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## GENERAL REMARKS

1. All melting points (recorded on a Thermonik Campbell melting point apparatus) are uncorrected and are recorded on the Celsius scale.
2. IR spectra were recorded as nujol mull or chloroform, on a Perkin-Elmer Infrared Spectrometer Model 599-B, Model 1600 FT-IR and ATI Mattson, UK, ModelRS-1 FT-IR, using sodium chloride optics. IR bands are expressed in frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$.
3. Proton NMR spectra were recorded using tetramethylsilane as internal reference on Bruker AC-200, Bruker MSL-300, Bruker DRX-500 and Bruker AMX-500. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million ( $\delta$, ppm). Abbreviations, viz, $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublet, brs $=$ broad singlet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad peak, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplet and m $=$ multiplet have been used to describe spectral data. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as the solvent unless otherwise mentioned.
4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker MSL-300 and Bruker AC-200 instrument operating at 75.2 MHz and 50.3 MHz respectively.
5. Elemental analyses ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$ ) were obtained on a Carlo-Erba 1100 automatic analyzer by Dr. S. Y. Kulkarni and his group at NCL.
6. Optical rotation was measured on a JASCO-181 digital polarimeter using sodium line ( $5893 \AA$ ). Concentration is expressed in gm $/ 100 \mathrm{~mL}$.
7. The progress of the reaction was monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography plates precoated with silica gel $60 \quad \mathrm{~F}_{254} \quad$ (Merck). Purification of the products was carried out by flash column chromatography using silica gel obtained from Merck (230-400 mesh, 9385 grade).
8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR \& ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the compounds are attached at the end of corresponding chapter.
9. Pet. ether refers to the petroleum fraction boiling between $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
10. EI Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan Mat-1020 Spectrometer with a direct inlet system.

|  | ABBREVIATIONS |
| :---: | :---: |
| AIBN | 2,2'-Azobisisobutyronitrile [ $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{NC}(\mathrm{CN}) \mathrm{Me}_{2}\right]$ |
| NBS | $N$-Bromosuccinmide |
| NCS | $N$-Chlorosuccinimide |
| COSY | 2D-Correlation spectroscopy |
| Cy | Cyclohexyl |
| $p$ | Para |
| de | Diastereomeric excess |
| DMF | Dimethylformamide |
| HETCOSY | Heteronuclear 2D-correlation spectroscopy |
| h | Hour |
| M.P. | Melting point |
| M. F. | Molecular formula |
| $m$ | Meta |
| $o$ | Ortho |
| PMP | p-methoxy phenyl |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| Pr | Propyl |
| r.t | Room temperature |
| ROESY | Rotating frame overhauser enhancement spectroscopy |
| $t$-Bu | Tertiary butyl |
| TBAF | Tetrabutylammonium fluoride |
| $n-\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | Tributyl tin hydride |
| NOESY | Two dimensional nuclear overhauser spectroscopy |

## Synopsis of the thesis

## Chapter I Diastereoselective synthesis of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-lactams using chiral acids derived from (+)-3-carene

## Section A Synthesis of 3hydroxy protected $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-lactams : effect of steric bulk on diastereoselectivity

In order to study the effect of the steric disposition on the stereoselectivity in the $\beta$-lactam ring construction via Staudinger reaction, sterically demanding acids as ketene precursors were selected. These acids were prepared from optically pure (+)-3carene.

The acids (5) were obtained by opening of carane epoxide with allyl alcohol, protection of secondary hydroxyl group with different protecting groups followed by oxidation of allyl group. The cycloaddition of various imines (6) with acid (5) in presence triethyl amine and phenyldichlorophosphate, an acid activator gave a diastereomeric mixture ( $60: 40$ ) of cis- $\beta$-lactams ( 7 \& 8) in good yields (Scheme 1). These diastereomers were separated either by column chromatography or crystallisation. The absolute stereochemistry of these $\beta$-lactams was established from single crystal X-ray analysis.

## Scheme-1



## Section B Synthesis and systematic study in $\beta$-lactam ring construction using ketenes derived from halo carane derivative

The halo substituted acid chloride $\mathbf{1 0}$ was synthesised from (+)-3-carene (1) $\mathfrak{w}$ depicted in Scheme-2. The reaction of acid chloride 10 with imines 6 under Stuadinger reaction condition gave substituted $\beta$-lactams in good to excellent yields with modest diastereoselectivity. In most of the cases diastereomers (11\& 12) were separated ather by column or crystallisation. The absolute stereochemistry was established from single crystal X-ray analysis of one of the diastereomer.

Scheme - 2


## Chapter II Practical synthesis of optically pure 3-hydroxy $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-lactams by zinc induced removal of chiral auxiliary.

The removal of the chiral auxiliary of $\beta$-lactams $11 \& 12$ was effected under mild conditions using zinc/acetic acid to get optically pure 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams ( $\mathbf{1 3}$ or 14) in almost quantitative yields (scheme 3 ). In this process the ( + )-3-carene, used as chiral auxiliary was recovered in almost quantitative yield. One of compounds, 3-hydroxy-4-phenyl $\beta$-lactam can serve as a key intermediate for the taxol side chain.

## Scheme - 3



## Chapter III Diastereoselective synthesis of tetracyclic $\beta$-lactams using radical cyclisation

The halo series of $\beta$-lactams ( $\mathbf{1 1} \& \mathbf{1 2 )}$ with 4-styryl or 4-methacrolyl substitutents were prepared in good yields with moderate diastereoselectivity. The diastereomers were separated by column chromatography. These $\beta$-lactams (11 \& 12) on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ underwent 6 -exo trig radical cyclisation with complete diastereoselctivity to furnish novel tetracyclic azetidinones (16 or 17) in high yields (scheme 4). The absolute stereochemistry was established from the single crystal Xray analysis of one of the compounds.

$$
\text { Scheme - } 4
$$



## Chapter IV Heterocyclic ring formation using radicals derived from aromatic system

The reactivity and selectivity of the radicals derived from the aromatic substrates has also been studied in radical cyclization of appropriately substituted $\beta$ lactams. The reactivity of styryl double bond as radical acceptor in C-C bond formation was appreciably high (scheme 5). Similarly, C-3 imino and oxime ether variants of azetidinones were prepared and used as radical traps with effective $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond formation.

Scheme - 5


$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Chapter V Tandem radical cyclisation : diastereoselctive synthesis of } \\ & \text { 3:6:6:6:4 ring system }\end{array}$
Viability of tandem radical cyclisation was studied by preparing corresponding radical cascade (scheme 6). The cyclisation resulted in the formation of 3:6:6:4:6 type of fused ring system with appreciably high diastereoselectivity.

Scheme - 6


## Chapter VI Stereoselective synthesis of cis- $\beta$-lactams using glucose derived chiral aldehyde via asymmetric staudinger reaction.

Diastereospecific synthesis of $\beta$-lactam (scheme 7) was achieved in asymmetric Staudinger reaction by using chiral imine derived from protected glucose derivative. The absolute stereochemistry of the $\beta$-lactam was determined from single crystal X-ray analysis.

Scheme - 7




Note : Compound numbers in the synopsis are not related to the numbers in the

## Chapter I

## Diastereoselective Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams Using Chiral Acids Derived From (+)-3-Carene

The most exciting phrase to hear in science, the one that heralds the most discoveries, is not 'Eureka!' (I found it!') but 'That's funny...'

## 1.1 : Introduction

## History

It was 1928, when Alexander Fleming made the serendipitous observation ${ }^{1}$ that the growth of staphylococcus colonies was inhibited in the vicinity of Penicillinium notatum. The antibiotic causing this phenomenon was given name penicillin. In early 1940s the therauptic potentialities of penicillin ${ }^{2}$ were recognized. After the discovery of this magic drug, Fleming used it as an antiseptic and observed reasonably good results. He used penicillin, mainly as a method of differential culture \& believed that discovery of lysosomes, was his major contribution to the field of bacteriology. That's how, 12 years later he wrote ${ }^{3}$, "The trouble of making it seemed not worth while". Interestingly, latter on it was proved beyond doubt that penicillin and its derivatives were the most important class of antibiotics.

The structure of penicillin was debated until 1940. Sir Robert Robinson proposed thiazolidine oxazolone structure while Prof. R. B. Woodward strongly supported structure based on a 4 membered amide framework. Finally, in 1949 Dorothy Hodgkins ${ }^{4}$ and Barbara Low completed a three dimensional X-ray crystallographic analysis of benzylpenicillin. With this discovery it was established for the first time the presence of a 4 membered amide in the form of $\beta$-lactam which was responsible for the effective biological activity of antibiotics.

Among the naturally occurring bicyclic antibiotics, penicillin and cephalosporin are the most important ones. The detailed structure activity relationship (SAR) studies of these antibiotics has led to an innumerable number of derivatives \& some of them are used in clinical trials routinely.

## Mode of Action

The biological activity of these antibiotics is mainly due to the presence of $\beta$ lactam ring. The $\operatorname{SAR}$ studies ${ }^{5}$ have shown that the essential requirement for an antibiotic is that it should be able to penetrate the outer spheres of the bacterial cell wall and then bind in an active form to the target site. Penicillin binds to the so-called 'penicillinbinding proteins' (PCBs) which are specific molecules on the inner membrane of the cell
wall. The binding of penicillin to the PCBs causes termination of the peptide chain linking and inhibits the formation of normal peptidoglycan structure. This leads to the weakening of cell wall and lysis. ${ }^{6}$ The schematic representation of this phenomenon in the case of penicillin (Scheme 1) and cephalosporin (Scheme 2) is shown below.

## Biological Activity of Penicillin ${ }^{7}$

Scheme 1


## Biological Activity of Cephalosporin ${ }^{8}$

Scheme 2


## $\beta$-Lactamases, $\beta$-Lactamase Inhibitors and the Resistance to Antibiotics

$\beta$ Lactamases ${ }^{9}$ are the enzymes that bacteria produce to defend themselves against $\beta$ lactam antibiotics. There are mainly two classes of $\beta$-lactamases:

1) Serine enzyme lactamases
2) Zinc enzyme lactamases.

The serine type enzyme lactamases act by covalent acyl enzyme mechanism (scheme 3). ${ }^{9}$ These are further classified into class A , class C and class D . The zinc enzyme lactamases are class $B$ type lactamases and they act by non-covalent intermediate method.


The $\beta$-lactamases affect the action of $\beta$-lactam antibiotics making it inactive against the bacteria. This drastically limits the therauptic use of $\beta$ lactam antibiotics and the bacteria are said to have developed resistance against the drug derivative. The phenomenon of bacterial resistance led to a serious research in this field and paved the way for development of novel $\beta$-lactam antibiotics called as the $\beta$-lactamase inhibitors. ${ }^{10,11}$ Inhibitor, as the name suggests, inhibits the action of lactamases. Basically $\beta$-lactamase inhibitors are compounds which are structural variants of the classical $\beta$ lactams with modified skeleton. They may not have antibiotic activity of their own but they are used in the combination with biologically active antibiotics. Specifically, they associate themselves with the lactamases, thereby preventing prior interaction of $\beta$ lactamase with the $\beta$-lactam antibiotics. The antibiotic activity of the $\beta$-lactams is thereby safeguarded and it can penetrate through the bacterial cell wall.

Temocillin and Formidacillin ${ }^{11}$ are the examples of $\beta$-lactamase inhibitors, which are the result of extensive SAR studies of penicillin.


Temocillin


Formidacillin

With the extensive SAR studies and the discovery of novel, biologically active molecules the earlier conceptions regarding the comparison of chemical and biological activity have started becoming somewhat irrelevant. Earlier it was suggested that the antibacterial activity was mainly due to inherent strain in the 4-membered ring or due to the reduced amide resonance. But both, kinetic and ground state effects do not indicate a significant degree of inhibition of amide resonance in penicillin and cephalosporin. The
bicyclic $\beta$-lactams also does not show exceptional reactivity. Monocyclic $\beta$-lactams with suitable electron withdrawing substituents may be as reactive as bicyclic $\beta$-lactams. Strained $\beta$-lactams are not necessarily better antibiotics and so the biological reactivity is not directly correlated with the chemical reactivity.

Until 1970, most of the $\beta$-lactam antibiotic chemistry was revolving around either penicillin or cephalosporin. The isolation of $7-\alpha$-methoxycephalosporins ${ }^{12}$ from Streptomyces in 1971 stimulated the search for novel $\beta$-lactam antibiotics from microbes. This extensive quest for novel $\beta$-lactam skeleton has led to the isolation of active antibiotics not only from eukaryotic fungi, actinomycetes but even from bacteria. This has led to the expansion of $\beta$ lactam antibiotic family to an ever-increasing number. Currently following classes of $\beta$-lactam antibiotics are known (Fig. 1).

(1) penicillin


R1

(2) Cephalosporin

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{Me}$
(5) penem
(4) Oxacephem

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{HOOC}-\underset{1}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right) 5 ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCONH}_{2}$
(3) cephamycin

a. $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} ; \mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{H}$
b. $\mathrm{R} 1=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$
(6) Clavulanic acid



(8) Nocardicin

Fig. 1. Different classes of $\beta$-lactam antibiotics.

Carbacephems, ${ }^{13}$ which are the carbon analogues of cephalosporins, are sensational new antibiotics. Superior stability of this antibiotic over cephalosporin and the ease with which it can be derivatised at 3-position, is synthetically attractive. With the approval of first carbacephem, loracarbef (lorabid) for clinical use, the interest is continued further.


Lorarbid
( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ )
The tricyclic $\beta$-lactam antibiotics called trienems ${ }^{14}$ are also new class of tricyclic carbacephems. GV 104326, which is a highly potent, broad-spectrum antibacterial agent, effective against gram-positive, gram-negative and anaerobic pathogenic bacteria has attracted the synthetic as well as biological community.


Discovery of new active $\beta$ lactam compounds such as thrombin inhibitor, ${ }^{15}$ prostate specific antigen, ${ }^{16}$ human cytomegalovirus protease ${ }^{17}$ or the new cholesterol absorption inhibitor ${ }^{18}$ has renewed interest in the field.


Human Cytomegalovirus Protease Inhibitor


Cholesterol absorption Inhibitor


## General Methods of $\beta$-Lactam Synthesis



Fig. 2. Schematic representation of conventional methods of $\beta$-lactam synthesis.
The traditional methods in the $\beta$-lactam field involve formation of 4 -membered amide ring by the general methodologies as shown in the Fig. 2. $\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{3}}-\boldsymbol{C}_{4}$ Bond Formation ${ }^{19}$

Scheme 4

$N-C_{2}$ Ring Closure ${ }^{20}$
Scheme 5


N-C4 Bond Formation ${ }^{2 l}$
Scheme 6


Cycloaddition Reactions ${ }^{22}$
Scheme 7


Staudinger Reaction ${ }^{23}$
Scheme 8


Reformatsky Type Reaction Approach
Gilman-Speeter Approach
This reaction is mainly used for the synthesis of 3-unsubstitued $\beta$-lactams. The yield of $\beta$-lactam is generally dependent on the activation and type of zinc used. Gilman and Speeter first described this type of synthesis (Scheme 9). ${ }^{24}$

Scheme 9


## Manhas $\alpha$-Bromo- $\beta$-Lactam Approach

Manhas et. al. have developed this approach wherein they condensed haloester with imines in presence of triphenylphosphine (Scheme 10). ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 10


## Asymmetric Synthesis of $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams

Asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams ${ }^{26}$ has become an important area, as biological activity of these $\beta$-lactam antibiotics is closely related to the stereochemistry. Among the various methods of $\beta$-lactam construction, metalloester enolate-imine cyclocondensation, isocyanate-olefin cycloaddition and ketene-imine cycloadditon are the most widely used.

## Ester Enolate-Imine Condensation ${ }^{27}$

Cyclocondensation of imine with ester is emerging as a powerful method in the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams. Georg et. al. have used silyl protected chiral ester effectively for the synthesis of NH $\beta$-lactams with very high enantioselectivity (Scheme 11). ${ }^{28}$

Scheme 11


The proposed Transition State for the ester enolate-imine cycloaddition reaction involved a six membered transition state ${ }^{29,30}$ similar to aldol condensation.


## Isocyanate-Olefin Cycloaddition ${ }^{31}$

In 1963, Graf $^{22}$ reported a method of $\beta$-lactam formation, suitable for large scale preparation. It involved cycloaddition between N-chlorosulfonyl isocyanate (CSI) and alkenes. Since then this has become an important method in $\beta$-lactam chemistry (Scheme 12).

Scheme 12


## Ketene-Imine Cycloaddition Reaction (Staudinger Reaction) ${ }^{32}$

Staudinger reaction (ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction), is still the most attractive and widely used method in $\beta$-lactam ring construction. This has been mainly due to the operational simplicity, versatility and far reaching applicability. Cycloaddition of a ketene usually generated in situ from an acid chloride, and an imine typically proceeds with very high cis diastereoselectivity. The asymmetric version of this reaction involves the use of,

1) Chiral imine and achiral acid chloride
2) Achiral imine and chiral acid chloride
3) Double stereodifferentiation in which both components i. e. acid chloride and imine are chiral.

## Asymmetric Induction Using Chiral Imines

Among the chiral imines the possible combination can be chiral aldehyde and achiral amine or chiral amine and achiral aldehyde. The use of chiral aldehydes derived from sugar derivatives is well known. The diastereoselectivity with the use of these aldehydes is also exceptionally high (This will be discussed in details, in the Chapter 6).

The use of chiral imines derived from chiral amines and achiral aldehydes is not among the efficient ways of introducing chirality in the cycloaddition reaction. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~b}, 32 \mathrm{c}}$ Since the newly formed chiral center in the $\beta$-lactam ring is away from the chiral center on the amine, the effect of the stereodirecting groups in facial differentiation is drastically reduced. Therefore, poor to moderate diastereoselectivity was observed by using chiral amines. However, there are few reports on efficient use of chiral amines in the asymmetric Staudinger reaction, which uses bulkier silyl groups for effective stereodifferentiation (scheme 13). ${ }^{33}$

## Scheme 13



The use of chiral ketene and achiral imine is also well exploited method in $\beta$. lactam ring construction. Generally, the use of hydroxy protected chiral acids as ketene precursors does not involve significant chiral induction. But the Evans-Sjogren derived ketenes are used successfully in the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams. Recently, phenantridine was reacted with Evans-Sjogren chiral ketene, to get exclusively trans $\beta$. lactam (scheme 14). ${ }^{34}$

## Scheme 14



The concept of double stereodifferentiation is applied with variable success to the $[2+2]$ cycloaddition reaction. High levels of double asymmetric induction is observed
when Evans-Sjogren ketenes and imines derived from $(R) \&(S)$ - $\alpha$-amino acid esters were used (scheme 15). ${ }^{35}$

Scheme 15


## Mechanism (Acid Chloride-Imine Cycloaddtion)

According to the accepted model, the reaction between acyl chlorides and imines is assumed to proceed thorough in situ formation of ketene, ${ }^{36}$ followed by interaction with the imine to form a zwitterionic intermediate, which undergoes an electrocyclic conrotatory ring closure to give the $\beta$-lactam ring (Fig. 3).


3-inward
3-outward
cis

Fig. 3. Mechanism of Ketene - Imine cycloaddition.

In general, ( E ) imines lead preferentially to the more hindered cis- $\beta$-lactams, while ( Z ) imines give predominantly the corresponding trans isomers. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{c}, 37}$

Theoretical studies undertaken to establish the origin of the cis/trans stereoselection revealed that the relative energies of the rate-determining transition states, leading from zwitterions to $\beta$ lactams, are dictated not necessarily by steric effects, but by electronic torquoselectivity. ${ }^{38}$ For instance, it has been calculated ${ }^{38 \mathrm{~b}}$ at the RHF/6$31 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ level that the zwitterionic intermediate having an electron-donating group in the ketene fragment $\left(\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ has a barrier for conrotatory closure to the $\beta$-lactam that is $8-12 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower when it adopts an "outward " rotation. Since the imine (E) attack on the ketene is preferably from the side opposite (exo) to the $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ group, it leads to the formation of cis- $\beta$-lactams. The situation is exactly reversed when $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ is electronwithdrawing group. In this case the "inward" rotation is energetically favorable (for instance in case of $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{BH}_{2}$ the rotation is favorable by about $\left.12-15 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$.

This concept of torquoselectivity, though, permits the rationalisation of a substantial amount of the known experimental data concerning the Staudinger reaction, it is evident that further investigation in this area is required.

## Section A: Synthesis of 3-Hydroxy Protected $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams: Effect of Steric Bulk on Diastereoselectivity

## 1.2 : Present Work

The use of chiral acids in the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams has been reported to give moderate chiral induction. Our group has reported previously, ${ }^{39}$ asymmetric synthesis of 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactam, a precursor for taxol side chain, using sterically demanding chiral acid (A), derived from (+)-3-carene. It was used as a ketene precursor in the Staudinger reaction and was removed by oxidative cleavage using MCPBA. However, the overall efficiency of the chiral acid (A) was moderate as the resulting $\beta$ lactams were obtained as a diastereomeric mixture in about 60:40 ratio. The other important weakness being, that it was difficult to separate the diastereomers formed.

(A)

The carane system being a sterically demanding moiety, was expected to differentiate the chiral faces by the virtue of its rigid bicyclic skeleton with gem-dimethyl group on cyclopropane ring. The moderate diastereoselectivity in Staudinger reaction with this acid (A) can be attributed to the presence of planar group in the form of C-4 keto functionality, which not only removed the chirality at C-4 center but also flattened the ring to a considerable extent.

Then, does the chiral center at C-4 influences the diastereoselectivity in the ketene-imine cycloaddition? To answer this question, we thought that it was worthwhile to study the modification at the C-4 center of the carane system and the subsequent effect on the diastereoselectivity in cycloaddition reaction.


Acid (B)
This section deals with the synthesis of functionally substituted, C-4 derivatised carane acids (B) and their use as chiral ketene precursor in the Asymmetric Staudinger reaction.

## $1.3 \quad: \quad$ Results \& Discussion

## Preparation of Chiral alcohol 1.02

Carane epoxide was used as a starting material. The treatment of carane epoxide 1.01 with allyl alcohol in acidic conditions underwent epoxide opening in a highly regioselective manner to give substituted 3-allyloxy-4-caranol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ as a major product (Scheme 16).

Scheme 16


A small amount of other isomeric product, 4-allyloxy-3-caranol was also obtained during the reaction, which was separated by fractional distillation.

The IR spectrum of the alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ showed a broad peak at $3450 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of alcohol. The mass spectrum showed $\mathrm{M}^{\dagger}$ peak at $210(2 \%)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed the twocyclopropyl methine protons in the region $0.50-0.80$. Two gem dimethyl groups were seen as singlets at $0.98 \& 1.0$, while the tertiary methyl group resonated as a singlet at 1.20. The methylene protons of the carane skeleton were seen in the region between 0.80
to 2.40 . The methylene protons from the allyloxy group appeared as a doublet at 3.95 with coupling constant $J=5.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The terminal olefin protons of the allyloxy group were seen as multiplets in the region 5.05-5.50. The remaining olefinic proton of the allyl group appeared in the region 5.80-6.10 as a multiplet.

The secondary alcoholic group of $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ was protected using different protecting groups.

## Preparation of 1.03a

The alcohol 1.02 was treated with NaH in benzene so as to form a sodium salt, which on quenching with methyl iodide gave methyl ether 1.03a in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme 17).

Scheme 17


The mass spectrum of ether 1.03a showed a molecular ion peak at 222 (2\%). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was similar to the alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ and it showed two gem dimethyl groups in the form of two singlets at $0.94 \& 0.97$. The tertiary methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ appeared as a singlet at 1.20 while the methylene protons from the carane part appeared as multiplets in the region 1.5 to 2.40 . The proton at $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ appeared at 3.05 as a dd with $\mathrm{J}=8 \& 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The methoxy group appeared as a singlet at 3.37 while the methylene protons of the allyloxy part appeared as a multiplet in the region between 3.80 to 4.2 . The terminal olefin protons of the allyl group appeared as a multiplet between 5.0 to 5.40 and the other olefinic proton resonated as a multiplet, slightly downfield, in the region of 5.75 to 6.10.

## Preparation of 1.03b

The alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ on treatment with acetic anhydride in presence of pyridine at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave 4 acetoxy derivative $\mathbf{1 . 0 3 b}$ in $70 \%$ yield (Scheme 18).


The IR of the acetate $\mathbf{1 . 0 3 b}$ showed a sharp peak at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the carbonyl of the acetoxy group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $0.97 \& 1.0$ for gem dimethyl groups. The other methyl group appeared as a singlet at 1.27. The methyl from -OAc group appeared as a singlet at 2.02 . The proton at C-4 was appreciably deshielded and appeared as a dd with $\mathrm{J}=8.5 \& 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 4.78 . The olefinic protons from the allyl group appeared in the region of 5.0 to 6.0 .

## Preparation of Acid 1.04a \& 1.04b

The oxidiation of 1.03a \& 1.03b under Lemieux-Von Rudloff conditions (catalytic $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \& \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ ) in a mixed solvent system of acetonitrile, carbontetrachloride and water in the ratio of 2:2:1, gave the acids $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 a}(45 \%) \& \mathbf{1 . 0 4 b}(40 \%)$ (Scheme 19).

Scheme 19
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The acid 1.04a showed $\mathrm{M}^{+}$peak in mass spectrum at $242(0.5 \%)$. The IR spectrum showed a broad peak in the region $3000-3500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of an acid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $0.90 \& 0.93$ for two gem dimethyl protons. The methyl at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ appeared as a singlet at 1.18 while the methylene protons appeared in the region
between 1.0 to 2.40 . The methoxy protons appeared as a singlet at 3.25 . The methylene protons on the carbon adjacent to the acid group were diastereotopic and appeared as two doublets at $4.05 \& 4.20$ with $\mathrm{J}=21 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The acidic -COOH proton appeared as a broad peak in the region of 7.3 to 8.4.

The acetoxy acid 1.04b could not be obtained in the analytically pure form. The IR of a sample ( $>95 \%$ purity) showed a broad peak in the region $3000-3600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical for an acid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlets at $0.98 \& 1.0$ for the two gem dimethyl protons. The $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ methyl group appeared as a singlet at 1.14 while the methyl group of the acetoxy group appeared as a singlet at 2.20 . The methylene protons adjacent to the acid group appeared as a multiplet in the region 3.90-4.10. The $\mathrm{H}-4$ proton appeared as a dd at 4.66 , with $\mathrm{J}=8 \& 9.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The acidic proton resonated as a broad singlet in the region of 6.90-8.10.

## Preparation of $\beta$-Lactam 1.05a \& 1.06a

The acid 1.04a on reaction with imine in presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and phenyl dichlorophosphate gave diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactam 1.05a \& 1.06a in $60 \%$ yield. The diastereomers 1.05a \& 1.06a were obtained in $61: 39$ ratio, as could be confirmed from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the diastereomeric mixture.

Scheme 20


The mass spectrum showed a significant molecular ion peak at 435 (50\%). The IR specturm of the diastereomeric mixture showed $\beta$ lactam carbonyl amide peak at 1740 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed gem dimethyl peaks as singlets at $0.74,0.79,0.86 \&$ 0.90 . The $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ methyl appeared as two singlets at $1.03 \& 1.10$ from the two diastereomers. The ring protons of the carane system appeared as multiplets in between
0.35-2.80. The methoxy protons of the PMP as well as the $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{OMe}$ appeared as singlets at $2.86,3.36 \& 3.70$. The $\beta$-lactam protons $\mathrm{H}-4$ appeared as a doublet at 5.01 with $\mathrm{J}=4.8$ $\mathrm{Hz} \& 5.10$ with $\mathrm{J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respectively. The other $\beta$ lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-3$, appeared as a doublet at $5.26(\mathrm{~J}=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 5.47(\mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets between 6.7-7.60

## Preparation of $\beta$-Lactam 1.05b \& 1.06b

The carane acid 1.04b was treated with imine using phenyldichlorophosphate as an acid activator, in the presence of $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base. The $\beta$ lactam was isolated as a diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$ \& $\mathbf{1 . 0 6 b}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the diastereomeric mixture showed peaks in the ratio 60:40 indicating a diastereomeric excess of $20 \%$. From the diastereomeric mixture, the major diastereomer was separated by fractional crystallization using pet ether/ethyl acetate system to get $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$ as a white crystalline solid with $\mathrm{mp} 167-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (scheme 21).

Scheme 21


NMR of Acetate 1.05b


The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$ showed a peak at $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\beta$-lactam carbonyl. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed two singlets at 0.96 and 0.97 for gem dimethyl groups attached to the $\mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$, while the methyl at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ could be seen at 1.15 . The singlet at 1.82 accounted for the
methyl of the acetate group at $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-4$, was seen as a doublet at 5.08 while the other proton, $\mathrm{H}-3$, resonated as a doublet at 5.16 . The coupling constant ( J $=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) was typical for a cis $\beta$-lactam. Triplet at 4.60 could be assigned to the proton $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$, to which the acetoxy group is attached. A sharp singlet at 3.72 was due to the methoxy group of $p$-anisyl moiety. The aromatic protons were seen as multiplets in the region 6.70-7.50.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture $(\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b} \& 1.06 \mathrm{~b})$ confirmed the backbone of the molecule. The peaks in the region 19 to 32 belonged to the carane skeleton, which included the three-methyl groups and the methine carbons. The signals from the methoxy group of PMP moiety as well as the $\beta$-lactam carbons resonated as 4 peaks at $55.41,63.13,73.61$ and 77.98 . The aromatic carbons were seen in the region between 114 to 134 . The $\beta$-lactam carbonyl peak from C-2 appeared at 165.42 while the carbonyl peak of the acetate resonated at 170.33 .

