NUCLEOPHILIC REACTIONS OF CYCLIC ANHYDRIDES AND THEIR DERIVATIVES: FACILE SYNTHESIS OF BIOACTIVE NATURAL AND UNNATURAL COMPOUNDS

THEESIS
SUBMITTED TO THEE
UNIVERSITY OF PUNE
FOR THFE DEGREE OF
DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
$I \mathcal{N}$
CHEMISTRY
$\mathcal{B Y}$
MD. MERAJUDDIN BAAG

ORGANIC CHEMISTRY DIVISION
NATIONAL CHEMICAL LABORATORY
PUNE 411 008, INDIA

## Dedicated to my Parents....

NATIONAL CHEMICAL LABORATORY
Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune - 411008 (India)

Dr. Narshinha P. Argade<br>Phone: +91-20-25902333<br>Organic Chemistry Division<br>Fax: +91-20-25902624<br>E-mail: np.argade@ncl.res.in<br>Website: http://www.ncl-india.org

## CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work incorporated in the thesis entitled "Nucleophilic Reactions of Cyclic Anhydrides and their Derivatives: Facile Synthesis of Bioactive Natural and Unnatural Compounds'" which is being submitted to the University of Pune for the award of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemistry by Mr. Md. Merajuddin Baag was carried out by him under my supervision at the National Chemical Laboratory, Pune. Such material as has been obtained from other sources has been duly acknowledged in the thesis.

December 2007
Pune

Dr. N. P. Argade

(Research Guide)
Scientist, Organic Chemistry Division
National Chemical Laboratory
Pune 411008, Maharashtra
India

## Candidate's Declaration


#### Abstract

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled "Nucleophilic Reactions of Cyclic Anhydrides and their Derivatives: Facile Synthesis of Bioactive Natural and Unnatural Compounds" submitted by me for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in Chemistry to the University of Pune is the record of work carried out by me during the period of July, 2002 to November, 2007 and has not been submitted by me for a degree to any other University or Institution. This work was carried out at the Division of Organic Chemistry (Synthesis), National Chemical Laboratory, Pune, India.


December 2007
Pune

## Md. Merajuddin Baag

Organic Chemistry Division
National Chemical Laboratory
Pune 411008, Maharashtra
India

## Acknowledgements

It gives me great pleasure to express my earnest gratitude to my research supervisor Dr. N. P. Argade for his astute guidance, invaluable suggestions and perceptive criticism while carrying out the present work. Although any acclamation is insufficient, but I take this opportunity to express my respect to him for his scholarly guidance, meticulous support and excellent work ethics. His humanitarianism is an attribute that I wish to take forward with me along with the chemistry that I learnt from him.

It is my privilege to thank Dr. Ganesh Pandey, Head, OCD, for his constant encouragement and ardent interest shown during the progress of the work. I sincerely acknowledge CSIR, New Delhi for the award of research fellowship and thanks are due to the Director, NCL for providing the infrastructure and necessary facilities. I am also thankful to Dr. B. G. Hazra, Dr. D. D. Dhavale, Dr. A. R. A. S. Deshmukh, Dr. S. S. Bhosale, Dr. V. K. Gumaste and Dr. (Mrs.) V. V. Panchanadikar for their help and encouragement.

I take this opportunity to thank Khanna Sir for his inspirational teaching and support during my research as well as my teachers at Ahmednagar College whose ethics and discipline I shall look up to forever.

I wish to express my gratitude to Dr. (Mrs.) V. G. Puranik for the X-ray analysis and Dr. P. R. Rajmohan for helpful NMR discussions. I also thank all OCS students, staff members for their timely help through out. Help rendered by the members of IR, microanalysis, mass spectroscopy, NMR group and library staff members is also acknowledged.
It is hard to find a lab better well-knit as a unit than the one I was blessed with an opportunity to work in. I enjoyed the cheerful co-operation and company of my seniors Anil, Mangal, Santosh who made me feel a member of this family right from the day one in the lab. I am very much indebted to my immediate seniors, friends and lab mates Anirban, Easwar and Mukul for their advices, true help, love and care. My special thanks to lab-friends Sanjib, Kishan, Umesh, Ramesh, Prasad, Mandeep Manoj, Sunil and Chavan Saab for their co-operation and maintaining amazing atmosphere with humour in the lab. The warm memories of my days in Lab-195 will haunt me forever. Thanks are due to Abhijit, Gurumeet, Deepak, Reema and Tasneem for the help during their project tenure in our laboratory.
My warm thanks are due to my Seniors and Friends Jayanthi, Subbu, Nagendra, Nitin, Bapu, Namdev, Nilkanth, Sudhir (Mota), Shriram, Pandu, Arun, Ramesh, Victor, Anamitra, Manmath, Pallavi, Abasheb, lalit, Nilesh, Bidhan, Ajay Kale, Pinak, Amol, Pranjal, Panchami, Mahima, Manoj, Dev, Amit Chaudhri, Dillori, Ashish, Sudhir, Sushil, Prabal, Sanjay, Shrinivas, Balakrishna, Kesri, DG, DD, Deepak, Sujit, Nishanth, Prassana, Tiwariji, Gitali, Mahesh Sonar, Kondekar, Pushpesh, Satender,

Abhishek, Rahul Prabhas, Chinmoy, Bhaskar, Rita, Hasibul, Alam, Pradeep, Debu, Nirmalya, Senapati, Amrita, Deka, Jadeb, Khirud, Lakshi, Pranjal (Beta), Rahul, Ankur, Gupta (Don), Dilip, Arshad, Sarvesh, Atul, Sushim, Sammer, Ambarish, Sahoo, Sitaram, Kannan, Bhaskar and my other friends for making my stay at NCL a very comfortable and memorable one. My warm thanks are due to Khan Sir, Afsar Sir, Farid Bhai and to my friends Shafi, Rashid, Iliyas, Anees, Sulaiman, Nazrul, Asif, Feroz, Asad, Noor, Sajid, Tanveer, Hameed and Wasif for their help and encouragement.
My special thanks to the table group Kishor (bhaya), Aarif, Balchandra, Patwa, Bhabi, Mahesh, Nilesh, Ravi and Ganesh for there help whenever I needed. I would like to extent thanks to Ahmednagar college group Sambhaji, Yogesh, Shailesh, Bhabi, Sandeep, Deepak, Madhuri, Gaiyne and Rajesh for their help and encouragement.
My stay at GJ Hostel made me familiar with all Indian foods and cultures. I thoroughly enjoyed all the sports \& cultural activities that abounded in the hostel. I owe a big "thanks" to all my friends who made GJH such a wonderful place to stay in and Thank to Chakru et al for the delicious food.

My warm thanks are due my MSc friend in Ahmednagar College YSPDJM, Rahman, Bilal, Sachin, Keshav and all my batchmates, seniors and juniors for maintaining cheerful atmosphere in hostel, their help and encouragement during my stay in Ahmednagar College.
No word would suffice to express my gratitude and love to my Parents, brothers, Bhabis, Sisters, Brother in laws, Uncles, Aunts, Nephews and Nieces and all the Baag family members for their continuous showering of boundless affection on me and supporting me in whatever I chose or did. Special thanks to my brothers Shakeel Bahijan and Late Shakeel Bhai for all the support to make me what I am today. It is my parent's prayer, constant struggle and relentless hard work to overcome the odds of life, which has inspired me to pursue life with a greater optimism. The warmth and moral value of my parents have stood me in good stead throughout my life and I would always look up to them for strength no matter what I have to go through. This Ph. D. thesis is a result of the extraordinary will, efforts and sacrifices of my parents. My successes are dedicated to them now and always.

Finally, my acknowledgement would not be complete without thanking the Almighty Allah, for the strength and determination to put my chin up when faced with hardships in life.

## CONTENTS

General Remarks ..... i
Abbreviations ..... ii
Abstract ..... v
Chapter One: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Itaconic Acid and Derivatives and their Uses in the Synthesis of Heterocycles and Tetramic Acid Derivatives
1A Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Itaconic Acid and Derivatives
1A. 1 Introduction to Chemistry of Itaconic Acid ..... 1
1A.1.1 Synthetic Utility of Itaconic Acid ..... 1
1A. 2 Introduction to the Chemistry of Dialkyl Itaconates ..... 10
1A.2.1 Synthetic Utility of Dialkyl Itaconate ..... 11
1A. 3 Introduction to Chemistry of Itaconic Anhydride ..... 21
1A.3.1 Synthetic Utility of Itaconic Anhydride ..... 21
1A. 4 Introduction to Chemistry of Substituted Itaconimide ..... 28
1A.4.1 Synthetic Utility of Substituted Itaconimide ..... 29
1A. 5 Summary ..... 39
1A. 6 References ..... 40
1B Section B: Chemo-, Regio- and Stereoselective Reactions of $o$-Aminothiophenol and o-Aminophenyl Disulfide with Itaconic Anhydride and (-)- Dimenthyl Itaconate: Simple Access to Enantiomerically Pure 1,5-Benzothiazepines and Benzothiazolyl-2-methylacrylic Acid
1B. 1 Background ..... 48
1B.1.1 Synthetic approaches towards 1,4- and 1,5-benzothiazepines ..... 48
1B.1.2 Synthesis of five and six- membered thioaza-heterocyclic systems ..... 53
1B. 2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 54
1B. 3 Summary ..... 59
1B. 4 Experimental Section ..... 60
1B. 5 Selected Spectra ..... 68
1B. 6 References ..... 79
1C Section C: Synthesis of Isomelophlin A and Studies Towards the Synthesis of Melophlin A
1C. 1 Background ..... 82
1C. 2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 83
1C. 3 Summary ..... 87
1C. 4 Experimental Section ..... 88
1C. 5 Selected Spectra ..... 95
1C. 6 References ..... 107
Chapter Two: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarates and their Uses in the Synthesis of Natural and Unnatural Compounds
2A Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarate
2A. 1 Introduction ..... 108
2A.1.1 Synthetic utility of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate ..... 108
2A. 2 Summary ..... 137
2A. 3 References ..... 138
2B Section B: Synthesis and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ Grignard Coupling Reactions with Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarate
2B. 1 Synthesis of Dimethyl Bromomethylfumarate ..... 142
2B. $2 \quad N$-Bromosuccinimide-Dibenzoyl Peroxide/ Azobis- ..... 142 isobutyronitrile: A Reagent for Z- to E-Alkene Isomerization
2B.2.1 Background ..... 142
2B.2.2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 144
2B. 3 Synthesis of Gymnoascolide A ..... 146
2B.3.1 Background ..... 146
2B.3.2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 147
2B. 4 Synthesis of Natural Cytotoxic Camphorataimides B and C ..... 149
2B.4.1 Background ..... 149
2B.4.2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 152
2B. 5 Synthesis of (+)-erythro-Roccellic Acid ..... 153
2B.5.1 Background ..... 153
2B.5.2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 156
2B. 6 Summary ..... 157
2B. 7 Experimental Section ..... 159
2B. 8 Selected Spectra ..... 175
2B. 9 References ..... 190
2C Section C: A Facile Chemo-, Regio- and Diastereoselective Approach to Cis-3,5-Disubstituted $\gamma$ - Butyrolactones and Fused $\gamma$-Butyrolactones
2C. 1 Background ..... 194
2C.1.1 Synthetic approaches towards $\gamma$-butyrolactones ..... 194
2C. 2 Present Work Results and Discussion ..... 209
2C. 3 Summary ..... 214
2C. 4 Dissertation Conclusions and Perspectives ..... 215
2C. 5 Experimental Section ..... 216
2C. 6 Selected Spectra ..... 224
2C. 7 References ..... 241
List of Publications ..... 244
Erratum ..... 245

## GENERAL REMARKS

- All the solvents used were purified using the known literature procedures.
- Petroleum ether used in the experiments was of $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ boiling range.
- Silica gel column chromatographic separations were carried out by gradient elution with light petroleum ether-ethyl acetate mixture, unless otherwise mentioned and (silica gel, 60-120 mesh/100-200 mesh/230-400 mesh).
- TLC was performed on E-Merck pre-coated $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ plates and the spots were rendered visible by exposing to UV light, iodine, $p$-anisaldehyde (in ethanol) and bromocresol green (in ethanol).
- IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FTIR instrument, for solid either as nujol mull or in chloroform solution (conc. $1 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and neat in case of liquid compounds.
- NMR spectra were recorded on Brucker ACF $200\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 50 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), MSL 300 and ACF 300 ( 300 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 75 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), ACF $400\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) and DRX $500\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 125 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectrometers. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) reported are referred to internal reference tetramethyl silane.
- Mass spectra were recorded on Finnigan-Mat 1020C mass spectrometer and were obtained at an ionization potential of 70 eV .
- Microanalytical data were obtained using a Carlo-Erba CHNS-O EA 1108 Elemental Analyser. Elemental analyses observed for all the newly synthesized compounds were within the limits of accuracy $( \pm 0.3 \%)$.
- All the melting points reported are uncorrected and were recorded using an electro-thermal melting point apparatus.
- All the compounds previously known in the literature were characterized by comparison of their $R_{f}$ values on TLC, IR and NMR spectra as well as melting point with authentic samples.
- All the new experiments were repeated two or more times.
- Starting materials were obtained from commercial sources or prepared using known procedures.
- During the thermal condensation reactions with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes, always some polymeric material forms which also makes difficult the purification of products.
- Independent referencing and numbering of compounds, schemes, tables \& figures have been employed for each Section.


## ABBREVIATIONS

| AIBN | 2,2'-Azobisisobutyronitrile |
| :---: | :---: |
| Aq. | Aqueous |
| Bn | Benzyl |
| Boc | $t$-Butoxy carbonyl |
| Cat. | Catalytic |
| CCDC | Cambridge crystallographic data centre |
| CSA | 10-Camphorsulfonic acid |
| DABCO | 1,4-Diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane |
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| DDQ | 2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone |
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| DEPT | Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization Transfer |
| DHP | Dihydropyran |
| DIAD | Diisopropyl azodicarboxylate |
| DIBAL-H | Diisobutylaluminium hydride |
| DMAD | Dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate |
| DMAP | 4-(Dimethylamino)pyridine |
| DMF | Dimethylformamide |
| DMS | Dimethyl sulfide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulphoxide |


| DMPU | N'N'-Dimethyl-N'N'-propylene urea |
| :---: | :---: |
| EDCI | $N$-Ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride |
| dr | Diastereomeric ratio |
| ee | Enantiomeric excess |
| equiv. | Equivalent(s) |
| h | Hour(s) |
| HIV | Human immunodeficiency virus |
| HMPA | Hexamethylphosphoramide |
| HOBT | 1-Hydroxybenzotriazole |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| Hz | Hertz |
| IBX | Iodoxybenzoic acid |
| IR | Infra Red |
| KHMDS | Potassium 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethydisilazane |
| LAH | Lithium aluminum hydride |
| LDA | Lithium diisopropylamide |
| LiHMDS | Litium hexamethyldisilazide |
| $m$-CPBA | $m$-Chloroperbenzoic acid |
| min. | Minute(s) |
| mL | Millilitre(s) |
| mmol | Millimole(s) |
| Mp | Melting point |
| MS 4Å | Molecular sieves (4£) |
| MS | Mass Spectrum |
| NBS | $N$-Bromosuccinimide |


| NMO | 4-Methylmorpholine $N$-oxide |
| :--- | :--- |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| ORTEP | Orthogonal Thermal Ellipsoid Plots |
| PCC | Pyridinium chlorochromate |
| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| PPA | Polyphosphoric acid |
| $p$-TSA | $p$-Toluenesulfonic acid |
| $p$-TsCl | P-Toluenesulfonyl chloride |
| Py | Pyridine |
| rt | Tetrabutylammonium fluoride |
| TBAF | Tetrabutylammonium iodide |
| TBAI | Triphenylphosphine |
| TBDMS / TBS | Triethylamine |
| TEA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| TFA | $2,2,6,6-T e t r a m e t h y l-1-p i p e r i d i n y l o x y ~$ | | Tetramethy |
| :--- | :--- |
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## Abstract

The present dissertation is divided into two chapters. The first chapter presents a short
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Figure 1. Natural Products and Unnatural Compounds Synthesized
overview of the chemistry of itaconic acid and derivatives followed by description of our efforts towards the chemo-, regio- and stereoselective reactions of $o$-aminothiophenol and $o$-aminophenyl disulphide with itaconic anhydride and (-)-dimenthyl itaconate, simple synthesis of enantiomerically pure 1,5-benzothiazepines, synthesis of unnatural isomelophilin A and studies towards the synthesis of natural melophilin A. In the second chapter, concise account on the chemistry of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate precede a description of our studies towards the synthesis of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate and development of new methodology for $Z$ - to $E$ - alkene isomerization using $N$ -bromosuccinimide-dibenzoyl peroxide/azobisisobutyronitrile, synthesis of gymnoascolide A, camphorataanhydride, camphorataimides $\mathrm{B} \& \mathrm{C}$, (+)-erythro-roccellic acid, diastereoselective synthesis of cis-3,5-disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones and fused $\gamma$ butyrolactones.

## Chapter One: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Itaconic Acid and Derivatives and their Uses in the Synthesis of Heterocycles and Tetramic Acid Derivatives

This chapter is divided into three sections. The first section provides a short overview on the chemistry of itaconic acid and derivatives while the second section describes our effort in using itaconic anhydride for the synthesis of enantiomerically pure 1,5-benzothiazepines and the third section summarizes our studies in using itaconimide for the synthesis of unnatural isomelophilin A and studies towards the synthesis of natural melophilin A. Subsequently, the detailed experimental procedures, analytical and spectral data and some selected spectra have been illustrated. Independent scheme numbers, structure numbers and references have been given for each section.

## Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Itaconic Acid and Derivatives

Itaconic acid, itaconic anhydride, itaconates and itaconimides are the multifunctional entity and hence have been extensively used for the construction of variety of heterocyclic structures in past century. Itaconic acid and derivatives are practically used in the synthesis of variety of key intermediates employed in the heavy and fine chemical industries and as such these compounds have been often used to model (i) compounds highlighting regiochemical dichotomy, (ii) heterocyclic skeletons, (iii) natural products and their precursors, (iv) bioactive molecules and (v) series of polymers with tailored material
characteristics. This chapter portrays a concise account on synthesis and use of these itaconic acid derivatives for the synthesis of bioactive natural products and heterocycles, followed by references.

## Section B: Chemo-, Regio- and Stereoselective Reactions of o-Aminothiophenol and

 $o$-Aminophenyl Disulfide with Itaconic Anhydride and (-)-Dimenthyl Itaconate:
## Simple and Efficient Access to Enantiomerically Pure 1,5-Benzothiazepines

Heterocycles play a pivotal role in pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries. The 1,5benzothiazepines are known to have antimitochondrial, antiinflammatory, anticancer, and anti-HIV activities. Development of new facile routes to these seven-membered 1,5benzothiazepines is a challenging task of current interest.

In this section we report the short and simple synthesis of enantiomerically pure 1,5benzothiazepine. We felt that, with a proper combination of reactivity and selectivity, the itaconic anhydride (2) and o-aminothiophenol (1) could be used as potential building blocks to synthesize higher-membered heterocycles. Reaction of anhydride $\mathbf{2}$ with o-ATP in THF at room temperature furnished 7-membered benzothiazepine $\mathbf{4 a}$ in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 1). The formation of the 7-membered benzothiazepine 4a was confirmed by Xray crystallographic data.


Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) THF, rt, 12 h (81\%); (ii) Itaconic acid, THF, rt, 36 h (70\%); (iii) Dimethyl itaconate, THF, rt, 24 h (74\%); (iv) $N$-Ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, 4 h ( $88 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(95 / 92 \%)$.

The stereoselective reaction of $o$-ATP with the chiral diester dimenthyl itaconate $\mathbf{6}$ in dry acetic acid at room temperature furnished the desired adduct $7 \mathbf{7 a}$ with $82 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of product 7a revealed that the reaction was moderately stereoselective and the mixture of two diastereomers was formed in nearly 7:3 ratio (Scheme 2). The adduct 7a on acid catalyzed hydrolysis gave the diacid $\mathbf{8 a}$ in $86 \%$ yield. As expected, the carbodiimide induced regioselective ring closure of $\mathbf{8 a}$ yielded the 1,5-benzothiazepinyl-1,3-acetic acid (9a) in $88 \%$ yield. Finally, for the separation of the two enantiomers of 9 a and their stereochemical assignments, we transformed 9a into two diastereomers $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $90 \%$ yield, by reacting 9a with $(+)-(R)$-phenylethylamine. The mixture of diastereomers 10 and $\mathbf{1 1}$ was easily separated by flash column chromatography to obtain pure $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ with quantitative recovery $(\mathbf{1 0}: 11=30: 70)$. The mixture of diastereomers in $\mathbf{7 a}$ was semi-



Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Itaconic anhydride, L-menthol, p-TSA, toluene, reflux, 36 h (80\%); (ii) o-Aminothiophenol, dry AcOH, rt, 36 h (82\%); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)$, reflux, 12 h , (b) $10 \%$ Aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, (c) AcOH ( $86 \%$ ); (iv) $N$-Ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, 4 h ( $88 \%$ ); (v) ( $R$ )-(+)-1-Phenylethylamine, $\quad N$-ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}(10: 11=3: 7,90 \%$ ); (vi) Three recrystalisations from petroleum ether (11\%).
solid in nature and after three successive recrystalisations from petroleum ether (60-80), gave the minor diastereomer 7b as a fine amorphous powder with only $11 \%$ recrystalisation yield, but with $98 \% \mathrm{de}$. This observation indicates that the major isomer has higher solubility in petroleum ether. Due to the amorphous nature of $\mathbf{7 b}$, we were
unable to get the X-ray crystallographic data to fix the stereochemistry of the newly generated chiral centre. The single isomer 7b on hydrolysis followed by ring closure gave the desired enantiomerically pure 1,5-benzothiazepinylacetic acid (9b) in $76 \%$ yield. The reaction of 9b with (+)-(R)-phenylethylamine gave compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $90 \%$ yield. On the basis of X-ray crystallographic data of diastereomer $\mathbf{1 0}$, we could assign the $(R)$ configuration to the newly generated chiral centre in $\mathbf{7 b} \& \mathbf{1 0}$ and hence consequently, the $(S)$-configuration to the chiral centre in 11.


ORTEP Diagram of $\mathbf{1 0}$.


As the activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated double bond by the carboxylic acid unit in itaconic acid is sufficient for Michael type addition of thiol unit from o-ATP, we felt that the $o$ -mercapto- $\alpha$-methylenesuccinanilic acid (14) would be a potential precursor for the synthesis of benzothioazocine 16. Hence to obtain the acid 14, we performed the reaction of 2-aminophenyl disulfide (12) with 2.20-equivalents of itaconic anhydride in THF at room temperature and obtained the dicarboxylic acid $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). The triphenylphosphine induced reductive cleavage of sulfur-sulfur bond in diacid $\mathbf{1 3}$ formed the expected but inisolable intermediate acid 14, which on an in situ intramoleculardehydrative cyclization furnished the 2-benzothiazo-2-ylmethylacrylic acid (15) in $84 \%$ yield and not the expected benzothioazocine 16, indicating the relative reluctance in $\mathbf{1 4}$ for the intramolecular Michael type addition of thiol to form the eight-membered heterocycle.


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Itaconic anhydride, THF, rt, 8 h (81\%); (ii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane:water (4:1), $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(84 \%)$.

This section also provides the detailed experimental procedures, tabulated analytical and spectral data along with some selected spectra followed by references.

## Section C: Synthesis of Isomelophlin A and Studies Towards the Synthesis of Melophlin A

Compounds which reverse the transformed phenotype caused by ras have high potential as a new type of anti-cancer agents. Recently, two novel tetramic acids having such activity, melophlin A \& B, were isolated from the Indonesian marine sponge Melophlus sarassinorum. One synthesis of melophlin A is reported. In this section we describe the synthesis of unnatural isomelophlin A (2) and our studies towards the synthesis of natural melophlin A (1).

The synthesis of isomelophlin A (2), an unnatural compound starts with the Wittig coupling of hexadecanal with $N$-methyl maleimide (3) to give the imide 4, dihydroxylation of imide $\mathbf{4}$ provided dihydroxy compound 5 . The diol 5 when refluxed in acetic anhydride for dehydration, we got the diacetoxy compound 6. However, when the diol 5 was subjected to dehydration using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ adsorbed on silica gel furnished isomelophlin A (2) in 3 steps and 55\% overall yield (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, hexadecanal, THF, reflux, $8 \mathrm{~h}(96 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NMO}, t$-BuOH, rt, $36 \mathrm{~h}(88 \%)$; (iii) Conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on silica-gel ( 0.5 mL in 5 g ), toluene, reflux, 24 h (60\%); (iv) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$.

Our studies towards the synthesis of melophilin A start with the Wittig coupling of hexadecanal with $N$-methyl maleimide (3) to give the imide 4, which was regioselectively reduced to afford the hydroxyl lactam 7, which on further reduction using $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ and
hydrogen gave hydroxylactam 8. Dehydration followed by $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ induced selective allylic oxidation gave lactam 10, which on $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ oxidation gave triol 11. Triol 11 on tosylation gave the monotosylated lactam 12. Lactam 12 when treated with NaH gave back the unprotected triol 11 but not the expected epoxide. Futher triol 11 was converted to monoacetate lactam 13 by treating with acetic anhydride in pyridine. Monoacetate lactam 13 on reaction with MsCl in presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ gave the mesyl and acetate protected lactam 14 in $80 \%$ yield. We are in search of suitable reaction conditions to get meliphilin A from the triol 18 and the work is under active progress in our laboratory (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, hexadecanal, THF, reflux, $8 \mathrm{~h}(96 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, 12 h ( $95 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $90 \%$ ); (iv) Amberlyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 6 h ( $85 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 10 h (75\%); (vi) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, $t$ - BuOH , rt, 36 h ( $88 \%$ ); (vii) $p-\mathrm{TSCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 6 h ( $90 \%$ ); (viii) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt 4 h ( $90 \%$ ); (ix) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $95 \%$ ); (x) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 10 h (80\%).

This section also provides the detailed experimental procedures, tabulated analytical and spectral data along with some selected spectra followed by references.

## Chapter Two: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Dialkyl

 Bromomethylfumarates and their Uses in the Synthesis of Natural and Unnatural CompoundsThis Chapter is divided into three sections. The first section portrays a short account on the chemistry of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate and also a report on their applications in organic synthesis. While the second section describes our studies towards the synthesis of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate, development of new methodology for $Z$ - to $E$ - alkene isomerization using $N$-bromosuccinimide-dibenzoyl peroxide/azobisisobutyronitrile and Grignard coupling reaction for the synthesis of gymnoascolide A, camphorataanhydride, camphorataimides B \& C and (+)-erythro-roccellic acid, and the third section portrays our studies towards the use of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate for the diastereoselective synthesis of cis-3,5-disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones and fused $\gamma$-butyrolactones. Subsequently, the detailed experimental procedures, analytical and spectral data and some selected spectra have been illustrated. Independent scheme numbers, structure numbers and references have been given for each section.

## Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarates

 Dialkyl bromomethylfumarates are a useful synthons in organic synthesis. Dialkyl bromomethylfumarates are multifunctional entity and hence have been extensively used for the construction of variety of heterocyclic structures. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reactions of different Grignard reagents, Wittig reagents and the Diels-Alder reactions with dialkyl bromomethylfumarates have been extensively used for the synthesis of several bioactive natural products and unnatural compounds. This section portrays a concise account on synthesis, use of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate for the synthesis of bioactive natural products and heterocycles.
## Section B: Synthesis and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{N}} 2^{\prime}$ Grignard Coupling Reactions with Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarate

This section describes our approach towards the synthesis of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate, development of new methodology for $Z$ - to $E$ - alkene isomerization using $N$-bromosuccinimide-dibenzoyl peroxide/azobisisobutyronitrile and Grignard coupling reactions for the synthesis of 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride,
gymnoascolide A, camphorataanhydride, camphorataimides B \& C and (+)-erythroroccellic acid.

## $N$-Bromosuccinimide-Dibenzoyl Peroxide/Azobisisobutyronitrile: A Reagent for Z- to

## $\boldsymbol{E}$-Alkene Isomerization

In our on going studies towards the synthesis of several recently isolated bioactive natural products, we carried out the reaction of dimethyl methylmaleate with NBS-AIBN and obtained dimethyl bromomethylfumarate in $85 \%$ yield. Both allylic bromination and isomerization of the carbon-carbon double bond took place in one-pot via an in situ addition-elimination of the bromine radical, which was further confirmed by obtaining the same product from dimethyl methylfumarate. This section describes our studies on the NBS-DBP/AIBN induced Z- to E-carbon-carbon double bond isomerization of olefins having variety of substituents (Scheme 1).

## Scheme 1



## Synthetic of Natural Antifungal Gymnoascolide A

Gymnoascolide A was isolated from the Australian soil ascomycete Gymnoascus reessii, while 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride was isolated from terrestrial fungi Aspergillus nidullans. Gymnoascolide A possesses moderate, selective activity against the pathogenic plant fungus Septoria nodorum. Till date, no synthesis of gymnoascolide A has been reported. We envisaged dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (2) as a potential starting material for the stepwise construction of natural product Gymnoascolide A (8). The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with 2 exclusively gave the desired arylalkylidenesuccinic diester $\mathbf{3}$ in $73 \%$ yield. The base catalyzed hydrolysis of diester $\mathbf{3}$ to diacid 4 followed by acetic anhydride induced ring closure gave the expected phenylmethylmaleic anhydride (5) in nearly $100 \%$ yield. The NBS-bromination of the allylic carbon in the anhydride 5 furnished the required bromoanhydride $\mathbf{6}$ in $80 \%$ yield. The chemoselective allylic substitution of bromo atom in anhydride 6 with
phenylmagnesium bromide gave the natural product 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride (7), which on N -selectride induced regioselective reduction, exclusively provided the natural product Gymnoascolide A (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, -20 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ (73\%); (ii) (a) LiOH ( 10.0 equiv.), $\mathrm{THF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(92 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 100 \%)$; (iv) NBS ( 1.5 equiv.), DBP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h (80\%); (v) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (5.0 equiv.), THF, HMPA, CuI, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (40\%); (vi) N-Selectride, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (90\%).

## Synthesis of Natural Cytotoxic Camphorataimides B \& C

Recently camphorataanhydride/imides were isolated from the mycelium of Antrodia camphorata and the imides $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{c}$ showed appreciable cytotoxic effects on LLC tumor cells. Recently one synthesis of these natural products is reported. We envisaged dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (2) as a potential starting material for the stepwise construction of natural products 1a-c and their various analogs. The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of $p$-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide with $\mathbf{2}$ exclusively gave the desired arylalkylidenesuccinic diester $\mathbf{9}$ in $73 \%$ yield. The base catalyzed hydrolysis of diester $\mathbf{9}$ to diacid $\mathbf{1 0}$ followed by acetic anhydride induced ring closure gave the expected anhydride 11 in nearly $100 \%$ yield. The NBS-bromination of the allylic carbon in the anhydride $\mathbf{1 1}$ furnished the required bromoanhydride $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $80 \%$ yield. The chemoselective allylic substitution of bromo atom in anhydride 12 with isopropylmagnesium bromide gave the 2( $p$-methoxyphenyl)-3-isobutylmaleic anhydride (13) in $45 \%$ yield. Boron tribromide induced demethylation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ provided the corresponding 2-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-3isobutylmaleic anhydride (14) in $91 \%$ yield. Allylation of anhydride 14 with 3,3-
dimethylallyl bromide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ furnished the naturally occurring camphorataanhydride A (1a) in $90 \%$ yield. The anhydride 1a was heated with urea at 130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour to obtain the natural bioactive camphorataimide B (1b) in $81 \%$ yield. Treatment of anhydride 1a with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in refluxing pyridine gave the desired third bioactive natural product camphorataimide C (1c) in 76\% yield (Scheme $3)$.


$$
[\text { 1a } \underset{(76 \%)}{\mathrm{ix}} \text { 1c ] }
$$

Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}(73 \%)$; (ii) (a) LiOH (10.0 equiv.), THF $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(92 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 1.5 h ( $\sim 100 \%$ ); (iv) NBS ( 1.5 equiv.), DBP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$; (v) $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 5.0 equiv.), CuI ( 0.1 equiv.), THF, HMPA, -5 to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (45\%); (vi) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (5 equiv.), $\mathrm{DCM},-78$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $91 \%$ ); (vii) 3,3Dimethylallyl bromide ( 1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (10 equiv.), acetone, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%$ ); (viii) Urea (1.1 equiv.), $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}\left(81 \%\right.$ ); (ix) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(76 \%)$.

## Synthesis of (+)-erythro-Roccellic Acid

Roccellic acid has been isolated from variety of naturally occurring lichen species and it is well known for antituberculor activity. Its absolute configuration has been proved to be $(2 R, 3 S)$-2- dodecyl-3-methylbutanedioic acid. Till date, three racemic synthesis of roccellic acid, of which the most recent one is from our group and one asymmetric synthesis have been reported. Dimenthyl itaconate 15 on bromination and dehydrobromination gave dimenthyl bromomethylfumarate 17 . The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$
coupling reaction of $\mathbf{1 7}$ with dodecylmagnesium bromide gave the itaconate derivative $\mathbf{1 8}$ with the desired $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ substituent, which on catalytic hydrogenation followed by hydrolysis furnished the natural product (+)-erythro-Roccellic (20) with only 33\% de (Scheme 4). Further work is under progress in our laboratory to improve the diastereomeric excess using different chiral auxiliaries derived from camphor.


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (90\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, rt, 6 h ( $92 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $73 \%$ ) ( $33 \% \mathrm{de}$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%)(33 \%$ de); (v) AcOH: $\mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)$, reflux, 10 h ( $85 \%$ ) ( $33 \%$ $e e)$.

This section also provides the detailed experimental procedures, tabulated analytical and spectral data along with some selected spectra followed by references.

## Section C: A Facile Chemo-, Regio- and Diastereoselective Synthesis of cis-3,5Disubstituted $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-Butyrolactones and Fused $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-Butyrolactones

The natural and unnatural $\gamma$-butyrolactones are an important class of compounds that find major applications in organic, medicinal and polymer chemistry. A very large number of such $\gamma$-butyrolactones have been synthesized during the past century using several elegant synthetic strategies. This section illustrates our approach for the synthesis of these $\gamma$ butyrolactones using $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reactions of alkyl methyl ketones with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate followed by a reductive regioselective cyclization to constitute a simple two-step approach to 3,5 -disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones via the $[3+2]$ annulation
pathway. The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reaction of primary enolates of alkyl methyl ketones 2a-e with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished ketodiester 3a-e in $70-85 \%$ yields. Upon treatment of the ketodiesters 3a-e with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.50 equiv) in methanol at room temperature, a highly diastereoselective reduction of the ketone carbonyl group took place with the attack of hydride ion from the less hindered side (Cram addition) to generate the unisolable pair of enantiomers of hydroxydiesters $( \pm)-\mathbf{4 a - e}$, which on an in situ regioselective lactonization with the more reactive non-conjugated ester moiety furnished the cis-3,5-disubstituted lactones ( $\pm$ )-5a-e in $80-90 \%$ yields (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}(\mathbf{3 a}, 80 \%$; 3b, $78 \%$; 3c, $72 \%$; 3d, $70 \%$; 3e, $85 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), MeOH , rt, 15 min (5a, $88 \%$; $\mathbf{5 b}, 85 \%$; 5c, $82 \%$; 5d, $80 \%$; 5e, $90 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (3.0 equiv.), MeOH, rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}(88 \%, 6: 7=$ 1:9).

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of these lactones 5a-e revealed that they are formed with $\sim 100 \%$ diastereoselectivity. Treatment of the lactonylacrylate $\mathbf{5 e}$ with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol at room temperature for 1 hour facilitated the reduction of the carbon-carbon double bond with a Michael type addition of the hydride ion followed by a highly diastereoselective acquisition of a proton from the less hindered side leading to the formation of a mixture of diastereomers $( \pm)-6$ and $( \pm)-7$ in a $1: 9$ ratio (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) with $88 \%$ yield. Similarly ( $\pm$ )-3e too, on treatment with an excess of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, directly furnished the mixture of $( \pm)$ - 6 and ( $\pm$ )-

7 in nearly the same ratio and yield. The mixture of 6 and 7 on recrystallization from dichloromethane provided analytically pure ( $\pm$ )-7 with $69 \%$ recrystallization yield. The structure of $( \pm)$ - 7 thus obtained was established on the basis of analytical and spectral data and it was unambiguously confirmed on the basis of X-ray crystallographic data.

Next, we prepared a plan to synthesize the fused $\gamma$-butyrolactones using the present $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction. Towards this, we performed the $S_{N} 2^{\prime}$ coupling of cyclohexanone enolate with 1 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and obtained the coupling product in $80 \%$ yield. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the coupled product showed two sets of signals with nearly equal intensities, suggesting that a column inseparable mixture of diastereomers is formed in nearly equal proportions. However, the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling of 1 and the cyclohexanone enolate, with an attack of the expected axial carbanion, was partly diastereoselective at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in mixture of diasteriomers $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ in a nearly $8: 2$ ratio. The observed face selective coupling could be ascribed to the steric interactions between $\mathbf{1}$ and axial carbanionic species of 8 and/or the thermodynamic stability of the formed major diastereomer 9. Interestingly, the mixture of diastereomers 9 and 10 (1:1/8:2) underwent a very stereospecific $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of ketone group at room temperature with a less hindered equatorial approach of the hydride ion to generate the axial alcohols, which, upon in situ cyclization, exclusively furnished the octahydrobenzofuran ( $\pm$ )-12 (pair of $R R R$ - and $S S S$ lactones) in $88 \%$ yield (Scheme 2). Finally, further reduction of the carbon-carbon double bond in $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 2}$ with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was also diastereoselective ( $70 \% \mathrm{de}$ ) with abstraction of proton occurring predominantly from the less hindered site giving rise to a mixture of $( \pm) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ (minor) and ( $\pm$ )-14 (major, pair of $R R R R$ - and $S S S S$-isomers) as a thick oil in $85 \%$ yield. Acid catalyzed ester hydrolysis furnished a diastereomeric mixture of corresponding carboxylic acids in $92 \%$ yield. Recrystallization of the above diastereomeric mixture of acids in ethyl acetate gave the analytically pure single diastereomer with $64 \%$ recrystallization yield. The X-ray crystallographic data of the analytically pure diastereomer revealed that a $( \pm)$-lactone $\mathbf{1 5}$ is formed. Finally, on the basis of X-ray data we could postulate the complete mechanistic and stereochemical aspects of the present conversion of $\mathbf{1}$ plus $\mathbf{8}$ to ( $\pm$ )-15 as indicated in Scheme 2.


Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}(\mathbf{9} / \mathbf{1 0}=8: 2$, $80 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), MeOH , rt, 15 min ( $88 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 3.0 equiv.), MeOH , rt, 1 h ( $85 \%$, 13:14 = 15:85); (iv) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}$ (3:1), reflux, 6 h (92\%), (b) Recrystallisation from EtOAc (64\%).

This section also provides the detailed experimental procedures, tabulated analytical and spectral data along with some selected spectra followed by references.

