IR spectrum showed intense bands at 1690 and $1675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to unsaturated aldehyde and carbamate functionality respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ showed peak at $\delta 9.49$ indicating the presence of an aldehyde functionality while peaks at $\delta 7.41$ and 7.26
integrating for 3 and 2 protons respectively showed the presence of aromatic ring. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 191$ and 155 corresponding to aldehyde and carbamate functionalities respectively. Peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in its mass spectrum confirmed the formation of 3.
$\beta$-Aryl aldehyde 3 was subjected to hydrogenation in methanol in the presence of palladium catalyst under hydrogen atmosphere to provide saturated aldehyde 9 , which was observed to be unstable during purification and therefore it was directly subjected to reduction using sodium borohydride to provide alcohol 2 in $56 \%$ yield over two steps. Peak at $\delta 9.49$ in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 2 vanished confirming the reduction of aldehyde. Peaks at $\delta 4.34$ and 4.24 were ascribed to protons adjacent to nitrogen. carbamate methylene protons appeared as a quartet at $\delta 4.45$. Peaks appearing at $\delta 3.41$ and 3.25 as dd each were attributed to $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed peak at $\delta 155$ corresponding to carbamate. Absence of peak at $\delta 191$ showed the absence of aldehyde functionality and appearance of peaks in aliphatic region at $\delta 43(\mathrm{CH})$ and $45(\mathrm{CH})$ confirmed the reduction of double bond. Further, the mass spectrum of 2 showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 264(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ which confirmed the formation of 2.

Alcohol 2 was subjected to mesylation using mesyl chloride and triethylamine to provide mesyl compound 10. Mesyl compound 10 without purification was subjected to displacement of mesyl group by p-methoxy phenoxy group using sodium hydride as a base in DMF under reflux conditions to provide di-aryl compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed intense band at $1678 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to carbamate carbonyl functionality. Peaks in its ${ }^{1}$ H-NMR spectrum at $\delta 6.75,6.68$ integrated for 2 protons each and peak at $\delta 3.73$ appearing as a singlet accounted for 3 protons indicating the presence of $p$-methoxy phenyl ring. Peaks corresponding to $\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - appeared at $\delta 3.65$ and $\delta 3.60$, each as a doublet of doublet. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 115,114$ and $\delta 153,152$ corresponding to $p$-methoxy phenyl moiety. Peaks that resonated at $\delta 128,127,126$ and 143 were due to phenyl ring. The two di-substituted tertiary carbons of piperidine ring appeared at $\delta 44$ and $\delta 41$. The carbamate carbonyl appeared at $\delta 155$. Further, the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$ showed the peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 392(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$confirming the formation of $\mathbf{1 1}$.
Finally the di-aryl compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was subjected to reduction using Joshnson's ${ }^{4}$ approach by $\mathrm{LAH}^{5}$ in THF to provide target molecule ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine (1) in $85 \%$ yield. Absence of peaks
at $\delta 4.18(\mathrm{q})$ and $\delta 1.29(\mathrm{t})$ and appearance of peak at $\delta 2.46(\mathrm{~s})$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum clearly indicated the conversion of carbamate to methyl group. The aromatic protons appeared at $\delta 7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ corresponding to aryl group. Doublets at $\delta 6.79$ and $\delta 6.71$ with coupling constant 9 Hz were assigned to $p$-methoxy phenyl group. Peak appearing at $\delta 3.78$ was due to aromatic methoxy group. Two double doublets appeared at $\delta$ 3.66 and $\delta 3.54$ corresponding to $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ - protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed peak at $\delta$ 153.1 corresponding to the aromatic quaternary $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and peaks at $\delta 115.4$ and $\delta 114.5$ corresponding to aromatic CH in $p$-methoxy phenyl ring. Peak at $\delta 143.8$ corresponded to the quaternary aryl carbon and peaks at $\delta 128.6,127.5$, and 126.6 were due to aromatic CH . The other peaks appeared at $\delta 69.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 59.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 56.2\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 55.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 46.4\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 44.2$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 41.8(\mathrm{CH})$ and $\delta 34.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at 312 $(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$thereby confirming the formation of $( \pm)$-femoxetine (1). The spectral data of the target molecule $\mathbf{1}$ was in good agreement with the reported one. ${ }^{5,6}$

### 1.3.2. Conclusion

Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine has been accomplished employing Suzuki coupling reaction under aqueous medium and Vilsmeier-Haack formylation was the key steps. The total synthesis of $( \pm)$-femoxetine has been accomplished in 8 steps with $17 \%$ overall yield starting from commercially available starting material $N$-benzyl piperidone. The presented method for construction of 3,4-di-substituted piperidines can be explored for the synthesis of similar class of compounds.

### 1.3.3. Experimental

## Ethyl 4-chloro-3-formyl-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate (4)

A mixture of anhydrous DCM ( 8 mL ) and anhydrous DMF ( 15.2 mL ,
 197 mmol ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using ice and to that was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(14.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 157 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise and stirred further for 2 hours at room temperature. Ethyl 4-oxopiperidine-1-carboxylate (6) (13.5 g, 78 $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{DCM}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. Reaction mixture was quenched first by using ice followed by careful addition of sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. Reaction mixture was allowed to separate in separating funnel, organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was again extracted twice using DCM ( 50 mL ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to furnish $\beta$-chloro aldehyde $4(15.4 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a crude product.

Molecular formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}$
Yield : 90\%
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )

$$
: 3019,2872,1694,1676,1236,1216,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 10.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.74(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$

## Ethyl 3-formyl-4-phenyl-5,6-dihydropyridine-1(2H)-carboxylate (3)


$\beta$-Chloro aldehyde 4 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenyl boronic acid ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 25$ mmol), tetra-butyl ammonium bromide ( $7.4 \mathrm{~g}, 23 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), potassium carbonate ( $8 \mathrm{~g}, 57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and palladium acetate $(100 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ were taken together and to that was added deionized water ( 50 mL ). The mixture was stirred vigorously at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for three hours. The dark red nonhomogeneous reaction mixture was diluted with water (50 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( 3 x 100 mL ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated to provide sticky dark brown liquid. Purification by flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate $72: 28)$ furnished $4.2 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of pure product aldehyde 3 as a colorless dense liquid.
Molecular formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Yield
: 70\%

IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )
: 3017, 1698, 1671, 1603, 1225, $761 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+$ CCl $_{4}$ ): $\delta 9.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.27(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 190,157.2,155.3,137.5,132.8,128.9,128.5,128.4$, 61.5, 41.4, 39.8, 32.8, 14.6

## MS (ESI) (m/z)

: $282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 69.48; H, 6.61; N, 5.40\%
Found C, 69.50; H, 6.57; N, 5.43\%

## Ethyl 3-(hydroxymethyl)-4-phenylpiperidine-1-carboxylate (2)



Aldehyde $3(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol ( 30 mL ) and to it was added Pd over carbon ( $5 \%, 10 \mathrm{mg}$ ). Reaction mixture was kept under hydrogen atmosphere ( 60 psi ) on shaker for 45 min . Reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude saturated aldehyde as a dense liquid. The crude aldehyde was subsequently dissolved in methanol and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise over 10 min and stirred further for 1 hour. Methanol was removed from reaction mixture and to that water ( 30 mL ) was added and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to provide colorless dense liquid. Purification of crude product using flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate 60:40) furnished alcohol $2(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%)$ as a sticky liquid.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Molecular formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\
\text { Yield } & : 56 \% \\
\text { IR }\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) & : 3436,2928,1685,1511,1440,1225 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.14-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=$

$$
7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.1,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{dd},
$$

$$
J=11.0,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.3
$$

$$
11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),
$$

$$
1.27(\mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})
$$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 155.5,143.7,128.8,127.4,126.8,63.2,61.4,47.1$, 45.1, 44.5, 43.8, 34.0, 14.9

MS (ESI) (m/z)
Elemental analysis
: $264(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
: Calculated C, 68.42; H, 8.04; N, 5.32\%
Found C, 68.41; H, 8.08; N, 5.30\%

Ethyl 3-((4-methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-4-phenylpiperidine-1-carboxylate (11)


To the solution of $p$-methoxy phenol ( $750 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaH}(356 \mathrm{mg}, 9 \mathrm{mmol}, 60 \%)$ under nitrogen atmosphere. Mesyl compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 20 minutes. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched carefully using water ( 50 mL ) and extracted using diethyl ether ( 3 x 50 mL ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate 70:30) to provide compound $\mathbf{1 1}(788 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%)$ as a colorless liquid.

| Molecular formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield | : 72\% |
| IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) | : 3085, 2980, 1683, 1025, $731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz | : $\delta 7.26-7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.30(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.4,6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-$ $2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.63(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad \text { \& } 155.6,153.8,152.9,143.4,128.7,127.4,126.7 \\ & 115.5,114.5,68.7,61.4,55.7,47.3,44.8,44.4,41.7 \\ & 33.8,14.7 \end{aligned}$ |
| MS (ESI) (m/z) | : $392(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ |

: Calculated C, 71.52; H, 7.37; N, 3.79\%
Found C, 71.49; H, 7.39; N, 3.78\%

## 3-((4-Methoxyphenoxy)methyl)-1-methyl-4-phenylpiperidine (1)



The suspension of LAH ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and to that was added carbamate compound 11 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) dropwise. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The excess LAH was quenched by careful addition of $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by water ( 5 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted using ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified using flash chromatography (pet ether : ethyl acetate 10:90) to provide ( $\pm$ )-femoxetine (1) ( 357 mg , $85 \%$ ) as a sticky liquid.

Molecular formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
Yield : 85\%
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) : 2935, 1508, $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.32-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,7.1$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.33 (bd, $J=11.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.08 (bd, $J=$
$11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{dt}, J=11.7,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-2.09$
(m, 1H), 1.90-1.93 (m, 1H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ) : $\delta 153.8,153.1,143.0,128.6,127.5,126.6,115.4$,
$114.5,69.2,59.6,56.2,55.6,46.4,44.2,41.8,34.1$
MS (ESI) (m/z)
: $312(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 77.14; H, 8.09; N, 4.50\%
Found C, 77.17; H, 8.08; N, 4.52\%

### 1.3.4 NMR Spectra


$\underbrace{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR Spectrum of compound } 3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)}$
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Chapter 2. Synthetic studies towards (2S,3S)-3-hydroxy pipecolic acid

Section 1: Introduction to (2S,3S)-3-hydroxy pipecolic acid

### 2.1.1. Introduction

Pipecolic acid is a naturally occurring cyclic $\alpha$-amino acid which is found abundantly in different species of plants and animals. It was first detected on paper chromatograms of extracts of Phaseolus vulgaris and later on its structure was indentified. ${ }^{1}$ Later, presence of pipecolic acid was observed in several legumes, edible mushroom, potato tuber, green pepper, tulip, celery, asparagus, ${ }^{2}$ Rhodesian teak, ${ }^{3}$ barley ${ }^{4}$ and coconut milk. ${ }^{5,6}$ Pipecolic acid is a non-proteinogenic amino acid and it is a component of several secondary metabolites in plants and fungi. Pipecolic acid is a metabolite of lysine ${ }^{7}$ and is involved as a metabolite in formation of secondary metabolites like rapamycin, FK-506 and immunomycin which are act as immunosuppressors. Another secondary metabolite of pipecolic acid is a sandramycin which acts as antitumor antibiotic. ${ }^{8}$ The peptides of cyclic amino acids like pipecolic acid and analogues have interesting biological properties. ${ }^{9}$

(2R,3S)-3-Hydroxy-2-hydro--xymethylpiperidine 1

(2S,3S)-3-Hydroxy pipecolic acid 2


Pipecolic acid
3


3-Hydroxypipecolic acid 6
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Figure 1
$(2 R, 3 S)$-3-Hydroxy-2-hydroxymethyl piperidine (1) ${ }^{10}$ (Figure 1) is a constituent of many compounds having potent biological activities ${ }^{11}$ like nojirimycin (4) which was the first heterose found in nature and has remarkable biological activity against drug resistant strains of shigella, lutea and sarcina. ${ }^{12}$ The other analogues of 1 include deoxy-nojirimycin (5) which is a potent glycosidase inhibitor ${ }^{13}$ and ( - -prosopinene (9) which acts as an antibiotic and anaesthetic. ${ }^{14}$

3-Hydroxypipecolic acid (2) is a non-proteinogenic cyclic amino acid and is used in the preparation of conformationally restricted peptides and ligand binding studies. (2S, 3S)-3Hydroxypipecolic acid is also an important scaffold present in many natural as well as synthetic biologically active molecules. (-)-Swainsonine (7), an analogue of 2 , is a potent $\alpha$ mannosidase inhibitor ${ }^{15}$ and (+)-febrifugine (8) is a potent antimalarial agent. ${ }^{16}$ The cisisomer of $\mathbf{2}$ is a structural unit of the antitumor antibiotic tetrazomine (10). ${ }^{17}$

### 2.1.2. Literature review

The wide applicability and occurrence of this scaffold attracted attention of many organic chemists towards its synthesis. The reported routes for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are broadly divided in to two groups, (a) Synthesis using chiral pool approach and (b) synthesis using chiral induction. Some of the important syntheses in each class have been described here.

## (a) Syntheses using chiral pool approach

Rapoport's Approach (J. Org. Chem.1989, 54, 1866-1875)



Scheme 1

Raporort et al. (Scheme 1) developed a method for alkylation of acids derived from L-serine and exploited for the synthesis of $\beta$-hydroxy- $\alpha$-amino acids. ${ }^{18}$ The amine in L-serine $\mathbf{1 1}$ was protected as a sulphonamide, and the resultant acid subjected to reaction with allylmagnesium bromide in presence of n-butyl lithium to provide keto- sulphonamide 13. The sulphonamide 13 on reduction furnished 1,3-diol which on protection as its acetonide provided diastereomers which were separated to provide 15 . Compound 15 was then subjected to hydroboration followed by mesylation to provide mesyl sulfonamide 16. Sulphonamide 16 was treated with base followed by acidification and oxidation to provide cis-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (17) in good yield.

## Casiraghi's Approach (Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1997, 8, 2975-2987)

Casiraghi et al. (Scheme 2) developed a novel diastereoselective addition of silyloxy furan TBSOF and imines derived from L and D glyceraldehydes with excellent diastereomeric excess and exploited it for the synthesis of both the enantiomers of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid 2. ${ }^{19}$



Thus, the 2-silyloxyfuran 18 and imine 19 were coupled to provide butenolide amine 20 and 21 in the ratio 9:1. Butenolide amine 20 was subjected to hydrogenation followed by treatment with DBU to provide amide 22. Amide 22 was reduced using $\mathrm{LAH}, \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ to provide aminol acetate 23 which on subsequent transformations was converted to 3hydroxypipecolic acid 2. The enantiomer of 2 was also prepared starting from Dglyceraldehyde.

Zhu's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 7033-7036)
Zhu et al. synthesized enantiomer of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2) starting from amino alcohol 24 derived from serine (Scheme 3). Amino alcohol 24 was oxidized to aldehyde and subjected to reaction with Grignard reagent 25 to obtain anti amino alcohol 26 as a major product which was exploited for the synthesis of $(2 R, 3 R)$-2. Protected amino alcohol 26 was subjected to hydrogenation and subsequently for Boc protection to provide diol 27. The primary alcohol in diol 27 was protected with TBDPS group selectively and then secondary alcohol with MOM, then the TBDPS group was deprotected, and the resulting alcohol was subjected to oxidation and subsequently MOM group was deprotected to provide ( $2 R, 3 R$ )-3hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{20}$


Datta's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10182-10185)



Scheme 4

Datta et al. synthesized 2 starting from D-serine (29) (Scheme 4), using diastereoselective reduction of ketone and reductive cyclization as the key steps. They prepared Weinreb amide 30 from 29 by known procedure. ${ }^{21}$ Weinreb amide 30 on reaction with 31 forms ketone which was reduced using zinc borohydride along with cerium chloride to provide alcohol 32. The acetonide deprotection of 32 and subsequent protection of diol with TBS provided aminol 33. Aminol 33 was subjected to dihydroxylation followed by cleavage of diol using $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ followed by reduction and deprotection to furnish 34. Piperidine derivative 34 upon oxidation and deprotection resulted in to the formation of hydrochloride salt of 3hydroxypipecolic acid (2).

## Dhavale's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3619-3622)

Dhavale et al. utilized D-glucose as a starting material for the synthesis of $\mathbf{3 8}$ and $\mathbf{1 7}$ (Scheme 5). The azido aldehyde 35 obtained from D-glucose by reported method was subjected to Wittig reaction followed by azide reduction to furnish amide 36. Amide 36 was reduced using LAH followed by Cbz protection, acetonide deprotection and cleavage to provide aldehyde 37.
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$(2 S, 3 R)-17$

Scheme 5

Aldehyde $\mathbf{3 7}$ was converted to 3-hydroxypipecolic acid 17 as well as $\mathbf{3 8}$ in two steps each. ${ }^{22}$
Chiou's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 1748-1751)
Chiou et al. synthesized cis and trans 3-hydroxypipecolic acid starting from Garner's aldehyde employing diastereoselective Grignard reaction and Rh catalyzed
cyclohydrocarbonylation (Scheme 6). Nucleophilic addition of vinyl magnesium bromide on aldehyde 39 furnished diastereomeric mixture of alcohol, which was protected with benzyl bromide to give benzyl ether $\mathbf{4 0}$. Compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ was subjected to acetonide deprotection to provide mixture of alcohols 41 and 42, which were separated. Alcohol 42 was subjected to cyclohydrocarbonylation followed by reduction to provide piperidine alcohol 43, which was further explored to $(2 R, 3 R)$-3-hydroxypipecolic acid. Similarly the alcohol 41 was explored for the synthesis of cis 3-hydroxypipecolic acid. ${ }^{23}$


Vankar's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4608-4611)

Vankar et al. (Scheme 7) completed formal synthesis of pipecolic acid along with

45, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OBn}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}$
47, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OBn}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, 92 \%$
49, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{OBn}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{H}, 77 \%$
46, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OBn}$
48, $R_{1}=H, R_{2}=O B n, 52 \%$
50, $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}_{2}=\mathrm{OBn}, 73 \%$


Scheme 7
deoxoprosophylline starting from D-glycal by taking advantage of Perlin hydrolysis, chemoselective saturation of olefins and reductive amination as the key steps. ${ }^{24}$ D-Glycals 45 and 46 were subjected to Perlin hydrolysis to provide unsaturated aldehydes 47 and 48 respectively, which were subjected to reduction followed by hydrogenation to furnish diols 49 and 50. Diols 49 and 50 on mesylation and subsequent treatment with benzyl amine provided piperidines 51 and 52, which on hydrogenation and Boc protection gave diols 53 and 54 respectively.

## (b) Synthesis using chiral induction

Takahata's Approach (Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2008, 16, 8273-8286)

Takahata et al. reported synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$ using RCM and enzymatic resolution as the key steps (Scheme 8). Ester 55 was treated with LiHMDS and then with acrolein to provide di-allyl compound 56, which was subsequently subjected to RCM reaction to furnish a mixture of piperidines 57 and 58. The major piperidine derivative 57 on enzymatic resolution gave acetate 59 and alcohol 60 with excellent ee. The acetate ester 59 on hydrogenation followed by acidic hydrolysis provided 3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{25}$


Scheme 8

Couty's Approach (Tetrahedron Letters, 1996. 37, 4001-4002)
Couty et al. used diastereoselective reduction of ketone and stereoselective addition of cyanide as key steps in their route for the synthesis of 2 . Synthesis was carried out according to the sequence of steps shown in Scheme 9. The hemiaminal acid 63 was prepared from 61
in there steps. Acid 63 was converted to Wienreb amide 64 and subsequently treated with lithium acetalide to provide ketone and the resulting ketone was reduced to furnish alcohol 65. Alcohol 65 was protected as benzyl ether, the triple bond was reduced using LAH followed by TBS deprotection, mesylation and cyclization to give bicyclic compound 67 . Nucleophilic addition on 67 with cyanide anion followed by hydrolysis and hydrogenation resulted in to formation of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid.


## Scheme 9

## Williams's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 3659-3662)

Williams et al. utilized commercially available lactone 69 for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$ using diastereoselective aldol condensation between 69 and aldehyde 70 to provide alcohol 71.


