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1. All melting points and boiling points are uncorrected and the temperatures are in the centigrade scale.
2. The compound numbers, scheme numbers and reference numbers given in each section refers to that particular section only.
3. All solvents were distilled before use. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling in the range of $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4. Solvents for anhydrous reaction were prepared according to the procedures reported in Perrin's book.
5. TLC analysis was carried out using thin layer plates pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) and visualized by fluorescence quenching or Iodine or by charring after treatment with $p$-anisaldehyde.
6. In cases where chromatographic purification was done, silica gel (230-400 mesh) was used as the stationary phase or otherwise as stated.
7. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Infrared Spectrophotometer Model 68B or on Perkin-Elmer 1615 FT Infrared spectrophotometer.
8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were recorded on Bruker AC-200 ( 50 MHz ) or Bruker MSL-300 ( 75 MHz ) or Bruker AV-400 ( 100 MHz ) or Bruker DRX-500 (125 MHz ). Figures in parentheses refer to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ frequencies. Tetramethylsilane was used as the internal standard.
9. GCMS were recorded on Shimadzu's GCMS-QP5050-A.
10. Mass spectra were recorded at ionization energy 70 eV on Finnigan MAT-1020, automated GC/MS instrument and on API Q STARPULSAR using electron spray ionization [(ESI), solvent medium, a mixture of water, acetonitrile and ammonium acetate] technique and mass values are expressed as $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$.
11. Starting materials were obtained from commercial sources or prepared using known procedures.
12. Microanalytical data were obtained using a Carlo-Erba CHNS-O EA 1108 Elemental analyzer within the limits of accuracy ( $\pm 0.4 \%$ )

## Abbreviations

Ac
AIBN
AIDS
Ar
aq
$\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$
Bn
BOC
$n$-BuLi
sBu
tBu
Bz
CAN
Cat.
Cbz
CM
COM
CPT
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
DBU
DCC
DCM
DDQ
DEAD
DEPT

DHP
(DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR
DIBAL-H
DMAP
DMB
DME
DMF

Acetyl
2,2-Azobis(isobutyronitrile)
Acquired Immuno deficiency syndrome
Aromatic
Aqueous
Borane tribromide
Benzyl
tert-Butoxycarbonyl
normal butyllithium
secondary butyl
tertiary butyl
Benzoyl
Ceric ammonium nitrate
Catalytic
Carbobenzyloxy
Cross metathesis
Cross olefin metathesis
Camptothecin
Temperature in degrees Centigrade
1,8-Diazabicyclo[5,4,0]undec-7-ene
1,3-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
Dichloromethane
2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
Diethyl azodicarboxylate
Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization
Transfer
Dihydropyran
Dihydroquinidine diphenylpyrimidine
Diisobutylaluminium hydride
4-Dimethylaminopyridine
Dimethoxy benzyl
Dimethoxy ethane
$N, N$-Dimethylformamide

| DMS | Dimethyl sulphate |
| :---: | :---: |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| equiv (eq) | Equivalent |
| Et | Ethyl |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | Triethyl amine |
| EtOAc | Ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | Ethyl alcohol |
| g | gram/s |
| GCMS | Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer |
| h | hour/s |
| HMPA | Hexamethylphosphoramide |
| HMDS | Hexamethyldisilazane |
| IR | Infrared |
| LA | Lewis acid |
| LAH | Lithium Aluminium Hydride |
| LDA | Lithium diisopropyl amide |
| mCPBA | meta-Chloroperbenzoic acid |
| Me | Methyl |
| MF | Molecular formula |
| mL | millilitre/s |
| mmol | millimole |
| MOM | methoxymethyl |
| m.p. | melting point |
| MS | Mass spectroscopy or Molecular sieves |
| MW | Microwave or Molecular weight |
| NaH | Sodium hydride |
| $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ | Sodium metaperiodate |
| NCS | $N$-Chlorosuccinamide |
| NMO | $N$-Methyl morpholine N -oxide |
| NMR | Nuclear magnetic resonance |
| ORTEP | Oak Ridge Thermal Ellipsoid Plot |
| PCC | Pyridinium chlorochromate |
| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| Ph | Phenyl |


| PMB | 4-methoxybenzyl |
| :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{i}$ Pr | Isopropyl |
| PTP | Protein tyrosine phosphatase |
| PTSA | para-Toluene sulfonic acid |
| Py | Pyridine |
| QSAR | Quantitative structure activity relationship |
| RCM | Ring-closing metathesis |
| rt | root temperature |
| SAR | Structure activity relationship |
| TBABr | Tetrabutyl ammonium bromide |
| TBAHSO 4 | Tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulphate |
| TBDMSOTf | tert-Butyldimethylsilyl triflate |
| TBTH | tributyltin hydride |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| TFAA | Trifluroacetic anhydride |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| TMSCl | Trimethyl silyl chloride |
| TMSI | Trimethyl silyl iodide |
| TPP | Triphenyl phosphine |
| Ts | Tosyl |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
|  |  |