Note: Compound numbers in the abstract are different from those in the thesis.
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## 1A. Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Itaconic Acid and Derivatives

## 1A. 1: Introduction to Chemistry of Itaconic Acid

Itaconic acid was prepared in 1836 from the pyrolysis of citric acid. ${ }^{1}$ Itaconic acid was also isolated as a metabolite of fungi such as Aspergillus itaconicus, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ Helicobasi diummompa, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ Ustilago zeae, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ U. maydis ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ and some yeasts belonging to the genus Candida. ${ }^{2 e}$ Itaconic acid has been produced by the fermentation of market refuse, the apple and banana by using the strain Aspergillus terreus SKR10. ${ }^{3}$ It has also been produced by the fermentation of glucose using Aspergillus terreus immobilized in polyacrylimide gels. ${ }^{4}$


Itaconic Acid (1)
Itaconic acid was synthesized by the reaction of propargyl chloride with excess of carbon monoxide and water in presence of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{CO})_{4}$ as catalyst. ${ }^{5}$ Itaconic acid has also been prepared by carbonylation of propargyl alcohol in MeOH or benzene containing aqueous HI in the presence of Pd black or $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ as catalyst. ${ }^{6}$ Thermolysis of 7-phenyl-2,3,7-triazabicyclo[3.3.0]oct-2-ene-6,8-dione in boiling xylene at $138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, produces itaconic acid. ${ }^{7}$ Itaconic acid undergoes copolymerization with butyl acrylate in dioxane in the presence of azobisisobutyronitrile as the initiator at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{8}$

## 1A.1.1: Synthetic Utility of Itaconic Acid

Itaconic acid has been used for asymmetric hydrogenation, synthesis of several natural and unnatural products. This section provides a short overview on the application of Itaconic acid for the asymmetric hydrogenation and synthesis of several useful natural and unnatural products, however we have tried our best to summarize and present the information here, but no pretension of completeness is claimed.

## 1A. 1.1.1: Asymmetric hydrogenation:

Itaconic acid has been extensively used as a prochiral substrate for asymmetric hydrogenation employing variety of chiral ligands (Table 1). Zhang and co-workers ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ have used phosphine-phosphoramidite ligand and $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ as a catalyst and reported the


Table 1. Asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid

| Entry | Catalyst | Chiral ligand | Solvent | Product (ee \%) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Phosphine- | TFE | 1b (99.6) | 9 a |
|  |  | Phosphoramidite | MEK | 1a (71.2) | 9a |
| 2 | $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BAR}} \\ \mathrm{~F} \end{gathered}$ | $(R, S)-3-\mathrm{H}^{2} \mathrm{~F}^{6}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1a (83.6) | 9 b |
|  |  | BINAPHOS |  |  |  |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | UlluPHOS | MeOH | 1a (48.0) | 9 c |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | BoPhoz | MeOH | 1b (97.6) | 9d |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{OTf}$ | Spiro monodentate | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1a (97.9) | 9 e |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{nbd})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Phosphoramidite |  |  |  |
|  |  | ddppm | MeOH | 1a (64.0) | 9 f |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Ferrocenyl diphosphine | MeOH | 1b (99.5) | 9 g |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ | Mono- and bidentate | $i \mathrm{PrOH}$ | 1a (96.0) | 9 h |
|  | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | phosphinanes |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | MonoPhos | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 1a (96.6) | 9 i |

formation of both the isomers. Litner and co-workers ${ }^{9 b}$ have used the inverted supercritical $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ /aqueous biphasic catalytic system for highly enantioselective hydrogenation of polar water-soluble substrates. Sannicoló and co-workers ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}}$ have synthesized 2,5-dimethyl-3,4-bis[(2R,5R)-2,5-dimethylphospholano]thiophene (UlluPHOS) and employed as ligand of rhodium and ruthenium in hydrogenation reactions of prostereogenic functionalized carbon-carbon and carbon-oxygen double bonds. Boaz et al have prepared phosphinoferrocenylaminophosphines, known as BoPhoz ligands. The rhodium complexes of these ligands show high enantioselectivities ( $>95 \% e e$ ) for the asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid derivatives. Zhang and co-workers ${ }^{9 e}$ have also prepared a new spiro monodentate phosphoramidite ligand and used for the Rh-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid with excellent enantioselectivities ( $>99 \% e e$ ). Dervisi and co-workers ${ }^{9 f}$ have synthesized the novel $C_{2}$-symmetric diphosphine 1,4:3,6-dianhydro-2,5-
bis(diphenylphosphino)- $D$-mannitol (ddppm) from $D$-isomannide and used as a ligand for the Rh-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid. Zhang and co-workers ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~g}}$ have also prepared a new chiral ferrocenyl diphosphine ligand from $D$-mannitol. Rh-complex with this ligand showed high enantioselectivity in the asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid derivatives. Prie and co-workers ${ }^{9 h}$ have synthesized mono- and bidentate phosphinanes and employed for the Rh-catalysed asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid. Feringa and co-workers ${ }^{9 i}$ have used monodentate phosphoramidite chiral ligand for the rhodium catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of itaconic acid with $99 \%$ enantioselectivity.

## 1A.1.1.2: Synthesis of ceriporic acid B (Enoki et al)

Ceriporic acid B has been isolated from white rot basidiomycete, Ceriporiopsis subvermisrpora. ${ }^{10}$ Ceriporic acid B is protective against the depolymerization of cellulose by the Fenton reaction. ${ }^{11}$ Enoki et al ${ }^{12}$ have synthesized ceriporic acid B from itaconic acid by bromination, the Grignard reaction and acidolysis. Itaconic acid (1) on esterification gave diester 2, which on bromination and dehydrobromination gave dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3). Introduction of a hexadecyl group into the itaconate core was carried out with 1-hexadecylmagnesium bromide and $\mathrm{LiCuBr}_{2}$ at $-3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish itaconate ester 4. Further criporic acid (5) was prepared by acidolysis of 4 with formic acid and sulfuric acid in the presence of hydroquinone as a polymerization inhibitor (Scheme 1). The chemistry of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3) has been discussed in detail in chapter 2.


Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; (ii) (a) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$, (b) $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$; (iii) $\mathrm{LiCuBr}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{15} \mathrm{MgBr}$, THF, $-3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, ( $35 \%$, 3-steps); (iv) $0.5 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{HCOOH}, 120$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ (64\%).

1A.1.1.3: Synthesis of the ( $\pm$ )-gnididione (Knight and co-worker)
Gnididione was isolated by Kupchan et al ${ }^{13}$ from the antileukaemic fraction of the plant Gnidia latifolia Gilg. Knight and co-workers ${ }^{14}$ have synthesized the ( $\pm$ )-gnididione (14) by the condensation of dianion 9 with 3-methylglutaric anhydride. Protection of the derived keto-diester 10a as the $N, N$-dimethylhydrazone 10b and Dieckmann cyclization gave them the diketo-ester 11b after deprotection (Scheme 2). Stereospecific incorporation of the appropriate butanone side chain by double deprotonation of ester 11b and alkylation using 1-bromobutane-2-one gave dione 12, which was subjected to intramolecular aldol ring closure using potassium $t$-butoxide as base. Saponification and decarboxylation of the aldol product 13 then gave ( $\pm$ )-gnididione (14).


Scheme 2. Reagent, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{HCl}\left(44 \%\right.$ ); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, (b) xylene, $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $80 \%$ ); (iii) (a) DIBALH, THF ( $90 \%$ ), (b) KOH, rt, 8 h, HCL ( $90 \%$ ); (iv) LDA, THF, $-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; (v) (a) 3-Methylglutaric anhydride, (b) $\mathrm{AcCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 15 h (56\%); (vi) 1,1-Dimethylhydrazene, EtOH, AcOH, reflux, 6.5 h ( $84 \%$ ); (vii) Sodium bis-(timethylsilyl)amide, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2.5 h (62\%); (viii) MeI, EtOH , reflux, 8 h (65\%); (ix) 1-Bromobutan-2-one, LDA, THF, HMPA, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $55 \%$ ); (x) $\mathrm{KO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}, \mathrm{THF}$, ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOH}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}(67 \%)$; (xi) 1 M Aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{HCl}(80 \%)$.

1A.1.1.4: Syntheses of idarubicinone-7-b-D-glucuronide (Yoo and co-worker)
Anthracycline antibiotics are well-known antitumor agents. Yoo and co-worker ${ }^{15}$ have reported the regiospecific syntheses of anthracycline antibiotics idarubicinone coupled with $D$-glucuronic acid (Scheme 3). Cyclization of dimethoxybenzene with itaconic acid in polyphosphoric acid (PPA) in one step afforded the naphthalenone 16, which was transformed into the cis form of $( \pm)$-idarubicinone (18) as a major product. Esterification of $( \pm)-18$ with ( $S$ )-(+)-o-acetylmandelic acid followed by subsequent separation and deprotection gave (+)-20a and (-)-20b. Novel glycosides 22a and 22b containing glucuronic acid moiety were readily prepared via glycosylation of (+)-idarubicinone (20a)


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or PPA (66\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}^{2} / \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ (90\%); (iii) MeLi/THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (81\%); (iv) $\mathrm{O}_{2}, t$-BuOK, $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3} / \mathrm{DMF},-15$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad(85 \%) ;$ (v) $\quad \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-1,2-(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \quad \mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{PhNO}_{2}, \quad 80-100 \quad{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad$ ( $87 \%$ ); (vi) (a) $\mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \quad p-\mathrm{TsOH} / \mathrm{PhH}$, reflux, (b) NBS , $\mathrm{AIBN} / \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $\mathrm{SiO}_{2} /$ wet THF: $\mathrm{HCl} /$ dioxane ( $74 \%$ ); (vii) ( S )-(+)-O-Acetylmandelic acid, DCC, DMAP/ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (19a $=47 \%, \mathbf{1 9 b}=40 \%$ ); (viii) Satd. NaOH solution ( 5 drops) ( $\mathbf{2 0 a}=86 \%, \mathbf{2 0 b}=85 \%$ ); (ix) $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}, 4 \AA$ molecular sieves $/ \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathbf{2 1 a}=56 \%, \mathbf{2 1 b}=53 \%) ;(\mathrm{x}) \mathrm{LiOH}$, Amberite resin $/ \mathrm{MeOH}$, THF $(\mathbf{2 2 a}=84 \%, \mathbf{2 2 b}=82 \%)$.
and (-)-idarubicinone (20b) with acetobromo- $\alpha-D$-glucuronic acid methyl ester using $\mathrm{ZnBr}_{2}$, followed by hydrolysis with lithium hydroxide and amberite cation exchange resin.

## 1A.1.1.5: Synthesis of $N$-aryl- $\gamma$-lactams (Domingueza and co-workers)

Integrins are a family of cell surface receptors that function in cell-substrate recognition and cell-cell communication. $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ Antagonists could provide novel therapeutic strategies for the treatment of pathological conditions involving abnormal cell adhesion and neovascularization, such as cancer, restenosis, angiogenic ocular disorders and osteoporosis. ${ }^{16}$ Domingueza and co-workers ${ }^{17}$ have synthesized various $N$-aryl- $\gamma$-lactam as $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$ antagonists. The $\gamma$-lactam 24 was obtained from the condensation of 3-nitroaniline (23) with itaconic acid (Scheme 4). Compound 24 was then coupled with $\beta$-amino ester 25 in the presence of EDCI to afford ester which on reduction of the nitro group under acidic conditions led to aniline derivative 26. Guanidine analogue 28 was prepared by the treatment of aniline derivative 26 with thiourea 27 to give protected guanidine. Removal of the Boc-groups and basic hydrolysis led to acid 28. Six-membered homologue 28 showed higher binding affinity towards integrins.


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Neat, $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (70\%); (ii) 25, EDCI, $\mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (80\%); (iv) 27, cat. $\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}$, DMF, 16 h ( $80 \%$ ); (v) 1:1 TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 30 min ( $100 \%$ ); (vi) Aq NaOH, THF/MeOH; $\mathrm{H}^{+}(95 \%)$.

1A.1.1.6: Synthesis of the vitronectin receptor antagonist SB-273005 (Wallave et al)
The integrin family of transmembrane glycoproteins that acts as cell adhesion receptors and signal transducers include the vitronectin receptor $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}{ }^{18}$ The vitronectin receptor, $\alpha_{v} \beta_{3}$, is known to assist a wide variety of biological processes. As a consequence of this broad activity, it was anticipated that suitably designed antagonists would be useful in the treatment of inflammation, ${ }^{19 \mathrm{a}}$ cardiovascular disorders, ${ }^{19 \mathrm{~b}}$ cancer ${ }^{19 \mathrm{c}}$ and osteoporosis. ${ }^{19 \mathrm{~d}}$ Wallave et al have developed the multi-kiloscale enantioselective synthesis of a vitronectin receptor antagonist SB-273005 (35). ${ }^{20}$ The synthesis starts with the bromination of 3hydroxybenzaldehyde 29 to get bromoaldehyde 30. Heck reaction between bromoaldehyde 30 and itaconic acid gave diacid derivative 31, which on asymmetric hydrogenation gave 32. The diesterification of diacid acetal 32 furnished diester-aldehyde 33. The conversion of diester aldehyde $\mathbf{3 3}$ to the desired benzazepinone $\mathbf{3 4}$ was carried out by a reductive amination cyclization sequence. Finally benzazepinone $\mathbf{3 4}$ was coupled to the 2-methylamino-6-ethanol pyridine using Mitsunobu reaction conditions to complete the synthesis of SB-273005 (35) (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux (65\%); (ii) (a) MeOH , $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}$, (b) itaconic acid, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{P}(o-\text {-tolyl })_{3}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (80\%); (iii) DCA, $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{BINAP})\right]_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 60 \mathrm{psi}$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (84\%); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux ( $86 \%$ ); (v) (a) Trifluoroethylamine- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{ZnCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}(\mathrm{OAc})_{3}$, DMA, (c) TFA, toluene, reflux (72\%); (vi) (a) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, 6-methylamino-2pyridineethanol, TBME, (b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(66 \%)$.

1A.1.1.7: Synthesis of (R)-(-)- and (S)-(+)-homo- $\beta$-proline (Nielsen et al)
4-Aminobutyric acid (GABA) is a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the mammalian central nervous system (CNS). ${ }^{21}$ Nielsen et al have synthesized $(R)-(-)$ - and ( $S$ )-(+)-homo-$\beta$-proline as inhibitor of GABA receptor (Scheme 6). ${ }^{22}$ Conjugate addition of $(R)-(+)-1-$ phenylethylamine to itaconic acid (1) and subsequent cyclization gave a diastereomeric mixture of carboxylic acids, which were converted into methyl esters $\mathbf{3 6}$ and then separated by preparative HPLC to give $\mathbf{3 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 b}$. The LAH reduction of $\mathbf{3 7 a}$ and $\mathbf{3 7 b}$ gave $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ and 38b respectively. The compounds 38a and 38b were converted in two steps into their corresponding amino nitriles 40a and 40b respectively. Two different synthetic routes were used to convert these intermediates into ( $S$ )-(+)-homo- $\beta$-proline (42a) and ( $R$ )-(-)-homo- $\beta$-proline (42b) as described in scheme.


Scheme 6. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) (R)-(+)-1-Phenylethylamine, heat, $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 4 h (97\%); (ii) AcCl/MeOH, reflux, 2 h (76\%); (iii) Preparative HPLC (37a = 43\%, 37b = $45 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}$ (85\%); (v) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux, 2 h (92\%); (vi) NaCN , $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 48 h ( $67 \%$ ); (vii) $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{AcCl}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(50 \%)$; (viii) Conc. HCl, IRA-400 (50\%); (ix) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$, IRA-400 (62\%); (x) $\mathrm{H}_{2} / \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ( $62 \%$ ).

## 1A.1.1.8: Synthesis of 4-carbomethoxypyrrolidinones (Kees et al)

5-Lipoxygenase inhibitors have shown antiallergic activity. 5-LO Inhibitors are related by the presence of a bis-aryl system, a feature also common to many of the known cyclooxygenase inhibitors. ${ }^{23}$ Kees et al ${ }^{24}$ have synthesized 4-carbomethoxypyrrolidinones 47 and tested for 5-Lipoxygenase inhibitory activity. Alkylation of the 2,4dihydroxyacetophenone 43 with 3-nitrobenzyl bromide (44) and potassium carbonate/cesium carbonate mixture in acetone gave the bis-aryl system 45. Reduction of the nitro group with iron powder in ethanol saturated with anhydrous HCI gave the aniline derivative 46. The 4-carbomethoxypyrrolidinones 47 were prepared in a one-pot procedure from itaconic acid and the corresponding aniline derivative 46 at $180{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1 \mathrm{~h}$ neat), followed by dilution with methanol and refluxing the reaction mixture overnight in the presence of $p$-TSA (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (cat), acetone, reflux, 3 d (56\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{HCl}$, ethanol, rt, 15 h ( $81 \%$ ); (iii) Itaconic acid, fuse, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (iv) MeOH , $p$ TSA, reflux, 12 h (29\%).

## 1A.1.1.9: Synthesis of 6-(substituted aryl)-4-methyl-2,3-dihydropyridazin-3-ones (Wani

 and co-workers)Wani and co-workers ${ }^{25}$ have synthesized 6-aryl-4-methyl-2,3-dihydropyridazin-3-ones (50). The reaction of substituted benzene 48 with itaconic acid in presence of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ followed by esterification gave $\beta$-aroyl-2-methylene propionate (49), cyclization of $\beta$ -aroyl-2-methylene propionate (49) with hydrazine hydrate in the presence of sodium acetate gave 6-aryl-4-methyl-2,3-dihydropyridazin-3-ones (50) (Scheme 8). These compounds have shown significant hypotensive activity.


Scheme 8. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, benzene , reflux, 4 h , (74\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeCN}$, reflux $4 \mathrm{~h},(79 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$, MeOH, Reflux, 8 h (65\%).

## 1A.1.1.10: Synthesis of carboxythiolactones (Garbiras et al)

The design of peptidyl immunogen construction requires the incorporation of several entities, to form an ensemble that generates antibodies. Activated carboxythiolactones, provide such a system, two acyl sites susceptible to nucleophilic attack at disparate rates and a liberated thiol susceptible to electrophilic alkylation can act as immune stimulator. Garbiras et al ${ }^{26}$ have synthesized carboxylthiolactones 52 from itaconic acid. Itaconic acid on Michael addition of thiolacetic acid, followed by acyl deprotection and cyclization furnished 5-oxotetrahydrothiophene-3-carboxylic acid (52). This was converted to $\mathbf{5 3}$ by the reaction of perfluorophenol in the presence of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{AcSH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux ( $91 \%$ ); (ii) 6 M HCl , reflux; (iii) TFA, reflux (100\%); (iv) Perflurophenol, DCC, EtOAc, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $91 \%$ ).

## 1A. 2: Introduction to the Chemistry of Dialkyl Itaconates

Dialkyl itaconates have been synthesized by Tsuge et al ${ }^{27}$ from the corresponding dialkyl fumarate. The reaction of dialkyl fumarate with $N$-(trimethylsilylmethyl)pyridinium triflate in the presence of cesium fluoride in refluxing DME gave the corresponding diakyl itaconates. Kovaleva et $\mathrm{al}^{28}$ have reported the formation of dimethyl itaconate as a minor product in the reaction of aq. $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate. Gabriele et al ${ }^{29}$ have reported the formation of dimethyl itaconate as minor product in the palladiumcatalysed carbonylation of propynyl alcohol. Ram et al ${ }^{30}$ have reported a simple method for the preparation of monomethyl esters of dicarboxylic acids by selective esterification of the nonconjugated carboxyl group in the presence of an aromatic or conjugated carboxyl
group. Loh et al have reported the formation of dimethyl itaconate as a side product in the indium-mediated allylation of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate with hexanal in water. ${ }^{31}$

## 1A.2.1: Synthetic Utility of Dialkyl Itaconate

Dialkyl itaconates have been used for asymmetric hydrogenation, synthesis of several natural and unnatural products. This section provides a short overview on application of dialkyl itaconates for the asymmetric hydrogenation and synthesis of several natural and unnatural products.

## 1A. 2.1.1: Asymmetric hydrogenation:

Dialkyl itaconates have been extensively used as a prochiral substrate for asymmetric hydrogenation employing variety of chiral ligands (Table 2). Reek and co-workers ${ }^{32 \mathrm{a}}$ have introduced a new class of supramolecular bidentate phosphite ligands and successfully employed them for the rhodium catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate. Ding and co-workers have demonstrated that hydrogen bonding makes a difference in the rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate using monodentate phosphoramidites. ${ }^{32 b}$ Zhang and co-workers ${ }^{32 \mathrm{c}}$ have synthesized a new family of air-stable and moisture-stable phosphine-phosphoramidite ligands (PEAPhos) from commercially available ( $S$ )- $\alpha$-phenylethylamine and applied in the rhodium-catalyzed enantioselective hydrogenations of dialkyl itaconates. Breit and co-workers have prepared self-assembly of chiral monodentate to chiral bidentate ligands through complementary hydrogen-bonding on the basis of an A-T base pair analogue for combinatorial rhodiumcatalyzed hydrogenation of variety of prochiral substrates. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~d}}$ Reetz et al ${ }^{32 \mathrm{e}}$ have used chiral diphosphites and diphosphoramidites derived from BINOL or diphenylprolinol as ligands for the asymmetric Rh-catalyzed olefin hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate. Monti et al have prepared a library of chiral tropos phosphorus ligands, based on a flexible (tropos) biphenol unit and a chiral phosphorus bound alcohol or secondary amine. These ligands were screened, individually and as a combination of two, in the rhodium-catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation of dehydro- $\alpha$-amino acids, dehydro- $\beta$-amino acids, enamides and dimethyl itaconate. ${ }^{32 f}$ Reetz et al ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~g}}$ have also reported that Rh-catalyzed olefinhydrogenation mixtures, comprising a BINOL-derived phosphorus ligand in combination with an achiral phosphorus compound, or a BINOL-derived phosphorus ligand in


Table 2. Asymmetric hydrogenation of dimethyl itaconate

| Entry | Catalyst | Chiral ligand | Solvent | Product (ee \%) | Referen <br> ce |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | UREA-phos | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}(95.8)$ | 32 a |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Monophosphorus | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}(94.0)$ | 32 b |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | PEAPhos | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}(99.9)$ | 32 c |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Self-assembly of | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}(94.0)$ | 32 d |
|  |  | monodentate-bidentate |  |  |  |
| 5 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | BINOL-derived | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}(92.0)$ | 32 e |
| 6 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | Tropos | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}(75.0)$ | 32 f |
| 7 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | BINOL-derived + | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 b}(94.0)$ | 32 g |
| 8 | $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | biphenol-derived |  |  |  |
| 9 | $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD})_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | PipPhos and MorfPhos | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathbf{2 a}(99.0)$ | 32 i |

combination with a chiral but configurationally fluxional biphenol-derived phosphite can result in high enantioselectivity. Ding and co-workers have developed a new class of monodentate phosphoramidite ligands (DpenPhos), based on a modular concept for $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$ catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenations of a variety of olefin derivatives, affording the corresponding optically active compounds in excellent yields and enantioselectivities. ${ }^{32 \mathrm{~h}}$ Bernsmann et al ${ }^{32 \mathrm{i}}$ have prepared a library of monodentate phosphoramidite ligands and applied in rhodium catalyzed asymmetric hydrogenation. This resulted in the identification of two ligands, PipPhos and MorfPhos, that afforded excellent and in several cases unprecedented enantioselectivities in the hydrogenation of $N$-acyldehydroamino acid esters, dimethyl itaconate, acyclic $N$-acylenamides, and cyclic $N$-acylenamides.

## 1A.2.1.2: Synthesis of butyrolactam 11 $\beta$-HSD1 inhibitors (Yeh et al)

Metabolic syndrome is a cluster of factors associated with an increased risk of atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and diabetes. The current hypothesis presumes a small molecule that inhibits $11 \beta$-HSD1 can be a viable therapeutic strategy for the treatment of metabolic syndrome. Yeh et al ${ }^{33}$ have synthesized a series of metabolically stable butyrolactam $11 \beta$-HSD1 inhibitors. Tandem Michael addition and cyclization between cycloheptylamine and dimethyl itaconate gave lactam $\mathbf{5 4}$ which was reduced and protected as silylether 55. Position 3 of the lactam was then sequentially alkylated, and after removal of silyl group, a pyridyl group was appended on the hydroxymethylene of $\mathbf{5 7}$ to give 58 (Scheme 10). Lactam 58 showed good potency and excellent selectivity for the enzyme $11 \beta$-HSD1.


Scheme 10. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Cycloheptylamine, MeOH, reflux, 5 h ( $90 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(85 \%$ ); (iii) TBDMSCl, imidazole, THF, rt (99\%); (iv) (a) LiHMDS, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, (b) MeI, $1 \mathrm{~h}\left(80 \%\right.$ ); (v) $\mathrm{LiNEt}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, MeI, DMPU, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 4 h ( $75 \%$ ); (vi) HCl , THF, rt, 3 h ( $100 \%$ ); (vii) 6Chloronicotinonitrile, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{DMF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt , ( $89 \%$ ).

1A.2.1.3: Synthesis of enantiopure 1-alkyl-5-oxo-3-pyrrolidinecarboxylic acids (Valentin and co-workers)

Number of compounds containing the $\gamma$-lactam (2-pyrrolidinone) moiety exhibit interesting biological and pharmacological activities. Valentin and co-workers ${ }^{34}$ have reported the synthesis of enantiopure 1-alkyl-5-oxo-3-pyrrolidinecarboxylic acids (61) by the enzymatic
resolution of the corresponding racemic mixtures. The 5-oxo-3- pyrrolidinecarboxylic acid methyl ester 59 was easily prepared by the conjugate Michael addition of the appropriate primary amine to dimethyl itaconate (2), resulting in spontaneous cyclization and leading to the desired heterocyclic ring 59, which on enzymatic resolution gave the corresponding enantiomerically pure ester 60 and acid 61 (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11. Reagents and conditions: (i) $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (ii) Hydrolytic enzyme $\alpha$ CT, phosphate buffer, rt $[R-(-)-\mathbf{6 0}=36 \%$ yield, $99 \% e e ; S-(+)-\mathbf{6 1}=30 \%$ yield, $85 \% e e]$.

## 1A.2.1.4: Synthesis of spiro[2.5]oct-4-ene derivatives (de Meijere and coworkers)

Three-component domino Heck-Diels-Alder reaction with bicyclopropylidene (62) have been reported by de Meijere and co-workers. ${ }^{35}$ The three components bicyclopropylidene (62), phenyl iodide and dimethyl itaconate, were mixed with the palladium catalyst in acetonitrile and the mixture was heated in a Pyrex bottle, to give the corresponding spiro[2.5]octenes 63. Here the tetrasubstituted alkene 62 is more rapidly carbopalladated than even methyl acrylate, which is known to be a particularly good substrate in Heck coupling reactions (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(15 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(47 \%)$.

## 1A.2.1.5: Heck coupling reactions

## [A] Buchwald's approach

The palladium-catalyzed reaction of organic halides with alkenes ${ }^{36}$ has become a well established synthetic method for carbon-carbon bond formation. ${ }^{37}$ Buchwald and co-
workers ${ }^{38}$ have described general, phosphane-free, reaction conditions for the Heck type coupling of aryl iodides and aryl bromides with dialkyl itaconates (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13. Reagents, conditions and yield: (i) $1-4 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$, dimethylacetamide, $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 16 h (72-74\%).

## [B] Nájera's approach

Nájera and co-workers ${ }^{39}$ have optimized the reaction conditions for the mono-arylation of dimethyl itaconate in aqueous media catalyzed either by a $p$-hydroxyacetophenone oximederived palladacycle or by palladium(II) acetate under phosphine-free conditions and in the presence of (dicyclohexyl)-methylamine as base.

## [C] Correia's approach

Correia and co-workers ${ }^{40}$ have reported the Heck arylation of dialkyl itaconate using several arenediazonium tetrafluoroborates. Arylations were carried out under aerobic, ligand-free conditions to provide the corresponding substituted acrylates in moderate to high isolated yields.

## [D] Wessjohann's approach

Wessjohann and co-workers ${ }^{41}$ have synthesized polymer-supported benzylhydrazines using poly(ethyleneglycol) acrylamide (PEGA) resin. They can be used to scavenge electrophiles reactive with hydrazine. Especially aromatic aldehydes can be captured selectively, monoprotected and reversibly linked in the presence of other functional groups. Various reactions can be performed on these protectively linked aldehydes. As an example, a Heck coupling was performed with polymer-supported substrate 65. The polymer bound aldehyde 67 reacted with dimethyl itaconate to give the coupling product 68 . By using the established cleavage method, the final coupling product 69 was obtained in $46 \%$ overall isolated yield (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{MeOH}, 1 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$, rt, 24 h ; (ii) Dimethyl itaconate, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NCl}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{DMA}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) Acetone:THF:conc. HCl $=1: 2: 0.03, \mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}(45 \%$ overall yield $)$.

## 1A.2.1.6: Enantioselective 1,4-addition reactions

[A] Frost's approach
The rhodium catalysed asymmetric addition of aryl and alkenyl organoboron reagents to activated alkenes has emerged as fundamental methodology for organic synthesis. ${ }^{42}$ Frost and co-workers ${ }^{43}$ have reported the enantioselective synthesis of 2 -substituted succinic esters by a tandem rhodium catalyzed conjugate addition and enantioselective protonation (Scheme 15, Table 3).


Scheme 15. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\operatorname{ArylBF}_{3} \mathrm{~K},\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\operatorname{cod})_{2}\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}(3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, BINAP ( $6.6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), benzene/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20: 1), 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(56 \%$ yield, $82 \% \mathrm{ee})$.

Table 3. Scope of rhodium catalysed conjugate addition-protonation

| Entry | Aryl | Ligand | Yield \% | Ee \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1-Naphthyl | (R)-BINAP | 56 | $82(R)$ |
| 2 | Ph | (R)-BINAP | 51 | 68 (R) |
| 3 | $2-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | Trace | N.d. |
| 4 | 4-OMe- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | 89 | 62 (R) |
|  |  | (S)-BINAP | 93 | 56 (S) |
| 5 | $4-\mathrm{Ac}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | 75 | 48 (R) |
|  |  | (S)-BINAP | 96 | 46 (S) |
| 6 | $3-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | 80 | 60 (R) |
|  |  | (S)-BINAP | 93 | $54(S)$ |
| 7 | $4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | 85 | 58 (R) |
|  |  | (S)-BINAP | 95 | 62 (S) |
| 8 | $4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{-}$ | (R)-BINAP | 89 | 60 (R) |
|  |  | (S)-BINAP | 96 | 60 (S) |

[B] Sibi's approach
Sibi et $a l^{44}$ have reported that the chiral Lewis acid mediated conjugate radical addition to dimethyl itaconate followed by enantioselective hydrogen-atom transfer proceeds with moderate selectivity in the formation of 2-substituted succinic esters.

## [C] Marinetti's approach

Marinetti and co-workers ${ }^{45}$ have prepared the new heterotopic atropisomeric diphosphine ( $R$ )-5,6-benzo-2,20-bis(diphenylphosphino)-4', $5^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-trimethylbiphenyl and used it for the rhodium catalysed 1,4-additions of boronic acids to dimethyl itaconate.

## [D] Frost's approach

Frost and co-workers ${ }^{46}$ have reported the cationic rhodium complex $[\operatorname{Rh}(\operatorname{cod}) 2]\left[\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right]$ catalysed 1,4-addition of organotrialkoxysilanes to dimethyl itaconate.

## 1A.2.1.7: Synthesis of acyclic nucleosides (Huet and co-workers)

Interest in acyclic nucleosides ${ }^{47}$ started in 1970 when acyclovir (ACV, 'Zovirax') was reported as a potent anti-viral agent. ${ }^{48 a}$ Huet and co-workers ${ }^{48 b}$ have synthesized several acyclic nucleosides by a short route involving a Michael addition as the key step. Addition of protected adenine, cytosine, thymine and guanine to dimethyl itaconate (2), in basic conditions, gave corresponding acyclic nucleosides 72 which on reduction and deprotection gave the corresponding penciclovir analogs 73 (Scheme 16).


Scheme 16. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (64\%); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{Ca}\left(\mathrm{BH}_{4}\right)_{2}$, THF, (b) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}(53 \%)$.

## 1A.2.1.8: Tandem Heck and aldol reactions

## [A] Cho's approach

Isoquinoline containing compounds are found as naturally occurring alkaloids. Cho et al ${ }^{49}$ have reported a new route for the synthesis of isoquinolines. 3-Bromopyridine-4carbaldehyde (74) is treated with dialkyl itaconate via Heck coupling followed by aldol reaction in dioxane at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a catalytic system of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ to afford the corresponding isoquinolines 75 in good yields (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$, Dioxane, 150 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(50-78 \%)$.

## [B] Cho's approach

Cho et al ${ }^{50}$ have also reported a new route for the synthesis of functionalized naphthalenes. 2-Bromobenzaldehydes were treated with dialkyl itaconate via Heck coupling followed by
aldol reaction in dioxane at $150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a catalytic system of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ to afford the corresponding naphthalenes in good yields.

## 1A.2.1.9: Aromatization of $\beta$-bromovinyl aldehydes (Cho et al)

Palladium-catalyzed annulation technology has been widely introduced as a useful synthetic tool to obtain carbocycles and heterocycles, which play an important role as a basic unit for the design of many pharmacologically and biologically active compounds. ${ }^{51}$ Cho et al have reported the palladium catalyzed aromatization of $\beta$-bromovinyl aldehydes with alkenes via intrinsic tandem Heck and aldol reactions. The reactions of various $\beta$ bromovinyl aldehydes $\mathbf{7 6}$ with dialkyl itaconate $\mathbf{2}$ in the presence of a catalytic amount of a palladium catalyst along with a base afforded corresponding dialkyl 5,6,7,8-tetrahydronaphthalene-2,3-dicarboxylates (77) with concomitant formation of corresponding dialkyl 2-(2-formylcyclohex-1-enylmethyl)maleate (78) (Scheme 18). ${ }^{52}$


Scheme 18. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{THF}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20$ $\mathrm{h}(77=45-60 \%, 78=19 \%)$.

1A.2.1.10: Enantioselective synthesis of 4-substituted glutamic acid derivatives (Rolland and co-workers)
$(S)$-Glutamic acid is the main excitatory neurotransmitter in the mammalian central nervous system. Rolland and co-workers have synthesized 4 -substituted glutamic acid derivatives. Schiff base 79 prepared from the commercially available chiral auxiliary ( $2 R, 3 R, 5 R$ )-2-hydroxypinan-3-one. ${ }^{53}$ Schiff base 79 on reaction with dimethyl itaconate, in DBU as base at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF gave $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $64 \%$ yield as a mixture of two diastereomers (d.r. $=55 / 45$ ). Acid treatment of $\mathbf{8 0}$ with $15 \%$ citric acid in THF at room temperature followed by neutralization with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ gave six membered glutamic acid derivatives $\mathbf{8 1}$ (Scheme 19). ${ }^{54}$


Scheme 19. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) DBU, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (64\%); (ii) $15 \%$ Citric acid, 4 days, rt; (iii) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (74\%).

## 1A.2.1.11: Synthesis of pyrrolizidinone and indolizidinone (Cordero and co-workers)

Severely constrained dipeptides able to induce a folding in peptide chains are useful building blocks for synthesizing peptides with a reduced conformational freedom. ${ }^{55}$ Cordero and co-workers have synthesized several pyrrolizidinones by the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition reaction. The cycloadditions of nitrone $\mathbf{8 2}{ }^{56}$ with dimethyl itaconate was completely regio- and diastereoselective affording one single cycloadduct 83 . The cycloaducct $\mathbf{8 3}$ on $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ catalyzed hydrogenation in the presence of 10 mol equiv. of AcOH gave a ca 1.5:1 mixture of pyrrolizidinone 85 and indolizidinone 86 through the competitive 5- and 6-exo-trig cyclizations, respectively, of the inisolable intermediate amino diester 84. In the absence of AcOH it was found that the 5-exo-trig pathway becomes more important, in this case a ca. 4.5:1 mixture of $\mathbf{8 5}$ and $\mathbf{8 6}$ were obtained (Scheme 20). ${ }^{57}$


Scheme 20. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Neat, $42{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2.5 h (73\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$ (cat), $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol}\right.$ equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}(\mathbf{8 5}=38 \%, \mathbf{8 6}=26 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{cat}), \mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}(\mathbf{8 5}=73 \%, \mathbf{8 6}=16 \%)$.

## 1A.2.1.12: Hydroxyalkylation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters (Nagano and co-workers)

Radical additions have become a very useful synthetic tool and much attention has been paid to the development of efficient carbon-carbon bond-forming reactions. ${ }^{58}$ Nagano and co-workers have developed a new method of radical hydroxyalkylation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters using alkyl iodides and trialkylborane in the presence of KF and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Dimethyl itaconate (2) reacted with $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ radical to give corresponding hydroxytrifluoromethylated product 87 (Scheme 21). ${ }^{59}$


Scheme 21. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{I}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{THF},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}(54 \%)$.

## 1A. 3: Introduction to Chemistry of Itaconic Anhydride

Itaconic anhydride has been synthesised by Gusev et al using the carbonilation of propargyl alcohol in MeOH or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ containing aq. HI and either Pd black or $\mathrm{Co}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{8}$ as a catalyst. ${ }^{60}$ McCabe et al have synthesized itaconic anhydride by the reaction of itaconic acid with $\mathrm{Al}_{3}{ }^{+}$-montmorillonite in refluxing toluene by the intramolecular cyclocondensation. ${ }^{61}$ Kita et al have used (trimethylsilyl)ethoxyacetylene as an excellent dehydrating agent for the synthesis of itaconic anhydride from itaconic acid. ${ }^{62}$ Liang et al have synthesized itaconic anhydride and citraconic anhydride by the double dehydrative decarboxylation of citric acid. ${ }^{63}$ Dinand et al have observed the formation of itaconic anhydride by the decarboxylation, double bond isomerization, and hydrolysis reactions of cis-aconityl anhydride during the amine addition to cis-aconityl anhydride. ${ }^{64}$ Filimoshkin et al have reported the formation of itaconic anhydride by the hydrochlorination and prototropic tautomerism of (chloromethyl)succinic anhydride. ${ }^{65}$

## 1A.3.1: Synthetic Utility of Itaconic Anhydride

Itaconic anhydride has been used for the synthesis of several natural and unnatural products and this section provides an account of application of itaconic anhydride for the same.

1A.3.1.1: Synthesis of naturally occurring cytotoxic ellipsoidone $A$ and ellipsoidone $B$ Ellipsoidones A (94) and B(95) have been isolated by Nomura et al in collaboration with group of researchers from China from the tubers of Hemsleya ellipsoidea. ${ }^{66}$ The synthesis of ellipsoidones A and B has been reported from our group ${ }^{67}$ by using itaconic anhydride. The bromination of itaconic anhydride (88) furnished the dibromodiacid $\mathbf{8 9}$ in $98 \%$ yield. The diacid 89 on treatment with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NaOAc}$ mixture at room temperature for 6 h gave the acetoxymethylmaleic anhydride (90). Regioselective reduction of acetoxymethylmaleic anhydride (90) gave lactone 91 which on Knoevenagel condensation with 5-methylfurfural gave the monoacetoxymethylbutenolide 92, selenium dioxide induced allylic hydroxylation of butenolide 92 gave the diacetoxybutenolide 93. Amano PS catalyzed double deacylation of $\mathbf{9 3}$ at pH 7 gave the mixture of natural products 94 and $\mathbf{9 5}$, which upon HPLC separation gave pure 94 and $\mathbf{9 5}$ with quantitative recovery (Scheme 22).