## $X$-ray Crystal Structure Determination of 1.05b

To decide the relative stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$, its crystal structure analysis was undertaken. The $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$ was crystallized from pet ether/dichloromethane system to obtain suitable crystals for single crystal X-ray analysis.


Fig. 4. ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$.

The X-ray structure of 1.05b (Fig. 4) clearly indicated the absolute stereochemistry for newly formed stereocenters as $3 R, 4 S$ on the basis of known absolute stereochemistry of carane ring system which is $1^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S$.

## Preparation of Acid 1.07

The sodium salt of alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ on refluxing with chloroacetic acid in toluene provided carane acid 1.07 (Scheme 22).

Scheme 22


The mass spectrum of allyloxy acid $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ showed a molecular ion peak at 268 $(6 \%)$. In the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ was seen, a broad peak in the region of $3050-3650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of COOH group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed two singlets at 0.95 and 1.0 for two gem dimethyl group while the other methyl group was seen as a singlet at 1.30 . The $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ proton was seen as a multiplet in the region 4.0 to 4.1 . The diastereotopic protons from -CH2-COOH were seen as two doublets at 3.90 \& 4.30 with $\mathrm{J}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The terminal olefinic protons from $-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of the allyl group were seen as a multiplet between 5.185.40. The other olefinic proton was appreciably downfield and resonated as a multiplet in the region 5.75-6.05.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of acid $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ showed peaks at $25.60 \& 30.00$ for the two methylene of the carane ring. Other two methylenes groups from $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{COOH}$ and O $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (allyl) were seen at 62.54 and 67.56 . The terminal olefinic carbon appeared at 117.38.

## Preparation of 1.08 \& 1.09

The carane acid 1.07 was treated with imine using phenyldichlorophosphate as an acid activator, in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base. The $\beta$-lactam $1.08 \& 1.09$ was isolated as an inseparable diastereomeric mixture. The diastereomeric ratio was 65:35, which was deduced, from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 . 0 8} \& \mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ (Scheme 23).

Scheme 23


The $\operatorname{IR}$ of the diastereomeric mixture showed a typical amide peak of the $\beta$ lactam ring carbonyl, at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Molecular ion peak at $461(17 \%)$ in the mass spectrum confirmed the molecular weight of the compound.

## ${ }^{1} H$ NMR of $1.08 \& 1.09$




The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 0 8} \& \mathbf{1 . 0 9}$ showed singlets at $0.50,0.70,0.85,0.95$ and 1.20 for gem dimethyls attached to the $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$ and the methyl group at $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$. The methoxy group from the $p$-anisyl could be seen as two singlets at 3.73 and 3.74 for the diastereomeric mixture. The methylene protons of the allyloxy group were seen as close dd at 2.90 and 3.30 with coupling of 8 and 10 Hz . The $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ proton was seen as a multiplet in the region 3.80 to 4.10 . The terminal olefinic protons from the allyloxy group resonated as a multiplet in the region 5.05 to 5.40 . The other olefinic proton of the allyl group was seen downfield and appeared as a multiplet in the region
5.70 to 6.10 . The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-3$ appeared as a doublet at 5.65 with coupling constant $\mathrm{J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz} . \mathrm{H}-4$, the other $\beta$ lactam proton, was seen around 5.20. A high coupling constant indicated a cis $\beta$-lactam being present. The aromatic peaks resonated in the region 6.70 to 7.50 .

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the diastereomeric mixture showed methylene carbons from the carane skeleton at $25.44,26.51,28.36$ and 28.53 . The methylene carbons of the allyloxy part resonated at 62.19 \& 62.61 while the methoxy carbon of the PMP group appeared at 55.41. The $\beta$-lactam carbon C 3 resonated at $62.48 \& 63.20$ and the C 4 at $84.84 \& 86.00$ respectively. The $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ ethereal carbon resonated at 79 . The terminal olefinic carbon of the allyloxy group resonated as two peaks at 114.95 and 115.12. The aromatic protons were seen in the region 128 to 156 . The $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl at C 2 was seen as two peaks at 164 and 166 for the diastereomeric mixture.

## 1.4 : Summary

(+)-3-Carene could be functionalised in a practical manner to synthesize a series of 4 -hydroxy protected of $\beta$-lactams in good yields. The diastereomers could be separated by fractional crystallization in case of 4 -acteoxy- $\beta$-lactam. The absolute stereochemistry of these derivatives was established from the single crystal X-ray analysis of the 4 -acetoxy- $\beta$-lactam derivative. The overall low diastereoselectivity (de~20-25\%) suggested that the chiral center at C-4 of acid doesn't have appreciable effect on the stereochemical outcome of the cycloaddition reaction. Moreover there is no appreciable influence of different protecting groups for hydroxy fuction at $\mathrm{C}-4$, on the stereochemical outcome of the cycloaddition reaction.

## Section B : Synthesis and Systematic Study in $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactam Ring Construction Using Ketenes Derived from Halo Carane Derivatives.

## 1.5 : Present Work

Earlier, we have reported the synthesis of 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams by oxidative cleavage of carane based chiral auxiliary with keto functionality at $\mathrm{C}-3$, using MCPBA. However, the chiral auxiliary could not be isolated and destruction of chiral auxiliary occurred during oxidative cleavage (Scheme 24). ${ }^{39}$

Scheme 24


The possible solution to this problem involved functionalisation of the carane skeleton with an easily removable group. This prompted us to design and synthesize a chiral acid with a functional group that can be easily removed. Halides like Br and Cl were promising functional groups in this context. They could provide a handle, useful in the removal of carane part from the $\beta$-lactam derivatives. Also, functionalisation of the 4 halo systems can lead to the synthesis of novel multicyclic $\beta$-lactam derivatives.

This section presents the synthesis of novel $\beta$-lactam derivatives using carane derived chiral halo acids. It mainly deals with the study regarding synthesis, characterization and structure determination of these $\beta$ lactam derivatives.

## 1.6 : Results \& Discussion

## Preparation of Haloalcohols 1.10a-b

$(+)-3$-Carene on reaction with NBS in presence of ethylene glycol at $\mathscr{O}^{9} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature yielded bromo alcohol 1.10a in modest yield. Similarly, NCS reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave chloro alcohol 1.10b in $20 \%$ yield. (Scheme 25).

Scheme 25


The $I R$ of the bromo alcohol 1.10a showed a peak at $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of hydroxy group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed 3 singlets at $0.97,1.0$ and 1.35 belonging to the gem dimethyl and the other methyl at C-3 respectively. The proton attached to the C-4 bromo center resonated as a triplet at 4.07 typical of secondary bromide.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 1.10 a showed peaks at 29.96 and 31.80 for the methylene carbons of the carane skeleton. The C-4 carbon attached to bromine appeared at 59.92 while the two methylene carbons from the glycol moiety resonated at 61.83 and 62.04 . The C-3 carbon was located at 75.25 .

The chloroalcohol 1.10b could not be obtained in pure form and was used as such for the further reaction.

## Preparation of Acids 1.11a,b

The halo alcohols 1.10a \& 1.10b were oxidized with Jones reagent. The bromo acid 1.11a was obtained in $80 \%$ yield, while the chloro acid 1.11b was obtained in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 26).

Scheme 26


The IR of the bromo acid 1.11a showed a broad peak between $2600-3700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of the acid group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed three methyl groups as three singlets at $0.98,1.0$ and 1.40 . The proton attached to the C-4 and the methylene protons at C-2 \& C-5 were seen in the region 1.30 to 4.20 . The methine protons $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-6$ were

1.11a,b a, $X=B r$
b, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ seen upfield in the region $0.65-0.90$, while the acidic proton was seen as a broad singlet in the region 7.30 to 9.0.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1.11 a showed peaks at 30.89 and 31.99 corresponding to the two methylene carbons of the carane skeleton. The C-4 carbon and the methylene carbon from the acid function were seen at 59.75 and 59.90 respectively. The tertiary carbon C-3 appeared at 78.12.

The chloro acid 1.11b was obtained as an oil which showed IR peaks at 1750 \& a broad peak in the region $2500-3600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum methyl at $\mathrm{C}-3$ appeared as a singlet at 1.40 . Singlet due to the gem dimethyl groups at $\mathrm{C}-7$ was seen at 1.05 . The cyclopropyl methine protons H-1 \& H-6 appeared between 0.65-0.90. The methylene protons at C-2 \& C-5 appeared as multiplets between 1.2-2.6. A multiplet in the region $3.80-3.95$ was assigned to the $\mathrm{H}-4$, while the methylene protons, $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{COOH}$ appeared as a multiplet in the region 44.20 . The proton -COOH appeared as a broad peak between 7.5-8.2.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 . 1 1 b}$ was consistent with the assigned structure. The gem dimethyl at C-7, methyl at C-3 and the cyclopropyl carbons C-1 \& C-6 appeared as 5 peaks at $15.82,16.30,19.51,21.15 \& 28.60$. C-7 carbon appeared at 18.35 while the two-methylene carbons C-2 \& C-5 were seen at 31.07 \& 31.26. The methylene carbon of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{COOH}$ was seen at 60.23 while C 4 resonated at 65.42 . The G 3 carbon appeared at 79 and the -COOH was found at 175 .

## Preparation of Acid Chlorides 1.12a,b

The acids 1.11a,b were refluxed with oxalyl chloride in benzene to get corresponding acid chlorides $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 a , b}$ (Scheme 27). The acid chlorides were used without further purification, in the next step.

Scheme 27


1.12a, $X=B r$
1.12b, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$

## Preparation of $\beta$-Lactams 1.14a-l

The acid chloride 1.12a reacted smoothly with imines in the presence of triethylamine in a ketene imine cycloaddition reaction (Staudinger reaction) to furnish a diastereomeric mixture of cis- $\beta$-lactams 1.14a-l \& 1.15a-l (scheme 28).

## Scheme 28



The diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams (1.14a-l \& 1.15a-l) was isolated in good to excellent yield (Table 1). The diastereomeric ratio was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data of the crude reaction mixture. In all the cases, a low to moderate diastereoselectivity was observed. The diastereomers were separated either by flash column chromatography or by fractional crystallization.

Table 1. Synthesis of $\beta$-lactams $1.14 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{I}$ \& 1.15a-l*

| Entry | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.14a \& 1.15a | Ph | Ph | 65 |
| 1.14b \& 1.15b | Ph | PMP | 70 |
| 1.14c \& 1.15c | PMP | PMP | 70 |
| 1.14d \& 1.15d | Styryl | PMP | 60 |
| 1.14e \& 1.15e | Styryl | Ph | 62 |
| 1.14 f \& 1.15 f | Styryl | Propyl | 32 |
| 1.14 g \& 1.15g | Styryl | Cyclohexyl | 45 |
| 1.14h \& 1.15h | Styryl | Tert. butyl | 65 |
| $1.14 i \& 1.15 i$ | Styryl | ( R ) $-\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{CH}) \mathrm{Me}$ | 48 |
| 1.14j \& 1.15j | Styryl | Benzyl | 47 |
| 1.14k \& 1.15k | Styryl | Frufuryl | 37 |
| 1.141 \& 1.151 | Crotyl | Ph | 29 |

* diastereoselectivity was around 60:40 in most of the cases.

The $\beta$ lactams $1.14 d \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$ are chosen as representative examples for the discussion.

## Preparation of 1.14d \&1.15d

The Imine $1.13 d$ on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a, in the presence of triethylamine, underwent cycloaddition reaction to give a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$ lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$ in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 29).

Scheme 29


The de was $20 \%$ as could be deduced from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the mixture. It was possible to separate the diastereomers by fractional crystallization.

The polar diastereomer $1.14 d$ was a crystalline solid (mp $166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with a typical IR peak at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for $\beta$-lactam amide. A molecular ion peak was obtained at $509(2 \%)$ in the mass spectrum.



Fig. 5. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 5) showed multiplets in the region $0.50-0.80$ for cyclopropyl methine protons $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ \& $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}$. The gem dimethyl groups at $\mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$ appeared as two singlets at $0.97 \& 1.02$. The methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ appeared as singlet at 1.37 . The carane ring protons appeared as multiplets in the region 1.5 to 2.50 . The methoxy group of the PMP moiety appeared as a singlet at 3.77 . The $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ proton resonated downfield and appeared as a dd at 4.05 with $\mathrm{J}=8 \& 12 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-4$ resonated as a dd at 4.77 , with $\mathrm{J}=5 \& 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-3$, appeared as a doublet with $\mathrm{J}=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5.12. A high coupling constant indicated the presence of cis $\beta$-lactam. One of the styryl protons resonated as a dd at 6.40 with $\mathrm{J}=10 \& 15 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The dher styryl proton and the aromatic protons appeared as multiplets in the region 6.7 to 7.5 ppm .

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum the $\mathrm{C}-1^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}-6^{\prime}, \mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{CH} 3$ and the two gem dimethyl carbons appeared at $15.43,17.70,17.79,19.33 \& 21.60$. The methyl group at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ appeared at 17.70. The OMe from PMP group, $\mathrm{C}-4^{\prime}$ carbon \& the $\beta$-lactam $\mathrm{C}-4$ carbon appeared at $55.27,60.05 \& 62.25$. The $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ carbon appeared at 77.39 while the $\beta$ lactam

C-3 carbon was seen downfield at 77.72. The styryl as well as the aromatic carbons appeared in the region 110 to 160 . The $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl appeared at 164.72 .

The absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$ was established from the single crystal Xray analysis of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$.

## X-ray Crystallographic Data of 1.14d

The $\beta$-lactam 1.14 d was crystallized from pet ether/dichloromethane system to obtain crystals, suitable for single crystal X-ray analysis.
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=510.46, \mathrm{a}=5.851(3), \mathrm{b}=18.672(4), \mathrm{c}=23.497 \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=90^{\circ}$, $\gamma=90^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=2567$ (2) $\AA 3, \mathrm{Z}=4, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.321 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mathrm{Rw}=0.1320, \mathrm{~T}=293$ (2) K , GOF - 0.894.

Data were collected on Enariuf Nonius CAD-4 single crystal X-ray diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=0.71070 \AA)$ and $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode to a $\theta$ range of 2.05 to $24.95^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by direct positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for non hydrogen atom converged to $\mathrm{R} w=0.1125 \mathrm{R} 1=0.0556$ for 2597 unique observed reflections. Hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed and confirmed by a difference Fourier, which was held fixed during the refinement. The refinements were carried out using SHELEX-97.


Fig. 6. ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$.

From the X-ray crystal structure analysis the absolute stereochemistry at the C-3 and C-4 of $\beta$-lactam was established as $3 R, 4 S$.

The nonpolar diastereomer $1.15 d$ was also a crystalline solid with $\mathrm{mp} 158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The IR of 1.15 d showed a $\beta$ lactam amide peak at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


1.15d

Fig. 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$.
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$ showed the two cyclopropyl methine protons $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H}-\mathbf{6}^{\prime}$, as a multiplet in the region $0.20-0.70$. The gem dimethyl protons a $\mathrm{C}-7^{\prime}$ resonated as two singlets at $0.93 \& 0.95$. The methyl at C-3' appeared as a singlet at 1.45 . The methylene protons $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{H}-5^{\prime}$ resonated as multiplets in the region 1.40-2.40. The methoxy group appeared as a singlet at 3.75 . The $\mathrm{H} 4^{\prime}$ was seen at 4.07 , as a triplet with $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-4$ resonated at 4.72 as a dd, with $\mathrm{J}=5 \& 10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\beta$ lactam proton H-3 appeared at 5.22 as a doublet with coupling constant 5 Hz . A high coupling constant indicating presence of cis $\beta$ lactam ring. One of the olefinic protons from the styryl part appeared at 6.34 (dd with $J=10 \& 15 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). The other styryl proton and the aromatic protons gave multiplets in the region 6.70-7.50.

The positions of different protons was further confirmed from their COSY NMR spectra.


Fig. 8. COSY NMR spectra of 1.15d.
Table 2. Connectivity among adjacent protons in the COSY NMR of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$

| Proton no. | Chemical shift (multiplicity) | COSY <br> connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ha-2' | 1.45-1.57 (m) | $\mathrm{Hb}-2^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-1^{\prime}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Hb}-2^{\prime}$ | 2.20 (dd) | Ha-2', H-1 ${ }^{\prime}$ |  |
| Ha-5 ${ }^{\prime}$, Hb-5 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 2.4 (dd) | H-4', H-6' | + |
| H-4' | 4.07 (t) | Ha-5', Hb-5' | 1.15d |
| H-4 | 4.72 (dd) | H-3, styryl |  |
| H-3 | 5.22 (d) | H-4 |  |

The COSY NMR (Table 2) affirmed the positions of methylene protons at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ \& $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$. The two protons at $\mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ were not appreciably diastereotopic. Both of them
resonated around the same position. But, the protons at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{Ha}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{Hb}-2^{\prime}\right.$ ) were appreciably diastereotopic and they appeared at different positions.

Both the diastereomers showed a peculiar trend in the optical rotation (Table 3).
Table 3: Optical rotation of individual diastereomers

| $\mathrm{Sr}$ <br> No. | $\mathrm{R}^{1} \& \mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Compd. <br> No. | $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}($ Conc. in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) | Config. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | 1.14a | $+21^{\circ}$ (c 0.2) | 3R, 4S |
|  |  | 1.15a | $-100.90^{\circ}(\mathrm{c} 0.22)$ | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 2. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PMP}$ | 1.14b | $+18.70^{\circ}$ (c 0.31) | 3R, 4S |
|  |  | 1.15b | $-114.17^{\circ}$ (c 0.48) | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 3. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{PMP} ; \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PMP}$ | 1.14c | $+20^{\circ}$ (c 0.23) | 3R, 4S |
|  |  | 1.15c | $-123.56^{\circ}$ (c 0.23) | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 4. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\text { styryl; } \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{PMP}$ | 1.14d | $+33^{\circ}$ (c 1.85) | 3R, 4S |
|  |  | 1.15d | $-122.9^{\circ}$ (c 1.85) | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 5. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ styryl; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | 1.14e | $+20^{\circ}$ (c 0.28) | 3R, 4S |
|  |  | 1.15 e | $-103.90^{\circ}$ (c 0.41) | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 6. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ styryl; $\mathrm{R}^{2}=t$-butyl | 1.15h | $-33.70^{\circ}$ (c 0.54) | 3S, $4 R$ |
| 7. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}=-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Me}$; | 1.141 | $+30^{\circ}$ (c 0.52) | 3R, 4S |
|  | $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ |  |  |  |

In case of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$, the absolute configuration was assigned by X-ray analysis to be $3 R, 4 S$ at the azetidinone ring centers. The optical rotation for $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$ was $+33^{\circ}$. All the diastereomers (1.14) with positive optical rotation showed similar NMR spectral patterns, therefore $3 R, 4 S$ configuration was assigned to these diastereomers. The absolute configuration of $3 S, 4 R$ was assigned to all the diastereomers (1.15) with negative optical rotation. This was strongly supported by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data, which showed similar pattern for all the diastereomers.

Table 4 shows the characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for the important protons in case of diastereomers 1.14 \& $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$.


Table 4. Characteristic ${ }^{1}$ H NMR data for $\mathbf{1 . 1 4} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5}$

| $\mathrm{R}^{1} \& \mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Diast. | $\mathrm{H}-3$ | $\mathrm{H}-4$ | Gem <br> Dimethyl C-7 | $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ <br> methyl | $\mathrm{Ha}-2^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-2^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 a}$ | 5.23 | 5.18 | $0.89 \& 0.93$ | 1.21 | $1.23-1.27$ | $2.04-2.20$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 a}$ | 5.31 | 5.12 | $0.74 \& 0.89$ | 1.27 | $0.89-1.04$ | $1.59-1.62$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 b}$ | 5.19 | 5.12 | $0.87 \& 0.91$ | 1.19 | 1.32 | 2.18 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ PMP | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 b}$ | 5.27 | 5.05 | $0.74 \& 0.87$ | 1.24 | 0.94 | 1.57 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ PMP | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 c}$ | 5.15 | 5.07 | $0.89 \& 0.92$ | 1.18 | 1.33 | 2.12 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ PMP | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 c}$ | 5.24 | 5.0 | $0.76 \& 0.88$ | 1.24 | 0.94 | $1.54-1.70$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ styryl | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d}$ | 5.12 | 4.77 | $0.97 \& 1.02$ | 1.37 | 1.50 | $2.25-2.40$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ PMP | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$ | 5.22 | 4.72 | $0.93 \& 0.95$ | 1.45 | $1.45-1.57$ | 2.20 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ styryl | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 e}$ | 5.15 | 4.82 | $0.99 \& 1.02$ | 1.37 | 1.40 | $2.30-2.40$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ Ph | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 e}$ | 5.23 | 4.78 | $0.92 \& 0.95$ | 1.45 | $1.40-1.60$ | 2.18 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ styryl | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 h}$ | 4.93 | 4.33 | $0.87 \& 0.90$ | 1.36 | $1.30-1.50$ | 2.08 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{t}$-butyl |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=$ crotyl | $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 1}$ | 4.98 | 4.58 | $0.97 \& 1.02$ | 1.33 | 1.45 | $2.25-2.40$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}=$ Ph |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 1 indicated that the overall selectivity is poor to moderate in the series $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ \& 1.15. Still a notable observation among the synthesis of $1.14 \& 1.15$ series of $\beta$ lactams is a marginal reversal in the diastereoselectivity. In case of $\beta$ lactams 1.14a-c \& 1.15a-c, a diastereoselectivity of $60: 40$ was observed. However, in case of 4 -styryl $\beta$ lactams $1.14 d$-l \& 1.15d-l a diastereomeric ratio of about $45: 55$ in favor of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ was observed, with a reversal of diastereoselectivity. Though this is a marginal change and doesn't involve any substantial enhancement in the diastereoselectivity. However, it is not possible to give a concrete and rational analysis for this observation at this stage.

## Preparation of 1.16 d \& 1.17 d

The Imine $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 d}$ on cycloaddition with acid chloride $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 b}$ in the presence of triethylamine underwent cycloaddition reaction to give a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$ lactams $1.16 \mathrm{~d} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 7 d}$ in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 30).

Scheme 30


The diastereomeric mixture was isolated as a white solid ( $\mathrm{mp} 128-131^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with very low diastereoselectivity (de 20\%). The individual diastereomers couldn't be separated from the diastereomeric mixture. The IR of the mixture showed a peak at 1750 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ typical of $\beta$-lactam amide. A small molecular ion peak at $465(0.1 \%)$ was seen in the mass spectrum.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the diastereomeric mixture showed cyclopropyl methine protons in the region $0.55-0.85$. The singlets for to the gem dimethyl groups were seen at $0.90,0.96 \& 0.98$. The methyl group at C3 resonated as singlets at $1.33 \&$ 1.40. The methylene protons $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}-2 \& \mathrm{H}^{\prime}-5$ appeared as multiplets in the region 1.30 2.50. The OMe protons from the PMP moiety appeared as a singlet at 3.76 . The $\mathrm{H}^{\prime}-4$ proton
resonated as a multiplet in the region 3.78-4.20. The $\beta$ lactam proton H-4 appeared at 4.60 $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.7 \& 8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 4.84$ $(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \& 8.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-3$

1.16d
1.17d appeared as a doublet at $5.18(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 5.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the diastereomeric mixture. One of the olefinic protons belonging to the styryl part appeared as a dd at 6.32 \& 6.40 while the other one was seen in the region $6.60-7.50$, where the aromatic protons also resonated.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the diastereomeric mixture showed the carbons C-1, C-6, gem dimethyl and C-3 methyl in the region 15 to 30 . The methylene carbons at C-2 \& C-5 appeared at $30.67,31.04,32.21 \& 32.41$. The $C^{\prime}-4$ appeared at 55.42 while the carbons C-4 \& the OMe appeared at $61.95,62.55 \& 65.08,66.08$ respectively for the diastereomeric mixture. The $\beta$-lactam carbon C-3 appeared at 76.62 \& 77.48 while C-3 carbon resonated at $77.90 \& 78.38$. The styryl and the aromatic carbons resonated in the region 114-131. The amide carbonyl peak belonging to the $\beta$-lactam was seen at 155.28.

The reaction of $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 b}$ as a ketene precursor with other imines was also tried. But the products obtained were non-polar liquids and were difficult to purify by column chromatography. The yields and diastereoselectivity was also disappointing.

## 1.7 : Summary

A novel chiral auxiliary in the form of 4-bromo and 4-chloro carane acid was synthesized from (+)-3-Carene as a chiral source. The subsequent acid chlorides smoothly reacted with imines under typical ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction conditions to furnish novel $\beta$-lactams. The overall yields were good and diastereoselectivity was low to moderate. In most of the cases the diastereomers could be separated either by flash column chromatography or by fractional crystallization. A low level of diastereoselectivity demonstrated that a bulkier group like bromine, directly attached to the C-4 center of the carane skeleton, doesn't have appreciable effect on the stereochemical outcome of the cycloaddition reaction.

## 1.8 : Experimental

## SECTION A

Synthesis of (1R, 3R, 4R, 6S) 4-Allyloxy-4,7,7-trimethyl-bicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-ol

### 1.02

To a stirred mixture of carane oxide $\mathbf{1 . 0 1}(0.760 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allyl alcohol (5 mL ), catalytic amount of cons. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 1 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with water ( 25 mL ) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 25 mL ). The combined organic extract was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), water ( 2 x 20 mL ), brine ( 15 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded crude oil, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to get $0.63 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ of alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}$ as a pale yellow oil.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-3.92^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 3.75, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 3450$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.50-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98,1.0(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-$
$2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.50,11 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$5.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
MS [m/e (\%)] : $211\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,2\right), 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 151(50), 137(100), 123(42)$,
109 (85), 97 (55), 74 (75), 67 (55).

Synthesis of (1S, 3R, 4R, 6R) 3-Allyloxy-4-methoxy-3,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]heptane 1.03a

To a stirred solution of alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 0 2}(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$, in dry benzene ( 30 mL ), $\mathrm{NaH}\left(50 \%\right.$ dispersion in oil, $298 \mathrm{mg}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added over 20 minutes at ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and further stirred for 1 h . After 1 h , the reaction mixture was again cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{MeI}(0.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly over 15 minutes to it. The reaction mixture was allowed to attain room temperature and stirred further for 8 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with ice cold water $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and glacial acetic acid $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to it. It was
extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic extract was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), brine ( 20 mL ). Drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ followed by concentration under reduced pressure afforded crude oil, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, $60-120$, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to get $0.89 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 . 0 3 a}$ as a colourless oil.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\alpha]_{25}^{\mathrm{D}}} & : & -4.91^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.57, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \\
\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) & : & 1050,1360,2950 . \\
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : & \delta 0.5-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94 \& 0.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.5- \\
& 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80- \\
& 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) .
\end{array}
$$

## Synthesis of (1R, 3R, 4R, 6S) 4-Allyloxy-4,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept3-yl acetate. 1.03b.

To a stirred solution of alcohol $1.02(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $0.42 \mathrm{~mL}, 5$ mmol ) in dichloromethane ( 30 mL ) was added acetyl chloride ( $0.42 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) slowly over 10 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 6 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), it was diluted with ice cold water ( 25 mL ) and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extract was washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 3 x 20 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ). Drying over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ followed by concentration under reduced pressure afforded crude oil, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to get $1.0 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 . 0 3 b}$ as a colourless oil.

| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $:$ | $-5.75^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 5.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $:$ | 1750. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $\delta 0.60-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97 \& 1.0(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.02$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.80-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78(\mathrm{dd}, \mathrm{J}=$ |  |
|  | $8.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.0-5.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-6.0(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |  |

Synthesis of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ ) 2-[4'-Methoxy-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3yloxy]acetic acid 1.04a.

To a stirred solution of ether $\mathbf{1 . 0 3 a}(0.224 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, in the solvent system of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{CCl}_{4}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2: 2: 3 ; 25 \mathrm{~mL})$, powered $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by cat. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}(2 \mathrm{mg})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 4 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), isopropanol ( 0.5 mL ) was added to destroy any unreacted oxidant. The reaction mixture was basified with sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified with dil. $\mathrm{HCl}(15 \mathrm{ml})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extract was washed with water ( 20 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under reduced pressure afforded 1.04 a ( 0.109 g , $80 \%$ ) as an oil.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\alpha]_{25}^{\mathrm{D}}} & : & -19.16^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.72, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \\
\text { IR }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) & : & 1740,3000-3500(\text { broad }) . \\
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : & \delta 0.40-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90,0.93(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.00- \\
& 2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,9.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.02 \\
& (\mathrm{~d}, J=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=21 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.3-8.4(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \\
& \\
\text { MS [m/e (\%)] } \quad & 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.5\right), 166(33), 151(46), 123(60), 109(75), 93 \\
& (100), 85(64), 81(50) .
\end{array}
$$

Synthesis of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ ) 2-[3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethyt-4'-Methylcarbonyloxybicyclo-[4.1.0]hept-3"-yloxy]acetic acid 1.04b.
1.03b ( $0.252 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was oxidised by jones oxidation using a Procedure similar to the preparation of $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 a}$ to get an acid $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 b}(0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%)$ as an oil.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+11.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \quad 1740,1750,3000-3600$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.60-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98,1.0(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.1-2.3(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,9.50 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90-8(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\quad \delta 15.53,16.24,17.62,18.91,19.99,20.87,25.15,28.03,30.08$,

MS [m/e (\%)] : 137 (47), 119 (65), 109 (65), 93 (100).