Ozonolysis of the olefin 71 furnished aldehyde 72, which on mild catalytic hydrogenation afforded bicyclic compound 73. Finally 73 on hydrogenation on carbon black furnished ( $2 R$, $3 R$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid. Similarly ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid was synthesized using enantiomer of $\mathbf{6 9}$ as the starting material. ${ }^{26}$

## Corey's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 3843-3846)

Corey et al. developed a novel method for preparation $\beta$-hydroxy- $\alpha$-amino acids by aldol condensation between various aldehydes and imine 75 catalyzed by cinchona derived chiral catalyst. Thus, the aldol condensation between aldehyde 74 and silyl enol ether 75 gave a mixture of amino alcohols 76 and 77 in the ratio 1:1. The method was exploited for the synthesis of cis as well as trans 3-hydroxypipecolic acid (Scheme 11). ${ }^{27}$



The amino alcohol 78 was treated with sodium bicarbonate to provide a mixture of piperidine derivatives and separation on column chromatography gave pure diastereomeric piperidine alcohols $\mathbf{7 9}$ and 80 . The piperidine derivatives $\mathbf{7 9}$ and $\mathbf{8 0}$ were treated with TFA to provide (2S, 3R)- 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid (17) and (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxy pipecolic acid (2) respectively.

Genêt's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2031-2034)
Genêt et al. reported synthesis of 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid starting from keto ester 81, employing chiral reduction of ketone and chiral amination as the key steps (Scheme 12). Keto ester 81 on reduction using Ru-BINAP catalyst furnished hydroxy ester 82, which was subjected to $\alpha$-amination to provide aminol 83. The aminol 83 was protected with TBS and subsequently subjected to ozonolysis followed by mesylation of resulting alcohol to give $\mathbf{8 4}$. The mesylate 84 on acidification, treatment with Raney Ni and triethylamine provided piperidine derivative 85 which was subjected to TBS deprotection and ester hydrolysis to give ( $2 R, 3 R$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{28}$


Pradeep Kumar’s Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 8461-8463)

Pradeep Kumar et al. used Sharpless chiral dihydroxylation as a key step in the synthesis of 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid (2) starting from butane diol 86 (Scheme 13). ${ }^{29}$ Diol 86 was protected selectively, oxidized and subsequently subjected to Wittig reaction to give unsaturated ester 87. Unsaturated ester 87 was subjected to Sharpless di-hydroxylation and resulting diol was protected as a sulfate $\mathbf{8 8}$. Sulfate $\mathbf{8 8}$ was opened with sodium azide, which on reduction followed by Boc protection provided amino-diol 89 . The diol $\mathbf{8 9}$ on selective
mesylation gave piperidine 44, which on acid hydrolysis followed by Boc deprotection furnished 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid (2).


Scheme 13
Pradeep Kumar's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 360-363)

Pradeep Kumar et al. (Scheme 14) achieved formal synthesis of 2 starting from same starting material as in Scheme 13. The mono-PMB protection of 86, followed by oxidation of resulting alcohol and Wittig reaction gave unsaturated ester 87 . The ester functionality in $\mathbf{8 7}$ was reduced using DIBAL-H, followed by asymmetric dihydroxylation employing Sharpless dihydroxylation to furnish triol 90. The 1, 3-acetal protection was carried out to provide $\mathbf{9 1}$ followed by mesylation and subsequent reaction with sodium azide to provide azide 92 . The compound 92 was subjected to $p$-methoxybenzyl ether deprotection and the resulting hydroxy compound was mesylated and subsequently subjected to hydrogenation to provide piperidine diol 93.

The authors prepared $\mathbf{9 3}$ by an alternate route which involved reduction of ester $\mathbf{8 7}$ with DIBAL-H followed by Sharpless epoxidation of the resulting allyl alcohol to provide epoxy alcohol 94. The alcohol moiety in $\mathbf{9 4}$ was protected as its TBS derivative followed by PMB ether deprotection and mesylation to give mesylate 95 . The mesyl group in 95 was replaced with azide followed by reduction of azide to furnish the diol $93 .{ }^{30}$


Jung's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 7289-7293; Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 26222633)



## Scheme 15

Jung et al. reported synthesis of 2 starting from known diol 96 (Scheme 15). Diol 96 was protected as dibenzyl ether 97 and further reacted with chlorosulfonyl isocyanate (CSI) followed by treatment with base to provide amino alcohol 98. Amine in 98 was allylated followed by RCM reaction to furnish piperidine derivative 99. Olefin in 99 was reduced using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ as the catalyst followed by oxidation of aryl ring by Ru catalyst and acidification to furnish (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{31,32}$

Riera's Approach (Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 1789-1796)
Riera et al. started synthesis of $(2 R, 3 R)$ - 3-hydroxypipecolic acid from epoxide $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ which in turn was prepared by Sharpless epoxidation (Scheme 16). Epoxide 100 was intramolecularly opened at C-2 by nitrogen using benzyl isocyanate to provide cyclic carbamate 101. Cyclic carbamate 101 was deprotected under basic condition and the resulting diol was protected as its TBS derivative. The olefin 102 was subjected to hydroboration using 9-BBN followed by oxidation to furnish alcohol 103. The $N$-benzyl in 103 was deprotected under hydrogenation conditions followed by protection with Boc. Subsequently alcohol was mesylated followed by treatment with base to furnish piperidine derivative 104. Selective primary OTBS ether deprotection was carried out using PTSA followed by oxidation using Ru catalyst and acidification to give hydrochloride salt of (2R, 3R)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{33}$



Scheme 16

Wang's Approach (Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 2845-2851)
Wang et al. (Scheme 17) developed a Pinacol type reductive coupling between aldehyde 105 and sulfinyl imine 106 with excellent ee and exploited it for the synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$. The removal of the sulfinyl auxiliary followed by selective $N$-protection with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ afforded carbamate 108. The pivalyl group in 108 was deprotected and the resulting alcohol was converted in to its mesyl derivative followed by treatment with base to furnish piperidine derivative 109. The benzyl group in 109 was deprotected under hydrogenation conditions followed by oxidation of alcohol and acidification to give final product 3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2). ${ }^{34}$



Scheme 17

Charette's Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2077-2080)
Charette et al. reported the formal synthesis of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid (2) using a diastereoselective addition of phenyl magnesium bromide on $N$-pyridinium salt 111 (Scheme 18). The dihydropyridine derivative 112 was subjected to $4+2$ cycloaddition with oxygen followed by treatment with aluminum hydride to furnish piperidine derivative 114. The protection of amine as well as alcohol gave 115 which on hydrogenation led to known intermediate 116. ${ }^{35}$


Chavan's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 404-406)
Chavan et al. (Scheme 19) recently reported the enantioselective synthesis of 3hydroxypipecolic acid (2) by Sharpless dihydroxylation as a key step starting from


Scheme 19
commercially available starting material cis-2-butene-1, 4-diol 117. The cis-2-butene-1,4diol 117 was converted in to $\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester 118 by known method reported by this group. The ester 118 was subjected to Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation to provide lactone 119. The hydroxy group in lactone 119 was mesylated followed by replacement with azide to give azido lactone 120 which on hydrogenation gave lactam 121. Lactam 121 was reduced to give amine followed by protection with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to furnish hydroxy piperidine 122. Protection of hydroxy group in 122 as its TBS derivative followed by benzyl deprotection gave piperidine alcohol 123. Alcohol in 123 was oxidized to acid using Ru catalyst followed by acidification to furnish hydrochloride salt of $\mathbf{2}$. ${ }^{36}$
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## Chapter 2. Synthetic studies towards (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

Section 2: Formal synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

### 2.2.1: Present work

### 2.2.1.1: Objective

The literature survey revealed that (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid has attracted the attention of many organic chemists towards its synthesis due to its presence in number of natural as well as synthetic biologically active compounds. The literature reports also revealed that there are a few routes for the synthesis of ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid starting from chiral natural starting materials. The reported chiral pool approaches are associated with low yields and lengthy routes. In this context, there is a need of convenient and efficient route for its enantiopure synthesis.

More than half of the present day drugs are chiral compounds because the racemic mixture and enantiomer may have the difference in pharmacology, toxicology, pharmacokinetics, metabolism etc. ${ }^{1}$ The chiral pool approach for the synthesis of such biologically active compounds is one of the best method to get chirally pure compounds.

Owing to the importance of this scaffold there is a need for an efficient and scalable route for the preparation of ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (1) in enantiopure form. This group over several years is engaged in the syntheses of biologically active compounds, including piperidine alkaloids. ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-Hydroxypipecolic acid with its use in many natural and nonnatural biologically active compounds attracted the attention of this group. Recently this group accomplished the synthesis of ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-hydroxypipecolic acid employing Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation. ${ }^{2}$ In present section an alternative approach based on chiral pool strategy is described. The current novel route for its synthesis involved the use of L-(+)tartaric acid which is abundantly available cheap starting material. However, till date there is not a single report for its synthesis from L-(+)-tartaric acid.

### 2.2.1.2: Retrosynthetic analysis

According to the retrosynthetic plan shown in Scheme 1, the synthesis of (2S, 3S)-3hydroxypipecolic acid (1) was envisioned from Boc-protected piperidine core 2. The C-2 and C-3 chiral centers in $\mathbf{1}$ could be easily fixed using the natural chirality in L-(+)-tartaric acid. The six membered piperidine core $\mathbf{2}$ could be accessed from protected azido alcohol $\mathbf{3}$ by
reduction followed by cyclisation. The azido alcohol 3 could be obtained by protection of primary alcohol followed by conversion of secondary alcohol to azide using Mitsunobu reaction on 4. Taking the advantage of kinetic control of formation of five membered lactone ring over six and seven membered lactone, butyrolactone $\mathbf{4}$ could be constructed by deprotection of acetonide as well as TBS group in Z-alkene 5. The Z-alkene 5 in turn could be readily obtained from L-(+)-tartaric acid by simple functional group transformations.


Scheme-1: Retrosynthetic analysis

### 2.2.1.3: Results and discussion

The synthetic strategy for $(2 S, 3 S)$-3-hydroxypipecolic acid (1) is shown in Scheme 2. The exploitation of L-(+)-tartaric acid using Mitsunobu reaction and kinetically controlled butenolide formation constitutes the key steps.

Accordingly, the synthesis commenced from naturally occurring L-(+)-tartaric acid $\mathbf{1}$. The aldehyde 7 was obtained from tartaric acid in four steps as per procedure described in the literature. ${ }^{3}$ The aldehyde 7 was subjected to Wittig reaction using two carbon ylide $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)$ derived from ethyl bromoacetate in methanol at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature to give an inseparable mixture of $E$ and $Z$-alkenes (30:70) $\mathbf{5}$ in $93 \%$ yield. The IR spectrum of 5 showed strong bands at $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of unsaturated ester. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed double peaks in the alkene region. Peak at $\delta 6.18$ corresponding to $\beta$ hydrogen in cis alkene integrating for 0.70 proton compared with peak at $\delta 6.96$
corresponding to $\beta$-hydrogen in trans alkene integrating for 0.30 proton clearly indicated the cis: trans ratio to be $70: 30$.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid
Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCOOEt}, \mathrm{MeOH},-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$ b) Cat. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$,
 THF, $92 \%$ f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 95 \%$ g) MeONa, MeOH, $83 \%$ h) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS, THF and then $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 73 \%$ i) TBAF, THF, 88\%.

According to retrosynthetic plan the simultaneous deprotection of acetonide and TBS groups were carried out using catalytic amount of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in methanol to provide butyrolactone 4 in $65 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed strong bands at 3335,1740 and $1596 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of diol moiety, butenolide and double bond functionalities respectively. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum, a peak at $\delta 7.37$ corresponding to $\beta$-proton in butenolide appeared as a dd and the $\alpha$-proton of butenolide appeared at $\delta 5.89$ as a dd. The multiplet at $\delta 4.95$ corresponded to the $\gamma$-proton of butenolide. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 172$ and 154 corresponding to butenolide carbonyl and $\beta$-carbon in 4 . The $\alpha$-carbon appeared at $\delta$ 120. Further, the mass spectrum of 4 showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 145(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 167$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of 4 .

Selective protection of primary alcohol using TBSCl and imidazole in DCM of butyrolactone 4 resulted in racemisation at $\mathrm{C}-4$ in protected compound. To avoid racemisation at C-4 in 4, first the double bond was hydrogenated using palladium catalyst under hydrogen atmosphere and then protection of primary alcohol was carried out to give butyrolactone $\mathbf{8}$ in $80 \%$ yield over two steps. Strong band at $1773 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of butenolide carbonyl and broad band at $3448 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponded to hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{8}$. The disappearance of peaks at $\delta 7.37$ and 5.89 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum and appearance of peaks at $\delta 2.27$ to 2.67 corresponding to aliphatic protons clearly indicated the reduction of double bond. Further, appearance of characteristic peaks at $\delta 0.90$ and 0.09 corresponding to TBS group confirmed the selective TBS protection of a primary alcohol. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR showed a peak at $\delta 177$ corresponding to carbonyl carbon of butenolide. Its DEPT spectrum showed four peaks for CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and two peaks indicating two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Further, its mass spectrum showed peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 283(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of 8 .

The single step protocol was utilized in order to convert the hydroxyl group in $\mathbf{8}$ to azide compound 3. Accordingly, the compound $\mathbf{8}$ was subjected to Mitsunobu reaction conditions using hydrazoic acid as a nucleophile as well as a source of azide along with DEAD and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in THF to render azide 3 with inversion of configuration in $92 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed the characteristic strong bands at 2123 and $1781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which indicated the presence of azide and $\gamma$-lactone moiety respectively. Peaks at $\delta 0.92$ and 0.11 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum indicated the presence of TBS group, while the $\gamma$-proton of lactone appeared at $\delta 4.50$. The peaks that appeared as multiplets at $\delta 3.84$ and 3.64 corresponded to the protons adjacent to hydroxy and azide functionalities respectively. The characteristic peaks at $\delta 175$ and 77 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum indicated the presence of a lactone ring. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of four peaks for CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and three peaks corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$confirmed the formation of azido lactone 3.

The azido lactone $\mathbf{3}$ was subjected to hydrogenation using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in methanol to provide amine 9. It was anticipated that the amine 9 would undergo cyclisation to furnish lactam $\mathbf{1 0}$. Unfortunately, the amine 9 didn't undergo cyclisation to the desired lactone 10. The absence of band at $2123 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the reduction of azide. The strong band at $1774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ showed presence of lactone and confirmed that the amine didn't undergo
cyclisation to lactam 10. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of 9 revealed peak at $\delta 4.45$ corresponding to $\gamma$-proton of lactone ring and peak at $\delta 3.01$ corresponding to proton adjacent to amine. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR showed peak at $\delta 177$ indicating the presence of carbonyl in $\gamma$-lactone. Its DEPT spectrum showed three $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and four CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons. Peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 260(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$ confirmed the reduction of azide to amine 9 .

Amine 9 was treated with DBU in toluene with a hope to provide lactam 10 but the starting amine 9 was recovered. Cyclisation of 9 to lactam 10 was readily accomplished using sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature in $83 \%$ yield. A characteristic strong band at $1630 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum clearly indicated the formation of amide. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed broad singlet at $\delta 6.18$ corresponding to a characteristic peak of lactam proton. Four protons appeared in the range $\delta 3.85$ to 3.34 corresponding to protons adjacent to hydroxy and amine functionalities. Peaks at $\delta 0.89$ and 0.08 indicated the presence of TBS protecting group. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ showed a peak at $\delta 171$ indicating the presence of lactam carbonyl. Further, the lactam formation was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}) 260(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

The lactam reduction as well as the Boc protection were carried out using $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. DMS in THF and Boc anhydride to provide urethane 11 in $73 \%$ yield. Strong bands in its IR spectrum at 3443 and $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of the free hydroxy and Boc groups. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed a peak at $\delta 1.46$ that integrated for nine protons corresponding to protons of Boc group. Two singlets at $\delta 0.89$ and 0.06 corresponded to the protons of TBS group. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of five CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and four $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and provided strong support for the formation of urethane 11. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 368(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{1 1}$.

The TBS deprotection in $\mathbf{1 1}$ was carried out using TBAF in THF at room temperature to give compound 2 in $88 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed strong band at $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to the Boc group. Absence of peaks at $\delta 0.89$ and 0.06 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum indicated the deprotection of TBS group and peak at $\delta 1.39$ integrating for nine protons was assigned to protons of Boc group. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peak at $\delta 155.9$ corresponding to Boc carbonyl group. The other peaks appeared at $\delta 79.1(\mathrm{C}), 63.8(\mathrm{CH}), 59.9(\mathrm{CH}), 59.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $39.8\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $28.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, $26.6\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $18.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. Finally, the molecular formula was
confirmed by HRMS, which showed peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 231.1470$ which is in good agreement with calculated value 231.1484 for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$. The enantiomeric purity of compound 2 was established using chiral HPLC ( $99 \%$ ee). The synthesis of pipecolic acid from intermediate 2 is well documented in the literature. ${ }^{4}$ Thus the present work constitutes a formal synthesis of (2S,3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid.

### 2.2.2. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have described the convenient formal synthesis of (2S,3S)-3hydroxypipecolic acid (1) starting from cheap and abundant chiral building block L-(+)tartaric acid. The synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$ was achieved in $23 \%$ overall yield.

### 2.2.3. Experimental

## (Z)-Ethyl3-((4S,5S)-5-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolan-4-yl)acrylate (5)



To the aldehyde $7(7.1 \mathrm{~g}, 23.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 30 mL ) dropwise at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resultant reaction mixture was further stirred at same temperature for 5 hours. Reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature, methanol was removed under reduced pressure and to the residue was added pet ether ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and filtered through filter paper. Combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified on flash chromatography (pet ether/ethyl acetate $=95: 5$ ) to render the mixture of alkenes $5(6.2 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a colorless oil.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield : 93\%
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 2932,1728,1590,1464,1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 6.13-6.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.88-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
(For major isomer)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4.26-4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30 \\
& (\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})
\end{aligned}
$$

## (S)-5-((S)-1,2-Dihydroxyethyl)furan-2(5H)-one (4)



A solution of the cis-alkene $5(2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.81 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with conc. sulphuric acid (ca. 0.2 mL of $100 \%$ ), and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 hours. The solution was passed through a small bed of silica gel. Evaporation of the solvent and recrystallisation of the obtained solid compound from ethyl acetate furnished pure product diol $\mathbf{4}$ as a crystalline solid ( $82 \%$ ).
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$

Yield $: 65 \%$
MP : 77-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:+39\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.0}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 3335,2924,1740,1596,1464,1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{6}}$ ): $\delta 7.37(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 3.84,(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56 \\
& -3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{6}$ ): $\delta 172.2,154.3,120.5,83.3,70.5,61.9$.

ESIMS (m/z) : $145(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 167(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 50.00; H, 5.59\%
Found C, 50.01; H, 5.56\%
(S)-5-((S)-2-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-1-hydroxyethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (8)


To the butenolide $4(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 20 mL ), was added catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ over carbon). The resulting reaction mixture was kept on a shaker at 60 psi under a hydrogen atmosphere for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered on Celite. Methanol was evaporated on a rotavapour under reduced pressure. The crude product obtained ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%, 6.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 15 mL ). Imidazole ( $0.967 \mathrm{~g}, 10.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the above solution followed by the addition of TBS chloride ( $1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 7.52 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{DCM}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature $(3 \mathrm{~h})$. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and extracted using DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Evaporation of the organic layer under reduced pressure furnished a residue. The residue on flash chromatography using silica gel afforded $\mathbf{8}(1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a clear colorless liquid.