Scheme 22. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, rt , 24 h (98\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, AcONa, rt, 6 h ; (iii) (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ (2-steps, 37\%); (iv) 5Methylfurfural, piperidine, rt, $15 \mathrm{~h}\left(75 \%\right.$ ); (v) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}$ (anhydrous), reflux, 6 h ( $92 \%$ ); (vi) Amano PS, hexane/benzene (2:1), phosphate buffer pH 7.0, rt, $40 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%, \mathbf{9 4 : 9 5}=$ 86:14).

## 1A.3.1.2: Synthesis of protolichesterinic acid (Nokami et al)

Protolichesterinic acid (97) is a naturally occurring fungal metabolite which shows antibiotic activity. ${ }^{68}$ Nokami et al have synthesized protolichesterinic acid (97) using itaconic anhydride. Itaconic anhydride on bromination and dehydrobromination gave the bromomethylmaleic anhydride (96), which on reaction with tetradecenal in the presence of
metallic tin gave the natural product protolichesterinic acid (97) but only in $25 \%$ yield (Scheme 23). ${ }^{69}$


Scheme 23. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}(70 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{12} \mathrm{CHO}, \mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{DME}, 4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}(25 \%)$.

## 1A.3.1.3: Synthesis of $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactones (Biel et al)

Histone proteins are basic components of the eukaryotic chromatin. ${ }^{70}$ The small molecule inhibitors of HATs may open up new possibilities for treatment of pathological diseases like cancer. ${ }^{71,72}$ The $\gamma$-butyrolactone scaffold is a recurrent structural motif in many natural products. ${ }^{73}$ Biel et al have descried the synthesis and biological evaluation of a smallmolecule inhibitor of the histone acetyltransferase Gcn5. The synthesis of the $\gamma$ butyrolactones $\mathbf{1 0 0}^{74}$ started with the regioselective ring-opening of itaconic anhydride (88) with 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol gave compound 98. Treatment with lithium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide converted 98 into the corresponding ester enolate, which reacted with an aliphatic aldehyde to give the unisolable hydroxycarboxlic acid. The ring-closing reaction was performed in a mixture of chloroform and ethanol to give lactone 99 . The cleavage of the 4-methoxybenzyl ester by heating with acetic acid in molten phenol gave the $\gamma$-butyrolactones $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ (Scheme 24). ${ }^{75}$


Scheme 24. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) 4-Methoxybenzylic alcohol, $n$-hexane, toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36 \mathrm{~h}\left(88 \%\right.$ ); (ii) LiHMDS, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (iii) RCHO, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12$ h; (iv) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$; (v) Phenol, AcOH, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

## 1A.3.1.4: Synthesis of natural products like pyrrolinone (Abelman et al)

The $N$-acyliminium ion cyclization protocol to prepare alkaloid natural products has been utilized with great effect over the past 30 years. ${ }^{76}$ Abelman et al ${ }^{77}$ have synthesized natural product like heterocyclic scaffolds and templates using the $N$-acyliminium ion as a key intermediate. $\beta$-Enamino ester was combined with itaconic anhydride the addition occurs regiospecifically to form a pyrrolinone $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ bearing an acetic acid residue which on cyclization gave the natural product like heterocyclic scaffold 104 (Scheme 25). Authors have not mentioned any reason for the reversal in regioselectivity in the condensation of enamine 101 with anhydride 88.


Scheme 25. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Heat, $50-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) 1,4-Dioxane, HCl , $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (100\%).

1A.3.1.5: Synthesis of novel functionalized 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-2-pyridones and related azabicycles (Junjappa and co-workers)

Substituted six-membered lactams, 2-pyridones, and their dihydro/tetrahydro-derivatives have attracted considerable attention from synthetic organic chemists since these scaffolds are found in a wide variety of naturally occurring alkaloids ${ }^{78}$ and compounds with these structural motifs have been shown to exhibit significant pharmacological properties. ${ }^{79}$ Junjappa and co-workers have described the synthesis of novel highly functionalized 2-oxo-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridin-3-yl)acetic acids (106) via aza-annulation of both acyclic and cyclic $\alpha$-oxo- and $\alpha$-nitro- $N, S$ and $-N, N$-ketene acetals with itaconic anhydride (Scheme 26, Table 4 ). ${ }^{80}$


Scheme 26. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) MeCN, reflux, 6-8 h (60-85\%).
Table 4. Synthesis of functionalized 2-oxo-(1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridin-3-yl)acetic acids 106a-f

| Product | R | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | Yield \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 a}$ | COPh | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 b}$ | COPh | $4-\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 68 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 c}$ | COPh | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 65 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 d}$ | COPh | $n-\mathrm{Bu}$ | 55 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 e}$ | COPh | Ph | 66 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 f}$ | COMe | $4-\mathrm{OMeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 46 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 g}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 80 |
| $\mathbf{1 0 6 f}$ | $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | $n-\mathrm{Bu}^{2}$ | 55 |

1A.3.1.6: Synthesis of functionalized benzo[a]quinolizin-4-ones (Junjappa and coworkers)
The benzo[a]quinolizinone ${ }^{81,82}$ derivatives such as Ro 41-3696 have been identified as promising nonsedative hypnotics. Junjappa and co-workers ${ }^{83}$ have employed the azaannulation reactions with various 1,3-biselectrophiles for the synthesis of novel functionalized benzo[a]quinolizin-4-ones. Enaminones $\mathbf{1 0 7 a}, \mathbf{b}^{84}$ reacted smoothly with itaconic anhydride in refluxing acetonitrile to furnish the corresponding benzo[a]quinolizinone-3-acetic acid derivatives 108a,b in excellent yields (Scheme 27).


Scheme 27. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) MeCN , reflux, 6-7 h $(\mathbf{1 0 8 a}=81 \% ; \mathbf{1 0 8 b}=$ 85\%).

1A.3.1.7: Synthesis of new triazolo, pyrazolo-, and pyridazo-pyridazine derivatives as inhibitors of angiotensin converting enzyme (Hassall et al)
Angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors have been used as antihypertensive drug. Clinical efficacy has been demonstrated for the nonapeptide SQ 2088 , ${ }^{85}$ the orally active agents captopril ${ }^{86,87}$ and enalapril. ${ }^{88 a}$ Hassall et al have described the synthesis of bicyclic octahydropyridazo[1,2-a]-pyridazinediones memitric of captopril. The bicyclic pyridazo[1,2-a]pyridazines were prepared by using itaconic anhydride. Alcoholysis of the anhydride occurs regiospecifically ${ }^{88 b}$ and the resulting monobenzyl itaconate (109) was treated in succession with thioacetic acid (conjugate addition) and phosphorus pentachloride. Acylation of ( $Z$ )-piperazic acid methyl ester $\mathbf{1 1 1}{ }^{89}$ with the acid chloride $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ gave the expected compound $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ which was separated into individual diastereoisomers. The less polar diastereoisomer was debenzylated and then cyclized to give the bicyclic compound $\mathbf{1 1 3}$ which on deacylation gave octahydropyridazo[1,2-a]-pyridazinediones $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ (Scheme 28). ${ }^{90}$


Scheme 28. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 2 h ; (ii) AcSH , reflux, 3 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$, rt (94\%); (iv) Aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 4 h ; (v) Separation of diastereoisomers; (vi) HBr , AcOH , rt 1.5 h ; (vii) $\mathrm{PCl}_{5}$, DMF $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$; (viii) Pyridine, rt, 2 h (11\%); (ix) Aq. NaOH, MeOH, rt (41\%).

## 1A.3.1.8: Synthesis of esonarimod (Noguchi et al)

Esonarimod, ( $R, S$ )-2-acetylthiomethyl-4-(4-methylphenyl)-4-oxobutanoic acid (117) has shown antirheumatic activity. ${ }^{91-93}$ Noguchi et al have reported an efficient large-scale synthesis of esonarimod. 2-Methylene-4-(4-methylphenyl)-4-oxobutanoic acid (116) was obtained by Friedel-Crafts acylation of toluene with commercially available itaconic
anhydride (88) in the presence of aluminum trichloride $\left(\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}\right)$ in nitrobenzene. Compound 117 was obtained by the Michael addition of thioacetic acid to $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ in the presence of triethylamine and toluene (Scheme 29). ${ }^{94}$


Scheme 29. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$, nitrobenzene, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 40 \mathrm{~min}(63 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, toluene, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(74 \%)$.

## 1A.3.1.9: Synthesis of 5-oxoindolizine derivatives (Nagasaka et al)

Enamine ketones ${ }^{95}$ and enamine esters ${ }^{96}$ are versatile intermediates in organic synthesis. Enamine ester ethyl pyrrolidin-2-ylideneacetate (118) has been shown to be promising starting material for the synthesis of fused heterocyclic compounds. ${ }^{97,98}$ Nagasaka et al have reported the synthesis of 5-oxoindolizine derivatives $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ by the anellation reaction of 118 with itaconic anhydride (Scheme 30) ${ }^{99}$


Scheme 30. Reagent, condition and yield: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux, 1 h (92\%).

## 1A.3.1.10: Synthesis of macrophomate synthase inhibitors (Oikawa et al)

Macrophomate synthase catalyzes an extraordinary three steps transformation involving decarboxylation, C-C bond formation and dehydration. Oikawa et al have synthesized several reaction intermediate analogs inhibitor of macrophomate synthase by using itaconic anhydride. Diels Alder reaction of 1-methoxy-1,4-cyclohexadine (120) with itaconic anhydride gave the anhydrides 121. Acidic hydrolysis of anhydride $\mathbf{1 2 1}$ provided the most potent macrophomate synthase $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ (Scheme 31). ${ }^{100}$


Scheme 31. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Neat, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $63 \%$ ); (ii) TFA, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, heat ( $88 \%$ ).

## 1A.3.1.11: Synthesis of $\alpha$-methylisomaleimide

A large number of maleic anhydrides and maleimides have been extensively used in the synthesis of natural and unnatural bioactive heterocyclic compounds. ${ }^{101}$ Synthesis of $\alpha$ methylisomaleimide has been reported from our group. ${ }^{102}$ The regioselective ring opening of itaconic anhydride (88) with $p$-toluidine gave the $\alpha$-methylenesuccinanilic acid $\mathbf{1 2 3}$ in $98 \%$ yield. The treatment of acid 123 with cyanuric chloride in the presence of triethylamine gave $\alpha$-methylisomaleimide $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ in $90 \%$ yield via the intermediate $\alpha$ methyleneisosuccinimide 124 (Scheme 32).


Scheme 32. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{ArNH}_{2}$, rt, 1 h (98\%); (ii) Cyanuric chloride, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%)$.

## 1A.4: Introduction to Chemistry of Substituted Itaconimide

Itaconimide has been synthesized by Tsuge et al ${ }^{103}$ using the reaction between N (trimethylsilylmethyl)pyridinium triflate with $N$-(p-tolyl)-malimide in presence of cesium floride. Akiyama et al ${ }^{104}$ have reported the synthesis of $N$-hydroxyitaconimide by the dehydration of $N$-hydroxyitaconamic acid with dicyclohexylcarbodi-imide (DCC). Majchrzak et al ${ }^{105}$ have prepared $N$-phenylitaconimide by the thermolysis of 7-phenyl-2,3,7-triazabicyclo[3.3.0]oct-2-ene-6,8-dione in boiling xylene. $N$-( $p$-Tolyl)-itaconimide has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{106}$ by the Wittig reactions of maleimide and paraformaldehyde. Hirata and co-workers ${ }^{107}$ have synthesized itaconimide by the reaction of itaconic anhydride and amines by heating upto $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high pressure. Several
substituted itaconimides have also been synthesized in our group ${ }^{108}$ by the Wittig reactions of maleimide and different aldehydes.

## 1A.4.1: Synthetic Utility of Substituted Itaconimide

Itaconimides have been used for the synthesis of several natural and unnatural products. This section provides application of substituted itacomimides for the synthesis of natural and unnatural products.

## 1A.4.1.1: Synthesis of chaetomellic anhydride A

Chaetomellic anhydride A and B have been recently isolated ${ }^{109}$ from Chaetomella acutiseta, and their dianionic forms are potent and highly specific inhibitors of ras farnesyl-protein transferase. Chaetomellic anhydride A has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{110}$ by utilizing citraconimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with tetradecanal. The
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Scheme 33. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux, 2 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{12} \mathrm{CHO}$, reflux, $18 \mathrm{~h}\left(71 \%\right.$ ); (iii) (a) Condition ii, (b) reflux, $140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$. (iv) $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{12} \mathrm{CHO}$, reflux, 18 h ; (v) (a) Condition iv, (b) Reflux, $140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ $\min$ ( $91 \%$ ); (vi) (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 2 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ ( $62 \%$ ); (vii) (a) $\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, 2 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(98 \%)$.
first step in this synthetic strategy involves the formation of an ylide methyl $-N-p$ tolyl(triphenylphosphoranylidene)succinimide (127) obtained from citraconic anhydride which smoothly condensed with the tetradecanal in refluxing glacial acetic acid to yield mixture of geometric isomers 129 in $71 \%$ yield, which on thermal isomerisation of double bond (exo to endo) in the same pot directly furnished maleimide derivative 128. The alkaline hydrolysis of maleimide derivative followed by acidification furnished the chaetomellic anhydride A (130) in $98 \%$ yield (Scheme 33). The exo-isomers on hydrolysis and acidification also furnished the target molecule but in less yield as compared to maleimide derivative. Amongst the all existing syntheses this approach is most efficient and practical.

## 1A.4.1.2: Synthesis of tyromycin A

Tyromycin A has been isolated from mycelial cultures of basidiomycete Tyromyces lacteus (Fr.) Murr. Tyromycin A was found to inhibit the leucine and cysteine aminopeptidases bound to the outer surface of HeLa S3 cells and it also exhibits cytostatic activity. ${ }^{111}$ Tyromycin A has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{112}$ by utilizing citraconimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with aliphatic dialdehyde. The reaction of dialdehyde (134) with an excess of citraconimide-TPP adduct in refluxing glacial acetic acid followed by removal of acetic acid in vacuo furnished a mixture of bis-condensed exo Wittig products $\mathbf{1 3 5}(E, E$


Scheme 34. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (95\%); (ii) LAH, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, 2 h (98\%); (iii) PCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 10 h (77\%); (iv) TPP, AcOH, 134, reflux, 10 h ( $70 \%$ ); (v) (a) TPP, AcOH, 134, reflux, 10 h , (b) reflux, $140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$ ( $72 \%$ ); (vi) Tetralin, reflux, $1 \mathrm{~h}\left(98-100 \%\right.$ ); (vii) (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{ONa}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 2 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(60 \%)$; (viii) (a) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, 2 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(98 \%)$.
major), $\mathbf{1 3 6}$ ( $E, Z$ minor) and $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ ( $Z, Z$ minor) in $70 \%$ yield with an $85: 15$ ratio of $E: Z$ geometry of the carbon-carbon double bond, whereas the removal of acetic acid under normal atmospheric pressure and heating the residue for 30 min . at $140-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction directly furnished the endo bisimide $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ in $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ yield. The mixture of $\mathbf{1 3 5}+\mathbf{1 3 6}$ +137 in refluxing tetraline underwent exo to endo isomeristaion to yield bismaleimide derivative 138 in quantitative yield which on treatment with alkali followed by acidification furnished tyromycin $\mathrm{A}(\mathbf{1 3 9})$. The mixture of exo isomers ( $\mathbf{1 3 5}, 136$ and 137) on treatment with sodium methoxide in methanol followed by acidification also gave tyromycin A (139) in 60\% yield (Scheme 34).

## 1A.4.1.3: Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-piliformic acid

Piliformic acid (2-hexylidene-3-methylsuccinic acid, 146) was identified ${ }^{113}$ in 1985 as a metabolite of several closely related fungi of the Xylariaceous genera. Piliformic acid has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{114}$ by utilizing citraconimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with hexanal. The reaction of $p$-toluidine (140) with citraconic anhydride (141) furnished the mixture of methylmaleanilic acids ( $\alpha$-methyl $\beta$-methyl $=9: 1$ ) in $95 \%$ yield. This mixture of regioisomers $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ on treatment with acetic anhydride-sodium acetate gave citraconiimide 126. Imide $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ on Wittig reaction with hexanal and triphenylphosphine in glacial acetic acid yielded a combination of geometric isomers 144


Scheme 35. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, 1 h (95\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NaOAc}, 60$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, hexanal, reflux, 10 h (83\%); (iv) (a) AcOH , Conc. HCl , reflux, 60 h ( $98 \%$ ); (b) Recrystalization from excess of hot water, ( $E$ )-isomer ( $70 \%$ ).
and $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ as a thick-oil with $83 \%$ yield, via an adduct 127. The mixture of isomers 144 and 145 on refluxing with concentrated hydrochloric acid and glacial acetic acid (1:1) furnished only the mixture of $(E)$ - and $(Z)$-piliformic acids in $98 \%$ yield without the migration of carbon-carbon double bond. Recrystallisation of this mixture from excess of hot water gave the desired pure ( $E$ )-isomer in more than $70 \%$ yield (Scheme 35 ).

## 1A.4.1.4: Synthesis of aspergillus acids A-D

Aspergillus acids A-D have been isolated by Assante et al, in 1979, from the mould Aspergillus wentii. ${ }^{115}$ Aspergillus acids A-D have been synthesized in our group by utilizing citraconimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with corresponding remotely functionalised aldehydes. The triphenylphosphine induced Wittig olefination of citraconimide 126 with acetoxyaldehyde 149 in refluxing acetic acid gave the corresponding exo-alkylidene succinimide $\mathbf{1 5 0}\left(E: Z=90: 10\right.$, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ ) in $70 \%$ yield. Trisubstituted exocyclic to tetrasubstituted endocyclic carbon-carbon double bond migration using triethylamine as a base furnished the desired maleimide 151 in $92 \%$ yield. The base catalyzed hydrolysis of maleimide 151 furnished the 2-(17-hydroxytetradecyl)-3methylmaleic anhydride (152) in $94 \%$ yield. Acetic anhydride mediated acylation of anhydride $\mathbf{1 5 2}$ gave the naturally occurring aspergillus acid A (153) in $89 \%$ yield (Scheme 36). ${ }^{116}$




Scheme 36. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (0.98 equiv.), Py, rt, 6 h (79\%); (ii) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}(89 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 4$, reflux, 18 h (70\%); (iv) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, reflux, $48 \mathrm{~h}(92 \%)$; (v) (a) KOH ( $30 \% \mathrm{aq}$. ), THF-MeOH (1:2), reflux, 12 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ (94\%); (vi) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, Py, rt, 8 h ( $89 \%$ ).

The synthesis of aspergillus acids B-D was accomplished by the Wittig condensation of aldehyde 159 with the imide/TPP adduct generated in situ from citraconimide $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ to gave the exo-imide 160 ( $E: Z=85: 15$, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) in $78 \%$ yield with the carbon-carbon triple bond intact. Subsequently, triethylamine induced isomerization of the exocyclic trisubstituted carbon-carbon double bond in exo-alkylidene succinimide $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ afforded the tetrasubstituted endocyclic maleimide 161 in $93 \%$ yield. Imide 161 on treatment with a mixture of acetic acid and 6 M sulfuric acid (2:1) at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the natural product 163. The intermediate acetylinic anhydride $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ on acid catalyzed hydration gave the desired aspergillus acid B(163) in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 37). A chemoselective reduction of the ketone carbonyl in 163 was obtained by carrying out sodium borohydride reduction of the corresponding di-sodium salt of $\mathbf{1 6 3}$ followed by quenching the reaction with dilute HCl to exclusively afford the natural product $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ in $81 \%$ yield. Hydroxy anhydride $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ upon acetylation with acetic anhydride-pyridine furnished the fourth metabolite in the series $\mathbf{1 6 5}$ with $91 \%$ yield. The overall yield of aspergillus acid C in 4 -steps was $53 \%$ and


Scheme 37. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) HBr ( $47 \%$ aq.), toluene, reflux, 96 h ( $85 \%$ ); (ii) DHP, PPTS (cat.), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 4 h (93\%); (iii) $\mathrm{NaC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$, THF, HMPA, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $40 \mathrm{~h}(85 \%)$; (iv) $p$-TSA, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%)$; (v) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-$ $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}(85 \%)$; (vi) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 159$, reflux, 24 h ( $78 \%$ ); (vii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, reflux, 48 h ( $93 \%$ ); (viii) (a) KOH (30\% aq.), THF-MeOH (1:2), reflux, 12 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ ( $95 \%$ ); (ix) $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}-\mathrm{AcOH}(1: 2), 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%)$; (x) (a) Aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, (c) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(81 \%)$; (xi) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (91\%). (xii) Amano PS, vinyl acetate, hexane-benzene ( $2: 1$ ), $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \mathrm{~h}$ (166, 45\%; 167, 43\%); (xiii) (a) Aq. NaOH , THF, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(90 \%)$.
that of aspergillus acid D in 5-steps was $48 \%$. Amano PS catalyzed acylation of $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{1 6 4}$ in hexane-benzene mixture (2:1) at $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the acids (+)-166 (45\%) and (-)-167 (43\%), after the column chromatographic separation. The base catalyzed hydrolysis of (-)aspergillus acid $D(167)$ furnished (-)-aspergillus acid C (168) in $90 \%$ yield.

## 1A.4.1.5: Synthesis of natural fimbrolides

Fimbrolides have been isolated from the red marine algae Delisea fimbriata and are bromobutenolides with interesting antifungal and antimicrobial properties. ${ }^{117 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{d}}$ Fimbrolides have been synthesized in our group ${ }^{118}$ by utilizing maleimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with butanal. Wittig olefination of maleimide $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ with butanal in refluxing THF gave the corresponding succinimide $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ which on hydrolysis followed by reaction with cynuric chloride furnished the $n$-butylisomaleimide $\mathbf{1 7 2}$. The acid-catalyzed hydrolysis of the isomaleimide $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ followed by the acetic anhydride-induced dehydrative cyclization of the formed $n$-butylmaleic acid 173 gave the anhydride 174 in $90 \%$ yield. The


Scheme 38. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (1.00 equiv.), THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ (1.50 equiv.), reflux, 10 h (90\%); (ii) Aq. $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 5 h (93\%); (iii) Cyanuric chloride ( 1.10 equiv.), $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (3.00 equiv.), DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $85 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{HCl}: \mathrm{AcOH}$ (1:1), reflux, 66 h (96\%); (v) Acetic anhydride, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $90 \%$ ); (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgI}$ (1.10 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (175a: $62 \%$, 175b: $9 \%$ ); (vii) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, benzene, reflux, 5 h (176a: $90 \%$, 176b: $87 \%$ ); (viii) (a) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (2.20/3.30 equiv.), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 10 h , (b) $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}$ (2.20/3.30 equiv.), $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 5 h (177a: 37/15\%, 177b: $18 / 41 \%$ ).
regioselective reaction of methylmagesium iodide with anhydride 174 at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced a mixture of lactols $\mathbf{1 7 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 5 b}$ in $\sim 85: 15$ ratio with $71 \%$ yield. Silica-gel columnchromatographic separation of $\mathbf{1 7 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 5 b}$ followed by $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{10}$-induced dehydration gave the butenolides 176a and 176b in $90 \%$ and $87 \%$ yields respectively. Bromination followed by dehydrobromination of 176a with the use of 2.20 equivalents of bromine gave, 177a as the major product, while 177b was formed as the major product with the use of 3.30 equivalents of bromine. The mixture of 177a and 177b was separated by HPLC (Scheme 38).

## 1A.4.1.6: Synthesis of alkyl and dialkyl substituted maleimides

A large number of maleic anhydrides and maleimides have been extensively used in the synthesis of natural and unnatural bioactive heterocyclic compounds. ${ }^{101}$ Alkyl and dialkyl substituted maleimides have been synthesized in our group ${ }^{102}$ by utilizing maleimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with several aliphatic aldehydes. Wittig olefination of maleimide 169 with aliphatic aldehydes in refluxing THF gave the corresponding ( $E$ )alkylidenesuccinimides $\mathbf{1 7 8 a} \mathbf{- c}$ in $89-91 \%$ yield. The highly regioselective aqueous lithium hydroxide induced hydrolysis of 178a-c exclusively furnished the $\beta$-alkylidenesuccinanilic acids $\mathbf{1 7 9} \mathbf{a - c}$ in $95-98 \%$ yields. The treatment of acids 179a-c with cyanuric chloride in the presence of triethyl amine as a base furnished the kinetically controlled alkylisomaleimides


Scheme 39. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, THF, RCHO, reflux, 10 h (89-91\%); (ii) Aq. $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 5 h , (95-98\%); (iii) Cyanuric chloride, $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}\left(78-80 \%\right.$ ); (iv) AcOH , reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}(98 \%)$; (v) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{RCHO}$, reflux, 18 h (77-80\%); (vi) $\mathrm{NEt}_{3}+$ THF (1:1), reflux, 48 h (95-96\%).

181a-c in $78-80 \%$ yields. The alkylisomaleimides 181a-c on refluxing in glacial acetic acid gave the corresponding thermodynamically more stable alkylmaleimides 182a-c in $98 \%$ yield. Finally, the alkylmaleimides on triphenylphosphine induced Wittig condensation with aliphatic aldehydes in refluxing acetic acid furnished the imides 184a-c via the intermediates 183a-c in 77-80\% yield (Scheme 39).

## 1A.4.1.7: Synthesis of dimethylmaleic anhydride

The utilities of methyl and dimethylmaleic anhydrides/imides have been well-proved in practice. ${ }^{119,120}$ Dimethylmaleic anhydride/imides have been used as a potential building blocks for the synthesis of adriamycin, daunorubicin derivatives, ${ }^{121}$ the naturally occuring cyclopentene 1,3 -diones, calythrone, ${ }^{122}$ chaetomellic acid $\mathrm{A}^{123}$ and 2,3-disubstituted maleic anhydride segment of tautomycin. ${ }^{124}$ Dimethylmaleic anhydride has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{106}$ by utilizing maleimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with paraformaldehyde. The reaction of maleimide $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ with TPP and paraformaldehyde in glacial acetic acid yielded the corresponding methylmaleimide $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ in $85 \%$ yield. The methylmaleimide $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ on further reaction with same reagents and reaction conditions furnished the dimethylmaleimide 188. The conversion of $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ to $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ was also carried out in one pot in a stepwise fashion without the isolation of $\mathbf{1 2 6}$ with $68 \%$ yield. The dimethylmaleimide $\mathbf{1 8 8}$ on alkaline hydrolysis in refluxing aqueous methanol followed by acidification yielded the dimethylmaleic anhydride (189) (Scheme 40).


Scheme 40. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux, $1 \mathrm{~h}(92 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, reflux, 3 h ( $93 \%$ ); (iii) $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $98 \%$ ); (iv) Aq. $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{KOH}$, reflux, 2 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(97 \%)$.

## 1A.4.1.8: Synthesis of (E)-alkylidenesuccinic acids

Alkyl and alkylidenesuccinic anhydrides have been used as a potential precursors for synthesis of many bioactive natural and unnatural products. ${ }^{125 a-j}(E)$-Alkylidenesuccinic acids has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{108}$ by utilizing maleimide-TPP adduct coupling reaction with several aliphatic aldehydes. The reaction of maleimide 169 with triphenylphosphine (TPP) formed the intermediate Wittig adduct 185, which in situ condensed very smoothly with aliphatic aldehydes to yield the alkylidenesuccinimides $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ in excellent yields. The alkylidenesuccinimides 190a-e on hydrolysis under reflux with concentrated hydrochloric acid and glacial acetic acid (1:1) mixture gave the corresponding desired ( $E$ )-alkylidenesuccinic acids 191a-e in quantitative yields (Scheme 41).


Ar = p-Tolyl, $\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$; d, $R=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{10} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{e}, \mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{12} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$

Scheme 41. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{RCHO}$, THF, reflux, $10 \mathrm{~h}(85-90 \%)$; (ii) Conc. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, reflux, $60 \mathrm{~h}(96-98 \%)$.

1A.4.1.9: Addition of itaconimide to 6-aryl-1,5-diazabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (Molchanov et al)

Molchanov et al have reported that the thermolysis of 6-aryl-1,5-diazabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane (193) in the presence of itaconimide 192 gave the corresponding 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition product 194. The reaction was regioselective and only one regioisomer was obtained (Scheme 42). ${ }^{126}$


Scheme 42. Reagents, conditions and yield: (i) Toluene, $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{(34} \mathrm{\%)}$.

1A.4.1.10: Synthesis of 1-pyrazoline derivatives (Molchanov et al)
Pyrazoline derivatieves were synthesized by the reactions of aliphatic diazo compounds with itaconimide. Molchanov et al have reported the reaction between $N$-arylsubstituted itaconimide 192 with the diphenyldiazomethane 195 to furnish 5-aryl-4,6-dioxo-1,1-diphenyl-5-azaspiro[2.4]heptane (196) (Scheme 43). ${ }^{127}$


Scheme 43. Reagents, conditions and yield: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 48 h (64\%).

## 1A.4.1.11: Cycloadditions of Nitrile Oxides to Itaconimides (Jan et al) ${ }^{128}$

New spiro heterocycle, 1-oxa-2,7-diazaspiro[4.4]non-2-ene-6,8-dione (198), has been synthesized by Jan et al by the reaction of itaconimide with nitrile oxide 197 (Scheme 44). ${ }^{128}$


Scheme 44. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (73\%).

1A.4.1.12: Nucleophilic addition of thiols and amine to N -arylitaconimide
[A] White's approach
Nucleophilic addition of thiols 199 to $N$-phenylitaconimide (192) has been reported by White et al to give Michael adducts 200 in good yields (Scheme 45). ${ }^{129}$


Scheme 45. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(72-17 \%)$.

## [B] Veverka's approach

Veverka $\mathrm{M}^{130}$ has reported that the addition of amines to N -arylitaconimides provide arylsuccinimides in 33-78\% yield. While treatment of N -arylitaconimides with amine in the presence of pyridine afford arylcitraconimides.

## 1A.4.1.13: Utility of itaconimide in polymer synthesis

Mohamed and co-workers ${ }^{131}$ have investigated several N -(substituted phenyl)itaconimide derivatives as organic photo-stabilizers for poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) plasticized with dioctyl phthalate (DOP). Anand et al ${ }^{132}$ have reported the synthesis, characterization, and thermal behavior of copolymers of Me methacrylate (MMA) and $N$-(p-tolyl)itaconimide (PTI)/N-phenylitaconimide. Solanki et al have reported the synthesis and characterization of bisitaconimides on the basis of 4,4'-diaminodiphenyl ether, 2,2'-bis[4-(4-aminophenoxy)phenyl]propane, 1,3-bis(4-aminophenoxy)benzene, and 1,4-bis (4aminophenoxy)benzene. Yamazaki, et al ${ }^{134}$ have reported the effects of the $N$-substituents on the polymerisation. rates, propagation rate constants, cross-propagation rate constants and termination rate constants.

## 1A.5. Summary

In summary, in the present section we have described the results on reactions of itaconic acid, dialkyl itaconates, itaconic anhydrides and itaconimides. Several types of reactions with carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and sulfur nucleophiles have been performed on these potential precursors to design natural and unnatural compounds in good yields via the regioselective reactions with carbonyl carbon and/or Micheal addition to the activated carbon-carbon double bond. The reported asymmetric hydrogenations on these substrates are also noteworthy. We belive that all these starting materials have broad scope to design several desired organic target molecules with a concise and efficient routes.
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## 1B. Section B: Chemo-, Regio- and Stereoselective Reactions of o-Aminothiophenol and $o$-Aminophenyl Disulfide with Itaconic Anhydride and (-)-Dimenthyl Itaconate: <br> Simple Access to Enantiomerically Pure 1,5-Benzothiazepines and Benzothiazolyl-2methylacrylic Acid

## 1B.1. Background

Heterocycles play a pivotal role in pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries. ${ }^{1}$ The 1,5benzothiazepines are famous for their antimitochondrial sodium-calcium exchanger $(\mathrm{mNCE}),{ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ antiinflammatory, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{c}}$ anticancer, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ vasodilating, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{e}}$ antihypertensive, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{f}}$ platelet aggregation inhibitory, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~g}}$ antisycotic, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ antidiabetic, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{i}}$ cardioprotective, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{j}}$ antifungal, ${ }^{2 \mathrm{k}}$ antibacterial ${ }^{21}$ and anti-HIV ${ }^{2 \mathrm{~m}}$ activities. 1,5Benzothiozepines behave as effective chiral solvating agents (CSA) for NMR enantiomeric excess (ee) determination of different classes of compounds such as $\alpha$-arylalkanoic acids, $\alpha$-hydroxy acids, alkanesulfonic acids, alcohols and 1,5-benzothiazepines. ${ }^{2 n}$ Several synthetic stratergies are known in literature for the synthesis of variety of seven-membered 1,5- and 1,4-benzothiazepines owing to there biological activities.

## 1B.1.1: Synthetic approaches towards 1,4- and 1,5-benzothiazepines

The biological activities associated with 1,4- and 1,5-benzothiazepines led to great interest in the developing new synthetic routes. Before discussing our results, the reported synthetic approaches towards 1,4 - and 1,5-benzothiazepines are illustrated in brief in the following part.

## [A] Prakash's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$

Prakash et al have reported the synthesis of several substituted dihydro-1,5-benzothiazepines $\mathbf{4}$ by the reaction of $o$-aminothiophenol (1) with chalcone analogs of dehydroacetic acid 3, which was prepared by the condensation of dehydroacetic acid (2) with benzaldehydes or their heterocyclic analogs in chloroform in the presence of piperidine (Scheme 1).

$\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}, 4-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 2-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ 2- $\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 4-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, 2-thienyl, 4-pyridyl.

Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (1) $\mathrm{ArCHO}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, piperidine (43-85); (ii) $o$ Aminothiophenol (1), piperidine, EtOH , reflux, 15 min (76-86\%).

## [B] Amblard's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~b}}$

Amblard et al have reported the synthesis of Boc-DBT-NH2 (8) [DBT $=S$-[amino]-5-carbethoxymethyl-2,3-dihydro-1,5-benzothiazepine-4(5H)-one] and showed that this constrained dipeptide mimetic adopts a type II' $^{\prime} \beta$-turn in the solid state. IR and NMR studies indicated that the folded conformation is retained in solution. Boc-DBT-OH (7) was obtained by a five-step procedure starting from the nucleophilic aromatic substitution by a thiol unit of Boc-d-Cys-OH (6) on 1-fluoro-2-nitrobenzene (5). ${ }^{4}$ Condensation of Boc-DBT-OH with $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ was carried out through activation with isobutyl chloroformate in the presence of $N$-methylmorpholine to afford Boc-DBT- $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (8) in quantitative yield (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (i) IBCF, NMM, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$.

## [C] van Otterlo's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{c}}$

van Otterlo et al have reporetd the synthesis of 7-membered ring system, 2,5-dihydro-1,5benzothiazepine 1,1-dioxide by isomerization and ring-closing metathesis. oAminothiophenol (1) was monoalkylated with allyl bromide and the amine subsequently protected with a tosyl group to afford compound 9. Furthermore, the allylation readily afforded compound 10. Compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ was oxidized to the corresponding sulfone $\mathbf{1 1}$ and the RCM successfully afforded the product 14 in good yield. Finally, the sequential isomerization of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ furnished compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ in which only the $N$-allyl group
was isomerized. Subsequently, the RCM of 12 gave the 7 -membered 1,5-benzothiazepine 13 (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Allyl bromide, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ (71\%); (ii) p-TSCl, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}_{2}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ (97\%); (iii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, allyl bromide, acetone, rt, 24 h (99\%); (iv) m-CPBA ( 2.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 48 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $71 \%$ ); (v) $5 \%$ Grubb's catalyst, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, rt, 24 h , then $45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%)$; (vi) $10 \%\left[\mathrm{RuClH}(\mathrm{CO})\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}\right]$, toluene, $105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(84 \%)$; (vii) $5 \%$ Grubb's catalyst, toluene, $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$, then further $5 \%$ Grubb's catalyst, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 13$ ( $41 \%$ ) and 12 (59\%).

## [D] Naito's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~d}}$

Naito and co-worker have reported that the diastereoface differentiating nucleophilic addition of thiophenol to olefins delivers a new concomitant asymmetric construction of two contiguous stereogenic centers and has been successfully applied to the asymmetric synthesis of (+)-diltiazem. Aldol condensation of the imide 15 and anisaldehyde followed by the dehydration gave a $4: 1$ mixture of the $Z$ - and $E$-olefins $\mathbf{1 6}$ which was readily separated by column chromatography. Addition reaction of $o$-aminothiophenol to the $Z$ isomer of $\mathbf{1 6}$ proceeded smoothly and furnished ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-adduct $\mathbf{1 7}$ with high diastereoselectivity (threo:erythro $=82: 18$ ) in $97 \%$ yield (Scheme 4). The adduct $\mathbf{1 7}$ was also obtained with the almost same diastereoselectivity from the corresponding $E$-isomer of 16 under the same reaction conditions. The adduct 17 on treatment with trimethylaluminum in refluxing methylene dichloride give the optically pure lactam $\mathbf{1 8}$ in $78 \%$ yield along with the efficient recovery of the valuable auxiliary. Removal of a methoxyethoxymethyl group in $\mathbf{1 8}$ with titanium tetrachloride afforded the hydroxylactam 19, conversion of 19 into (+)-diltiazem hydrochloride is known in letrature. ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, anisaldehyde; (ii) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (iii) DBU (61\%); (iv) Litium salt of o-ATP. o-ATP, - $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(97 \%)$; (v) $\mathrm{AlMe}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux (78\%); (vi) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$ (83\%).
[E] Ninomiya's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{e}}$
Ninomiya and co-workers have reported a stereocontrolled synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-diltiazem analog by applying nucleophilic addition of 2-aminothiophenol to $\alpha$-alkoxycinnamic acid derivatives. Michael additions of 2-aminothiophenol to cinnamates $\mathbf{2 0}^{6}$ with an $a$-MEMprotected group gave the mixture of threo-21 and erythro-21 in good yield and moderate selectivity (Scheme 5). The mixture of threo-21 and erythro-21 was hydrolyzed by the


Scheme 5. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Litium salt of $o$-ATP, o-ATP, $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(97 \%$ threo-21: erythro-21 $=74: 26$ ); (ii) $5 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$; (iii) MS-4 $\AA$, xylene, reflux (2,3-cis-22:2,3-trans-22 $=30: 13 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(83 \%)$.
treatment with 5\% sodium hydroxide to give the aminocarboxylic acids which were heated in the presence of MS-4 $\AA$ under reflux in xylene to give a mixture of two lactams, 2,3-cis$\mathbf{2 2}$ and 2,3-trans-22 in 30 and 13\% yields, respectively. Removal of the MEM group of $\mathbf{2 2}$ with titanium tetrachloride afforded the hydroxylactum 23. Conversion of 23 into ( $\pm$ )diltiazem analog is known in letrature. ${ }^{7}$

## [F] Liu's approach ${ }^{3 \mathrm{f}}$

Liu and co-workers have reported the synthesis of 1,4-benzothiazepine skeleton, useful for the synthesis of potent squalene synthetase inhibitors, via enzymatic resolution providing excellent yield and enantiomeric purity. The synthesis started with the Friedel-Crafts hydroxyalkylation of 4-chlorophenyl-2,2-dimethylpropyl amine (24) with 1naphthaldehyde (25) gave compound 26. The compound 26 was treated with


Scheme 6. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, benzene, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (80\%); (ii) Mercaptoacetic acid, $6 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(90 \%)$; (iii) Morpho-CDI, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $75 \%$ ); (iv) LDA, THF, $t$-butyl bromoacetate ( $85 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $80 \%$ ); (vi) (a) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (95\%), (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, $\quad \mathrm{ROH}$, (80-95\%); (vii ) enzyme, $10 \%$ DMF, pH 7 phosphate buffer, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (lipase FAP-15, $45 \%, 98 \% e e$; lipase from rhizopus arrhizus, $36 \%, 99.5 \% ~ e e)$.
mercaptoacetic acid to produce the corresponding thiacarboxylic acid 27. Subsequently, carbodiimide (morpho-CDI) was employed for the intramolecular amidation to provide the 1,4-benzothiazepine derivative 28. Compound 28 was then reacted with $t$-butyl bromoacetate to yield the alkylated product 29 (Scheme 6). The pure trans-isomer 30, was obtained after recrystallization. To prepare the enantiomerically pure $\mathbf{3 2}$, compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was trans esterified to variety of esters 31, to further investigate their enzymatic resolution. Two lipases, rhizopus arrhizus and FAP-15, provided promising results when the chloromethyl ester was used as the substrate. When lipase FAP-15 was used $45 \%$ yield ( $90 \%$ theoretical yield) and $98 \%$ ee of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was obtained while $36 \%$ yield ( $72 \%$ theoretical yield) and $99.3 \%$ ee of $\mathbf{3 2}$ was obtained with the lipase from rhizopus arrhizus.