Synthesis of ( $1^{\prime \prime} S, 3^{\prime \prime} R, 4^{\prime \prime} R, 6^{\prime \prime} R$ )1-( $\left.4^{\prime \prime}-M e t h o x y p h e n y l\right)-3-\left[4^{\prime \prime}-m e t h o x y-3,7,7-t r i-\right.$ methylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3"-yloxy]-4-phenyt(3S, 4R)-azetan-2-one.1.05a \& 1.06a

To a stirred solution of imine ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.303 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 15 mL ), a solution of acid $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 a}(0.540 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenyl dichlorophosphate ( $0.420 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. It was then diluted with dichloromethane (20 mL ) and washed successively with water ( 25 mL ), sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue on column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) gave 0.301 g ( $65 \%$ ) of diastereomeric mixture of cis- $\beta$-lactams 1.05a \& 1.06a in the ratio of $60: 40$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.

| M.P. | $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | $-23.33^{\circ}$ (c 0.06, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). |  |  |  |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1510, 1740. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 0.35-0.65 \\ & 1.03 \& 1.10 \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2 \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86 \& \\ & J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \\ & J=5.1 \& \mathrm{~d} \\ & 7.18-7.60(n \end{aligned}$ | , 2H), 0.74, <br> s, total 3 H ), $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.5$ <br> 36 (2s, total <br> d, $J=5.1 \mathrm{H}$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ re $7 \mathrm{H})$. | 0.86, 0.90 <br> 38 (m, 1H), <br> 2.80 (dd, $J=$ <br> 3.70 (s, 1H) <br> ect., total 1 H <br> total 1 H ), | 6H), <br> .65 (m, <br> Hz , total <br> \& 5.10 (d, <br> \& 5.45 (d, <br> 8 (m, 2H), |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 437\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,\right. \\ & 135(66) . \end{aligned}$ | 437 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,10\right), 435\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 50\right), 269$ (47), 212 (100), 167 (79), |  | $167 \text { (79), }$ |
| Microanalysis | M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$. |  |  |  |
|  | Calculated | C : 74.46 | H: 7.64 | $\mathrm{N}: 3.22$ |
|  | Obtained | C: 74.20 | H: 7.90 | N:3.01 |

 $4^{\prime \prime} R, 6^{\prime \prime} R$ )-bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3' "-yloxy]-4-phenyt(3S, 4R)-azetan-2-one 1.05b \&

### 1.06b.

The treatment of acid $\mathbf{1 . 0 4 b}$ ( $0.484 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and phenyl dichlorophosphate $(0.420 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ with a mixture of imine $(0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.303 \mathrm{~mL}, 3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at ƠC $^{\circ}$ provided a mixture of cis- $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b} \& \mathbf{1 . 0 6 b}, 0.261 \mathrm{~g}(60 \%)$ in the ratio, 58:42, which was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The diastereomers were separated by fractional crystallization when the major diastereomer $\mathbf{1 . 0 5 b}$ could be isolated in the pure form after single crystallization (30\%) from pet ether/ethyl acetate system.

| M.P. | $167-168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }^{5}$ | $+48.94^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1735. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.50-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.96,0.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.15(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-$ $2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dd}, \quad J=7.8,8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.78 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Mix.) | $\begin{array}{llllllll} \delta 19.15, & 19.36, & 20.19, & 20.35, & 21.13, & 21,27, & 25.42, & 28.38, \\ 29.69, & 31.42, & 31.56, & 55.41, & 63.14, & 73.61, & 74.00, & 76.82, \\ 114.28, & 118.72, & 128.26, & 128.75, & 130.91, & 134.30, & 156.14, \\ 165.42, & 170.33 . \end{array}$ |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $: 464\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,10\right), 463\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 49\right), 269(53), 268$ (66), 212 (83), 211 (100), 135 (50), 93 (53). |

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 72.55$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.18$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.02$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 72.26$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.90$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.80$ |

## Synthesis of 2-[4'-Allyloxy-4', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethyl( $\left.1^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} S\right)$-bic yclo[4.1.0]hept-3-

 yloxy]acetic acid 1.07.To a solution of $1.02(6.3 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 30 mL ), clean pieces of sodium ( 1 g ) were added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 15 h . The reaction mixture was stirred at such a rate that the sodium was broken into fine globules. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and the unreacted sodium was removed by filtration through glass wool. The filtrate was heated to $85-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of chloroacetic acid ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene ( 30 mL ) was added with stirring in such a way that the refluxing is not vigorous. A heavy precipitate of sodium chloroacetate forms immediately. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 48 h . It was cooled and diluted with toluene ( 120 mL ) and extracted with water ( 3 x 100 $\mathrm{mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified with $20 \% \mathrm{HCl}$. The crude acid, which separated, was extracted with benzene ( $2 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The removal of benzene by distillation under reduced pressure afforded crude product, which was purified by column chromatography so as to give $\mathbf{1 . 0 7}$ as an oil $(4.82 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$.

| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $+11.0^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1380, 1751, 2939, 3050-3650. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.55-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95 \& 1.0(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65$ $1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,10.50 \mathrm{~Hz}$, <br> $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30$ <br> (d, $J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.10-5.40 (m, 2H), 5.75-6.05 (m, 1H). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 14.63,15.86,17.76,18.92,20.48,25.60,28.38,30.00, \\ & 62.54,67.56,78.45,84.51,117.38,134.46,173.11 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 270\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,0.5\right), 269(\mathrm{M}+1,1), 268\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 151(24), 121 \\ & (35), 109(58), 93(100), 90(57), 79(26) . \end{aligned}$ |

Synthesis of (3R, 4S, $\left.1^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} R, 4 S, 6^{\prime} S\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, 1^{\prime} R, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} S, 6^{\prime} S\right)$ 3-[4'-Allyloxy$4^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept $3^{\prime \prime}$-yloxy]-1-(4"-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenylazetan2 -one 1.08 a \& 1.09a.

To a stirred solution of imine ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.303 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 15 mL ), a solution of acid 1.07 ( $0.536 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), phenyl dichlorophosphate $(0.420 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added drop-
wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm-up to room temperature and stirred overnight. It was then diluted with dichloromethane (20 mL ) and washed successively with water ( 25 mL ), sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue on column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) gave a diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 0 8 a} \& 1.09 \mathrm{a}(0.313 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%)$ in the ratio $62: 38$ which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.

The diastereomeric mixture of 1.08a \& 1.09a was isolated as a white solid.
M.P. $\quad 117-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-13.85^{\circ}$ (c $1.95, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1220,1240,1510,1740$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.25-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.5,0.70(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 0.85,0.95(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H ), 1.20 (s, 3H), 0.80-2.30 (m, 4H), 2.90 (dd, $J=8,10$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73 \& 3.74(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.50-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.75-6.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.50(\mathrm{~m}$, 7 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 14.39,15.08,15.82,15.97,17.60,17.81,18.99,19.28$, 20.56, 20.78, 25.44, 26.52, 28.37, 28.53, 30.37, 30.59, 35.41, 62.19, 62.48, 62.61, 63.20, 79.01, 81.62, 84.55, 85.84, 86.00, $114.34,114.95,115.52,118.75,118.83,128.17,128.41$, $128.54,128.77,130.99,134.54,134.98,136.37$, 136.63, 156.20, 164.14, 166.16.

MS [m/e (\%)] : $462\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,7 \%\right), 461\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 17\right), 251(58 \%), 211(100 \%), 210$ (75\%), 193 (45\%), 91 (37\%).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 75.46$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.64$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 75.20$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.48$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.89$ |

## Section B :

Preparation of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, G^{\prime} R$ )-2-[4'-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}-t r i m e t h y l b i c y c l o(4.1 .0) h e p t-3^{\prime \prime}-$ yl-oxy]ethan-1-ol 1.10a

To a stirred solution of (+)-3-carene ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethylene glycol ( 3.1 $\mathrm{mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ), powdered NBS ( $2.23 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in small portions over a period of 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred further for 4 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), cold water ( 15 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 10 mL ), brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhyd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to give $1.24 \mathrm{~g}(45 \%)$ of pure bromo alcohol 1.10a as a pale yellow liquid.

| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $-33.45^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c} 1.65, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 3400. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $: \delta 0.65-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.40-3.60 (m, 2H), 3.65-3.75 (m, 2H), 4.07 ( merged dd, $J=$ $7.5,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $: \delta 15.48,17.70,18.32,19.50,21.63,28.39,29.97,31.80$, 59.92, 61.83, 62.04, 75.25. |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $: \quad 276\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3.5\right), 92(100)$. |

Preparation of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-2-[4' -Chloro $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept-3'-yl-oxy]ethan-1-ol 1.10a

Procedure A: To a stirred solution of (+)-3-carene ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethylene glycol ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ), powdered NCS ( 1.67 $\mathrm{g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in small portions over a period of 30 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the addition is over the reaction mixture was allowed to stir further for 2 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), cold water ( 15 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined extracts were
washed with sat. $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 10 mL ), brine ( 10 mL ) and dried over anhyd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to give 0.50 g ( $\sim 20 \%$ ) of chloro alcohol $\mathbf{1 . 1 0 b}$ as a pale yellow liquid. The crude alcohol could not be purified and was used as such for the further reaction.

Procedure B: In another procedure AIBN was used as an initiator. To the reaction mixture of (+)-3-carene ( $1.36 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethylene glycol ( $3.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 50$ mmol) in dichloromethane ( 3 mL ) was added cat. amount of AIBN. Powdered NCS $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added in small portions over a period of $30 \mathrm{~min} \&$ a sudden increase in the temperature observed. The reaction was continued further for 2 hrs and the reaction was worked up as described in Procedure A to give chloroalcohol 1.10b in about 20-25\% yield.
Preparation of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-2-[4'-Bromo- $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept-3'yloxy]acetic acid 1.11a

To a stirred solution of bromo alcohol 1.10a ( $276 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 10 mL ), Jones reagent was added drop by drop at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until decolourisation of the reagent was observed. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 3 h at room temperature, the green precipitate of the chromium salt was filtered off and the excess reagent destroyed by adding isopropyl alcohol at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was concentrated under vacuum and residue was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether extract was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. It was then filtered and filtrate on concentration under reduced pressure offered crude bromo acid ( $232 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ). The crude bromo acid was purified by crystallization from pet ether/ethyl acetate to give
1.11a as a white crystalline solid.
M.P. $: 85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad-72^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.45, \mathrm{CHC}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1760,2600,3700$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.65-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98 \& 1.00(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.4(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.3$ $1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-9.0$ (bs, 1 H ).

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $:$$\delta 15.71,17.34,18.18,19.55,21.85,28.57,30.88$, <br> $59.74,59.89,78.12$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| MS [m/e (\%)] $\quad: \quad 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HBr}, 2\right), 135(82), 107(37), 93(100), 77(40), 71$ |  |
|  | $(52), 67(38)$. |

Preparation of ( $\left.1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R\right)-2-\left[4^{\prime}\right.$-Chloro $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept-3'yloxy]acetic acid 1.11b

Crude chloro alcohol 1.10b was oxidized by Jones oxidation using a procedure similar to 1.10a. The chloro acid 1.11b was obtained as a pale liquid (60\%).
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-72^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.45, \mathrm{CHC}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1760,2600,3700$.
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.65-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98 \& 1.00(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.4(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.3-$ $1.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-9.0(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 15.82,16.30,18.15,19.51,21.15,28.60,31.07,31.07$, $31.26,60.23,65.42,79,175$.

Preparation of ( $\left.l^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 3^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 4^{\prime} \mathrm{R}, 6^{\prime} \mathrm{R}\right)-2-\left[4^{\prime}\right.$-Bromo- $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept $3^{\prime \prime}$ yloxy]acetyl chloride 1.12a

A mixture of acid 1.11a ( $5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}(2.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( 40 mL ) was refluxed for 1 hour. The benzene was removed by distillation to give acid chloride 1.12a, which was used as such in the next step without further purification.

Preparation of ( $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime \prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, G^{\prime} R$ )-2-[4'-Chloro $3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept-3'yloxy]acetyl chloride 1.12b

A mixture of acid 1.11b ( $4.92 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{SOC}_{2}(2.18 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( 40 mL ) was refluxed for 1 hour. The benzene was removed by distillation to give acid chloride 1.12b, which was used as such in the next step without prior purification.
General proecedure for the Preparation of $\beta$-lactams 1.14a-I \& 1.15a-I

To a stirred solution of imine (1.13a-l, 1 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.303 \mathrm{~mL}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 15 mL ), a solution of acid chloride (1.12a, $617 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added drop-wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and washed successively with water ( 25 mL ), sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhyd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 60-120, pet. ether/ethyl acetate) to yield a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams 1.14 a -l \& 1.15a-l. the diastereomeric ratio was determined from the analysis of crude ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. In most of the cases the diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography or by fractional crystallization.

## Preparation of 1.14a \& 1.15a

The imine 1.13a ( $0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a (617 $\mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $1.14 \mathrm{a} \& 1.15 \mathrm{a}$ ( $294 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ), in the ratio of $58: 42$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography to get nonpolar diastereomer 1.14a \& polar diastereomer 1.15a. The data for the individual diastereomer and for the diastereomeric mixture is as follows.

## (3R,4S, $\left.1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R\right)-3-\left[4^{\prime}-B r o m o-3^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}-t r i m e t h y l b i c y c l o(4.1 .0) h e p t-3^{\prime}-y l o x y\right]-$

## 1,4-diphenyl-azetidin-2-one 1.14a

Isolated as a white solid

| M.P. | $: \quad 181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $: \quad+21^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.2, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| $\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $: \quad 1753$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ |
|  | $\delta 0.50-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}$, |
|  |  |
|  | $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70$ |
|  | $(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, |
|  | $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. |

## 1,4-diphenyl-azetidin-2-one 1.15a

Isolated as a white solid

| M.P. | $:$ | $159^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $:$ | $-100.90\left(\mathrm{c} 0.22, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $:$ | 1755. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $\delta 0.45-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-1.10(\mathrm{~m}$, |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, |
|  | $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J$ |  |
|  | $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$. |  |

## Data for the mixture of 1.14a \& 1.15a

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $: \quad \delta 15.25,15.39,17.77,18.21,18.83,19.33,19.46,21.21$, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $21.47,28.41,31.40,31.84,31.93,32.05,59.16,59.37,62.91$, |
|  | $63.01,76,77.61,117.42,124.07,128.18,128.42,128.61$, |
|  | $128.97,133.87,134.13,137.34,165.54,166$. |

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 66.08$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.21$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.08$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 66.32$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.49$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.17$ |

## Preparation of 1.14b \& 1.15b

The imine 1.13 b ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a (617 $\mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 b} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{1 . 1 5 b}(360 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%)$ in the ratio of $60: 40$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography to get nonpolar diastereomer 1.14b \& polar diastereomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 b}$. The data for $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 b}, \mathbf{1 . 1 5} b \&$ mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 b} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 b}$ is given below.
(3R,4S,1'S,3'R,4'R,6'R)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-[4'-bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo-(4.1.0)hept-3"-yloxy]-4-phenylazetidin-2-one 1.14b

Isolated as a white solid.

| M.P. | $148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $+18.70^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.31, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ). |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1752. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.5-0.6(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=5,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$. |
| (3S,4R, $\left.1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, G^{\prime} R\right)$-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-[4'-bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo-(4.1.0)hept-3'-yloxy]-4-phenylazetidin-2-one 1.15b |  |
| Isolated as a white solid. |  |
| M.P. | $176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{2}$ | $-114.17^{\circ}$ (c 0.48, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1755. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.50-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57$ (9.5, $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.5,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73$ (d, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.29-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. |

## Data for Mixture of 1.14b \& 1.15b

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 485\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,5\right), 483\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 268(49), 211(62), 135(58), 134 \\ & (51), 120(80), 93(100), 91(89), 77(52) . \end{aligned}$ |
| Microanalysis | M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}$. |


| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 64.46$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.24$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.89$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 64.91$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.48$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.02$ |

## Preparation of 1.14 c \& 1.15c

The imine 1.13 c ( $0.211 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a ( 0.617 g, 2 mmol$)$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $1.14 \mathrm{c} \& 1.15 \mathrm{c}(0.338 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ in the ratio of $64: 36$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography to get nonpolar diastereomer 1.14c \& polar diastereomer 1.15c. The data for $1.14 c, 1.15 c \&$ mixture of $1.14 c \& 1.15 c$ is given below.
(3R,4S, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime}$ R, $4^{\prime}$ R, $6^{\prime}$ R)-3-[4'-Bromo-3' $, 7^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime}$ trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-

## 1,4-di(4-methoxyphenyl)- azetan-2-one 1.14c

Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. $: \quad 157^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+20\left(\mathrm{c} 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1750$.
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.50-0.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 1.18 (s, 3H), 1.30 (dd, $J=6,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.05(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H})$..
(3S,4R, $1^{\prime}$ S, $3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-3-[4'-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$ trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-
1,4-di(4-methoxyphenyl)- azetan-2-one 1.15c
Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. : $167^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad-123.56\left(\mathrm{c} 0.23, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1751$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.50-0.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{dd}, \quad J=$ $6,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.541 .70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $3,12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H} 0,3.7$ (s, 3H), 3.76 (m, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 5.0 (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.86 (d, $J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Data for mixture of 1.14c \& 1.15c

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $:$ |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\delta 15.51,15.69,18.01,18.63,18.98,19.60,21.46,21.71$, |
|  | $28.65,31.64,32.06,55.46,59.65,62.98,76,77.70,113.19$, |
|  | $114.48,118.97,126.19,126.41,130.07,130.22$, 131.19, |
|  | $156.34,159.96,165.23,165.58$. |

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrNO}_{4}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 63.04$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.27$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.72$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 6.79$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.31$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.01$ |

## Preparation of 1.14d \& 1.15d

The imine 1.13d ( $0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a ( 0.617 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d} \& 1.15 d(0.305 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ in the ratio of $40: 60$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography. The data for them is given below.
(3R,4S, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-3-[4-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-(4"'-methoxyphenyl)-4-[2'" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$-phenyl-(E)-1-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.14d

Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. : $166^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]_{25}^{\mathrm{D}_{25}} \quad: \quad+33^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1520,1750$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.55-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.05(\mathrm{~s}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=5,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.50(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=5$, $10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=10,15 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 6.70-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.
( $3 S, 4 R, 1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-3-[4'-Bromo-3' $, 7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-
(4'-methoxyphenyl)-4-[2"'-phenyl-(E)-1-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.15d.
Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. : $158-160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-122.9^{\circ}$ (c $1.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ).
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1750$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.55-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.45-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.5,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40$ (dd, $J=6,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75$ (dd, $J=5,10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10,15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.70-6.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.

## Data for the mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 15.36 \\ & 21.70, \\ & 62.33, \\ & 126.62, \\ & 135.76, \end{aligned}$ |  | , 19.35 , .01, 55.3 114.26, .14, 12 64.70, 164 | , 19.60, <br> 5, 60.14, <br> 118.63, <br> 128.60, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 511\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2\right. \\ & 115(100), \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & .8), 509 \\ & 83), 91 \text { (69) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $(35), 146$ |
| Microanalysis | M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{NNO}_{3}$ |  |  |
|  | Calculated | C : 65.99 | H: 6.33 | N : 2.75 |
|  | Obtained | C : 65.85 | H: 6.52 | N : 2.70 |

Preparation of 1.14e \& 1.15e

The imine 1.13e $(0.207 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with acid chloride 1.12a $(0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 e} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 e}(0.297 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$ in the ratio of 45:55, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography. The data for them is given below.
(3R,4S, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime}$ R, $4^{\prime}$ R, $6^{\prime}$ R)-3-[4'-Bromo-3', $\left.7^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}-t r i m e t h y l b i c y c l o[4.1 .0] h e p t-3 '-y l o x y\right]-1-~$ phenyt-4-[2"'-phenyl-(E)-1"'-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.14e

Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. $: \quad 184^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+20\left(\mathrm{c} 0.28, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1750$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.60-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \quad 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{~s}$, 3 H ), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.48 (dd, $J=4.84,14.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), \quad 4.05(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,10.44 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.98,8.83 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.15 (d, $J=5.04 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $8.83,16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.40$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$.
(3S,4R,1'S,3' R,4' R, $6^{\prime}$ R)-3-[4'-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-phenyt-4-[2"-phenyl-(E)-1"'-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.15e

Isolated as a white solid.
M.P. : $174^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad-103.90^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.41, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1758$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.58-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.40-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.18,13.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.40$ (dd, $J=4.80,8.80 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=8.90 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.78$ (dd, $J=5.10,8.77 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.34$ (dd, $J=8.78,15.55 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.98 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08$ (t, J = 7.29 Hz, 1H), 7.20-7.55 (m, 9H).

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 15.66,17.96,19.54,21.79,28.53,31.93,32.14,60.23, \\ & 62.25,77.91,78.05,117.37,124.24,125.02,126.92,128.20, \\ & 128.64,129.13,135.96,136.25,137.99,165.55 . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Data for the mixture of 1.14e \& 1.15e |  |  |  |  |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 481(\mathrm{M}+2,0.5 \%), 479(\mathrm{M}+, 0.5 \%), 264(35 \%), 135(35 \%), \\ & 115(100 \%), 93(56 \%), 91(43 \%), 77(34 \%) . \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| Microanalysis | M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} 7 \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$. |  |  |  |
|  | Calculated | C: 67.62 | H: 6.31 | $\mathrm{N}: 2.92$ |
|  | Obtained | C: 67.30 | H: 6.58 | N : 2.96 |

Preparation of $\left(3 R, 4 S, 1 S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, 1 S, 3^{\prime \prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) 3\left[4^{\prime}-\right.$ Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept $3^{\prime \prime}$-yloxy]-4-[2" "phenyl-(E)-1'"-ethenyl]-1-propyl-azetan-2-one1.14f \& 1.15f

The imine 1.13 f ( $0.173 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.13a ( 0.617 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 f} \& 1.15 f(0.143 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \%)$ in the ratio of $46: 54$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.

The diastereomeric mixture was isolated as an oil.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-43.27^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.63, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) .} \\
& \text { IR }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1648,1754 . \\
& { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } \quad: \quad \delta 0.5-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90,0.93,0.95,1.0(4 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.85- \\
& 2.5(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.28,1.39(2 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.15-3.4(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95- \\
& 4.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.4,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98 \& 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J \\
& =4 \mathrm{~Hz} \text {, total } 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23 \& 6.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.3,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{dd}, J= \\
& \text { 8.8, 16.1 Hz respect. Total } 1 \mathrm{H} \text { ), } 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \text {, } \\
& \text { 7.2-7.6 (m, 5H). } \\
& { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \quad: \quad \delta 11.13,14.99,15.17,17.41,18.92,19.07,20.72,21.35, \\
& 28.04,31.20,31.64,41.64,59.94,61.41,62.08,76.12,77.00 \text {, } \\
& 125.01,126.22,126.37,127.67,128.20,135.04,135.23 \text {, } \\
& \text { 135.96, 167.46. } \\
& \text { MS [m/e (\%)] : } 446(\mathrm{M}+1,0.5 \%), 233 \text { (46\%), } 232 \text { (30\%), } 230 \text { (100\%), } 202
\end{aligned}
$$

(66\%), 146 ( $46 \%$ ), 135 ( $57 \%$ ), 115 ( $89 \%$ ), 93 ( $76 \%$ ).
Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 64.57$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.23$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.14$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 64.15$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.50$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.39$ |

Preparation of (3R, 4S, $\left.1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, \sigma^{\prime} R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, I^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) 4$-Styryl-3-[4'-bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-cyclohexyl-azetan-2-one

### 1.14 g \& 1.15 g

The imine 1.13g ( $0.213 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a ( 0.617 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided inseparable diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 g} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 g}(0.218 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%)$ in the ratio of $44: 56$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.

The diastereomeric mixture was isolated as an oil.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-31.50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { IR }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) & : & 1743 . \\ { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : & \delta 0.55-0.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.85,0.90,0.93,0.97(\mathrm{~s}, \text { total } 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.24,\end{array}$ $1.36(\mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 1.1-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.38-3.56 (m, 1H), 3.94-4.06 (m, 1H), 4.87 \& 4.98 (d, J = 4.5 $\mathrm{Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), $6.22 \& 6.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $9.45 \& \mathrm{dd}, J=9.35,15.88 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), $6.55 \& 6.60$ (d, $J=15.88 \mathrm{~Hz}$, total 1 H ), 7.25-7.55 (m, 7H).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 15.24,15.46,17.81,19.17,19.50,21.23,21.63,25.01$, $28.32,30.42,31.45,31.70,31.92,51.85,53.46,59.13,60.19$, $60.60,61.26,77.47,77.87,126.47,126.65,127.05,127.79$, 128.45, 134.33, 134.52, 136.39, 166.90.

MS [m/e (\%)] : $487(\mathrm{M}+1,0.5 \%), 486$ (M+, 0.5\%), 272 ( $40 \%$ ), 271 (30\%), 270 ( $66 \%$ ), 242 ( $66 \%$ ), 146 ( $77 \%$ ), 115 ( $47 \%$ ), 93 ( $90 \%$ ).

Microanalysis $\quad: \quad$ M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 66.66$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.46$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.88$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 66.20$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.80$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.60$ |

Preparation of 1.14 h \& 1.15h

The imine $1.13 \mathrm{~h}(0.187 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with acid chloride 1.12a $(0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 h} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{1 . 1 5 h}(0.299 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%)$ in the ratio of 45:55, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. One of the diastereomers $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 h}$ could be separated by crystallization from pet ether/ dichloromethane system in $25 \%$ yield.
(3S,4R, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ )-3-[4'-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-(tert-butyl)-4-[2"'-phenyt(E)-1'-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.15h

Isolated as a white solid.

| M.P. | $132-133^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | $-33.70^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.54, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ). |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1215, 1365, 1743. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.50-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.87,0.90(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.32(9 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.5$, $14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.0(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.33$ (dd, $J=5.9,9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.5,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & 15.08,17.49,18.92,19.29,21.00,28.05,31.34,31.77,53.90, \\ & 58.93,60.73,76.05,76.36,126.20,127.63,128.36,134.07, \\ & 136.15,166.67 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | 459 ( $2.5 \%$ ), 246 ( $52 \%$ ), 243 ( $40 \%$ ), 188 ( $100 \%$ ), 172 ( $87 \%$ ), 146 ( $82 \%$ ), 135 ( $50 \%$ ), 115 ( $85 \%$ ), 93 (70\%). |
| Microanalysis | MF C $2_{25} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$. |
|  | Calculated C:65.21 H:7.44 N:3.04 |
|  | Obtained C:64.88 H:7.28 N: 3.31 |

Preparation of $\left(3 R, 4 S, 1 S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, 1 S, 3^{\prime \prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) 3-\left[4^{\prime \prime}-\right.$ Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept3'-yloxy]-4-[2-phenyt(E)-1-ethenyl]-1-[1-
phenyt(1R)-ethyl]-azetan-2-one 1.14i \& 1.15i

The imine $\mathbf{1 . 1 3 i}(0.235 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with acid chloride 1.13a $(0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $1.14 i \& 1.15 i(0.243 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%)$ in the ratio of $44: 56$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The data for the diastereomeric mixture is as follows.

| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $-53.14^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.7, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1450, 1494, 1743, 2939, 3014. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.88,0.92,0.95,1.00(4 \mathrm{~s}$, total 6 H$), 1.26 \& 1.38(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.6 \& 1.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-2.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.4-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.85-5.20 (m, 2H), 6.15-6.60 (m, 2H), 7.10-7.65 (m, 10H). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $: \delta 15.35,15.53,17.76,19.13,19.38,19.53,21.27,21.64$, 28.38, 31.50, 31.95, 51.27, 53.16, 59.05, 60.15, 60.70, 61.56, $76.73,77.74,125.34,126.50,126.69,127.33,127.69,127.88$, 128.52, 134.47, 136.39, 139.60, 141.09, 167.06, 167.37. |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad 509(\mathrm{M}+2,1), 508(\mathrm{M}+1,5), 507\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 294(38), 188(98), \\ & 146(89), 135(51), 105(100), 93(35) . \end{aligned}$ |

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 68.50$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.74$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.75$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 68.09$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.98$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.50$ |

preparation of $\left(3 R, 4 S, 1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, I^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) 1$-Benzyt3-[4'-bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethyl-bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-4-[2"'-phenyl(E)-1"-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.14j \& 1.15j

The imine 1.13j ( $0.221 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with acid chloride 1.12a (617 $\mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 j} \boldsymbol{\&} \mathbf{1 . 1 5 j}$ ( 232 $\mathrm{mg}, 47 \%$ ) in the ratio of $48: 52$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data The diastereomeric mixture was isolated as an oil.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}} & \\
\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) & : & -64.04^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.73, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \\
& : & 1215,1747,2927,3018 .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.50-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.87,0.92,0.96,1.02(4 \mathrm{~s}$, total 6 H$)$, $1.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.1-1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95$, 5.08 (d, J = 4.6 Hz , total 1H), $6.18 \& 6.33$ (dd, J = 9.0, 15 Hz \& dd, $\mathrm{J}=8.7,15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), 6.5 (close d, $\mathrm{J}=$ $15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 15.67,15.81,18.18,19.87,20.02,21.70,28.75,29.12$, $31.85,32.18,44.48,59.9,61.34,78.0,78.48,125.02,126.91$, $127.96,128.35,128.82,129.01,135.96,136.28,136.70$, 167.86.