[^0]Yield $: 80 \%$
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:+32\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 3448,2930,1773,1119,838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 4.55-4.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 177.6,79.4,73.4,63.6,28.3,25.8,23.8,18.2,5.5$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $283(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 55.35; H, 9.29\%

Found C, 55.32; H, 9.31\%
(S)-5-((S)-1-Azido-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (3)


To the alcohol 8 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 8 mL ) the following reactants were added in the given order; $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{HN}_{3}(1.8 \mathrm{~mL} 1 \mathrm{M}$ soln in benzene) and DEAD ( $0.768 \mathrm{~g}, 4.41$ mmol) drop wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred (1520 min ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then stirred at room temperature ( 16 h ). THF was removed under reduced pressure and pet ether was added to the reaction mixture and filtered on Celite. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture on flash chromatography (pet. ether-ethyl acetate $90: 10$ ) afforded the azide 3 ( $0.504 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ) as a colorless liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield : 92\%
$\left[\alpha_{b}{ }^{25} \quad:-8\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3021,2956,2931,2859,2123,1781,1463,1258,1216,838$, $757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 4.47-4.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$
2.52-2.63 (m, 2H), 2.05-2.33 (m, 2H), 0.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ), 0.11 ( s , 6 H ).

```
\({ }^{13} \mathbf{C}\) NMR ( \(50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\) ) : \(\delta 175.9,77.5,65.1,63.1,27.9,25.3,23.8,18.2,-5.6\).
ESIMS (m/z) : \(308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}\)
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 50.50; H, 8.12; N, 14.72\%
```

Found C, 50.49; H, 8.13; N, 14.75\%
(S)-5-((R)-1-Amino-2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)ethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (9)


To the azide compound $3(0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 1.73 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 100 mL ) was added a catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide $(5 \mathrm{mg}$, $20 \%$ over carbon). The reaction mixture was put on a shaker at 65 psi for 2 h . The reaction mixture was filtered on Celite and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture on purification by flash chromatography over silica gel (ethyl acetate) afforded amine $9(0.38 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a colorless liquid.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield :95\%
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:+22\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
$\mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3277,3020,2955,2930,2858,1774,1471,1256,1216,757$, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 3.38-3.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.51-2.59 (m, 2H), 2.09-2.32 (m, 2H), 1.78 (br s, 2H), 0.89
$(\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 177.2,80.9,64.2,55.2,28.6,25.8,23.9,18.2,-5.5$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $260(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 55.56; H, 9.71; N, 5.40\%

Found C, 55.57; H, 9.73; N, 5.37\%
(5S,6R)-6-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-methyl)-5-hydroxypiperidin-2-one (10)


To amine 9 ( $0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 5 mL ), catalytic amount of sodium methoxide was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. Reaction was quenched with saturated aq. ammonium chloride solution ( 5 mL ) followed by removal of methanol under reduced pressure. The aqueous layer was extracted using excess ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. Removal of the organic solvent under reduced pressure furnished a residue. Purification of the residue by flash chromatography over silica gel (pet ether-ethyl acetate 10:90) afforded amide 10 ( $0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ).
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
Yield $: 83 \%$
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:+21\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3019,2929,2856,2400,1630,1524,1221,780,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.81-3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.78$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.54$
(m, 1H), 2.29-2.39 (m, 1H), 2.00-2.08 (m, 1H), 1.85-1.90
(m, 1H) $0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.08(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 171.5,67.2,65.5,59.5,28.6,28.3,25.8,18.1,-5.5$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $260(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 55.56; H, 9.71; N, 5.40\%

Found C, 55.53; H, 9.72; N, 5.36\%
(2R,3S)- tert-Butyl 2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-3-hydroxypiperidine-1carboxylate (11)


To the amide 10 ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ), $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. DMS ( $3.4 \mathrm{mmol}, 1 \mathrm{M}$ in THF) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred further overnight (16 h). Ethanol (20 mL ) was added and evaporated under reduced pressure on a
rotavapour. To the residue, ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and THF was added to the crude reaction mixture. Triethylamine ( 3.4 mmol ) and Boc anhydride ( 1.2 mmol ) were added to reaction mixture and stirred for 5 h . Water ( 30 mL ) was added to reaction mixture and extracted using ethyl acetate. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and was filtered. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure and the reaction mass purified by flash chromatography using silica gel (pet ether-ethyl acetate 80:20) to afford product 11 ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 73 \%$ ).

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield : 73\%
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:-39.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$
: 3443, 3018, 2931, 2858, 2400, 1676, 1215, $752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 3.96-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.74-1.77$ (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.23-1.30 (m, 2H), 0.89 (s, 9 H ), 0.06 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) : $\delta 155.9,79.7,64.9,61.2,58.7,39.7,28.4,26.3,25.8,18.8$, 18.1, -5.5.

ESIMS (m/z)
: $368(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$

Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 59.09; H, 10.21; N, 4.05\%

Found C, 59.10; H, 10.21; N, 4.03\%

## (2R,3S)-tert-Butyl 3-hydroxy-2-(hydroxymethyl)piperidine-1-carboxylate (2)



To the TBS protected alcohol $11(240 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) TBAF ( 1 M solution in THF, $0.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 hours at room temperature. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and extracted in ethyl acetate $(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash chromatography (pet ether-ethyl acetate 10:90) to afford diol 2 ( $141 \mathrm{mg} 88 \%$ ) as a white crystalline solid.

| Molecular formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield | : 88\% |
| MP | : $133-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\left[\alpha_{b}{ }^{25}\right.$ | $\begin{aligned} : & -27^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH}), \text { lit. }{ }^{5}(\text { enantiomer of } 2)\left[\alpha b^{25}=\right. \\ & +29.8^{\circ}(c: 0.99, \mathrm{MeOH}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$ | : $3448,3025,2945,1674,1215,1120,838 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 200 MHz , | $\begin{aligned} : & \delta 4.08-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 2.69-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.15- \\ & 1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{S O}+\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 155.9,79.1,63.8,59.9,59.1,39.8,28.2,26.6,18.9$. |  |
| HRMS (CI+) | : Calcd For $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : 231.1484; found: 231.1470; |
| ESIMS (m/z) | : $232(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |

### 2.2.4 NMR Spectra




















## Chiral HPLC analysis of compound 2:

Column: Chiralcel OJ-H (4.6 x 25mm)
Mobile phase: IPA : PE (95 : 5); wavelength: 210 nm ; flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$

(Racemic)

(Optically active)
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Chapter 2. Synthetic studies towards (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

Section 3: Total synthesis of (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

### 2.3.1: Present work

### 2.3.1.1: Objective

As described before, we accomplished the formal synthesis of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid starting from $\mathrm{L}(+)$-tartaric acid (Chapter-2, section-2). ${ }^{1}$ Total synthesis of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid starting from cis-butene diol using Sharpless asymmetric di-hydroxylation as the key step to install chirality was developed in our group, which is described in an introductory section (Chapter-2, section-1). ${ }^{2}$ The work described in the present section was undertaken to achieve the synthesis of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid from $L(+)$-tartaric acid in less steps and using milder reagents.

### 2.3.1.2: Retrosynthetic analysis

From our retrosynthetic plan (Scheme 1) it was envisioned that the 3-hydroxypipecolic acid $\mathbf{1}$ could be obtained from the corresponding amide 2 . The amide 2 could be obtained from azide 3 by one-pot reduction of azide and double bond followed by cyclization. The intermediate azido alkene $\mathbf{3}$ could be obtained from the oxidation of corresponding alcohol followed by two carbon Wittig reaction. The diol 4 was envisioned to be synthesized by selective reduction of hydroxyl ester 5 . One of the ester groups in $\mathbf{5}$ should be retained in order to get the acid in 3-hydroxypipecolic acid $\mathbf{1}$. The azido alcohol $\mathbf{5}$ could be obtained from tartaric acid by reported method. ${ }^{3}$



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for 1

### 2.3.1.3: Results and discussion

The synthesis started from cheap and abundant starting material viz. L-(+)-tartaric acid (Scheme 2). The diethyl tartrate 6 was prepared from tartaric acid by esterification using reported method ${ }^{4}$ in quantitative yield. The diethyl tartrate $\mathbf{6}$ was treated with thionyl chloride in the presence of catalytic amount of DMF in refluxing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ to furnish sulfite 7. The sulfite 7 was subsequently opened with azide using sodium azide to provide azido alcohol 5 in $74 \%$ yield over two steps.




Scheme 2. Synthesos of (2S, 3S)-3-hydroxypipecolic acid

Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, Cat. DMF, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 74 \%$ (over two steps) c) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{DMS}$, Cat. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 60 \%$ d) TBSOTf, Et ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 85 \%$ e) PPTS, MeOH , $78 \%$ f) IBX, EtOAc, reflux g) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}_{2}$ Et, toluene, reflux, $75 \%$ (over two steps) h) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Pd/C, EtOH, $90 \%$ i) $\left.B H_{3} . D M S, ~ T H F, ~ 78 \% ~ j\right) ~ 6 N, ~ H C l, ~ r e f l u x, ~ 91 \% ~$

The IR spectrum of azido alcohol 5 showed strong band at 2141 and $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of azide and ester functionalities respectively. Presence of broad band at $3276 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ showed the presence of hydroxy group. Peak at $\delta 4.62$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum appeared as a doublet accounting for one proton adjacent to hydroxy group. The proton adjacent to azide merged in to the methylene protons of ester which showed multiplet at $\delta$ 4.23-4.35
integrating for five protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed characteristic peaks at $\delta 170$ and 166 which were assigned to two carbonyl carbons. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence two CH carbons that appeared at $\delta 71$ and 64 , while two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons appeared at $\delta 62.3$ and 62.1 in accordance with the structure of $\mathbf{5}$. Formation of $\mathbf{5}$ was further supported by its mass spectrum which showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

One of the ester groups in di-ester $\mathbf{5}$ was selectively reduced by taking advantage of the free hydroxy group. The azido alcohol 5 was subjected to reduction using $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS and catalytic amount of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in anhydrous THF to furnish diol 4 in $60 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed broad band at $3479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydroxy functionality and peaks at 2121 and $1747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicated the presence of azide and ester functionalities respectively. The broad peak at $\delta 2.57$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum was assigned to -OH protons. Four protons at $\delta 3.68$ to 4.12 were corresponding to protons adjacent to hydroxy and azide functionalities. Peaks appearing as a quartet at $\delta 4.30$ and triplet at $\delta 1.36$ were assigned to the ethyl protons of ester group. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed the peak at $\delta 169$ corresponding to the ester carbonyl functionality. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of two peaks corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and three peaks corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and CH carbons, which is in accordance with the structure of 4 . Further, the formation of 4 was also confirmed by mass spectroscopy which showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 190(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

The diol 4 was subjected to TBS protection using TBSOTf and triethyl amine in DCM at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish di-TBS compound $\mathbf{8}$ in $85 \%$ yield. Strong bands at 2111 and $1744 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of azide as well as ester functionalities respectively. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 0.90$ and 0.10 clearly showing the presence of two TBS groups, while peaks at $\delta 4.21(\mathrm{q})$ and $1.32(\mathrm{t})$ corresponded to the ester functionality. Peak at $\delta 167$ in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum corresponded to ester carbonyl. Its DEPT spectrum showed appearance of two $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and eight CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons supporting the formation of 8. Finally, molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in its mass spectrum confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{8}$.

The deprotection of primary OTBS ether was tried using acid catalysts like PTSA (cat.) and CSA (cat.) which resulted in to formation of lactone instead of the desired compound 9 . The desired TBS deprotection was then successfully achieved using equimolar amount of PPTS
in anhydrous methanol to provide mono TBS deprotected compound 9 in $78 \%$ yield. The characteristic peaks at 2112 and $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of azide and ester functionalities respectively. Peaks at $\delta 0.89$ and 0.11 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum integrating for 9 and 6 protons respectively provided strong evidence for mono-TBS deprotection. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peak at $\delta 168$ corresponding to carbonyl carbon. Its DEPT spectrum showed six peaks for CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and two peaks for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Further, the mono-TBS deprotection was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed a molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

The oxidation of azido alcohol 9 was carried out using IBX in refluxing ethyl acetate and the resultant crude aldehyde was subjected to Wittig reaction using two carbon ylide $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ in toluene under reflux conditions to render the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{3}$ in $75 \%$ yield over two steps. The strong bands at 2113, 1739 and $1726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum showed the presence of azide, ester and unsaturated ester functionalities respectively. The appearance of doublet of doublets at $\delta 6.87$ with coupling constants 15.7 and 5.7 Hz and $\delta$ 6.06 with coupling constants 15.7 and 1.4 Hz in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum clearly indicated the presence $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester moiety. Peaks at $\delta 4.17-4.31(\mathrm{~m})$, and $1.33(\mathrm{t})$, corresponded to the ethyl ester while those at $0.92(\mathrm{~s}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s})$ and $0.08(\mathrm{~s})$ corresponded to TBS protecting group. Peaks at $\delta 167$ and 165 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed the presence of two carbonyl functionalities and peaks at $\delta 144$ and 123 corresponded to double bond carbons. Its DEPT spectrum showed 8 CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and $2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons wherein peaks corresponding to both ethyl carbons appeared at same chemical shift. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{3}$.

The crucial step viz reductive of $\mathbf{3}$ cyclization was carried out under hydrogenation conditions using hydrogen and palladium over carbon in methanol to provide amide $\mathbf{2}$ in $80 \%$ yield. Absence of peaks at 2113 and $1726 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum strongly supported the reduction of azide as well as double bond and appearance of peaks at 1643 and $1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly showed the presence of amide and ester functionalities respectively. Disappearance of characteristic peaks of protons on double bond at $\delta 6.87$ and 6.06 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum indicated the double bond reduction. The broad singlet at $\delta 5.96$ was assigned to the proton on amide nitrogen. The quartet at $\delta 4.23$ and triplet at $\delta 1.30$ integrating for two and three
protons respectively were assigned to ethyl ester protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 171$ and 170 corresponding to ester and amide carbonyl carbons. Peak at $\delta 65$ was assigned to methylene carbon in ethyl ester and peaks at $\delta 62$ and 61 were assigned to two tertiary carbons. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of three $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and six CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons which is in accordance with structure of amide 2. Further, the formation of amide 2 was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed a molecular ion peak at m/z 302 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.


In order to check the chiral purity at C 2 and C 3 the amide 2 was subjected to reduction using lithium aluminum hydride in anhydrous THF. Gratifyingly the ester, amide reduction as well as TBS deprotection was observed in a single step. The LAH reduction of $\mathbf{2}$ followed by $N$ Boc protection provided $N$-Boc amine 11. The chiral HPLC analysis of the $\mathbf{1 1}$ revealed that the chiral purity of 11 is $\sim 100 \%$. ${ }^{5}$

Amido ester 2 was subjected to selective reduction of amide functionality using borane dimethyl sulfide complex in anhydrous THF to furnish the amino ester 10 in $78 \%$ yield. The presence of strong band at $1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and disappearance of band at $1643 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum strongly supported the reduction of amide. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons of ethyl ester split in to two multiplets, appeared at $\delta 4.31-4.39$ and 4.10-4.18 and the triplet appearing at $\delta 1.36$ was assigned to the methyl protons of ester. Multiplet at $\delta 3.98$ and doublet of triplet at $\delta 3.78$ are corresponding to the two $-\mathrm{CH}-$ protons. The characteristic peaks of TBS group appeared at $\delta 0.86,0.06$ and 0.00 . Peak at $\delta 170$ in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum corresponded to ester carbonyl and the two tertiary carbons appeared at $\delta 70.5$ and 70.2. Further, the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons appeared at $\delta 25.5,13.9,-4.5,-5.3$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons appeared at $\delta 61.8,52.2,32.2$ and 23.1. Further, peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 310(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in its mass spectrum confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{1 0}$.

The ester hydrolysis as well as OTBS deprotection was carried out in a single step using 6 N HCl to provide 3-hydroxy pipecolic acid 1 in $91 \%$ yield. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed multiplet at $\delta$ 4.13-4.17 and doublet at $\delta 3.83$ corresponding to -CH - protons. Other peaks included multiplets at $\delta 3.36-3.40,3.07-3.12$ and 1.64-1.80 and singlet at $\delta 2.22$. Peak at $\delta$ 170.1 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ was attributed to the acid carbonyl carbon and the peaks at $\delta 65.5$ and 61.0 were due to the tertiary carbons. The three carbons appearing at $\delta 42.5,28.8$ and 18.6 all corresponded to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Its DEPT spectrum showed two carbons corresponding to -$\mathrm{CH}-$ carbons and three carbons due to the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - carbons. Molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 146$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$in its mass spectrum confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{1}$. The spectral data and optical rotation values were in good agreement with the reported one. ${ }^{6}$

### 2.3.2. Conclusion

In conclusion, a total synthesis of 3-hydroxypipecolic acid was achieved starting from cheap and abundant starting material $\mathrm{L}(+)$-tartaric acid in 10 steps and in $12.5 \%$ overall yield. The main steps used are the selective ester group reduction, reductive cyclization and selective amide reduction. The intermediate 3 could be further explored for the synthesis of other imino sugars.

### 2.3.3. Experimental

(2S,3R)-Diethyl 2-azido-3-hydroxysuccinate (5)


To the di-ethyl tartrate $6(10 \mathrm{gm}, 48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added thionyl chloride ( $7.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise followed by catalytic amount of DMF $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting reaction mixture was heated to $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours, cooled to rt and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the sulfite 7 as a thick oil. The crude sulfite 7 was dissolved in DMF ( 100 mL ) and to this solution was added sodium azide ( $7 \mathrm{gm}, 60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched using water ( 200 mL ) and was extracted in ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were washed with water, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo carefully. The crude reaction mixture on flash chromatography using silica gel (pet ether/ethyl acetate 70/30) afforded 5 $(8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ as a gummy liquid.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}$

Yield : 74\%
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25} \quad:+31^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{MeOH})\right.$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 3276,2985,2141,1728,1621,1268 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 4.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.27$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.6,166.8,71.9,64.2,62.3,62.1,13.83,13.81$.
ESIMS (m/z)
: $254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 41.56; H, 5.67; N, 18.17 \%

Found C, 41.54; H, 5.69; N, 18.19\%

## (2S,3R)-Ethyl 2-azido-3,4-dihydroxybutanoate (4)



To the solution of azido alcohol 5 ( $5 \mathrm{gm}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 60 mL ) was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at room
temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred further for half an hour (until the bubbles stop). Catalytic amount of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 38 \mathrm{mg})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (exothermic reaction) and further stirred for 4 hours at room temperature. Reaction mixture was quenched by adding ethanol ( 100 mL ) and PTSA ( 300 mg ) and after being stirred for 30 min at room temperature the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The resulting gum was dissolved in ethanolbenzene solution (1:1) and concentrated repeatedly in order to remove the $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ to get the colorless compound. The crude product on flash chromatography using silica gel (pet ether /ethyl acetate 6.5 / 3.5) provided the diol 4 as a colorless thick liquid ( $2.45 \mathrm{gm}, 60 \%$ ).

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4}$

Yield
: 60\%
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3479,2986,2941,2121,1747,1207,1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-$
$4.12(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.57$
(bs, 2H), 1.36 (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 169.2,71.7,63.1,62.6,62.3,13.9$.
(2S,3R)-Ethyl 2-azido-3,4-bis((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butanoate (8)


To the solution of diol $4(2 \mathrm{gm}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in DCM $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added tri-ethylamine $(7.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol})$ and was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To the reaction mixture was added TBDMSOTf ( $5.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise and the reaction mixture was further stirred for 10 min at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Water ( 20 mL ) was added and the organic and aqueous layers were allowed to separate. The aqueous layer was extracted twice using DCM ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ (5:95 EtOAc: pet ether) to furnish di-TBS compound 16 as a colorless liquid ( $3.75 \mathrm{gm}, 85 \%$ ).

Molecular formula

$$
: \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}_{2}
$$

Yield
: 85\%
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}$
$:+20^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3020,2931,2859,2111,1744,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl $)_{3}$ : $\delta 4.21(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.62(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11$
$(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 167.9,74.4,64.3,63.8,61.4,25.9,25.7,18.4,18.0,14.2$,
$-4.4,-5.1,-5.4,-5.5$.
ESIMS (m/z)
: $418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 51.76; H, 9.41 ; N, 10.06\%

Found C, 51.73; H, 9.42; N, 10.08\%

## (2S,3R)-Ethyl 2-azido-3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-4-hydroxybutanoate (9)



To the di-TBS compound $\mathbf{8 ( 2 \mathrm { gm } , 5 \mathrm { mmol } ) \text { in anhydrous methanol (30 }}$ $\mathrm{mL})$ was added PPTS $(4.8 \mathrm{gm}, 19 \mathrm{mmol})$ at once at room temperature. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 20 h at room temperature. Methanol was removed under reduced pressure and water ( 20 mL ) was added to residue and extracted using DCM ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified using flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)(30: 70$ EtOAc: pet ether) to furnish azido alcohol 9 ( $1.13 \mathrm{gm}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless liquid.