## 1B.1.2: Synthesis of five and six- membered thioaza-heterocyclic systems

The nucleophilic reactions of a variety of cyclic anhydrides/imides with orthoaminothiophenol (o-ATP) have been used to design structurally interesting and biologically important five and six membered thioaza-heterocyclic systems via the intramolecular Michael addition, condensation and dehydration pathway. The representative examples of above mentioned class of reactions are summarized in the following table.

Table: Heterocycles Derived from ortho-Aminothiophenol and Cyclic Anhydrides/Imides

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | ortho-Aminothiophenol | Cyclic anhydrides/ imides | Reaction conditions (\% Yield) | Product | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  | (i) Acetone, reflux or <br> (ii) Pyridine, reflux (75\%) |  | 8a |
| 2 |  |  $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$ | (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime} / \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\right.$ H) ( $98 \%$ ) <br> (ii) AcOH , reflux $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime} / \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{Me}\right)(90 \%)$ (iii) PhCl , reflux $\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{Ph}\right)$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8 b, \\ & 8 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ |

(8)

The various examples mentioned in the above table reveal that the nucleophilic reactions of symmetrical and unsymmetrical cyclic anhydrides have provided several interesting and important heterocyclic systems.

## 1B.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

In continuation of our studies ${ }^{9}$ on cyclic anhydride chemistry to design bioactive natural and unnatural heterocyclic compounds, we felt that, with a proper combination of reactivity and selectivity, the itaconic anhydride (33) and o-ATP (1) could be used as potential building blocks to synthesize higher-membered heterocycles. The Michael-type additions of aromatic thiols to activated carbon-carbon double bonds and nucleophilic ring opening of cyclic anhydrides with primary aromatic amines are well known in the litrature. ${ }^{10}$ We envisaged that in the reaction of itaconic anhydride (33) with o-ATP, the Michael type addition of thiol to a highly activated carbon-carbon double bond in itaconic anhydride followed by an intramolecular nucleophilic ring opening of an adjacent anhydride carbonyl with an amine moiety would provide benzothiazepinylacetic acid 35a, while the first nucleophilic regioselective ring opening of anhydride 33 at the unconjugated carbonyl with primary amine moiety followed by intramolecular dehydrative
condensation/Michael type addition of thiol unit would provide an easy access to benzothiazole/benzothioazocine system 47 (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Michael type addition $o$-aminothiophemol to itaconic anhydride

Hence, we performed the reaction of anhydride 33 with o-ATP in THF at room temperature and obtained a single product in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) THF, rt, 12 h (81\%); (ii) Itaconic acid, THF, rt, 36 h (70\%); (iii) Dimethyl itaconate, THF, rt, 24 h (74\%); (iv) N-Ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, 4 h (88\%); (v) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(95 / 92 \%)$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of the product revealed a very clean formation of Michael type addition-condensation/condensation-Michael type addition product, ruling out the formation of thiazole 46. It was difficult to conclusively assign the structure 35a or $\mathbf{4 7}$ to the formed product on the basis of NMR data and hence we carried out the reaction of
itaconic acid with $o$-ATP at room temperature and obtained the Michael adduct 36a in 70\% yield. Similarly the reaction of dimethyl itaconate with o-ATP also furnished the desired adduct $\mathbf{3 6 b}$ in $74 \%$ yield. The water soluble carbodimide (EDCI) induced regioselective intramolecular dehydrative cyclization of diacid 36a again exclusively furnished the same product in $88 \%$ yield, which was earlier obtained from the reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{3 3}$. Since the formation of 7 -membered rings are preferred over the formation of 8 -membered rings, ${ }^{11}$ we proposed the formation of benzothiazepinylacetic acid 35a. The benzothiazepinylacetic acid 35a was further characterized as its methyl and ethyl esters $\mathbf{3 5 b} / \mathbf{c}$ respectively. Finally, we confirmed the formation of 7-membered benzothiazepine 35a by X-ray


Figure 2. ORTEP Diagram of 35a.
crystallographic data (Figure 2) ${ }^{13}$ and completely ruled out the possibility of formation of 8 -membered compound benzothioazocine 47. The X-ray crystallographic data of 35a also revealed the formation of very nice supramolecular assemblies of 35a molecules with the set-patterned intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Figure 3).


Figure 3. Supramolecular assemblies of 35a molecules

These observations revealed that in the reaction of itaconic anhydride (33) with o-ATP, chemoselective Michael type addition of thiol takes place first to form the inisolable intermediate 34, the amine moiety of which condenses in an intramolecular fashion with the adjacent anhydride carbonyl to furnish the benzothiazepine 35a. We feel that, herein an
exclusive addition of thiol to the carbon-carbon double bond on an anhydride system before the anhydride ring opening with an internal amine moiety is worth mentioning and is an example of delicately balanced selectivity.

Next, we planed to study the stereoselective addition of thiol from o-ATP to itaconate system and prepared the (-)-dimenthyl itaconate (37) from the reaction of itaconic anhydride (33) with natural (-)-menthol in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 8). In our hands the reaction of o-ATP with dimenthyl ester 37 in THF at room temperature and also under reflux condition was not successful and the TLC of the reaction mixture indicated the clear presence of both the starting materials along with slight formation of the corresponding disulfide 43. The stereoselective reaction of $o$-ATP with the chiral diester $\mathbf{3 7}$ in dry acetic acid at room temperature furnished the desired adduct 38a with $82 \%$ yield in 36 hours time. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of product $\mathbf{3 8 a}$ revealed that the reaction was moderately stereoselective and the mixture of two diastereomers was formed in nearly 7:3 ratio (from the comparison of the relative integrations of one of the $\alpha$-methyl protons). The TLC of the


Scheme 8. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Itaconic anhydride, L-menthol, p-TSA, toluene, reflux, 36 h (80\%); (ii) o-Aminothiophenol, dry AcOH, rt, 36 h ( $82 \%$ ); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)$, reflux, 12 h , (b) $10 \%$ Aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, (c) AcOH ( $86 \%$ ); (iv) $N$-Ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, 4 h (88\%); (v) ( $R$ )-(+)-1-Phenylethylamine, $\quad N$-ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide hydrochloride, DMAP (cat.), THF, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}(10: 11=3: 7,90 \%$ ); (vi) Three recrystalisations from petroleum ether (11\%).
mixture of diastereomers in 38a did not show any resolution and separation of these two diastereomers by flash column chromatography was also not successful in our hands. The adduct 38a on acid catalyzed hydrolysis gave the diacid 39a in $86 \%$ yield. As expected, the carbodiimide induced regioselective ring closure of 39a yielded the 1,5-benzothiazepinyl-1,3-acetic acid (40a) in $88 \%$ yield. Finally, for the separation of the two enantiomers of 40a and their stereochemical assignments, we transformed 40a into two diastereomers 41 and 42 in $90 \%$ yield, by reacting 40 a with (+)-( $R$ )-phenylethylamine. The mixture of diastereomers 41 and $\mathbf{4 2}$ was easily separated by flash column chromatography to obtain pure 41 and 42 with quantitative recovery ( $41: 42=30: 70)$. The mixture of diastereomers in 38a was semi-solid in nature and after three successive recrystalisations from petroleum ether (60-80), gave the minor diastereomer $\mathbf{3 8 b}$ as a fine amorphous powder with only $11 \%$ recrystalisation yield, but with $98 \%$ de. This observation indicates that the major isomer has higher solubility in petroleum ether. Due to the amorphous nature of 38b, we were unable to get the X-ray crystallographic data to fix the stereochemistry of the newly generated chiral centre. The single isomer 38b on hydrolysis followed by ring closure gave the desired enantiomerically pure 1,5-benzothiazepinylacetic acid (40b) in $76 \%$ yield. The reaction of $\mathbf{4 0 b}$ with $(+)-(R)$-phenylethylamine gave compound 41 in $90 \%$ yield. On the basis of X-ray crystallographic data of diastereomer 41 (Figure 4) ${ }^{13}$,



Figure 4. ORTEP Diagram of 41.
we could assign the $(R)$-configuration to the newly generated chiral centre in $\mathbf{3 8 b} \& 41$ and hence consequently, the ( $S$ )-configuration to the chiral centre in $\mathbf{4 2}$.

As the activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated double bond by the carboxylic acid unit in itaconic acid is sufficient for Michael type addition of thiol unit from o-ATP (Scheme 7, $\mathbf{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{3 6 a}$ ), we felt that the $o$-mercapto- $\alpha$-methylenesuccinanilic acid (45) would be a potential precursor for the synthesis of benzothioazocine 47 . Hence to obtain the acid 45, we
performed the reaction of 2-aminophenyl disulfide (43) with 2.20-equivalents of itaconic anhydride in THF at room temperature and obtained the dicarboxylic acid 44 in $81 \%$ yield (Scheme 9). The triphenylphosphine induced reductive cleavage of sulfur-sulfur bond in diacid 44 formed the expected but inisolable intermediate acid 45 , which on an in situ intramolecular-dehydrative cyclization furnished the 2-benzothiazo-2-ylmethylacrylic acid


Scheme 9. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Itaconic anhydride, THF, rt, 8 h (81\%); (ii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 1,4$-dioxane:water (4:1), $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(84 \%)$.
(46) in $84 \%$ yield and not the expected benzothioazocine 47 , indicating the relative reluctance in $\mathbf{4 5}$ for the intramolecular Michael type addition of thiol to form the eightmembered heterocycle.

## 1B.3. Summary

In summary, in this section we have presented the essence of methods employed in the literature to design the 1,5-benzothiazepines derivatives both in racemic and enantiomerically pure form. A brief account of reactions of various symmetrical and unsymmetrical anhydrides with $o$-aminothiophenol has been also described. orthoAminothiophenol (o-ATP) reacts chemo-, regio- and stereoselectively with itaconic anhydride and (-)-dimenthyl itaconate to obtain the corresponding racemic and enantiomerically pure 1,5-benzothiazepines in very good yields. The remarkably selective addition of thiol unit from o-ATP to the activated carbon-carbon double bond in itaconic anhydride in the presence of an internal amine unit is note-worthy. We also feel that our present simple approach to 1,5 -benzothiazepines is general in nature and will be useful to design large number of its congeners for biological screening. All our attempts to obtain the benzothioazocine met with failure and instead we obtained the corresponding benzothiazole. ${ }^{12}$

## 1B.4. Experimental Section

Melting points are uncorrected. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on ACME silica gel (60-120 mesh). Commercially available itaconic anhydride, itaconic acid, dimethyl itaconate, o-aminothiophenol, 2-aminophenyldisulphide, L-menthol, ( $R$ )-(+)-1phenylethylamine, $N$-ethyl- $N$ '-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride (EDCI) and triphenylphosphine were used.
(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3-yl)acetic acid (35a). Method A: To a solution of itaconic anhydride ( $\mathbf{3 3}, 1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $o$ aminothiophenol ( $\mathbf{1}, 1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.70 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 8 h at room temperature. A white precipitate was obtained, which was then filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuo to give 35a (white solid): $1.71 \mathrm{~g}(81 \%$ yield $)$. Analytically pure 35a was obtained by recrystalisation from methanol. Method B: To a solution of 36a ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( 5 mg ) in THF ( 10 mL ) was added $N$-ethyl- $N$ '-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 1.29$ mmol ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and acidified with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried in vacuo to give 35a (white solid): 245 mg ( $88 \%$ yield).

|  | Mp 234-235 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $\boldsymbol{v}_{\text {max }} 3171,2725-2500,1703,1639,1630,1462$, $1454 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.29(\mathrm{dd}, J=20 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68(\mathrm{dd}, J=18 \& 10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.82-2.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96$ (dd, $J=10 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=10 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12$ (d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{dt}, J=10 \& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=10 \& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 34.9,38.1,38.8,123.6$, $125.8,126.2,130.0,134.8,142.4,172.7,173.4$. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 55.68; H, 4.67; N, 5.90; S, 13.51. Found: C, 55.55 ; H, 4.49; N, 6.02; S, 13.47. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-(2-Amino-phenylsulfanylmethyl)succinic acid (36a). To a solution of itaconic acid $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 15 mL ) was added $o$-aminothiophenol ( $0.50 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.61$ mmol ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 36 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was
dissolved in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting solution was washed with ethyl acetate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) acidified with glacial acetic acid and extracted with ethyl acetate containing $5 \%$ methanol ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give 36a. Analytically pure 36a was obtained by recrystalization from methanol (yellow solid): 686 mg ( $70 \%$ yield).

|  | Mp $146{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\max } 3356,3285,2725,1697,1462,1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.69(\mathrm{t}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14(\mathrm{q}, J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61(\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.07(\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=10$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 35.0,35.6,41.4,114.8,115.5$, $116.9,129.8,135.3,149.6,173.0,174.6$. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 51.75$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.13$; N, 5.49 ; S, 12.56. Found: C, 51.88 ; H, 5.26; N, 5.37; S, 12.66. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-(2-Amino-phenylsulfanylmethyl)succinic acid dimethyl ester (36b). To a solution of dimethyl itaconate ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 3.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $o$-aminothiophenol $(0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to furnish 36b (yellow thick oil): 662 mg ( $74 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 36b <br> $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (283) | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 3460,3364,2847,1740,1726,1611$, $1479,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=15 \& 5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ (dd, $J=15 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95$ (dd, $J=$ $10 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.02 (quintet, $J=5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.13(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=10 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04$ (bs, 2H), $6.69(\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 34.5,35.6,41.2,51.7$, 51.9, 114.9, 116.1, 118.3, 130.0, 135.9, 148.3, 171.7, 173.3. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ : C, 55.11 ; H, 6.05; N , 4.94; S, 11.32. Found: C, 54.99; H, 6.11; N, 5.07; S, 11.17. |
| :---: | :---: |

(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3-yl)acetic acid methyl ester (35b).
To a solution of $\mathbf{3 5 a}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, two drops of conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$
were added and the reaction mixture was heated at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ). The resulting solution was washed successively with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (7:3) to furnish 35b (white solid): 503 mg ( $95 \%$ yield).

|  | Mp $168^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\max } 3179,1734,1666,1462,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.35(\mathrm{dd}, J=18 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-3.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $10 \& 5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-8.20$ (bs, 1H). <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 2.38(\mathrm{dd}, J=18 \& 6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{dd}, J=15 \& 9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-3.05(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-7.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.93(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 34.7,38.1,39.1,51.7$, 123.6, 126.4, 126.8, 129.9, 135.0, 141.0, 171.8, 174.4. <br> ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 34.4,38.1,38.6,51.6$, 123.6, 125.9, 126.1, 130.1, 134.9, 142.3, 171.9, 173.2. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 57.35 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.21 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.58$; S, 12.76. Found: C, 57.22; H, 5.29; N, 5.43; S, 12.63. |
| :---: | :---: |

(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3-yl)acetic acid ethyl ester (35c).
Repetition of the above reaction in ethanol furnished the corresponding ethyl ester. 35c (white solid): 514 mg ( $92 \%$ yield).

|  | Mp $146^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $\boldsymbol{v}_{\max } 3296,1713,1688,1587,1468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.33 (dd, $J=16 \& 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85-3.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$ (dd, $J=10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-$ $7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.05-8.30(\mathrm{bs}$, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.0,34.9,38.1,39.0$, $60.6,123.5,126.3,126.8,129.9,135.0,141.1,171.3$, 174.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 58.85 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70$; N , 5.28; S, 12.10. Found: C, 59.02; H, 5.84; N, 5.13; S, 12.25. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-Methylene-succinic acid bis-(2-isopropyl-5-methyl-cyclohexyl)ester (37). To a solution of itaconic anhydride ( $5.20 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 70 mL ) was added L-menthol ( $12.48 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $p-\mathrm{TSA}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 36 h using Dean and Stark apparatus. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) and washed successively with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 37 (thick oil): 13.12 g ( $80 \%$ yield).

|  $\begin{aligned} & 37(\mathrm{M}=\text { L-Menthyl }) \\ & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4 2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{4 0 6}) \end{aligned}$ | Thick oil. <br> $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-85.12\left(c 1.77, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Neat) $\nu_{\max } 1734,1719,1641,1456,1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.55$ <br> $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}$, <br> 2 H ), 4.60-4.85 (m, 2H), $5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.2,20.6,21.9,23.3$, $23.4,26.0,26.1,31.2,34.1,37.9,40.5,40.6,46.8,46.9$, <br> $74.4,74.6,127.5,134.4,165.4,170.0$ (three carbon atoms from the two menthol units did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 73.85; H, 10.41. Found: C, 74.01; H, 10.33. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-(2-Amino-phenylsulfanylmethyl)-succinic acid bis-(2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl)ester (38a). To a solution of diester 37 ( $6.57 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetic acid ( 25 mL ) was added $o$-aminothiophenol $(1.63 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 36 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 70 mL ) and washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate ( $8: 2$ ) to give 38a (thick oil/semi solid): 6.92 g ( $82 \%$ yield). The compound 38a ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \% \mathrm{de}$ ) on three recrystalisations from petroleum ether ( $60-80$ ) furnished compound 38b (white solid, minor isomer): 110 mg ( $98 \% \mathrm{de}$ ).

|  <br> 38b ( $98 \%$ de) $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}(531)$ | Mp $104^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=-85.71\left(c 0.50, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\max } 3468,3371,1724,1607,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 0.77 (d, $J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.80-0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.10(\mathrm{~m}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.77-1.87(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$ (dd, $J=16 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.76 (dd, $J=16 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{dd}, J=12 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.11(\mathrm{dd}, J=12 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.38 (bs, 2H), 4.67 (dt, $J=8 \& 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$ (dt, $J=8$ \& $4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.69(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.0,16.3,20.8,22.0$, 23.1, 23.4, 26.0, 26.2, 31.3, 34.2, 34.3, 35.6, 36.1, 40.6, 40.7, 42.0, 46.8, 46.9, 74.6, 75.0, 115.0, 116.8, 118.5, 130.1, 136.1, 148.4, 170.7, 172.6 (one of the carbon atom from the two menthol units did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 70.01$; H, 9.29; N, 2.63; S, 6.03. Found: C, 69.93; H, 9.27; N, 2.55; S, 6.12. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-(2-Amino-phenylsulfanylmethyl)succinic acid (39a). A solution of 38a(5.63 g, 10 mmol) in $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 12 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting solution was washed with ethyl acetate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), acidified with glacial acetic acid and extracted with ethyl acetate containing 5\% methanol ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give 39a (yellow solid): 2.19 g ( $86 \%$ yield). Similarly compound 38b furnished compound 39b (yellow solid). Analytical and spectral data obtained for $\mathbf{3 9 a} / \mathbf{b}$ were identical with ( $\pm$ )-36a.
$\mathbf{3 9 b}(98 \% e e)$
$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{S}$ (255)
(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3-yl)acetic acid (40a). To a solution of 39a ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DMAP ( 20 mg ) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added $N$-ethyl $-N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 5.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, dried and acidified with $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The precipitate was filtered, washed with water and dried in vacuo to give 40a (white solid): 1.04 g ( $88 \%$ yield). Similarly compound 39b furnished compound 40b (white solid).
40b (98\%ee)
$\mathbf{C l O}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{N O}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{S}(\mathbf{2 3 7})$

## 2-(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3-yl-N-(1-phenylethyl)-acetamide

 (41 \& 42). To a solution of 40 a ( $474 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ( $R$ )-(+)-1-phenylethylamine ( 290 mg , $2.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMAP $(10 \mathrm{mg})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of $N$-ethyl- $N^{\prime}$-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide hydrochloride ( $422 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give a mixture of diastereomers $612 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%$ yield) which was separated by flash column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give major isomer 42 (white solid): 428 mg ( $70 \%$ ) and minor isomer 41 (white solid): 183 mg (30\%).
## 2-(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3R-yl-N-(1R-phenylethyl)-

 acetamide (41, minor isomer).|  <br> 41 (30\%) $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}(\mathbf{3 4 0})$ | Mp $198^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+45.45\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }} 3287,3190,1665,1632,1551,1466$, $1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.24(\mathrm{dd}, J=14 \& 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=24 \& 14 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53$ (dd, $J=10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49$ (bs, 1H), $7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=8 \& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15$ (bs, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 22.0,37.2,39.1,39.7$, 48.8, 123.7, 126.0, 126.7, 127.0, 127.1, 128.5, 130.0, 135.2, 140.6, 143.2, 169.5, 175.0. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 67.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.92$; N , 8.22; S, 9.42. Found: C, 67.20; H, 6.04; N, 8.13; S, 9.36. |
| :---: | :---: |

## 2-(4-Oxo-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-benzo[b][1,5]thiazepin-3S-yl-N-(1R-phenylethyl)-

acetamide (42, major isomer).

|  | Mp $104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3296,3192,1663,1635,1535,1475 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}=+172.83\left(c 0.08, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21$ (dd, $J=14 \& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77$ (dd, $J=24 \& 12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $12 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.00-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.74(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 21.8,36.8,38.7,39.5,48.8$, 123.7, 126.0, 126.6, 126.8, 127.1, 128.4, 129.9, 135.1, 140.7, 143.3, 169.6, 175.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 67.02$; $\mathrm{H}, 5.92 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.22$; S, 9.42. Found: C, 66.97; H, 5.85; N, 8.30; S, 9.50. |
| :---: | :---: |

## 2-(\{2-[2-(3-Carboxy-but-3-enoylamino)-phenyldisulfanyl]phenylcarbamoyl\}-

methyl)acrylic acid (44). To a solution of itaconic anhydride ( $695 \mathrm{mg}, 6.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 15 mL ) was added a solution of 2-aminophenyl disulphide ( $\mathbf{4 3}, 700 \mathrm{mg}, 2.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 15 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred under argon atmosphere for 8 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue was stirred with diethyl ether ( 30 mL ) for 1 h . The precipitate was filtered and washed with
diethyl ether to obtain 44. Analytically pure 44 was obtained by recrystalisation from methanol. 44 (yellow solid): 1.08 g ( $81 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 44 $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}(472)$ | Mp 192-193 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }} 3242,2725,2633,1697,1659,1634$, $1578,1535 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1}$ H NMR ( $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, 200 MHz ) $\delta 3.38$ (bs, 4 H ), 5.88 (bs, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{t}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (DMSO- $\left.d_{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 39.3,126.3,127.0$, 128.2, 128.5, 129.2, 131.8, 136.0, 136.3, 168.0, 169.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ : C, 55.92; H, 4.27; N, 5.93; S, 13.57. Found: C, 56.09; H, 4.13; N, 6.02; S, 13.72. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-Benzothiazol-2-ylmethylacrylic acid (46). To a solution of $44(500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4:1 dioxane-water ( 15 mL ), was added triphenylphospine ( $278 \mathrm{mg}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and two drops of conc. HCl and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (7:3) to furnish 46 (white solid): 390 mg ( $84 \%$ yield).

 | $\mathrm{Mp} 139-142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ethyl acetate). |
| :--- |
| $\mathbf{I R}(\mathrm{Nujol}) V_{\max } 2700-2500,1701,1690,1630,1462,1456$ |
| $\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
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## 1C. Section C: Synthesis of Isomelophlin A and Studies Towards the Synthesis of Melophlin A

## 1C.1. Background

Ras oncogenes have an important role in cell growth and differentiation, ${ }^{1}$ and so a substance, which reverses the transformed phenotype caused by ras oncogene, has high potential as a new type of anti-cancer agent. Kobayashi and co-workers ${ }^{2}$ have isolated two novel tetramic acids named melophlins A (1) and B (2) from the marine sponge Melophlus sarassinorum, and the absolute stereo-structures were elucidated on the basis of chemical and physicochemical evidence. Melophlins A (1) and B (2) induced reversion of the tumorous phenotype of ras-transformed NIH3T3 cells to normal at the concentration of 5 $\mu \mathrm{g} \mathrm{mL}{ }^{-1}$.


Melophlin A (1)


Melophlin B (2)

1C.1.1: Schobert's approach towards melophlins A
Schobert et al have synthesized 3-acyltetramic acids of the melophlin family from $\alpha$ aminoesters and immobilized (triphenylphosphoranylidene)ketene ( $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCCO}$ ). Melophlin A was synthesized in four steps from sarcosine $t$-butyl ester 3. Ester $\mathbf{3}$ on treatment with $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PCCO}(4),{ }^{4}$ immobilized by attachment to a polystyrene (PS) resin, gave the N -methyl4 - $t$-butoxypyrrolin-2-one (6) as product of a domino addition-intramolecular Wittig alkenation sequence. Cleavage of $t$-butyl group in 6 with TFA quantitatively yielded $N$ -methylpyrrolidine-2,4-dione 7. Acylation of 7 with palmitoyl chloride in presence of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ diethyl ether under microwave irradiation furnished the $\mathrm{BF}_{2}$-chelates $\mathbf{8}$ which on heating with methanol furnished melophlin A (1) in $40 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 1).
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$8 \mathrm{R}=n-\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{31}$
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Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) 4, THF, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}$, or Microwave, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; (ii) TFA, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}(99 \%)$; (iii) $n-\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, microwave, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 45 \mathrm{~min}$ (47\%); (iv) MeOH, reflux, 2 h (91\%).

## 1C.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

## 1C.2.1: Synthesis of isomelophlin A

The synthesis of isomelophlin A (9), an unnatural analog of melophilin A was completed by employing Wittig condensation strategy ${ }^{5}$ developed in our laboratory. Wittig coupling of hexadecanal, prepared from the potassium palmitate via acidification, esterification using $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$-reduction and PCC-oxidation, with $N$-methyl maleimide (10) gave the itaconimide derivative 11. Osmium tetraoxide induced dihydroxylation of the


Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, hexadecanal, THF, reflux, 8 h (96\%); (ii) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NMO}, t$-BuOH, rt, 36 h ( $88 \%$ ); (iii) Conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on silica-gel ( 0.5 mL in 5 g ), toluene, reflux, 24 h (60\%); (iv) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$.
imide 11 furnished the diol 12. The diol 12 when refluxed in acetic anhydride for dehydration, we got the corresponding diacetoxy compound 13. However, when the diol 12 was subjected to dehydration using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ adsorbed on silica gel furnished isomelophlin A (9) in 3 steps and $50 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 2). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of compound 9 revealed that in solution it prefers to stay as a dicarbonyl system exclusively.

## 1C.2.2: Studies towards the synthesis of melophilin A

Our studies towards the synthesis of melophilin A started with the Wittig coupling of hexadecanal with $N$-methyl maleimide (10) to give the itaconimide derivative 11, which was regioselectively reduced using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ mixture (9:1) to afford the hydroxyl lactam 14. Further reduction of hydroxyl lactam using Pd-C and hydrogen gave saturated hydroxylactam 15. Dehydration of hydroxylactam 15 using Amberlyst resin in refluxing $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ furnished the lactam 16 in $85 \%$ yield. We surmise that the


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, hexadecanal, THF, reflux, 8 h (96\%); (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, 12 h ( $95 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $90 \%$ ); (iv) Amberlyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 6 h (85\%); (v) $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 10 h (75\%); (vi) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, NMO, $t$ - BuOH , rt, 36 h ( $88 \%$ ); (vii) $p$-TSCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 6 h (90\%); (viii) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt 4 h ( $90 \%$ ); (ix) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $95 \%$ ); (x) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 10 h ( $80 \%$ ).
lone pair on nitrogen atom participates in the elimination process, which is followed by two prototropic shifts. $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$ induced allylic oxidation of lactam 16 regioselectively furnished the $\beta$-hydroxy lactam 17 in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme 3 ). The $\beta$-hydroxyl lactam 17 was subjected to oxidation using variety of oxidizing agents and conditions (Table 1).

Table 1. Reaction conditions tried for oxidation of $\beta$-hydroxyl lactam 17

| Sr. No | Reagents and conditions | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | PCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 2 | Swern oxidation | No reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 4 | Jones oxidation | No reaction |
| 5 | $m$-CPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 6 | $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, Oxone, DMF | No reaction |

All these reaction conditions unfortunately met with failure and we were unable to oxidize $\beta$-hydroxyl lactam 17 and this may be due to strong hydrogen bonding with the lactam carbonyl group. Then we planed to convert $\beta$-hydroxyl lactam 17 to triol 18. Osmium tetraoxide induced dihydroxylation of $\beta$-hydroxyl lactam 17 in presence of NMO in $t$ BuOH furnished the triol 18 in $88 \%$ yield. Variety of oxidizing and dehydrating agents were used to convert triol in the natural product melophilin A (1) as shown in table 2 and 3.

Table 2. Reaction conditions tried for oxidation of triol 18

| Sr. No | Reagents and conditions | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | PCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 2 | Swern oxidation | No reaction |
| 3 | Jones oxidation | No reaction |
| 4 | DMP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Decomposition |
| 5 | DDQ, benzene | Complex reaction mixture |
| 6 | Oxone, $\mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ | No reaction |
| 7 | TEMPO, $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 8 | $\mathrm{IBX}, \mathrm{EtOAc}$ | Complex reaction mixture |

Table 3. Reaction conditions tried for dehydration of triol 18

| Sr. No | Reagents and conditions | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on silica gel, toluene, reflux | Decomposition |
| 2 | Amberlyst $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux | No reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | No reaction |
| 4 | $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | No reaction |
| 5 | Silica chloride, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux | Decomposition |
| 6 | SOCl 2 , pyridine, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt | Complex reaction mixture |
| 7 | $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, benzene, reflux | Complex reaction mixture |

Unfortunately all our attempt to either oxidize or dehydrate the triol 18 met will failure. Triol 18 on tosylation with $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and DMAP gave the monotosylated lactam 19 in $90 \%$ yield. Lactam 19 when treated with NaH gave back the unprotected triol $\mathbf{1 8}$ but not the expected epoxide. Further triol 18 was converted to monoacetate lactam 20 in $95 \%$ yield by treating with acetic anhydride in pyridine. Variety of oxidizing and dehydrating agents were used to convert monoacetate lactam 20 to the acetate protected natural product melophilin A as shown in table 4.

Table 4. Reaction conditions tried for oxidation (Sr. No. 1-4) and dehydration (Sr. No. 58) of monoacetate lactam 20

| Sr. No | Reagents and conditions | Result |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 1 | $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}$, EtOH, reflux | No reaction |
| 2 | Jones oxidation | No reaction |
| 3 | $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, Pyridine $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Complex reaction mixture |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMSO}, t$ - BuOH | No reaction |
| 5 | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, reflux | Decomposition |
| 6 | $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Et} 3 \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}$ | No reaction |
| 7 | $\mathrm{TFA}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{reflux}$ | Decomposition |
| 8 | $\mathrm{Neat}, 150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Decomposition |

Unfortunately all our attempt to either oxidize or dehydrate monoacetate lactam 20 met with failure. Monoacetate lactam 20 on reaction with MsCl in presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ gave the mesyl and acetate protected lactam 21 in $80 \%$ yield. We are in search of suitable reaction conditions to get melophlin A from the triol $\mathbf{1 8}$ and the work is under active progress in our laboratory.

## 1C.3. Summary

In summary, in this section we have described the first solid support synthesis of naturally occurring melophlin A. Our results on synthesis of isomelophlin A and an attempted synthesis of melophlin A have been described. We have designed the melophlin A skeleton and the condition to perform the last dehydrative oxidation step to reach the target compound is still elusive for us.

In conclusion, in the present three sections chapter we have described the relevant literature and our results with experimental and spectral data. Itaconic acid and its derivatives are the multifunctional entity and have been extensively used for different reactions at all the reactive sites on the 5-carbon itaconic acid, for the construction of variety of heterocyclic structures in past century. Itaconic acid and derivatives are practically used in the synthesis of variety of key intermediates employed in the heavy and fine chemical industries and as such these compounds have been often used to model (i) compounds highlighting regiochemical dichotomy, (ii) heterocyclic skeletons, (iii) natural products and their precursors, (iv) bioactive molecules and (v) series of polymers with tailored material characteristics. We used these starting materials for the synthesis of 1,5benzothiazapines, benzothiazoles and isomelophlin A. We feel that with a choice of an appropriate chiral auxiliary, it will be possible to synthesize the 1,5-benzothiazapines with high yield and enantiomeric excess. We also feel that a reduction of an amide carbonyl in the substrate will pave the way to benzothiazacines. The synthesis of isomelophlin $A$ was very straight forward job for us but however, the carbonyl transposition to naturally occurring melophlin A by employing several reaction conditions and strategies has still kept us away from the goal. We are hopeful about the transformation of isomelophlin A to melophlin A.

## 1C.4. Experimental Section

Melting points are uncorrected. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on ACME silica gel (60-120 mesh). Commercially available maleic anhydride, $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, Amberlyst, $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}, \mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{MsCl}$ and DMAP were used.

3-Hexadecylidene-1-methylpyrrolidine-2,5-dione (11). To the solution of $N$-methyl maleimide ( $\mathbf{1 0}, 2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 18.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(4.72 \mathrm{~g}, 18.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(70 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added the solution of hexadecanal $(6.483 \mathrm{~g}, 27.01 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 8 h . The reaction was allowed to come to room temperature and solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give $\mathbf{1 1}$ (white solid): 5.79 g ( $96 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 11 $\mathbf{C}_{21} \mathbf{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathbf{3 3 5})$ | Mp $76^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\max } 1769,1711,1672,1462,1435,1377$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{q}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 13.8,22.4,24.2,27.9$, 29.1-29.4 (12 carbons), 31.5, 125.4, 138.2, 169.6, 173.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 75.17 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.11$; N , 4.17. Found: C, 75.06; H, 11.15; N, 4.10. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Hydroxy-3-(1-hydroxyhexadecyl)-1-metylpyrrolidine-2,5-dione (12). To the solution of imide $11(2.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $60 \%$ aqueous solution of NMO $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(24 \mathrm{mg}, 0.012 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 36 h . The reaction was quenched by adding sodium sulphite and stirred for 1 h , filtered through celite, washed with ethyl acetate and filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate containing $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 4)$ washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (6:4) to provide 12 (white solid): 1.94 g ( $88 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 12 $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(\mathbf{3 6 9})$ | Mp $88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\text {max }} 3474,3396,1786,1703,1446,1408$, $1385 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 2.75(\mathrm{q}, J=18 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.00(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{bd}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.1,22.6,24.8,25.9$, 29.3-29.4 ( 9 carbons), 29.6, 30.2, 31.9, 38.3, 74.3, 76.9, 174.4, 179.6. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 68.25; H, 10.64; N , 3.79. Found: C, 68.34; H, 10.57; N, 3.88. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Actoxy-3-(1-acetoxyhexadecyl)-1-metylpyrrolidine-2,5-dione (13). A solution of $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( 15 mL ) was gently refluxed for 5 h and the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was diluted with ethyl acetate $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give $\mathbf{1 3}$ (white solid): 491 mg ( $80 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 13 $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6}(453)$ | Mp $62-63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max } 1792,1749,1720,1439,1373 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.08(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.89 (d, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.03$ (s, 3H), $5.30(\mathrm{dd}, J=10 \& 4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 14.1, 20.7 ( 2 carbons), 22.6, 25.0, 25.5, 28.4, 29.1-29.4 (9 carbons), 29.6, $31.9,37.5,74.0,79.9,169.9,170.1,172.8,173.4$. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{6}: \mathrm{C}, 66.19$; H, 9.55; N , 3.09. Found: C, $65.98 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.43$; N, 3.00. |
| :---: | :---: |

1-Methyl-3-palmitoylpyrrolidine-2,5-dione (9). To the solution of diol $\mathbf{1 2}$ (1.00 g, 2.71 mmol ) in toluene ( 20 mL ) was added conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ on silica-gel ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}$ in 5 g ) and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 24 h . The reaction was allowed to reach room temperature and solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give 9 (white solid): 570 mg ( $60 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 9 $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathbf{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathbf{3 5 1})$ | Mp $69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }} 1778,1709,1439,1383 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CDCl $\left.3,200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $1.20-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.05-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-4.05(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 13.9,22.4,23.0,24.9$, 28.7, 29.1-29.2 ( 8 carbons), 29.4, 29.7, 31.7, 42.9, 52.8, 172.6, 175.6, 201.7. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 71.75; H, 10.61; N , 3.98. Found: C, 71.66; H, 10.72; N, 3.95 . |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Hexadecylidene-5-hydroxy-1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one (14). To the solution of imide $11(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.95 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1,40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(1.02 \mathrm{~g}, 26.86 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h . The reaction was quenched by adding water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (6:4) to give 14 (white solid): 2.87 g ( $95 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 14 $\mathbf{C}_{21} \mathbf{H}_{39} \mathbf{N O}_{2}(\mathbf{3 3 7})$ | Mp $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol) $v_{\text {max }} 3315,1655,1462,1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $1.20-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=16$ <br> $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35-6.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.1,22.6,27.1,28.5$, 29.3-29.7 (11 carbons), 31.9, 33.0, 82.1, 129.2, 134.3, 168.1. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, $74.72 ; \mathrm{H}, 11.65$; N , 4.15. Found: C, 74.69 ; H, 11.53; N, 4.08. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Hexadecyl-5-hydroxy-1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one (15). To the stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 4}$ ( $2.50 \mathrm{~g}, 7.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}(80 \mathrm{mg})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature under the Hydrogen pressure ( 50 psi ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered through celite and washed with ethyl acetate, the filtrate was then evaporated in vacuo to give $\mathbf{1 5}$ (white solid): 2.27 g ( $90 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 15 $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{2}(339)$ | Mp $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\max } 3315,1666,1468,1246 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $1.20-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 28 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-2.20(\mathrm{~m}$, <br> $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{~s}$, <br> $3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.85-5.10 (m, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.0,22.6,26.9,27.0$, <br> 27.3, 29.3, 29.5-29.6 ( 6 carbons), 31.4, 31.9, 32.1, <br> 34.8, 35.1, 39.5, 41.0, 83.4, 177.2. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ : C, 74.28; H, 12.17; N , 4.13. Found: C, 74.11; H, 12.04; N, 4.05. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Hexadecyl-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (16). To the solution of $\mathbf{1 5}$ (2.00 g, 5.90 mmol) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Amberlyst resin ( 500 mg ) and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 6 h . The reaction was allowed to reach to room temperature, filtered through celite, washed with ethyl acetate and filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (7:3) to give 16 (white solid): 1.61 g ( $85 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 16 $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}(321)$ | Mp $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{V}_{\text {max }} 1701,1647,1466,1437,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dt}, J=8$ \& $2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.58$ (dt, $J=4 \& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.0,22.6,25.9,27.5$, 29.2-29.3 (11 carbons), 29.6, 31.9, 52.6, 133.5, 140.6, 171.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.44 ; \mathrm{H}, 12.22 ; \mathrm{N}$, 4.36. Found: C, 78.32; H, 12.17; N, 4.29. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-(1-Hydroxyhexadecyl)-1-methyl-1H-pyrrol-2(5H)-one (17). To the solution of $\mathbf{1 6}$ $(1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 4.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{EtOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{SeO}_{2}(1.56 \mathrm{~g}, 14.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed for 10 h . The reaction was allowed to reach to room temperature, filtered through celite, washed with ethyl acetate and filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel
column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give 17 (yellow solid): 1.18 g ( $75 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 17 $\mathbf{C}_{21} \mathbf{H}_{39} \mathbf{O}_{2} \mathbf{N}$ | Mp $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \nu_{\text {max }} 3421,1705,1637,1466,14451246$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{dt}, J=8$ $\& 2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.18$ (bs, 1H), $6.44(\mathrm{t}, J=2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.1,22.7,25.5,26.4$, 27.4, 29.3-29.7 (10 carbons), 31.9, 58.5, 87.8, 135.0, 143.2, 170.2. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}$ : C, 74.72; H, 11.65; N , 4.15. Found: C, 74.53 ; H, 11.79; N, 4.02. |
| :---: | :---: |

3,4-Dihydroxy-3-(1-hydroxyhexadecyl)-1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one (18). To the solution of compound 17 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 1.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $60 \%$ aqueous solution of NMO ( 7 mL ) and $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 0.003 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $t-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 36 h . The reaction was quenched by adding sodium sulphite and stirred for 1 h , filtered through celite, washed with ethyl acetate and filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water and extracted with ethyl acetate containing $5 \% \mathrm{MeOH}(30 \mathrm{~mL} x 4)$ washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (6:4) to give $\mathbf{1 8}$ (white solid): 486 mg ( $88 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 18 $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(371)$ | Mp $57^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v_{\max } 3429,3342,1686,1468 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.33 (bs, 1H), 3.60-3.80 (m, 2H), $3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95$ (bs, 1H), 4.51 (s, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.0,22.6,22.8,28.3$, <br> 29.3, 29.6-29.8 (10 carbons), 31.9, 36.5, 64.6, 76.0, <br> 94.9, 175.4. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{NO}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 67.70$; H, <br> 11.36; N, 3.76. Found: C, 67.56; H, 11.43; N, 3.41. |
| :---: | :---: |

mmol ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give 19 (thick oil): 127 mg ( $90 \%$ yield).