## Abstract

The thesis entitled "Synthetic studies towards camptothecin, its analogues and other biologically active compounds" is divided into three chapters.

## Chapter 1

## Section I: General introduction of camptothecin

The camptothecin 1 was first time isolated by Wall and Wani in 1966 from Camptotheca acuminata, the structural elucidation and antitumor activity of its various derivatives by a unique mechanism involving the inhibition of DNA Topoisomerasse I triggered a great deal of interest at the chemical level. Nothapodytine B 5 (mappicine ketone) has been recently isolated from Nothapodytine foetida which is an oxidized form of mappicine 6 and E-ring decarboxylative analogue of CPT 1. It exhibited potent antiviral activities against HSV-1, HSV -2 and HCMV. Although numerous imaginative syntheses of camptothecins have been reported still there is an evident need for the development of a novel and practical synthetic routes.

$1 R^{1}, R^{2}, R^{3}=H$ Camptothecin
$2 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCON}+\mathrm{N} \cdot \mathrm{HCl} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Irinotecan
$3 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$ Topotecan

$4 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$ Nothapodytine A $5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$ Nothapodytine B (mappicine ketone)
$6 \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{S})-\mathrm{OH}$ Mappicine

Fig. 1
A general introduction of camptothecin and its analogues along with its brief review on their synthesis emphasizing mainly the synthetic approaches is presented.

## Section II: General account on metathesis

This section describes a history of metathesis, different catalysts for metathesis, types of metathesis, and proposed mechanisms.

Section III: Studies towards synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis and RCM.

The present section describes the synthesis of advanced intermediate of camptothecins employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis and RCM. Thus alkylation of Schiff's base $\mathbf{7}$ with the bromo compound $\mathbf{8}$ under PTC conditions to give alkylated Schiff's base $\mathbf{9}$ which on hydrolysis liberated free amine and subsequently the resultant amine was protected as its benzyl carbamate 10. The Michael addition followed by

Dieckmann condensation sequence with ethyl acrylate furnished $\beta$-ketoester, which was further decarboxlated to pyrrolidone 11. The ketone was protected as its cyclic ketal 12. The carbamate was deprotected with KOH in ethanol to provide amine which was further condensed with methacryloyl chloride in DCM to furnish the amide 13. Treatment of amide $\mathbf{1 3}$ in the presence of Grubbs' $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst furnished $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 14 in good yield, the DDQ oxidation of the dihydropyridone gave pyridone 15. The pyridone 15 can be converted into advanced intermediate 16 by deprotection followed by Friedlander condensation and it can be further manipulated into other related molecules (mappicine 6, mappicine ketone 5, camptothecin 1 and homocamptothecin 17).
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Scheme 1 reagents and conditions: (a) $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 equiv), PhCHO (0.9 equiv), MS, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ ( 1.5 equiv), allyl bromide (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt $2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 equiv), $r t, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), benzylchloroformate (1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$. (e) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, rt 1h, reflux 2-3 h, 72\%. (f) NaCl
(4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$.(g) ethylene glycol (1.2 equiv), p TSA (cat.), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$ (h) KOH (14.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux, 6 h (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), methacryloyl chloride (1.2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$ over two steps. (j) Grubbs' catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation (10 mol\%), $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, anhydrous toluene, 80 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$.Grubbs catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation (10 mol\%), anhydrous toluene, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24$ $h, 92 \%$ on the recovery basis of starting material. (k) DDQ (1.2 equiv), anhydrous 1, 4 dioxane, reflux, 8 h, 96\%.

In conclusion, the advanced intermediate of camptothecins 15 has been synthesized employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis followed by ring-closing metathesis protocol as the key step for the construction of pyridone D-ring.