Molecular formula

$$
: \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}
$$

Yield : 78\%
$\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha d}_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}} \quad:+12^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right.$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 3514,3020,2956,2858,2112,1730,1216 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) : $\delta 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.67(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.11$
( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 168.5,73.3,63.9,62.9,61.8,25.6,17.8,14.1,-4.6,-5.1$.

ESIMS (m/z)

Elemental analysis
: $304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
: Calculated C, 47.50; H, 8.30; N, 13.85\%

Found C, 47.51; H, 8.34; N, 13.84\%
(4S,5S)-Diethyl 5-azido-4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)hex-2-enedioate (3)


To the azido alcohol 9 ( $1 \mathrm{gm}, 3.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethyl acetate ( 15 mL ) was added IBX ( $1.8 \mathrm{gm}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 3.5 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then filtered through Whatman filter paper. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to get the crude aldehyde as a colorless sticky liquid. The crude aldehyde was dissolved in toluene ( 20 mL ) and to that was added $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCHCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}(2.3 \mathrm{gm}, 6.6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 4 h and cooled to room temperature. Pet ether ( 10 mL ) was added and filtered, this was repeated for 3 times. The collected organics were concentrated in vacuo and the crude product was purified using flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)(05: 95 \mathrm{EtOAc}$ : pet ether) to furnish the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 3 ( $0.92 \mathrm{gm}, 75 \%$ over two steps) as a colorless liquid.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield : 75\%
$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}} \quad:+32^{\circ}\left(\right.$ c $\left.1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$
: 2932, 2859, 2113, 1739, 1726, 1662, $1261 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ) : $\delta 6.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{t}, 6.8,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.08$, (s,
$3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 167.3,165.5,144.9,123.5,73.1,66.1,62.0,60.6,25.7$, 18.1, 14.3, 14.2, -4.4, -5.1.

ESIMS (m/z)
: $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, $51.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.87 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.31 \%$
Found C, 51.70; H, 7.88; N, 11.35\%

## (2S,3S)-Methyl 3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-6-oxopiperidine-2-carboxylate (2)



The azido ester 3 ( $0.8 \mathrm{gm}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in ethanol ( 10 mL ) and to that was added catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide over carbon $(20 \%, 10 \mathrm{mg})$ under argon atmosphere. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere using balloon for 2 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by flash chromatography using silica gel (30:70 EtOAc: pet ether) to provide amide $2(0.52 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a colorless thick oil.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield : 90\%
$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}} \quad:+26^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.5}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3399,2955,2857,1732,1643,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 5.96(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
4.02-3.99 (m, 1H), 2.72-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.26(m, 1H),
1.88-1.79 (m, 2H), $1.68(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
0.90 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.4,170.1,65.4,62.3,61.8,26.5,26.4,25.6,17.9,14.1$,

$$
-4.90,-5.1
$$

ESIMS (m/z)

$$
: 302(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}
$$

Found C, 55.79; H, 9.01; N, 4.68\%
(2S, 3S)-Ethyl 3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)piperidine-2-carboxylate (10)


To the amide $2(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) was added $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.DMS $(0.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting reaction mixture was further stirred at $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . Methanol (excess) was added to the reaction mixture, stirred for 4 hours and concentrated under reduced pressure. Water ( 10 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted using DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude product which was purified using flash chromatography over silica gel (70:30 EtOAc: pet ether) to furnish amine 10 ( $147 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless dense liquid.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield
: 78\%
${ }_{[\alpha]}^{\mathbf{D}}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}$
$:+27^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}}$
: 3436, 3020, 2931, 2400, 1731, $1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.78(\mathrm{dt}, J=10.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.1,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.01-2.05 (m, 1H), 1.87-1.84 (m, 1H), 1.68-1.58 (m, 2H),
$1.51-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 170.8,70.5,70.2,61.8,52.2,32.2,25.5,23.1,17.8,13.9$, $-4.2,-5.3$.

ESIMS (m/z)
: $288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 310(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Found C, 58.52; H, 10.16; N, 4.89\%
(2S,3S)-3-Hydroxypiperidine-2-carboxylic acid (1)


A mixture of amine $\mathbf{1 0}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was kept at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was loaded on an ion-exchange column (DOWEX 50W X8) and eluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and then with aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution. The eluate of aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure to give $\mathbf{1}(46 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%)$ as a crystalline solid.

Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Yield : 91\%
mp $\quad: 238-243^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{dec})$, lit. ${ }^{7} 230-238^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}^{\mathbf{2 5}} \quad:+13.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.0, \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{aq)}\right.$. lit. $+13^{\circ}(c 0.49, \mathrm{HCl} \mathrm{10} \mathrm{\%} \mathrm{aq.})^{6 \mathrm{c}}$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3287,2920,1625,1405 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right): \delta 4.17-4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-3.36(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 3.07-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
1.80-1.64 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$-NMR (100 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{D}_{2} \mathbf{O}\right): \delta 170.1,65.5,61.0,42.5,28.8,18.6$.

ESIMS (m/z)
Elemental analysis
: m/z $146(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})+$
: Calculated C, 49.65; H, 7.64; N, 9.65\%
Found C, 49.63; H, 7.65; N, 9.64\%

### 2.2.4 NMR Spectra

























## Chiral HPLC analysis of compound 11:

Column: Chiralcel OJ-H (4.6 x 25 mm )
Mobile phase: IPA : PE (95 : 5); wavelength: 210 nm ; flow rate: $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$


(Racemic)


| Detector A - 1 (210nm) |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Retention Time | C Area | Area \% |  |
| 14.117 | 5027015 | 100.000 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |
|  | 5027015 | 100.000 |  |

(Optically active)
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Chapter 3. Synthetic studies towards stemoamide and development of synthetic methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective mono-PMB protection of diols and di-PMB protection of diols

Section 1: Introduction to (-)-stemoamide

### 3.1.1. Introduction

The stemona class of polycyclic alkaloids represents alkaloids with relatively complex structures (Figure 1). ${ }^{1}$ The common feature encountered in this class of alkaloids is the presence of a central pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine core as a characteristic feature. ${ }^{2}$ Also the presence of butenolide ring is encountered in a number of stemona alkaloids. More than hundred and thirty stemona alkaloids have been isolated from root extracts of Stemonaceae family. ${ }^{3}$ The root extracts of Stemonaceae family have been used in the traditional Chinese and Japanese folk medicine in the treatment of respiratory diseases and as anthelmintics. The water extracts obtained from the roots of some Stemonaceae species were widely used in China against human and cattle parasites, agricultural pests and as domestic insecticides. ${ }^{1}$


Stemoamide 1


Tuberostemospironine 4


Stemonine 2


Croomine 5

Figure 1. Stemona alkaloids

Among the stemona alkaloids, (-)-stemoamide is the simplest stemona alkaloid. The interesting structural feature of stemoamide along with other stemona alkaloids has resulted in the continuous flow of reports for their synthesis. Various synthetic routes reported towards the synthesis of $(-)$-stemoamide have been discussed here.

### 3.1.2. Literature review

## Williams' approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 1994,35, 6417-4420)

The first enantiocontrolled total synthesis of (-)-stemoamide (1) was reported by Williams et al in1994 starting from aldehyde 6 in 25 steps and 5.6\% overall yield (Scheme 1). ${ }^{4}$
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Scheme 1

The synthesis started from aldehyde 6, which on oxidation to acid followed by acid chloride formation and treatment with (S)-4-(benzyl)-2-oxazolidinone furnished imide 7. The asymmetric Evans aldol reaction of 7 with 4-benzyloxybutanal followed by TBS deprotection with acid and protection of secondary hydroxyl group furnished lactone 9.

Opening of lactone 9 with alkyllithium followed by protection of resulting alcohol as its TBS derivative rendered ketone 10 . Reduction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with $\mathrm{LiEt}_{3} \mathrm{BH}$ (exclusively from the carbonyl si-face) and mesylation followed by methanesulfonate displacement with sodium azide proceeded with inversion of configuration. At this point all the carbons and the stereogenic centers of (-)-stemoamide (1) were in place and the remaining steps were dedicated to the formation of rings $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}$ and C and functional group interconversions.

Mori's Appraoch (J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 8356-8357; Heterocycles1997,46, 287-299)
Mori et al. reported synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ starting from L-pyroglutamic acid, using enyne metatheis as a key reaction. ${ }^{5}$ Amide 17 was prepared according to the method reported in the literature. Alkylation of $\mathbf{1 7}$ with bromo compound 18 followed by deprotection of ethoxy ethyl group using PTSA furnished alcohol 19.Alcohol 19 was converted to enyne 20 using two step sequence, oxidation of alcohol followed by treatment with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and then with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$.


17




19

3. $n$-BuLi, HMPA, THF,
$\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$



Scheme 2

Enyne metathesis proceeded smoothly, when a benzene solution of enyne 20 and a catalytic amount of ruthenium catalyst was stirred. The ring $C$ was constructed by sequence of reactions which included chemoselective double bond reduction, hydrolysis of resulting ester
followed by $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ induced cyclization. Reduction of enone 23 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in MeOH gave $(-)$-stemoamide (1).

Jacobi’s Approach (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4295-4303)
Enantioselective synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved starting from $\gamma$-chlorobutyryl chloride and pyroglutamic acid 16 (Scheme 3). Esterification of $\mathbf{1 6}$ followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction and protection of resulting alcohol furnished amide 24 . Base induced alkylation of 24 with oxazole derivative 25 gave oxazole amide 26. Precursor for Diels-Alder reaction 29 was prepared by a 4 -step sequence which included deprotection of alcohol followed by oxidation to aldehyde and subsequent conversion of aldehyde to acetylene followed by methylation on acetylene. Intramolecular (Diels-Alder)-(retro-Diels-Alder) reaction of 29 gave butenolide 23 directly upon aqueous workup. The remaining two stereocentres in $\mathbf{1}$ were established in a single step by a highly selective reduction of $\mathbf{2 3}\left(\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{NiCl}_{2}\right)$, followed by equilibration to the thermodynamically favored natural configuration. ${ }^{6}$




Scheme 3

## Gurjar's Approach (Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 295-298)

A formal synthesis of 1 was achieved by Gurjar et al. starting from D-glucose employing stereoselective allylation and RCM as the key steps (Scheme 4). Allylic alcohol 32 was obtained from alcohol 31 by oxidation followed by diastereoselective allylation of resulting aldehyde. Hydroboration of the allyl group followed by selective protection of the primary alcohol as its TBS derivative and mesylation of secondary alcohol furnished 33 in which mesyl group was replaced with azide. Azido ester 34 was obtained from 33 in three steps. Hydrogenation of azide led to the cyclization to 2-pyrrolidinone derivative which on allylation gave 35 . The diene precursor 36 for RCM reaction was prepared in two steps from 35, which on RCM reaction rendered cyclized product 37. Further, the cyclized product was transformed to $\mathbf{3 9}$ from which synthesis of ( - ) $\mathbf{- 1}$ is known in literature in one step. ${ }^{7}$





1. $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 85 \%$, 2. Amberlyst-15, MeOH, 70\%
2. Im-CS-Im, toluene, 6 h 4. $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN}$, toluene, 45\%, 2 steps


Scheme 4

## Sibi’sAppraoch (Synlett2004, 1211)

Sibi et al. reported the enantioselective synthesis of (-)-stemoamide (1) in 14 steps starting from pyroglutamic acid (16) (Scheme 5). The key steps used in the strategy are conjugate addition of a vinyl copper reagent and a ring closing metathesis (RCM) reaction. Esterification of $\mathbf{1 6}$ followed by reduction of resulting ester to alcohol, which in turn was protected as its TBS derivative and subsequent alkylation of amide, resulted in to formation of 40 . Precursor for conjugate addition 41 was prepared from olefin 40 in three steps. The conjugate addition of vinyl magnesium bromide from re-face furnished 43 with opposite stereochemistry at newly formed centre as that of $\mathbf{1}$. Ring closure of $\mathbf{4 3}$ using either Grubbs’ $1^{\text {st }}$ or $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst gave the bicyclic lactam 44 in $95 \%$ yield.



Scheme 5

The iodolactone 45 was obtained from $\gamma, \delta$-unsaturated ester 44 on ester hydrolysis followed by iodolactonisation. Tricyclic compound 46 was obtained by three-step sequence from iodo lactone 45. Face selective reduction of double bond in 46 followed by diastereoselective methylation gave $1 .{ }^{8}$

Olivo’s Approach (J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3287-3290)

Olivo et al. achieved the synthesis of (-)-stemoamide in 11 steps from 5-acetoxy- $N$-crotyl pyrrolidinone48 (Scheme 6). The stereochemistry at C9a carbon was established by the method developed by this group which includes the addition of the titanium(IV) enolate of 4S-phenylthiazolidinethione 47 to cyclic $N$-acyl iminium ion derived from 48 to furnish desired stereoisomer 49.A highly diastereoselective anti-aldol reaction employing chiral




Scheme 6
thiazolidinethione 49 and cinnamaldehyde catalyzed by $\mathrm{MgBr}_{2}$, followed by TES protection of resulting alcohol furnished 50. Reduction of thiazolidinethione 50 with sodium borohydride followed by oxidation of the resulting alcoholtoaldehyde 51 occurred in $90 \%$ yield. Aldehyde 51 was reacted with the ylide derived from methoxymethyl chloride to give an inseparable E,Z-mixture of methylvinyl ethers; under acidic conditions, the silyl ether was removed and the methylvinyl ether was hydrolyzed to deliver a diastereomeric mixture of lactols, which on oxidation using PCC gave the desired lactone 52. Diene 52 on treatment with Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ gen. catalyst furnished tricyclic compound 39 , which on hydrogenation followed by methylation gave $1 .{ }^{9}$

Somfai's Appraoch (J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 4246-4249)

Somfai et al. reported the stereocontrolled total synthesis of (-)-stemoamide (1) starting from (S)-pyroglutaminol (53) (Scheme 7). Synthesis began with protection of alcohol 53 as the corresponding TBS-ether followed by $N$-alkylation of the resulting lactam with halide 18 and subsequent removal of the TBS group to give alcohol 54 . Conversion of alcohol 54 into alkyne 56 was realized by Swern oxidation followed by treatment of resulting aldehyde with





Scheme 7

Ohira-Bestmann diazophosphonate 55. Iodoboration of the alkyne functionality in 56 furnished vinyliodide moiety 57. Subjecting a mixture of vinyliodide 57 with Reformatsky reagent 58 in presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ gave $\beta$, $\gamma$-unsaturated ester 59 in high yield. The ring closed product 60 was realized by treatment of diene 59 with Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ gen. catalyst in DCM. Installation of butyrolactone moiety using a bromolactonization reaction, a strategy that was successfully used by Mori and co-workers, completed the synthesis of $\mathbf{1} .^{10}$

Honda's Approach (Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 673-675)

Honda et al. accomplished the diastereoselective synthesis of (-)-stemoamide (1) starting from pyroglutamic acid derivative in eight steps and $24 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 8). The key step involved in their synthesis is a samarium diiodide-promoted 7-exo-trig cyclization to construct the tricyclic core. Aldehyde 63 was prepared starting from the known lactam 61 in a three-step sequence which includes the alkylation of the lactam 61 with 2-(4-bromobutoxy)tetrahydro-2H-pyran followed by removal of the silyl group of 62 upon treatment with ammonium fluoride and the subsequent Swern oxidation of the resulting primary alcohol.




Scheme 8

Aldehyde 64 the precursor for the key cyclization reaction was prepared from 63 in three steps, which include the Wittig reaction of aldehyde 63 followed by THP deprotection and the Swern oxidation of the resulting alcohol. A samarium diiodide-promoted carbon-carbon bond forming reaction was realized by treatment of 64 with 5.0 equivalents of samarium diiodide in THF in the presence of 5.0 equivalents of MeOH as the proton source to give an inseparable diastereoisomeric mixture 65 and 66 in $60 \%$ yield. The desired compound 65 was further converted to $\mathbf{1}$ in four steps which included the treatment of $\mathbf{6 5}$ with PhSeBr in
presence of LiHMDS followed by elimination of resulting selenide to furnish butenolide 46 which was further converted to $\mathbf{1}$ in two steps by method reported in literature. ${ }^{11}$

Other approaches for the racemic and non-natural isomers of the stemoamide (1) are summarized in figure 2. Narasaka et al. (Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1996, 69, 2063) utilized the oxidative coupling reactions of stannyl compounds with silylenol ethers to construct the tricyclic skeleton of ( $\pm$ )-1. ${ }^{12}$ Jacobi et al. (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 3409) used intramolecular (Diels-Alder)-(retro-Diels-Alder) reaction of 29 for the construction of tricyclic core of ( $\pm$ )-1. ${ }^{13}$ Khim et al. (J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 7734) took advantage of the intramolecular 7-exo-trig radical cyclization of 68 as a key step and completed the synthesis of (-)-9, 10-epi-stemoamide in nine steps and $13 \%$ overall yield. ${ }^{14}$


Figure 2

Cossy et al. realized the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-9,10-bis-epi-stemoamide using diastereoselective radical 7-exo-trig cyclization as a key step. Starting from 69 synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-9,10-bis-epistemoamide achieved in 10 steps. ${ }^{15}$ Again Cossy et al. (J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 9528) in 2006 reported the formal synthesis of $( \pm)$-stemoamide (1) starting from 69. ${ }^{16}$ Cossy et al. (Synlett 2006, 2664) in their third approach used 1,3-diketone 70 as a starting material for the synthesis of (+)-stemoamide. ${ }^{17}$ Bates et al. (Synlett 2009, 1979) reported diastereoselective synthesis of $( \pm)$-stemoamide using succinimide as a starting material. ${ }^{18}$
Zhai et al. (Synlett 2009, 2188) described the synthesis of (+)-9a-epi-stemoamide, which has been achieved in six steps from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated- $\gamma$-butyrolactone 72. ${ }^{19}$ Recently Honget al. (Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 2787) reported synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-stemoamide by bioinspired iminium ioncyclization of 73 in combination with the ruthenium-catalyzed cyclocarbonylation of the resulting allenic alcohol. The synthesis of racemic stemoamide was completed in eight steps. ${ }^{20}$ Wipf et al. (Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2634) used 3, 3 sigmatropic reaction i.e. Ireland-Claisen rearrangement of $\mathbf{7 4}$ for the stereoselective installation of C8 and completed synthesis of (-)-8-epi-Stemoamide starting from pyroglutamic acid. ${ }^{21}$
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Chapter 3: Synthetic studies towards (-)-stemoamide and methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective mono PMB protection of diols and diPMB protection of diols

Section 2: Synthetic studies towards (-)-stemoamide

### 3.2.1: Present work

### 3.2.1.1: Objective

The intriguing structure of stemoamide (1) and its use in the Chinese and Japanese folk medicine in the treatment of respiratory diseases and as anthelmintics was the impetus to develop a flexible strategy for its synthesis. A distinguishing feature of this group of alkaloids is the presence of a perhydroazaazulene ring, and the most members also contain a $\alpha$-methyl- $\gamma$-butyrolactone functionality. A modern synthetic design demands better yielding sequences coupled with mild reaction conditions, high stereoselectivity and readily available starting materials. Keeping these features in mind, a convergent route to $\mathbf{1}$ has been chosen from D-mannitol diacetonide as a starting material for the synthetic endeavor because of its ready availability in enantiopure form.

### 2.2.1.2: Retrosynthetic analysis

The basic strategy for the synthesis of stemoamide (1) is shown in the retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis for 1.

An appealing strategy for the convergent synthesis of stemoamide can be envisaged by the installation of azepine ring structure using ring closing metathesis approach followed by appropriate fictionalization. Synthesis of the diene 2, precursor for ring closing metathesis was envisioned by allyaltion at pyrrolidinone moiety. In turn the pyrrolidinone ring could be constructed from 4 by imine hydrolysis followed by decarboxylation. The diastereoselective
conjugate addition of $\mathbf{7}$ on butyrolactone $\mathbf{6}$ would lead to the imine $\mathbf{5}$ with the desired stereochemistry at C 2 and C 3 as in $\mathbf{1}$.