MS [m/e (\%)] : $146(26 \%), 135(30 \%), 115(40 \%), 91(100 \%)$.
The preparation of $\left(3 R, 4 S, I^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, \sigma^{\prime} R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, 1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, \sigma^{\prime} R\right) 3-\left[4^{\prime}-\right.$ bromo-3', $7^{\prime \prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethyt $\left.\left(1^{\prime} S, \quad 3^{\prime} R, \quad 4^{\prime} R, \quad 6^{\prime \prime} R\right)-b i c y c l o[4.1 .0] h e p t-3^{\prime}-y l o x y\right]-1-\left(2^{\prime \prime \prime}-\right.$ furylmethyl)-4-[2""-phenyt(E)-1""-ethenyl]-azetan-2-one 1.14k \& 1.15k

The imine 1.13k ( $0.181 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 a}$ ( 0.617 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 k} \& 1.15 k(242 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \%)$ in the ratio of $45: 55$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data.

The diastereomeric mixture was isolated as a gum.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-30.38^{\circ}$ (c 1.54, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ ).
$\mathrm{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1736,1774$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.45-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90,0.94,0.97,1.01(4 \mathrm{~s}$, total 6 H$)$, $1.27,1.38(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 1.15-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24 \&$ $4.26(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.50,9.50 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{dd}, J=4.6,9.44 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), $4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96 \& 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.50$ $\mathrm{Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=4.60 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), 6.10-6.45 (m, 3H), 6.54 ( 2 close d, $J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$, total 1 H ), $6.90-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 15.81,18.20,19.89,21.73,22.08,28.77,32.10,32.35,37.07$,

59.11, 60.54, 61.81, 62.36, 77.56, 78.14, 108.88, 110.79, $124.77,126.97,128.33,128.88,136.21,136.82,142.80$, 149.44, 167.66.

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 64.26$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.24$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.89$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 63.80$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.50$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.60$ |

## Preparation of 1.141 \& 1.15 I

The imine 1.131 ( $0.145 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.131 ( 0.617 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of cis $\beta$-lactams $1.141 \& 1.151(0.121 \mathrm{~g}, 29 \%)$ in the ratio of $45: 55$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. One of the diastereomers 1.141 was obtained in the pure form by fractional crystallization.
(3R, 4S, 1'S, 3'R, 4'R, $6^{\prime} R$ ) 3-[4'-Bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-phenyl-4-(1"'propenyl))-azetan-2-one 1.141

Isolated as white solid
M.P. $\quad 148-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+30^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.54, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1382,1496,1598,1749$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.6-0.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.7-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.97 \& 1.02(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.33 (s, 3H), 1.45 (dd, $J=4.6,14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 1.77 (dd, $J=$ $1.4,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,10.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.0,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.57-5.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 15.56,17.79,17.92,18.00,19.41,21.64,28.39,31.06$, $32.05,60.15,61.76,76.53,77.75,117.28,123.95,126.62$, 128.90, 132.55, 137.84, 165.57.

MS [m/e (\%)] : $449(\mathrm{M}+2,6 \%), 202$ ( $83 \%$ ), 172 (50\%), 146 (100\%), 135 (83\%), 93 ( $98 \%$ ), 77 ( $55 \%$ ).

Microanalysis $\quad: \quad$ M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 63.16$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.75$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.35$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 62.88$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.90$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.10$ |

Preparation of $\left(1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R, 3 S, 4 R\right) \&\left(1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R, 3 R, 4 S\right) 3-\left[4^{\prime}-\right.$ Chloro-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-1-(4"-methoxyphenyl)-4-[2"" -phenyl(E)-1""-ethenylf-azetan-2-one 1.16 d \& 1.17 d

The imine 1.13d ( $0.237 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride $\mathbf{1 . 1 2 b}$ ( 0.528 $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 6 d} \& 1.17 \mathbf{d}(0.279 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ in the ratio of $40: 60$, which was determined from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral data. The data for the diasteromeric mixture is given below.

| M.P. | $:$ | $128-131^{\circ} \mathrm{c}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $:$ | $-44.63^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.69, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |

IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: 1750$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.55-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.90,0.96(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}$, total $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33,1.40(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 1.35-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.04$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78-4.2(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68 \& 4.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $4.7,8.90 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, respect. Total 1 H ), 5.18 \& $5.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.7 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ respect. Total 1 H ), 6.32 , 6.4 ( $2 \mathrm{dd}, J=8.90,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, total 1 H ), $6.68-7.58$ (total 10 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 15.49,15.50,16.70,17.88,19.35,19.49,20.67,21,28.46$, $30.67,31.04,32.21,32.41,35.42,61.95,62.55,65.08,66.08$, $77.90,77.27,77.30,78.38,114.36,118.63,125.23,126.71$, 126.81, 128, 128.27, 128.63, 128.73, 135.90, 136.03, 136.19, 136.30, 155.29.

MS [m/e (\%)] : 465 ( $0.1 \%$ ), 294 ( $38 \%$ ), 266 ( $55 \%$ ), 238 ( $42 \%$ ), 146 ( $100 \%$ ), 135 (36\%), 115 (56\%), 105 (16\%), 91 ( $16 \%$ ).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{ClNO}_{3}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 72.17$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.92$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.01$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 71.70$ | $\mathrm{H}: 7.04$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.87$ |
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## Chapter II

# Practical Synthesis of Optically Pure 3-Hydroxy $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams by Zinc Induced Removal of Chiral Auxiliary 

## 2.1 : Introduction

Besides being used for the synthesis of a variety of $\beta$-lactam antibiotics, the $\beta$ lactam skeleton has been recognized as a useful precursor for various non- $\beta$-lactam derivatives ( $\beta$-lactam synthon approach). ${ }^{2}$ It has been shown that a suitably substituted 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactam can serve as a synthetic equivalent for the phenylisoserine, ${ }^{3}$ a side chain of taxol or can be directly coupled with baccatin $I I I^{4}$ to give taxol. The syntheses of suitably substituted ( $3 R, 4 S$ ) 3 -hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams by diastereoselective cycloaddition reaction, ${ }^{3-6}$ borohydride reduction ${ }^{7}$ of 3 ketoazetidinones and resolution ${ }^{8}$ of $( \pm)$-3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams have been reported.

The major use of 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams is in the asymmetric synthesis of taxol side chain. ${ }^{9}$ Farina's approach ${ }^{10}$ involves the use of bulkier amine as a chiral component in the Staudinger reaction (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1


Ojima ${ }^{11}$ et. al. have used ester enolate-imine approach in which silyl protected lithium ester enolate is used effectively (scheme 2$)^{11 a}$ to give required 3-hydroxy $\beta$ lactam.

Scheme 2


As ester enolate-imine cyclocondensation approach involves the use of moisture sensitive enolates and unstable silylimines, it limits the applicability as well as the practical usefulness of this methodology.

Brown ${ }^{12}$ has recently reported asymmetric synthesis of 3 -acetoxy- $\beta$-lactam, which involve the use of 1-(p-methoxyphenyl)propylamine as a chiral amine (Scheme $3)$.

## Scheme 3



Intramolecular cyclisation of N -(p-methoxyphenyl)-( $2 S, \quad 3 R$ )-2-acetoxy-3-bromo-3-phenylpropionamaide was used as a new synthetic route for the preparation of 3-hydroxy-4-phenylazetidinone (Scheme 4). ${ }^{13}$ The key steps included catalytic asymmetric dihydroxylation of N -(p-methoxyphenyl)-trans-cinnamide followed by bromoacetylation. The overall yield of the reaction was $51 \%$.

Scheme 4


## 2.2 : Present Work

The Chapter-1 dealt with the use of carane bromo acid 1.11a effectively as a chiral ketene precursor in the asymmetric synthesis of novel $\beta$-lactam derivatives. It was necessary to recover ( + )-3-carene, a chiral pool auxiliary from the $\beta$-lactam
derivatives. We envisioned that a 2-halo-ether linkage could be a useful handle to remove the chiral auxiliary from the system. Present chapter describes our attempts towards the development of methodology to get enantiomerically pure 3-hydroxy- $\beta$ lactams, one of them is an advanced intermediate for taxol side chain as shown in the following scheme.


## $2.3 \quad$ : Results \& Discussion

## Attempted Cleavage of 1.14 \& 1.15

The halo series of $\beta$-lactams $1.14 \& 1.15$ (section B, chapter 1) were obtained as diastereomeric mixtures with rather low diastereoselectivity. However, in most of the cases, it was possible to separate these diastereomers either by fractional crystallization or by flash column chromatography.


The initial attempts were concentrated on the cleavage of halo ether linkage, O $\mathrm{C} 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{Br}$. The $\alpha-\mathrm{Br}$ at $\mathrm{C} 4^{\prime}$ (equatorial) was poised in such a way that it could not be removed easily by elimination. The yields of the eliminated products were low and a number of side products were invariably obtained.

The literature reports on the use of alkali metals like $\mathrm{Na},{ }^{14} \mathrm{Mg},{ }^{15} \mathrm{Li}^{16}$ for the cleavage of haloether linkages prompted us to try these metals as a reagent of choice. However, removal of chiral auxiliary from $\mathbf{1 . 1 4}$ \& $\mathbf{1 . 1 5}$ using sodium or activated magnesium under reflux conditions in dry ether or dry THF, was unsuccessful. In most
of the cases either starting material was recovered or polymerized products were obtained.

We came across a report on the use of Zn /acetic acid (Boord Reaction) for the cleavage of haloether linkage. Boord reaction ${ }^{17}$ is a useful reaction applicable for the cleavage of haloether linkages. This reaction is generally a clean and high yielding reaction. We applied this reaction to the halo derivatives of $\beta$-lactams and it was possible to cleave the bromo-ether linkage from the system in almost quantitative yield.

The typical Boord reaction conditions involve heating of haloethers in alcohol with Zn , with small amount of acetic acid used as a catalyst. One of the products of this reaction is olefin, which is generally a low boiling, volatile liquid. Excellent reproducibility, high yields, use of easily available reagents and simple experimental conditions mark the salient features of this reaction.

## Cleavage of 1.14 \& 1.15 Using Boord Reaction Conditions

In most of the cases the diastereomers $\mathbf{1 . 1 4} \& 1.15$ (chapter 1, section B) were obtained as crystalline solids, which could be separated by fractional crystallization.

The $\beta$-lactam 1.14b was reacted with activated Zn in presence of catalytic amount of acetic acid under methanol reflux conditions (Scheme 5). The reaction was over within half an hour. The crude reaction mixture was a white solid, which was purified by crystallization.

Scheme 5

2.01b was obtained as a crystalline solid with $\mathrm{mp} 200-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The IR spectrum of the product 2.01b showed a peak at $1716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of $\beta$-lactam amide. A broad peak at $3328 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated presence of hydroxyl group.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product 2.01b was simplified, as the peaks corresponding to the carane ring system (between 1-3 $\delta$ ) were absent. This clearly indicated the fact that the haloether linkage has been cleaved during the reaction.

A singlet at 3.75 corresponding to the OMe group was present. The $\beta$-lactam proton H 3 appeared as a doublet at 5.30 with $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and the other $\beta$ lactam proton H-4 appeared at 5.20 as a dd with coupling constant $J=5.4 \quad \& 8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The high coupling constant indicated the presence of cis stereochemistry of $\beta$-lactam ring protons. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets in the region 6.80-7.60. The optical rotation was found to be $+177.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.

In another reaction, $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 b}$ was subjected to the above conditions. The product $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 b}$ obtained was a crystalline solid with $\mathrm{mp} 197-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The IR spectrum was identical to the 2.01b. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR was also identical to $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 b}$, which showed a singlet
 at 3.75 for methoxy group of PMP moiety. Two
doublets at $5.15(J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 5.25(J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ were assigned to $\mathrm{H}-3 \& \mathrm{H}-4$ of the $\beta$-lactam ring. Aromatic protons were seen as multiplets in the region 6.80-7.50. The optical rotation for $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 b}$ was $-177.4^{\circ}$ (c $1.0, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The optical rotation as well the other physical properties clearly showed that $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 b} \& \mathbf{2 . 0 2 b}$ were enantiomers

2.02b


The generality of this cleavage reaction was established by applying it to the distereomers $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 a - d} \& 1.15 a-d$ and the results are listed in Table 1.


Table 1. Synthesis of 3-hydroxy-cis- $\beta$-lactams 2.01a-b or 2.02a-d from opticaly pure diastereomers 1.14a-b or 1.15a-d.

| Prod. | R ${ }^{1}$ | R ${ }^{2}$ | Yield $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | mp <br> ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}} \\ \text { (conc. } \mathrm{In} \mathrm{~g} / 100 \mathrm{ml} \text { ) } \end{gathered}$ | Config |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.01a | Ph | Ph | 96 | 217-218 | $+190.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ | $3 R, 4 S$ |
| 2.02a | Ph | Ph | 96 | 216-217 | $-188.7^{\circ}$ ( $\mathrm{c}^{0.39, ~} \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) | 3S, 4R |
| 2.01b | Ph | PMP | 95 | 197-198 | $+177.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ | 3R, 4S |
|  |  |  |  |  | lit. ${ }^{9}+176{ }^{\circ}$ (c $1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) |  |
| 2.02b | Ph | PMP | 95 | 200-201 | $-177.4^{\circ}$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) | 3S, $4 R$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | lit. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}-179^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ |  |
| 2.02c | PMP | PMP | 98 | 132-133 | $-181.90^{\circ}$ (c $0.93, \mathrm{CHCb}_{3}$ ) | 3S, 4R |
| 2.02d | Styryl | PMP | 96 | 156 | $-236{ }^{\circ}$ (c 0.01, MeOH) | 3S, 4R |
|  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{6 e}-237^{\circ}$ (c $\left.0.01, \mathrm{MeOH}\right)$ |  |

In all the cases, 3 -hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams ( $\mathbf{2} . \mathbf{0 2} \& 2.03$ ) were obtained in almost quantitative yields. The isolation and purification of the products was simple as no side products were formed during the reaction. In some of the cases (2.02b, 2.02d \& 2.01b), optical rotation \& physical properties were compared with the literature values of the reported compounds \& they were in full agreement (Table 1). From the optical rotation it was possible to assign the absolute configuration of the 3-hydroxy- $\beta$ -
lactams. The absolute configuration of $3 R, 4 S$ was assigned to 2.01a-b while $3 S, 4 R$ absolute configuration was assigned to 2.02a-d.

## Isolation of Chiral Auxiliary

The isolation of 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams posed no problems. However, isolation of the ( + )-3-carene needed more attention. Since (+)-3-carene is a highly volatile liquid, it could be lost easily under the experimental conditions used. Since isolation of a chiral auxiliary is an important step in an asymmetric synthesis, it became essential to modify the experimental set up with the aim to isolate (+)-3-carene formed in the reaction mixture.

In a modified experimental procedure, the methanolic solution of 1.14b containing catalytic amount of acetic acid was continuously distilled rather than refluxed over activated zinc. The distilled methanol was partitioned between water and pet ether. (+)-3-Carene, which being soluble in pet. ether was isolated by the distillation of the pet ether layer. The ( + )-3-carene was isolated in about $90 \%$ yield. It was chemically and optically pure as confirmed by comparison with the data known for the authentic specimen of (+)-3-carene.

## 2.4 : Summary

The $\beta$-lactams with $4^{\prime}$-bromocarane chiral auxiliary were successfully cleaved by refluxing their methanolic solution in the presence of $\mathrm{Zn} /$ acetic acid system. The bromoether linkage on cleavage offered optically pure 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams in almost quantitative yields along with (+)-3-carene as the only other product. Optical purity of the 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams was used to assign the absolute configuration of both, the parent $\beta$-lactam as well as the 3-hydroxy- $\beta$-lactams. A practical procedure was developed for the isolation and recovery of (+)-3-carene, the side product of the reaction, which could be recovered in about $90 \%$ yield.

## 2.5 : Experimental

Procedure for the Preparation of (3S, 4R) 3-Hydroxy-1-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-4-phenytcis- $\beta$-lactam 2.02b

To a solution of $\beta$-lactam 1.15b ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 10.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in methanol ( 150 mL ), activated $\mathrm{Zn}(6.35 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ and glacial acetic acid ( 2.5 mL ) was added with stirring. The reaction mixture was then heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with continuous removal of methanol over a period of 3 h .

## Isolation of (+)-3-carene

The distilled methanol from reaction mixture was diluted with ice-cold water $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with pet. ether $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined pet. ether extracts was washed with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 50 mL ) and finally with brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over sodium sulphate. The solvent was removed by distillation and residue was purified by Kugelrohr distillation to give 1.26 g ( $90 \%$ ) of pure (+)-3carene. The IR, NMR, and optical rotation were identical with the authentic (+)-3carene sample.

## Isolation of $\beta$-Lactam 2.02b

The residue from the reaction mixture was treated with dichloromethane (100 mL ) and filtered, the solid was washed with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrate was successively washed with dil. $\mathrm{HCl}(5 \%, 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 x 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL}))$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The removal of solvent gave 2.50 g (90\%) of 2.02b as a white crystalline solid.
M.P. : $200-201^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad-177.4^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1716,3328$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.15-7.60 (m, 7H).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 71.36$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.61$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 5.20$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 70.84$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.86$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 5.02$ |

Preparation of (3R,4S)-1-(4'-Methoxyphenyl)-4-phenyt3-hydroxyazetidin-2-one $2.01 b$

A mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 b}(0.483 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and activated $\mathrm{Zn}(0.635 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ with acetic acid $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h so as to provide $\mathbf{2 . 0 1 b}$ $(0.256 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. : $\quad 197-199^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+178.2^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.33, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1716,3328$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$.

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$.
: Calculated $\mathrm{C}: 71.36 \quad \mathrm{H}: 5.61 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 5.20$
Obtained $\quad$ C:71.65 H:5.80 N:5.49

## Preparation of (3S,4R)-1,4-Diphenyl-3-hydroxyazetin-2-one 2.02a

A mixture of $1.15 \mathrm{a}(0.453 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and activated $\mathrm{Zn}(0.635 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ with acetic acid $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ for 1 h so as to provide 2.02a $(0.229 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. : $\quad 216-217^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad-188.7^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.39, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1716,2852,3328$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-7.55$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$.
: Calculated C:75.30 H:5.48 N:5.85
Obtained
C: 75.68
H : 5.65
$\mathrm{N}: 6.10$

## Preparation of (3R,4S)-1,4-Diphenyl-3-hydroxyazetidin-2-oneb 2.01a

A mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 a}(0.453 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and activated $\mathrm{Zn}(0.635 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ with acetic acid ( 0.25 mL ) was refluxed in methanol ( 15 mL ) for 1 h so as to provide 2.01a $(0.229 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. : $\quad 217-218^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+190.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.7, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1740,2852,3350$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 5.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-7.50$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}$ ).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$.

| $:$ | Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 75.30$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.48$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}: 5.85$ |  |  |  |
|  | Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 75.14$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.43$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}: 5.89$ |  |  |  |

## Preparation of (3S,4R)-1,4-Di-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3-hydroxyazetidin-2-one 2.02c

A mixture of $1.15 \mathrm{c}(0.513 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, activated $\mathrm{Zn}(0.635 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol ( 15 mL ) was refluxed for 1 h so as to provide $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 c}$ $(0.293 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. $\quad 132^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-181.9^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.93, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1726,2852,3301$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25$
$(\mathrm{d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$.
: Calculated $\mathrm{C}: 68.21 \quad \mathrm{H}: 5.72 \quad \mathrm{~N}: 4.68$

## Preparation <br> of <br> (3S,4R)-3-Hydroxy-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-(2-

 phenylethenyl)azetidin-2-one 2.02dA mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}(0.509 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$, activated $\mathrm{Zn}(0.635 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, acetic acid $(0.25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and methanol ( 15 mL ) was refluxed for 1 h so as to provide $\mathbf{2 . 0 2 d}$ $(0.283 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a white solid.

$$
\text { M.P. } \quad: \quad 156-157^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .
$$

$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-236^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.01, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1737,3340$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.2,7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{bd}, \quad J=$ $5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-7.00(\mathrm{~m}$, 3 H ), 7.20-7.65 (m, 7H)

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$

| : Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 73.20$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.80$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 4.74$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 73.59$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.02$ |
| $\mathrm{~N}: 5.00$ |  |  |  |
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## Chapter III

# Diastereoselective Synthesis of <br> <br> Tetracyclic $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams Using <br> <br> Tetracyclic $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams Using Radical Cyclization 

 Radical Cyclization}

## 3.1 : Introduction

Almost 100 years ago, Moses Gomberg's paper, 'An Instance of Trivalent Carbon: Triphenylmethyl' appeared in the December $5^{\text {th }}$, 1900 issue of the Journal of American Chemical Society. The concluding cautionary note of the paper was 'This work will be continued and I wish to reserve the field to myself'. This was just the beginning of radical chemistry and Gomberg was in true sense the pioneer of radical chemistry.

Initially, radical chemistry was restricted to gas phase reactions due to their erratic, capricious nature and they involved highly reactive species with poor synthetic utility. However, latter on with pioneering work by Kharash, ${ }^{2}$ Julia, ${ }^{3}$ Lamb, ${ }^{4}$ followed by physical organic work by Ingold, ${ }^{5}$ Walling ${ }^{6}$ and recently by Beckwith, ${ }^{5}$ Curran, Porter, Giese, ${ }^{7}$ Newcomb, ${ }^{8}$ the concept of radical chemistry has changed dramatically and it has become a powerful branch of synthetic organic chemistry.

Among radical reactions, the ring construction by free radical cyclisation ${ }^{9}$ has been accepted as a useful technique and an important synthetic methodology, especially in the total synthesis of natural products. ${ }^{10}$ A large body of research and systematic studies that has gone into this subject has led to the evolution of certain principles and guidelines ${ }^{11}$ regarding the stereochemistry of radical cyclisation. Hexenyl radical cyclisation is the most efficient and well-studied cyclization from mechanistic as well as synthetic applications point of view. The general stereochemical outcome of such kind of cyclisation can be predicted by using Beckwith's transition state model (Scheme 1). ${ }^{11}$

Scheme 1


Beckwith's Transition state model for hexenyl radical cyclisation

This model invokes a transition state in hexenyl radical cyclisation, which has a long incipient bond ( $d \sim 2.3 \AA$ ), which is not much different from that between $C_{1} \& C_{5}$ in cyclohexane (ca. $2.5 \AA$ ).

## Radical Chemistry of $\beta$-Lactams

The increasing bacterial resistance ${ }^{12}$ to the classical antibiotics led to the development of novel multicyclic $\beta$-lactam systems. Since the biological activity of $\beta$ lactam antibiotics is correlated with the enhanced chemical reactivity of the strained 4 membered ring function, ${ }^{13}$ the major modification has been in the ring, attached from the nitrogen. The synthesis and biological testing of the novel molecular nuclei, different from the classical $\beta$-lactams like penicilins and cephalosporins, led to the discovery of synthetic antibiotics like oxacephems, carbacephems, thienamycin, PS-5 and so on.

The limited stability of these antibiotics to the ionic conditions and susceptibility to nucleophilic reagents made researchers to design their synthetic plans based on the free radical methodology. The general free radical based strategy involves the construction of appropriately functionalised and properly substituted $\beta$-lactams, which provides molecular framework suitable for cyclization (Scheme 2).

## Scheme 2



The molecular appendages in the form of substituted double bond attached to the nitrogen or C-4 carbon of the $\beta$-lactam ring acts as a radical acceptor while functional groups like halo, phenylseleno, phenylthio or acetylenic triple bond acts as a radical progenitor species.

## Synthesis of Oxacephams, Oxapenams and Oxabicyclo $\beta$-Lactam Derivatives

Bachi introduced and successfully used radical methodologies in the $\beta$-lactam field, which he first applied for the synthesis of oxacephems. As most of these antibiotics are highly susceptible towards nucleophilic reagents, he thought that, free radical cyclisation could be a method of choice. His strategy involved use of N chloromethyl $\beta$-lactams as substrates for the synthesis of oxacephems. The radical acceptors in the form acetylenic or allylic substituents at $\mathrm{C}-4$ position were used. These derivatives when subjected to the typical radical cyclization conditions, terminal olefinic derivatives cyclized exclusively in 7-endo fashion, while substituted olefinic derivatives furnished 6 membered ring by 6 exo annelation (Scheme 3). ${ }^{14}$

## Scheme 3



The instability of N -chloro- $\beta$-lactams made him prepare N -phenylseleno and N -phenylthio derivatives. ${ }^{15}$ Under typical radical cyclisation conditions they cyclized in exclusively 7 -endo fashion. Similarly, radical acceptors in the form of terminal as well as substituted acetylenic derivatives were prepared and their behavior under radical cyclization conditions was tested. ${ }^{16}$ In this case also terminal acetylenic compounds cyclized in 7-endo fashion, while substituted acetylenic derivatives cyclized in 6exo manner.

Recently, Bachi has reported the synthesis of oxahomocephem, which is obtained by sequential reaction. ${ }^{17}$ It involves homolytic intermolecular addition, intramolecular hydrogen transfer, endo intramolecular addition and $\beta$-elimination as consecutive steps (Scheme 4).

Scheme 4


## Carbacephems, Carbapenems and their Derivatives

Carbodethia analogues of cephems or penems are called carbapenems or carbacephems. ${ }^{18}$ They are proved highly effective as $\beta$-lacatmase inhibitors. Kametani first used radical cyclisation methodology in which he used phenylthio or phenyseleno as radical progenitors. The substituted terminal olefinic or acetylene derivatives attached to the nitrogen of the central $\beta$-lactam core acted as radical acceptors. The olefinic derivatives cyclized efficiently, yielding substituted carbacephems in about $66 \%$ yield, While acetylenic derivatives cyclized sluggishly. The annulation took place essentially by 6-endo mode to give [4.2.0] bicyclic ring skeleton (Scheme 5). ${ }^{19}$

Scheme 5



Bachi, has also reported the synthesis of carbapenams and carbacephams by employing modified strategy that he used for the synthesis of oxacephems. He showed that homolytic cyclization of alkenyl $\beta$-lactams afforded carbapenams by 5-exo addition, when vicinally disubstituted double bond was involved, while carbacephams were the sole products by 6-endo cyclisation when terminal double bond was involved.

Interestingly, a cyclized product with the incorporation of Ph group from benzene, used as a solvent was also obtained in $14 \%$ (Scheme 6). ${ }^{20}$

## Scheme 6



Parsons used strategy based on vinyl radical cyclisation. He used both, photochemical as well as thermal conditions for radical cyclization. In an interesting observation he found that under high dilution conditions the product obtained by 6 endo cyclisation was the major one (Scheme 7). ${ }^{21}$

## Scheme 7






However, when 4 -substituted analogue was cyclized, the product obtained was carbapenam, which was annulated by 5-exo mode. The result was difficult to rationalize on thermodynamic as well as kinetic basis.

Recently, Miller has reported the use of manganese (III) acetate promoted free radical cyclisation methodology for the synthesis of functionalised carbapenams. In the targeted synthesis of Loracarbef, a chloro functionalised carbapenem, he developed a methodology in which the substituted carbapenems were obtained in $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 8). ${ }^{22}$

## Scheme 8



## Synthesis of Bezocarbapenems and Benzocarbacephems

Benzocarbapenems ${ }^{23}$ belong to the class of tricyclic $\beta$-lactams, which are designed as suicide inactivators of $\beta$-lactamase. The known instability of these systems under ionic conditions led to the exploration of radical based methodologies for their synthesis. ${ }^{24}$

Just has reported the synthesis of strained tricyclic azetidinone using free radical cyclization based methodology (Scheme 9). ${ }^{25}$

Scheme 9


He observed that the benzocarbapenems, obtained by 5-exo mode were unstable while the benzocarbacephems were stable compounds.

Bose \& Manhas used aryl radical cyclization strategy to prepare benzocarbacephems. The use of properly substituted imine, obtainable from obromobenzaldehyde and allyl amine was the key step in this methodology. This imine on cycloaddition with acid chlorides afforded $\beta$-lactams with ideal functionalities for radical cyclisation. Interestingly, 6-exo cyclization was the predominant mode of annulation (Scheme 10). ${ }^{26}$

Scheme 10


In another aryl mediated cyclisation strategy, Alcaide, has made use of imines derived from o-bromoaniline and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehyde. The $\beta$-lactams cyclized predominantly by 5 -exo mode, however, with terminal double bonded derivatives, 6 endo was a competing pathway (Scheme 11). ${ }^{27}$

Scheme 11


Recently, Alcaide ${ }^{28}$ has reported a novel cyclisation and rearrangement process based on aryl-aryl coupling methodology.

The regio and stereocontrolled radical cyclisation of enyne $\beta$-lactams to furnish tin functionalised $\beta$-lactams is reported by using of enynes as radical progenitors.

Proteiodestanylation followed by ozonolysis was used to convert these $\beta$-lactams into the corresponding oxo derivatives (Scheme 12). ${ }^{29}$

Scheme 12


In another approach, 4thiyl radical addition onto a substituted N -allyl double bond using Fe (III) and Mn (II) salts is studied. The primary radical formed initially by 5-exo cyclisation, rearranges to give 6-membered ring, a cephem derivative in poor to moderate yield (Scheme 13). ${ }^{30}$

Scheme 13


Beckwith has studied the formation of fused tricyclic azetidinone by intramolecular radical addition ( $\mathrm{SH}^{2}$ process). In a detailed physiochemical study he determined the approximate rate constants for ring closure, $K_{\mathrm{c}}$ and unimolecular hydrogen atom transfer $K_{1, \text { x. }}$ (Scheme 14). ${ }^{31}$

Scheme 14



## 3.2 : Present Work

The radical chemistry of $\beta$-lactams is mainly used as a methodology for the synthesis of bicyclic antibiotics. This involves the use of radical generator either attached at the C3 carbon or at the nitrogen of the $\beta$-lactam ring. However, the radical cyclisation involving styryl double bond as a radical acceptor and the radical generator at the $\mathrm{C}-4$ of the azetidinone ring (Scheme 15) are rarely addressed.