## Chapter 2

## Section I: Total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin employing tandem Knoevenagel

 condensation and Michael addition.Encouraged by the results achieved in the synthesis of advanced intermediate of CPT employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis followed by ring-closing metathesis (Ch. 1, Sec. III). This section deals with the formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-CPT employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition as a key step.
Accordingly the key precursor 25 which is important for the tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition was synthesized as per literature procedure and shown in scheme 2.


Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions (a) PhCHO ( 0.9 equiv), $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 equiv), MS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ ( 1.5 equiv), allyl bromide (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt $2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 equiv), rt, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), benzylchloroformate (1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$.
(e) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, rt 1h, reflux 2-3 h, 72\%. (f) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. (g) N-(o-aminobenzilidine)ptoluidine (1.2 equiv), PTSA (cat), anhydrous toluene, azeotropic distillation 3-4 h, 75\%. (h) KOH (14.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux, 8h. (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$ in two steps. (j) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.1 equiv), acetone-water (3:1), rt, 6 h , (k) n-BuLi (2.1 equiv), ethyl butyrate, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $r t, 3-4$ h, $56 \%$. (l) DDQ (2.1 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, reflux, 2-3 h, 97\%. (m) LiOH (1.0 equiv), EtOH, rt, 7-8 h. (n) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ (0.3 equiv), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 43 \%$ in two steps. (o) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$.

Compound 25 was converted to aldehyde by oxidative cleavage using catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ and the generated aldehyde without isolation was subjected to the treatment with enolate of ethyl butyrate in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt resulted the desired tetracyclic compound 26 in 56\% yield. The tetracyclic compound 26 was aromatized using DDQ in 1,4-dioxane, afforded compound 27 in excellent yield. Tricyclic compound 27 was converted deoxycamptothecin by hydrolysis of aliphatic acid salt using LiOH followed by lactonization using $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ in $43 \%$ yield. Finally deoxycamptothecin was transformed to ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$.
In conclusion, total synthesis of $( \pm)$-camptothecin 1 has been achieved employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition.

## Section II: Total synthesis of (+)-camptothecin employing Pd-catalyzed cyclization strategy.

After achieving the formal synthesis of racemic CPT employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition as described in Chapter 1, section I, this section demonstrates the total synthesis of (+)-CPT via. tandem novel Pd-mediated cyclization and aromatization under Wacker condition.

Accordingly the important precursor 25 was synthesized from 18 as shown in section I. The compound 25 was treated with catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and 2.1 equivalent $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, furnished the compound 28 in $54 \%$ yield. The compound 28 was treated with diethyl carbonate using LDA in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the compound 29 in $70 \%$ yield, the treatment of compound 29 with ethyl iodide using NaH in THF furnished diester compound 27 in $64 \%$ yield which is the same intermediate as described in earlier section. The selective reduction of aromatic ester 27 to aldehyde 30 in $83 \%$ yield was achieved using DIBAL-H. The aldehyde $\mathbf{3 0}$ was further reduced to lactol 31 in $90 \%$ yield via the intermediacy of alcohol which
underwent lactonization and further reduction into lactol 31 using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The lactol 31 was transformed into enol ether 32 in excellent yield (92\%) via $O$-mesylation followed by elimination. Sharpless asymmetric dehydroxylation on 32 followed by oxidation furnished (+)-camptothecin 1.


Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions (a) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (2.1 equiv), $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%$. (b) LDA (1.1 equiv), Diethyl carbonate ( 1.0 equiv), THF, -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$. (c) NaH (1.1 equiv), EtI (1.1 equiv), anhydrous DME, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}$, 64\%. (d) DIBAL-H (3.0 equiv), dry THF, -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv), THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5:1), $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$. (f) MsCl (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (8.0 equiv), anhydrous THF, rt, 24 h, 92\%. (g) (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$-PYR (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}$ (h) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), $\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 33 \%$ in two steps.

In conclusion, total synthesis of (+)-CPT 1 has been achieved employing cascade novel Pd-catalyzed cyclization followed by aromatization under Wacker condition.
Section III: Formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin
The clinical use of camptothecin's has been limited owing to its insolubility and toxicity, but extensive structure activity relation studies have identified its various analogues having better solubility and with equal or better antitumor activity, which resurged the interest of the chemists as well as oncologists. Synthetic approaches for these analogues have typically involved synthesis of suitably functionalized CDE-rings or DE-rings or precursors thereof, which was then coupled with appropriate counter
parts. Keeping this concept in mind it proposed to synthesize the DE-ring which would have the flexibility to obtained the analogues of camptothecin as shown in scheme 4.