19
$\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}$ (525)

IR (Neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 3398,1738,1726,1713,1466,1371$, $1242,1178 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.10-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{47} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 63.97$; H, 9.01; N, 2.66; S, 6.09. Found: C, 64.03; H, 9.12; N, 2.60; S, 6.00.

4-Hydroxy-4-(1-hydroxyhexadecyl)-1-metyl-5-oxopyrrolidin-3-yl 4-acetate (20). To the solution of $\mathbf{1 8}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.54$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and pyridine $(0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The reaction was quenched with water and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $30 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give $\mathbf{2 0}$ (white solid): 211 mg ( $95 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 20 $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(413)$ | Mp $59^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \boldsymbol{V}_{\text {max }} 3391,1744,1709,1466,1375,1215$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 24 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.1,20.8,22.7,28.2$, 29.3, 29.4-29.7 ( 10 carbons), 31.9, 36.9, 64.7, 73.6, 75.4, 92.2, 170.0, 175.0. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ : C, 66.79; H, 10.48; N, 3.39. Found: C, 66.67 ; H, 10.37; N, 3.42. |
| :---: | :---: |

## 4-Hydroxy-1-methyl-4-[1-(methylsulfonyloxy)hexadecyl]-5-oxopyrrolidin-3-yl-acetate

 (21). To the solution of $\mathbf{2 0}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{MsCl}(41.22$$\mathrm{g}, 0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMAP $(5 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 h . The solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (8:2) to give 21 (thick oil): 48 mg ( $80 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 21 $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}(491)$ | IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }} 1749,1718,1466,1362,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 4.98 (s, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ 14.1, 20.8, 22.7, 27.9, 29.3, 29.6-29.7 (10 carbons), 31.5, 31.9, 37.2, 41.2, 72.7, 87.2, 92.7, 168.3, 170.1. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{NO}_{7} \mathrm{~S}: \mathrm{C}, 58.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.22$; N , 2.85; S, 6.52. Found: C, 58.74; H, 9.13; N, 2.90; S, 6.41. |
| :---: | :---: |
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## 2A. Section A: A Concise Account on the Chemistry of Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarate

## 2A. 1: Introduction

Diethyl bromomethylfumarate has been prepared by Campbel et al in 1947 via bromination of the methyl group of diethyl methylfumarate by using $N$-bromosuccinimide and dibenzoyl peroxide. Laursen et $a l^{2}$ have reported the synthesis of di-t-butyl bromomethylfumarate by bromination of di-t-butyl methylfumarate prepared by the action of $\mathrm{NaO} t$ - Bu on the acid chloride and then $N$-bromosuccinimide. Loh et al have synthesized dimethyl bromomethylfumarate by the Baylis-Hillman reaction involving the


Dialkyl bromomethylfumarate
coupling of methyl glyoxylate with methyl acrylate in dioxane and subsequent bromination with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ in ether. Abarhat et $a l^{4}$ have synthesized labeled di-t-butyl bromomethylfumarate and bromo methylfumaric acid by the reaction of di-t-butyl acetylenedicarboxylate with $\mathrm{Li}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}$ followed by isomarization and bromination. Amri and co-workers ${ }^{5}$ have synthesized dimethyl bromomethylfumarate by the bromination of dimethyl itaconate and dehydrobromination with triethylamine.

## 2A.1.1: Synthetic utility of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate

The introduction of bromo atom at the allylic position to form $\mathbf{1}$, opens to more sites for nucleophilic reactions viz, allylic substitutions and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reactions. Dialkyl bromomethylfumarate has been used for the synthesis of natural and unnatural products. This section provides application of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate for the synthesis of natural and unnatural products, however we have tried our best to summarize and present the information here, but no pretension of completeness is claimed.

## 2A.1.1.1: Synthesis of $( \pm)$-sparteine (Fleming and co-workers)

(-)-Sparteine 14, has been used to induce absolute stereocontrol in a number of lithiations, ${ }^{6 a, b}$ but is readily available only in one enantiomeric series. Fleming and coworkers ${ }^{7 a, b}$ have reported the recemic synthesis of sparteine by using Diels-Alder reaction between dimethyl bromomethylfumarate and dicyclopentenyl 2. Diels-Alder cycloaddition between dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) and the diene $\mathbf{2}$ gave the mixture of adducts $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ which on reaction with sodium methoxide gave the meso cyclopropane intermediates 5 and 6. Lithium in liquid ammonia induced reductive cleavage of mixture of 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ gave the bisenolate 7 which on quenching with ammonium chloride gave the mixture of esters $\mathbf{8}$ and $9(\mathbf{8}: 9=30: 70)$ while quenching with methanol gave $(\mathbf{8 : 9}=24: 76)$, which are separated by crystallization and chromatography of the mother liquor (Scheme 1).
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Scheme 1. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%$ yield, 3:4 = 75:25); (ii) NaOMe , toluene, reflux $12 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%, \mathbf{5 : 6}=75: 25)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Li}, \mathrm{NH}_{3}$, isoprene, $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(90 \%$ yield, $\mathbf{8 : 9}=30: 70)$ and $\mathrm{MeOH}(90 \%$ yield, $\mathbf{8 : 9}=24: 76)$.

The ester 9 on ozonolysis in acetone and quenching with acetaldehyde furnished the diketone 10 which on reaction with hydroxylamine hydrochloride gave bis-oxime $\mathbf{1 1}$. Beckmann rearrangement of bisoxime 11 gave the bis-lactam 12 which on reduction gave bis-piperidine diol 13. ( $\pm$ )-Sparteine 14 was obtained by treating 13 with carbon tetrachloride and triphenylphosphine (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, acetone, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{MeCHO}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}$, 12 h (98\%); (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~d}$ (53\%); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}^{\circ}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(52 \%)$; (iv) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$, THF, reflux, 12 h (90\%); (v) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{rt}, 18 \mathrm{~h}(53 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.2: Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-methylenolactocin (Loh et al)

$\alpha$-Methylene- $\beta$-butyrolactone is an integral building block of many bioactive natural products. ${ }^{8}$ Among them, methylenolactocin 28 has attracted the major attention because of its interesting anti-tumour activity and its unusual structure with high functionality and stereochemistry. ${ }^{9}( \pm)$-Methylenolactocin 28 and ( $\pm$ )-phaseolinic acid 29, have been isolated from the fungus Macrophomina phaseolina. ${ }^{10}$ Loh et al ${ }^{11}$ have reported the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-methylenolactocin by using an indium-mediated allylation reaction as the key step. Baylis-Hillman reaction involving the coupling of methyl glyoxylate (16) with methyl acrylate (15) in dioxane gave alcohol 17 in $52 \%$ yield. Subsequent bromination of $\mathbf{1 7}$ with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ in ether proceeded smoothly to afford both dimethyl bromometylfumarate (1) and dimethyl bromomethylmaleate (1b) in 90\% overall yield (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) DABCO, dioxane, rt, 72 h (52\%); (ii) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%, Z-\mathbf{1}: E-\mathbf{1 b}=95: 5)$.

Indium-mediated allylation reaction of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) with hexanal has been investigated. The results are shown in Table 1.


Table 1. Optimization of allylation reaction of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) with hexanal

| Entry | Conditions $^{a}$ | \% Yields $(\mathbf{1 9}+\mathbf{2 0})^{b}(\mathbf{1 9 : 2 0 : 2 1})^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}($ major $)$ |
| 2 | THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ | $8(20: 20: 60)$ |
| 3 | THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}:$ buffer pH 7.0 (1:1:2) | $60(36: 36: 28)$ |
| 4 | Dry THF | $60(40: 40: 20)$ |
| 5 | Neat | $85(40: 45: 15)$ |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed at room temperature for 3 days.
${ }^{b}$ Overall purified yield for 19 and 20.
${ }^{c}$ Product ratios (19:20:21) were determined based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR analysis.
With these optimized conditions, the reactions of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) with four other different aldehydes were investigated. The results are shown in Table 2.


Table 2. Allylation reaction with four different aldehydes

| Entry | Aldehyde $^{a}$ | Ratio (a:b) $^{b}$ | Total yield (a + b\%) $^{c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nonyl aldehyde | $(\mathbf{2 3 a}: 23 b)(53: 47)$ | $34^{\mathrm{d}}$ |
| 2 | Cyclohexanecarboxyaldehyde | $(\mathbf{( 2 4 a}: 24 b)(64: 36)$ | 95 |
| 3 | Benzaldehyde | $(\mathbf{( 2 5 a : 2 5 b})(35: 65)$ | 77 |
| 4 | 3-Methoxybenzaldehyde | $(\mathbf{2 6 a}: 26 \mathrm{~b})(15: 85)$ | 60 |

${ }^{a}$ All reactions were performed under neat conditions at room temperature for 3 days.
${ }^{b}$ Product ratios (a:b) were determined based on isolated yields.
${ }^{c}$ Overall purified yield for $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.
${ }^{d}$ Reaction not optimized.
Both the isomers, 19 and 20, have been separated by them through flash column chromatography and converted to ( $\pm$ )-methylenolactocin 28. In the presence of TFA, $\mathbf{2 0}$ was cyclized to the $c i s-\beta, \gamma$-substituted lactone 27 in $79 \%$ yield. Acid hydrolysis of 27 and

19 with 6 N HCl afforded 28 in $70 \%$ yield, 27 undergoes epimerization via the intermediate acid 30 to give 28 (Scheme 4). Compound 27 can lead to a formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-phaseolinic acid (29) via a stereoselective hydrogenation using thiophenol, followed by the removal of the sulfide group with $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Hg} .{ }^{12}$


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) TFA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 24 h (79\%); (ii) 6 N HCL, butanone reflux, 2 h (70\%); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{PhSH}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt ( $88 \%$ ), (b) $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Hg}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{MeOH},-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (75\%) (ref 12).

## 2A.1.1.3: Synthesis of chaetomellic acid A

Chaetomellic acid A has been isolated from Chaetomella acutiseta ${ }^{13}$ and its dianionic form is a potent and highly specific inhibitor of rasfernesyl-protein transferase. Chaetomellic acid $\mathrm{A}(37)$ has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{14}$ via $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ Grignard coupling reaction of


Scheme 5. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) NBS, DBP, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 8 h (55\%); (ii) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}\left(8-10 \%\right.$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h (75\%); (iv) NBS, AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h (85\%); (v) $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{HMPA}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (60\%); (vi) AcOH $+\mathrm{HCl}(7: 3)$, reflux, 2 h (98\%); (vii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h ( $\sim 100 \%$ ).
tetradecylmagnesium bromide with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) followed by hydrolysis of the diester $\mathbf{3 5}$ to diacid $\mathbf{3 6}$ accompanied by ring closure and simultaneous exocyclic to endocyclic carbon-carbon double bond isomerization with $58 \%$ overall yield in 3-steps (Scheme 5).

## 2A.1.1.4: Synthesis of 1,7(Z)-nonadecadiene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid

This novel dicarboxylic acid 44 was isolated from cultures of a white-rot fungus Ceriporiopsis subvermispora. ${ }^{15}$ The compound has one chiral centre but the absolute configuration has not yet been established. 1,7(Z)-Nonadecadiene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{14}$ by using the chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ Grignard coupling reaction of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) with ( $Z$ )-hexadeca-4-enyl bromide (42) which was prepared from tetrahydrofurfuryl chloride (38). Tetrahydrofurfuryl chloride (38) on reaction with $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}$ in presence of $\mathrm{LiNH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ give alcohol 39 which on hydrogenation followed by tosylation gave compound 41, which on reaction with LiBr gave ( $Z$ )-hexadeca-4-enyl bromide (42). The Grignard coupling of $\mathbf{4 2}$ with $\mathbf{1}$ followed by hydrolysis of the diester 43 gave the natural product 1,7(Z)-nonadecadiene-2,3dicarboxylic acid (44). The diacid 44 in refluxing acetic anhydride furnished the isochaetomellic acid B(45) (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{LiNH}_{2} / \mathrm{NH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{Br}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-33{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, Lindlar Pd, quinoline, hexane, rt, 30 min ( $99 \%$ ); (iii) $p$-TsCl, TEA, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 6 h ( $86 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, acetone, rt, 15 h ( $85 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{10} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, HMPA, rt, 8 h (62\%); (vi) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1), rt, 18 h (98\%); (vii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 100 \%)$.

During the past decade several structurally interesting compounds with dialkylsubstituted maleic anhydride moieties have been isolated as bioactive natural products and synthesized in view of their promising bioactivities. ${ }^{16-18}$ The 2-carboxymethyl-3-hexylmaleic anhydride (55) has been isolated as a novel metabolite from the Aspergillus FH-X-213 from an apple. ${ }^{19}$ In 1994, Soda et al ${ }^{20}$ reported the biotransformation of stearic acid with a microbial strain isolated from soil, Pseudomonas cepacica A-1419, to produce two new maleic anhydride derivatives 2-( $\beta$-carboxyethyl)-3-hexylmaleic anhydride (58a) and 2-( $\beta$ carboxyethyl) -3-octylmaleic anhydride (58b).
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Scheme 7. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) NBS (1.5 equiv.), AIBN, CCl ${ }_{4}$, reflux, 12 h ( $85 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 1.5 equiv., $\mathrm{n}=4 / 6$ ), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, HMPA, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$ (64$65 \%$ ); (iii) LiOH ( 10 equiv.), $\mathrm{THF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h ( $90-92 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, rt, 6 h ( $\sim 100 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}\left(\sim 100 \%\right.$ ); (vi) $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (5 equiv.), CuI ( 0.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, HMPA, -5 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $55 \%$ ); (vii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $95 \%$ ); (viii) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}$ then $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{Cr}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ then 1 Maq . NaOH then 1 M aq. $\mathrm{HCl},(42 \%)$; (ix) NaCN (1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}(0 \%)$; (x) $\mathrm{CuCN}(5$ equiv.), MeOH , reflux, 8 h (0\%); (xi) 1,3-Dithiane (1.1 equiv.), $n$ - BuLi (1.2 equiv.), THF, HMPA, 6 h (0\%).

These natural products have been synthesized in our group ${ }^{21}$ via the potential building blocks 2-bromomethyl-3-alkylmaleic anhydrides $\mathbf{5 0 a} / \mathbf{b}$. These compounds $\mathbf{5 0 a} / \mathbf{b}$ were synthesized starting from dimethyl citraconate (34) via NBS-bromination, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ Grignard coupling reactions, hydrolysis, molecular bromine addition and dehydrative ring closure reaction pathway with $49-51 \%$ overall yield in 5 -steps. Chemoselective allylic substitution of bromoatom in 50a with Grignard reagents gave the unsymmetrical maleic anhydride $\mathbf{5 1}$ in $55 \%$ yield. The naturally occurring 2-carboxymethyl-3-hexylmaleic anhydride (55) was synthesized from 51 via esterification, ozonolysis and oxidation route. The synthesis of two naturally occurring 2-( $\beta$-carboxyethyl)-3-alkylmaleic anhydrides 58a/b have been completed via a chemoselective diethylmalonate coupling reaction followed by acid induced hydrolysis (Schemes 7 \& 8)


Scheme 8. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) (a) Diethyl malonate (1.1 equiv.), NaH (1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(72-74 \%)$; (ii) $\mathrm{AcOH}+\mathrm{HCl}$ (1:1), reflux, 12 h ( $95-96 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%)$.

2A.1.1.6: Synthesis of naturally occurring bioactive butyrolactones: maculalactones A-C and nostoclide I

Maculalactones A-C have been isolated from the epilithic-encrusting cyanobacterium Kyrtuthrix maculans from Hong Kong island and they possess marine anti-fouling activity. ${ }^{22}$ The natural (+)-maculalactone A has been assigned $S$-configuration. Nostoclide I (77) has been isolated from the culture of a symbiotic blue-green alga, Nostoc sp., from the lichen Peltigera canina and possesses cytotoxic activity. ${ }^{23}$ These naturally occurring butyrolactones maculalactone $\mathrm{A}(68)$, maculalactone $\mathrm{B}(66)$ maculalactone $\mathrm{C}(67)$ and nostoclide I (77) have been synthesized in our group ${ }^{24}$ starting from citraconic anhydride (31) with good overall yields via dibenzylmaleic anhydride (64) and benzylisopropylmaleic anhydride (74). The two anhydrides 64 and $\mathbf{7 4}$ were prepared by


Scheme 9. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(70 \%)$; (ii) (a) LiOH ( 10 equiv.), THF $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(92 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}\left(\sim 100 \%\right.$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 100 \%)$; (v) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (5 equiv.), CuI (0.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{HMPA},-5$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $45 \%$ ); (vi) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 2.5 equiv.), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $91 \%$ ); (vii) Piperidine ( 0.7 equiv.), PhCHO ( 1 equiv.), MeOH , rt, 16 h ( $77 \%$ ); (viii) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, \mathrm{rt}, 8$ days (50\%); (ix) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, 12 \mathrm{~h}(75 \%) ;(\mathrm{x}) \Delta, 200$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(100 \%)$.
coupling reactions of appropriate Grignard reagents with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1), LiOH -induced hydrolysis of esters to acids, bromination of carbon-carbon double bond, in situ dehydration followed by dehydro-bromination and chemoselective allylic substitution of bromoatom in disubstituted anhydrides 63 and $\mathbf{7 3}$ with appropriate Grignard reagents. The $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of these anhydrides 64 and 74 furnished the desired lactones 65 and 76 respectively. The lactone 65 on Knoevenagel condensation with benzaldehyde furnished maculalactone B (66), which on carbon-carbon double bond isomerization gave maculalactone C (67), while 66 on selective catalytic hydrogenation gave maculalactone A (68) (Scheme $9 \& 10$ ). The conversion of lactone 76 to nostoclide I (77) is known in the literature. ${ }^{25}$


Scheme 10. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(79 \%)$; (ii) (a) $\mathrm{LiOH}\left(10\right.$ equiv.), THF $+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(91 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}\left(\sim 100 \%\right.$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 1.5 h ( $\sim 100 \%$ ); (v) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ (5 equiv.), CuI (0.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, HMPA , -5 to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (43\%); (vi) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.5 equiv.), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(70 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.7: Synthesis of enes, dienes and related natural products

Enes and dienes are an important class of compounds and they find applications in preparation of dyes, UV screens, drugs, in Diels-Alder reactions and also for the synthesis of complex natural and unnatural products. ${ }^{26}$ These Enes and dienes have been synthesized in our group ${ }^{27}$ by employing the $S_{N} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reactions of Wittig reagents


Scheme 11. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Wittig reagent, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 3 h [79a-g / 80a-i : $(60-66 \%)$ / ( $8-85 \%$ )]; (ii) Wittig reagent, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}[79 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{g} /$ 80a-i : ( $15-20 \%$ ) / ( $50-85 \%$ )].

Table 3. Synthesis of variety of enes $\mathbf{8 0}$ and dienes $\mathbf{7 9}$ from dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1)


Conditions: (i) Wittig reagent, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt; (ii) Wittig reagent, $-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. ${ }^{a}$ The obtained mixtures of enes and dienes were separated by silica-gel column chromatography and the isolated yields have been indicated in the parenthesis.
with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate. Wittig reagents were prepared using variety of aryl bromide and triphenylphosphene and the ylide was prepared using $n$-BuLi as a base. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$

Coupling reactions of these ylides with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) gave the corresponding column separable mixture of ene 80a-i and diene 79a-i (Scheme 11, Table 3). $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reactions of relatively more reactive phosphoranes generated from phosphonium salts of methyl iodide and allyl bromide, with 1 exclusively furnished the corresponding diene $\mathbf{8 3}$ and dimethyl ester of fulgenic acid (84) ${ }^{28}$ respectively (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Wittig reagent, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$.

The dienes 79a,c on a Heck coupling reactions with an appropriate halides gave the ( $E, E$ )-dienes $\mathbf{8 5 a}, \mathbf{c}$. The 5 -step conversion of diene $\mathbf{8 5}$ c to natural product ( $\pm$ )-gulbulin (86) is known in the literature ${ }^{29}$ (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) ArI, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ h ( $E: Z=94: 6,86 \%)$.

Similarly, Heck coupling reactions of enes 80a,c with appropriate halides gave the corresponding diesters 87a,c. The reduction of two ester groups in ene $87 \mathbf{c}$ followed by an in situ acylation of the formed intermediate 1,4 -diol provided the natural product ( $\pm$ )prasanthaline ( $\mathbf{8 8})^{30}$ (Scheme 14).


Scheme 14. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) ArI, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ h ( $E: Z=82: 18,88 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.8: Synthesis of bicyclo[3.3.l]nonanone derivatives (Lawton and co-workers)

 3-Aza-bicyclo-[3.3.1]nonane derivatives has been synthesized by dekkers et al ${ }^{31}$ by using the reaction of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate with $N$-tosylpipredone enamine. Lawton and co-workers ${ }^{32}$ have reported the synthesis of bicyclo[3.3.1]nonan-9-one derivatives by the reaction of enamines of substituted cyclohexanones with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate. Condensation of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) with cyclohexanone enamine (89) furnished bicyclononanone diester 90. Sodium borohydride reduction of $\mathbf{9 0}$ yielded a hydroxy diester 91, which did not undergo complete $\gamma$-lactone formation until heated to $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 hr . Further, sodium methoxide-methanol converted the $\gamma$-lactone 92 into a $4: 1$ mixture of $\delta$-lactone esters 93 and 94 (Scheme 15). Via the C-3 ester epimerization, opening of the $\gamma$-lactone, conformational inversion to a boat form and condensation to \& lactone 93, which is then epimerized at the C-2 ester to an equilibrium mixture of 93 and 94 .

Scheme 15. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 5 h (76\%); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) neat, $170^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 4h (37\%); (iii) NaOMe, $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (70\%).

2A.1.1.9: Synthesis of 5-substituted uracil nucleosides (Sawai et al)
Uridine and related pyrimidine nucleosides substituted with various functional groups at the $\mathrm{C}-5$ position have found a wide variety of applications as antiviral agents ${ }^{33}$ and as constituent of modified nucleic acids. ${ }^{34}$ Sawai et al ${ }^{35}$ have reported the synthesis of 5substituted uracil nucleosides useful for the attachment of linker arm to nucleic acids by the reaction of arabinoaminooxazoline and dimethyl bromomethylfumarate. Dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) was reacted with arabinoaminooxazoline $\mathbf{9 5}^{\mathbf{3 6}}$ in the presence of triethylamine to obtain compound 96 in $50 \%$ yield. Bromination of 96 with HBr in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ at $40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ give 5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-2'-bromo-2'-deoxyuridine (97) in $74 \%$ yield. The 2 '-bromo nucleoside was converted to 5-methoxycarbonylmethyl-2'deoxyuridine 98a in 73\% yield by hydrogenation on Pd-black catalyst in the presence of





Scheme 16. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 2 h (50\%); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (74\%) or (b) $\mathrm{AcBr}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$ reflux $1 \mathrm{~h}(37 \%$ from 95); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-Pd-black, $\mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}(73 \%)$ or (b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}-\mathrm{AIBN}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux, 1 h (79\%); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{MeOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, then $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{MeOH}(90 \%)$; (v) DMTCI, DMAP, pyridine, rt then $\mathrm{ClP}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ ) [ $\mathrm{NPr}_{2}$ ], $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt (77\%); (vi) $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ then $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}(62 \%)$; (vii) $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}(90 \%)$.
sodium acetate in aqueous methanol. Reduction of the $2^{\prime}$-bromo derivative with $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ AIBN in benzene under reflux for 1 h also furnished 3',5'-0-diacetyl-5-methoxycarbonylmethy1-2'-deoxyuridine $\mathbf{9 8 b}$ in $79 \%$ yield. The reaction of $\mathbf{9 8 b}$ with 1,6 hexanediamine in methanol in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ overnight gave 5-[ $N$-(6-aminohexyl)carbamoylmethyl]-2'-deoxyuridine, the terminal amino group of which was protected with trifluoroacetyl group by reaction with ethyl trifluoroacetate in methanol, to afford 5-[N-(6- trifluoroacetylamidohexyl)carbamoylmethyl]-2'-deoxyuridine 99 in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 16). The reaction of 99 with dimethyoxytrityl chloride (DMTCl) in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine in pyridine at room temperature afforded the $5^{\prime}$ DMT protected nucleoside, which was phosphitylated with diisopropylamino- $P$ cyanoethoxychlorophosphine in dichloromethane at room temperature to give the $5^{\prime}$-DMT nucleoside phosphoramidite 100 in $77 \%$ yield. Hydrolysis of $\mathbf{9 6}$ with methanolic ammonia at room temperature led to the corresponding arabinosyl nucleoside $\mathbf{1 0 1}$ in high yield. Compound 96 was converted to $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}, 55^{\prime}$-tri-O-acetyl-5-methoxycarbonylmethyluridine 102a in $62 \%$ yield by heating in acetic anhydride. Hydrolysis of 102a with methanolic ammonia at room temperature for 2 h yielded quantitatively 5methoxycarbonylmethyluridine $\mathbf{1 0 2 b}$, one of the modified nucleosides of transfer RNA.

2A.1.1.10: Synthesis of $C-5$ substituted $\beta$-D- and $\beta$-L-d4T analogues (Ladurée and coworkers)

Intensive efforts in the search of effective therapies for treatment of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection have led to the discovery of $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-didehydro- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$ dideoxynucleosides(d4N) including $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-didehydro- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-dideoxythymidine ( d 4 T ) which has been already approved for the treatment of HIV infections. ${ }^{37}$ Ladurée and co-workers ${ }^{38}$ have synthesized a series of d4T analogues and evaluated in vitro for anti-HIV-1 activity in various cells. $D$-arabinose (103) on reaction with cyanamide in the presence of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ in DMF afforded the 2 -amino- $\beta$ - $D$-arabinofurano[ $\left.1^{\prime}, 2^{\prime}: 4,5\right]$ oxazoline (95). Subsequent treatment of $\mathbf{9 5}$ with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) in the presence of triethylamine in methanol yielded the $2,2^{\prime}$-anhydro-nucleoside 96 . Reaction of 96 with acetyl bromide in anhydrous acetonitrile afforded the 1-(3',5'-di-O-acetyl-2'-bromo-2'-deoxy-, $\beta$-D-ribofuranosy1)-5-(methoxycarbony1 methyl)-uracil (97) in $63 \%$ yield. The reductive $\beta$ elimination of this acetoxy-bromo intermediate with freshly activated zinc powder gave $5^{\prime}$ -

O-acetyl- $\beta$-D-d4T analogue 104. The $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-didehydro- $2^{\prime}, 3^{\prime}$-dideoxy-nucleosides 106 and 107a-d bearing a linker at C-5 position were prepared by reaction of 104 with either 6-aminohexan- 1 -ol or $1,6-1,8-1,10$ and 1,12 -alkyldiamines in the presence of dimethylaminopyridine via amide linkages by ester-amide exchange reactions of 5carbonylmethyl esters. Finally, removal of the C-5'-O-acetyl protecting group of $\mathbf{1 0 4}$ with sodium cyanide in methanol afforded the 5-(methoxycarbonylmethyl)-d4T 105 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, DMF (80\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, MeOH (61\%); (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COBr}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (63\%); (iv) Zinc dust, EtOH (54\%); (v) 6-Aminohexan-1-ol or 1,n-diaminoalkane, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (vi) NaCN , MeOH ( $85 \%$ ).

The corresponding 5-[N-(hexan-6-ol)carbamoylmethyl]- and 5-[N-(aminoalkyl)carbamoylmethyl]-, $\beta$-L-d4T analogues 106a and 108a-d ( $\mathrm{n}=6,8,10$ and 12) were prepared by a similar synthetic approach as summarized in Scheme 17. Removal of the C-5'-O-acetyl group of 104a was carried out using methanolic sodium methoxide yielding the 5-(methoxycarbonyl methyl)-, $\beta$-L-d4T analogue 105a (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NCN}, \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$ (80\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, MeOH (61\%); (iii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COBr}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (63\%); (iv) Zinc dust, EtOH (54\%); (v) 6-Aminohexan-1-ol or 1,n-diaminoalkane, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$; (vi) NaOMe , MeOH ( $85 \%$ ).

## 2A.1.1.11: Synthesis of mono- and di-deuterated (2S,3S)-3-methylaspartic acids (Marsh

 and co-workers)Isotope effects provide an extremely powerful tool to probe the mechanisms of chemical reactions and have proved particularly useful for investigating enzyme mechanisms. ${ }^{39}$ Marsh and co-workers ${ }^{40}$ have synthesized mono- and di-deuterated (2S,3S)-3-


Scheme 19. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 12 h (80\%); (ii) NBS, AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h (78\%); (iii) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnD}$, AIBN, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (50\%); (iv) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}(1: 2)$, rt, 8 h (64\%); (v) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \beta$-methylaspartate, 15 h (50\%).
methylaspartic acids and used for the mechanistic investigations of the adenosyl cobalamin-dependent enzyme, glutamate mutase. Methylmaleic acid 109 was converted into its dimethyl ester 34 which was brominatated using N -bromosuccinimide and a catalytic amount (10\%) of AIBN as a radical initiator to give dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1). Introduction of deuterium was accomplished by reductive debromination using tributyltin deuteride in dry benzene at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $10 \%$ AIBN as a radical initiator. This gave the mono-deuterated dimethyl methylfumarate $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in $50 \%$ yield. Further, the ester was hydrolyzed using lithium hydroxide to yield after acidification mono-deuterated methylfumaric acid 111 in $64 \%$ yield. Which is enzymatically converted to the mono-deuterated ( $2 S, 3 S$ )-3-methylaspartic acids $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ (Scheme 19). Mesaconic acid incorporating two deuterium atoms in the methyl group was synthesized by an analogous strategy starting with itaconic acid. Itaconic acid 113 was dissolved in $40 \% \mathrm{NaOD} / \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and heating at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 90 min . The resulting $d_{4}$-mesaconic acid was converted to dimethyl ester 114, and then to deuterated dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (115) as described above. Reduction with tributyl-tin hydride yielded the dimethyl ester of mesaconate 116, containing two deuterium atoms in the methyl group, which was then hydrolyzed to give $\left(2-{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{1}\right.$, methyl- $\left.{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$-mesaconic acid 117. Which is enzymatically converted to the dideuterated (2S,3S)-3-methylaspartic acids 118 (Scheme 20).



Scheme 20. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) (a) $40 \% \mathrm{NaOD}, \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \mathrm{~min}$, (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 12 h ( $80 \%$ ); (ii) NBS, AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h (78\%); (iii) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (50\%); (iv) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{THF}$ (1:2), rt, 8 h (64\%); (v) $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}, \beta$-methylaspartate, $15 \mathrm{~h}(50 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.12: Synthesis of C-13-substituted retinoic acid analogues (Welch et al)

Retinoic acid (vitamin A acid) and its analogues have received considerable attention for their importance in controlling the normal growth, development, and differentiation of epithelial cells. ${ }^{41}$ Welch et al ${ }^{42}$ have synthesized retinoic acid anolog by the deprotonation of sulfone $\mathbf{1 1 9}{ }^{43,44}$ with alkyl lithium reagent ( $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ or MeLi) or lithium diisopropylamide (LDA) followed by addition of bromide $\mathbf{1}$ effects alkylation. Elimination of benzenesulfonic acid to give retinoid $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ is most conveniently accomplished by direct treatment with sodium methoxide (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaOMe}(17 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.13: Synthesis of substituted indolizines (Sasaki et al)

Sasaki et $a l^{45}$ have reported the synthesis of pyridinium allylides and used it for the synthesis indolizine derivatives. The starting material pyridine derivatives 121 and dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) were mixed in benzene at room temperature, the corresponding pyridinium salts $\mathbf{1 2 2}$ were obtained in quantitative yield. The pyridinium salts were treated with an excess of potassium carbonate in benzene at room temperature, they underwent intramolecular 1,5- dipolar cyclisation followed by dehydrogenation to give the corresponding 1,2-bismethoxycarbonylindolizine derivatives 123 (Scheme 22).


Scheme 22. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, rt, 1-2 days; (ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{rt}, 1$ day (20-45\%).

## 2A.1.1.14: Synthesis of aconic acid (Campbel et al)

Aconic acid (127) has been synthesized by Campbel et al by the bromination of the methyl group of diethyl mesaconate (124) by using $N$-bromosuccinimide, dibenzoyl peroxide, replacement of the bromine by an acetoxy group under mild conditions, hydrolysis with barium hydroxide, and cyclisation by warming with water (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) NBS, DBP, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 1 h (72\%); (ii) $\mathrm{KOAc}, \mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux, 1 h (78\%); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{Ba}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux 1.5 h (b) $5 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 70$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(30 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.15: Synthesis of bromomesaconic acid (Laursen et al)

Bromomesaconic acid and its substrate analogs are potent active site specific irreversible inhibitor of fumarase. ${ }^{46}$ Laursen et al have prepared bromomesaconic acid $\mathbf{1 3 1}$ by the bromination of di-t-butyl methylfumarate $\mathbf{1 2 9}$, prepared by the action of $t$ - BuONa on the acid chloride 128 using $N$-bromosuccinimide, produced the bromo ester 130. Hydrolysis of 130 in $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ gave bromomesaconic acid 131 (Scheme 24).


Scheme 24. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $t$-BuONa, reflux, 1.5 h (69\%); (ii) NBS, DBP, $\mathrm{MgO}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ reflux, 1 h (85\%); (iii) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux, 5 h (50\%).

## 2A.1.1.16: Synthesis of alkylitaconic acids (Watanabe and co-workers)

Watanabe and co-workers ${ }^{47}$ have synthesized alkylitaconic acid from dimethyl bromomethylfumarate and analyzed the physicochemical and redox properties of these alkylitaconic acids. Dimethyl bromomethylfumatrate on Grignard reaction with alkylmagnesium bromide gave the corresponding itaconates 132a,b which on
demethylation using formic acid, hydroquinone as polymerization inhibitor and sulfuric acid gave the corresponding alkylitaconic acids 133a,b (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{RMgBr}, \mathrm{LiCuBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt (35-49\%); (ii) HCOOH , hydroquinone, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(48-51 \%)$.

2A.1.1.17: Synthesis of 4-methoxycarbonyl-2(5H)-furanone (Amri and co-workers)
Amri and co-workers ${ }^{48}$ have reported the synthesis of 4-methoxycarbonyl-2(5H)-furanone (135) by the formylation of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1), followed by acid catalysed transesterification in methanol to give the corresponding substituted furanone in $67 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 26).


Scheme 26. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) TEAF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $80 \%$ ); (ii) Conc. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(84 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.18: Synthesis of $\alpha$-alkylidene- $\gamma$-lactams (Amri and co-workers)

2,3-Dimethoxycarbonylbutadienes are useful intermediates in organic synthesis. ${ }^{49}$ Amri and co-workers ${ }^{50}$ have reported the synthesis of functional 1,3-butadienes and their conversion into heterocyclic compounds such as $\gamma$-lactams. Dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) reacts with nitroalkanes in the presence of base to furnish (E)-1-alkyl-2,3-dimethoxycarbonyl butadienes 137 in good yields (Scheme 27, Table 4).

## Scheme 27



Table 4. Preparation of difunctional buta-1,3-dienes 137a,b-( $E$ )

| 1,3-Butadiene $\mathbf{1 3 7}$ | Time (h) | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 3 7 a}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 4 | 70 |
| $\mathbf{1 3 7}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 1 | 96 |

$\alpha$-Alkylidene- $\gamma$-lactams show cytotoxicity, anti-tumor and anti-inflammation activities. ${ }^{51}$ The reaction of $\mathbf{1 3 7} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ with primary amines takes place via conjugate addition followed by an intermolecular cyclization and displacement reaction leading to the formation of the corresponding $\alpha$-alkylidene- $\gamma$-lactams 139 in good yields (Scheme 28, Table 5).