## Scheme 15



Earlier two Chapters dealt with the synthesis and use of novel $\beta$-lactam derivatives, derived from carane bromo acid. These $\beta$-lactams were suitable radical systems with radical acceptors in the form of styryl double bond and bromine attached to the carane skeleton as a radical generator. This chapter describes the reactivity of these $\beta$-lactams under radical conditions. A study regarding the synthesis of novel tetracyclic ring systems and their stereochemistry is presented. The use of NMR spectroscopy as well as single crystal X-ray analysis in the structure determination of the multicyclic $\beta$-lactam derivatives is also discussed.

## $3.3 \quad$ : Results \& Discussion

## Radical Cyclisation of C-4 Styryl Substituted $\beta$-Lactam Derivatives: Cyclisation of

 1.13d-l \&/or 1.14d-IThe unique feature associated with all the $\beta$-lactam derivatives $1.14 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}$ \& 1.15d-i is the presence of bromine at $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}-4$ centre and presence of styryl or crotyl double bond attached at the C4 centre of the central azetidinone nucleus. This system
was ideal system for a radical cyclization and it was interesting to study the efficiency of such kind of cyclization.

In a typical procedure, to a refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam in benzene was added tin hydride, in the presence of AIBN as a radical initiator. No high dilution condition or syringe pump technique was used. In most of the cases the reaction was over within 1 h as could be judged from the TLC as well as the analysis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture.

The product could be easily purified by flash column chromatography and the removal of tin impurity was never a problem. It was not necessary to treat the reaction mixture with KF solution and the products obtained were sufficiently pure as could be analyzed from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR or the microanalysis of the product (3.01d-i \& 3.02d-I).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the product revealed that it was a mixture of two diastereomers (Scheme 16).

Scheme 16

1.14d-i
and / or

$\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Benzene reflux } \\ \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH} / \text { AIBN }}]{ }$
1-2 h


3.01d-i
and / or


d $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{PMP}$
e $R 3=P h, R 2=P h$
f $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R} 2=$ propyl
g R3 $=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R} 2=$ cyclohexyl
h R ${ }^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R} 2=$ tert. butyl
i $\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{R} 2=(\mathrm{R})-(+)-\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Me}) \mathrm{CH}$

Table 1 summarises the results of radical cyclisation for the diastereomeric mixtures.

Table 1. Radical cyclization of the diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams

| Reactant No. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Product no. | Time (h) | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.14 d \& 1.15d | Ph | PMP | 3.01d \& 3.02d | $1 / 2$ | 96 |
| 1.14e \& 1.15e | Ph | Ph | 3.01e \& 3.02e | 1 | 83 |
| 1.14f \& 1.15 f | Ph | $n$-propyl | 3.01f \& 3.02f | 1 | 60 |
| 1.14 g \& 1.15g | Ph | Cyclohexyl | 3.01 g \& 3.02g | 2 | 72 |
| 1.14 h \& 1.15h | Ph | $t$-Butyl | 3.01h \& 3.02h | 1 | 87 |
| $1.14 i \& 1.15 i$ | Ph | (+)-R- $\alpha$-methylbenzyl | 3.01i \& 3.02i | 2 | 72 |

As shown in the Table 1, the diastereomeric mixture was highly efficient radical system and reacted smoothly under normal conditions of radical cyclisation. In a typical reaction, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ was added over 2-3 minutes to the refluxing solution of the starting material in benzene containing catalytic amount of AIBN. There was no need to use high dilution conditions or syringe pump techniques. Interestingly there was no product observed arising from the reduction of the starting material.

Table 2 shows the selected data for cyclised products obtained from the radical cyclisation of diastereomeric mixture or single diaste reomer.

Table 2. Selected data for the cyclised produts

| Product no. | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | Yield <br> $(\%)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | m.p. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | $[\alpha] \mathrm{D}$ <br> 3.02d |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (conc. In $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml})$ |  |  |  |  |  |

A general problem associated with the radical reactions is the removal of tin impurities formed in the reaction mixture. A further treatment with saturated KF solution is generally needed. But in case of the cyclized products 3.01d-i \& 3.02d-i,

KF treatment was not required for the removal of tin impurities and the products could be easily purified by flash column chromatography.

In all the cases, IR spectra of the cyclized products showed a typical amide carbonyl peak around $1740-1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The mass spectra showed a molecular ion peak in most of the cases.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture obtained from the cyclisation of diastereomeric mixture showed presence of only two cyclized products, arising one from each diastereomer. Absence of any peaks in the region 6.0-6.50 (that of styryl double bond) clearly indicated that there was no formation of any reduced product. The formation of 6 -membered ring was further confirmed from the typical pattern of the benzylic protons.

Table 3. Characteristic ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data for the cyclised products


| 3.02h | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2 \end{aligned}$ | $4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ <br> 3.44 (dd, $J=4.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ <br> 2.65 (dd, $J=12.8,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ <br> 3.28 (dd, $J=4.4,13.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ | $\frac{1}{4.5} \cdot$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.02i | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a} \\ & \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH} 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \\ 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \end{gathered}$ |  |

## Synthesis of 3.01d

The diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 d} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 d}$ was separated by fractional crystallization to obtain the individual diastereromers in the pure form. The diastereomer 1.14 d was subjected to the typical conditions of radical cyclisation (Scheme 17). The reaction was over within $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (TLC).

Scheme 17


The product obtained after work up was purified by flash column chromatography. The tin impurity was removed easily by elution with pet ether. The pure product was obtained from repeated flash column chromatography as a foamy solid (3.01d).

The IR of 3.01d showed a peak at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating presence of $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl. The mass spectrum showed a molecular ion peak at 431.

the region of $6-6.75$ (corresponding to the styryl double bond) which ruled out the possibility of reduced product being formed. Two multiplets in the region 0.35-0.50 could be assigned to the two-cyclopropyl methine protons H-4a \& H-5a. The two singlets at $0.95 \& 1.0$ could be assigned to the two gem-dimethyl protons. The protons $\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-6$ \& H6a attached to the carane ring were seen as multiplets in the region 1.0 1.70. The benzylic protons were observed as a doublet with $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$. A singlet at 3.78 was due to the methoxy protons of the PMP group. The $\beta$-lactam proton H-7a was seen as dd at 3.95 with $J=1.7 \& 5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and other $\beta$-lactam proton H 2 a was seen as a doublet at 4.94 with $J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets in the region 6.80-7.40.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed peak at 18.51 that of the tertiary carbon C-5.
Methylene carbons C4 \& C6 were seen at 26.59 \& 31.37. The benzylic carbon appeared at 40.13. The $\beta$ lactam carbons $\mathrm{C}-7 \mathrm{a}$ and C 2a appeared at 52.46 and 75.43 respectively. Methoxy carbon of PMP was seen at 55.13. Quaternary carbon C3a was seen at 77.04.


Aromatic carbons appeared at $114.35,118.47,126.25,128.41,128.65,130.38,139.58$ $\& 156.22$. The amide carbonyl carbon of the $\beta$-lactam ring was seen at 166.43 .

The additional support for this structural assignment was obtained from the COSY NMR of 3.01d (Fig 1).


Fig. 1. COSY NMR of 3.01d.
Table 4. Important COSY connectivities for 3.01d

| Proton <br> no. | Chemical <br> shift <br> (multiplicity) | COSY connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-2a | 4.95 (d) | H-7a | $5_{6}$ |
| H-7a | 3.95 (dd) | H-2a, H-7 | Ha Hb |
| H-7 | 2.43-2.55 (m) | H-6a, H-7a, | $3.01 \mathrm{~d}$ |
| H-6a | 1.63-1.70 (m) | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ $\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{Ha}-6, \mathrm{Hb}-6$ | In the COSY NMR spectrum (Table 4), the benzylic protons |
| $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ | 2.95 (d) | H-7 | showed strong coupling with $\mathrm{H}-7$. |

The proton H 7 was further connected with the $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ and the ring junction proton, H-6a. The H-6a further showed connectivity with methylene protons of the carane ring, $\mathrm{Ha}-6$ \& $\mathrm{Hb}-6$. These two protons were further attached to the cyclpropyl methine protons in the region $0.45-0.50$. From the further connectivity pattern of these cyclpropyl methine protons it was possible to assign the position of other methylene protons $\mathrm{Ha}-4 \& \mathrm{Hb}-4$ which were seen at $1.66 \& 1.73$.

To decide the absolute stereochemistry of the compound, ROESY NMR of 3.01d was recorded (Fig. 2).


Fig. 2. ROESY NMR of 3.01d.
Table 5. Important ROESY correlations for 3.01d

| Proton <br> no. | Chemical shift (multiplicity) | ROESY connectivity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-7a | 3.95 (dd) | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-Ph |
| H-7 | 2.43-2.55 (m) | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{PMP}$ |
| H-6a | 1.63-1.70 (m) | $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}(3 \mathrm{a})$ |
| Ph-CH2- | 2.95 (d) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{C H}_{3}(\mathbf{3 a}), \\ & \mathrm{H} 7, \mathrm{H} 7 \mathrm{a} \end{aligned}$ |



As shown above (Table 5) a strong connectivity existed between benzylic proton and the angular methyl at C -3a which clearly indicated towards the possibility of benzyl group at C-7 to be ' $\alpha$ '. The H-7 showed spatial connectivity with both, benzylic proton as well as the aromatic protons from PMP group. This is possible only if the proton H-7 is ' $\beta$ '. The spatial connectivity between the H-7 \& $\beta$-lactam proton H -7a further supported this conclusion. This led to the assignment of $2 a \mathrm{R}, 3 a \mathrm{R}, 4 a \mathrm{~S}$, $5 a R, 6 a R, 7 R, 7 a S$ as the absolute stereochemistry at $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 d}$.

## Radical Cyclisation of 1.15d (Synthesis of 3.02d)

The other diastereomer, 1.15d, was also subjected to the optimized conditions of radical cyclisation independently. The reaction was over within $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (TLC). The product was purified by flash column chromatography (Scheme 18).

Scheme 18


The purified product was a crystalline solid with $\mathrm{mp} 197^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The IR showed a typical amide peak at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The mass spectrum showed a significant molecular ion peak at 431(38\%).

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 d}$, the cyclopropyl methine protons H 4 a \& H 5 a were seen as a multiplet in the region 0.35-0.75. Singlets at $1.0 \& 1.06$ accounted for gem dimethyls at C5, while singlet at 1.18 was due to the tertiary methyl at C3a. The multiplets in the region 1.20 to 2.0 were due to the methylene protons at $\mathrm{C} 4 \& \mathrm{C}$ 6. H-7 resonated as a multiplet at 2.40-2.45.



Fig. 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 3.02d.

The benzylic protons were diastereotopic and resonated as a dd at $2.70(J=12.5,13.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}) \&$ dd at $3.13(I=4.5,12.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The methoxy peak was seen as a singlet at 3.78 . The $\beta$-lactam proton H7a appeared as a dd, with $J=4.6 \& 9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other proton
$\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$ resonated as a doublet at 4.75 with coupling constant $J=4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, a high coupling constant indicating the presence of cis $\beta$-lactam. The aromatic protons resonated in the region 6.80-7.50.


Fig. 4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 d}$
The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 4) spectrum showed peaks at $17.61 \& 27.14$ that of C-4 \& C-6. The tertiary carbon C-5 was seen at 18.67. The benzylic carbon resonated at36.73 while, the $\beta$ -

lactam carbon C7a and the methoxy group appeared at $55.33 \& 55.89$. The other $\beta$-lactam carbon C-2a appeared at 74.03, while the tertiary carbon C-3a appeared at 77.66. The aromatic carbons resonated in the region 115 to 160 as 8 peaks. The $\beta$ lactam amide carbonyl resonated at 163.99 .

To decide the connectivity among different protons a COSY NMR of 3.02d was recorded (Fig. 5).


Fig 5. COSY NMR of 3.02d.
Table 6. Important COSY connectivities for 3.02d

| Proton <br> no. | Chemical <br> shift <br> (multiplicity) | COSY connectivity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H-2a | 4.75 (d) | H-7a | ${ }_{-}{ }^{\text {H }}$ |
| H-7a | 3.94 (dd) | H-2a, H-7 | Ph Ho |
| H-7 | 2.40-2.45 (m) | H-6a (weak), H-7a, <br> $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHa} \& \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHb}$ |  |
| H-6a | 1.30-1.35 (m) | H-7, Ha-6, Hb-6 | The COSY NMR spectrum |
| Ph-CHa- | 3.13 (dd) | $\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHb}$ | showed that the diastereotopic |
| $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CH}$ - | 2.70 (t) | $\mathrm{H}-7, \mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{CHa}$ | benzylic protons ( $\mathrm{Ha} \& \mathrm{Hb}$ ) |

coupled with each other as well as with the proton H-7, which appeared at 2.42 . The $\mathrm{H}-7$ proton showed strong connectivity with $\beta$-lactam proton H -7a as well as the benzylic protons. But showed very weak coupling with H-6a. This indicated dihedral angle $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 7-\mathrm{C} 6 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{H}$ to be around $90^{\circ}$. $\mathrm{H}-6 \mathrm{a}$ further coupled with $\mathrm{Ha}-6$ (axial) at 0.71 \& $\mathrm{Hb}-6$ (equatorial) at 1.91 . The cyclopropyl protons at H-4a showed connectivity with
$\mathrm{Ha}-4$ (axial) at $1.21 \& \mathrm{Hb} 4$ (equatorial) at 1.60. The $\beta$-lactam proton H -2a showed strong connectivity with $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$. This confirmed the two dimensional structure of the compound 3.02d.

The compound 3.02d was a crystalline solid. Suitable crystals, for X-ray analysis were obtained by the crystallization of 3.02d from pet ether/dichloromethane system. Fig. 6 shows ORTEP digram for 3.02d.


Fig. 6. ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 d}$.

## X-ray Data for 3.02d

$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NNO}_{3}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}=431.55, \mathrm{a}=6.830$ (2), $\mathrm{b}=19.400$ (4), $\mathrm{c}=18.439$ (4) $\AA, \alpha=$ $90^{\circ}, \beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=90^{\circ}, \mathrm{V}=2443.2$ (10) $\AA 3, \mathrm{Z}=4, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.173 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, \mathrm{Rw}=0.1968$, $\mathrm{T}=293(2) \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{GOF}=0.966$.

The Data for 3.02d were collected on Enariuf Nonius CAD4 single crystal X ray diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda=0.70930 \AA$ ) and $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode to a $\theta$ range of 1.52 to $24.88^{0}$. The structure was solved by direct positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for non hydrogen atom converged to $\mathrm{Rw}=0.1764 \mathrm{R} 1=0.0691$ for 4240 unique observed reflections. Hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed and confirmed by a difference fourier which was held fixed during the refinement. The refinements were carried out using SHELEX-97 ${ }^{11}$

From the single crystal X-ray analysis the absolute stereochemistry of the molecule was determined. The benzyl group attached to the C-7 was ' $\beta$ ' and the absolute stereochemistry of the system was assigned as $2 a S, 3 a R, 4 a S, 5 a R, 6 a R, 7 S$, $7 a R$.

## Radical Cyclisation of 1.141

In most of the cases studied, styryl double bond at C-4 was used as a radical acceptor. To examine the efficiency of other olefinic double bonds as radical acceptors, we decided to use crotyl double bond at C 4 , as a radical acceptor. The $\beta$ lactam 1.141, obtained as a crystalline solid was subjected to the optimized conditions of radical cyclisation (Scheme 19).

Scheme 19


The reaction was over within two hours (TLC). The crude product could be separated by flash column chromatography. The pure product $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 1}$ was isolated in $91 \%$ yield as a white solid, $\mathrm{mp} 116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

The IR spectrum of the product showed typical $\beta$-lactam amide peak at $1770 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. A significant molecular ion peak at 339 ( $25 \%$ ) was seen in the mass spectrum of 3.011. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum was conclusive. The cyclopropyl methine protons were seen as a multiplet in the region 0.35-0.65. The gem dimethyl groups appeared as two singlets at $1.0 \& 1.02$, while the other methyl at C-3a appeared at 1.36. The rest of the protons resonated as multiplets in the region 0.75-2.0. The $\beta$-lactam proton
 $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ appeared as a triplet (merged dd) at
4.11 with $J=5.8 \& 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton H2a appeared as a doublet at 4.93 , with $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The aromatic protons were seen as a multiplet in the region 7.0 to 7.6.

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 3.011, the carbons from carane skeleton appeared in the region 9 to 55 . The $\beta$-lactam carbon C - 7 a resonated at 53.51 while the other carbon, C-2a appeared at 74.62. The tertiary carbon C-3a, appeared at 77.75. The aromatic carbons appeared as 4 peaks at $124.38,128.95,129.11 \& 137.53$. The $\beta$ lactam amide carbonyl was seen at 166.07.

It was not possible to decide the absolute configuration at C-7 and so the absolute stereochemistry of $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 1}$ was not determined.

## Radical Cyclisation of 1.15 m : Preparation of 3.02 m

So far we had used only olefinic double bond at C-4 as a radical acceptor. The effective cyclisation was thus a 6 -exo trig type of radical cyclisation. In order to study the analogous 6-exo dig type of radical cyclisation, a $\beta$-lactam derivative with triple bond in the form of phenyl acetylene at $\mathrm{C}-4$ was used.

Imine 1.13 m on treatment with acid chloride 1.12a in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ underwent cycloaddition reaction to give a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 m}$ \& $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$ in the ratio 55:45(Scheme 20).

Scheme 20


The polar diastereomer $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$ was obtained as a crystalline solid by fractional crystallization of diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 m} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$ from pet. ether/dichloromethane. The absoltue stereochemistry of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$ was determined from the single crystal X-ray analysis (Fig. 7).


Fig. 7. ORTEP diagram of the crystals of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$.

## The Radical Cyclization of $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$

$\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$ was subjected to the optimized conditions of radical cyclization. Benzene was used as a solvent, and the of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ was added over 5 minutes (Scheme 21).

Scheme 21


As expected, the reaction was sluggish and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture showed formation of small amount of cyclized product. The major product observed was the reduced product obtained by simple reduction of the halide which was easily notified by the absence of any peaks in the olefinic portion corresponding to the cyclised product in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.


Under modified conditions, in which the $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in benzene was added by syringe pump over 5 h , the reaction was completed in 5 h (TLC). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the product $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 m}$ showed a small amount of product arising from the reduction of bromide. The major product was cyclized product, which showed a doublet at $6.28(J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ due to the olefinic proton of the cyclized system. The $\beta-$ lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$, appeared as a doublet at $5.32(J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The proton $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ appeared at 2.28 as a dd with $J=4.8 \& 13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The gem dimethyl as well as other methyl appeared as 3 singlets at $0.99,1.06 \& 1.14$ respectively. The rest of the carane ring proton appeared as multiplets in the region 0.40-2.0. The aromatic protons were seen as multiplets in the region 6.75-7.60. Attempts to purify the compound failed, and a small amount of reduced product, obtained as a side product, couldn't be separated from the mixture.

## Discussion Regarding Possible Mechanism

A typical radical cyclization involves generation of a radical at proradical site. A radical trap quenches the radical and it again generates a second radical. This radical, in turn, is reduced by hydride supplied by tin hydride and the process terminates (Scheme 22).

Scheme 22


A very high diastereoselectivity in this cyclisation is intriguing. The stereochemical insights into the radical system reveal that the $3 \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-3^{\prime} \mathrm{O}$ bond is highly flexible and can attain variable torsion angle. The central substituted hydropyran ring also can take a variable conformation. When the styryl double bond is ' $\beta$ ' with respect to the radical center (Fig. 8), a system attains a conformation in which the central hydropyran has a boat T.S.

strong 1,3 diaxial interactions
energetically unfavorable conformation

## Fig. 8

Also, the $\beta$-lactam ring and the $4^{\prime}$-Me attain energetically unfavorable 1,3 diaxial positions. In all, these factors make the total system energetically unfavorable and is not a preferred route for the radical cyclization.

In other case, the styryl double bond can be oriented as shown (Scheme 23).
Scheme 23

A

no (1,3)-allylic strain
energetically favorable T. S.


With the styryl double bond inwards (T. S. B), there exists a strong 1,3-angle stran among the $\beta$-lactam ring ( $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ bond) and the H of the styryl double bond. In other case, i. e. with outwards orientation of the styryl double bond (T. S. A), the double bond is far away from the plane of the $\beta$-lactam ring. There exists no steric interactions and energetically is a favorable transition state.

This leads to the attack in 6-exo fashion. The conformational constraints imposed by the system are strong enough to allow only one product to be formed under the radical conditions.

## 3.4 : Summary

A series of novel $\beta$-lactams with 4 styryl substituents were successfully used as precursors for the free radical cyclisation. On treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in refluxing benzene they smoothly cyclized diastereospecifically by 6 -exo-trig, heptenyl cyclisation in excellent yields. The products were multicyclic $\beta$-lactams with $3: 6: 6$ : 4 backbone. In almost all the cases the products were separated from the tin impurity easily by column chromatography. The major and minor diastereomers were cyclised separately and the stereochemical outcome of the cyclization was studied. The absolute stereochemistry of the tetracyclic ring system ( $3: 6: 6: 4$ ) thus synthesized was determined from the single crystal X-ray analysis as well as the spatial connectivity pattern of the ROESY NMR.

## 3.5 : Experimental

General Procedure for the Radical Cyclisation of $1.14 \mathrm{~d}-\mathrm{i}, 1$ \& / or 1.15d-i, 1 :
Preparation of 3.01d-i, 1 \& / or 3.02d-i, 1
$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added over 5 minutes to a refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam ( 1 mmol ) and AIBN ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in benzene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was further refluxed for $1-2 \mathrm{~h}$. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to give pure cyclized products $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 d - i}$, $\mathbf{1} /$ or $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 d}-\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{l}$.

Preparation of (2aR, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 6aR, 7R, 7aS) 7-Benzyl1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3a,5,5-trimethyl-perhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3,2-b]azet2-one 3.01d
$\beta$-Lactam 1.14d ( $0.508 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), for $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$, furnished $\beta$-lactam 3.01d $(0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a foamy solid.

| M.P. | $58-59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | $-8.75^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 6.16, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1740. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.35-0.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.0(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.0-1.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.43-2.55 (m, 1H), $2.95(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.95 (dd, $J=1.70,5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.60 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.82 (dd, $J=2,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.15 (dd, $J=2,9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.26-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\delta 14.77,18.51,19.84,21.85,26.59,27.98,31.37,32.61$, $38.95,40.13,40.35,52.46,53.13,55.13,75.43,77.04$, $114.35,118.47,126.25,128.41,128.65,130.38,139.58$, 156.22, 166.43. |
| MS [m/z (\%)] | 432 (M+1, 2), 431 ( $\left.{ }^{+}, 5\right), 93$ (100). |
| Microanalysis | $: \quad$ M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |
|  | Calculated C:77.91 H:7.71 N:3.25 |
|  | Obtained $\mathrm{C}: 77.98 \mathrm{H}: 7.60 \mathrm{~N}: 3.43$ |

Preparation of (2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 6aR, 7S, 7aR) 7-Benzyl1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-3a,5,5-trimethyl-perhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3,2-b]azet2-one 3.02d
$\beta$-Lactam 1.15d ( $0.508 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.5 mmol ) in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$, furnished $\beta$-lactam 3.02d $(0.410 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as a white solid.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { M.P. } \\ & {[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} : & 197{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \\ : & +22.6^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.25, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) . \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1740. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.35-0.47$ <br> 3H), 1.18 <br> 1.59-1.63 <br> 2.70 (dd, J <br> 1H), 3.78 <br> $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), <br> 2H), 7.18-7 | $\begin{array}{cc} \mathrm{m}, & 2 \mathrm{H}), \\ 3.6 \\ 3 \mathrm{H}), & 1.20 \\ 1 \mathrm{H}), & 1.89 \\ 12.5, & 13.5 \\ \mathrm{H}), & 3.94(\mathrm{dc} \\ 5.87 & (\mathrm{dd}, \\ (\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), & 7.38 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & (\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & (\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & \mathrm{f}, 3.13(\mathrm{~d} \\ & =4.6,9.4 \\ & \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), \\ & =9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{I} \end{aligned}$ | (s, 3H), 1. <br> 0-1.35 (m, <br> -2.45 (m, <br> $=4.5,13$. <br> H), 4.75 ( <br> (d, $J=7$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  |  |  |  |
| MS [m/z (\%)] | $432\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,11\right), 431\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 38\right), 165$ (100). |  |  |  |
| Microanalysis | M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$. |  |  |  |
|  | Calculated | C : 77.91 | H: 7.71 | $\mathrm{N}: 3.25$ |
|  | Obtained | C: 77.88 | H : 7.84 | N:3.47 |

Preparation of (2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 7R, 7aR) 7-Benzyl3a,5,5-trimethyl1-1-phenyl)-perhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3,2-b]azet-2-one 3.02e
$\beta$-Lactam 1.15e ( $0.479 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1 h , furnished $\beta$-lactam 3.02e $(0.321 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. $\quad 186-188^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

(2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 7S, 7aR) \& (2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 7S, 7aR) 7-Benzyt3a,5,5-trimethyt1-propyl-perhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3, 2-b]azet-2-one $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 f}$ \& 3.02 f

A diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 f} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 f}(0.445 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on refluxing with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\operatorname{AIBN}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 1 h , furnished diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 f} \& \mathbf{3 . 0 2 f}(0.239 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ as a gum.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+20.14^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.44, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1215,1743,2873,3018$.
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.35-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.75(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.03,1.04(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=8,14 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 2.80-3.25 (m, 3H), 3.43 (dd, $J=4,8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.75 (d, $J$ $=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.1-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13}{ }^{13}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 11.22,14.80,18.75,19.82,20.91,21.37,25.14,28.23$, 28.72, 31.56, 39.21, 41.47, 42.22, 43.26, 55.88, 74.47, 78, 126.49, 128.39, 128.63, 129.18, 139.50, 167.94.
$\mathrm{MS}[\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}(\%)] \quad: \quad 369\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,0.1\right), 367\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.1\right), 191(48), 95(88), 91(100)$.
Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$.

| $:$ | Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 78.43$ | $\mathrm{H}: 9.05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 3.81$ |  |
|  |  | $\mathrm{H}:$ | $\mathrm{N}:$ |

(2aR, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 7R, 7aS) \& (2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 7R, 7aR) 7-Benzyl-1-cylco-hexyl-3a,5,5-trimethylperhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3,2-b]azet-2-one 3.01g \& 3.02g

A diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 g} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 g}(0.486 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on refluxing with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of $\operatorname{AIBN}(16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 2 h , furnished diastereomeric mixture of $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 \mathrm { g }}$ \& $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 \mathrm { g }}(285 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) as a gummy solid.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+29.01^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.02, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1495,1741,3163$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.3-0.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.98,1.02,1.04(3 \mathrm{~s}$, total 6 H$), 1.18,1.32$ $(2 \mathrm{~s}$, total 3 H$), 0.80-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-$ $3.05(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38 \& 3.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,9.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{dd}, J=4.8$, 5.3 Hz respect. total 1 H ), $4.5 \& 4.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=$ 4.8 Hz respect. total 1 H$), 7.05-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 14.88,17.69,18.44,18.55,18.69,19.79,21.27,21.87$, 25.03, 25.12, 25.17, 25.30, 25.98, 27.22, 28.21, 29.52, 29.73, $30.78,30.81,31.07,31.59,31.94,36.54,39.32,39.63,39.66$, $41.25,42.99,53.44,53.67,54.49,55.74,73.52,73.92,76.89$, $77.42,126.42,128.37,128.63,128.80,129.07,139.0,139.67$, 166.31, 168.04.

MS [m/z (\%)] : $\quad 409\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,2\right), 408\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,0.05\right), 407\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.05\right), 191(100)$.
Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NOO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 79.56$ | $\mathrm{H}: 9.15$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.44$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 80.01$ | $\mathrm{H}: 8.90$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.18$ |

Preparation of (2aS, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 6aR, 7S, 7aR) 7-Benzyl-1-(tert butyl)-3a,5,5trimethylperhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3, 2-b]azet2-one 3.02h
$\beta$-Lactam 1.15h ( $0.459 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 1 h , furnished $\beta$-lactam 3.02h $(0.305 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ as a white solid.


Preparation of $\left(I^{\prime} R, 2 a R, 3 a R, 4 a S, 5 a R, 6 a R, 7 R, 7 a S\right) \&\left(l^{\prime} R, 2 a S, 3 a R, 4 a S, 5 a R\right.$, 6aR, 7S, 7aR) 7-Benzyl3a,5,5-trimethyl-1-(1'-phenylethyl)perhydrocyclopropa[6,7] chromeno[3, 2-b]azet-2-one 3.01i \& 3.02i

The diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 i} \& \mathbf{1 . 1 5 i}(0.507 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ for 2 h , furnished a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{3 . 0 1 i} \& \mathbf{3 . 0 2 i}(0.326 \mathrm{~g}$, $76 \%$ ) as a white solid. One of the diastereomers $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 i}$ was separated by fractional crystallization. The data for which is given below:
( $\left.1^{\prime} R, \quad 2 a S, \quad 3 a R, \quad 4 a S, \quad 5 a R, \quad 6 a R, \quad 7 S, \quad 7 a R\right)$ 7-Benzyl3a,5,5-trimethyl-1-[1'-phenylethyl-perhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3, 2-b]azet-2-one 3.02i

It was isolated as a white solid.
M.P. $\quad: \quad 208^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}: \quad+24.07^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.55, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1760$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.4-0.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.67-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.141 .32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-$ $1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.5,14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{dd}, \quad J=5,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 4.7 (d, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79$ (q, $J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78$ (dd, $J=2,7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 14.83,18.66,19.70,20.28,21.11,25.58,28.20,29.24$, $31.55, ~ 37.56,40.77,42.20,53.43,54.81,74.08,77.23$, $126.71,126.98,127.59,128.30,128.83,129.30,138.78$, 140.62, 168.32.