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions (a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $3 h, 97 \%$. (b) NaH (1.2 equiv), diethylmalonate (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{6}$, rt, overnight, 85\%. (c) DDQ (1.2 equiv), anhydrous 1, 4 dioxane, reflux, 6 h, $96 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl iodide (1.2 equiv), anhydrous acetone, reflux, 12 h, 91\%. (e) LiOH (5.0 equiv), EtOH, rt, 24 h, 84\%. (f) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ ( 0.1 equiv), MeOH, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$. (g) i) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.0 equiv), methylchloroformate (1.0 equiv), anhydrous THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h . ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (4.0 equiv), -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$. (h) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, r t, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 62 \%$.

Accordingly the $\beta$-ketoester 33 was prepared as per literature procedure and treatment of 33 with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in DCM furnished 4-chloro dihydropyridone 34. Michael addition of diethyl malonate was accomplished on 34 using NaH in benzene to furnish triester dihydropyridone 35. The aromatization of dihydropyridone 35 using DDQ in refluxing 1,4-dioxane furnished pyridone 36 in $96 \%$ yield. The triester 36 was treated with ethyl iodide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base to give alkylated product 37 in $91 \%$ yield. The global hydrolysis of esters followed by mono decarboxylation of aliphatic acid was achieved in one pot using excess lithium hydroxide at room temperature to furnish diacid 38 in good yield. The selective esterification of aliphatic acid in presence of
heteroaromatic acid was accomplished using nickel chloride as a catalyst to deliver compound 39 in good yield. Compound 39 was subjected to the treatment with methyl chloroformate in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish mixed anhydride intermediate whose subsequent reduction to alcohol resulted in lactonization using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ to furnish lactone 40 in $84 \%$ yield. The hydroxylation was carried out using $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ and catalytic amount of dimethyl amine under oxygen atmosphere to furnish $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 41 in $98 \%$ yield. Finally the $N$-debenzylation was successfully carried out employing catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, furnished desired DE-ring synthon 42 in $62 \%$ yield. This is the common intermediate in Comins synthesis which could be converted to ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ by a two step sequence i.e. coupling of pyridone with quinoline nucleus and intramolecular Heck reaction.

In summary, a formal total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 has been achieved employing addition elimination reaction as a key step.

## Chapter 3

## Section I: Synthesis of 3- Ethyl -4- methyl-3- pyrroline -2- one



3-ethyl-4-methyl-3-pyrrolin-2-one 43


Glimepiride 44


C-phycocyanin 45

Figure 1
3- Ethyl -4- methyl -3- pyrroline -2- one 43 is key intermediate in anti-diabetic drug viz. Glimepiride 44. It belongs to sulfonylureas kind of drug and shows potent activity against diabetic disease. The intermediate 43 is also present as main precursor in bile pigments C-phycocyanin 45 present in plants. Bile pigment takes part in the very useful photosynthesis reaction which prepares its own food and it is basic need of human being and other animals.

This seemingly simple but commercially very important molecule has been earlier synthesized in very low overall yields involving use of hazardous reagents and high pressure hydrogenation.

A programme was initiated to look into a practical synthesis of this substituted unsaturated lactam by three different approaches which are described below.

## Part A: Knoevenagel condensation approach:

The amine 47 was prepared from commercially available methallyl chloride 46 using simple and straight forward transformation shown in scheme 5. Amine 47 was further treated with ethyl malonyl chloride and it was converted into its tertiary amide $\mathbf{4 8}$ in $86 \%$ yield. The oxidative cleavage of 48 was carried out using catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4} \& 2.1$ equivalent $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to furnish its corresponding keto compound 49. The intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation was carried out employing NaH in THF furnished cyclized product 50 in $84 \%$ yield, compound 50 was further decarboxylated under Krapcho's condition to give $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 51 in $87 \%$. The selective ethylation at $\alpha$ carbon on $\alpha, \beta$ - unsaturated lactam 51 was accomplished using NaH in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford compound 52 in $71 \%$ yield \& finally deprotection of PMB group of lactam 52 employing cerium ammonium nitrate in acetonitrile-water (5:1) at rt furnished desired intermediate 43 in 80\% yield.