Scheme 28


Table 5. Synthesis of the $\alpha$-alkylidene- $\gamma$-lactams ( $E$ )-139a-h

| R | $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}$ | Time (h) | $\gamma$-Lactam 139 | Yields (\%) ${ }^{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 a}$ | 63 |
| Me | ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 b}$ | 75 |
| Me | ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 c}$ | 80 |
| Me | ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 16 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 d}$ | 72 |
| Et | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 8 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 e}$ | 80 |
| Et | ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}$ | 5 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 f}$ | 64 |
| Et | ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 4 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 g}$ | 87 |
| Et | ${ }^{i} \mathrm{Pr}$ | 12 | $\mathbf{1 3 9 h}$ | 70 |

All reactions were carried out in 10 mmol scale of conjugated diene 137.
${ }^{a}$ Yield of isolated $\gamma$-lactam 139 after silica gel chromatography (AcOEt:hexane $=1: 1$ ).

2A.1.1.19: Synthesis of dimethyl 3-alkyl itaconates and 2-alkyl 3-carbomethoxy- $\gamma$-lactams (Amri and co-workers)
Amri and co-workers ${ }^{52}$ have reported the synthesis of $\beta$-alkylated itaconates 140 (Scheme 26) and $\alpha$-alkyl- $\beta$-carbomethoxy- $\gamma$-butyrolactams 142. Alkylmagnesium halide in the presence of a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{LiCuBr}_{2}$, reacts spontaneously and regioselectively to give the corresponding 3-substituted dimethyl itaconates $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ with satisfactory yields as indicated in (Scheme 29, Table 6).

Scheme 29


Table 6. Synthesis of 3-substituted dimethyl itaconates 140a-j ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | Reagents (equiv.) <br> $\mathrm{RMgX}+5 \% \mathrm{cu}(\mathrm{I})^{*}$ | Adducts 140a-j | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{MgI}(1.2)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 a}$ | 60 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}(1.2)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 b}$ | 73 |
| 4 | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.3)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 c}$ | 78 |
| 5 | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.3)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 d}$ | 79 |
| 6 | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.7)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 e}$ | 43 |
| 7 | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.2)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 f}$ | 80 |
| 8 | $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.4)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 g}$ | 75 |
| 9 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgCl}_{(1.2)}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 h}$ | 53 |
| 10 | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{MgCl}(1.4)$ | $\mathbf{1 4 0 i}$ | 74 |

All reactions were carried out in 10 mmol scale of allylic bromide $\mathbf{1}$. $*$ Solution of $\mathrm{LiCuBr}_{2}$ ( I M) in THF was used. ${ }^{a}$ Products 140a-i were isolated as yellow liquids after column chromatography ( $10 \% \mathrm{AcOEt}$ in hexane) except $\mathbf{1 4 0 j}$ which was distilled.

The reaction of primary amines with 3-alkylated itaconic esters $\mathbf{1 4 0}$ proceeds through a conjugate addition/lactamization sequence leading to the diastereoselective formation of $\alpha$ -alkyl- $\beta$-carbomethoxy $\gamma$-lactams 142 (Scheme 30) with moderate to good yields (Table 7).

## Scheme 30



Table 7. Synthesis of $\alpha$-alkyl- $\beta$-methoxycarbonyl- $\gamma$-lactams 142b-j

| 3-Alkylated itaconates 140 | R | $\begin{gathered} \gamma \text {-Lactams 142b- } \\ \mathbf{j} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | \% Cis/trans | Yield (\%)* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 140b | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 142b | 23/77 | 60 |
| 140c | $n-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | 142c | 13/87 | 54 |
| 140e | $i-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 142e | 0/100 | 37 |
| $140 f$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 142 f | 22/78 | 83 |
| 140 g | $t-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 142g | 47/53 | $50^{\text {a }}$ |
| 140i | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 142i | 19/81 | 77 |
| 140j | $o-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 142j | 28/72 | 55 |

All reactions were carried out in 10 mmol scale of 3-alkyl itaconate 140. * Yield of isolated lactams 142 after silica gel chromatography ( $30 \%$ AcOEt in hexane), ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The lactamization of $\mathbf{1 4 2 g}$ was carried out in bromobenzene at reflux.

2A.1.1.20: Synthesis of (E/Z)-1-alkyl-2,3,dimethoxycarbonyl-1,3-butadienes (Amri and coworkers)
Amri and co-workers ${ }^{53}$ have reported the synthesis of 1-alkyl-2,3-dimethoxycarbonyl-1,3butadienes by using Wittig-Horner reaction. Dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) on reaction with diethyl phosphite anion exclusively furnished the phosphonate $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ in excellent yield. The Wittig-Horner reaction of $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ with aldehydes using an aqueous potassium carbonate solution as base leads to the functional 1,3-butadienes 144a-f with good stereoselectivities (Scheme 31, Table 8).


Scheme 31. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{NaPO}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(80 \%)$; (ii) RCHO, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, THF, reflux (60-71\%).

Table 8. Synthesis of (E/Z)-1-alkyl-2,3,dimethoxycarbonyl-1,3-butadienes 144a-f

| 1,3-Dienes ${ }^{c} \mathbf{1 4 4}$ | R | $\% E / Z^{a}$ | ${\text { Yield }(\%)^{b}}^{\text {144a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 4 4 b}$ | H | - | 71 |
| 144c | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $78 / 22$ | 66 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 4 d}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | $82 / 18$ | 62 |
| $\mathbf{1 4 4 e}$ | $\mathrm{nC}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}$ | $80 / 20$ | 67 |
| 144f | $\mathrm{iC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | $70 / 30$ | 67 |
| $\mathrm{nC}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $75 / 25$ | 68 |  |

${ }^{a}$ The $E$ and $Z$ configuration are assigned on the basis of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR chemical-shift data. The isomer ratio for a given reaction was initially deduced from NMR on the crude reaction mixture and C.P G analysis.
${ }^{5}$ Yields of isolated 1,3-dienes $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ after silica gel chromatography ( $30 \%$ EtOAc in Hexane).
${ }^{\text {c }}$ All the products gave satisfactory spectral analysis IR, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, and mass data.

## 2A.1.1.21: Synthesis of functionalized allylamines (Amri and co-workers)

Allylamines constitute an important class of compounds not only for their utility in organic synthesis but also for their biological activities. ${ }^{54}$ Amri and co-workers ${ }^{55}$ have reported the synthesis of a new functional allylamines via an effective coupling of secondary amines and dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1). The reaction of $\mathbf{1}$ with excess secondary amine leads to the corresponding secondary allylamino substrates $\mathbf{1 4 6 k}$-I or $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$-type products 145a-j that contain terminal methylene group in very high yields (Scheme 32, Table 9). Authors have not mentioned any specific reason for the formation of $\mathbf{1 4 5}$ and 146.

Scheme 32


Table 9. Synthesis of $\alpha$-(alkylamino) acrylic and fumaric esters 145a-j and 146k-I

| Product | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | Time (h) | Temp ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 145a | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 12 | 25 | $86^{a}$ |
| 145b | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 24 | 25 | $93^{b}$ |
| 145c | $c-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $c-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 36 | 25 | $90^{a}$ |
| 145d | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $c-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 28 | 25 | $90^{c}$ |
| 145e | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 2 | 25 | $87^{b}$ |
| $145 f$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | 24 | 25 | $90^{b}$ |
| 145g | $-\mathrm{CHMe}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ | - | 25 | 25 | $71^{\text {b }}$ |
| 145h | - $\mathrm{CHMe}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CHMe}-$ | - | 2 | -70 | $69^{\text {b,d }}$ |
| 145i | $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ - $\mathrm{O}-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}{ }^{-}$ | - | 2 | -70 | $79^{\text {b,d }}$ |
| 145j | Et | Et | 12 | 25 | $82^{a}$ |
| 146k | Me | Ph | 48 | 25 | $87^{b}$ |
| 1461 | $-\mathrm{CMe}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CMe}_{2}-$ | - | 2 | 150 | $60^{a, c}$ |

Excess of amine is used: ${ }^{a} 4$ Eqniv.; ${ }^{b} 2$ Eqniv. ; ${ }^{c} 3$ Equiv. ${ }^{d, e}$ Reactions were carried out respectively at $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in boiling benzene bromide.

## 2A.1.1.22: Synthesis of pyrrolin-2-ones (Amri and co-workers)

Pyrrolin-2-ones are potentially useful intermediates for the synthesis of biologically active compounds like aza-sarkomycin ${ }^{56}$ and porphirins. ${ }^{57}$ Amri and co-workers ${ }^{5}$ have reported


Scheme 33. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}$, reflux, $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ (1 equiv.); (ii) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}$, reflux; (iii) $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}$ (2 equiv.), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Br}$, reflux.
the synthesis of pyrrolin-2-ones by using the addition of primary amines to dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1). Addition of 1 equiv. of primary amine to dimethyl bromomethylfumarate gave a mixture of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ allylic substituted compound 147 and the pyrorolin-2-ones in moderate yield. While the addition of second equivalent of amine to this mixture furnished pyrrolin-2-ones 150a-g good yield (Scheme 33, Table 10).

Table 10. 4-Methoxycabonyl-1- $\Delta^{3}$-pyrrolin-2-ones 150a-g

| Compound | R | Yields (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 a}$ |  |  |
| 150b | $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 66 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 c}$ | $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 50 |
| 150d | $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ | 90 |
| 150e | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 52 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 f}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2}$ | 70 |
| $\mathbf{1 5 0 g}$ | $p-\mathrm{ClC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 80 |

${ }^{a}$ Yield of isolated crystalline products $\mathbf{1 5 0 a - g}$ based on 1.

## 2A.1.1.23: Synthesis of functionalized homoallylsilanes (Amri and co-workers)

Amri and co-workers ${ }^{58}$ have synthesized functionalized homoallylsilane compound $\mathbf{1 5 1}$ via a nucleophilic Michael addition of silylcuprate to dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (Scheme 34).


Scheme 34. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgCl}^{2}, \mathrm{LiCuBr}_{2}, \mathrm{THF},-45{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (66\%).

## 2A.1.1.24: Synthesis of $\beta, \beta$-disubstituted acrylates (Caló et al)

Caló et $\mathrm{al}^{59}$ have reported the synthesis of $\beta, \beta$-disubstituted acrylates. Diethyl bromomethylfumarate on reaction with benzothiazole in presence of potassium carbonate gave the corresponding allylic sulphide 152 which on reaction with organomagnesium compound in presence of CuBr or CuI gave the $\beta, \beta$-disubstituted acrylate 153 (Scheme 35).


Scheme 35. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Btz-SH, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (ii) $n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{CuBr}$, THF, $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}(95 \%)$.

## 2A.1.1.25: Synthesis of symmetrical and unsymmetrical organic sulphides (Schneider and

 co-workers)Schneider and co-workers ${ }^{60}$ have reported the synthesis of a variety of unsymmetrical methyl and ethyl sulphides, by the treatment of appropriate organic halides or the corresponding sulphonium salts with an excess of dimethyl or diethyl sulphides. Dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (1) on treatment with excess of dimethyl sulphide gave allylic sulphide (155). However, $\mathbf{1 5 5}$ has been synthesized in quantitative yield under non-basic conditions by heating the corresponding dimethylsulphonium salt (154) with an excess of dimethyl sulphide in $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}$ (Scheme 36).


Scheme 36. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Excess $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, 6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h (79\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(100 \%)$.

## 2A.2. Summary

In summary, the dimethyl bromomethylfumarate has six alternate sites available for nucleophilic reactions, viz (i) two ester carbonyls for 1,2-additions (ii) two sites for Michael addition (iii) allylic bromo atom for nucleophilic substitution reaction (iv) one site for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction. All the reactive sites have been extensively used for the construction of variety of heterocyclic structures. The nucleophilic substitution of bromine, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reactions of different Grignard reagents, Wittig reagents, different nucleophiles and the Diels-Alder reactions with dialkyl bromomethylfumarates have been extensively used for the synthesis of several bioactive natural products and unnatural compounds. The concise account on the reactions of dialkyl bromomethylfumarate clearly demonstrate an impression about its synthetic utility and further scope in synthetic organic chemistry.
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## 2B. Section B: Synthesis and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$ Grignard Coupling Reactions with Dialkyl Bromomethylfumarate

## 2B.1. Synthesis of Dimethyl Bromomethylfumarate

The reaction of citraconic anhydride with methanol $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ under reflux gave the desired diester $\mathbf{1}$ in $75 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1}$ The diester 1 on treatment with NBS/AIBN in refluxing carbon tetrachloride underwent smooth allylic bromination to yield bromodiester 3 in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{2}$ The high difference in vinylic proton signal in product $\mathbf{3}$ and starting material $\mathbf{1}$ (vinylic proton signal appears at 6.83 and 5.84 for $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{1}$ respectively) forced us to investigate whether an in situ isomerization accompanied the allylic bromination. The process of isomerization of $(Z)$-isomer to $(E)$-isomer along with allylic bromination was confirmed by obtaining the same product $\mathbf{3}$ from the corresponding dimethyl methylfumarate (2) under the same set of reaction conditions (Scheme 1). (vinylic proton signal appeared at 6.83 and 6.79 ppm , when the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ of $\mathbf{3}$ was recorded in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ respectively for compounds obtained from both the starting materials). In Section 2B. 2 we have described in detail the NBS-DBP/AIBN induced $Z$ - to $E$ - carbon-carbon double bond isomerization with several types of olefins having a variety of substituents.


Scheme 1. Reagent, condition and yield: (i) NBS, AIBN, CCl $_{4}$, reflux 12 h ( $85 \%$ ).

## 2B.2. $N$-Bromosuccinimide-Dibenzoyl Peroxide/ Azobis-isobutyronitrile: A Reagent for $Z$ - to $\boldsymbol{E}$-Alkene Isomerization

## 2B.2.1. Background

The generation of carbon-carbon double bonds in geometrically pure form is one of the most important reactions in synthetic organic chemistry ${ }^{3}$ and many elegant methods are known in the literature for achieving the same. ${ }^{4}$ However, in many of these reactions, such as Wittig reaction or olifine metathesis, mixtures of $Z$ - and $E$-alkenes are also formed ${ }^{4,5}$
and radical ${ }^{6}$ or photochemical ${ }^{7}$ reactions have been used to transform the $Z$-isomers to the corresponding $E$-isomers. Photochemical methods for these isomerizations, with or without sensitization, are often not satisfactory as the reactions are very slow and give rise to undesired product. Several methods involving catalysis by diaryl disulfide, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{c}}$ iodine, ${ }^{7 \mathrm{a}}$ $\mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}^{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~B}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph} \text { or } n-\mathrm{Bu})^{6 \mathrm{~d}}$ are reported in the literature. Amongst them the former two got widespread applicability over a range of substrates. Isomerization of $(Z)$-stilbene to the $E$-isomer and dimethyl maleate to dimethyl fumarate are usually catalyzed by bromine via the reversible addition of a bromine radical to the double bond. ${ }^{8}$ Spencer et al. have demonstrated $^{9}$ a facile palladium(II)-catalyzed isomerization of $Z$-arylalkenes to $E$ arylalkenes, and have used this methodology in the synthesis of trimethoxy resveratrol ${ }^{10}$ (Figure 1) to demonstrate its synthetic utility. The high biological importance of resveratrol

Figure 1


R = Me; Trimethoxy resveratrol (4)
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$; Resveratrol (5)
prompted them to synthesize it as a single geometrical isomer and they achieved it by first synthesizing it via Wittig reaction in $92 \%$ yield ( $1: 1$ mixture of $Z$ - and $E$-isomers) followed by isomerization of the obtained mixture to $E$-isomer in $94 \%$ yield. Recently, Pemak and co-workers ${ }^{11}$ have reported the isomerization of $(Z)$ - to $(E)$-alkene in protic, imidazolium ionic liquids. While, Jung and co-workers ${ }^{12}$ have reported a efficient synthetic method for producing geometrically pure $(E)$-alkenes from $(Z)$-alkenes using palladium acetate, tributyltin hydride, and triethylamine.

The provision of a new method for isomerization of $Z$-olefins to $E$-olefins is a task of current interest and in this context; we herein present our studies on an easy access to several types of geometrically pure $E$-olefins using $N$-bromosuccinimide-dibenzoyl peroxide/azobisisobutyronitrile (NBS-DBP/AIBN) as a reagent for $Z$ to $E$ isomerization.

## 2B.2.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

In our on going studies on the synthesis of recently isolated bioactive natural products, we carried out the reaction of dimethyl methylmaleate (1) with NBS-AIBN and obtained dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3) in $85 \%$ yield. Both allylic bromination and isomerization of the carbon-carbon double bond took place in one-pot via an in situ addition-elimination of the bromine radical, which was further confirmed by obtaining the same product from dimethyl methylfumarate (2) (Table 1, Entry 3). On the basis of this observation, we prepared a systematic plan to study the NBS-DBP/AIBN induced $Z$ - to $E$ -carbon-carbon double bond isomerization with several types of olefins having variety of substituents. The results are presented in Scheme 1 and Table 1. Maleic acid (4), on treatment with NBS-DBP in refluxing acetic acid, gave fumaric acid (5) in $90 \%$ yield, while dimethyl maleate (6), on treatment with NBS-AIBN in refluxing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ gave dimethyl fumarate (7) in $98 \%$ yield (Entries 1 and 2). The process of carbon-carbon

Scheme 1


Z-Alkenes E-Alkenes
$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me} ; \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$, Alkyl, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{CHBr}_{2}, \mathrm{Ph}$, Aryl, $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CONHAr}$
double bond isomerization was found to be slow in tetrasubstituted dimethyl dimethylmaleate (8) using 1.1 equivalents of NBS while the use of 2.5 equivalent of NBS gave a 1:1 mixture of dimethyl dibromomethylmaleate and dimethyl dibromomethylfumarate (9) in 96\% yield (Entry 4). Interestingly, dimethyl methoxymaleate (10), on treatment with NBS-DBP in refluxing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, did not show any reaction. On the contrary, the corresponding dimethyl methoxyfumarate (11) underwent very smooth carbon-carbon double bond isomerization to yield dimethyl methoxymaleate (10) in $92 \%$ yield, revealing that the $E$-isomer is thermodynamically more stable than the $Z$-isomer, probably due to the presence of the extended conjugation involving the lone pairs of electrons on oxygen atom in the -OMe group of the $E$-isomer (Entries 5 and 6). Methyl maleanilate (12) and methyl Z-cinnamate (14) under similar reaction conditions gave the corresponding $E$-products in $90 \%$ and $96 \%$ yields respectively (Entries 7 and 8).

As expected, methyl $Z$-oleate (16) on treatment with NBS-DBP in refluxing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ gave the methyl $E$-dibromooleate (17) in $95 \%$ yield, while under the same set of reaction conditions

Table 1. NBS-DBP/AIBN Induced carbon-carbon double bond isomerizations

| Sr. No. | Starting Material ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Reaction Conditions | Product | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Yield } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  <br> 4 | NBS (2.0 eqv.), DBP, AcOH, reflux, 6 h |  <br> 5 | 90 |
| 2 |  <br> 6 | NBS (1.1 eqv.), AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 1 h |  <br> 7 | 98 |
| 3 |  <br> 1 | NBS (1.5 eqv.), AIBN, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 12 h |  <br> 3 | 85 |
| 4 |  <br> 8 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NBS (2.5 eqv.), DBP, } \\ \mathrm{CCl}_{4} \text {, reflux, } 2 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |  | $46^{\text {b }}$ |
| 5 |  <br> 10 | NBS (2.0 eqv.), DBP, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 8 h | No Reaction | 0 |
| 6 |  | NBS (2.0 eqv.), DBP, AcOH, reflux, 8 h |  <br> 10 | 92 |
| 7 |  <br> 12 | NBS (4.0 eqv.), DBP, $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, 10 h |  | 90 |
| 8 |  <br> 14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NBS (1.1 eqv.), AIBN, } \\ \mathrm{CCl}_{4} \text {, reflux, } 2 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |  | 96 |
| 9 |  <br> 16 | $\begin{gathered} \text { NBS (2.5 eqv.), DBP, } \\ \mathrm{CCl}_{4} \text {, reflux, } 4 \mathrm{~h} \end{gathered}$ |  <br> 17 | 95 |
| 10 |  <br> 18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NBS (2.0 eqv.), DBP, } \\ & \mathrm{CCl}_{4} \text {, reflux, } 3 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |  <br> 19 | $\sim 100$ |
| 11 |  <br> 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NBS (1.1 eqv.), DBP, } \\ & \mathrm{CCl}_{4} \text {, reflux, } 2 \mathrm{~h} \end{aligned}$ |  | $60^{\text {c }}$ |

[^0]$Z$-stilbene (18) was transformed into $E$-stilbene (19) in $\sim 100 \%$ yield (Entries 9 and 10). ZTrimethoxystilbene (20), on treatment with NBS (1.1 equiv.) and DBP (catalytic) in refluxing $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ gave the trimethoxy derivative of bioactive resveratrol $(\mathbf{2 1})^{10}$ in $60 \%$ yield
and due to the mesomeric effect of the methoxy groups, formation of mixtures of ring brominated $E$-trimethoxystilbene derivatives was also observed in $10-15 \%$ yield (Entry 11). As indicated in Table 3, we could isomerize different types of olefins with a variety of substituent patterns from $Z$ - to $E$ - forms using NBS-DBP/AIBN and the present method is simple and efficient.

## 2B.3. Synthesis of Gymnoascolide A

## 2B.3.1. Background

Recently gymnoascolides A-C (22, 23a/23b) were isolated from the Australian soil ascomycete Gymnoascus reessii ${ }^{13}$ and Malbranchea filamentosa IFM41300. ${ }^{14}$ The 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride was isolated from Aspergillus nidulans. ${ }^{15}$ Gymnoascolides A-C possess moderate activity against the pathogenic plant fungus Septoria nodorum. ${ }^{13}$ Gymnoascolide A also possesses vasodilatory activity and it inhibits $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ induced vasocintraction in aortic rings pretreated with high $\mathrm{K}^{+}$or norepinephrine. ${ }^{14}$ The 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride possesses plant growth regulatory activity and it effectively accelerates the root elongation of radish seedlings. ${ }^{15}$ The gymnoascolides possess possess a rare structural motif, only a few examples are known in the literature


Gymnoascolide A (22) ${ }^{13}$


Gymnoascolide $\mathrm{B}(23 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{B} \text {-OMe, } \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OH})^{13}$ Gymnoascolide $\mathrm{C}(23 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{X}=\alpha-\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{OH})^{13}$


Eutypoid A (24) ${ }^{16}$


Microperfuranone (25) ${ }^{17}$

Figure 2. Naturally occurring bioactive butenolactones 22-25
such as eutypoid A (24), isolated from a south China sea marine fungus of the genus Eutypa $^{16}$ and microperfuranone (25), isolated from terrestrial fungi Anixiella micropertusa ${ }^{17}$ (Figure 2).

## 2B.3.1.1: Momose's approach towards 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride

Momose and co-workers ${ }^{18}$ have reported the synthesis of 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride. The stobe condensation of dimethyl phenylsuccinate (26) ${ }^{19}$ with benzaldehyde followed by hydrolysis with potassium hydroxide in aqueous ethanol gave the corresponding dicarboxylic acid 27, which on heating in acetic anhydride gave 2-phenyl-3benzylmaleic anhydride (28) in 23\% overall yield (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{PhCHO}, t-\mathrm{BuOK}$; (ii) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux (23\%).

In order to study the structure-activity relationship of these types of natural and unnatural small size molecules, a flexible synthetic approach that will allow mono/dialkyl/allyl/benzyl/aryl functionalization of both the vinylic carbons, with the presence of several types of heteroatoms in five membered ring needs to be developed.

## 2B.3.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

The dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3) has six alternate sites available for nucleophilic reactions, viz (i) two ester carbonyls for 1,2-additions (ii) two sites for Michael addition (iii) allylic bromo atom for nucleophilic substitution reaction (iv) one site for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction (Figure 3).


Figure 3

We envisaged the use of $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction on dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3) as a key step for the stepwise construction of natural product gymnoascolides. The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of phenylmagnesium bromide with $\mathbf{3}$ exclusively gave the desired arylalkylidenesuccinic acid diester 29 in $73 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). The base catalyzed hydrolysis of diester $\mathbf{2 9}$ to diacid $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $92 \%$ ) followed by acetic anhydride induced ring closure gave the expected anhydride $\mathbf{3 1}$ in nearly $100 \%$ yield. In this reaction, both the formation of succinic anhydride intermediate and carbon-carbon double bond migration took place in one-pot. The NBS-bromination of the allylic carbon in the anhydride $\mathbf{3 1}$ furnished the required bromoanhydride $\mathbf{3 2}$ in $80 \%$ yield. We did not observe any phenyl ring bromination under our reaction conditions. The chemoselective allylic substitution of the bromo atom in anhydride 32 with phenylmagnesium bromide gave the 2-phenyl-3benzylmaleic anhydride (28) in $45 \%$ yield. In anhydride 28, one of the carbonyl groups is in conjugation with the phenyl ring, while the other one is sterically hindered because of an adjacent phenyl ring. Hence the regeioselective reduction of one of the carbonyls to obtain 22 is a challenging task. In our hands the sodium borohydride reduction of anhydride 28 was not selective and we obtained an inseparable mixture of both the regioisomers in $74 \%$ yield (desired:undesired $=1: 2$, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR). Fortunately, N -selectride regioselectively reduced the unhindered carbonyl in anhydride 28 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and exclusively furnished the natural product gymnoascolide A (22) in $90 \%$ yield. The analytical and spectral data obtained for these natural products 28 and 22 ware in complete agreement with the reported data. ${ }^{13-15,18}$


Scheme 3. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 1.50 equiv.), THF, HMPA, 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(73 \%)$; (ii) (a) LiOH ( 10.00 equiv.), $\mathrm{THF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(92 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $1.5 \mathrm{~h}(\sim 100 \%)$; (iv) NBS ( 1.50 equiv.), dibenzoyl peroxide ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}(80 \%)$; (v) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 5.00 equiv.), THF, $\mathrm{HMPA}, \mathrm{CuI}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}(45 \%)$; (vi) N-Selectride ( 3.00 equiv.), THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}(90 \%$ ).

## 2B.4. Synthesis of Natural Cytotoxic Camphorataimides B and C

## 2B.4.1. Background

Recently camphorataanhydride/imides were isolated from the mycelium of Antrodia camphorata and the imides 33b,c showed appreciable cytotoxic effects on LLC tumor cells. ${ }^{20}$ The analogous himanimides A-D possessing antimicrobial and antifungal activities


Camphorataanhydride $\mathrm{A}\left[33 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{20}$ Camphorataimide $\mathrm{B}\left[33 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{20}$
Camphorataimide C [33c, $\left.\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NOH}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{20}$ Himanimide $\mathrm{A}\left[33 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}{ }^{21}\right.$
Himanimide $\mathrm{C}\left[33 \mathrm{e}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{NOH}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right]^{21}$


Camphorataimide D $\left[34 a, R=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]^{20}$ Himanimide D [34b, R = $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right]^{21}$
这


Camphorataimide $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{3 5})^{20}$



Figure 4. Naturally occurring bioactive camphorata/himan-anhydride/imides 33-36
were recently isolated from basidomycete culture of Serpata himantoides ${ }^{21}$ (Figure 4). The biological screening studies of these naturally occurring anhydrides/imides 33-36 revealed that their activity is linked to the $N$-hydroxylated maleimide moiety. ${ }^{20,21}$

## 2B.4.1.1: Synthetic approachs towards himanimide $C$ and camphorataanhydride/imides

## [A] Selles's approach towards himanimide C

Patrice Selles has reported ${ }^{22}$ a flexible approach to the himanimide scaffold using a copper mediated tandem vicinal difunctionalization of dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (DMAD) as a key step. ${ }^{23,24}$ The reaction of benzyl magnesium chloride (38) with DMAD (37) in the presence of $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ followed by iodine addition gave the tetrasubstituted vinyl iodide 39 as a single isomer in $50 \%$ yield. The compound 39 on Suzuki cross coupling reaction ${ }^{25}$ with boronic acid 40 furnished the diester 41, which was readily transformed by the complete saponification-cyclization-imide formation sequence into the himanimide C 33e (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{CuBr} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, $\mathrm{THF},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{I}_{2},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt (50\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(2.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$, toluene/ $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}\left(2 \mathrm{M}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right): 3 / 1 / 1$, reflux, 2 h (72\%); (iii) (a) 2 N NaOH , reflux, 4 h , (b) 1 N HCl , rt, (c) Hydroxylamine phosphate, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 7 h ( $25 \%$ ).

## [B] Stewart's approach towards camphorataanhydride/imides

Recently Stewart et al ${ }^{26}$ have reported the synthesis of camphorataanhydride/imides by using the Negishi and Suzuki cross coupling reactions. Bromomaleic anhydride (42) was converted to the $N$-benzyl derivative 43. The corresponding metal mediated conjugate substitution reaction using the Negishi $^{27}$ protocol gave alkyl maleimide 44 in $49 \%$ yield. Bromination of $\mathbf{4 4}$ using bromine and a catalytic amount of aluminium tribromide afforded vinyl bromide 45. Suzuki cross coupling reaction ${ }^{28}$ of $\mathbf{4 5}$ with boronic acid $\mathbf{4 0}$ furnished disubstituted imide 46, which on hydrolysis and cyclization gave the natural product camphorataanhydride A (33a). The synthesis of the natural product camphorataimide B (33b) was completed by treatment of anhydride 33a with urea to afford 33b in $60 \%$ yield. Similarly, the treatment of 33a with $N$-hydroxylamine hydrochloride gave camphorataimide C (33c) in 79\% yield (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{AcOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ (83\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, t$ - $\mathrm{BuZnBr}, \mathrm{THF}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}(49 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{AlBr}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (55\%); (iv) 8, $\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{dba})_{3}, \mathrm{HP}(t-\mathrm{Bu})_{3} \mathrm{BF}_{4}, \mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}$, dioxane, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}(56 \%)$; (v) (a) KOH , THF/MeOH (1:2), $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, (b) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $63 \%$ ); (vi) Urea, $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $60 \%$ ); (vii) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $12 \mathrm{~h}(79 \%)$.

We feel that these simple natural products 33-36 are of interest as new leads. To study the structure-activity relationship of these type of natural and unnatural molecules, a flexible
synthetic approach that will allow mono/di-alkyl/allyl/benzyl/aryl functionalization of both the available carbons with several types of heteroatoms in five membered ring is essential.

## 2B.4.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

We envisaged dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (3) as a potential starting material for the stepwise construction of natural products 33a-c and their various analogs. The chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of p-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide with $\mathbf{3}$ exclusively gave the desired arylalkylidenesuccinic diester 47 in $73 \%$ yield (Scheme 6). The base catalyzed hydrolysis of diester $\mathbf{4 7}$ to diacid $\mathbf{4 8}$ followed by acetic anhydride


Scheme 6. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 1.50 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}\left(73 \%\right.$ ); (ii) (a) LiOH (10 equiv.), THF + $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), rt, 18 h , (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ ( $92 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 1.5 h ( $\sim 100 \%$ ); (iv) NBS ( 1.50 equiv.), DBP ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}\left(80 \%\right.$ ); (v) $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 5 equiv.), CuI ( 0.10 equiv.), THF, $\mathrm{HMPA},-5$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $45 \%$ ); (vi) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (5 equiv.), DCM, -78 to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $91 \%$ ); (vii) 3,3-Dimethylallyl bromide (1.20 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( 10 equiv.), acetone, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}\left(90 \%\right.$ ); (viii) Urea ( 1.20 equiv.), $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h (81\%); (ix) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{HCl}$, pyridine, reflux, 2 h (76\%).
induced ring closure gave the expected anhydride 49 in nearly $100 \%$ yield. In this reaction, both the formation of succinic anhydride intermediate and carbon-carbon double bond migration took place in one-pot. The NBS-bromination of the allylic carbon in the anhydride 49 furnished the required bromoanhydride 50 in $80 \%$ yield. We did not observeany ring bromination or demethylation of methoxy group in 49/50 under our reaction conditions. The chemoselective allylic substitution of bromo atom in anhydride $\mathbf{5 0}$ with isopropylmagnesium bromide gave the 2-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3-isobutylmaleic anhydride (51) in 45\% yield. Boron tribromide induced demethylation of $\mathbf{5 1}$ provided the corresponding 2-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-3-isobutylmaleic anhydride (52) in $91 \%$ yield. Allylation of anhydride 52 with 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ furnished the naturally occurring camphorataanhydride A (33a) in $90 \%$ yield. The anhydride 33a was heated with urea at $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour to obtain the natural bioactive camphorataimide B(33b) in $81 \%$ yield. Treatment of anhydride 33a with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in refluxing pyridine gave the desired third bioactive natural product camphorataimide C(33c) in 76\% yield. The analytical and spectral data obtained for these three natural products $\mathbf{3 3 a}-\mathbf{c}$ were in complete agreement with the reported data. ${ }^{20}$

## 2B.5. Synthesis of (+)-erythro-Roccellic Acid

## 2B.5.1. Background

(+)-Roccellic acid [(2R, 3S)-2-dodecyl-3-methylbutanedioic acid, 53] occurs in lichens ${ }^{29,30}$ and it was first isolated in 1898. In the past century it has been isolated from the following several lichen species: Roccella Capensis, ${ }^{31}$ R. fuciformis, ${ }^{32,33} R$. hypomecha, ${ }^{34} R$. gayana, ${ }^{35}$ R. fucoides, ${ }^{35,36}$ R. condensata, ${ }^{37}$ R. montagnei, ${ }^{38,39}$ Dirinaria aegialita, ${ }^{40}$ D. applanata, ${ }^{40}$ D. confusa saxicola, ${ }^{40}$ D. consimilis, ${ }^{40}$ D. leopoldii, ${ }^{40}$ Pyxine berteriana, ${ }^{40} P$. caesiopruinosa, ${ }^{40}$ P. pungens, ${ }^{40}$ Lobodirina cerebriformes, ${ }^{41}$ L. mahuiana, ${ }^{42}$ Acarospora chlorophana, ${ }^{43}$ Lecanora riparia, ${ }^{44}$ L. rupicola, ${ }^{45,46}$ L. sordida, ${ }^{47,48}$ Lepraria latebrarum, ${ }^{49}$ L. aeruginosa, ${ }^{50}$ Dirina lutosa, ${ }^{51}$ Crocynea membranacea ${ }^{52,53}$ and more recently from Haematomma nemetzii ${ }^{54}$ and Tornabena. Scutellifera ${ }^{54}$ with a major contribution from Siegfried Huneck's group. The structural assignment of roccellic acid 53 has been done on the basis of analytical and spectral data. ${ }^{45,47,52,54}$

(2R, 3S)-2-Dodecyl-3-methylbutanedioic acid (53)
Its absolute configuration has been established by Åkermark by degrading 53 to its two isomeric monomethyl esters. ${ }^{52,55}$ roccellic acid $\mathbf{5 3}$ possesses antitubercular activity ${ }^{56-58}$ and concentration dependent plant growth promotor ${ }^{59-61} /$ inhibitor $^{61,62}$ activity. It is also used for (i) synthesis of structural analogues of the antibiotic actinonin,,${ }^{63}$ (ii) precipitation of human serum albumin ${ }^{64}$ and (iii) preparation of colored metal complexes. ${ }^{65}$

2B.5.1.1: Synthetic Approaches Towards ( $\pm$ )-erythro-Roccellic acid (71) and (+)-erythroRoccellic acid (71a)
[A] Åkermark's approach
The first synthesis of unnatural threo-( $\pm$ )-roccellic acid was completed by Åkermark and co-workers ${ }^{66,67}$ starting from diethylmalonate (54). Diethylmalonate on allyalation gave dieater 55 which on reaction with $\alpha$-bromoethyl propionate followed by hydrolysis furnished diacid 56. Esterification and ozonolysis of $\mathbf{5 6}$ gave aldehyde 57 which on oxidation and hydrolysis furnished tricarboxylic acid 58. Tricarboxylic acid $\mathbf{5 8}$ on electrolytic anodic coupling with dodecanoic acid gave ( $\pm$ )-erythro-roccellic acid (53) in $0.026 \%$ overall yield (Scheme 7) .


Scheme 7. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) Allyl bromide, NaOEt , EtOH; (ii) (a) $\alpha$ Bromo ethylpropeonate, NaH , dioxane, (b) $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (c) Neat, $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, Zn , ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) $\mathrm{O}_{3}$, EtOAc, $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iv) (a) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (b) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$, EtOAc; (v) (a) Electrolytic anodic coupling $\mathrm{CH}_{3}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{10} \mathrm{COOH}$, (b) Four recrystallisations from EtOAc.

## [B] Approach from our group

$( \pm)$-Erythro-roccellic acid $\mathbf{5 3}$ has been synthesized in our group ${ }^{68}$ by employing the Wittig condensation of methyl(triphenylphosphoranylidene)succinimide with aliphatic aldehydes. The mixture of citraconimide 59, TPP and dodecanal in refluxing glacial acetic acid gave a combination of geometric isomers 60 and 61 in $82 \%$ yield via Wittig reaction. The mixture of exo-isomers $\mathbf{6 0}$ and $\mathbf{6 1}$ on refluxing with triethylamine and THF mixture (1:1) furnished dodecylmethylmaleimide 62 in $98 \%$ yield. The maleimide 62 on alkaline hydrolysis followed by acidification gave the desired dodecylmethylmaleic anhydride (63) which on hydrogenation in presence of Adam's catalyst gave the desired ( $\pm$ )-erythro-roccellic acid 53 in $60 \%$ yield. The maleimide 62 on hydrogenation in presence of Adam's catalyst exclusively furnished the desired cis-succinimide derivative 66 in $95 \%$ yield. The cissuccinimide derivative $\mathbf{6 6}$ on hydrolysis furnished the ( $\pm$ )-erythro-roccellic acid $\mathbf{5 3}$ in $98 \%$ yield which was further characterised as its dimethyl ester 65 (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) TPP, AcOH, dodecanal, reflux, 10 h (82\%); (ii) TEA, THF, reflux, 48 h (98\%); (iii) (a) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 2 h ; (b) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}(98 \%)$; (iv) Adam's catalyst, petroleum ether, $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, rt, 10 h (53: 60\%; 66: 95\%); (v) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, conc. HCl , reflux, $48 \mathrm{~h}(98 \%)$; (vi) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h},(98 \%)$.

## [C] Fensterbank's asymmetric synthesis

Recently, Fensterbank and coworkers ${ }^{69}$ have completed the first asymmetric synthesis of (+)-erythro-roccellic acid 53a, by employing a highly diasteroselective addition of a lithium ester enolate to a bisulfinyl acceptor as a key step. The synthesis involves the addition of lithium enolate of Heathcock's ester to alkylidene acceptor 67 to give adduct 68 (major diasteromer, $79 \%$ yield) accompanied by the minor diastereomer ( $10 \%$ yield). The major diastereomer 68 on Pummerer rearrangement followed by double saponification furnished (+)-erythro-roccellic acid 53a (Scheme 9) .


Scheme 9. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ( $79 \%$ ); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$, pyridine, (b) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(50 \%)$.