### 3.2.1.3: Results and discussion

The first step of the synthesis is the conjugate addition between butenolide $\mathbf{6}$ and imine 7. Among the starting materials for conjugate addition, the butenolide $\mathbf{6}$ was prepared according to the method reported by Wilson et al. ${ }^{1}$ while diphenylamine Schiff's base 7 was prepared by O'Donnells' procedure from diphenylimine and glycine ester hydrochloride. ${ }^{2}$ Thus, treating the equimolar mixture of the butenolide $\mathbf{6}$ and diphenylamine Schiff's base 7 in THF with LiBr and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ furnished the imine 5 in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Synthesis of 1

Reagents and conditions: a) LiBr, Et ${ }_{3}$ N, THF, 80\% b) Ethyl acrylate, NaH, THF

Strong bands at 1782 and $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum showed the presence of five membered lactone and ester functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 revealed the presence of multiplets at $\delta 7.74-7.14$ integrating for 10 protons, thereby, confirming the incorporation of diphenyl moiety. Three singlets at $\delta 0.81,0.00$ and 0.01 integrating for 9,3 and 3 protons respectively indicated the presence of TBS group. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 496(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$thus confirming the formation of 5 .
Imine 5 was then subjected to reaction with sodium hydride and ethyl acrylate in THF with the hope to obtain addition product 4 , but unfortunately a complex reaction mixture was observed. Instead of sodium hydride use of bases like $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DBU}$ and LDA under identical reaction conditions failed to furnish product 4 . So alternatively, it was thought to prepare 3 by condensation with nitromethane and the nitro ester $\mathbf{1 0}$ with butenolide 6 .


Scheme 3. Alternate route to synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$
Reagents and conditions: a) Nitromethane, DBU, $84 \%$ b) Ethyl acrylate, DBU, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, 75\%, c) NaOEt, THF, reflux, 78\%

Nitrocompound 9 was prepared by two routes which include the Michael addition of nitromethane on butenolide 6 and the Michael addition of nitro compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ on $\mathbf{6}$ (Scheme 3). Butenolide 6 was subjected to reaction with excess nitromethane and catalytic DBU to provide nitro compound 8 . Presence of a strong band at $1782 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and disappearance of band at $1756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of five memebered lactone. Disappearance of peak at $\delta 7.49$ and 6.16 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR spectrum and appearance of peak at $\delta 4.52$ integrating for two protons clearly indicated the formation of $\mathbf{8}$. Three peaks for $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and four peaks for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and CH carbons in its DEPT spectrum were in accordance with the structure 8. Finally, its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $280(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of 8 .

The formation of $\mathbf{9}$ was realized by two ways. In the first case, equimolar amounts of $\mathbf{8}$ and ethyl acrylate reacted with DBU to furnish 9 in $75 \%$ yield, while in second case addition of 10 with $\mathbf{6}$ was carried out in presence of sodium ethoxide in THF to give 9 in $78 \%$ yield. Strong bands at 1785,1735 and $1552 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of five membered lactone, ester and nitro functionalities respectively. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed quartet at $\delta 4.16$ and triplet at $\delta 1.26$ which revealed the presence of ethyl ester moiety. Peaks at $\delta 0.89$ and 0.07 were assigned to the TBS group. Peaks at $\delta 174$ and 171 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum indicated the presence of lactone carbonyl and ester carbonyl respectively. Peak at $\delta 93$ was attributed to the carbon adjacent to nitro group. Finally the formation of 9 was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

During the efforts to prepare pyrrolidinone $\mathbf{3}$ by reduction of nitro group a report appeared by Zhai et al. ${ }^{3}$ which described the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ utilizing a similar type of strategy as proposed in the present work. Hence, it was decided not to proceed with the above mentioned approach for the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ and was proposed an alternative retrosynthetic route (Scheme 4).

Here it was surmised that the construction of tricyclic core of $\mathbf{1}$ could be realized by RCM reaction of diene $\mathbf{1 0}$. In turn, the diene could be constructed by Vinylogous Mukaiyama Michael addition between butenolide 6 and N -allyl pyrrolinone 11.


Scheme 4. Revised retrosynthesis for 1

According to the revised convergent retrosynthetic plan, the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ started from $\mathbf{1 1}$ which was prepared from methyl crotonate in five steps (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5. Synthesis of 11.

Reagents and conditions: a) NBS, Cat. AIBN, CCl 4 , reflux, quant. b) Allylamine, THF, 73\% c) $\mathrm{PhSH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, reflux, $91 \%$ d) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 74 \%$ e) $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, Toluene, reflux, $60 \%$.

A mixture of equimolar amounts of methyl crotonate and NBS in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ was refluxed in presence of catalytic amount of AIBN to provide bromomethyl crotonate $\mathbf{1 3}$ in quantitative yield. Reaction of bromomethyl crotonate $\mathbf{1 3}$ with allylamine in THF furnished allylamine crotonate 14. The formation of cyclic pyrrolidinone 15 was realized by conjugate addition of
thiophenol on 14. Sulfide 14 was oxidized to sulfoxide 16 on treatment with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ in methanol-water in $74 \%$ yield. The next task was the elimination of the sulfoxide to $N$-allyl pyrrolinone which was effected by heating of $\mathbf{1 6}$ in toluene in presence of sodium bicarbonate in $60 \%$ yield. Starting from methyl crotonate $N$-allyl pyrrolinone 11 was prepared in 30\% overall yield.
The key step of Vinylogous Mukaiyama Michael reaction was carried out using equimolar amounts of butenolide 6, pyrrolinone 11 and TMSOTf in presence of triethyamine in THFhexane system to provide addition product 17 (Scheme 6). Its mass spectrum showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 238(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$indicating presence of $\mathbf{1 7}$. But unfortunately ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of this compound was not in accordance with 17.


Scheme 6

Failures in all the above approaches to stemoamide, led to the design of a different strategy for its synthesis. New retrosynthetic plan starting from commercially available chiral starting material L-pyroglutamic acid is shown in Scheme 7. According to the proposed plan, the key intermediate is butenolide 18. Elaboration of the intermediate $\mathbf{1 8}$ to $\mathbf{1}$ is well documented in the literature. ${ }^{4}$ In turn the focus was the construction of butenolide ring in $\mathbf{1 8}$ based on our previously reported strategy for butenolide synthesis, ${ }^{5}$ which includes the Reformatsky reaction on ketone 21 to furnish the corresponding hydroxy ester which on dehydration would furnish $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester which on dihydroxylation and treatment with acid would provide butenolide. The ketone 21 could be constructed by two routes, one by taking advantage of RCM strategy and another route by taking advantage of Grignard reaction on aldehyde 26. The di-allylated precursor 22 could be constructed from L-pyroglutamic acid 27.

(-)-Stemoamide, 1




Scheme 7. New retrosynthetic plan to 1

The synthesis started from L-pyroglutamic acid 27 (Scheme 8) wherein the $N$-allyl alcohol 28 was prepared using reported method with no loss in chirality. ${ }^{6}$


Scheme 8. Synthesis of diallyl alcohol 22 from 27

The $N$-allyl alcohol 28 was oxidized to aldehyde $\mathbf{2 3}$ using IBX in refluxing ethyl acetate. The crude aldehyde 23, as such, was subjected to equimolar quantities of Zn and allyl bromide in
presence of ammonium chloride to give two undesired products which could not be well characterized. Allylation of $\mathbf{2 3}$ using allyltrimethylsilane and TBAF in THF gave $\mathbf{2 2}$ but it was not possible to get di-allylated product 22 in good yields despite modifications in the amounts of allyltrimethylsilane and of TBAF. However, by performing the reaction under Lewis acidic conditions employing $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ as the catalyst in DCM , the di-allylated product 22 was obtained in $87 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed strong band at 3390 and $1675 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydroxy and amide functionalities respectively. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of di-allylated compound $\mathbf{2 2}$ showed it to be a mixture of diastereomers. Multiplets at $\delta 5.88-5.71$ and $5.25-5.00$ corresponded to the allyl group. Multiplet at $\delta$ 4.35-4.24 integrated for one proton which represented the proton adjacent to hydroxy group. The double peaks in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR indicated the presence of diastereomeric mixture of di-allylated compound 22, which did not matter as the alcohol functionality in 22 was to be oxidized at a later stage. Presence of five peaks corresponding to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and four peaks corresponding to CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons in its DEPT spectrum indicated the formation of $\mathbf{2 2}$. Further, formation of $\mathbf{2 2}$ was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 196(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.



Scheme 9. Synthesis of ketone 21

The di-allylated product 22 was subjected to RCM reaction (Scheme 9) using Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DCM, which resulted in cyclic olefin product but low yields (34\%) were obtained at room temperature as well as under reflux conditions. Varying the amount of Grubbs' catalyst up to $8 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ also resulted in unsatisfactory yield, up to a maximum of $40 \%$. However, switching over to the use of Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( 2
$\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) in DCM at room temperature furnished good yield ( $85 \%$ ) of ring closed product 29. ${ }^{7}$ Strong bands at 3393 and $1674 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of hydroxy and five membered lactam functionalities respectively. From its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum it was evident that it is a diastereomeric mixture. Multiplets at $\delta 6.07-5.87$ and 5.73-5.57 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum accounted for one proton each clearly pointing to the formation of cyclized product. Proton adjacent to hydroxy group appeared at $\delta 4.67-4.49$ as a multiplet. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 174,129$ and 127 corresponding to lactam carbonyl and double bond carbons respectively. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of four $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons and four CH carbons. Finally, the formation of 29 was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 168(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 190(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Homoallylic alcohol 29 was subjected to hydrogenation using catalytic palladium over carbon under hydrogen atmosphere to provide bicyclic alcohol 30 in $96 \%$ yield. Strong band at $1693 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of five membered lactam carbonyl. Absence of multiplets at $\delta 6.07-5.87$ and $5.73-5.57$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum clearly indicated the reduction of double bond. Further, multiplet at $\delta$ 3.95-3.88 integrating for two protons corresponded to protons adjacent to hydroxy and nitrogen (CH). Two multiplets at $\delta$ 3.583.53 and 3.32-3.29 corresponded to $N-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peak at $\delta$ 176 which was assigned to lactam carbonyl carbon and peak at $\delta 73$ was assigned to CHOH carbon. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of two CH carbons and six $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 192(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the formation of $\mathbf{3 0}$.

Oxidation of alcohol 30 to ketone 21 was realized by using IBX in refluxing ethyl acetate in $82 \%$ yield. Its IR spectrum showed strong bands at 1720 and $1692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicating the presence of ketone and five membered lactam carbonyl functionalities respectively. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed the multiplets at $\delta 4.31-4.20$ and 4.02-3.94 corresponding to protons adjacent to carbonyl and adjacent to nitrogen respectively. The remaining peaks accounted for 11 protons. Peaks at $\delta 212$ and 174 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum were assigned to ketone and lactam carbonyls respectively. Peak at $\delta 68$ was assigned to the CH flanked by nitrogen and ketone. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of one CH carbon and six $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons
confirming the formation of ketone 21. Further, the formation of 21 was supported by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at m/z $168(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 190(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

The alternative route to RCM strategy to construct the seven membered ring started from the common starting material viz L-pyroglutamic acid (Scheme 10).




Scheme 10. Synthesis of ketone 21 alternate route to RCM

Reagents and conditions: a) IBX, EtOAc, reflux b) THF, $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $74 \%$ over two steps) c) MOMCl, DIPEA, DCM, reflux, $90 \%$ d) Na, $\mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{THF},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 87 \%$ e) $\left.\mathrm{MsCl}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM} f\right)$ NaH, THF (86\% over two steps) g) trace HCl, MeOH, reflux, 74\% h) IBX, EtOAc, reflux, 82\%

Alcohol 31 was prepared according to the reported procedure from L-pyroglutamic acid. ${ }^{8}$ Alcohol 31 was oxidized using IBX in ethyl acetate under reflux conditions to provide aldehyde 26 with no loss in chirality and was subjected to Grignard reaction without further purification. The required Grignard reagent was prepared from 4-benzyloxybutyl bromide and activated Mg in THF. The crude aldehyde $\mathbf{1 0}$ was initially treated with 2 eq. of Grignard reagent at room temperature in THF which resulted in complex reaction mixture. After optimization of reaction conditions, the Grignard reaction was carried out at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF
as the solvent to furnish alcohol 25 in $74 \%$ yield (over two steps). Strong bands at 3401 and $1666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of hydroxy and $\gamma$-lactam carbonyl functionalities respectively. Appearance of multiplet in aromatic region at $\delta 7.34-7.20$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum integrating for ten protons provided the strong evidence for formation of alcohol 25. Singlet at $\delta 4.48$ integrating for 2 protons and doublets at 4.88 and 4.26 integrating for one proton each were assigned to O -benzyl and N -benzyl protons respectively. Four protons appearing at $\delta 3.41-3.65$ were assigned to protons adjacent to oxygen and nitrogen. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peak at $\delta 176$ corresponding to the lactam carbonyl carbon. Two peaks appeared at $\delta 138$ and 137 which were assigned to the substituted aromatic carbons and peaks that appeared at $\delta 128.6,128.3,128.0,127.6,127.5$ and 127.4 were assigned to remaining aromatic carbons. Carbons that resonated at $\delta 73,72.9,70.1$ and 61.6 were assigned to carbons adjacent to hydroxy and nitrogen respectively. Its DEPT spectrum showed appearance of 8 CH carbons and $8 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Finally, its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 368(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and thus confirmed the formation of alcohol 25.

The MOM protection of alcohol 25 was effected by reaction with MOMCl in presence of Hunig's base in DCM under reflux conditions in $90 \%$ yield. Strong band at $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of lactam carbonyl functionality. Appearance of characteristic peaks of MOM group in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum at $\delta 4.51$ and 3.28 integrated for two and three protons respectively indicating the presence of OMOM group. Multiplet at $\delta$ 7.34-7.24 integrating for 10 protons was assigned to the two aromatic rings. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peak at $\delta 175$ corresponding to the lactam carbonyl carbon and peaks corresponding to aromatic carbons appeared at $\delta 138,136,128.6,128.3,127.6$, and 127.5. Peaks at $\delta 96.7$ and 55.7 were assigned to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons in MOM group. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of $9 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons indicating the formation of 32. Further, the formation of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

The next task was the deprotection of both benzyl groups. In order to effect the deprotection, the di-benzyl compound $\mathbf{3 2}$ was subjected to hydrogenation conditions using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ catalyst under hydrogen in methanol to furnish the only $O$-debenzylated product. Then the di-
debenzylation was realized by treatment of $\mathbf{3 2}$ under Birch reduction conditions using Na in ammonia in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ which resulted in to formation of deprotected compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ in $87 \%$ yield. Strong bands at 3433 and $1679 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of hydroxy and amide functionalities respectively. Absence of peaks in aromatic region and appearance of characteristic peak of amide proton at $\delta 7.42$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum provided the strong evidence for deprotection of both benzyl groups. Peaks at $\delta 4.70-4.62(\mathrm{~m})$ and 3.39 (s) integrating for two and three protons respectively were assigned to MOM group. Peaks at $\delta 178$ and 97 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum were assigned to lactam carbonyl carbon and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbon in MOM respectively. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of three CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and seven $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons supporting the formation of desired product. Finally the formation of alcohol 24 was confirmed by its mass spectrum which showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

The formation of seven membered compound $\mathbf{3 3}$ was realized by subjecting the alcohol $\mathbf{2 4}$ to mesylation to provide mesyl compound which without further purification was subjected to treatment with sodium hydride in THF to provide the cyclized product 33 in $86 \%$ yield over two steps. Its IR spectrum showed a strong band at $1687 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and was assigned to lactam carbonyl carbon. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 4.73-4.57$ (m) and 3.38 (s) integrating for two and three protons and were assigned to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons respectively of MOM group. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 176$ and 95 which were attributed to the carbonyl carbon and methylene carbons of MOM group respectively. Peaks at $\delta 78$ and 62 were assigned to carbons adjacent to oxygen and nitrogen $(\mathrm{CH})$ respectively. Peaks at $\delta$ 55 and 43 corresponded to the methyl of MOM group and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbon adjacent to nitrogen. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of three CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and seven $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons. Further its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\delta 214(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$thereby confirming the formation of $\mathbf{3 3}$.

The MOM deprotection in $\mathbf{3 3}$ was carried out by treating this compound with trace amount of conc. HCl in methanol to provide alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}$ in $74 \%$ yield. The spectral data of alcohol 30 was in complete agreement with the alcohol prepared by RCM approach, which on oxidation furnished ketone 21 (Scheme 9).

According to the retrosynthetic plan (Scheme 7), the next task was the construction of butenolide ring, based on previous method developed by this group for butenolide construction (Scheme 11). Accordingly, the ketone 21 was subjected to the reaction with ethyl bromoacetate and activated zinc in benzene: ether (1:1) to provide alcohol 39 in $78 \%$ yield (Scheme 12). Strong bands at 1732 and $1676 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of ketone and five membered lactam functionalities respectively. Strong broad band at $3345 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ showed the presence of hydroxy group. The appearance of characteristic peaks


Scheme 11. Reported method for butenolide construction
at $\delta 4.20(\mathrm{q}), 1.31(\mathrm{t})$ and $1.20(\mathrm{t})$ of ethyl propionate side chain in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum provided strong evidence for the formation of $\mathbf{3 9}$. Quartet at $\delta 2.79$ and doublet of doublet at 3.60 integrating for one proton each was assigned to the $\alpha$-proton of ester and proton adjacent to nitrogen (-CH-) respectively. Peaks at $\delta 176$ and 175 in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum were assigned to the ester carbonyl and lactam carbonyl respectively. The other peaks at $\delta 66$ and 61 were assigned to the quaternary carbon adjacent to hydroxy and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbon in ethyl ester. Peaks at $\delta 47$ and 43 corresponded to the carbons adjacent to nitrogen $-\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{N}$ - and -
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Scheme 12. Construction of butenolide ring
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ - respectively. Its DEPT spectrum showed presence of four CH and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ carbons and seven $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ carbons in accordance with the proposed structure 39. Finally its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 270(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$thus confirming the formation of $\mathbf{3 9}$.

According to the methodology developed by this group (Scheme 11), alcohol 39 was subjected to reaction with thionyl chloride and pyridine in DCM to provide the expected product $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester $\mathbf{2 0}$ but the formation of a mixture of isomeric olefins of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was observed. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of the mixture was complex but the LCMS showed three peaks with equal integration and same mass from which it can be predicted that the $\mathbf{2 0}$ may be the mixture of three isomeric olefins, in equal amounts, although the exact identity of the olefins could not be rigorously established. In spite of several modifications, it was not possible to obtain the required alkene $\mathbf{2 0}$ exclusively and it was very difficult to proceed with the mixture.




Scheme 17. Alternative route for butenolide ring construction.

Reagents and conditions: a) Triethyl phosphonoacetate, NaH , benzene, $88 \%$ b) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux, 45\% c) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 78 \%$ d) LiHMDS, PhSeBr, THF, $95 \%$ e) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, DCM, $92 \%$ f) $E t_{3} N, D C M, 2$ days

The undesired results obtained during elimination led to change the route to (-)-stemoamide (1). Thus, the ketone 21 was subjected to Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction (Scheme 17) using triethyl phosphonoacetate, to furnish $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 40 in $88 \%$ yield. The strong bands at 1711 and $1690 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester carbonyl and five membered lactam carbonyl respectively. In its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum peaks at $\delta 5.78$ and 5.77 corresponding to alkenyl proton integrated for 0.2 and 0.8 protons respectively, which indicated that the ratio of diastereomeric olefins should be 1:4. Four protons appeared at $\delta 4.25-4.05$ as a multiplet and were assigned to methylene of ethyl ester and two protons on seven membered ring. Peak at $\delta 3.58$ integrated for one proton which was assigned to proton at the ring junction.