Scheme 5 reagents \& conditions (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv), dry DMSO, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12$ h. (b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$. (c) p-anisaldehyde (1.2 equiv), dry $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$ over four steps (e) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), dry DCM, ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.2 equiv), acetone-water (3:1), rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$. (g) NaH (2.0 equiv), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$,

84\%. (h) NaCl (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$. (i) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl iodide (1.2 equiv), dry THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$. (j) CAN (2.5 equiv), acetonitrile: water (5:1), rt, 2 h, $80 \%$.

## Part B: Pd-catalyzed cyclization approach:

Having a synthesis of $\mathbf{4 3}$ employing intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation strategy, it was thought to minimize the number of steps and improve the yield using cheap starting materials employing a novel Pd-mediated cyclization as a key step.

Thus, the synthesis started from readily available allyl amine 53, which was converted into its secondary amine 54 (97\%) by reductive amination using $p$-anisaldehyde, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol. The treatment of 54 with ethyl malonyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base in DCM at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished tertiary amide 55 in $86 \%$ yield. When 55 was subjected to the treatment with catalytic $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and 2.1 equiv $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (6:1) at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted the cyclized product 50 in $62 \%$ yield which is common intermediate in scheme 5 and which was converted into target compound 43.


Scheme 6 Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) p-anisaldehyde (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} .86 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2.1 equiv), DMF $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight, $62 \%$.

## Part C: RCM approach:

After the synthesis of $\mathbf{4 3}$ by two routes shown above, it was believed that it can be synthesized utilizing RCM strategy in short manner.
Thus, the amine 47 was synthesized from commercially available pmethoxybenzylamine 56 and methallyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base. Acylation of the amine 47 with ethacryloyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base in DCM at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished acrylamide 57 in $91 \%$ yield. Ring-closing metathesis was accomplished employing Grubbs’ $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst in dry toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish lactam 52 in $40 \%$ yield ( $90 \%$ based on recovery of SM). Finally the deprotection of PMB group
of lactam 52 was effected to using CAN in acetonitrile-water (5:1) at room temperature for 2 h to furnish the desired intermediate 43 in $80 \%$ yield.


Scheme 7 Reagents and conditions: (a) methallyl chloride (0.33 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), KI (cat.), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), ethacryloyl chloride (1.2 equiv), dry $D C M, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$. (c) Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst (10 mol \%), dry toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$. (d) CAN (2.0 equiv), acetonitrile-water (5:1), rt, 2h, $80 \%$.

In conclusion, the synthesis of 3- ethyl -4- methyl -3- pyrroline -2- one 43 was achieved by employing three different strategies intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation, Pd-mediated cyclization and RCM strategies.

## Section II: Total synthesis of (Z)-pulchellalactam

(Z)-Pulchellalactam (58) a pyrrolidinone isolated in 1997 from the marine fungus Corollospora pulchella by Alvi et al. It shows the potent inhibitory action against protein tyrosine phosphatase, (PTP) CD45, which in turn activates B and T cells. Protein tyrosine phosphatase has been a target implicated in autoimmune and antiinflammatory diseases.

(Z)-Pulchellalactam (58)

Figure 1
The scarcity of effective inhibitors of protein tyrosine phosphatase has lead to a search for other small molecule inhibitors. (Z) Pulchellalactam (58) has been shown to possess remarkable inhibitory action against CD45.

## Total synthesis of (Z)-pulchellalactam via RCM path:

Accordingly the acrylamide 59 was prepared in 59\% yield from readily available methallyl chloride $\mathbf{4 6}$ by the transformation shown in scheme 12. Acrylamide $\mathbf{5 9}$ was further treated with Boc-anhydride to furnish the carbamate $\mathbf{6 0}$. The resulted carbamate 60 underwent facile ring closing metathesis employing Grubbs’ $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst
furnished the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 61. The lactam 61 is the common intermediate in the syntheses reported in the literature and which was accordingly converted into the target molecule pulchellalactam 58.


Scheme 8 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv.), DMSO, $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15 \mathrm{~h}$. (b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$, 14 h . (c) acryloyl chloride (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv.), dry $D C M, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$ over three-steps. (d) Boc-anhydride (1.2 equiv.), DMAP (0.1 equiv.), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$. (e) Grubbs’ $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst (10 mol \%), dry toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$. (f) NaH (1.5 equiv.), isobutyraldehyde (3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, 10 \% HCl, 85\%.

In conclusion, the total synthesis of ( $Z$ )-pulchellalactam 58 was realized employing ring-closing metathesis strategy.