MS [m/z (\%)] : $431\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,0.1\right), 191$ (100), 105 (30), 95 (28).
Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{35} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$.

| Calculated | C: 81.08 | $\mathrm{H}: 8.21$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.26$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 80.79$ | $\mathrm{H}: 8.45$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.52$ |

Preparation of (2aR, 3aR, 4aS, 5aR, 6aR, 7S, 7aR) 7-Ethyl-1-phenyt3a,5,5-trimethylperhydrocyclopropa[6,7]chromeno[3,2-b]azet-2-one 3.011
$\beta$-Lactam $1.141(0.417 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on reaction with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN ( $16 \mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$, furnished $\beta$-lactam 3.01 l $(0.309 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. : $116-118^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+79.80^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.99, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1400,1520,1620,1770,2950,3040$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.35-0.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.65-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.0,1.02(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.55(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $: \quad \delta 9.52,14.83,18.73,19.96,20.95,23.22,24.60,28.25,29.87$, |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $31.40,38.89,40.94,53.51,74.62,77.45,124.38,128.95$, |
|  | $129.11,137.53,166.07$. |

Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 77.84$ | $\mathrm{H}: 8.61$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 4.13$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 77.58$ | $\mathrm{H}: 8.32$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.85$ |

## Preparation of $1.14 \mathrm{~m} \& 1.15 \mathrm{~m}$

Imine 1.13m ( $0.235 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on reaction with acid chloride 1.12a ( 0.617 g , $2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of base $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) provided a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams $\mathbf{1 . 1 4 m}$ \& $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}$. One of the diastereomers 1.15 m was isolated in pure form by fractional crystallization from pet ether/dichloromethane. The spectral and analytical data for which is given below.
(3S,4R, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, 6^{\prime} R$ ) 3-[4-Bromo-3,7,7-trimethylbicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3-yloxy]-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-(2-phenyl-1-ethynyl)-2-azetanone 1.15 m

| M.P. | $169^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | $-156.74^{\circ}$ ( $0^{0.43, ~} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1723, 2234. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 0.60-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95 \& 0.97(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67$ <br> (dd, $J=4,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.50,14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35-$ <br> $2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 7.2-7.57 (m, 7H). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  |
| MS [m/z (\%) $]$ | $: 509\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,1.5\right), 508\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,2\right), 507\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 236(100), 93$ (45), 134 (48). |

Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 66.14$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.95$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.75$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 66.01$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.11$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 3.01$ |

## Preparation of $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 m}$

$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added by syringe pump, to a refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 m}(0.430 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\operatorname{AIBN}(15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.09$ mmol ) in toluene ( 15 mL ) over 5 h . The reaction mixture was further refluxed for 1-2 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC) solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate) to get $\mathbf{3 . 0 2 m}(0.300 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%)$ as a foamy solid.
M.P. : $79-81^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad+8.88^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.74, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1512,1755,2937,3018$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 0.40-0.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.99 \& 1.06(2 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-$ 1.90 (m, 4H), 2.28 (dd, $J=4.8,13.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.65 (s, 3H), $4.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07 .55(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H})$.
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## Chapter IV

# Heterocyclic Ring Formation Using Radicals Derived From Aromatic System 

[^0]
## 4.1 : Introduction

The radical chemistry has continued to attract research interests of organic chemists over the years. However, it has been mostly concentrated around the carbonbased radicals. ${ }^{1}$ The studies mostly revolve around the examination and utilisation of carbon centered radicals and their interactions with related carbon based unsaturated systems and alkenes. Heteroatomic acceptors such as carbonyl groups, imines, nitriles, and related systems have received much less scrutiny. ${ }^{2}$ After the accumulation of kinetic data and the detailed studies in such type of cyclizations, where heteroatomic acceptors like imines, hydrazones, oxime ethers are used, the picture has started changing dramatically. This is evidenced by a rapidly increasing number of publications, where heteroatomic radical traps are used as a key step in the construction of multicyclic skeletons. ${ }^{1,2}$

The major drawback associated with classical radical cyclisation precursors is the net loss of participating functional groups. This problem does not arise with heteroatomic acceptors, instead, products are generated that retain synthetically useful functionality for subsequent manipulations. If desired, the nitrogen can be removed to afford carbocyclic systems. Among the heteroatomic acceptors, the imines, ${ }^{3}$, oxime ethers, ${ }^{4}$ hydrazones ${ }^{5}$ and nitrile ${ }^{6}$ are the most useful and frequently used acceptors.

This strategy is effectively used in the total synthesis of a number of natural products like (-)-balanol, ${ }^{7}$ tetrodotoxin, ${ }^{8} \quad(+)-7$-deoxypancratistatin, ${ }^{9}$ and opium alkaloids like morphine. ${ }^{10}$

Naito and coworkers have reported both, cis as well as trans isomers of (-)balanol. (Scheme 1). ${ }^{7}$ The use of oxime ether as a radical acceptor was the key step in their strategy.

## Scheme 1



Radical cyclisation involving imine double bond was the key step in the synthesis of cryptostyline alkaloids with isoquinoline skeleton (Scheme 2). ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$

Scheme 2


Radical cyclisation, in which the appendages to the $\beta$-lactam core, in the form of heterolytic bonds are used as radical acceptors, is very rare. Recently Alcaide has used the imines derived from 4-formyl $\beta$-lactams as radical acceptors in the synthesis of amino bicyclic $\beta$-lactams (Scheme 3). ${ }^{11}$ There are very few reports of concise and elaborated work in this area.

Scheme 3


## 4.2 : Present Work

Generally 6 -exo radical cyclisations are not among facile and reactive types of annulations known in the radical chemistry. The 5-exo type of cyclisation is kinetically controlled and thus highly efficient process than the 6 -exo cyclisation. Our observation of a highly efficient 6 -exo radical cyclisation in case of $(+)-3$-carene derived $\beta$-lactams with bromine atom of carane part as a radical generator and styryl double bond as a
radical acceptor was intriguing and promising (which is discussed in detail in earlier Chapter-III).

These results prompted us to use an aryl system in place of carane skeleton to get polycyclic ring frame anchored on aromatic ring. This chapter describes preparation of required $\beta$-lactam systems with appropriate radical generator and acceptor, using analogous aromatic halo acids by ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction. These systems were used to study the regioselectivity as well as efficiency of their radical cyclisation, which eventually led to the development of methodology for the synthesis of multicyclic ring systems. The other aim was to use and examine the efficiency of imino double bond as a radical trap in place of styryl double bond.

## $4.3 \quad: \quad$ Results \& Discussion

## Preparation of acid chlorids 4.03a-b

$o$-Bromo phenol 4.01a on treatment with chloroacetic acid in the presence of NaOH led to the formation of 4.02a in around $60 \%$ yield (Scheme 4).

## Scheme 4



## Preparation of 4.05a,b

The acid chlorides $\mathbf{4 . 0 3 a}, \mathbf{b}$ on cycloaddition with imine (4.04) in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ offered cis- $\beta$-lactams 4.05a,b in almost quantitative yields (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5


In the IR spectrum, the $\beta$-lactam 4.05a showed a peak at $1752 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of amide carbonyl. Molecular ion peak was present in the mass spectrum at 449 ( $0.1 \%$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 4.05 a showed a singlet corresponding to the methoxy peak of $p$-anisyl group at 3.79 . A dd at $5.02(I=4.9,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and a doublet at $5.51(I=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ could be assigned to the $\mathrm{H} 4 \& \mathrm{H}-3$ of the $\beta$-lactam respectively. A dd at 6.48 was due to the olefinic proton from the styryl double bond, while the aromatic protons and one of the olefinic protons from the styryl double bond resonated as multiplets between the region 6.7 to 7.7 .

In case of 4.05b, IR showed a $\beta$-lactam peak at $1745 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed a $\beta$-lactam proton H 3 , at 5.48 as a doublet $(J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& \mathrm{H} 4$ at 5.0 as a dd $(J=5.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$. tert-Butyl group appeared as a singlet at 1.25 .

## Radical Cyclisation of the 4Styryl $\beta$-Lactams

The addition of a solution of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and catalytic AIBN in toluene by syringe pump to the refluxing solution of the bromo-substituted $\beta$-lactams 4.05 a \& 4.05b in toluene (Scheme 6) gave cyclic product in good yields.

## Scheme 6


(土)-4.05a,b
4.05a, $R^{3}=H$
$4.05 b, R^{3}=$ t-butyl

( $\pm$ ) - 4.06a,b
4.06a, $R^{3}=H$
4.06b, $R^{3}=$ t-butyl

The product obtained from the cyclisation of 4.05 a was purified by crystallization from pet ether/dichloromethane system. A small amount of reduced product (5\%) was also obtained as a side product, which showed a dd at 6.5 in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, typical of styryl double bond.

The cyclized product 4.06a was obtained as a crystalline solid, with mp 146 $148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The molecular ion peak of the cyclized product was observed at 371 (4\%) in the mass spectrum. The IR spectrum showed a peak at $1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which confirmed the presence of $\beta$-lactam ring.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed benzylic protons of the cyclized ring as a doublet at 2.95 , with $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The secondary proton $\mathrm{H}-8$ adjacent to the benzylic proton, appeared at 3.65 as a triplet with $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, indicating almost no coupling with the $\mathrm{H}-$ 8 a proton of the $\beta$-lactam ring. The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}$, resonated as a doublet at 4.55 , with $J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\beta$ -

$( \pm)-4.06 \mathrm{a}$ lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$, resonated as a doublet at 5.40 , with $J=5.3$

Hz. The methoxy proton of the $p$-anisyl group appeared as a singlet at 3 . 85. The aromatic protons appeared in the region 6.6 to 7.6 .

To establish the 2D connectivity among the protons, Decoupling Experiments were carried out. The $\beta$-lactam protons as well as the benzylic and the ring junction protons were decoupled and from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR pattern the connectivity was confirmed.

The cyclized product $\mathbf{4 . 0 6 b}$, was a solid. However, it was difficult to remove the tin impurities from it, after repeated crystallization. The IR spectrum showed a peak at $1747 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of $\beta$-lactam ring. The molecular ion peak at 427 ( $4 \%$ ), was observed in the mass spectrum.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 1.12 corresponding to the 9 H of the tert-butyl group at C-6. The benzylic protons were diastereotopic and appeared at 2.90 (dd, $J$ $=8.3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 3.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,13.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The proton $\mathrm{H}-8$ appeared as a triplet (merged dd) at 3.5 with $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. A singlet at 3.75 was seen due to the methoxy group of the PMP moiety. The $\beta$-lactam proton H2a appeared as a doublet at 4.57 with $J=$

$( \pm)-4.06 \mathrm{~b}$ 5.4 Hz , typical of cis $\beta$-lactam. The other $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}$ appeared at 5.4 as a doublet, with $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. Aromatic protons resonated in the region between 6.56-7.4

Decoupling Experiments were carried out to establish the connectivity among adjacent protons. When the $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}$, at 5.4 was decoupled, the doublet due to the H2a collapsed into a singlet, however, there was no effect on the triplet at 3.5 , due to H 8 . When the triplet at 3.5 from $\mathrm{H}-8$ was decoupled, the multiplet due to the benzylic protons changed to two doublet, but there was no change in the doublet at 4.57 due to the H-8a. Finally, when the benzylic protons were decoupled, the triplet due to the $\mathrm{H}-8$ changed to bs. All these experiments confirmed the fact that the $\beta$ lactam proton H 8 a and the H 8 proton are not coupled. This indicated t a syn relation between $\mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}$ \& $\mathrm{H}-8$, which was supported by the literature reports on the similar types of system.

## Preparation of Imino $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-Lactams

So far we have discussed most of the work using of 4 -styryl double bond as a radical acceptor. We thought hat it will be interesting to study the use imino group as a heteroatomic radical acceptor in place of styryl double. Therefore, corresponding radical cascades in the form of 4-imino $\beta$-lactams were synthesized.

The bromo acid chlorides 4.03 a \& 4.03b on treatment with bisimine 4.07 in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, reacted smoothly to furnish the imino cis- $\beta$-lactams 4.08a \& 4.08b in excellent yields (scheme 7). ${ }^{12}$

## Scheme 7


$4.03 a, b$
$4.03 a, R^{3}=H$
$4.03 b, R^{3}=$ t-butyl
$( \pm)-4.08 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$
4.08a, $R^{3}=H$
4.08b, R3 = t-butyl

The IR spectrum of 4.08 a showed a peak at $1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of $\beta$-lactam amide. A molecular in peak was present at $480(2 \%)$ in the mass spectrum.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed two singlets at 3.78 and 3.80 for the two-methoxy groups of the $p$-anisyl moieties. The H-3 and H 4 of the $\beta$-lactam appeared at $5.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 5.1 (dd, $J=5.3 \& 6.18 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) respectively. A high coupling constant indicative of a cis $\beta$-lactam ring. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets in the region 6.6 to 7.75 . The

( $\pm$ )-4.08a doublet due to the imine proton resonated at 8.1 with coupling constant $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 . 0 8 b}$ showed a typical $\beta$-lactam carbonyl peak at 1759 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 1.28 for the three-methyl groups from the tert-butyl moiety, accounting for 9 H . Two singlets at 3.78 and 3.81 could be assigned to the two methoxy groups of the $p$-anisyl. The H 3 of the $\beta$-lactam appeared as a doublet at 5.58 with $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, typical of cis $\beta$-lactam. The H 4 of the $\beta$-lactam appeared as a dd at 5.07 with $J=5.4 \& 7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The aromatic protons were located as multiplets between $6.75-7.60$. The imine proton was seen as a doublet at 8.1 with $J=$ 7.3 Hz .

## The Radical Cyclisation of Imino $\beta$-Lactams

A toluene solution of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ containing catalytic amount of AIBN was slowly added to the refluxing solution of the imino $\beta$-lactams 4.08a,b in benzene over 4 h (Scheme 8). The reaction was sluggish and most of the unreacted starting material was recovered. However, slow addition of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ using syringe pump improved the yield of cyclized product upto $50 \%$ with the recovery of rest of the unreacted starting material. In an interesting variation, when AIBN was used in the 1:1 molar ratio with the starting material (added in small portions), the reaction was over within 4 h and there was no unreacted starting material (TLC, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). The imino $\beta$-lactams were subjected to these modified conditions of radical cyclization and the results were analyzed.

## Scheme 8



The crude product obtained from the cyclisation of 4.08a was purified by flash column chromatography to get a crystalline solid 4.14a. The IR spectrum of 4.14a showed a peak at $1751 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ typical of $\beta$-lactam carbonyl.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4.14a showed two singlets at $3.75 \& 3.78$, assigned to the two methoxy groups from the two p-anisyl units. A dd at 4.80, with coupling constant of $49 \mathrm{~Hz} \& 1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ could be accounted to the H8a of the $\beta$-lactam. The secondary proton $\mathrm{H}-8$, of the newly formed tetrahydropyran ring appeared as a doublet at 4.85, with $J=$

$( \pm)-4.14 a$ 1.5 Hz . The doublet at $5.37(J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ was due to the $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$
of the $\beta$-lactam ring. A high coupling constant was indicative of cis stereochemistry of $\beta$-lactam. The aromatic protons appeared in the region of 6.6-7.5.

The connectivity among adjacent protons was further established from the Decoupling Experiments. When the doublet at 5.37 was decoupled, the signal at 4.80 changed to a broad singlet. The irradiation at 4.80 affected dd at 5.37 and it was changed to a singlet.

The $\beta$-lactam 4.14b was obtained as a gummy liquid in $62 \%$ yield. The IR showed a typical amide carbonyl peak at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 1.2 integrating for 9 H due to tertiary butyl group. Two singlets at 3.78 \& 3.82 were due to the two methoxy groups of the $p$-anisyl moiety. The proton H 8 of the substituted pyran ring was seen at 4.84 as a doublet with $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton H-8a appeared at 4.80 as a dd with $J=1.5 \& 4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$ appeared as a doublet, with $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5.41. A high

$( \pm)-4.14 \mathrm{~b}$ coupling constant again confirmed the presence of cis $\beta$-lactam. The aromatic protons resonated between 6.60-7.45 region.

In the Decoupling Experiments, the irradiation of doublet at 5.41 (H-2a) showed no appreciable effect on $\mathrm{H}-8$, while the dd due to $\mathrm{H}-8$ a collapsed into a singlet. The two protons $\mathrm{H} 8 \& \mathrm{H}-8$ a were too close and it was not possible to decouple them selectively. These two protons on simultaneous irradiation changed the doublet due to $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$ to a broad singlet.

The radical cyclisation with 4 -oximo ether derivative of the $\beta$-lactam was also attempted. However, the yield of cyclized product was very poor and most of the unreacted starting material was recovered.

## Synthesis of 4.10 \& 4.11b

The $\beta$-lactam 4.08b was hydrolyzed by treating with $5 \%$ aq. HCl in chloroform. The 4 -formyl $\beta$-lactam 4.09b was obtained as a crystalline solid. The 4 formyl $-\beta$-lactam 4.09b on reaction with ( R )- $\alpha$-methyl benzylamine in presence of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ yielded diastereomeric mixture of 4-imino- $\beta$-lactams 4.10b \& 4.11b (Scheme
9). It was not possible to separate the diastereomers 4.10 b \& 4.11b from the diastereomeric mixture.

Scheme 9


## Radical Cyclisation of 4.10b \& 4.11b

The diastereomeric mixture of 4.10 b \& 4.11b was subjected to the optimized conditions of radical cyclisation (Scheme 10).

Scheme 10
4.10b \& 4.11b $\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{c}\text { Toluene reflux } \\ \text { syringe pump addition }\end{array}]{\text { Bu3SnH / AIBN }}$



A mixture of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and AIBN in toluene was added by syringe pump to the refluxing solution of 4.10 b \& 4.11b in toluene. AIBN was used in 1:1 molar ratio and was added in small portions over a period of 4 h . The reaction was over within $6 \mathrm{~h} \&$
the crude product was obtained as a gummy liquid which was purified by flash column chromatography.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture showed presence of only two diastereomers. The two diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography to get 4.12b \& 4.13b. The nonpolar product 4.12b $\left([\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}=-3.98^{\circ}\right.$, $\mathbf{c}$ $1.86, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ), showed a $\beta$-lactam carbonyl peak at $1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in its IR spectrum.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 1.23 for the tert-butyl group and a doublet at $1.30(J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the methyl group from the amine component. The methoxy peak was seen as a singlet at 3.75 . H8 appeared as a singlet at 3.90 while the CH from the amine part appeared as a quartet in the region 3.75 to 3.95 . The H8a of the $\beta$-lactam was seen as a dd at 4.75 , with $J=1.5 \& 4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ while H 2 a

4.12b appeared as a doublet with $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 5.42 . The aromatic protons were seen in the region 6.70-7.50.

The connectivity between the $\beta$-lactam protons was quite evident as the peak at 5.42 collapsed to a sharp singlet when the $\beta$-lactam proton H8a, was decoupled. The bs around $4.10(\mathrm{H}-8)$ on irradiation, changed the dd of H8a to a clean doublet with $J=$ 4.9 Hz .

The polar diastereomer 4.13b $\left\{[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}=+101.26^{\circ}\right.$ (c 1.43, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) $\}$ was obtained as a gum, which showed a peak at $1751 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum, typical of $\beta-$ lactam amide carboyl.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed a singlet at 1.25 for the tert-butyl group and a doublet at $1.45(J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ for the $\alpha$ methyl of group from the amine component. Methoxy peak appeared as a singlet at 3.75 . The CH of methylbenzylamine part appeared as a quartet at 4.05 with $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. A bs at 4.12 could be assigned to the pyran ring proton, H 8 . The H 8 a of the $\beta$-lactam appeared at 4.76 as a dd, with $J=1.5 \&$

4.13b 5.4 Hz . The H-2a appeared as doublet at 5.41 with coupling
constant $J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The aromatic protons were seen in the region between 6.7-7.5.

In the Decoupling Experiments, when the doublet at 1.45 due to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ was decoupled, the quartet at 4.05 was affected. The decoupling of the bs at $4.12(\mathrm{H}-8)$ affected the H 4 , which was converted to a clean doublet with $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. when the dd at 4.76 (H-8a) was decoupled, the doublet at 5.41 (H-2a) was converted to a sharp singlet, while the a broad singlet at 4.12 (H-8) collapsed into a clean singlet. Again, a very low coupling constant ( $J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between $\mathrm{H}-8 \mathrm{a}$ \& $\mathrm{H}-8$ was observed.

## Mechanism

A low coupling constant $(0-2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ between $\beta$-lactam proton, $\mathrm{H}-4 \&$ adjacent proton on the substituted tetrahydropyran ring indicates a trans relationship between them. It is well established fact that the stereochemistry of cyclization is governed by C-4 centre of $\beta$-lactam ring. As shown in the Scheme 11 , the 4 -imino or 4 styryl double bond is oriented in such a way that there is minimum interaction with $\beta$-lactam ring plane. The 1,3 diaxial interaction between $\beta$-lactam plane and $X-\mathrm{R}^{1}$ are absent in TS-A.

Scheme 11


The other possible mode of cyclization (Fig. 1, TS-B) involves, a severe 1,3 diaxial interactions among $\beta$-lactam plane and the $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{R}^{1}$ group.


Fig. 1.
The TS - B is energetically unfavorable transition state and doesn't contribute to the formation of cyclized product.

## $4.4 \quad: \quad$ Summary

4-Styryl and 4-imino substituted cis- $\beta$-lactams were synthesized in high yields, using ketenes derived from aromatic bromo acids. These $\beta$-lactams underwent 6 -exo-trig radical cyclization to give tricyclic (6:6:4) derivatives. In case of styryl substituted $\beta$ lactams it was difficult to purify the products from tin impurity. An asymmetric version of this strategy was also accomplished to get chiral, multicyclic $\beta$-lactams. Decoupling Experiments and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral pattern was used to confirm the structure of the products.

## 4.5 : Experimental

## Preparation of 4.02a

To a stirred mixture of $o$-bromophenol ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 3.5 mL of $33 \%$ NaOH solution was added chloroacetic acid ( $2.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 50 \%$ ). The flask was loosely corked and heated on a gently boiling water bath for 1 h . Then the reaction mixture was allowed to cool and water ( 10 mL ) was added to it. It was acidified to Congo red with dil. HCl and extracted with ether ( 30 mL ). The etheral extract was washed with water ( 10 mL ) and then extracted with $5 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 25 mL ). The $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ extract was acidified with conc. HCl to get the pure acid 4.02a as a white solid $(0.575$ g, 50\%).
M. P. $\quad: \quad 138-139^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## Preparation of 4.02b

A procedure similar to 4.02a was applied. 4.01a $(0.640 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was treated with chloroacetic acid $(2.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ to get $\mathbf{4 . 0 2 b}(0.643 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%)$ as a white solid.
M.P. : $\quad 110-111^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): \quad 1488,1502,1737,2966,3018,3000-3500$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 1.30(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.35$
$(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-8.25(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of acid chloride 4.03a

A solution of acid $4.02 \mathrm{a}(1.61 \mathrm{~g}, 7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and oxalyl chloride $(0.87 \mathrm{~mL}, 10$ mmol ) in benzene ( 25 mL ) was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was then cooled and benzene removed under reduced pressure to get a crude acid chloride 4.03a, which was used as such in the next step.

## Preparation of 4.03b

Similarly acid 4.02 b ( $2.86 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was treated with oxalyl chloride ( 1.51 $\mathrm{g}, 12 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) to get a crude acid chloride 4.03b, which was used as such without further purification.

## General Procedure for the Preparation of 4Styryl $\beta$-Lactams

To a stirred solution of imine ( 2 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, acid chloride ( 3 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added drop-wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir further for 5 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 25 mL ), and washed successively with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and finally with brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish crude product, which was crystallized from pet ether/ dichloromethane.

Preparation of 3-(2'-Bromophenoxy)-1-(4'n-methoxyphenyl)-4-[2"'-phenyl(E)-1""-ethenyl]-2-azetanone 4.05a

Imine $4.04(0.474 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with acid chloride $4.03 \mathrm{a}(\sim 0.745 \mathrm{~g}$, $3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished $\beta$-lactam 4.05a ( 0.485 $\mathrm{g}, 96 \%$ ) which was purified by crystallization to get a white solid.

| M.P. | $140-142^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1510, 1752, 3002. |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, \\ & 4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \\ & \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ | 5.02 (dd, 6.48 (dd, $J$ | 4.9, 8.3 <br> 15.6 Hz | ), 5.51 (d <br> 6.7-7.7 |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{array}{ll} : \quad 449(0.1, \\ (100), 115 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & , 451 \quad(\mathrm{M}- \\ & 77(17) . \end{aligned}$ | $\text { D.1), } 300$ | $236 \text { (18), }$ |
| Microanalysis | : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{24}$ | NO3. |  |  |
|  | Calculated | C: 64.01 | H: 4.48 | N: 3.11 |
|  | Obtained | C: 63.85 | H : 4.72 | $\mathrm{N}: 2.90$ |

Preparation of 3-[2'-Bromo-4'-(tert. Butyl)phenoxy]-1-(4"-methoxyphenyl)-4-[2""phenyt $(E)-1^{\prime \prime \prime}$-ethenyl-azetan-2-one 4.05b

Imine $4.04(0.474 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatement with acid chloride 4.03b $(\sim 0.912$ $\mathrm{g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.12 \mathrm{~mL}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ furnished $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{4 . 0 5 b}$ ( 0.97 g, $96 \%$ ), which was purified by crystallization to get a white solid.
M.P. : $174-175^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1463,1500,1745$.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.0(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.4,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $5.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, |  |  |  |
|  |  | $6.75-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$. |  |  |
| Microanalysis $:$ | M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{BrNO}_{3}$. |  |  |  |
|  | Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 66.41$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.57$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.77$ |
|  | Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 66.15$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.29$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 2.50$ |

## General Procedure for the Synthesis of 4Imino- $\beta$-Lactams

To a stirred mixture of bisimine ( 2 mmol ) and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in toluene ( 25 mL ) was added acid chloride ( 3 mmol ) in toluene ( 10 mL ) over $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ at room temperature. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and washed successively with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, water ( 2 x 20 mL ) and finally with brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish crude product, which was crystallized from pet ether/ dichloromethane to give pure 4-imino- $\beta$-lactam.

Preparation of 3-(2'-Bromophenoxy)-1-(4"-methoxyphenyl)-4-(4""-methoxyphenyl-iminomethyl)-2-azetanone 4.08a

Acid chloride 4.03 a ( $0.496 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with bisimine 4.07 $(0.804 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.05 \mathrm{ml}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided the $\beta$-lactam 4.08a as a white solid $(0.749 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%)$.
M.P. : $124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1512,1751,3016$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.1(\mathrm{dd}, \quad J=5.3,6.18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.6 (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.6-7.75 (m, 12H), 8.1 (d, $J=6.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

MS [m/e (\%)] : $482(\mathrm{M}+2,2), 480\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 309$ (100), 281 (16), 252 (17), 174 (16), 134 (40), 77 (25).
Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.

| Calculated | C: 59.89 | H: 4.40 | N $: 5.82$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | C: 59.61 | H: 4.10 | N : 5.62 |

Preparation of 3-[2'-Bromo-4'-(tert. Butyl)phenoxy ]-1-(4"-methoxyphenyl)-4-(4"'-methoxyphenyliminomethyl)-2-azetanone 4.08b

Acid chloride 4.03b ( $761 \mathrm{mg}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with bisimine 4.07 ( 536 $\mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.05 \mathrm{ml}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ provided the $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{4 . 0 8 b}$ as a white solid ( $1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ).
M.P. : $134-135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1504,1514,1759,3018$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{dd}, \quad J=4.9$,
$7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, $8.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}(\%) \quad: \quad 538\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,1\right), 310(20), 309$ (100), 281 (20), 213 (29), 134 (93), 107 (31), 77 (43).

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{BrNN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.

| Calculated | C: 62.58 | H:5.44 | N : 5.21 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | C: 63.30 | H:5.16 | N : 5.01 |

Preparation of 3-[2'-Bromo-4'-(tert-butyl)phenoxy]-1-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-4-oxo-azetane-2-carbaldehyde 4.09b

A stirred mixture of $\mathbf{4 . 0 8 b}(0.538 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(15$ mL ), at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stired at room temperature for 1.5 h . After completion of reaction the (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCb}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with water ( 20 mL ), saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and finally with brine. The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by crystallization from pet ether/ethyl acetate to get a white solid 4.09b ( $0.258 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ).
M.P. : $149-150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1514,1749,2950,3018$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-7.45$ (m, 4H), $7.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of 4.10b

A mixture of $4.09 \mathrm{~b}(0.862 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$, ( R$)-(+)$-methylbenzyl amine $(0.22 \mathrm{~mL}$, $1.8 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, and $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and further stirred for 2 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through a sintered funnel to remove $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to get an oilly product 4.10b, which was used without further purification in the next step.

## General procedure for the radical cyclisation of 4-styryl- $\beta$-lactams

A toluene solution ( 10 mL ) of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ containing AIBN $(30 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.18 mmol ) was added by syringe pump over a period of 2 h , to a refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam ( 1 mmol ) in toluene ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux under argon atmosphere for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate; $75: 25$ ).