## 2B.5.2: Present Work: Results and Discussion

We envisaged D-menthol can be used as chiral auxillary to induce chirality in the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of dodecylmagnesium bromide with dimenthyl bromomethylfumarate. $(+)$-Dimenthyl itaconate 69 was prepared by the reaction of itaconic anhydride with (+)menthol in $80 \%$ yield. Bromination of diester 69 using $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ gave the dibromoester 70 in $90 \%$ yield. Dehydrobromination of dibromoester 70 with triethylamine in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ furnished dimenthyl bromomethylfumarate 71 in $92 \%$ yield. The stereoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of dodecylmagnesium bromide with $\mathbf{7 1}$ gave the itaconate derivative $\mathbf{7 2}$ with the desired $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ substituent. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of product 72 revealed that the reaction was moderately stereoselective and the mixture of two diastereomers was formed in nearly 6.5:3.5 ratio (from the comparison of the relative integrations of the olefinic protons). The TLC of the mixture of diastereomers in $\mathbf{7 2}$ did not show any resolution and separation of these two diastereomers by flash column chromatography was also not successful in our hands. The catalytic hydrogenation of the itaconate derivative 72 using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in MeOH furnished the dimenthyl ester of roccellic acid 73 in $90 \%$ yield. The hydrolysis of dimenthyl ester in refluxing mixture of $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)$ furnished the natural product (+)-
erythro-roccellic acid $\mathbf{5 3}$ with $85 \%$ yield and $33 \%$ de (from the comparison of specific rotation with the reported natural product) ${ }^{29}$ (Scheme 10). Further work is under progress in our laboratory to improve the diastereomeric excess using chiral auxiliaries derived from camphor.


Scheme 10. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Br}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (90\%); (ii) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}$, $\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}(92 \%)$; (iii) $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{MgBr}$ ( 1.5 equiv.), THF, HMPA, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}(73 \%)$ ( $33 \% \mathrm{de}$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, 4 h (90\%); (v) $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)$, reflux, 10 h (85\%) (33\% ee).

## 2B.6. Summary

In summary, in this section we have described the synthetic strategies for the synthesis of naturally occurring 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride, camphorataanhydride, camphorataimides B \& C and (+)-erythro-roccellic acid in recemic and enantiomerically pure form. We have also presented the essence of methods employed in the literature for the $Z$ - to $E$ - carbon-carbon double bond isomerization. We have demonstrated that the reagent NBS-DBP brings about both allylic bromination and $Z$ - to $E$ - carbon-carbon double bond isomerization. The $Z$-alkenes without allylic hydrogens in pure form or mixtures of geometric isomers can be easily transformed into the corresponding $E$-alkenes using NBS-DBP/AIBN in quantitative yields. ${ }^{70}$ The present studies also provide a useful caution mark to the chemists attempting allylic bromination of $Z$-alkenes. We have demonstrated the synthesis of natural 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride (5-steps, 24\%) and the first synthetic approach to bioactive natural product gymnoascolide A (6-steps, $22 \%$ ). In the present synthesis, the selective Grignard reagent coupling reactions and N -
selectride reduction are noteworthy. ${ }^{71}$ We have also demonstrated the first synthetic approach to natural camphorataanhydride (7-steps, 20\%) and bioactive camphorataimides B \& C (8-steps, $16 \%$ and $15 \%$ respectively). In our present approach the stepwise functionalization and generation of anhydride moiety with a variety of alkyl/allyl/benzyl/aryl groups and conversion of anhydride to a variety of $N$-substituted maleimides is possible. Hence, we feel that our present approach is general in nature and will be useful to design congeners of camphorataimides in search of new lead molecules with better activity. ${ }^{72}$ We have also completed the synthesis of (+)-erythro-roccellic acid ( 5 steps, $46 \%$ yields) in $33 \%$ ee by using $D$-menthol as chiral auxillary. Further work is under progress in our laboratory to improve the diastereomeric excess using the suitable chiral auxiliaries derived from camphor.

## 2B.7. Experimental section

Melting points are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AC 200 NMR spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on either Bruker AC 200 NMR spectrometer ( 50 MHz ), Bruker AC 300 NMR spectrometer ( 75 MHz ) or Bruker AC 500 NMR spectrometer ( 125 MHz ). The FT-IR spectra were recorded on a FT-IR-8300 Shimadzu spectrometer. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (60-120 mesh). Commercially available citraconic anhydride, bromobenzene, magnesium turnings, HMPA, $N$-bromosuccinimide, dibenzoyl peroxide, acetic anhydride and N -selectride, 4-bromoanisole, 2-bromopropane, $\mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide, urea, hydroxylamine hydrochloride, $D$-menthol, $p$ TSA, $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and dodecyl bromide were used.

General procedure for the isomerization of $\boldsymbol{Z}$-alkenes to $\boldsymbol{E}$-alkenes. A mixture of $Z$ alkene, $N$-bromosuccinimide and catalytic amount of DBP/AIBN (10 mol \%) in carbon tetrachloride ( $5-10 \mathrm{~mL}$ per mmol of substrate) was gently refluxed (see Table 1). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and then filtered. The residue was washed with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ and the combined organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate as an eluent to obtain the desired $E$-alkene.

|  | Mp: $143^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol): $v_{\max }$ 2700-2500, 1707, 1636, 1587, $1568,1460,1435,1263,1221,862,608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.43(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 9.60 (bs, 2H). |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Mp: 298-300 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (sublimes). <br> IR (Nujol): $v_{\max }$ 2700-2500, 1703, 1462, 1377, 1277, 928, 721, $644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 9.89 (bs, 2H). |


|  <br> 6 $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}(144)$ | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\max } 1734,1645,1439,1391,1223,1165$, $1007,864,822 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.23$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} { }_{\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{C}}^{\mathrm{H}}={ }_{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \\ \mathbf{7} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{8}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{1 4 4}) \end{gathered}$ | Mp: $100-101^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol): $v_{\max } 1726,1645,1439,1310,1215$, 1161, 1034, 980, 758, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.88$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
|  | Thickoil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\text {max }} 1724,1649,1437,1271,1198,1167$, $1101,932,762 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
|  | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\text {max }} 1728,1634,1435,1321,1277,1217$, 1155, 1074, $957 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.25$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
|  | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\text {max }} 1726,1628,1435,1269,1217,1161$, 1103, 1007, $847,785 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.50$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ). |
|  | Thick oil. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 1753,1720,1630,1439,1371 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |
|  | Thick oil. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\max } 1745,1726,1641,1437,1269 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |


|  | Mp: $76-77^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Nujol): $v_{\text {max }} 3252,1732,1668,1632,1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.22$ (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.13(\mathrm{t}$, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.85(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br> 13 $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(205)$ | Mp: $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 3325,1717,1684,1659 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-$ $7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-8.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |
| $\begin{gathered} { }_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{Ph}} \leftrightharpoons=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}} \\ \mathbf{1 4} \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}(\mathbf{1 6 2}) \end{gathered}$ | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\max } 1724,1628,1271,1200,1169 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.98$ <br> (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ 7.70 (m, 5H). |
|  | $\mathbf{M p}: 36-38^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 1717,1638,1281,1204,1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.46$ (d, $J=16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |
|  <br> 16 $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathbf{3 1 0})$ | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\max } 1740,1464,1373,1180,1036,723$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{bs}, 25 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.27(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. |
|  <br> 17 <br> $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ (468) | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\max } 1730,1462,1373,1180,1034,962$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 21 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{q}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.70-6.00 (m, 2H). |
|  | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $\nu_{\max } 1601,1493,1447,924,779,698 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-$ 7.35 (m, 10H). |


| $\begin{gathered} { }_{H}^{\mathrm{Ph}} \mathrm{H}^{2}=<_{\mathrm{Ph}}^{\mathrm{H}} \\ \mathbf{1 9} \\ \mathbf{C}_{14} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}(\mathbf{1 8 0}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mp: } 122-123{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \\ & \text { IR }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\max } 1599,1495,1452,1217,962,762, \\ & 692 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \\ & { }^{1} \mathbf{H} \text { NMR }\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15- \\ & 7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  <br> 20 $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5 \text {-dimethoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}=p \text { - }$ methoxyphenyl $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}(270)$ | Thick oil. <br> IR (Neat): $v_{\text {max }} 1600,1591,1510,1250,1155 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.69(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.30-6.40 (m, 1H), 6.44-6.51 (m, 2H), 6.46 (d, $J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=12 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. |
|  <br> 21 $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Ar}=3,5 \text {-dimethoxyphenyl; } \mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}=p \text { - } \\ \text { methoxyphenyl } \\ \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{1 7}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 8}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{3}}(\mathbf{2 7 0}) \end{gathered}$ | Mp: $78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ): $v_{\max } 1612,1589,1510,1252,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 6.32-$ $6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86-6.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. |

2-Phenyl-3-methylenesuccinic acid dimethyl ester (29). A fresh solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in THF was prepared as follows. A solution of bromobenzene $(3.76 \mathrm{~g}, 24.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 30 mL ) was added at room temperature to magnesium turnings ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 72.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) under argon atmosphere with constant stirring in three equal portions at an interval of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h . This freshly generated Grignard reagent was added drop-wise to a solution of $\mathbf{3}(3.79 \mathrm{~g}, 16.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HMPA $(14.34 \mathrm{~g}, 80.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ) under argon atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was further stirred at the same temperature for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by the addition of a saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 30 mL ). Ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL X 3 ). The combined ethyl acetate extract was washed with water and brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue
was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether-ethyl acetate mixture (9:1) to give 29 as thick oil; 2.73 g ( $73 \%$ ).

|  <br> 29 $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}(234)$ | IR (neat): $v_{\text {max }} 1738,1724,1634,1448,1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.20-$ 7.45 (m, 5H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=52.2,52.4,53.0$, 127.8, 128.1, 128.8, 129.0, 135.7, 138.8, 166.7, 172.2. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.65; H, 6.02. Found: C, 66.49; H, 5.88. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-Phenyl-3-methylenesuccinic acid (30). A solution of lithium hydroxide ( 2.40 g ) in water ( 20 mL ) was added to a solution of $29(2.34 \mathrm{~g}, 10.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and then it was acidified to pH 2 with 2 N hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL X 3 ). The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum etherethyl acetate mixture (6:4) to give $\mathbf{3 0}$ as a white solid; $1.89 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$.

|  | Mp: $145^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\text {max }} 2700-2500,1713,1693,1634,1463$, $1304 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=4.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.50(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 11.12(\mathrm{bs}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=53.4,128.2,129.1$, 129.2, 130.8, 134.4, 138.6, 172.2, 178.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 64.07; H, 4.89. Found: C, 63.92; H, 5.02. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Phenyl-4-methylfuran-2,5-dione (31). A solution of $\mathbf{3 0}(1.65 \mathrm{~g}, 8.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetic anhydride ( 15 mL ) was gently refluxed for 1.5 h and the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuo at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was diluted with ethyl acetate $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to obtain 31 as a yellow solid; $1.50 \mathrm{~g}(\sim 100 \%)$.

|  | Mp: $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{73} 94.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\max } 1774,1759,1643,1460,1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=2.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-$ $7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=10.8,127.4,129.0$, <br> 129.4, 131.0, 138.7, 139.9, 164.8, 166.2. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 70.21; H, 4.28. Found: C, 70.10; H, 4.37. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Bromomethyl-4-phenylfuran-2,5-dione (32). A mixture of $\mathbf{3 1}$ ( $940 \mathrm{mg}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), N bromosuccinimide ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 7.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount of DBP ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in carbon tetrachloride ( 50 mL ) was gently refluxed for 12 h . The reaction mixture was left overnight at room temperature and then filtered. The residue was washed with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ (25 mL ) and the combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to furnish the crude compound which was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether-ethyl acetate mixture (9:1) to give desired compound 32 as a yellow solid; $1.07 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$.

|  <br> 32 <br> $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Br}(267)$ | Mp: $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\max } 1761,1636,1601,1512,1460 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=4.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-$ 7.70 (m, 3H), 7.75-7.90 (m, 2H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=17.9,126.6,129.4$, 129.7, 132.1, 136.2, 141.2, 163.9 ( 2 carbons). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Br}$ : C, 49.47; H, 2.64. Found: C, 49.39; H, 2.55. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Benzyl-4-phenylfuran-2,5-dione (28). A fresh solution of phenylmagnesium bromide in THF was prepared as follows. A solution of bromobenzene ( $785 \mathrm{mg}, 5.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was added at room temperature to magnesium turnings ( $600 \mathrm{mg}, 25.00$ mmol) in THF ( 5 mL ) under argon atmosphere with constant stirring in three equal portions in an interval of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h . This freshly generated Grignard reagent was added drop wise to the solution of 32 ( $267 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and copper(I) iodide ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) and HMPA ( 1 mL ) under argon atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 to 20 minutes under stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and further stirred for 8 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acidified with 4 N
$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL X 3). The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether-ethyl acetate mixture (9.5:0.5) to give 28 as a white solid; 118 mg ( $45 \%$ ).

|  <br> 28 $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathbf{2 6 4})$ | Mp: $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{18} 67-68^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 1769,1656,1508,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=4.04(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-$ <br> $7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=30.4,127.1,127.3$, <br> 128.4, 129.0, 129.1, 129.3, 131.2, 135.4, 140.6, 141.1, <br> 164.8, 165.8 . <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 77.26; $\mathrm{H}, 4.57$. Found: C, 77.13; H, 4.44. |
| :---: | :---: |

4-Benzyl-3-phenylfuran-2(5H)-one (gymnoascolide A, 22). To a stirred solution of 28 ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a solution of N -selectride in THF ( $1 \mathrm{M}, 0.60 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) over a period of 10 min . The reaction mixture further kept at same temperature for 1 h . The reaction was quenched with water $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to reach to room temperature. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} x \mathrm{3}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate mixture (9:1) to give gymnoascolide A (22) as a thick oil; $42 \mathrm{mg}(90 \%)$.

|  | IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 1751,1655,1522,1215,768 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.70$ (s, 2H), 7.10-7.20 (m, 2H), 7.25-7.35 (m, 3H), 7.40$7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=34.0,71.1,127.4$, 127.6, 128.5, 128.7, 128.8, 128.9, 129.2, 129.6, 136.1, 159.7, 173.3. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : C, 81.58; H, 5.64. Found: C, 81.62; H, 5.49. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methylenesuccinic acid dimethyl ester (47). A fresh solution of 4-methoxyphenylmagnesium bromide in THF was prepared as follows. A solution of 4bromoanisole ( $4.49 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 30 mL ) was added at room temperature to magnesium turnings ( $1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 10 mL ) under argon atmosphere with constant stirring in three equal portions at an interval of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture
was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h . This freshly generated Grignard reagent was added drop wise to a solution of HMPA ( $14.34 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $3(3.79 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 30 mL ) under argon atmosphere at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was further stirred at the same temperature for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by the addition of a saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 30 mL ). Ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 40 mL X 3 ). The combined ethyl acetate extract was washed with water and brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 47 as a thick oil; yield: 3.07 g (73\%).

| IR (neat): $v_{\max } 1736,1720,1632,1611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ |
| $(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39$ |
| $(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$, |
| $2 \mathrm{H})$. |

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-3-methylenesuccinic acid (48). A solution of lithium hydroxide $(2.40 \mathrm{~g})$ in water ( 20 mL ) was added to a solution of $47(2.64 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 30 mL ) at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and ethyl acetate $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture and then it was acidified to pH 2 with 2 N hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL X 3 ). The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (6:4) to give $\mathbf{4 8}$ as a white solid; yield: 2.17 g ( $92 \%$ ).

|  <br> 48 $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{5}(236)$ | Mp: $158^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\max }$ 2700-2500, 1713, 1693, 1634, 1611 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.73$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (acetone- $d_{6}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ ): $\delta=52.8,55.5$, $114.8,127.3,129.3,131.0,141.4,160.0,167.8,173.4$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ : C, 61.02; H, 5.12. Found: C, 60.88; H, 5.19. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-methylfuran-2,5-dione (49). A solution of 48 ( $1.89 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetic anhydride ( 15 mL ) was gently refluxed for 1.5 h and the reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuo at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The residue was diluted with ethyl acetate $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to obtain 49 as a yellow solid; yield: $1.74 \mathrm{~g}(\sim 100 \%)$.

|  | Mp: $112{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\max } 1840,1811,1759,1726,1635,1605$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=2.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89$ (s, 3H), $7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=10.8,55.4,114.5$, 120.1, 131.3, 135.8, 139.2, 161.8, 165.2, 166.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 66.05; H, 4.62. Found: C, 65.92; H, 4.80 . |
| :---: | :---: |

3-Bromomethyl-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)furan-2,5-dione (50). A mixture of 49 (1.09 g, 5 mmol ), $N$-bromosuccinimide ( $1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 7.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount of DBP ( $122 \mathrm{mg}, 10$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) in carbon tetrachloride ( 50 mL ) was gently refluxed for 12 h . The reaction mixture was left overnight at room temperature and then filtered. The residue was washed with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, the combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and then dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to furnish the crude compound which was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give desired compound $\mathbf{5 0}$ as a yellow solid; yield: $1.18 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$.

|  | Mp: $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (nujol): $v_{\max } 1840,1811,1761,1725,1636,1601$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.36$ (s, 2H), $7.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.7,55.6,115.0$, 119.2, 130.1, 132.0, 132.8, 140.5, 162.7, 164.3. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ : C, $48.51 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.05$. Found: C, 48.65; H, 3.16. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4-isobutylfuran-2,5-dione (51). A fresh solution of isopropylmagnesium bromide in THF was prepared as follows. A solution of 2bromopropane ( $1.23 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at room temperature to magnesium turnings ( $1.20 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) under argon atmosphere with constant stirring in three equal portions at an interval of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h . This freshly generated Grignard reagent was added drop-wise to the solution of $\mathbf{5 0}(594 \mathrm{mg}, 2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and copper (I) iodide ( 38 mg , $0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 10 mL ) and HMPA ( 2 mL ) under argon atmosphere at -5 to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 15 to 20 minutes under stirring. The reaction mixture was allowed to reach room temperature and further stirred for 8 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and acidified with $4 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL X 3 ). The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9.5:0.5) to give $\mathbf{5 1}$ as a thick oil; yield: 234 mg (45\%).

|  <br> 51 $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{2 6 0})$ | IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\text {max }} 1844,1825,1763,1736,1607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 2.13$ (septet, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=22.6,27.9,33.6$, 55.4, 114.5, 120.1, 131.1, 140.0, 140.2, 161.7, 165.4, 166.3. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.22; H, 6.20. Found: C, 69.20; H, 6.13. |
| :---: | :---: |

3-(4-Hydroxyphenyl)-4-isobutylfuran-2,5-dione (52). To a stirred solution of 51 (160 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(1 \mathrm{M}, 3.10 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ over a period of 15 min . The reaction mixture then allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for further 12 h . The reaction was quenched with water ( 5 mL ). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate mixture (8:2) to give 52 as a thick oil; yield: $138 \mathrm{mg}(91 \%)$.
IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\max } 3391,1832,1765,1719,1709,1609$
$\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$\mathbf{6 H}), 2.12($ septet $, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=22.6,27.9,33.6$,
$116.1,120.0,131.3,140.1,140.3,158.1,165.5,166.5$.
Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 68.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.73$. Found:
$\mathbf{C}, 68.33 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.89$.

## 3-[4-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyloxy)phenyl]-4-isobutylfuran-2,5-dione

(Camphorataanhydride A, 33a). A solution of $\mathbf{5 2}$ ( $100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone ( 10 mL ) was added 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide ( $73.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and potassium carbonate $(566 \mathrm{mg}, 4.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was refluxed for 2 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and diluted with water ( 5 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate mixture (9.5:0.5) to give camphorataanhydride A (33a) as a thick yellow oil; yield: 115 mg (90\%).
IR (neat): $v_{\max } 1836,1825,1763,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$6 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.13(\mathrm{septet}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 2.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.50(\mathrm{brt}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63$
$(\mathrm{~d}, J=10 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

## 3-[4-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyloxy)phenyl]-4-isobutylpyrrole-2,5-dione (Camphorataimide

B, 33b). A mixture of 33a ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and urea ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was heated to $130-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature then water ( 10 mL ) was added and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 15 mL x 3). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate mixture (8.5:1.5) to give camphorataimide B (33b) as a yellow solid; yield: 32 mg ( $81 \%$ ).

|  <br> 33b <br> $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{2 3}} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathbf{3 1 3 )}$ | Mp: $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right): v_{\max } 3435,1773,1717,1715,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=0.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06$ (septet, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.51 (brt, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32$ (bs, 1 H ), 7.52 (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.2,22.7,25.8$, 28.1, 32.8, 64.9, 114.8, 119.2, 121.1, 130.9, 138.7, 138.8, 139.1, 160.1, 171.0, 171.7. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ : C, 72.82; H, 7.40; N, 4.47. Found: C, 72.72; H, 7.51; N, 4.53. |
| :---: | :---: |

## 3-[4-(3-Methyl-but-2-enyloxy)phenyl]-4-isobutyl- $N$-hydroxypyrrole-2,5-dione

Camphorataimide C, 33c). A mixture of 33a ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride ( $18 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was refluxed in pyridine ( 5 mL ) for 2 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, pyridine was removed in vacuo and then water ( 5 mL ) was added to reaction mixture and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $15 \mathrm{~mL} x \mathrm{3}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was purified by silica gel column
chromatography using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate mixture (8:2) to give camphorataimide C (33c) as a yellow oil; yield: 32 mg (76\%).

|  | IR (neat): $v_{\max } 3306,1782,1722,1713,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=0.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $6 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06$ (septet, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.51 (brt, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51$ (d, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta=18.2,22.7,25.8$, 28.1, 33.0, 64.9, 114.9, 119.1, 120.7, 131.0, 135.9, 136.0, 138.8, 160.2, 168.0, 168.7. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ : C, 69.28; H, 7.04; N, 4.25. Found: C, 69.39; H, 6.92; N, 4.37. |
| :---: | :---: |

2-Methylene-succinic acid bis-(2-isopropyl-5-methyl-cyclohexyl)ester (69). To a solution of itaconic anhydride ( $5.20 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in toluene ( 70 mL ) was added $D$-menthol $(12.48 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p-\mathrm{TSA}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 36 h using Dean and Stark apparatus. The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 150 mL ) and washed successively with $5 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 69 (thick oil): 13.12 g ( $80 \%$ yield).

|  $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{6 9}(\mathrm{M}=D \text {-Menthyl }) \\ & \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{4 0 6}) \end{aligned}$ | Thick oil. <br> $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}=+78.16\left(c 1.47, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1734,1719,1641,1456,1200 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 0.75-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.55$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.55-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.31$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.60-4.85 (m, 2H), $5.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.2,20.6,21.9,23.3$, 23.4, 26.0, 26.1, 31.2, 34.1, 37.9, 40.5, 40.6, 46.8, $46.9,74.4,74.6,127.5,134.4,165.4,170.0$ (three carbon atoms from the two menthol units did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 73.85; H, 10.41. Found: C, 74.01; H, 10.33. |
| :---: | :---: |

Bis(2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl) 2-bromo-2-bromomethyl)succinate (70). To the stirring solution of dimentyl itaconate $(69,5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 12.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Br}_{2}(4.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.31 \mathrm{~mL}, 25.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at room
temperature. The solvent was then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) and washed successively with saturated $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{HSO}_{3}$, water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to gave compound 70 (thick oil): 6.27 g ( $90 \%$ yield).

|  $\begin{gathered} 70 \text { (M = D-Menthyl) } \\ \mathbf{C}_{25} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4 2}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{B r}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{( 5 6 6 )} \end{gathered}$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}=+50.67\left(c 0.81, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1738,1732,1454,1420,1286 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $0.80-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}) 1.30-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-$ $4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 15.9,16.2,16.3,20.8$, $22.0,23.1,23.4,25.9,26.2,31.4,34.1,36.5,36.7$, $39.8,39.9,40.7,40.8,41.1,41.2,46.9,47.0,55.7$, 56.0, 75.2, 77.2, 167.2, 168.4 (Two carbons show diastereomeric splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ : C, 53.01; H, 7.47. Found: C, 52.75; H, 7.58. |
| :---: | :---: |

Bis(2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl) 2-(bromomethyl)maleate (71). To the stirring solution of dibromo diester $70(5.00 \mathrm{~g}, 8.83 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added triethyl amine $(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h at room temperature. The solid formed was filtered through celite and washed with $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ and filtrate was then evaporated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate ( 100 mL ) and washed successively with water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 71 (thick oil): 3.94 g ( $92 \%$ yield).

|  $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{7 1}(\mathrm{M}=D \text {-Menthyl) } \\ & \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2 5}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4 1}} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{B r}(\mathbf{4 8 5}) \end{aligned}$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}=+62.09\left(c 0.31, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Neat) $\nu_{\text {max }} 1722,1717,1647,1456,1273 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 0.80-1.00 (m, 16H), 1.05-1.20 (m, 2H), 1.40-1.60 (m, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.65-4.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 16.1,20.8,22.0,22.8$, $23.3,26.1,26.2,31.4,34.1,40.6,40.8,47.0,75.5$, $76.4,128.8,142.8,164.4,168.5$ (Some of the carbon from two different menthol unit did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Br}$ : C, 61.85; $\mathrm{H}, 8.51$. Found: C, 62.00; H, 8.34. |
| :---: | :---: |

A fresh solution of dodecylmagnesium bromide in THF was prepared as follows. A solution of dodecylbromide ( $1.50 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) was added at room temperature to magnesium turnings ( $720 \mathrm{mg}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) under argon atmosphere with constant stirring in three equal portions at an interval of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was further stirred at room temperature for 4 h . This freshly generated Grignard reagent was added drop wise to a solution of HMPA ( $5.37 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 71 $(1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 20 mL ) under argon atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was further stirred at the same temperature for 30 min . The reaction was quenched by the addition of a saturated ammonium chloride solution ( 15 mL ). Ethyl acetate ( 40 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture and the organic layer was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL X 3 ). The combined organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The obtained residue was chromatographed over silica gel using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 72 as a thick oil; yield: $1.68 \mathrm{~g}(73 \%)$.

|  $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\begin{aligned} & 72(\mathrm{M}=D \text {-Menthyl }) \\ & \mathbf{C}_{37} \mathbf{H}_{66} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{4}}(\mathbf{5 7 4}) \end{aligned}$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}=+58.22\left(c 0.79, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1730,1718,1630,1456,1178 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 500 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.69-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-$ <br> $0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-1.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.31(\mathrm{~m}$, $20 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.90$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60-$ $4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69-5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.30-6.31 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 125 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.0,15.9,16.3,20.7$, 21.9, 22.6, 23.2, 25.8, 26.2, 27.4, 29.3, 29.6, 31.3, $31.8,34.2,40.7,46.5,46.8,46.9,47.0,64.5,74.3$, $74.7,125.2,125.6,139.3,165.6,172.7$ (Some of the carbon from two different menthol unit did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 77.30; H, 11.57. Found: C, 77.11; H, 11.42. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Bis(2-isopropyl-5-methylcyclohexyl) 2-dodecyl-3-methisuccinate (73).

To the stirring solution of $72(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ( 50 mg ) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature under the Hydrogen atmosphere (pressure, 50 psi ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ) and filtered through celite and washed with ethyl acetate the filtrate was then evaporated in
vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by silica gel column chromatography using a mixture of petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to give 73 (thick oil): 1.35 g ( $90 \%$ yield).

|  $\mathrm{R}=\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{11} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ <br> 73 ( $\mathrm{M}=D$-Menthyl) <br> $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (576) | $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}=+41.80\left(c 0.91, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. <br> IR (Neat) $\nu_{\max } 1728,1456,1369,1252,1163 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.70-0.80(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-$ $0.95(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 1.05-1.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.20-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $23 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55-4.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 14.1,15.7,16.0,20.8$, 22.0, 22.6, 22.9, 25.8, 26.1, 29.3, 29.4, 29.6, 31.3, $31.9,34.2,40.8,42.9,46.9,49.7,60.3,74.3,173.8$, 174.5 (Some of the carbon from two different menthol unit did not show splitting). <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{68} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 77.03; H, 11.88. Found: C, 76.84; H, 11.97. |
| :---: | :---: |

## 2-Dodecyl-3-methylsuccinic acid (roccellic acid 53)

A solution of $73(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in the mixture of $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl} \quad 3: 2$ ) ( 20 mL ) was refluxed for 24 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the residue was dissolved in $10 \%$ aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The resulting solution was washed with ethyl acetate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ), acidified with 1 N HCl and extracted with ethyl acetate containing $5 \%$ methanol ( 25 mL x 4). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give 53 (white solid): 443 g ( $85 \%$ yield).

|  <br> 53 $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{3 0 0})$ | $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}=+6.66(c 0.76, \mathrm{MeOH})$. <br> Mp $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit $\left.130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\max }$ 2700-2500, 1691, 1464, 1420, 1215 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (Pyridine- $\left.d_{5}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) 0.86(\mathrm{t}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 20 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-$ $2.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (Pyridine- $d_{5}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) 14.2, 16.2, 22.9, 28.2, 29.5, 29.8, 31.7, 32.0, 43.3, 50.0, 176.8, 177.7; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 67.96; H, 10.73. Found: C, 68.13; H, 10.55. |
| :---: | :---: |
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## 2C. Section C: A Facile Chemo-, Regio- and Diastereoselective Approach to Cis-3,5Disubstituted $\gamma$-Butyrolactones and Fused $\gamma$-Butyrolactones

## 2C.1. Background

The natural and unnatural $\gamma$-butyrolactones are an important class of compounds that find major applications in organic, medicinal and polymer chemistry. ${ }^{1}$ A broad range of biological properties have been conferred on them that include strong antibiotic, antihelmitic, antifungal, antitumor, antiviral, anti-inflammatory, cytostatic, antiallergenic, histone acetyltransferase Gcn5 inhibitor and anti-HIV activities. ${ }^{1-4}$ From the bioactivity point of view, among all types of butyrolactones, the $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactones are of special interest as alkylating agents via Michael-type acceptor of biological cellular nucleophiles or cysteine residues of functional proteins. ${ }^{4}$ A very large number of such $\gamma$ butyrolactones have been synthesized during the past century using several elegant synthetic strategies.

## 2C.1.1: Synthetic approaches towards $\gamma$-butyrolactones

The diverse range of $\gamma$-butyrolactone skeletons have been designed by employing new C-O bond construction reactions and metal catalyzed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond formations via the carbocyclization of enynes. Before discussing our results, the reported synthetic approaches towards $\gamma$-butyrolactone are illustrated in brief in the following part.

## [A] Zhang's approach

The $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone unit is an important motif of many natural products, such as (+)-pilocarpine, (+)-isopilocarpine, and (+)-isopilosine. ${ }^{5}$ The exocyclic double bond is considered not only to be responsible for the interesting biological properties of $\gamma$-lactones but also to serve as a functional group for further manipulations in organic synthesis. ${ }^{6}$ Zhang and co-workers ${ }^{7}$ have reported the synthesis of $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactone by $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed intramolecular Alder ene reaction. The asymmetric Rh-catalyzed Alder ene reactions were carried out in the presence of $[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}]_{2},(R)$ - or $(S)$-BINAP, and $\mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}$ at room temperature. Extraordinarily high enantioselectivity ( $>99 \%$ ee) and high yields ( $90-98 \%$ ) were obtained for a wide range of substituents (Scheme 1, Table 1).

Scheme 1


Table 1. Asymmetric Rh(I)-catalyzed intramolecular Alder ene reaction ${ }^{a}$

|  | Substrate |  |  |  | Product |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | BINAP | $\mathbf{2}^{d}$ | Yield <br> $(\%)^{b}$ | $E e(\%)^{c}$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 a}$ | Ph | Et | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{2 a}$ | 93 | $>99$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 b}$ | Ph | H | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{2 b}$ | 94 | $>99$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | Ph | Me | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{2 c}$ | 92 | $>99$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 c}$ | Ph | Me | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{2 c}$ | 93 | $>99$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 d}$ | Me | H | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{2 d}$ | 92 | $>99$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 e}$ | $\mathrm{Me}^{2}$ | Me | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{2 e}$ | 98 | $>99$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 f}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | H | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{2 f}$ | 90 | $>99$ |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 g}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | Me | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{2 g}$ | 95 | $>99$ |

${ }^{a}$ All the reactions were carried out in 2 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ in 0.2 mmol scale. The ratio of substrate $/\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{BINAP} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}\right.$ was 1:0.05:0.11:0.20. This reaction finished within 2-10 min. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Ee value was determined by GC or HPLC. ${ }^{d}$ Stereochemical assignments $-(R)$ and $-(S)$ are based on comparison with known compound $\mathbf{6 a}$.

Vinyl acetate and vinyl ether-substituted $\gamma$-lactone were formed in high yields (91-98\%) with excellent enantioselectivities ( $>99 \%$ ) ( Scheme 2, Table 2).

Scheme 2


Table 2. Asymmetric $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed Alder ene reactions of $\mathbf{3}$ to form functionalized lactones ${ }^{a}$

|  | Substrate |  |  |  | Product |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | 3 | R | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | BINAP | $4^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\%)^{b} \end{aligned}$ | $E e(\%)^{c}$ |
| 1 | 3a | Ph | Ac | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{4 a}$ | 96 | >99 |
| 2 | 3b | Ph | Me | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{4 b}$ | 96 | >99 |
| 3 | 3c | Ph | Bn | $S$-BINAP | (S)-(+)-4c | 92 | >99 |
| 4 | 3d | Me | AC | $R$-BINAP | (R)-(-)-4d | 93 | >99 |
| 5 | 3d | Me | Ac | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{4 d}$ | 98 | >99 |
| 6 | 3 e | Me | Me | $S$-BINAP | (S)-(-)-4e | 95 | >99 |
| 7 | 3 f | Me | Bn | $S$-BINAP | (S)-(+)-4f | 91 | >99 |
| 8 | 3g | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | Ac | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{4 g}$ | 97 | >99 |
| 9 | 3h | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | Me | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{4 h}$ | 96 | >99 |
| 10 | $3 \mathbf{i}$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | Bn | $R$-BINAP | (R)-(+)-4i | 91 | >99 |
| 11 | $3 i$ | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | Bn | $S$-BINAP | (S)-(+)-4i | 92 | >99 |

[^1]When the substrates with alcohol at allylic position 5 were used, the resulting products $\mathbf{6}$ contained an aldehyde. Again, high yields ( $91-99 \%$ ) and enantioselectivities ( $>99 \%$ ) were obtained (Scheme 3, Table 3). (+)-Pilocarpine can be prepared in two additional steps from $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{6 a}$ according to the literature method. ${ }^{8}$

Scheme 3


Table 3. Asymmetric $\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{I})$-catalyzed Alder ene reactions of 5 to form aldehyde substituted lactones ${ }^{a}$

| Entry | R | $\mathbf{5}$ | BINAP | $\mathbf{6}^{d}$ | Yield (\%) $^{b}$ | $E e(\%)^{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Me | $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{6 a}$ | 99 | $>99$ |
| 2 | Me | $\mathbf{5 a}$ | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{6 a}$ | 98 | $>99$ |
| 3 | $n-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathbf{5 b}$ | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{6 b}$ | 95 | $>99$ |
| 4 | Ph | $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $R$-BINAP | $(R)-(+)-\mathbf{6 c}$ | 92 | $>99$ |
| 5 | Ph | $\mathbf{5 c}$ | $S$-BINAP | $(S)-(-)-\mathbf{6 c}$ | 91 | $>99$ |

${ }^{a}$ All the reactions were carried out in 2 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ in 0.2 mmol scale. The ratio of substrates $/\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{BINAP} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}\right.$ was $1: 0.05: 0.11: 0.20$. This reaction finished within 2-10 min. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c}$ Ee value was determined by GC. ${ }^{d}$ Stereochemical assignments $-(R)$ and $-(S)$ are based on comparison with known compound $\mathbf{6 a}$.

## [B] Crowe's approach

The butyrolactone ring is an integral building block of many natural products. ${ }^{9}$ Crowe and co-workers ${ }^{10}$ have reported a general catalytic cyclocarbonylation of enals and enones using a chiral titanocene catalyst that also affords the asymmetric version of this reaction. In a typical experiment a mixture of substrate and ansa-metallocene (EBTHI)Ti(CO) ${ }_{2}$ catalyst ${ }^{11}$ in toluene was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, under CO pressure, in the presence of excess
$\mathrm{PMe}_{3}$. The catalyst system worked well both for enal and enone substrates forming fused $\gamma$ butyrolactones in very good to excellent yield (Scheme 4, Table 4 and 5).


Scheme 4. Reagents, conditions and yield: (i) 10-20 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ Catalyst, $30-80 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{PMe}_{3}$, 50 psi CO, toluene, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 36-40 \mathrm{~h}(73-92 \%)$.

Table 4. Catalytic cyclocarbonylation diastereoselective reactions

| Reactant | R | $\mathrm{R} '$ | Product | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7a | H | $\beta$-Me | $\mathbf{8 a}$ | 92 |
| 7b | H | $\beta$-Ph | $\mathbf{8 b}$ | 89 |
| 7c | Me | $\beta$-Ph | $\mathbf{8 c}$ | 73 |
| 7d | Ph | $\beta$-Ph | $\mathbf{8 d}$ | 76 |
| 7e | H | $\alpha$-Me | $\mathbf{8 e}$ | 79 |
| $\mathbf{7 f}$ | H | $\gamma$-Me | $\mathbf{8 f}$ | 80 |

Table 5. Catalytic cyclocarbonylation enantioselective reactions

| Reactant | R | R' | Product | Yield (\%) | Catalyst | Ee (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 g | H | - | 8 g | 84 | $(S, S)$ | 0 |
| 7h | H | $\alpha$-(Me) ${ }_{2}$ | 8h | 91 | $(S, S)$ | 0 |
| 71 | H | $\beta$-(Me) ${ }_{2}$ | $8 \mathbf{1}$ | 87 | $(S, S)$ | 58 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $(R, R)$ | 60 |
| 7j | H | $\gamma-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ | 8j | 86 | $(S, S)$ | 89 |
| 7k | Me | - | 8k | 80 | $(S, S)$ | 90 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $(R, R)$ | 89 |
| 71 | Me | $\gamma-(\mathrm{Me})_{2}$ | 81 | 88 | $(S, S)$ | 90 |
| 7m | Me | $\beta$-(Me) ${ }_{2}$ | 8m | 93 | $(S, S)$ | 58 |

## [C] Bode's approach

Bode and co-workers ${ }^{12 a}$ and also Glorius and co-workers ${ }^{12 b}$ have reported the catalytic generation of homoenolates from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated aldehydes and their application to the stereoselective synthesis of $\gamma$-butyrolactones (Scheme 5). A variety of enals with extended conjugation serve as efficient nucleophiles in direct annulations (Table 6).