## Section III: Total synthesis of Rubrolide E

Rubrolides (62, A-F, I-N) are the biologically active marine tunicate metabolites which have been isolated in1991 by Andersen from tunicate Ritterella rubra and later in 2000 by Salva and his coworkers from tunicate Synoicum blochmanni. Structurally, rubrolides contain butenolide frameworks with two para-hydroxyphenyl moieties with or without halogen atoms. These rubrolides show potent in-vitro antibiotic activity and cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines and also exhibit moderate but selective inhibition of protein phosphatases.


Fig. 4

## Part A: RCM approach

It was decided to synthesize the butenolide moiety by employing ring-closing metathesis reaction. Accordingly the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 64 was prepared in very good yield by the treatment of the ester $\mathbf{6 3}$ with para formaldehyde using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base and TBAHSO 4 as a phase transfer catalyst. The reduction of the ester $\mathbf{6 4}$ to alcohol 65 was accomplished using DIBAL-H at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the alcohol 65 was subjected to react with acryloyl chloride using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base to furnish corresponding ester 66 in $92 \%$ yield. Compound 66 was underwent facile RCM reaction employing Grubbs’ $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst in presence of titanium isopropoxide as a Lewis acid in DCM resulted the butenolide 67 which was converted to rubrolide E 62e by literature method.


Scheme 9 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ (1.5 eq.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.5 eq.), TBAHSO 4 (0.1 eq.), toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$. (b) DIBAL-H (2.1 eq.), dry DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (c) $E t_{3} N$ (1.5 eq.), acryloyl chloride ( 1.2 eq.), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ gen. catalyst (10 mol \%), titanium isopropoxide (1.2 eq.), dry DCM, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$. (e) Piperidine ( 0.7 eq.), anisaldehyde ( 1.0 eq.), MeOH, rt, $15 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (3.0 eq.), dry DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, ~ r t, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.

## Part B: Knoevenagel condensation approach:

After the synthesis of rubrolide E employing RCM strategy it was thought to develop an alternative route using mild reaction conditions employing intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation.

Accordingly, the alcohol 70 was prepared from p-methoxyacetophenone 69 by transformations as shown in scheme 11. The treatment of $\mathbf{7 0}$ with ethyl malonyl chloride using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as the base in DCM furnished ester 71, which on intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation, carried out using NaH in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resulted in the cyclized product 72 in excellent yield. The ester moiety present on $\alpha$-carbon was decarboxylated under Krapcho’s condition to furnish the desired butenolide 67 in 91\% yield.


Scheme 10 Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2.0 equiv), TMSCl (1.5 equiv), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 12 h . (b) $5 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (2.0 equiv), MCPBA (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 3 h . (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$ over threesteps. (d) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (2.0 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, $97 \%$. (e) NaH ( 1.2 equiv), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$. (f) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), 120-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$.

## Part C: Reformatsky reaction pathway:

Having the two different synthetic routes to rubrolide in hand shown as an above, it was still felt that it can be synthesized by another approach (Reformatsky reaction) using mild reaction conditions.

Thus, the alcohol 70 was obtained from p-methoxyacetophenone 69 as shown in scheme 11. Reformatsky reaction was carried out on $\mathbf{7 0}$ with ethylbromoacetate and zinc in refluxing $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and further treatment with catalytic $p$-TSA furnished desired butenolide 67 in 78\% yield.


Scheme 12 Reagents and conditions: (a) Zinc power (3.0 equiv), ethyl bromoacetate (1.5 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), reflux, 6 h, PTSA (cat), reflux, 3-4 h, $78 \%$.

In conclusion, three synthetic routes of rubrolide E 62e employing RCM, intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and Reformatsky reaction protocol have been achieved.

## Chapter 1, Section I

General introduction of camptothecin

### 1.1.1 Introduction:

Wall and Wani discovered very important anticancer molecules viz. camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ which is pentacyclic quinoline alkaloid isolated from Chinese plant Camptotheca acuminata ${ }^{1}$ in 1966. This invention became milestone in the area of chemical and medicinal science, camptothecin and its analogues, collectively called, as camptothecins or camptothecinoids which have been isolated from various plants. Nothapodytine A 4, Nothapodytine B (mappicine ketone) 5 which was isolated from Nothapodytis foetida ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ is an oxidized derivative of mappicine $\mathbf{6}^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ and E-ring decarboxylated analogue of camptothecin 1. Camptothecin as such can not be used as drug, due to its toxic nature (myelosupression, severe and unpredictable hemorrhagic cystitis and diarrhoea), poor solubility and the unstable $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone ring, which opens rapidly to an inactive hydroxy acid at the physiological conditions. Nearly two decades, later the unique mode of action for this potently cytotoxic compound was found to be the selective inhibition of DNA topoisomerase I, an enzyme essential for relaxation of DNA during important cellular process and trigger a cascade of events leading to apoptosis and programmed cell death. These insights provided novel rationales for the design of its improved analogues.