Preparation of 8 -Benzyl1-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-2, $\quad 2 a, \quad 8, \quad 8 a-t e t r a h y d r o-1 H-$ chromeno-[3, 2-b]azet-2-one 4.06a

The $\beta$-lactam 4.05a ( $0.449 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) underwent radical cyclisation to give $\beta$-lactam 4.06a ( $0.334 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a white solid. A small amount of reduced proeduct (5\%) was also formed along with the cyclised product but it was not possible to separate it from 4.06 a by crystallization or column chromatography. The spectral and physical data for 4.06a is given below.

| M.P. | $:$ | $146-148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $:$ | $1512,1751,3016$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $\delta 2.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}$, |
|  |  | $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{dt}, J=5.3,20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.6$ |
|  | $7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H})$. |  |

Preparation of 8-Benzyl-6-(tert. butyl)-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2,2a,8,8a-tetrahydro-1H-chromeno[3, 2-b]azet-2-one 4.06b

The $\beta$-lactam 4.05b ( $0.505 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in the presence of AIBN ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) underwent radical cyclisation to give $\beta$-lactam 4.06b ( $0.384 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as a white solid. It was not possible to remove the tin impurities completely from 4.06b by crystallization or flash column chromatography. The spectral and physical data for $\mathbf{4 . 0 6 b}$ is given below.

| M.P. | $140^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1514, 1747, 2962, 3018. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & \delta 1.12(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.80-2.3 .07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.5(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), \\ & 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-7.4(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad 428\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1\right), 427\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 187(100), 172(15), 157(20), \\ & 134(20), 91(33), 77(22), 57(27) . \end{aligned}$ |

## General procedure for the radical cyclisation of 4-imino $\beta$-lactams

A toluene solution ( 10 mL ) of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ containing AIBN $(0.08 \mathrm{~g}$, 0.5 mmol ) was added by syringe pump over a period of 5 h to the refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam ( 1 mmol ) in toluene ( 15 mL ). To this refluxing reaction mixture AIBN (total 80 mg ) was added in the small lots of 20 mg over a period of 4 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to reflux under argon atmosphere for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether/ethyl acetate; 75:25).

Preparation of 6-(tert. Butyl)-8-(4'-methoxyphenyl)-2, 2a, 8, 8a-tetrahydro-1Hchromeno[3, 2-b]azet-2-one 4.14a

The $\beta$-lactam 4.08a ( $0.480 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu} 3 \mathrm{SnH}(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 2$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN (total $0.164 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished $\beta$-lactam 4.14a ( $0.241 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) as a white, crystalline solid.

| M.P. | $163-164^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1512, 1751, 3016. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),$ $4.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67 .5$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 12 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & 52.48, \quad 55.22, \quad 55.44, \quad 57.75, \quad 76.44, \quad 76.69, \quad 76.95, \quad 79.34, \\ & 114.51, \quad 114.92, \quad 118.64, \quad 118.89, \quad 123.71, \quad 129.339, \quad 130.26, \\ & 130.32,152.24,156.71,161.60 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $\begin{aligned} & 403\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,3\right), 402\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 16\right), 227(99), 212(25), 175(95) \text {, } \\ & 131(100) . \end{aligned}$ |

Microanalysis : M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$.

| Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 71.63$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.51$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 6.96$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 71.45$ | $\mathrm{H}: 5.26$ | $\mathrm{~N}: 6.70$ |

Preparation of 6-(tert. Butyl)-8-(4'-methoxyanilino)-1-(4"'-methoxyphenyl)-2, 2a, 8, 8a-tetrahydro-1H-chromeno[3, 2-b]azet2-one 4.14b

The $\beta$-lactam 4.08b $(0.530 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 2$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN (total $0.164 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished $\beta$-lactam 4.14b $(0.284 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$ as a gum.
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 1.2(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5$, $4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$.

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $:$ | $31.01,33.99,53.21,55.47,57.40,79.14,114.47,114.87$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $118.13,118.69,127.33,117.45,129.47,146.54,149.84$, |
|  | $156.66,161.99$. |  | 134 (30).

## Preparation of 4.12b \& 4.13.

The $\beta$-lactam 4.10b $(0.534 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ on treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 2$ mmol ) in the presence of AIBN (total $0.164 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) furnished a mixture of $\beta$ lactams 4.12b \& 4.13b $(0.274 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$. The diastereomers were separated by flash column chromatography to get the nonpolar diastereomer 4.12b and polar diastereomer 4.13b. The spectral and analytical properties of the diastereomers are given below:

The nonpolar diastereomer 4.12b was isolated as oil.

| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1512, 1751, 2962, 3016. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad \delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}, \\ & \quad J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.6-7.5(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad 25.32,29.39,31.13,33.96,51.71,55.13,55.62,60.45,79.11, \\ & 114.15,117.96,118.15,124.10,126.44,126.87,127.14, \\ & 128.52,129.70,114.63,145.79,149.51,156.19,161.82 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | -3.98 ${ }^{\circ}$ ( $1.86, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | : 456 (2), 281 (100), 266 (40), 187 (40), 175 (40), 162 (55), 105 (42). |

The polar diastereomer 4.13b was isolated as oil.

| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | : 1512, 1751, 2962, 3016. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.12(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65-6.80(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.90-7.10$ (m, 3H), 7.20-7.60 (m, 6H). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & 20.46, ~ 23.72,29.44,31.10,33.97,51.49,55.18,55.71,57.36, \\ & 78.90, \quad 114.13, \quad 118.04, \quad 126.47,126.50,126.55,128.54, \\ & 129.63,144.38,146.19,149.69,156.21,162.34 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $[\alpha]^{\text {D }}{ }_{25}$ | $: \quad+101.26^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} \mathrm{1.43}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. |
| MS [m/e (\%)] | $457 \text { (2), } 456 \text { (5), } 281 \text { (100), } 266 \text { (50), } 187 \text { (36), } 175 \text { (40), } 162$ $\text { (45), } 105 \text { (55). }$ |
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## Chapter V

## Tandem Radical Cyclization : Diastereoselective Synthesis of [3.6.6.7.4] Ring System

There are only two ways to live your life. One is as though nothing is a miracle. The other is as though everything is a miracle.

## 5.1 : Introduction

With the delineation of factors affecting the nature of chemical reactions, tandem reactions have become ubiquitous in nature. Tandem reactions ${ }^{1,2}$ also called domino, sequential, consecutive, cascade, iterative, zipper reactions, link several transformations together in a single synthetic pathway. Typically, an initial reaction produces an intermediate that undergoes further transformations with strategically positioned reactive centers in the same molecule, with other compounds in the reaction mixture, or with additionally introduced after the initial transformation takes place. The increased applicability and widespread acceptance of these reactions is due to the increased synthetic efficiency by decreasing the number of laboratory operations required and the quantities of chemicals and solvents used. Furthermore, they frequently permit access to unique chemical structures and occasionally result in greater regio as well as stereoselectivity.

Among tandem reactions the radical reactions have become prevalent in organic synthesis. ${ }^{3}$ The radicals are routinely generated from readily available halides (bromo, iodo, chloro etc), nitro, phenylthio, phenylselenyl compounds by the use of $n$ $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ with a radical initiator (i.e., AIBN) in aromaic solvents. Additionally, redox procedures involving Mn (III) or Sm (II) can be employed to initiate tandem radical process.

The wide applications of the tandem cyclization are mainly exploited in the total synthesis of multicyclic targets. The use of properly substituted radical centers and the appropriately located radical traps are effective in converting an elegantly synchronized molecular system into biologically useful products.

Tandem radical cyclisation of aryl radicals has been applied in the formal synthesis of racemic morphine (Scheme 1). ${ }^{4}$

## Scheme 1



In an interesting reaction, Parsons et. al. used tandem radical cyclisation approach for the total synthesis of lysergic acid derivatives (Scheme 2). ${ }^{5}$

## Scheme 2



Among the recent reports, there is an interesting example of 5-exo-trig-7-endotrig type of tandem radical cyclization (Scheme 3). ${ }^{6}$

Scheme 3


The application of tandem radical cyclisation to the $\beta$-lactam chemistry are limited. Use of tandem radical cyclization in the construction of multicyclic $\beta$-lactam derivatives is not among very popular routes.

Recently Alcaide et. al. reported the use of enyne-2-azetidinones in the stereoselective synthesis of fused bicyclic $\beta$-lactams. In an interesting result, the benzyl radical was trapped by enyne triple bond, used as a radical acceptor, with the formation of 5 -membered ring. However, it was not possible to quench the initially formed stanyl radical, with the use of N -allyl double bond as a second radical trap. Thus, the system couldn't be functionalised as an efficient radical machinery towards tandem radical cyclization (Scheme 4). ${ }^{7}$

## Scheme 4



## 5.2 : Present Work

The exceptionally high efficiency of 6-exo heptenyl radical cyclisation in case of carane substituted $\beta$-lactams (Chapter III \& IV) led us to think of the possibility of tandem radical cyclisation. Involvement of one more radical trap in the existing system, either in the form of vinyl or propargyl substituent attached to the nitrogen of the $\beta$-lactam gives, the right kind of molecular system ideal for tandem radical cyclisation. This chapter deals with the synthesis of radical cascade suitable for tandem radical cyclisation. The studies regarding behavior of these systems under tandem radical cyclisation conditions and subsequent formation of multicyclic ring systems using them, is also presented in this chapter.

## $5.3 \quad$ : Results \& Discussion

## Preparation of Imine 5.01

Propargyl amine and cinnamaldehyde were stirred in dichloromethane in the presence of anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ for 4 hours to furnish the corresponding imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ quantitatively (Scheme 5).

Scheme 5


## Preparation of 5.02

The acid chloride 4.03a was added to the stirred mixture of imine 5.01, and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in dichloromethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 30 min . The usual ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction afforded the racemic cis $\beta$-lactam $\mathbf{5 . 0 2}$ in excellent yield (85\%) (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6


The IR of $\mathbf{5 . 0 2}$ showed a peak around $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical for $\beta$-lactam amide. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed a dd at 4.70 , with coupling constant $J=4.9 \& 9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, which belonged to the $\beta$-lactam proton H4. The other $\beta$-lactam proton H3 appeared at 4.9 as a doublet with coupling constant $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. A high coupling constant indicated a cis $\beta$-lactam. The terminal propargylic proton resonated as a triplet at $2.30(J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The methylene $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ protons from the propargylic group were diastereotopic and they appeared as two peaks at $3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.5,18 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The protons attached to the styryl double bond resonated at $6.4(\mathrm{dd}, J=9,16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and 6.80 (d, $J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), confirming the presence of trans double bonded system. The aromatic protons appeared between 7.0 to 7.75 .

## Attempted Radical Cyclisation of 5.02

The $\beta$-lactam 5.02 was subjected to the typical conditions of radical cyclisation. The mixture of tin hydride and AIBN were added by syringe pump over 4 hours to the refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam in benzene (Scheme 7). However, no reaction was observed even after prolonged heating ( 24 h ).

Scheme 7

(土)-5.02

This was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the reaction mixture, which showed the styryl as well as the propargyl protons in the respected region. The aromatic proton pattern was also similar to that of the starting material indicating the presence of bromide.

## Synthesis of N-Vinyl Radical Cascades

The failure to cyclize $\mathbf{5 . 0 2}$ led us to think of designing a new radical system with proper substituents. The failure of the reaction may not be due to ring size, as 6 6 tandem ring cyclization is entropically favored type of cyclization. The other obvious reason may be the strain developed in the system, which makes the total system energetically unfavorable. The rigidity of the aromatic ring, to which the bromine is attached was also one of the possible reasons.

To circumvent this problem we decided to use aliphatic bromide in place of aromatic bromide. Also we decided to use N -vinyl in place of N -propargylic group as a second radical trap.

## Preparation of Imine 5.05

The N -vinyl imine derivative $\mathbf{5 . 0 5}$ was prepared by using a reported procedure (Georg et. al.). ${ }^{8}$ The imine 5.04, prepared by using cinnmaldehyde and $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ 'dimethylethylenediamine, was further treated with iodomethane in acetonitrile to prepare the quarternary ammonium salt of the imine. The imine salt on further treatment with NaH in DMF yielded the product as N-vinyl imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 5}$ (Scheme 8).

Scheme 8


## Synthesis of $\beta$-Lactams 5.06a \& 5.07a

The imine 5.05 on treatment with the carane bromo acid chloride 1.12a in the presence of triethylamine furnished a diastereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams in $45 \%$ yield (Scheme 9).

Scheme 9


The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude product showed moderate diastereoselctivity ( $60: 40$ ) in the $\beta$-lactam ring formation. The purification of crude reaction mixture by flash column chromatography could not separate the diastereromers.

The IR spectrum of diastereomeric mixture of 5.06a \& 5.07a showed a peak at $1757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed $\beta$ lactam peaks as multiplets between 4.48-4.62 and doublets at 5.00 and 5.05 respectively. The terminal vinylic protons appeared as multiplets between 4.40-4.85 region. The vinylic proton attached to the nitrogen resonated between 6.60 and 6.80 as a multiplet. The styryl protons were seen as a dd at $6.35(J=9,16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and multiplet between 6.60 and 6.80 respectively. The aromatic protons resonated as multiplets in the region 7.2 to 7.5 .

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed peaks at proper positions.

## The Radical Cyclisation of 5.06a \& 5.07a

Initially benzene was used as a solvent and the refluxing solution of the diasteromeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams 5.08a \& 5.09a was reacted with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in presence of cat. AIBN. The reaction was over within 2 hours (TLC). The product was separated from the tin impurities by using flash column chromatography (Scheme 10).

Scheme 10


The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed that the column-purified product was a diastereomeric mixture, from which the nonpolar diastereomer 5.09a could be obtained in the pure form by a flash column chromatography. The IR spectrum of 5.09a showed a peak at $1750 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of $\beta$-lactam carbonyl group. A molecular ion peak at 352 was observed in the mass spectrum.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 1) showed absence of peaks related to the styryl double bond in the region from 6.0 to 6.6 indicating that it was not reduced but a cyclized product. The $\beta$-lactam proton $\mathrm{H}-7 \mathrm{a}$ appeared as a triplet at $3.7(J=4.7,9.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ while the other $\beta$-lactam proton, $\mathrm{H}-2 \mathrm{a}$, resonated as a doublet at 4.85 ( $J=5.4$ $\mathrm{Hz})$. A high coupling constant clearly indicated a cis $\beta$ - lactam ring being present. The diastereotopic

Protons from the benzyl group were seen as two peaks in the form of dd at $2.85(J=9,14 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 2.95(J=6$, $14 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The additional peaks at $4.45(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ \& $4.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz})$ could be assigned to the terminal vinylic protons. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{CH}=$ protons from the vinylic

5.09a portion appeared as a dd at $6.20(J=9,16 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The pattern of benzylic protons as well as the presence of vinylic peaks clearly indicated the formation of tetracyclic ring system by simple radical cyclisation and not by tandem cyclisation.

In another experiment toluene was used as a solvent, and AIBN was added in small portions over a period of time. In this case also the product obtained was found to be identical with the benzene experiment (formed by 6 -exo-heptenyl cyclisation) and no tandem cyclised product could be observed.

It is well known fact that Nvinylic double bond is not a good radical acceptor. The failure of the above system to undergo tandem radical cyclisation could be due to the inefficiency of N -vinylic double bond to act as a second radical trap. This prompted us to think of replacing vinylic group by some efficient radical scavenger, that could trap the benzylic radical formed after the first cyclisation. A $\beta$-lactam derivative with N -allyl group as a radical acceptor, was a promising candidate in this regard. But attempts to prepare such kind of radical system on practical scale proved
unsuccessful. This led us to think about the synthesis of $\beta$-lactam derivative with N propargyl group as a radical acceptor.

## Synthesis of of $\beta$-Lactam Derivatives with N-Propargyl as a Radical Acceptor

The failure to synthesise N -allyl $\beta$-lactams led us to think of N -propargylic derivatives as a potential candidate. Alcaide ${ }^{7}$ et.al. have reported the synthesis of enyne $\beta$-lactams and they have effectively used N -substituted acetylenic centre as an efficient radical progenitor. Compared to the olefinic double bond, enyne triple bond is a less efficient radical trap. Never the less it has been used in the synthesis of multicyclic natural products.

## Synthesis of Enyne $\beta$-Lactam Using Carane Bromo Acid

The $N$-propargyl substituted $\beta$-lactam was synthesised by using Alcaide's procedure. ${ }^{7}$ The imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ was condensed with the acid chloride 1.12a in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ used as a base. After overnight stirring and usual work up, the crude product was obtained as a gummy liquid in $48 \%$ yield. The column-purified material was a diastereomeric mixture ( $60: 40$, confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) (Scheme 11).

## Scheme 11



The Rf values of the diastereomers were very close (TLC), still, the polar diastereomer 5.10a could be isolated in the pure form by flash column chromatography, in around $20 \%$ yield.

The IR of 5.10a showed strong peak at $1755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicating the presence of $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 5.10a showed a typical spectrum (Fig. 2).

Two cyclopropyl methine protons $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{H}-6^{\prime}$ appeared as a multiplet between $0.50-0.85$. The carane methylene protons at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ gave multilplets in the region 1.25-2.50. The gem dimethyls at C-7' appeared as two singlets at $0.97 \&$ 1.00. The methyl at $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ resonated as a singlet, at 1.30 . The proton $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ appeared at
4.04 as a dd, with $J=9.2 \& 10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton H 3 appeared at 5.04 as a doublet ( $J=4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) while H4, appeared at 4.45 as a dd, with $J=4.4$ \& 9.5

5.10a


Fig. 2. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (5.10a, 500 MHz )

Hz. Again a high coupling constant indicated a cis $\beta$-lactam. The two protons Ha-1'" \& $\mathrm{Hb}-1^{\prime \prime \prime}$ were appreciably diastereotopic and appeared as two dd, at 3.69 ( $J=2.9$, $17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 4.29(J=2.2,17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The terminal propargylic proton H3 $3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ appeared as a triplet with a coupling constant $J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The styryl proton $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime \prime}$ appeared as a doublet while $\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime \prime}$ appeared as a dd with $J=9.5 \& 16 \mathrm{~Hz}$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 3) was also satisfactory. The three-methylene carbons C-2', C-5' \& C-1"' were present at $29.3,31.8 \& 32.1 . \mathrm{C} 4 \& \mathrm{C}$ $4^{\prime}$ were present at $60.3 \& 61.8$ The tertiary carbon $\mathrm{C}-3^{\prime}$ was present at 72.5 , the acetylenic carbons $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime \prime \prime} \& \mathrm{C}-3^{\prime \prime \prime}$ appeared at $77.8 \& 78.0$. The aromatic carbons and the styryl carbons appeared in the region 124-136. The amide carbonyl carbon appeared at 167.1.


Fig. 3. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of 5.10a.

To determine the connectivity among adjacent protons and to establish the two dimensional structure of the radical system, COSY NMR spectrum of 5.10a was recorded.


Fig. 4. COSY NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 . 1 0 a}$ (selected region shown).
Table 1. Important connectivities in the COSY NMR of 5.10a


From the COSY NMR, the connectivity among the cyclopropyl methine and the methylene protons at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$ was established. This further confirmed the positions of axial and equatorial protons at $\mathrm{C}-2^{2} \& \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}$.

The spatial coupling among different protons was confirmed from the NOESY spectrum of 5.10a (Fig. 5). The overall spatial connectivity picture of the molecule is shown in Fig. 6.


Fig. 5. NOESY spectrum of 5.10a showing spatial correlations.


Fig. 6. The spatial correlation among protons as observed in the NOESY of 5.10a.

The absolute configuration at the $\beta$-lactam protons was decided from the comparison of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of 5.10a, with series of analogous derivatives
(Chapter I, Section B). From the above correlation, the absolute stereochemistry of 5.10a was assigned to be $3 R, 4 S$ at the $\beta$-lactam ring centres.

## Radical Cyclisation of 5.10a \& 5.11a

The diastereromeric mixture of 5.10a \& 5.11a was subjected to the optimized conditions of radical cyclisation. The refluxing mixture of 5.10a \& 5.11a in benzene was treated with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in the presence of catalytic AIBN. There was no reaction (TLC \& ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the crude reaction mixture).

In another experiment toluene was used as a solvent. The refluxing solution of the diastereomeric mixture (5.10a \& 5.11a) in toluene was reacted with a mixture of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ and catalytic AIBN by slow addition with syringe pump, over a period of 4 hours, under high dilution conditions ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} / 5 \mathrm{~h}$ ). The reaction was over within 5 hours (TLC). The crude material was purified by flash œlumn chromatography, where by tin impurities could be removed easily. The column-purified mixture of 5.12a \& 5.13a was obtained in around $47 \%$ yield (Scheme 12).

Scheme 12


The IR spectrum of the product showed a characteristic $\beta$-lactam carbonyl peak at $1756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed absence of any peaks corresponding to the sryryl double bond in the region from 6.0-6.60, clearly negating the possibility of reduction. Absence of peaks corresponding to the propargylic unit, pointed towards the fact that propargylic unit is also involved in the cyclisation. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of the diastereomeric mixture of 5.12a \& 5.13a was complicated and showed presence of two products, alongwith a negligible amount of some uncharacterised side product. After repeated column chromatography it was possible to get a pure diastereomeric mixture.

In another experiment, the single diastereomer 5.10a was subjected to the similar conditions of radical cyclisation (syringe pump technique). The reaction was over within 5 h (Scheme 13).

Scheme 13

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture showed formation of only one product.

## The Structure Elucidation of 5.12a \& 5.13a

In the course of tandem cyclisation, there were two ways the cyclization could take place. It could be either Gexo-6exo mode to give 3.6.6.4.6 type fused ring system; $\mathbf{B}$, or it could be Gexo-7endo annulation to give 3.6.6.4.7 type of fused system, 5.12a.


The possibility of formation of $\mathbf{B}$ was ruled out on the basis of ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of the product. The structure $\mathbf{B}$ should have an additional terminal olefinic methylene group, therefore, 4 methylene carbons are expected in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of this compound. However, the decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum showed presence of only peaks corresponding to the $3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups. This led to he possibility of structure 5.12a for the tandem cyclized product.


Fig. 7. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 5.12a
The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 7) spectrum showed two singlets at $0.79 \& 0.92$ that could be accounted for the two gem-dimethyl protons at C-5. The methyl group at C-8 was seen at 1.38 as a singlet. Rest of the carane ring protons appeared between -0.1 2.2. The ring junction proton, $\mathrm{H}-17$

5.12a
resonated as a ddd, with $J=10,10,10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The benzylic proton $\mathrm{H}-16$ appeared at 3.35 as a broad doublet with $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The $\beta$-lactam proton H1 resonated at 3.60 , as a dd, with $J=4.4 \& 9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The two geminal protons at C-13 appeared at 3.90 (ddd, $J=$ $1.3,6.0 \& 18 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 4.35(J=2.7,5.4 \& 18 \mathrm{~Hz})$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton H 10 was seen at 4.85 as a dd, with $J=1.2 \& 4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The olefinic protons $\mathrm{H}-14 \& \mathrm{H}-15$ resonated as two multiplets, in the region of 5.30-5.70. The aromatic protons appeared in the region 7.07.65 as multiplets.

The exact positions of different protons and the 2-dimensional structure of 5.12a were further confirmed from the COSY NMR spectroscopy. (It was not possible to isolate enough amounts of pure diastereomers 5.12a \& 5.13a. However, a diastereomeric mixture with 5.12a major (5.12a/5.13a : 90/10) and 5.13a major (5.12a/5.13a : 20:80) could be obtained from flash column chromatography, and was used for all special NMR experiments).


Fig. 8. COSY NMR of $\mathbf{5 . 1 2 a}$ (small amount of $\mathbf{5 . 1 3}$ a also present)

Table 2. Connectivities among adjacent protons deduced from COSY NMR of 5.13a

| No. | $\delta$ ppm. | Proton <br> No. | Multiplicity | Coupling <br> constant $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | 1H-1H (COSY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $-0.1-0.1$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-3$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Hb}-3, \mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{H}-2$ |
| 2. | $0.15-0.30$ | $\mathrm{H}-4$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{Ha}-3, \mathrm{Hb}-3$ |
| 3. | $0.4-0.5$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{Ha}-7, \mathrm{Hb}-7$ |
| 4. | 0.9 | $\mathrm{Hb}-3$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}-3, \mathrm{H}-4$ |
| 5. | $0.95-1.15$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-7$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{Hb}-7$ |
| 6. | $1.15-1.25$ | $\mathrm{H}-2$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}, \mathrm{H}-17$ |
| 7. | 1.75 | $\mathrm{Hb}-7$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-6, \mathrm{Ha}-7$ |
| 8. | 2.1 | $\mathrm{H}-17$ | ddd | $10,10,10$ | $\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{H}-16, \mathrm{H}-1$ |
| 9. | 3.35 | $\mathrm{H}-16$ | dd | $2,10.4$ | $\mathrm{H}-15, \mathrm{H}-17$ |
| 10. | 3.60 | $\mathrm{H}-1$ | dd | $4.2,9.4$ | $\mathrm{H}-10, \mathrm{H}-17$ |
| 11. | 3.90 | $\mathrm{Ha}-13$ | ddd | $2.8,5.5,18$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-13, \mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-15$ |
| 12. | 4.35 | $\mathrm{Hb}-13$ | ddd | $1.2,6,18$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-13, \mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-10$ |
| 13. | 4.85 | $\mathrm{H}-10$ | dd | $1.2,4.1$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-13, \mathrm{H}-1$ |
| 14. | $5.35-5.45$ | $\mathrm{H}-14$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Hb}-13, \mathrm{H}-15$ |
| 15. | $5.55-5.70$ | $\mathrm{H}-15$ | dd | $2.8,13$ | $\mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-16$ |
| 16. | $7.0-7.65$ | Arm. | m | - | - |



Fig. 9. HETCOSY NMR spectrum of 5.12a.

Table 3. Important HETCOSY correlations for 5.12a

| No. | $\delta$ ppm. <br> $(\mathrm{H})$ | Proton <br> No. | Carbon <br> connectivity | $\delta$ ppm. <br> $(\mathrm{C})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $-0.1-0.1$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-3$ | $\mathrm{C}-3$ | 24.1 |
| 2. | $0.15-0.30$ | $\mathrm{H}-4$ | $\mathrm{C}-4$ | 21.3 |
| 3. | $0.4-0.5$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | $\mathrm{C}-6$ | 18.7 |
| 4. | 0.9 | $\mathrm{Hb}-3$ | $\mathrm{C}-3$ | 24.1 |
| 5. | $0.95-1.15$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-7$ | $\mathrm{C}-7$ | 31.7 |
| 6. | $1.15-1.25$ | $\mathrm{H}-2$ | $\mathrm{C}-2$ | 44 |
| 7. | 1.75 | $\mathrm{Hb}-7$ | $\mathrm{C}-7$ | 31.7 |
| 8. | 2.1 | $\mathrm{H}-17$ | $\mathrm{C}-17$ | 46 |
| 9. | 3.35 | $\mathrm{H}-16$ | $\mathrm{C}-16$ | 54.2 |
| 10. | 3.60 | $\mathrm{H}-1$ | $\mathrm{C}-1$ | 55.0 |
| 11. | 3.90 | $\mathrm{Ha}-13$ | $\mathrm{C}-13$ | 40.2 |
| 12. | 4.35 | $\mathrm{Hb}-13$ | $\mathrm{C}-13$ | 40.2 |
| 13. | 4.85 | $\mathrm{H}-10$ | $\mathrm{C}-10$ | 74.0 |
| 14. | $5.35-5.45$ | $\mathrm{H}-14$ | $\mathrm{C}-14$ | 118.7 |
| 15. | $5.55-5.70$ | $\mathrm{H}-15$ | $\mathrm{C}-15$ | 135.1 |
| 16. | $7.0-7.65$ | Arm. | - | - |


5.12a

From the COSY \& HETCOSY NMR of 5.12a, it was possible to assign all the protons and the corresponding carbons for the cyclized product 5.12a. A trans relationship between $\mathrm{H}-17$ and
rest of the adjacent protons were confirmed. Particularly informative was, a very high coupling constant among H17 and the adjacent protons i. e. H-1, H-6 \& H-2 ( $J=10$,
$10,10 \mathrm{~Hz})$. To establish the structure unambiguously, NOESY spectrum of 5.12a was recorded (Fig. 10).


Fig. 10. NOESY specturm of 5.12a.
The NOESY NMR spectrum of 5.12a was important in the determination of structure. Absence of any spatial connectivity among H 17 and $\beta$-lactam protons $\mathrm{H}-1 \& \mathrm{H}-10$ indicated that $\mathrm{H}-17$ is $\beta$ oriented. A strong interaction among benzylic proton H-16 with H-2 \& H1 could be possible only when the $\mathrm{H}-16$ is $\alpha$


Fig. 11 Spatial correlations of protons observed in the NOESY spectrum of 5.12a. oriented. Particularly noticeable was a strong interaction among H-17 \& H-3, which confirmed $\mathrm{H}-17$ to be $\beta$. Thus, the absolute configuration at the newly formed asymmetric centers C-16 \& C-17 was $16 R \& 17 S$. The assigned conformation was again consistent with the normal trend, as cyclisation generally favors a transition state
in which the $\beta$-lactam plane and the bulkier groups are away from each other. The stereochemistry of cyclisation is generally governed by C-4 center of the azetidinone. ${ }^{7}$
Structure Elucidation of 5.13a
The structure determination of 5.13a was carried out using spectral data of the $\sim 80: 20$ diastereomeric mixture of 5.13a \& 5.12a.