Figure 1. ORTEP view of compound 40(a)
In order to confirm the formation of $\mathbf{4 0}$ as well as configuration of major isomer the ester was hydrolyzed by using LiOH to provide corresponding acid 40(a). Acid 40(a) was recrystalized from ethyl acetate with $76 \%$ yield of the recrystalized acid whose single crystal X-ray analysis revealed that the predominating isomer was $E$ (Figure 1). ${ }^{9}$

It was surmised that the butenolide $\mathbf{4 4 ( a , b )}$ could be readily obtained by performing allylic oxidation on ester 40 according to the literature report. ${ }^{10}$ Accordingly, the allylic oxidation was carried out using selenium dioxide under reflux conditions in acetic acid, but unfortunately instead of butenolide $44(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$, the uncyclized hydroxy ester 41 was obtained as a single diastereomer. Failure to obtain butenolide was attributed to the $Z$ configuration at double bond in hydroxy olefin 41, in which ester is away from hydroxy group, which indeed
was later confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis of $\mathbf{4 1}$ which clearly indicated that the $E$ double bond converted in to $Z$ configuration by rotation of $\alpha, \beta$-bond during allylic oxidation (Figure 2). ${ }^{11,12}$ It may be pointed out that the hydroxy group installed had the opposite stereochemistry than what is required for $(-)$-stemoamide.



Hydroxy olefin 41 was treated with various reagents like PTSA, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{HCl}$, thiophenoltriethylamine, thiophenol-sodium hydride but none of the conditions resulted in the formation of butenolide 42. As it was not possible to transform the hydroxy ester 41 directly to butenolide 44, one had to take a circuitous route. After the unsuccessful attempts to cyclize the hydroxy olefin 41, the double bond was reduced using $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}: \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in THF which furnished cyclized butyrolactone product $\mathbf{4 2}$ in $78 \%$ yield. But the stereochemistry of cyclized product was disappointing because the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed it to be a diastereomeric mixture in which stereochemistry at C8 and C9 was not the desired one.

In order to fix these two centres, the cyclized product was converted to $\alpha$-phenyl selenolactone derivative using LiHMDS and PhSeBr in THF to give selenyl compound $\mathbf{4 3}$ in $95 \%$ yield (crude), according to Sibi's protocol. ${ }^{13}$ The selenolactone 43 was subsequently subjected to elimination using hydrogen peroxide in DCM at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to furnish butenolide 44 (ab) in $92 \%$ yield. Strong bands at 1753 and $1665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum indicated the presence of butenolide and five membered lactam carbonyl functionalities respectively. Two peaks at $\delta 5.98$ and 5.84 in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum corresponding to alkenyl proton integrated for 0.30 and 0.70 protons respectively indicating the $30: 70$ mixture of diastereomers, with desired isomer in minor amount.

Careful observation revealed that these two diastereomers of $\mathbf{4 4 ( a , b )}$ have a very small difference in Rf values (TLC). This diastereomeric mixture was treated with triethylamine in DCM and delightfully it was observed that the concentration of the faster moving (less polar) spot increased with time when the reaction was monitored by TLC. After prolonging this reaction by stirring the reaction mixture for almost two days, it was observed that the mixture was completely transformed in to the desired butenolide 44(a). The disappearance of peak at $\delta 5.84$ and increased integration of peak at $\delta 5.98$ in its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum clearly indicated the conversion of major isomer in to the desired isomer $\mathbf{4 4 ( a )}$. Multiplet at $\delta$ 5.0-5.03 integrated for one proton which was assigned to $\gamma$-proton of butenolide. Its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum showed peaks at $\delta 174.5,174.2$ and 171.7 which were assigned to butenolide carbonyl, lactam carbonyl and $\beta$-carbon of butenolide respectively. Peaks at $\delta 116$ and 82.9 corresponded to $\alpha$ and $\gamma$-carbons of butenolide respectively. Peaks at $\delta 58$ and 43 were assigned to carbons adjacent to nitrogen. The remaining four carbons appeared at $\delta 34,30$, 27 and 25. Its mass spectrum showed molecular ion peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 208(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 230(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$
confirming the formation of $\mathbf{4 4 ( a )}$. The spectral data, rotation value and melting point of 44(a) were in good agreement with the reported one. ${ }^{14}$ The conversion of butenolide 44(a) to $(-)$-stemoamide (1) is well documented in the literature in two steps, ${ }^{15}$ hence this constitutes the formal total synthesis of $(-)$-stemoamide.

### 3.2.2. Conclusion:

The formal total synthesis of (-)-stemoamide was achieved by taking advantage of RCM rection and allylic oxidation in 11 steps in $15 \%$ overall yield. The alternate route to seven membered ring construction was also developed using Grignard reaction and base induced cyclization to furnish butenolide $\mathbf{4 4 ( a )}$ in 14 steps in $11 \%$ overall yield. Complete novel epimerization of $\mathbf{4 4}(\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b})$ to expected isomer $\mathbf{4 4 ( a )}$ was achieved successfully.

### 3.2.3. Experimental

(S)-5-(((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)furan-2(5H)-one (6): Was prepared from
 tartaric acid according to reported procedure. ${ }^{1}$

Molecular Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Si}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16$
$(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=5.8,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.07-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
Ethyl 2-((diphenylmethylene)amino)acetate (7): Was prepared from glycine and
 diphenylimine hydrochloride according to the reported procedure. ${ }^{2}$

Molecular Formula: $\quad \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 7.67-7.65 (m, 2H), 7.50-7.41
(m, 4H), 7.35-7.32 (m, 2H), 7.21-7.19 (m, 2H), $4.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
(R)-Ethyl 2-((2S,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)-2-((diphenylmethylene)amino)acetate (5)


To the well stirred solution of butenolide $\mathbf{6}(3.2 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and diphenylamine ethyl glycinate $7(3.7 \mathrm{~g}, 14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ) was added $\operatorname{LiBr}(1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 19.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ at once. Triethylamine ( $2.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to above reaction mixture dropwise at room temperature and stirred for 4 h at same temperature. After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated ammonium chloride solution and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give crude addition product 5. Purification by flash column chromatography over silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether 20/80) afforded Michael adduct 5 ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a pale yellow dense liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$

Yield
: 80\%
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}}$ : 2932, 1782, 1735, 1464, $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.74-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.14-4.04 (m, 3H), 3.94-3.84 (m, 1H), 3.73-3.66 (m, 1H), 3.13-3.03 (m, 1H), $2.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.6,11.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=17.6,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.81(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 0.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), -0.01 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
(4R,5S)-5-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)methyl)-4-(nitromethyl)dihydrofuran-2(3H)-one (8)


To the well stirred solution of butenolide $6(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in nitromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\operatorname{DBU}(0.1 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.03 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The excess of nitromethane was removed under reduced pressure to provide crude addition product 8, which on flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether 20/80) rendered pure nitro compound $8(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.
Molecular formula
Yield
: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Si}$

IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$
: 84\%
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 4.63-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
3.35-3.21 (m, 1H), 2.95 (dd, $J=17.8,9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30$ (dd,
$J=17.8,4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 174.3,81.4,76.8,64.3,35.4,32.5,25.8,18.2,-5.5,-5.6$
(S)-Ethyl 4-((2S,3R)-2-(((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-oxotetrahydrofuran-3-yl)-4-nitrobutanoate (9)


Method 1: To the stirred solution of nitro compound 8 (150 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonitrile ( 2 mL ) was added ethyl acrylate ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min . Catalytic DBU ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%, 0.07 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added and the resulting reaction mixture was stirred further for 4 h at room temperature. Solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide crude $\mathbf{9}$,
which on flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether 15/85) rendered pure nitro compound 9 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 75 \%$ ) as a colorless dense liquid.

Method 2: To the stirred solution of butenolide $\mathbf{6}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\gamma$-nitro butyrate $\mathbf{1 0}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5 mL ) was added sodium methoxide ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at once at room temperature. The resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 5 h and then cooled to room temperature. Reaction was quenched using sat. aq. ammonium chloride solution ( 10 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude product which on flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetatepet ether $15 / 85$ ) rendered pure nitro compound 9 ( $133 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a colorless dense liquid.

| Molecular formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{Si}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield | : 78\% |
| IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$ | : $2955,2931,2858,1785,1735,1552,1184,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(200 \mathrm{MH}$ | : $\delta 4.73-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.88(\mathrm{~m}$, <br> $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.17(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89$ (s, 9H), 0.07 (s, 6H). |
| ESIMS (m/z) | : $412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |

## (E)-Methyl 4-(allylamino)but-2-enoate (14)



To the methyl 4-bromocrotonate (13) ( $1 \mathrm{gm}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added allylamine ( $0.44 \mathrm{ml}, 1.2$ mmol ) dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and was stirred for 4 hours. Water was then added to the reaction mixture and extraction was carried out in ethyl acetate ( $50 \mathrm{ml} \times 3$ ). The ethyl acetate layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether $45 / 55$ ) furnished pure amine compound 14 ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ ) as a colorless dense liquid.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Molecular formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \\
\text { Yield } & : 73 \% \\
{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): & \delta 7.09-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13-5.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.37-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \\
& 4.23-3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,1.2 \\
& \mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .
\end{array}
$$

## 1-Allyl-4-(phenylthio)pyrrolidin-2-one (15)



To the amine $\mathbf{1 4}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 15 mL ), was added triethylamine ( $3.8 \mathrm{ml}, 27 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. Thiophenol ( $1.09 \mathrm{ml}, 9.9$ moles) was added drop wise at this temperature. The reaction mixture was then refluxed for 4 hrs .
Water ( 20 mL ) was then added to the reaction mixture and it was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{ml}$ ). Organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to obtain the crude product. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether 40/60) furnished pure amide compound 14 ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as a colorless dense liquid.

| Molecular formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NOS}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yield | $: 95 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ H-NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.41-7.27(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
3.96-3.83 (m, 3H), 3.73-3.64 (m, 1H), 3.36-3.28 (m, 1H), 2.86
(dd, $J=17.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,17.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## 1-Allyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (11)



To the sulfide $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmole}$ ) in methanol ( 15 ml ), was added solution of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.914 \mathrm{~g}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water $(18 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature till the completion of reaction ( 5 h ). The reaction mixture was filtered on celite. Methanol was evaporated under reduced pressure and extraction was carried out with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 30 mL ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated on rotavapor to furnish the sulfoxide $28(0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$. The crude 28 as such was dissolved in toluene ( 20 mL ) and to it was added $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(356$ $\mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 h and then cooled to room
temperature. Water ( 20 mL ) was added to reaction mixture and it was extracted using ethyl acetate ( 3 x 20 mL ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude 11. Purification of the crude product by flash column chromatography using silica gel (ethyl acetate-pet ether $70 / 30$ ) furnished pure pyrrolinone $11(0.316 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.

| Molecular formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yield | $: 60 \%$ |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(200 ~ M H z, ~ \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): ~ \delta ~ 7.11-7.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.22-6.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.20-5.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.96(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ).

## (S)-1-Allyl-5-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (28)



Molecular formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3410,3018,1672,1417,1215,756$, $667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 5.65-5.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.17-5.25(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.2,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.85$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.01-2.61 (m, 4H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 174,132,118,62.3,59.2,58.8,44.5,30.5$
ESIMS (m/z) $: 156(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 178(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## (5S)-1-Allyl-5-(1-hydroxybut-3-en-1-yl)pyrrolidin-2-one (22)



To the alcohol 28 ( $5 \mathrm{gm}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethyl acetate ( 70 mL ), was added IBX ( $13.5 \mathrm{gm}, 48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated at reflux for 3-4 hours. Reaction mixture was filtered through Whatman filter paper and concentrated in vacuo. The crude aldehyde was dissolved in anhydrous DCM ( 60 mL ) and to it was added allyltrimethylsilane $(10.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 64 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(9.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 64 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. Reaction mixture was stirred for $2-2.5 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and quenched using saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 20 mL ). Water ( 50 mL ) was added and DCM layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted using DCM ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined
organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filterd and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified using flash column chromatography (30:70 Pet ether:EtOAc) to afford the diallylated product $22(5.5 \mathrm{gm}, 87 \%)$ as a colorless sticky liquid.

| Molecular formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield | : 87\% |
| IR ( $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)_{\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}}$ | : $3390,3998,2851,1675,1250,924,624 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathbf{2 0 0 ~ M H z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{s}): \delta 5.88-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.24(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 3.99-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50- \\ & 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ) $\mathrm{\delta} 175.7,133.9,132.8,118.6,117.5,72.1,61.3,44.8,36.8,30.1$, |  |
| ESIMS (m/z) | : $196(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 218(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |
| Elemental analysis | : Calculated C, 67.66; H, 8.78; N, 7.17\% |
|  | Found C, 67.61; H, 8.75; N, 7.20\% |

(9aS)-9-Hydroxy-5,8,9,9a-tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-3(2H)-one (29)


Diallylated compound $22(1 \mathrm{gm}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $82 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous DCM ( 500 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite and concentrated in vacuo to provide crude 29. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ (Ethyl acetate) to provide the ring closed product $29(0.73 \mathrm{gm}, 85 \%)$ as a colorless sticky liquid.

| Molecular formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yield | $: 85 \%$ |
| IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$ | $: 3393,3019,1674,1421,1216,1076,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 6.07-5.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=16.3$,
$7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ 3.95-3.91 (m, 1H), 3.84-3.77 (m, 1H), 3.72-3.58
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.71-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-1.80(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 174.2,129.6,127.7,71.5,67.2,40.1,33.5,29.9,22.3$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $168(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 190(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 64.65; H, 7.84; N, 8.38\%
Found C, 64.61; H, 7.87; N, 8.36\%
(9aS)-9-Hydroxyhexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-3(2H)-one (30)


To the solution of alcohol 29 ( $0.5 \mathrm{gm}, 3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 30 mL ) was added catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide ( $20 \%$ over charcoal) and subjected to 60 psi hydrogen pressure for 1 h in a Paar Shaker. The solution was filtered through celite and the filtrate concentrated in vacuo. The crude product ( $0.48 \mathrm{gm}, 96 \%$ ) was obtained as a colorless sticky liquid and was used as such for subsequent reactions. The alcohol thus obtained had identical physical and spectral properties to the one obtained by Grignard reaction route (Scheme 10).
(S)-Tetrahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-3,9(2H,9aH)-dione (21)


The alcohol 30 ( $1 \mathrm{gm}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and IBX ( $5 \mathrm{gm}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ were heated at reflux for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through Whatman filter paper and the residue was washed with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to provide crude product as sticky liquid. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ (Ethyl acetate/ pet ether $80 / 20$ ) to provide ketone $21(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%)$ as a colorless sticky liquid.

| Molecular formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Yield | : 82\% |
| IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$ | : 3018, 2923, 2820, 1720, 1692, 1413, 1230, 1120, $750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
|  |  |
|  |  |

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 211.9,174.7,68.2,43.8,40.7,29.8,28.9,24.4,22.3$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $168(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 190(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 64.65; H, 7.84; N, 8.38\%
Found C, 64.62; H, 7.85; N, 8.35\%

## (S)-1-Benzyl-5-(hydroxymethyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (31)



Molecular formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}$ : $3395,1672,1219,1056,746 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.35-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

$$
1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \text {, }
$$

$$
2.67-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .
$$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 176.2,136.4,128.6,127.9,127.5,61.7,58.5,44.2,30.5,20.9$.
(5S)-1-Benzyl-5-(5-(benzyloxy)-1-hydroxypentyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (25)


The mixture of alcohol 31 ( $2 \mathrm{gm}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and IBX ( $5.4 \mathrm{gm}, 19$ mmol ) in ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) was heated at reflux for 4 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered through Whatman filter paper and the residue was washed with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to provide crude aldehyde 26 as a sticky liquid. Aldehyde 26 was dissolved in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) and the mixture was cooled to $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The preformed Grignard reagent generated from 4-benzyloxybutyl bromide ( $5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and activated $\mathrm{Mg}(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise ( 30 min ) at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with vigorous stirring. Reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then quenched with sat. ammonium chloride solution ( 20 mL ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted using ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 40$ mL ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude product. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Ethyl acetate/ pet ether $80 / 20$ ) to provide alcohol $25(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 74 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.

Molecular formula

$$
: \mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{3}
$$

Yield
: 74\%
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}$
: 3401, 2929, 2835, 1666, 1250, 1145, $751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.33-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$4.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-3.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
2.48-2.35 (m, 2H), 2.08-1.93 (m, 2H), 1.93-1.78 (m, 1H),
$1.69-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 175.9,138.3,137.1,128.8,128.6,128.3,128.0,127.6,124.4$,

$$
73.0,72.9,70.1,61.6,46.0,31.6,30.2,29.3,22.6,20.4
$$

ESIMS (m/z) : $368(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 75.17; H, 7.95; N, 3.81\%
Found C, 75.20 ; H, 7.93; N, 3.80\%
(5S)-1-Benzyl-5-(5-(benzyloxy)-1-(methoxymethoxy)pentyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (32)


To the alcohol $25(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 20 mL ) was added di-isopropylethyl amine ( $0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ using ice. Methoxymethyl chloride ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 3 hours. Reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, quenched using water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic and aqueous layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted using DCM ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude product. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Ethyl acetate/ pet ether $60 / 40$ ) to provide MOM protected compound $32(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
Yield $: 90 \%$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 2925,2842,1671,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(500 ~ M H z, ~ \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 7.34-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,

$$
4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-
$$

$3.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.12(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 175.5,138.5,136.7,128.6,128.3,128.2,127.6,127.5,96.7$ $77.9,72.6,70.0,60.0,55.6,45.5,30.3,29.7,28.5,22.5,18.9$.

ESIMS (m/z) : $412(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$

Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 72.96 ; H, 8.08; N, 3.40\%
Found C, 72.99; H, 8.05; N, 3.42\%
(5S)-5-(5-Hydroxy-1-(methoxymethoxy)pentyl)pyrrolidin-2-one (24)


A solution of $t$-butanol $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to that was passed ammonia gas till the total volume became 20 mL approximately. Small pieces of sodium ( $120 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added portion wise and the resultant reaction mixture was stirred vigorously for 15-20 minutes (solution became deep blue in color). Dibenzyl compound 32 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added dropwise and the resultant reaction mixture was stirred for 45 minutes at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was quenched using sat. aqueous ammonium chloride solution ( 5 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was allowed to come to room temperature. Reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude alcohol 24 . The crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Ethyl acetate/ MeOH $98 / 02$ ) to provide alcohol $24(244 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.

Molecular formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$
Yield $: 87 \%$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)} \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }} \quad: 3433,2929,2841,1679,1240 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.36(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.37-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.06(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 178.8,96.7,82.4,61.4,57.1,55.8,32.6,30.6,30.2,23.7$, 20.1.

ESIMS (m/z) : $254(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 57.12; H, 9.15; N, 6.06\%

Found C, 57.09; H, 9.16; N, 6.03\%
(9aS)-9-(Methoxymethoxy)hexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-3(2H)-one (33)


Alcohol 24 ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.86 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in DCM ( 5 mL ) and to it was added triethylamine ( $0.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resultant reaction mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methanesulfonyl chloride ( $0.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred further for 6 hours at room temperature. Reaction mixture was quenched with water ( 5 mL ) and extracted with DCM ( 3 x 5 mL ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude mesylate. The crude mesylate in THF ( 2 mL ) was added dropwise to the suspension of sodium hydride ( $41 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting reaction mixture was further stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. Reaction mixture was quenched using sat. aqueous ammonium chloride solution ( 2 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide crude product. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Ethyl acetate) to provide alcohol 24 ( $158 \mathrm{mg}, 86 \%$ ) as a colorless sticky liquid.
Molecular formula $: \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
Yield : 86\%
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }}} \quad: 2926,2848,1687,1235 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-N M R\left(500 ~ M H z, ~ \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 4.72-4.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.63-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.20-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.28$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.16-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70 .-1.56$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 175.6,95.2,78.2,61.5,55.9,43.2,31.3,30.7,27.0,24.1$, 13.1.