$1 \mathrm{R}^{1}, \mathrm{R}^{2}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}$ Camptothecin
$2 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCON}+\mathrm{HCl} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ Irinotecan
$3 \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$ Topotecan

$4 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$ Nothapodytine A
$5 \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{O}$ Nothapodytine B
6 X = H, Y = (S)-OH Mappicine

## Figure 1.

After the continuous efforts of synthetic and medicinal chemists on the study of camptothecins, their efforts became fruitful and they discovered its two analogues viz. irinotecan (2) and topotecan (3) which are being used in clinical practice as anticancer drugs and several other analogs are currently under clinical trials at different phases for the treatment of different kinds of cancer by chemotherapy.

### 1.1.2 Isolation, properties and structural elucidation of camptothecin:

The structure of the CPT was elucidated by a combination of chemical and physical (spectral) methods, and finally confirmed by the X-ray analysis of the iodoacetate 1d. The m.p. of the Camptothecin was high; it was also optically active $\left([\alpha]_{D} 25,+31.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and displayed an intense fluorescence under UV. The molecular formula of was found to be $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and molecular weight was 348.11 . The formation of monoacetate 1a with acetic anhydride, chloroacetate $\mathbf{1 b}$ with chloroactic anhydride and chloro camptothecin 1c using $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ /pyridine indicated the presence of a hydroxy functional group. The treatment with sodium hydroxide gave sodium salt and again regenerated 1 on acidification and lactol formation after treatment with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ indicated the presence of lactone moiety in the molecule. The X-ray analysis of the iodoacetate $\mathbf{1 d}$ prepared by treating chloro acetate derivative with NaI in acetone decisively confirmed the structure to be $4(S)$-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrano-[ $3^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}: 6,7$ ]indolizino[1,2b] quinoline- $3,14(4 H, 12 H)$-dione. The rings ABCD and the substituents on $\mathrm{C}-17, \mathrm{C}-20$ as well as the pyridine ring oxygen fall in same plane. The lactone ring oxygen deviates from the plane imparting a boat conformation to the E-ring.


## Figure 2.

Actually camptothecin does not form a stable salt with mineral acids, negative tests with Dradgendroff and Meyer reagents unlike other alkaloids. This clearly indicates neutral nature of the molecule. The unusual fast reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ into its lactol, failure of the methylation of OH with diazomethane or dimethyl sulphate under various reaction conditions may be ascribed to probably intramolecular hydrogen bonding. This is to some extent ascertained by the fact that the acetate derivative 1a fails to form sodium salt under the same conditions as $\mathbf{1}$.


S-(+)-Camptothecin 1


Ajmalicine 7

## Figure 3.

Le Men-Taylor numbering system had been employed for camptothecin based on the close biogenetic relationship with the indole alkaloids, ajmalicine 7. The pyridone carbonyl carbon in camptothecin has been designated 16a, even though this atom was not assigned a number in the Le Men-Taylor system.

### 1.1.3 Naturally occurring camptothecins and its sources:

The investigation for new anticancer drugs from nature continues to be fruitful activity, as evidenced by the successes of natural products as pharmaceutical agents. In view of the on going active analogue development programmes of CPT it is apt to mention about some of them. The parent molecule camptothecin (1) and its other analogs collectively called as camptothecins or camptothecinoids have been isolated from various botanical species as mentioned in the table 1 which are clinically very important having inhibitory activity against various kind of cancers and recently one of its analogue shows activity against HIV-I.

This section describes some of the clinically important naturally occurring camptothecins and its natural sources.