## Scheme 5



Table 6. Direct, catalytic annulations of aldehydes and enals

| Entry | Ar | R | Product | Dr ratio | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ph | $4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 11a | 4:1 | 79 |
| 2 | Ph | 4-MeO-OCC6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 11b | 5:1 | 87 |
| 3 | 4-MeO-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $4-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 11c | 4:1 | 76 |
| 4 | 4-MeO-C66 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Ph | 11e | 4:1 | 65 |
| 5 | 1-Naph | - | 11 f | 5:1 | 67 |

## [D] Peter's approach

Lignans, dimers of phenylpropenes, are ubiquitous secondary plant metabolites. ${ }^{13}$ They exhibit notable biological activities, in particular antiviral, ${ }^{14 \mathrm{a}}$ cytotoxic ${ }^{14 \mathrm{~b}}$ and canceroprotective ${ }^{14 \mathrm{c}}$ properties. Peter and co-workers ${ }^{15}$ have reported the synthesis of biologically active lignan analogs by using transition metal catalysed reactions. The palladium catalysed cis-selective addition of tributylstannane to butynediol $\mathbf{1 3}$ gave diol 14. Treatment of diol 14 with NMO and $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ TPAP at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt afforded the lactones $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 16 in 47 \% yield (25:1 ratio). Lactones 15 and 16 were subjected to Stille coupling with benzyl bromides 12a-h to furnish the coupling products $\mathbf{1 7 a} \mathbf{-} \mathbf{h}$. In analogy,
only lactone $\mathbf{1 5}$ reacted with benzyl bromides $\mathbf{1 2 a}-\mathbf{h}$ to furnished the coupling products $\mathbf{1 7 a}-\mathbf{h}$ (Table 7). Hydrogenation of lactones $\mathbf{1 7 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{h}$ to lactones $\mathbf{1 8 a} \mathbf{- h}$ were achieved by means of $10 \%$ Pd on charcoal or Ra-Ni T4 (Table 8). Alkylation of lactones $\mathbf{1 8}$ with benzyl halides using LDA as base and DMPU as cosolvent provides lactone lignans 19 (Scheme 6 and Table 7).


Scheme 6. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, cat. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ (92\%); (ii) NMO , $7.5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ TPAP at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 62 h (47\%); (iii) 12a-h, Cat. $\mathrm{Pd}_{2} \mathrm{dba}_{3}$ (24-80\%); (iv) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, cat. Ra-Ni or Pd/C (70-98\%); (v) LiHMDS, DMPU, 12d,f-h, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(18-43 \%)$.

Table 7. Benzyl bromides 12a-h employed for the Stille coupling and yields of the reaction products $\mathbf{1 7 a} \mathbf{- h}$

| Entry | R | Bromide | Lactone | Yield(\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4-Mesyl-3-methoxy | $\mathbf{1 2 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ | 80 |
| 2 | 3,4,5-Trimethoxy | $\mathbf{1 2 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ | 56 |
| 3 | 4-Methyl | $\mathbf{1 2 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ | 76 |
| 4 | H | 12d | $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ | 70 |
| 5 | 4-Nitro | $\mathbf{1 2 e}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 e}$ | 24 |
| 6 | 2,4,6-Trimethyl | $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 f}$ | 77 |
| 7 | 3-Methoxy | $\mathbf{1 2 g}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 g}$ | 59 |
| 8 | 3,4-Methylinedioxy | $\mathbf{1 2 h}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 h}$ | 45 |

Table 8. Hydrogenation of the unsaturated lactones 17a-h using different catalysts

| Entry | Unsat. <br> lactone | Product | Catalyst | Pressure <br> (bar) | Time (h) | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ | Pd/C | 0.1 | 14 | 93 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 7 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ | Ra-Ni T4 | 0.1 | 2 | 98 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ | Pd/C | 0.1 | 14 | 0 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 7 b}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ | Ra-Ni T4 | 0.1 | 2 | 70 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 7 c}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 c}$ | Pd/C | 0.1 | 24 | 98 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{1 7 d}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 d}$ | Pd/C | 50 | 48 | 97 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{1 7 e}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 e}$ | Pd/C | 0.1 | 14 | 0 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{1 7 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 f}$ | Pd/C | 0.1 | 14 | 0 |
| 9 | $\mathbf{1 7 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 f}$ | Pd/C | 100 | 72 | 88 |
| 10 | $\mathbf{1 7 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 f}$ | Ra-Ni T4 | 0.1 | 2 | 98 |
| 11 | $\mathbf{1 7 g}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 g}$ | Pd/C | 100 | 14 | 92 |
| 12 | $\mathbf{1 7 h}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 h}$ | Pd(OH) 2 | 100 | 16 | 0 |
| 13 | $\mathbf{1 7 h}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 h}$ | Ra-Ni T4 | 0.1 | 2 | 70 |

Table 9. Alkylation of lactones $\mathbf{1 8 d}, \mathbf{f}-\mathbf{h}$ to the symmetrically and unsymmetrically substituted lignan analogues 19

| Entry | Lactone | Bromide | Residue (R') | Lignan | Yield (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathbf{1 8 d}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 d}$ | H | $\mathbf{1 9 d}$ | 30 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 8 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 f}$ | 2,4,6-Trimethyl | $\mathbf{1 9 f}$ | 43 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{1 8 f}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 h}$ | 3,4-Metrhylenedioxy | $\mathbf{1 9 f b}$ | 25 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{1 8 g}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 g}$ | 3-Methoxy | $\mathbf{1 9 g}$ | 18 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{1 8 h}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 h}$ | 3,4-Metrhylenedioxy | $\mathbf{1 9 h}$ | 35 |

## [E] Pearson's approach

Pearson et al ${ }^{16}$ have reported a novel methodology for the construction of hydroxylated $\gamma$ butyrolactones, via a diastereoselective osmylation reaction of organometallic species having an $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester lateral to a $\pi$-allyl molybdenum system. Aldehyde $\mathbf{2 0}^{17}$ on a Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reaction, with phosphonate 21 afforded the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 22 as a single isomer. The ester 22 on treatment with osmium tetroxide in THF, in the presence of tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) the diol 23 in $94 \%$ yield. The hydrolysis of $\mathbf{2 3}$ with KOH , followed by neutralization with HCl at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished crude acid 24 which on treatment with $\mathrm{NOBF}_{4}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, followed by addition of $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and subsequent exposure to air at room temperature, afforded lactone $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a single diastereoisomer (Scheme 7).
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Scheme 7. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) BuLi, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(E: Z=98: 2,92 \%$ ); (ii) (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, TMEDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, $\mathrm{MeOH},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}(94 \%$, dr $>98: 2$ ); (iii) KOH , MeOH ; (iv) (a) $\mathrm{NOBF}_{4}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (b) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $64 \%$ from 13, dr $>98: 2$ ).

## [F] Ramchandran's approach

Ramchandran et $a l^{18}$ have reported the synthesis of the $\alpha$-alkylidene- $\gamma$-aryl- $\gamma$ butyrolactones via the alkenylalumination of oxiranes. The reaction of $[\alpha-$ (ethoxycarbonyl)vinyl]diisobutylaluminum (27), prepared via the hydroalumination of ethyl propiolate with Dibal-H-NMO complex ${ }^{19}$ and substituted styrene oxide 26 gave the correspondinghydroxy ester 28 which on lactonisation furnished the corresponding $\gamma$ butyrolactone 29 in good yield (Scheme 8, Table 10).


Scheme 8. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}^{+}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (77-84\%); (ii) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 2-10 h (83-93\%).

Table 10. Vinylalumination and lactonization of substituted styrene oxides

| Entry | Styrene oxide |  | Homoallyl alcohol |  | $\gamma$-Butyrolactone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | X | No | Yield (\%) | No | Yield (\%) |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 6 a}$ | H | $\mathbf{2 8 a}$ | 82 | $\mathbf{2 9 a}$ | 88 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 6 b}$ | Cl | $\mathbf{2 8 b}$ | 84 | $\mathbf{2 9 b}$ | 93 |
|  | $\mathbf{2 6 c}$ | F | $\mathbf{2 8 c}$ | 77 | $\mathbf{2 9 c}$ | 91 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 6 d}$ | Br | $\mathbf{2 8 d}$ | 81 | $\mathbf{2 9 d}$ | 83 |

(Z)-[ $\alpha$-(Ethoxycarbonyl)- $\beta$-methylvinyl]diisobutylaluminum (30) and [ $\alpha$-(ethoxycarbonyl)-$\beta$-phenylvinyl]diisobutylaluminum (31) ${ }^{19}$ when reacted with styrene oxides 26a-d gave homoallylic alcohols 32a-d and 33a-d which on lactonisation furnished the corresponding $\gamma$-butyrolactone 34a-d and 35a-d in good yield. The reaction of ( $Z$ )- $\mathbf{3 2}$ with LDA, followed by treatment with BHT, provided the isomerized product $(E)$ - $\mathbf{3 2}$ in good yield. Lactonization of (Z)-32a, provided ( $E$ )-34a in good yield (Scheme 9, Table 11).


Scheme 9. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}^{+}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$ (77-84\%); (ii) $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 2-10 h (83-93\%).

Table 11. Alkenylalumination and lactonization of substituted styrene oxides

| Entry | Reagents | Styrene oxide | Homoallyl alcohol |  | $\gamma$-Butyrolactone |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No | Yield (\%) | No | Yield (\%) |
| 1 | 30 | $26 a$ | (Z)-32a | 76 | (Z)-34a | 82 |
| 2 | 30 | 26b | (Z)-32b | 77 | (Z)-34b | 85 |
| 3 | 30 | 26 c | (Z)-32c | 81 | (Z)-34c | 84 |
| 4 | 30 | 26d | (Z)-32d | 82 | ( $Z$ )-34d | 77 |
| 5 | $30^{a}$ | 26a | (E)-32a | 72 | (E)-34a | 80 |
| 6 | $30^{a}$ | 26b | (E)-32b | 73 | (E)-34b | 85 |
| 7 | $30^{a}$ | 26 c | (E)-32c | 77 | (E)-34c | 85 |
| 8 | $30^{a}$ | 26d | (E)-32d | 78 | (E)-34d | 78 |
| 9 | 31 | $26 a$ | (Z)-33a | 74 | (Z)-35a | 79 |
| 10 | 31 | 26b | (Z)-33b | 72 | (Z)-35b | 79 |
| 11 | 31 | 26 c | (Z)-33c | 76 | (Z)-35c | 70 |
| 12 | 31 | 26d | (Z)-33d | 75 | (Z)-35d | 74 |

${ }^{a}$ Reaction conditions: alcohol $\mathbf{3 0}(3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ added to LDA $(12.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . BHT (12 mmol ) in THF added at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and warmed to rt.

## [G] Spivey's approach

Spivey et al ${ }^{20}$ have reported the synthesis of trans and cis bicyclic ketolactones. 1,4Addition of the lithium enolate of methyldithioacetate (LMDTA) to ( $\pm$ )-4-O-TBS-2cyclohexenone (36) gave two isomeric products $\mathbf{3 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ exclusively depending on whether the reactions were quenched at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ or after warming to room temperature, respectively. HgO -mediated conversion of $\mathbf{3 7}$ and $\mathbf{3 8}$ to the isomeric methyl esters $\mathbf{3 9}$ and 41 followed by acid mediated TBS deprotection/lactonization gave ketolactone 40 and 42 respectively (Scheme 10).





Scheme 10. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) (a) $\mathrm{MeCS}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, LHMDS, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (b) aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}(77 \%)$; (ii) (a) $\mathrm{MeCS}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$, LHMDS, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rt, (b) aq. $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ( $53 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{HgO}_{\mathrm{BF}}^{3}$, MeOH (88\%); (iv) Conc. $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{MeCN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (73\%).

## [H] Woerpel's approach

Woerpel and co-workers ${ }^{21}$ have report a method for the stereoselective construction of the $\gamma$-butyrolactone subunit by the $[3+2]$ annulation reaction of substituted allylic silanes with $N$-chlorosulfonyl isocyanate $\left(\mathrm{ClSO}_{2} \mathrm{NCO}\right)$ and used it for the enantioselective synthesis of the polyketide metabolite (+)-blastmycinone (50). Silylation of 43 with benzhydryldimethylsilyl chloride followed by deprotection and oxidation of the resultant alcohol afforded an aldehyde, which was then treated with $n$-butyllithium and oxidized to give the acetylenic ketone 44 . Asymmetric transfer hydrogenation on 44 afforded the chiral alcohol $(R)-45$ with high enantioselectivity ( $97.4 \% e e$ ). The chiral alcohol $\mathbf{4 5}$ was then protected as the THP ether. Hydroboration, protonolysis, and deprotection afforded the ( $Z$ )-allylic alcohol, which was then treated with phenyl isocyanate to give the carbamate 46. A copper-mediated $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction provided chiral allylic silane 47 with high $(E)$ selectivity and enantioselectivity ( $95 \% e e$ ). The key $[3+2]$ annulation of 47 with
$\mathrm{ClSO}_{2} \mathrm{NCO}$ proceeded with a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ annulation ratio of $20: 1$ as determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopic analysis. After hydrolysis with aqueous HCl in THF, $\gamma$-lactone 48 was obtained in $72 \%$ yield with a diastereomeric ratio of $97: 3$ and an $e e$ of $94 \%$. The oxidation of the benzhydryldimethylsilyl group with $\mathrm{CsF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ yielded the corresponding alcohol without epimerization. The resultant alcohol was then acylated with isovaleroyl chloride to afford 49. Finally, oxidation of the terminal dimethylphenylsilyl group with $\mathrm{KBr}-\mathrm{AcOOH}$, followed by bromination and reduction of the resultant bromide, furnished (+)blastmycinone 50 (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) (a) $\mathrm{BuLi},\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Ch}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SiCl}, p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ (94\%), (b) PCC, BuLi (71\%), (c) PDC (91\%); (ii) Ru-catalyst (3 mol\%), $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ ( $99 \%$, $97.4 \%$ ee); (iii) (a) DHP, CSA (96\%), (b) $\mathrm{Cy}_{2} \mathrm{BH}, \mathrm{AcOH}, p-\mathrm{TsOH}$ (90\%), (c) PhNCO ( $96 \%$ ); (iv) $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{CuI} \cdot 2 \mathrm{LiCl}, \mathrm{PhMe}_{2} \mathrm{SiCH}_{2} \mathrm{MgCl}(E: Z=98: 2,88 \%$, $95 \%$ ee); (v) CSI, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $72 \%$ ); (vi) (a) $\mathrm{CsF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ ( $81 \%$ ), (b) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP (89\%); (vii) (a) KBr, AcOOH (73\%), (b) $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, AIBN (79\%).

## [I] Ikariya's approch

Ikariya and co-workers ${ }^{22}$ have reported the synthesis of $\gamma$-butyrolactones by an efficient oxidative lactonization of 1,4 -diols in acetone by the well-defined ruthenium catalyst, whose bifunctional nature underlies the high efficiency as well as unique chemo- and regioselectivity of the reaction. 1,4-Diols 51 was rapidly converted to the $\gamma$-butyrolactone 52 in acetone ( 0.5 M ) containing Ru-Catalyst (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RuCl}\left[\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}^{\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}-k_{2}-P, N\right]$ (1 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ), KOt-Bu ( $1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), acetone, $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $>99 \%$ ).

## [J] Lin's approach

Lin and co-workers ${ }^{23}$ have reported the synthesis of highly optically active $\alpha, \gamma$-substituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones by using a carbohydrate-derived amide as both a chiral auxiliary and a proton source. The wedge-shaped isosorbide derived methacrylate 53 and ketone 54 in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was treated with 2 equiv of $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, the reaction proceeded smoothly in the absence of any other proton source, and the diastereomeric trans- and cis- $\gamma$-butyrolactone 55 and 56 were obtained in good yield and enantioseletivity (Scheme 13, Table 12).


Scheme 13. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$, THF, -78 to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(36-91 \%)$.

Table 12. Enantioselective synthesis active $\alpha, \gamma$-substituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones using different Ketones

| Ketones | R | R' | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Trans-55 } \\ (e e \%) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Cis-56 } \\ & (e e \%) \end{aligned}$ | Yield <br> (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 54a | Me | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 95 | 29 | 57 |
| 54b | Me | Ph | 94 | 20 | 60 |
| 54c | Me | 2-Naphthyl | 96 | 99 | 44 |
| 54d | Me | 3,4-Methylenedioxy-phenyl | 77 | 87 | 91 |
| 54e | Me | $p-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 99 | 81 | 36 |
| 54 f |  | 1,2,3,4-Tetrahydro-naphthalen-1-yl | 71 | 79 | 82 |
| 54g | Et | Ph | 90 | 99 | 59 |
| 54h | Ph | Ph | 85 | 99 | 57 |

All these studies reveal that $\gamma$-butyrolactones are present in several biologically important natural products and unnatural compounds. The development of new potential routes to $\gamma$ butyrolactones is still a challenging task of current interest.

## 2C.2. Present Work Results and Discussion

The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reaction is a very important tool to form new carbon-carbon bonds in synthetic organic chemistry. Retrosynthetically, the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reactions of alkyl methyl ketones with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate followed by a reductive regioselective cyclization would constitute a simple two-step approach to 3,5-disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones via the $[3+2]$ annulation pathway (Figure 1).

## Figure 1



In continuation of our studies ${ }^{24}$ on cyclic anhydrides to bioactive natural products, we recently synthesized dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (57) starting from citraconic anhydride in two steps. ${ }^{25}$ We could perform a very chemoselective $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction of primary enolates of alkyl methyl ketones 58a-e with 57 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $70-85 \%$ yields (Scheme 14). In the present $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling of 57 with ketone enolates, the migration of the stable trisubstituted carbon-carbon double bond with the sole formation of the relatively less stable gem-disubstituted carbon-carbon double bond takes place as a result of excellent Michael acceptor capacity of the substrate 57 and a better leaving group ability of the bromide group.

The spectral characterization of these newly formed ketodiesters ( $\pm$ )-59a-e was easily possible on the basis of the appearance of two vinylic proton singlets for one hydrogen each in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 59a-e at ca. $\ddot{a} 5.27$ and ca. $\ddot{a} 6.28$. Upon treatment of the ketodiesters 59a-e with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.50 equiv) in methanol at room temperature, a highly diastereoselective reduction of the ketone carbonyl group took place with the attack of the hydride ion from the less hindered side (Cram addition) to generate the unisolable pair of enantiomers of hydroxydiesters ( $\pm$ )-60a-e, which on an in situ regioselective lactonization with the more reactive non-conjugated ester moiety furnished the cis-3,5-disubstituted lactones $( \pm)$-61a-e in $80-90 \%$ yields. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR data of these lactones 61a-e revealed that they are formed with $\sim 100 \%$ diastereoselectivity. Treatment of the lactonylacrylate 61e with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol at room temperature for 1 h facilitated the reduction of the carbon carbon double bond with a Michael-type addition of the hydride ion followed by a highly diastereoselective acquisition of a proton from the less hindered side leading to the formation of a mixture of diastereomers ( $\pm$ )-62 and ( $\pm$ )-63 in a 1:9 ratio (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) with $88 \%$ yield. Similarly, $( \pm)-\mathbf{5 9 e}$ too, on treatment with an excess of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, directly furnished the mixture of $( \pm)-62$ and $( \pm)-63$ in nearly the same ratio and yield. The mixture of 62 and 63 on recrystallization from dichloromethane provided analytically pure ( $\pm$ )-63
with $69 \%$ recrystallization yield. The structure of $( \pm)-\mathbf{6 3}$ thus obtained was established on the basis of analytical and spectral data, and it was unambiguously confirmed on the basis of X-ray crystallographic data. ${ }^{27}$

a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{c}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{d}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{8} \mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{e}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$.

Scheme 14. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$ (59a, 80\%; 59b, $78 \%$; 59c, $72 \%$; 59d, $70 \%$; 59e, $85 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.50 equiv.), MeOH, rt, 15 min (61a, $88 \%$; 61b, $85 \%$; 61c, $82 \%$; 61d, $80 \%$; 61e, $90 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 3.00 equiv.), MeOH , rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}(88 \%, \mathbf{6 2 : 6 3}=1: 9)$.

Next, we prepared a plan to synthesize the fused $\gamma$-butyrolactones using the present $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling reaction. Toward this, we performed the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling of cyclohexanone enolate with 57 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and obtained the coupling product in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 15). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the previously coupled product showed two sets of signals with nearly equal intensities, suggesting that a column inseparable mixture of diastereomers is formed in nearly equal proportions. However, the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ coupling of 57 and the cyclohexanone enolate, with an attack of the expected axial carbanion, was partly diastereoselective at $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulting in a mixture of diastereomers 65 and 66 in a nearly 8:2 ratio. The observed face selective coupling could be ascribed to the steric interactions between 57 and axial carbanionic species of $\mathbf{6 4}$ and/or the thermodynamic stability of the formed major diastereomer 65. It was not possible for us to still lower the temperature of
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Scheme 15. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) LDA, THF, $-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}(65 / 66=8: 2$, $80 \%$ ); (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.50 equiv), MeOH , rt, 15 min ( $88 \%$ ); (iii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 3.00 equiv), MeOH , rt, 1 h ( $85 \%, 69 / 70=15: 85$ ); (iv) (a) $\mathrm{AcOH} / \mathrm{HCl}$ (3:1), reflux, 6 h (92\%), (b) recrystallization from EtOAc (64\%).
the reaction mixture to obtain the complete diastereoselectivity, as the THF solution began solidifying below -105 to $-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Interestingly, the mixture of diastereomers 65 and 66 (1:1/8:2) underwent a very stereospecific $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction of the ketone group at room temperature with a less hindered equatorial approach of the hydride ion to generate the axial alcohols, which, upon in situ cyclization, exclusively furnished the octahydrobenzofuran $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{6 8}$ (pair of $R R R$ - and $S S S$-lactones) in $88 \%$ yield. Herein, we surmise that during the course of the reaction, the formed lactone from ( $\pm$ )-66 undergoes an instantaneous epimerization at an allylic carbon, with the catalytic amount of sodium methoxide generated in situ from $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and methanol, thus providing the single diastereomer ( $\pm$ )-68 in $88 \%$ yield. However, the mixture of $\mathbf{6 5}$ plus 66 on treatment with equimolar amounts of sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature directly furnished the lactone 72 in $87 \%$ yield, via the enolization, cyclization, and isomerization of the carbon-carbon double bond (Scheme 16).



72
Scheme 16. Reagents, conditions and yields: (i) $\mathrm{NaOMe}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, I h; (ii) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ (87\%).

Finally, further reduction of the carbon-carbon double bond in ( $\pm$ )-68 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ was also diastereoselective ( $70 \%$ de, by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) with abstraction of the proton occurring predominantly from the less hindered site giving rise to a mixture of $( \pm)-69$ (minor) and $( \pm)$-70 (major, pair of $R R R R$ - and $\operatorname{SSSS}$ - isomers) as a thick oil in $85 \%$ yield. Acidcatalyzed ester hydrolysis of 69 plus 70 furnished a diastereomeric mixture of corresponding carboxylic acids in $92 \%$ yield. Recrystallization of the previous diastereomeric mixture of acids in ethyl acetate gave the analytically pure single diastereomer with $64 \%$ recrystallization yield. The X-ray crystallographic data ${ }^{27}$ of the analytically pure diastereomer revealed that a $( \pm)$-lactone 71 is formed. Finally, on the basis of X-ray data, we could postulate the complete mechanistic and stereochemical aspects of the present conversion of $\mathbf{5 7}$ plus $\mathbf{6 4}$ to ( $\pm$ )-71 as indicated in Scheme 15.

## 2C.3. Summary

In summary, in this section we have presented the essence of methods employed in the literature to design the $\gamma$-butyrolactones derivatives both in racemic and enantiomerically pure form. We have demonstrated a simple, efficient as well as highly chemo-, regio- and diastereoselective approach to cis- 3,5-disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones for the first time by employing the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$-coupling reactions of ketones with dimethyl bromomethylfumarate (57) followed by reductive cyclization pathway. In the present approach, the face selective condensation of primary enolate of cyclohexanone with 57 and the diastereoselective reduction of the ketone moiety are noteworthy. ${ }^{26}$ We feel that our present approach is general in nature and the natural stereochemical outcome in the present approach to obtain $\gamma$-butyrolactones, which is interesting, would be useful to design a large number of desired substituted and bicyclic/fused structurally complex $\gamma$-butyrolactones. We also feel that the independent acrylate moiety at the 3-position in 61a-e and 68 will be useful for further synthetic structural elaborations and their Michael acceptor capacity might be an added advantage from the bioactivity point of view.

In conclusion, in the present three sections chapter we have described the relevant literature and our results with experimental and spectral data. Dialkyl bromomethylfumarates are the multifunctional entity and have been extensively used for different reactions at all the reactive sites for the construction of variety of bioactive natural products and unnatural compounds in past century. We used dialkyl bromomethylfumarate for the synthesis of natural products 2-phenyl-3-benzylmaleic anhydride, gymnoascolide A, camphorataanhydride, camphorataimides $B \& C$ and (+)-erythro-roccellic acid in $33 \%$ ee by using D-menthol as chiral auxillary. We feel that with a choice of an appropriate chiral auxiliary, it will be possible to synthesize the (+)-erythro-roccellic with high yield and enantiomeric excess. We have also described a simple, efficient as well as highly chemo-, regio- and diastereoselective approach to cis-3,5-disubstituted $\gamma$-butyrolactones. We have also demonstrated that the reagent NBS-DBP brings about both allylic bromination and Z- to E- carbon-carbon double bond isomerization. The present studies also provide a useful caution mark to the chemists attempting allylic bromination of Z-alkenes.

## 2C. 4 Dissertation Conclusions and Perspectives

A look at the literature reveals that the itaconic acid derivatives and dialkyl bromomethylfumarate are the more common and widely used synthons for the synthesis of natural products and unnatural compounds. These studies also provided us a nice opportunity for learning a lot of new chemistry not just from our work but also from the vast literature in this field, covering practically all aspects in synthetic organic chemistry. Although several references have been cited from our group we feel that they are relevant to the subject. In the introduction part of both the chapters, we have initially discussed the synthesis of natural and unnatural compounds, which is followed by the important methodologies. Thus, overall, in the present dissertation, we have seen and proved the utility of itaconic acid and derivatives for the synthesis of important heterocycles, natural products and unnatural compounds. We now with our experience foresee that the naturally occurring 5-carbon itaconic acid with several active sites may be a part structure of ample number of structurally interesting and biologically important complex carbocycles, alkaloids and oxygen heterocycles. The above discipline has enjoyed a glorious past and holds a great deal of significance in the present day world of chemistry (\& medicine). It can be said with assurance that, this interesting discipline will spread wings wider over the field of organic and pharmaceutical chemistry in the future.

## 2C.5. Experimental section

Melting points are uncorrected. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra were recorded on 200 MHz NMR spectrometer using TMS as an internal standard. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on either 200 NMR spectrometer ( 50 MHz ) or 400 NMR spectrometer ( 100 MHz ). The IR spectra were recorded on an FT-IR spectrometer. Column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (60-120 mesh). Commercially available citraconic anhydride, 2-butanone, 2-hexanone, 2-nonanone, 2-dodecanone, acetophenone, cyclohexanone, $n$ $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and N -bromosuccinimide were used.
( $\pm$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxobutyl)succinate (59a). To a stirred solution of 2butanone ( $144 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added freshly prepared LDA ( $214 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) in a dropwise fashion under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature for 1 h and the above reaction mixture was added to a stirred solution of dimethyl bromomethylfumarate ( $\mathbf{5 7}, 474 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere in a dropwise fashion. Stirring was continued for a further 10 minutes at the same temperature. The reaction was then quenched with a saturated solution of $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 30 mL $x$ 4) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the organic layer in vacuo followed by silica gel column chromatographic purification of the residue using a mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (2:8) as an eluant gave 59a as a thick oil ( $365 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ).
IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1740,1730,1717,1630,1437,1231 \mathrm{~cm}$
1

The compounds 59b-e, 65 and 66 were prepared similarly using the above procedure.
( $\pm$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxohexyl)succinate (59b). Starting from 57 (474 mg, 2.00 mmol ) and 2-hexanone ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound 59b was obtained as a thick oil ( $400 \mathrm{mg}, 78 \%$ ).
IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1742,1728,1715,1632,1439,1232 \mathrm{~cm}$
1
( $\pm$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxononyl)succinate (59c). Starting from 57 (474 mg, 2.00 mmol ) and 2-nonanone ( $284 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound 59c was obtained as a thick oil ( $430 \mathrm{mg}, 72 \%$ ).

|  | IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1740,1720,1630,1437,1231 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> 1.26 (bs, 8 H ), 1.57 (quintet, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.35-2.50 (m, 2H), 2.61 (dd, $J=18 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19$ (dd, $J=18$ \& $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{dd}, J=10$ \& $4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.0,22.5,23.7,29.0$, 29.1, 31.6, 42.6, 42.9, 44.0, 52.3 ( 2 carbons), 127.8, 137.9, 166.1, 172.8, 208.2. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 64.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.78$. Found: C, 64.32; H, 8.59. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxododecyl)succinate (59d). Starting from 57 ( 474 mg , 2.00 mmol ) and 2-dodecanone ( $360 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound 59d was obtained as a thick oil (476 mg, 70\%).

|  <br> 59d <br> $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathbf{3 4 0})$ | IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1740,1720,1630,1462,1437,1232 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.84(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.22 (bs, 14H), 1.53 (quintet, $J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.30-2.50 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.58 (dd, $J=18 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=18$ \& $8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8$ \& $4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.0,22.6,23.6,29.1$, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 31.8, 42.6, 42.9, 43.9, 52.2 (2 carbons), 127.7, 137.9, 166.1, 172.7, 208.1. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5}: \mathrm{C}, 67.03$; H, 9.47. Found: C, 66.95; H, 9.58. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\pm$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxo-2-phenylethyl)succinate (59e). Starting from 57 ( $474 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and acetophenone ( $240 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound 59e was obtained as a thick oil ( $470 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%$ ).

| IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1736,1720,1686,1630,1448,1437$, |
| :--- | :--- |
| $1260 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

( $\pm$ )-Dimethyl 2-methylene-3-(2-oxocyclohexyl)succinate ( 65 \& 66). Starting from 57 $(474 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ and cyclohexanone ( $196 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the mixture of compounds 65 and 66 was obtained as a thick oil (mixture of diastereomers in the ratio of $1: 1,406 \mathrm{mg}$, $80 \%$ ).

| IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1734,1713,1628,1437 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| :--- | :--- |

( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(5-ethyl-2-oxo-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acrylate (61a). To a solution of 59a $(228 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 minutes. The reaction was then quenched with water. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 25 mLx 4 ) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the organic layer in vacuo followed by silica gel column chromatographic purification of the residue using a mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) as an eluant gave 61a as a thick oil ( $174 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ).

|  | IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1771,1720,1634,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.55-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (ddd, $J=12,10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=12 \& 8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-4.50$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 9.3,28.2,35.0,44.9$, 52.1, 80.0, 129.2, 136.1, 165.7, 175.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 60.59; H, 7.12. Found: C, 60.76; H, 7.03. |
| :---: | :---: |

The compounds 61b-e and 68 were prepared similarly using the above procedure.
( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(5-butyl-2-oxo-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acrylate (61b). Starting from 59b ( $256 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound $\mathbf{6 1 b}$ was obtained as a thick oil (192 mg, 85\%).

|  <br> 61b <br> $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (226) | IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1771,1720,1634,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $1.15-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.07(\mathrm{~m}$, <br> $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53$ (ddd, $J=13,8 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 13.8,22.3,27.2,35.0$, 35.4, 44.9, 52.0, 78.8, 129.1, 136.0, 165.6, 175.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 63.70; H, 8.02. Found: C, 63.61; H, 7.92. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(5-heptyl-2-oxo-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acrylate (61c). Starting from 59c ( $298 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ the compound 61 c was obtained as a thick oil ( $220 \mathrm{mg}, 82 \%$ ).

|  <br> 61c $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{2 6 8})$ | IR (Neat) $\quad v_{\max } 1771,1720,1632,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.27 (bs, 10H), 1.40-1.85 (m, 2H), 1.85-2.10 (m, 1H), 2.54 (ddd, $J=12,10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=12 \& 8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.41(s,1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.0,22.5,25.2,29.1$, 29.2, 31.7, 35.4, 35.6, 44.9, 52.1, 78.9, 129.2, 136.1, 165.7, <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 67.14; H, 9.01. Found: C, 66.98; H, 8.93. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(5-decyl-2-oxo-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acrylate (61d). Starting from 59d ( $340 \mathrm{mg}, 1.00 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) the compound $\mathbf{6 1 d}$ was obtained as a thick oil ( $248 \mathrm{mg}, 80 \%$ ).

|  <br> 61d $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathbf{3 1 0})$ | IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1774,1734,1634,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $1.25(\mathrm{bs}, 16 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $2.54(\mathrm{ddd}, J=12,10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, <br> $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.30-4.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.41(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 14.0,22.6,25.2,29.2$, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 31.8, 35.4, 35.6, 44.9, 52.1, 78.9, 129.1, 136.1, 165.7, 175.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 69.64; H, 9.74. Found: C, 69.57; H, 9.88. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(2-oxo-5-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)acrylate (61e). Starting from 59e ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(45 \mathrm{mg}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol})$ the compound 61 e was obtained as a white solid ( $160 \mathrm{mg}, 90 \%$ ).

|  | Mp 127-128 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\max } 1771,1728,1632,1439 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \boldsymbol{\delta} 2.30-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83$ <br> (ddd, $J=12,10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80$ <br> (s, 3H), 5.42 (dd, $J=10 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45$ <br> ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.30-7.50 (m, 5H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 38.1,45.6,52.2,79.7$, 125.9, 128.6, 128.7, 129.7, 135.7, 138.8, 165.5, 175.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 68.28; H, 5.73. Found: C, 68.22; H, 5.71. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\pm$ )-Methyl 2-(2-oxo-octahydrobenzofuran-3-yl)acrylate (68). Starting from a mixture of $65 \& 66(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$, the compound $\mathbf{6 8}$ was obtained as a thick oil ( $116 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%$ ).

|  | IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1769,1732,1632,1445 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.15-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-$ <br> $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=14 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.65-3.90(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 5.77 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.46 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 24.0,25.1,27.4,30.1$, 50.1, 50.3, 52.2, 83.0, 129.2, 134.9, 166.0, 175.5. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 64.27; H, 7.19. Found: C, 64.41; H, 7.23. |
| :---: | :---: |

Methyl 2-(2-oxo-octahydrobenzofuran-3-yl)propanoate ( 69 \& 70). To a solution of a mixture of 65 \& $66(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(72 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.80 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 hour. The reaction was then quenched with water. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $25 \mathrm{~mL} x 4$ ) and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the organic layer in vacuo followed by silica gel column chromatographic purification of the residue using a mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) as an eluant gave the mixture of $\mathbf{6 9} \& 70$ as a thick oil (the mixture of diastereoisomers was formed in the ratio of $\mathbf{6 9 : 7 0}=15: 85,113 \mathrm{mg}, 85 \%)$. Major isomer $( \pm)-70$.


70
$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}(226)$

IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1778,1734,1450,1254 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.20-2.00 (m, 8H), 2.15-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.85 (dd, $J=14$ \& $4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-3.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.70-3.83$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 12.8,23.9,25.1,28.0$, 30.0, 37.3, 45.8, 48.6, 51.9, 82.7, 174.8, 176.7.

Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 63.70; H, 8.02. Found: C, 63.65; H, 8.14.

Methyl 2-(2-oxo-5-phenyl-tetrahydrofuran-3-yl)propanoate ( 62 \& 63). Starting from 59e ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(90 \mathrm{mg}, 2.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ the mixture of $\mathbf{6 2} \& 63$ was obtained as a white solid (the mixture of diastereoisomers was formed in the ratio of 62:63 $=10: 90,158 \mathrm{mg}, 88 \%)$. Recrystallization from dichloromethane furnished the analytically pure major isomer $( \pm)-63$.

|  <br> 63 $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}(248)$ | Mp 79-80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }} 1774,1732,1458 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, <br> 2.08-2.30 (m, 1H), 2.68 (ddd, $J=12,8 \& 6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.85-3.20 (m, 2H), 3.69 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.37 (dd, $J=12 \& 6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$. <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 15.1,34.5,38.6,44.1$, 51.9, 79.5, 125.7, 128.5, 128.6, 139.1, 173.9, 176.8. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 67.73; H, 6.50. Found: C, 67.89; H, 6.47. |
| :---: | :---: |

( $\mathbf{( ) - 2 - ( 2 - O x o - o c t a h y d r o b e n z o f u r a n - 3 - y l ) p r o p a n o i c ~ a c i d ~ ( 7 1 ) . ~ A ~ s o l u t i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ m i x t u r e ~}$ of 69 \& $70(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{AcOH}: \mathrm{HCl}(3: 1)(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 6 h . The reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo and the resulting solution was washed with ethyl acetate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated in vacuo to give a mixture of diastereomers as a white solid ( $60 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ). Recrystalization from ethyl acetate furnished the analytically pure major isomer 71.

|  <br> 71 $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (212) | Mp 215-216 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. <br> IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }}$ 2700-2500, 1757, 1697, 1464, $1377 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 1.00-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ (d, $J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.55-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.00-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45-4.55(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (Acetone- $\left.d_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta 16.9,20.4,23.0$, $23.7,28.0,36.5,38.0,51.3,78.1,176.9,177.6$. <br> Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 62.25; H, 7.60. Found: C, 62.37; H, 7.56. |
| :---: | :---: |

## ( $\boldsymbol{E}$ )-Methyl 2-(2-Oxo-4,5,6,7-tetrahydrobenzofuran-3(2H)-ylidene)propanoate (72). To

 a solution of a mixture of $\mathbf{6 5}$ and $\mathbf{6 6}(125 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaOMe}(27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with ethyl acetate ( 15 mL ), and acidified with 2 N HCl . The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $10 \mathrm{~mL} x$ 2 ), and the combined organic layer was washed with brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the organic layer in vacuo followed by silica gel column chromatographic purification of the residue using a mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (2:8) as an eluant gave 72 as a white solid ( $95 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ ).|  <br> 72 $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}(222)$ | Mp 88-89 ${ }^{\circ}$ C. <br> IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }} 1736,1719,1647 \mathrm{~cm}-1$. <br> ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $(\mathrm{CDCl} 3,200 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 1.55-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.03$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.53(\mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). <br> ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $(\mathrm{CDCl} 3,50 \mathrm{MHz}) \delta 13.8,21.4,21.8,23.4$, 27.3, 52.5, 109.1, 119.6, 145.4, 157.7, 163.3, 166.4. <br> Anal.Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ : C, 64.85; H, 6.35. Found: C, 65.03; H, 6.52. |
| :---: | :---: |
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## Erratum


[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ The Z-alkenes and geometric mixtures of alkenes were prepared by using known literature procedures. ${ }^{70,75}$ ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 50 \%$ Dimethyl dibromomethylmaleate was also formed. ${ }^{\text {c }} 10-15 \%$ Mixture of ring brominated products was also obtained. $\mathrm{Ar}=3,5$-dimethoxyphenyl; $\mathrm{Ar}^{\prime}=p$-methoxyphenyl.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ All the reactions were carried out in 2 mL of $\mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ in 0.2 mmol scale. The ratio of substrate/ $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{COD}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / 2 / \mathrm{BINAP} / \mathrm{AgSbF}_{6}\right.$ was 1: 0.05: 0.11:0.20. This reaction finished within 2-10 min. ${ }^{b}$ Isolated yield. ${ }^{c} \mathrm{Ee}$ value was determined by GC or HPLC. ${ }^{d}$ Stereochemical assignments $-(R)$ and $-(S)$ are based on comparison with known compound $\mathbf{6 a}$.