ESIMS (m/z)
: $214(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated C, 61.95; H, 8.98; N, 6.57\%
Found C, 61.96; H, 8.94; N, 6.59\%
(S)-9-Hydroxyhexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-3(2H)-one (30)


MOM protected bicyclic amide $33(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and to it was added trace amount of conc. HCl . The resulting reaction mixture was refluxed for 15 minutes and then the methanol was removed under reduced pressure to provide crude alcohol. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Ethyl acetate) to provide alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}(88 \mathrm{mg}, 74 \%)$ as a colorless dense liquid.

| Molecular formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Yield | $: 96 \%$ |
| IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$ | $: 3395,3017,1693,1210,1068,750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(200 ~ M H z, ~ \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): ~ \delta 3.95-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
2.61 (bs, 1H), 2.36-2.18 (m, 4H), 2.00-1.89 (m, 2H), 1.74-
$1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 176.9,73.2,62.1,44.0,35.5,31.0,29.7,23.6,22.9$.
ESIMS (m/z) : $192(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated C, 63.88; H, 8.93; N, 8.28\%
Found C, 63.91; H, 8.91; N, 8.30\%

## Ethyl 2-((9aS)-9-hydroxy-3-oxooctahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-9-yl)propanoate (39)



To the ketone $21(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene: ether ( $1: 1,2$ mL ) was added zinc ( $226 \mathrm{mg}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount of iodine and the reaction was stirred for 15 minutes. Ethyl 2bromopropionate in benzene: ether ( $1: 1,2 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added dropwise and stirred under reflux at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Reaction was quenched using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, filtered from simple filter paper and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The collected organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified using flash chromatography (4:6 Pet ether:EtOAc) to afford the ester 39 ( $280 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ) as a yellowish solid ( $\mathrm{Mp} .94-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

Molecular formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$

| Yield | : 87\% |
| :---: | :---: |
| Melting point | : $94-95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\text {max }}$ | : 3433, 3018, 2952, 1734, 1670, 1412, 1250, $910 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3): } \delta 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.60(\mathrm{dd}, 8.3, \\ & 4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.79(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 2.67-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 1.98-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CNMR}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$, | $\begin{aligned} : & \delta 176.0,175.4,76.4,65.9,61.2,46.7,42.5,33.5,30.5,26.1 \\ & 21.1,20.3,14.1,12.1 \end{aligned}$ |
| ESIMS (m/z) | : $270(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. |
| Elemental analysis | : Calculated C, 62.43 ; H, 8.61; N, 5.20\% |
|  | Found C, 62.40; H, 8.62; N, 5.23\% |
| (S)-Ethyl 2-(3-oxohexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-9(9aH)-ylidene)acetate (40) |  |



To the suspension of $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ in mineral oil, $95 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 5 mL ) was added triethyl phosphonoacetate $(0.5 \mathrm{ml}$, 2.4 mmol ) dropwise at room temperature and stirred for one hour. Ketone 21 ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise and stirred for further 24 hours. Reaction was quenched using water ( 20 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organics were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure and crude product was purified using flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ (Pet ether/EtOAc 40/60) to afford $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester $40(500 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$ as a colorless liquid.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Molecular formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \\ \text { Yield } & : 88 \%\end{array}$
(Data given for methyl ester as a pure major $\boldsymbol{E}$ isomer: This was prepared by hydrolyzing ester $\mathbf{4 0}$ to acid. The acid was then recrystallized and esterified using diazomethane to methyl ester)

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}_{\text {max }} \quad: \mathbf{2 9 8 3}, 2935,1711,1690,1156,754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,

$$
3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-
$$

$2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 174.2,165.9,163.1,117.1,66.9,51.2,42.1,30.6,28.9,28.7$, 26.5, 25.7.

ESIMS (m/z) : $224(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(E)-Ethyl 2-((8S,9aS)-8-hydroxy-3-oxohexahydro-1H-pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepin-9(9aH)ylidene)acetate (41)


To the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester $40(400 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic acid $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added selenium dioxide ( $284 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and heated at reflux for 5 hours. The reaction mixture was filtered from celite and washed with ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The collected filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and purified using flash chromatography (EtOAc) to provide hydroxyl compound $41(192 \mathrm{mg}, 45 \%)$ as a crystalline solid.

## Molecular formula

Yield
Melting point
IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 5.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.34$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.54$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 175.7,165.6,163.9,117.5,77.2,60.4,60.2,42.8,35.3,30.3$, 25.6, 21.9, 14.2.
(3aS,10aS)-Hexahydro-1H-furo[3,2-c]pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-2,8(9H,10bH)-dione (42)


To a solution of hydroxyl compound 41 ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} .6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 3 h , the solution was quenched with $\mathrm{HCl}(1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1 \mathrm{M})$ and diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$. The phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced
pressure. Flash chromatography over $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}(\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{EtOAc}, 5 / 95)$ of the residue gave tricycliclactone 42 ( $64 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ) as a clear oil.
(10aS)-3a,4,5,6,10,10a-Hexahydro-2H-furo[3,2-c]pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-2,8(9H)-dione (44ab)


To a stirred solution of $42(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 4 mL ) was added LiHMDS ( 1 M in THF solution, $0.26 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred at the same temperature for 30 min , phenylselenenyl bromide ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h . After the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 1 M HCl , the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The extract was washed with brine and dried over anhydrous Na 2 SO 4 , filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide the crude selenide $43(82 \mathrm{mg}, 95 \%)$ which was then dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with $30 \%$ hydrogen peroxide ( 1 mL ). After the mixture was vigorously stirred for 1 h at the same temperature, the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then washed with sat. aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution followed by water. The combined organics were dried over Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to leave a residue, which was subjected to column chromatography on silica gel. Elution with $\mathrm{MeOH}-E t O A c(2: 98, ~ v / v) ~ a f f o r d e d ~ 44 a b ~(44 ~ m g, ~$ $92 \%$ ) as a white solid.
(3aR,10aS)-3a,4,5,6,10,10a-Hexahydro-2H-furo[3,2-c]pyrrolo[1,2-a]azepine-2,8(9H)dione (44a)


To the diastereomeric mixture of butenolide $44 \mathbf{a b}$ ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added triethylamine $(0.04 \mathrm{ml}$, $2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred further for 2 days. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified using flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{MeOH}: \mathrm{EtOAc} 2: 98)$ to afford enantiomerically pure $44 \mathrm{a}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%)$ as a white solid.

Molecular formula
Yield
$\left[\alpha_{b}^{25}\right.$
: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$
: 80\%
: - $227\left(\mathrm{c}, 0.4 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right), \mathrm{lit}^{5 \mathrm{~h}}-[\alpha]^{20}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}-224\left(\mathrm{c}, 0.4 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$

Melting point
: $158-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{lit}^{5 \mathrm{k}} \mathrm{mp}-157-159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\mathbf{v}_{\text {max }}$

$$
: 2928,2850,1753,1665,1454,1223,911,750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-5.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.32-$ $4.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.49(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-$ $1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}-$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 174.5,174.1,171.7,115.9,82.9,58.1,43.4,34.5,30.2$, 27.7, 25.7

ESIMS (m/z)

$$
: 208(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 230(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} .
$$

## Crystal data for $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 5}} \mathrm{NO}_{\mathbf{3}}$ compound 40(a):

Single crystals of the complex were grown by slow evaporation of the solution of ethyl acetate. Data was collected on SMART APEX-II CCD using Mo-K $\alpha$ radiation to a maximum $\theta$ range of $25.00^{\circ}$. Colourless plate like crystal of approximate size $0.34 \times 0.32 \mathrm{x}$ $0.20 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$, was used for data collection. Exposure $/$ frame $=10.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame, completeness to $\theta$ of $25.00^{\circ}$, is $99.9 \%$. C11 H15 N O3, $M=209.24$. Crystals belong to Monoclinic, space group C2/c, $a=15.271(2), b=7.2304(7), c=19.654(2) \AA, V=2090.0(4) \AA^{3}, Z=8, D_{c}=$ $1.330 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, 9773$ reflections measured, 1836 unique $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})], \mathrm{R}$ value 0.0323 , wR2 $=$ 0.0816. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL) ${ }^{\text {ref }}$ was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. Hydrogen atoms were included in the refinement as per the riding model. Data collection and refinement parameters are listed in table 1.

The conformation of the molecule was established by single crystal X-ray analysis shows C9a to have S configuration.

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for C 11 H 15 NO compound 40 a .

Empirical formula

> C11 H15 N O3

Formula weight
209.24

Temperature
Wavelength
Crystal system
Space group
Unit cell dimensions

100(2) K
$0.71073 \AA$
Monoclinic
C2/c

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=15.271(2) \AA & \alpha=90^{\circ} . \\
b=7.2304(7) \AA & \beta=105.609(5)^{\circ} .
\end{array}
$$

Volume
Z
Density (calculated)
Crystal size
Theta range for data collection
Reflections collected
Independent reflections
Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$
Refinement method
Goodness-of-fit on F2
Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ]
R indices (all data)

$$
\mathrm{c}=19.654(2) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ} .
$$

$$
2090.0(4) \AA^{3}
$$

$$
8
$$

$1.330 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$
$0.34 \times 0.32 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$
2.77 to $25.00^{\circ}$.

9773
$1836[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0303]$
99.9 \%

Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$
1.065
$\mathrm{R} 1=0.0323, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0816$
$R 1=0.0360, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0844$

Table 2. Atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{4}\right)$ and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$
for kh645_0m. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | y | Z | U(eq) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 8906(1) | 7591(1) | 5288(1) | 25(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 8615(1) | 5455(1) | 1561(1) | 27(1) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 8883(1) | 2399(1) | 1605(1) | 23(1) |
| C(1) | 7968(1) | 3950(2) | 4055(1) | 24(1) |
| C(2) | 8058(1) | 4780(2) | 4786(1) | 22(1) |
| C(3) | 8725(1) | 6346(2) | 4836(1) | 20(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 9123(1) | 6201(1) | 4309(1) | 19(1) |
| C(5) | 9786(1) | 7540(2) | 4192(1) | 23(1) |
| C(6) | 9330(1) | 9093(2) | 3699(1) | 26(1) |
| C(7) | 8899(1) | 8488(2) | 2938(1) | 26(1) |
| C(8) | 8220(1) | 6880(2) | 2857(1) | 21(1) |
| C(9) | 8679(1) | 5057(2) | 3086(1) | 18(1) |
| C(9A) | 8838(1) | 4572(2) | 3858(1) | 20(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 8942(1) | 3848(2) | 2668(1) | 18(1) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 8792(1) | 4031(2) | 1897(1) | 19(1) |

Table 3. Bond lengths $\left[\AA \AA\right.$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for kh645_0m.

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.2431(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.2139(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.3352(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | 0.8400 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.5290(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.5468(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.5087(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.3374(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.4634(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.4677(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.5226(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.5273(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.5373(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.5039(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9900 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.3331(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.5113(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 1.0000 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.4772(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ |  |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 104.76(10) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 104.11(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.9 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | 123.44(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 127.28(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 109.27(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 123.20(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 114.08(10) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 122.72(10) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 111.77(11) |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.3 |
| $\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(4 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 114.47(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 114.77(11) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |


| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $112.68(10)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $125.64(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $118.20(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $116.16(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $111.21(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $102.54(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $113.65(10)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 109.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 109.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(11)$ | 109.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $125.80(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 117.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(9)$ | 117.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $123.33(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $125.76(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $110.91(10)$ |

Table 6. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for kh645_0m.

| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $21.18(13)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $168.28(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-12.47(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-2.73(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $177.99(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $177.09(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-2.19(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-90.42(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $89.78(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-67.60(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $54.06(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-72.79(14)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-92.21(15)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $88.54(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $137.42(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-42.76(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $15.61(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-164.57(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $140.93(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-39.76(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-103.97(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $75.34(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-22.03(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-142.16(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-3.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $176.06(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $18.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $-161.51(12)$ |

Crystal Data for $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 9}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}}$ compound 41: Single crystals of the compound were grown by slow evaporation of the solution in ethyl acetate. Colorless plate crystal of approximate size $0.46 \times 0.44 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}$, was used for data collection on Bruker SMART APEX CCD diffractometer using $\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. exposure $/$ frame $=5.0 \mathrm{sec} /$ frame, $\theta$ range $=2.30$ to $24.99^{\circ}$, completeness to $\theta$ of $24.99^{\circ}$ is $100.0 \%$. C13 H19 N O4, $M=253.29$. Crystals belong to Orthorhombic, space group Pna2 $2_{1}, \mathrm{a}=8.696(1), b=17.732(3), c=8.557(1) \AA, V$ $=1319.4(3) \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=1.275 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}, T=90(2) \mathrm{K}, 6328$ reflections measured, 2284 unique $[\mathrm{I}>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I})]$, R value 0.0442 , wR2 $=0.0940$. SHELX-97 (ShelxTL) ${ }^{\text {ref }}$ was used for structure solution and full matrix least squares refinement on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$. Data collection and refinement parameters are listed in table 2.

The conformation of the molecule was established by single crystal X-ray analysis shows that C 8 and C 9 a to have S configuration.

Table 2. Crystal data and structure refinement for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ compound 41.

Empirical formula Formula weight
$\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{O}_{4}$
253.29

| Temperature | 90(2) K |
| :---: | :---: |
| Crystal system | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | Pna2(1) |
| Unit cell dimensions | $a=8.696(1) \AA \quad \alpha=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{b}=17.732(3) \AA \quad \beta=90^{\circ}$. |
|  | $\mathrm{c}=8.557(1) \AA \quad \gamma=90^{\circ}$. |
| Volume | 1319.4(3) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z | 4 |
| Density (calculated) | $1.275 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$ |
| Crystal size | $0.46 \times 0.44 \times 0.05 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.30 to $24.99^{\circ}$. |
| Reflections collected | 6328 |
| Independent reflections | $2284[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0291]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=24.99^{\circ}$ | 100.0 \% |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Goodness-of-fit on F2 | 1.039 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2 \operatorname{sigma}(\mathrm{I})$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0442, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.0940$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0629, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1044$ |

Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\times 10^{4}$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2} \times 10^{3}\right)$
for $\mathrm{KH} 2461 . \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized $\mathrm{U}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $8757(2)$ | $5964(1)$ | $-3640(2)$ | $72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $8783(2)$ | $4939(1)$ | $588(3)$ | $72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $4236(2)$ | $6739(2)$ | $1803(3)$ | $88(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $4647(2)$ | $6712(1)$ | $4351(2)$ | $86(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $5761(3)$ | $5577(2)$ | $-882(4)$ | $73(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $6337(3)$ | $5538(2)$ | $-2538(3)$ | $66(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $7840(3)$ | $5941(2)$ | $-2533(3)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $8030(2)$ | $6286(1)$ | $-1174(2)$ | $53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $9360(3)$ | $6747(2)$ | $-801(4)$ | $70(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $10606(3)$ | $6304(2)$ | $33(4)$ | $78(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $10175(3)$ | $6034(2)$ | $1649(4)$ | $69(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $8755(3)$ | $5528(2)$ | $1735(3)$ | $57(1)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $7261(3)$ | $5955(1)$ | $1538(3)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $6754(3)$ | $6184(2)$ | $-76(3)$ | $51(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $6460(3)$ | $6120(2)$ | $2823(3)$ | $53(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $5024(3)$ | $6554(2)$ | $2891(3)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $3244(5)$ | $7144(3)$ | $4621(5)$ | $119(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $2644(4)$ | $6969(2)$ | $6135(6)$ | $116(2)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths $[\AA]$ and angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 41.

| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.239(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.434(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 0.8200 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.201(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.321(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.458(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.505(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.542(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.490(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $1.324(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.452(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.465(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.517(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.510(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.529(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.514(3)$ |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 0.9800 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.335(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $1.505(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.468(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.431(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9600 |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2)$ | 109.5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $117.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $105.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{H}(1 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $105.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{H}(2 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $125.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $125.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $109.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $123.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $114.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $122.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $112.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |


| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $114.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $115.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{H}(7 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $107.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $112.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $113.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8)$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $123.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $117.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $119.2(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $113.6(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $103.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $112.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $126.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 116.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10)$ | 116.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $122.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $126.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $111.1(2)$ |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $109.6(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.8 |
| $\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{H}(12 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |

Table 6. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for 41.

| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $14.6(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $172.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-9.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-178.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $178.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $-93.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $88.0(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-66.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $58.5(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $47.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-74.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $134.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $-100.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $-46.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | $78.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $131.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-50.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $9.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(4)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-172.5(2)$ |
|  |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $152.0(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-27.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $-91.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $89.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{N}(4)$ | $-14.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $-137.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $-1.8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $177.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $-1.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $179.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $11.0(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-170.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ |  |

### 3.2.4 NMR Spectra































DEPT spectrum of compound 33 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ )


| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $39\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chac |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 䔒 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




DEPT spectrum of compound 40(a) $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


H-NMR spectrum of compound 44(a) (CDCl3, 400 MHz)
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Chapter 3: Synthetic studies towards (-)-stemoamide and methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective mono and diPMB protection of diols

Section 3: Development of methodology for PMB protection of alcohols, selective Mono and di-PMB protection of diols

### 3.3.1. Introduction:

Protection and deprotection chemistry is one of the inherent parts of the organic synthesis of complex and multifunctional organic molecules. The main role of protecting groups to play is the temporary masking of reactive sites when the chemical reaction is being carreid out at the other reactive sites. The ideal protective group must fulfill a number of requirements. It must react at selective sites and give good yields for protection. The protective group must be stable and unreactive towards the projected reaction conditions. As like good yields for protection the protecting group must be deprotected in good yields using easily available non toxic reagents wich are in turn neutral towards deprotected functional groups and the byproducts must not react with the deprotected functional groups. The protecting groups should not form a chiral centre like tetrahydropyarn after protection which is problematic with substrates having chiral centre as it results in to diastereomeric mixture formation after protection. The protecting groups should not have the additonal functional groups which can lead to the additonal complexities during multistep synthesis. Although no ideal protecting group exists, but the continous efforts by the organic chemists to improve and develop new protecting groups towards ideality continues.

Organic chemistry has made profound advancement in the methods of protectiondeprotection strategy, ${ }^{1}$ in case of handling of more than one functional groups. The main role of protecting groups is to selectively mask one functional group in order to perform transformation on the other non-compatible groups. ${ }^{2}$
Hydroxy group is one of the most common functional groups. Over the years, number of protecting groups for hydroxy moiety has been developed. ${ }^{3}$ The most important one of them is 4-methoxybenzyl (PMB) group. ${ }^{4}$ The PMB group has an additional advantage over the benzyl group due to its ease of deprotection under mild and neutral conditions in the presence of double bonds, benzyl ethers, carboxybenzyl (CBZ) groups, methoxy methyl (MOM), methoxyethoxymethyl ether (MEM), tetrahydropyranyl (THP) and tert-butyldimethylsilyl (TBDMS) ethers etc. ${ }^{5}$

### 3.3.2 PMB protection of alcohols: A review

A short descriptive presentation of the work reported by different groups is being presented to give a better and comparative view of the different methods for PMB protection employed so far.

Marco et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 2459-2462)
The most employed method for PMB protection of alcohols involves the fresh preparation of the $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride by treatment of equimolar quantities of $p$ anisyl alcohol and con. hydrochloric acid and then treatment with alcohol in presence of strong bases like NaH , $n$-BuLi etc. Marco et al. used $p$-methoxybenzyl chloride for PMB protection using NaH as a base (Scheme 1). ${ }^{6}$


## Scheme 1

Takaku et al. (Chem. Lett. 1982, 189-192)
Takaku et al. used the p-methoxybenzyl bromide along with NaH as a base for the selective PMB protection of alcohols (Scheme 2). ${ }^{7}$


Scheme 2
Nakajima et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4139-4142)
Nakajima et al. have reported a convenient acid catalyzed method for the PMB protection of alcohols using corresponding PMB-trichloroacetimidate. ${ }^{8}$ Various alcohols were protected as their PMB ethers by treatment with PMBtrichloroacetimidate and $0.3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of trifluoromethane sulfonic acid in good yields (Scheme 3).