Fig. 12. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5.13a (with small amount of 5.12a).
In ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the three methyl groups at C-8 \& C-5 appeared as three singlets at $0.95,1.0 \& 1.10$. The carane ring protons were seen as multiplets between 0.2 to 2.5 . A multiplet in the region between 3.4 to 3.6 could be accounted for $\mathrm{H}-16$ \& $\mathrm{H}-1$. The two

5.13a
diastereotopic protons at G13 appeared as a multiplet between 3.80-4.0 \& a doublet at 4.5. Other $\beta$-lactam proton, $\mathrm{H}-10$ appeared as a broad doublet at 4.80 . The olefinic protons $\mathrm{H}-14 \& \mathrm{H}-15$ appeared as two doublets at $5.45 \& 5.6$. The aromatic protons appeared as multiplets in the region 7.0-7.7. To decide the connectivity among adjacent protons and to establish the molecular backbone of the compound, a COSY NMR spectrum of 5.13a (Fig. 12) was recorded.


Fig. 13. Selected region of COSY NMR, 5.13a.

Table 4. COSY NMR spectral data of $\beta$-lactam 5.13a

| No. | $\delta \mathrm{ppm}$ | Proton No. | Multiplicity | Coupling <br> constant $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ | $1 \mathrm{H}-1 \mathrm{H}$ (COSY) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $0.25-0.35$ | $\mathrm{H}-4$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}-3, \mathrm{Hb}-3, \mathrm{H}-6$ |
| 2. | $0.35-0.45$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{Ha}-7, \mathrm{Hb}-7$ |
| 3. | $0.5-0.8$ | $\mathrm{H}-6$ | m | - | $\mathrm{H}-4, \mathrm{Ha}-7, \mathrm{Hb}-7$ |
| 4. | $1.1-1.2$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-3$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}-3, \mathrm{H}-4$ |
| 5. | $1.2-1.25$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-7$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Hb}-6, \mathrm{H}-6$ |
| 6. | $1.5-1.65$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-7$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}-7, \mathrm{H}-6$ |
| 7. | $1.70-1.80$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-3$ | m | - | $\mathrm{Ha}-3, \mathrm{H}-4$ |
| 8. | 2.25 | $\mathrm{H}-17$ | ddd | $2.2,3.7,4.4$ | $\mathrm{H}-2, \mathrm{H}-16, \mathrm{H}-1$ |
| 10. | 3.85 | $\mathrm{Ha}-13$ | d | 19.5 | $\mathrm{Hb}-13, \mathrm{H}-14$ |
| 11. | 4.50 | $\mathrm{Hb}-13$ | dd | $2.8,19.5$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-13, \mathrm{H}-14$ |
| 12. | 4.70 | $\mathrm{H}-10$ | d | 4.4 | $\mathrm{H}-1$ |
| 13. | 5.4 | $\mathrm{H}-14$ | ddd | $1.2,6,18$ | $\mathrm{Ha}-13, \mathrm{H}-14, \mathrm{H}-10$ |
| 14. | 5.6 | $\mathrm{H}-16$ | dd | $1.2,4.1$ | $\mathrm{Hb}-14, \mathrm{H}-16$ (w) |

The structure for 5.13a was assigned based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR \& COSY NMR spectral analysis. The assigned structure for 5.13a was further confirmed unambiguously from its NOESY spectral data (Fig. 13).


Fig. 14. NOESY NMR of 5.13a.

The NOESY NMR spatial coupling pattern was highly decisive. Among the important signals, the benzylic proton H 16 \& $\beta$-lactam proton H-1 appeared at 3.5. A careful identification of protons and their interaction pattern suggested
 that a strong interaction of $\mathrm{H}-1$ with axial proton $\mathrm{Ha}-3$. The benzylic proton $\mathrm{H}-16$ has interaction with $\mathrm{Hb}-3$. The aromatic protons of phenyl group at C 16 interact with H 2 , $\mathrm{Hb}-3$ \& $\mathrm{H}-17$. This observation strongly suggested that the $\beta$ 'orientation for $\mathrm{H}-16$ and equatorial disposition of phenyl group in the structure. A strong interaction among H 17 and methyl at $\mathrm{C}-8$ confirmed ' $\alpha$ ' orientation of $\mathrm{H}-17$. Based on this NOE spectral
analysis the relative stereochemistry was assigned for 5.13a and the absolute stereochemistry of newly formed centers was established as $16 S, 17 S$.

## Mechanism of Tandem Radical Cyclisation

Mechanistically the tandem radical cyclisation is believed to be a stepwise one. The radical obtained from the cyclisation of the first sequence generates a second radical, which undergoes further quenching by a second radical trap. On the similar line, in case of the above cyclisation the secondary radical generated from bromine is quenched by the styryl double bond in a typical 6 -exo trig cyclisation mode. To avoid the interactions in the form of 1,3 angle strain, with the $\beta$-lactam plane, the styryl double bond is oriented in such a way that the bulkier phenyl group remains opposite to the plane of the $\beta$-lactam ring. The benzylic radical thus formed acts as a second generation radical, which is trapped by the propargyl triple bond.

In case of 5.12a, the benzylic radical (A) prefers to attack the terminal triple bond of the propargylic system from bottom of the triple bond, as benzylic group is $\alpha$ ' oriented as shown in Scheme 14.

Scheme 14


The structure A in which the phenyl group and propargylic group are away from each other and will have minimum steric interactions. Since Ph is at the apex of a 7 membered transition state, a conformation in which Ph occupies equatorial position. Therefore, a low energy transition state (A) will lead to the formation of tandem cyclized product 5.12a.

In case of 5.13a, benzylic group is $\beta$ ' oriented and benzylic radical will attack from the top of the propargylic triple bond (Scheme 15). A TS-B, accounts for energetically favorable conformation, in which the ' Ph ' group and propargylic triple
bond are away from each other so as to avoid the steric interactions. Similarly, in this case also the tandem cyclization will lead to the formation of 5.13a as the only isolable product.

Scheme 15


## 5.4 : Summary

The N -vinyl $\beta$-lactam derivative with bromo carane substituent was synthesized in good yield with low diastereoselectivity. The system failed to undergo tandem radical cyclisation so as to give [3.6.6.4.5] or [3.6.6.4.6] type of multicyclic ring system, instead, a tetracyclic [3.6.6.4] ring system was effected. The carane derived N-propargyl $\beta$-lactam was synthesized in good yield. When treated with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ in refluxing toluene it underwent tandem radical cyclisation to afford a pentacyclic ring system. The 6 exo trig -7 endo dig cyclisation thus effected was highly diastereoselective and the structure of the mulicyclic product was determined by combined use of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, COSY, NOESY, HETCOSY spectral data.

## 5.5 : Experimental

## Preparation of 5.01

A solution of $E$-cinnamaldehyde $(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$, propargylamine $(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{mmol})$ was stirred overnight at room temperature over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ The $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ was then filtered off and washed with an additional $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The resulting solution of imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ was cooled under argon and used as such for further reaction.

## Preparation of 5.02

To the cooled solution of imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 1}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, a solution of acid chloride 4.03 a ( $3.375 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was further diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(10 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ), and washed successively with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (2 x 10 mL ), water ( 2 x 10 mL ) and finally brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish $\mathbf{5 . 0 2}(90 \%, 3.93 \mathrm{~g})$, which was purified by crystallization from pet ether/dichloromethane to get a white solid.
M. P. : $106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1757$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=2.4,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$.
1 H ), 4.35 (dd, $J=2.4,17.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.7 (dd, $J=4.9,8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.4$ (d, $J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.4 (dd, $J=8.8,16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

## Preparation of 5.04

To a solution of cinnamaldehyde ( $8 \mathrm{~mL}, 64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) \& $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}, \mathrm{N}^{\prime}$-dimethylethylenediamine ( $13.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 127 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous ether ( 150 mL ), was added anhydrous $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}(4 \mathrm{~g})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for about 1 h . After completion of the reaction ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of crude reaction mixture), the mixture was filtered to remove $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The residue was rinsed with ether ( 3 x 15 mL ) \& the combined ethereal solution was concentrated under reduced pressure to provide a crude oil, which was distilled $\left(95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5\right.$ torr) to get $\mathbf{5 . 0 4}$ as a light yellow oil. The spectral and analytical data for which was compared with the authentic sample.

## Preparation of 5.05

A solution of 5.04 ( $9.1 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and iodomethane ( 96 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ( 200 mL )was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for about $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The precipitated quarternary ammonium salt was filtered, washed with dry ether and then dissolved in DMF ( 200 mL ). NaH $(2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 113 \mathrm{~mL})$ was then added to the reaction mixture in several portions. After 12 h at $0-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the reaction mixture was quenched with ice water. It was extracted with ethyl ether ( $3 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and the combined organic phase was washed with water ( 5 x 50 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and concentrated to get imine $\mathbf{5 . 0 5}$, which was used as such without further purification in the next step.

Preparation of $\left(3 R, 4 S, 1 S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) \&\left(3 S, 4 R, 1 S, 3^{\prime} R, 4 R, 6 R\right) 3\left[4^{\prime \prime}-\right.$ bromo-3', $7^{\prime}, 7^{\prime \prime}$-trimethyl-)-bicyclo[4.1.0]hept-3'-yloxy]-4-[2"'-phenyl-(E)-1"'-ethenyl]-

## 1-vinyl-2-azetanone 5.06 \& 5.07

A solution of acid chloride $1.12 \mathrm{a}(0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop-wise to a stirred solution of $\mathbf{5 . 0 5}(0.157 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{~g}, 6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, over a period of $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred further 12 h . It was then diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, and washed successively with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and finally brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography using pet ether/ethyl acetate to get diastereomeric mixture of 5.06a \& 5.07a $(0.257 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%)$ as an oil.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-35.92^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.11, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \text {. }} \\
& \text { IR }\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1506,1541,1757,3018 . \\
& { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } \quad: \quad \delta 0.55-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88,0.94,0.96 \& 0.98(4 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 6 \mathrm{H}) \text {, } \\
& 1.31 \& 1.40(2 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-2.5(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) \text {, 3.9-4.1 (m, } \\
& 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.5-4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\
& 15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02 \& 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=5.12 \mathrm{~Hz} \\
& \text { respect. Total } 1 \mathrm{H} \text { ), } 6.21 \& 6.6 .31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \& 16 \mathrm{~Hz} \text { for } \\
& \text { both, total } 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.6(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \text {. } \\
& \text { MS [m/z (\%)] : } 216 \text { (26), } 158 \text { (30), } 135 \text { (59), } 115 \text { (100), } 93 \text { (90), } 91 \text { (51), } \\
& 79 \text { (32). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Preparation of 5.08a \& 5.09a

$\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added ( 5 min ) to a refluxing solution of distereomeric mixture of $\beta$-lactams 5.06a \& 5.07a ( $429 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and AIBN ( 16 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene ( 10 mL ). The reaction mixture was further allowed to reflux for $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. After completion of the reaction (TLC) the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether / ethyl acetate ratio $92: 10$ ) to get a diastereomeric mixture of cyclized products 5.08a \& 5.09a ( $211 \mathrm{mg}, 60 \%$ ). One of the diastereomers 5.08a could be separated by using flash chromatography.

## The data for the diastereomer 5.08a

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\alpha]_{25}^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25} \quad: \quad-7.84^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 2.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \text {. }} \\
& \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \quad: \quad 1635,1757,2867,2933,3016 . \\
& { }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } \quad: \quad \delta 0.59 \text { to } 0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.88,0.94,0.96,0.98(4 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 6 \mathrm{H}) \text {, } \\
& 1.31 \& 1.40(2 \mathrm{~s} \text {, total } 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.42 \\
& (\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-4.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48- \\
& 4.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02 \& 5.10(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\
& 5.0 \mathrm{~Hz} \& \mathrm{~d}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz} \text {, total } 1 \mathrm{H} \text { ), } 6.21 \& 6.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.8 \\
& \text { \& } 15.8 \mathrm{~Hz} \text { for both, total } 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.58-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2-7.5 \\
& \text { (m, 5H). } \\
& \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}(\%) \quad: \quad 216 \text { (26), } 158 \text { (30), } 135 \text { (59), } 115 \text { (100), } 93 \text { (90), } 91 \text { (51), } \\
& 79 \text { (32). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Preparation of 5.10a \& 5.11a

To the stirred solution of imine $5.01(0.169 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}) \& \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.84 \mathrm{ml}, 6$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added acid chloride 1.12a ( $0.617 \mathrm{~g}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over $1 / 2 \mathrm{~h}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir further, at room temperature, for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC) the reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with satd. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), water ( $2 \times$ 10 mL ) and finally brine ( 10 mL ). The organic layer was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish a crude product, which was purified by flash column chromatography (pet ether / ethyl acetate mixtures) to get 5.10a \& 5.11a ( 0.257 g ,
$60 \%$ ) as a gum. One of the diastereomers, 5.10a, was obtained in pure the form by flash column chromatography of the diastereomeric mixture. The spectral \& analytical data for 5.10a is given below.
(3R, 4S, $1^{\prime} S, 3^{\prime} R, 4^{\prime} R, \sigma^{\prime} R$ ) 3-[4'-bromo-3,7,7-trimethylbicyclo(4.1.0)hept3'-yloxy]-4-[2"'-phenyl-(E)-1"-ethenyl]-1-(2'" -propynyl)-azetan-2-one 5.10a

Obtained as a gum.


Preparation of (1S, 2R, 4R, 6S, $8 R, 10 R, 16 R, 17 S$ ) 5,5,8-trimethyl-16-phenyt9-oxa-12-azapentacyclo[8.6.1. $0^{2,8} .0^{4,6} \cdot 0^{12,17}$ ]heptadec-14-en-11-one 5.12a

A toluene solution ( 10 mL ) of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ containing AIBN ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added by syringe pump over a period of 5 h , to the refluxing solution of $\beta$-lactam 5.10a ( $441 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 20 mL ). After the addition is over, again AIBN ( $10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.06$ ) in toluene ( 5 mL ) was added and the reaction mixture was further refluxed for 1 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC) the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and purified by flash column
chromatography (Silica gel, petroleum ether / ethyl acetate ratio $75: 25$ ), when the product was isolated ( $145 \mathrm{mg}, 44 \%$ ) as a gum.

| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $+21.93^{\circ}$ (c 0.31, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) | 1750. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta-0.1-0.1(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.15-0.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.4-0.5(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $0.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.9(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.15-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,14.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 2.1 (dd, $J=10.0,10.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{bd}, J=$ $10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.60 (dd, $J=4.4,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.90 (broad dd, $J=6.0,18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85$ (dd, $J=1.0,4.4 \mathrm{H}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.70(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.0-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad \delta 18.4,18.7,21.1,21.3,24.1,28.0,29.7,31.7,44.0,46.0, \\ & \quad 49.0,54.2,55.0,74.9,77.8,118.7,126.8,127.5,128.0, \\ & \\ & 128.7,135.1,144.2,166.0 . \end{aligned}$ |
| m/e (\%) | $191 \text { (16), } 105 \text { (30), } 95(100), 93 \text { (80), } 91 \text { (68), } 77 \text { (32), } 57$ (48), 55 (42). |

Microanalysis : M.F. $\mathrm{C}_{2} 8 \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$.
: Calculated
C: 77.91
H: 7.71
$\mathrm{N}: 3.25$

Obtained
C: 77.68
H:7.84
$\mathrm{N}: 3.47$
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## Chapter VI

# Stereoselective Synthesis of cis- $\beta$-Lactams Using Glucose Derived Chiral Aldehyde via Asymmetric Staudinger Reaction 

Finally, one that is very apropos to us chemists:
And as diamonds ere their season, All is coal before it's light.
J. Marti

## 6.1 : Introduction

Staudinger reaction (ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction), is the most attractive and widely used method in $\beta$-lactam ring construction. The asymmetric version of this reaction involves the use of either chrial imines or chiral ketenes as chiral components in introducing asymmetry in the $\beta$-lactam ring construction (for references see chapter 1). Among the chiral imines, the possible combination can be chiral aldehyde and achiral amine or chiral amine and achiral aldehyde.

The chiral imines, derived from chiral aldehydes and achiral amines are the most effective for introducing asymmetry in the asymmetric Staudinger reaction. Generally, these imines give very high level of diastereoselectivity in the cycloaddition reaction. Among the useful chiral imines, the $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ protected aldimines are the most efficient ones (Scheme 1). ${ }^{1}$

Scheme 1


The most common approaches in the Staudinger reaction involve the use of $\alpha$ -oxyaldehydes-derived imines, sugar derived imines and $\alpha, \beta$-epoxyimines (Chart $l$ ). ${ }^{2}$

Chart 1





In these cases generally the formation of cis- $\beta$-lactams was observed and cisdiastereomers were usually obtained in ratios higher than 90:10.

Recently, Panunzio and co-workers have reported a case of transstereoselectivity preference. The method involves the reaction of phthalimidoacetyl chloride with N -trimethylsilyl imines and trimethylamine under toluene reflux conditions (Scheme 2). ${ }^{3}$

## Scheme 2



TIPS: $\mathrm{SiPr}_{3}$
According to the proposed mechanism the cycloaddition step involved (fig. 1) intermediate like in Fig. 1.


Fig. 1.

## Carbohydrate derived chiral auxiliaries

Carbohydrates and related polyhydroxy compounds have attracted considerable attention and increasing interest as a chiral starting materials in the ex-chiral pool synthesis of chiral drugs and natural products. ${ }^{4}$ Though carbohydrates contain upto five stereochemical centers with lots of stereochemical information, they are at the same time "overfunctionalised" by them to be successfully applicable as stereodifferentiating groups.

The use of carbohydrates in the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams is now well known. It is Bose \& Manhas, ${ }^{2 b, 2 e, 5}$ who successfully introduced the use of chiral imines derived from carbohydrates in the asymmetric Staudinger reaction. They synthesized different chiral auxiliaries derived from sugars and successfully employed them as chiral imine components. The chiral imines proved to be extremely efficient and always a very high level of diastereoselectivity (de > $90 \%$ ) was obtained. They mainly used it as chiral synthon rather than chiral pool, and utilized the carbohydrate skeleton in the synthesis of important natural products. A single cis-diastereomer was obtained, on reaction of carbohydrate based chiral imine with methoxyketene (Scheme 3). On further synthetic transformations this isomer was converted into 6 epi-lincosamine. ${ }^{6}$

Scheme 3


Similarly, the cycloaddition reaction of benzyloxyketene with the imine proceeded under complete control of diastereoselectivity to give a cis- $\beta$-lactam. On further chemical transformations it was possible to synthesize (-)-polyoxamic acid, a enantiomer of the component of the antifungal polyoxin antibiotic (Scheme 4). ${ }^{7}$

Scheme 4


Recently Stortz et. al. have reported the use of D-erythrose derived imines in the synthesis of 2,3-dideoxy-D-manonoic acid derivatives (Scheme 5). ${ }^{8}$

Scheme 5


## Mechanism

The extremely high diastereoselectivity obtained with the chiral aldehydes is intriguing. Attempts are made to rationalize the origin of such a high level of asymmetric induction using theory of molecular mechanics. In the similar studies, Palomo and coworkers have examined the aspects of highly stereoselective cycloaddtion of N -protected aldehyde to the ketene components at the molecular mechanics level (fig 2). The AM1 calculated transition states in the formation of cis$(3 R, \quad 4 S)$ and cis-(3R, 4S)-4-[(S)-1-aminoethyl]-3-methoxyazetidin-2-ones are quite decisive.

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}=-6.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}=-8.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$


(3R, 4S)
minor product

(3S, 4R)
major product

Fig. 2.

In case of $\mathrm{TS}_{1}$, there is an angular arrangement between C 3 and the exocyclic $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond, while linear arrangement exists between the same atoms in $\mathrm{TS}_{2}$. So there is a steric interaction between the methyl group $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2}\right)$ and the $\beta$-lactam ring. Such kind of interaction is absent in the case of $\mathrm{TS}_{2}$. As a result, there is effective HOMO- $\sigma^{*}$ stabilization and the product obtained is major one.

## 6.2 : Present Work

The use of carbohydrate derived chiral auxiliaries in the asymmetric synthesis of $\beta$-lactams is now well established. The literature reports indicated that the use of sugar derived chiral aldehydes is also popular one. Surprisingly, the use of D-glucose derived aldehyde, as chiral imine precursor is not well exploited. This prompted us to think about the use of D-glucose as a chiral auxiliary. The present chapter deals with the synthesis and structure determination of cis- $\beta$-lactams using D -glucose derived chiral aldehyde.

## 6.3 : Results \& discussion

## Synthesis of 6.01

D-Glucose was transformed into aldehyde $\mathbf{6 . 0 4}$ in few synthetic steps. Acetone solution of D-Glucose was stirred with $\mathrm{ZnCl} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ to prepare the diacetonide $\mathbf{6 . 0 1}$ (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6


The benzyl protection of the diacetonide $\mathbf{6 . 0 1}$ was effected, by heating it with BnCl at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in the presence of KOH as a base. The diacetonide was selectively deprotected to glycol $\mathbf{6 . 0 3}$ by treating $\mathbf{6 . 0 2}$ with $75 \%$ aq. acetic acid. The glycol $\mathbf{6 . 0 3}$ on oxidative cleavage with $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ resulted in the formation of aldehyde 6.04 in good yield.

Synthesis of imine 6.06
The analogous imines 6.06a-c were synthesized by the reaction of aldehyde 6.04 with amines $6.05 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7


## Synthesis of 6.08a-d

The chiral imines 6.06a-c, when treated with acid chlorides 6.07a-c in the presence of triethylamine, reacted smoothly to furnish the $\beta$-lactams 6.08a-d stereospecifically as a single cis diastereomer in good yield (Scheme 8).

Scheme 8


Table 1: Synthesis of 6.08a-d from 6.06a-c

| Compound no. | R | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Diastereoselectivity | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 a}$ | Ph | PMP | $100 \%$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 b}$ | Ph | Bn | $"$ | 69 |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 c}$ | Ac | Ph | $"$ | 72 |
| $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 d}$ | Ac | Furfuryl | $"$ | 65 |

The chemical yields of the cycloaddition reaction were moderate to good and diastereoselectivity was excellent. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed presence of only one diastereomer. The compounds were easily purified by flash column chromatography or by fractional crystallization.

The $\beta$-lactam 6.08a was selected for detailed discussion regarding the preparation and structural assignment, which is presented below:

## Synthesis of 6.08a

The imine 6.06a on treatment with phenoxyacetyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine underwent ketene-imine cycloaddition reaction to give $\beta$-lactam 6.08a in $70 \%$ yield. The NMR of the crude reaction mixture showed presence of peaks corresponding to only one diastereomer. The crude product was purified by column chromatography to get a solid, which was further purified by crystallization from dichlromethane/methanol (Scheme 9).

## Scheme 9



The crystallized product was a white solid with m.p. $148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The IR of $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 a}$ showed peak at $1749 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, typical of $\beta$-lactam amide carbonyl. The mass spectrum showed presence of molecular ion peak at $517(5 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed two singlets at $1.34 \& 1.54$ belonging to two methyl groups of acetonide. The OMe of PMP group resonated as a singlet at 3.78. One of the diastereotopic protons from the benzyl group resonated as a doublet at 4.3 with coupling constant 11.7 Hz . One of the ring Protons of the
 sugar skeleton, H-6'a appeared at 4.45 as a doublet
with coupling constant $J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The signals due to $\beta$-lactam proton H4, the other ring protons $\mathrm{H} 55^{\prime}, \mathrm{H}-6$ and one of the benzylic protons appeared as a merged multiplet in the region of $4.5-4.8$. The other $\beta$-lactam proton H 3 , appeared at 5.31 as a doublet with coupling constant 5.4 Hz . A high coupling constant indicated a cis stereochemistry among $\beta$-lactam ring protons. The H-3'a was seen downfield at 6.08 , with coupling constant $J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$. The aromatic protons were seen as multiplets in the region of 6.80-7.70.

In the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 a}$, the dimethyl acetonide peaks at $\mathrm{C}-2^{\prime}$ appeared at 26.29 \& 26.83. The $\beta$-lactam carbon at C-4 \& the OMe from the PMP moiety appeared at $55.40 \& 58.47$. The rest of the carbons of the chiral backbone as well as the $\beta$-lactam i. e. $\mathrm{C}-6{ }^{\prime}, \mathrm{C} 6, \mathrm{C}-5^{\prime}, \mathrm{C}-3$ \& $\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{Ph}}$ carbon appeared at $71.95,79.14$, 81.38, 81.86 \& 83.14.

## X-ray crystallographic analysis of 6.08a

To determine the absolute stereochemistry of 6.08a, a single crystal X-ray analysis of 6.08a was carried out. Suitable Crystals for X-ray analysis were obtained by crystallization of 6.08a from dichloromethane/methanol solvent system (Fig. 1).


Fig. 3. ORTEP diagram of the crystals of $\mathbf{6 . 0 8 a}$.
The important X-ray data for 6.08a is as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{a}=9.7300(10) \AA, \mathrm{b}=13.598(3) \AA, \mathrm{c}=20.599(3) \AA, \alpha=90^{\circ}, \beta=90^{\circ}, \gamma=90^{\circ} \text {, } \\
& \mathrm{V}=2725.4(8) \AA^{3}, \mathrm{z}=4, \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.261 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m} \\
& \text { m }^{-3}, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1235, \mathrm{R} 1=0.0575, \mathrm{~T}=293 \\
& \text { (2) } \mathrm{K}, \mathrm{GOF}=1.233 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The data were collected on Enariuf Nonius CAD-4 single crystal X-ray diffractometer using $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation $(\lambda=1.54060 \AA)$ and $\omega-2 \theta$ scan mode to a $\theta$ range of 3.89 to $59.82^{\circ}$. The structure was solved by direct positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for non hydrogen atom converged to $\mathrm{R} v=0.1235 \mathrm{R} 1=0.0575$ for 2314 unique observed reflections. Hydrogen atoms were geometrically fixed and confirmed by a difference Fourier which was held fixed during the refinement. The refinements were carried out using SHELEX-97 ${ }^{11}$

The X-ray analysis further confirmed the assigned structure to 6.08a. The absolute stereochemistry of newly formed $\beta$-lactam ring centers were assigned as $3 S$, $4 R$ based on the known absolute stereochemistry of sugar residue present in the molecule. The overall absolute stereochemistry of $\beta$-lactam 6.08a was established as $3 R, 4 R, 3^{\prime} \mathrm{a} R, 5^{\prime} R, 6 S, 6 \mathrm{a}$.

## 6.4 : Summary

Chiral imines derived from D-glucose were used effectively in the asymmetric Staudinger rection. The corresponding $\beta$-lactams were obtained stereospecifically as a single cis diastereomers in good chemical yields. The absolute stereochemistry of the product was determined from the single crystal X-ray analysis of one of the compounds.

## 6.5 : Experimental

## Preparation of 6.01

To a stirred mixture of aldehyde 6.01 ( $3.33 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ and dichloromethane ( 40 mL ), a solution of $p$-anisidine ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{ml}$ ) in dichloromethane $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was then allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through sintered funnel to remove $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$. The filtrate on removal of solvent at reduced pressure afforded imine $\mathbf{6 . 0 2}$ ( $3.45 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), as a pale yellow liquid. This crude imine was used as such without further purification in the next step.

Preparation of 4-( $6^{\prime \prime}$-benzyloxymethyt2', $2^{\prime \prime}$-dimethylperhydrofuro[2, 3-d][1,3]dioxo-$\left.5^{\prime}-y l\right)-1-\left(4^{\prime \prime}\right.$-methoxyphenyl)-3-phenoxy-(3S, 4R)-azetan-2-one 6.03

To a stirred solution of imine $6.02(0.383 \mathrm{~g}, 1 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.303 \mathrm{~mL}, 3$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry dichloromethane ( 15 mL ), a solution of phenoxyacetyl chloride $(0.255 \mathrm{~g}$, 1.5 mmol ) in dry dichloromethane ( 5 mL ) was added drop-wise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to warm-up to room temperature and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was then diluted with dichloromethane ( 20 mL ) and washed successively with water ( 25 mL ), sat. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 20 mL ) and dried over anhyd. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under vacuum and residue was purified by crystallization (pet ether/dichloromethane) to obtain $\mathbf{6 . 0 3}$ as a white crystalline solid.

| M.P. | $:$ | $148^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[\alpha]^{\mathrm{D}}{ }_{25}$ | $:$ | $-245^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 1.85, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. |
| IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $:$ | $1514,1749,3018$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $\delta 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, |
|  |  | $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.5-4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ |
|  | $5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, |  |
|  | $6.9-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. |  |
|  |  | $\delta 26.29,26.83,55.40,58.47,71.95,79.14,81.38,81.86$, |
|  | $83.14,104.96,111.83,113.94,115.62,119.79,122.41$, |  |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $127.57,127.99,128.46,129.62,131.21,137.12,156.55$, |  |
|  | $157.39,163.44$. |  |

MS m/z (\%) : $\quad 517,\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5\right), 518\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1\right), 519\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+2,0.1\right), 430(2), 149$
(41), 134 (15), 91 (100), 77 (12).

Microanalysis $\quad: \quad$ M. F. $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$

| $:$ | Calculated | $\mathrm{C}: 70.04$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.26$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N}: 2.63$ |  |  |  |
|  | Obtained | $\mathrm{C}: 69.85$ | $\mathrm{H}: 6.01$ |
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[^0]:    "I think and think for months and years. Ninety-nine times, the conclusion is false. The hundredth time I am right."