## Scheme 3

Takeo et al. (Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 8135-8142)
Takeo et al. developed method for PMB protection of alcohols by generation of $p$ methoxybenzyl cation through NIS-mediated activation of p-methoxybenzyl 4pentenyl ether (Scheme 4). Treatment of the various alcohols with p-methoxybenzyl 4-
pentenyl ether and NIS in acetonitrile gave the PMB protected alcohols with moderate yields. ${ }^{9}$


Scheme 4

Nakajima et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 5565-5568)
Nakajima et al. in their second approach used PMB-perfluoroacetimidate for PMB protection of alcohols under mild acidic conditions in good yields. The motif behind using PMB-perfluoroacetamidates for protection is due to its better stability compared with the PMB-trichloroacetimidates. The PMB-perfluoroacetimidate was prepared in two steps starting from perfluoroamide and $p$-anisyl alcohol and employed for the PMB protection of alcohols in presence of PPTS catalyst in DCM in good yields (Scheme 5). ${ }^{10}$



Scheme 5

Hanessian et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 671-674)
Hanessian et al. reported the use of the 4-methoxybenzyl-2-pyridylthio carbonate (PMB-TOPCAT) as a new reagent to convert alcohols into the corresponding PMB ethers in solution and on solid phase (Scheme 6). The primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols were protected under neutral conditions using silver triflate catalyst in DCM in good yields. ${ }^{11}$


## Scheme 6

Sharma et al. (J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 8943-8944)
Sharma et al. developed a method for PMB protection of alcohols using p-anisyl alcohol and $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}$ as a catalyst in good yields. The optimized reaction conditions for the PMB protection were the treatment of alcohol with 2 eq. of $p$-anisyl alcohol and $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ in DCM (Scheme 7). ${ }^{12}$ The main merit of this method was the compatibility with both acid and base labile groups.


## Scheme 7

Basu et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 2267-2269)
Basu et al. developed a method for PMB protection of alcohols using the trichloroacetimidate of PMB alcohol and lanthanum triflate, a neutral catalyst (Scheme 8). The main advantage of this method was the PMB protection of alcohols in presence of acid sensitive groups. ${ }^{13}$


## Scheme 8

Sharma et al. (Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2004, 36, 581-586)
Sharma et al. developed a novel method for PMB protection of alcohols by using panisyl alcohol and zeolite as a catalyst (Scheme 9). Treatment of various alcohols with $p$-anisyl alcohol and zeolite catalyst furnished PMB protected alcohols in good yields. ${ }^{14}$


## Scheme 9

Dudley et al. (Chem. Commun. 2007, 1436-1437)
Dudley et al. developed a method for PMB protection of alcohols under neutral


Scheme 10
conditions (Scheme 10). 2-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy)-4-methylquinoline reacts with methyl triflate in the presence of alcohols to generate a neutral organic salt that transfers the p-methoxybenzyl (PMB) protecting group onto alcohols in high yield and under mild conditions. ${ }^{15}$

Luzzio et al. (J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 5621-5624)
Luzzio et al. developed a method for protection of substituted phenols using pmethoxybenzyl chloride under ultrasound conditions (Scheme 11). This method involves the treatment of various phenols with $\mathrm{PMB}-\mathrm{Cl}$ in presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in DMF under ultrasound conditions to furnish the PMB-protected phenols in good yields. ${ }^{16}$


Scheme 11

Lear et al. (Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 5267-5269)
Lear et al. achieved PMB protection of the alcohols by using the silver triflate (AgOTf) mediated activation of 5-( $p$-ethoxybenzylthio)-1-phenyl-1 $H$-tetrazole (PMBST) in the presence of 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (DTBMP) (Scheme 12). ${ }^{17}$


Scheme 12

### 3.3.3 Results and discussion:

Since hydroxy compounds are the important starting materials, easy and selective protection of the hydroxy functionality as its PMB group is highly desirable. The most commonly employed methods for PMB protection of alcohols involve usage of $p$ methoxybenzyl chloride ( PMBCl ) or $p$-methoxybenzyl bromide ( PMBBr ) in the presence of strong base like NaH . The main problem associated with this method is handling and storage of PMBCl and PMBBr which are very prone to decomposition. Additionally, this protocol requires anhydrous conditions and use of hazardous bases like NaH , $n$-BuLi etc. The other $p$-methoxybenzylation methods involve use of methoxybenzyl iodides, ${ }^{18 a}$ trichloroacetamidates, ${ }^{13}$ perfluoroacetamidates ${ }^{10}$ and $p$ methoxybenzyl azide ${ }^{17 b}$ depending upon degree of mildness required. Amongst the two available methods for PMB protection using anisyl alcohols, one involves the use of expensive $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{OTf})_{3}{ }^{12}$ catalyst and the other requires zeolite, which requires extended time of action. ${ }^{14}$

To avoid drawbacks in the synthesis and handling of PMBCl or PMBBr , it is highly desirable to look for an alternate protocol for PMB protection. Current protocol described herein totally avoids these issues by using stable, easy to handle para-anisyl alcohol ( PMBOH ), directly for protection. It was surmised that the direct use of PMBOH would be highly desirable as it is readily available, easy to synthesize, safe to store and handle. It was premised that under acidic conditions PMBOH would easily form a good electrophile which could be trapped by nucleophile. This section details our efforts in this regard.

A highly practical and very simple method for PMB protection has been developed (Scheme 13). Alcohols can be protected directly using anisyl alcohol in presence of acidic resin viz. Amberlyst-15. Just heating of alcohol and anisyl alcohol in a low boiling solvent in the presence of heterogeneous acid catalyst provides excellent yield of the corresponding protected alcohol. A noteworthy feature of this protocol is the high regioselectivity observed in mono-PMB protection of diols. This method is logically based on formation of benzylic cation by protonation of anisyl alcohol (Scheme
a)
1.1 eq. Anisyl
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n=1,2,3, \ldots . .10 \quad \text { DCM }
$$

2.2 eq. Anisyl
c)

$n=1,2,3, \ldots . .10$
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Scheme 13. a) PMB protection of alcohols, b) Selective mono-PMB protection of diols and c) DiPMB protection of diols.

The resultant benzylic cation is additionally stabilized by $p$-OMe group by mesomeric donation. This cation can be trapped by alcohol leading to PMB protection.


Scheme 14. Proposed mechanism for PMB protection.
The different acid catalysts were screened for the PMB protection of cis-2-butene-$1,4-$ diol in a constant time period of 4 hours in DCM at room temperature. The PMB protection of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol was carried out using Bronsted acids like HCl ,

Table 1. Optimisation for mono-PMB protection of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol using different acid catalysts.

| $\mathrm{HO}$ | $-\mathrm{OH} \xrightarrow[\begin{array}{c} \text { 1.1 eq. anisyl } \\ \text { alcohol, DCM, } \\ \text { room temp. } \end{array}]{\substack{\text { acid catalyst, } \\ \text { (Table-1) }}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Acid (catalytic) | Time (h) | Yield ${ }^{[b]}$ \% |
| 1 | Conc. HCl | 4 | 79 |
| 2 | Conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | 4 | $55^{[\mathrm{cc]}}$ |
| 3 | $\mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ | 4 | $51^{[\mathrm{cc}]}$ |
| 4 | PTSA | 4 | 80 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ | 4 | 70 |
| 6 | Amberlyst-15 | 4 | 85 |

[a] All reactions were carried out in DCM at room temperature using $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{v}$ or $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ acid catalyst . [b] Isolated yields. Unreacted alcohol was fully recovered. [c] Formation of 4-methoxy benzyl ether was observed.
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$ and PTSA in DCM as the solvent which gave good to excellent yields of PMB protected alcohol (Table 1).

Since the employed conditions were very harsh, other milder conditions involving Lewis acid viz. $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ and acidic resin viz. Amberlyst-15 were explored. It was
observed that the acidic resin Amberlyst-15 (entry 6) proved to be an excellent choice of all the acids tried. However, further reduction of time period from 4 hours to 3 hours for completion of the reaction was observed under reflux conditions. The optimized conditions for selective PMB protection of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol involved the use of 1.1 eq. of anisyl alcohol and catalytic amounts of resin ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) under reflux conditions in DCM as a solvent. One of the main advantages of this method is simplicity of the work-up which involves just filtration of reaction mixture to provide products with fairly good purity.

In this study, cis-2-butene-1,4-diol was reacted with $p$-anisyl alcohol in the presence of Amberlyst-15 in toluene at room temperature for 4.5 hours when a mono-PMB-

Table 2. PMB protection of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol in the presence of Amberlyst-15 under various conditions

| Entry ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Solvent |  | Yield (\%) ${ }^{[6]}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Toluene | 4.5 | 63 |
| 2 | DCM | 4 | 85 |
| 3 | THF | 5 | 78 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 6 | 67 |
| 5 | DMF | 14 | 52 |
| 6 | Acetone | 8 | 56 |

[a] All reactions were carried out using alcohol (1 eq), anisyl alcohol (1.1 eq) and $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ of Amberlyst-15 catalyst at room temperature. [b] Isolated yields. Unreacted alcohol was fully recovered -protected product was obtained in a $63 \%$ yield (Table 2). In order to study the role of solvent on the outcome of this reaction the protection of alcohols in solvents of varying polarity was performed, whose results are summarized in table 2 .

Reaction in dichloromethane (DCM) was completed in 4 hours with $85 \%$ yield and proved to be the best solvent for protection. Reaction in tetrahydrofuran (THF) took 5
hours for the completion with $78 \%$ yield. However use of the polar solvents like acetonitrile (ACN), dimethyl formamide (DMF) and acetone took longer times for the completion of reaction and were found to be less effective.

A wide variety of alcohols were subjected to PMB protection using anisyl alcohol (1.1 eq.), resin as a catalyst ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) and DCM as a solvent under reflux conditions to furnish the corresponding PMB protected alcohols in excellent yields (Table 3). The primary and secondary alcohols gave excellent yield of protection (entry 1-5) in a short period of time whereas tert-alcohol (entry 6) gave moderate yield. However, acetic acid (entry 7) did not show any product formation under standard protection conditions. The (Z)-4-hydroxybut-2-en-1-yl acetate, containing base sensitive acetate group, gave excellent yield of the corresponding ether (entry 8). In case of mono-TBS protected cis-2-butene-1,4-diol as a starting material, PMB protection was observed, with simultaneous deprotection of TBS group (entry 10).

Table 3. PMB protection of alcohols

[a] Alcohol as a starting material (1 eq), anisyl alcohol (1.1 eq) and Amberlyst-15 10\% w/w in DCM under reflux conditions.

In order to establish the reusability and recyclability of the resin, the used resin in reaction was recovered and reused. It was found that the resin can be used up to 3 cycles without any appreciable loss in activity (Table 4).

Table 4. Recycling of the catalyst

[a] Reactions were carried out in refluxing DCM. Amberlyst-15 was recovered by filtration from first reaction and used for $2^{\text {nd }}$ run, again recovered and used for $3^{\text {rd }}$ run.

Having established the generality and efficiency of this catalytic protocol for protection of alcohols, the attention was turned to selective protection of diols.

Table 5. Selective mono-PMB protection of diols.

| Entry ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Diol | Product | Time <br> (h) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Yield }^{[b]} \\ \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Ref. No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | 3 | 85 | 20 |
| 2 |  |  | 3.5 | 78 | 22 |
| 3 | HO~OH | HO~OPMB | 4 | 75 | 23 |
| 4 |  |  | 4.5 | 83 | 24 |
| 5 | COCOCO | OOPMB | 4 | 79 | 22 |
| 6 | ${ }^{\mathrm{HO}} \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OH}$ | ${ }^{\mathrm{HO}} y=\angle \mathrm{OPMB}$ | 4 | 63 | - |
| 7 |  |  | 2.5 | 70 | 25 |
| 8 |  |  | 3.5 | 65 | 26 |

[^1]Gratifyingly, the selective mono-PMB protection of diols was also achieved in good yields (Table 5). A variety of diols on treatment with 1.1 eq. anisyl alcohol in presence of resin $(10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w})$ in DCM as a solvent under reflux conditions provided excellent yields of mono-PMB protected diols.

The saturated diols (Table 5, entry 2-5) furnished mono protected PMB ether in good yields. The diol with tertiary hydroxyl groups (entry 6) was also protected successfully in fairly good yield. The di-protected compound was observed in minor amount (18\%) in case of but-2-yne-1,4-diol (entry 8).

Having established conditions for selective protection of diols, the bis-protection of diols was undertaken. The di-PMB protection of diols was carried out in good yields (Table 6) wherein the same method of protection as in mono-PMB protection was employed except, 2.2 equivalents of anisyl alcohol was used.

Table 6. Di-PMB protection of diols

| Entry ${ }^{\text {[a] }}$ | Diol | Product | Time (h) | Yield | Ref. No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , | $\mathrm{HO-} \bigvee_{\mathrm{OH}}$ |  | 8 | 75 | 27 |
| $2^{[b]}$ | ( | PMBO | 9.5 | 70 | - |
| 3 |  |  | 7 | 92 | - |

[a] The corresponding diol was used as a starting material. All reactions were carried out using DCM as a solvent under reflux condtion. [b] 3 eq. of anisyl alcohol used.

The cis-2-butene-1,4-diol on treatment with anisyl alcohol (2.2 eq.) in DCM using catalytic amount of resin ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ) provided $75 \%$ of di-PMB protected compound (entry 1). Similarly, butane diol and but-2-yne-1,4-diol gave very good yields (entry 2 \& 3) of corresponding di-protected compounds.

In conclusion, a very simple, mild, useful and efficient method for PMB protection of alcohols under heterogeneous conditions in excellent yields has been developed. The ease of performing the reactions under heterogeneous conditions makes the work-up operationally extremely simple involving mere filtration to get the corresponding ether.

The present method should find widespread usage amongst organic chemists. The unprecedented method for mono-PMB protection of diols in very high yields and simplicity has been developed. Di-PMB protection of diols has been carried out in good yields.

### 3.3.4 Experimental:

## General procedure for PMB protection of alcohols: 1-(Ethoxymethyl)-4-

 methoxybenzene (Table 3, entry 2):

A mixture of ethanol ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $p$-anisyl alcohol ( $660 \mathrm{mg}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) of Amberlyst- 15 resin in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 10 mL ) was refluxed. After 2 h , the crude reaction mixture was filtered through a Whatman filter paper and the residue washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried (over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo and purified using flash chromatography over silica gel (pet ether : ethyl acetate 95:5) to provide 690 mg ( $96 \%$ ) of pure product as a colorless liquid. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{+} \mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 158.9,130.4,128.9,113.5,72.1$, 65.1, 54.8, 15.0. ESIMS (m/z): $166(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 189(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

General procedure for selective mono PMB protection of diols: (Z)-4-((4-Methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-en-1-ol (Table 5, entry 1):


A mixture of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), p-anisyl alcohol ( 345 mg , 2.5 mmol ) and catalytic amount ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 20 \mathrm{mg}$ ) of Amberlyst- 15 resin in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed. After 3 h , the crude reaction mixture was filtered through a Whatman filter paper and the residue washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried (over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo and purified using flash chromatography over silica gel (pet ether : ethyl acetate $70: 30$ ) to provide 401 mg ( 85 $\%$ ) of pure product as a colorless dense liquid. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right) \delta$ $7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.2,132.4,129.8,129.4,128.0,113.8,72.0,65.2$, 58.4, 55.1. ESIMS (m/z): $231(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

General procedure for di-PMB protection of diols: (Z)-1,4-Bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-ene (Table 6, entry 1):


A mixture of cis-2-butene-1,4-diol ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 5.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), p-anisyl alcohol $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}$, $12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and catalytic amount ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}, 50 \mathrm{mg}$ ) of Amberlyst-15 resin in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed. After 8 h , the crude reaction mixture was filtered through a Whatman filter paper and the residue washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, dried (over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), filtered and concentrated in vacuo and purified using flash chromatography over silica gel (pet ether : ethyl acetate $90: 10$ ) to provide $1.4 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$ of pure product as a colorless dense liquid. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta$ $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.82-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.07-4.05 (m, 4H), $3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 159.1,130.1$, 129.4, 129.2, 113.6, 71.7, 65.3, 54.6. ESIMS (m/z): $351(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}){ }^{+}$

## Data for 1-methoxy-4-(methoxymethyl)benzene.


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 159.1,130.1,129.2,113.6,74.2,57.6,55.0$.
ESIMS (m/z): $153(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 175(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})+$.
Data for 1-(butoxymethyl)-4-methoxybenzene.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.48(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.35$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 158.9,130.6,128.9,113.5,72.3,69.7,54.9$, 31.8, 19.3, 13.9.

ESIMS (m/z): 217(M+Na) ${ }^{+}$.

Data for 1-methoxy-4-((prop-2-yn-1-yloxy)methyl)benzene.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.3,129.6,129.1,113.7,79.7,74.5,70.8$, 56.4, 54.9 .

ESIMS (m/z): $199(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Data for 1-isopropoxy-4-methoxybenzene

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 158.9,131.1,129.6,128.9,113.6,70.4,69.5$, 55.0, 22.1.

ESIMS (m/z): $203(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Data for 1-(tert-butoxy)-4-methoxybenzene

${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 158.8,129.7,128.8,113.7,73.2,63.7,55.1$, 27.8.

ESIMS (m/z): 217(M+Na) ${ }^{+}$.
Data for (Z)-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-en-1-yl acetate.

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.86-5.61(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 170.3,159.1,130.8,130.1,129.6,126.3,113.6$,
71.9, 65.1, 60.1, 54.9, 20.7.

ESIMS (m/z): $273(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
HR-MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right)$ : 273.1103; Found: 273.10973

## Dat for 1-((benzyloxy)methyl)-4-methoxybenzene


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.34-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 159.1,138.3,130.2,129.6,129.3,128.3,127.7$, 127.5, 113.7, 71.7, 71.6, 55.1.

ESIMS (m/z): 251(M+Na) ${ }^{+}$.
Data for 2-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)ethanol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 4.48 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.57-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 159.1,129.9,129.3,113.6,72.7,71.1,61.5$, 55.0.

ESIMS (m/z): $205(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 221(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Data for 3-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)propan-1-ol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, CDCl3+CCl ${ }_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (bs, 1H), 1.84 (p, J=5.7 Hz, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l} 3+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.1,130.0,129.2,113.7,72.7,68.5,61.2$, 55.1, 32.0.

ESIMS (m/z): $219(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Data for 4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butan-1-ol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.2,130.1,129.4,113.8,72.8,70.0,62.6$, 55.1, 30.3, 26.9.

ESIMS (m/z): $233(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 249(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Data for 5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)pentan-1-ol
OPOPMB
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-$ 1.43 (m, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.1,130.5,129.2,113.7,72.5,69.9,62.4$, 55.1, 32.4, 29.4, 22.4.

ESIMS (m/z): $225(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 247(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Data for 5-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-2,5-dimethylhex-3-yn-2-ol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.9,131.1,129.8,129.2,128.5,113.7,88.9,84.1$, 70.3, 66.0, 65.0, 55.2, 31.5, 28.9.

ESIMS (m/z): $285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
HR-MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right)$ : 285.1467. Found: 285.1461

## Data for 12-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)dodecan-1-ol


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.62(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$ $1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.20$ (bs, 16H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.0,130.7,129.1,113.6,72.4,70.1,62.8$, 55.1, 32.7, 29.7, 29.6, 29.4, 26.2, 25.7.

ESIMS (m/z): $345(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## Data for but-2-yne-1,4-diol.


${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.16(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{bs}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 159.2,129.7,129.0,113.7,85.0,81.1,71.1,56.8$, 55.0, 50.5 .

ESIMS (m/z): $229(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 245(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.

## Data for 1,4-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)butane

## PMBO

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s} .6 \mathrm{H}), 3.51-3.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 159.0,130.6,129.0,113.6,72.3,69.6,54.9$, 26.4.

ESIMS (m/z): $353(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
HR-MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right)$ : 353.1729 . Found: 353.1723
Data for di-PMB protection of but-2-yne-1,4-diol

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}$ ): $\delta 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}\right): \delta 159.4,129.7,129.4,113.8,82.5,71.2,57.0$, 55.2.

ESIMS (m/z): 349 (M+Na) ${ }^{+}$.
HR-MS calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{Na}\right)$ : 349.1416. Found: 349.1410.
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[^0]:    Molecular formula

    $$
    : \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Si}
    $$

[^1]:    [a] Corresponding diol as a starting material ( 1 eq ), anisyl alcohol (1.1 eq) and Amberlyst-15 (10\% $\mathrm{w} / \mathrm{W}$ ) in DCM under reflux conditions. [b] Isolated yields.