## Figure 4.

| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | Camptothecin 1 | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{3 a}$ <br> Nothapodytes foetida, ${ }^{3 b}$ <br> Ophiorrhiza mungos, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{c}}$ <br> Ervatamia heyneana, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~d}}$ <br> Ophiorrhiza pumila, ${ }^{\text {3e,3f }}$ <br> Pyrenacantha klaineana ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OH} \end{gathered}$ | 10-Hydroxycamptothecin 1e | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ <br> Nothapodytes foetida, |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe} \end{gathered}$ | 10-Methoxycamptothecin <br> 1f | Camptotheca acuminata, Ophiorrhiza mungos, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{c}}$ Pyrenacantha klaineana, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~g}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}= \\ \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \end{gathered}$ | 9-Methoxycamptothecin $1 \mathrm{~g}$ | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ <br> Nothapodytes foetida, <br> Ervatamia heyneana, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH} \end{gathered}$ | 11-Hydroxylcamptothecin 1h | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{31}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe}, \\ \mathrm{R}^{4}=\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Me} \end{gathered}$ | 20-Hexanoyl-10-methoxy <br> Camptothecin $\mathbf{1 i}$ | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{\text {3e }}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \\ \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OH}, \end{gathered}$ | 18-Hydroxycamptothecin $\mathbf{1 j}$ | Camptotheca acuminata, ${ }^{3 \mathrm{k}}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\beta-\mathrm{D}- \\ \mathrm{Glu}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \end{gathered}$ | Chaboside 1k | Ophiorrhiza pumila champ, ${ }^{\text {3e }}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | 20-O- $\beta$-Glucopyranosyl camptothecin 11 | Mostuea brunonis ${ }^{3 \mathrm{j}}$ |
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Figure 5.
Deoxy Camptothecin ${ }^{3 \mathrm{k}}$ 8: Displayed insignificant activity, may be due to the lack of hydroxy group.
22-Hydroxycuminatine ${ }^{3 i} \mathbf{9}$ : It is a biogenetically novel alkaloid as A-D rings are similar to camptothecin while the E-ring is of Yohimbine type. It revealed cytotoxic activity during in vitro studies.
Deoxypumiloside ${ }^{3 \mathrm{f}} 10$ and Pumiloside ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~h}}$ 12: Pumiloside was postulated as the poststrictosamide intermediate of Camptothecin biosynthesis.

Luotonin $A^{31}$ 13: It is one more CPT-family alkaloid isolated from Chinese plant Peganum nigellastrum in 1997 it shows potent cytotoxicity against P-388 cell.

Foetidin $I^{3 K}$ 14: It has A, B, C, D rings in common with Camptothecin, but having a side chain through a phenolic ester bond instead of E-ring. It exibited potent anti tumor activity against ovarian cells and anti viral activity against HIV viruses.

Nothapodytine $A^{2 a} 3$, Nothapodytine $B^{2 a} 4$ and Mappicine ${ }^{2 b} 6$ : It has also contains $A$, B, C, D rings common like CPT, which was isolated by Govindachari in 1971 from Indian plant Nothapodytes foetida (formerly known as Mapia foetida Miers). Its oxidative form called Nothapodytine B (mappicine ketone) 5 has revealed a potential antiviral activity against herpes viruses HSV-1, HSV-2, acyclovir resistant virus and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV). ${ }^{2 c, 2 d}$

### 1.1.4 Biogenesis:

Wenkert et al. ${ }^{4}$ has first time suggested a logical biosynthesis of camptothecin and related alkaloids in 1967. He imagined camptothecin to be masked indole alkaloid of the corynantheidine type because its pentacyclic nucleus appeared to be capable of being synthesized easily by the utilization of intermediates involved in the synthesis of corynantheidine. The biogenetic pathway was outlined as shown in scheme 2 using plausible chemical transformation starting from isositsirikine 15a or related alkaloids, similar to the biosynthetic relationship of vallesiachotamine to geissoschizine.


## Scheme 1.

According to Wenkert results, the oxidation of indole alkaloid $\mathbf{2 0}$ results in the formation quinoline 21 (scheme 1). Winterfeldt thought and proposed gessiochizine as plausible biogenetic precursor (scheme 2). ${ }^{5}$


## Scheme 2.

Initially in vivo results in which the incorporation of radioactive tryptophan, ${ }^{6 a}$ tryptamine 24, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ mevalonic acid, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}}$ geraniol/nerol isomeric mixture, ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~b}}$ secologanin ${ }^{6 \mathrm{a}} 25$ and strictosidine ${ }^{6 c} 26$ delivered radioactive camptothecin in apical cuttings of young seedlings of Camptotheca acuminata established camptothecin was a monoterpene indole alkaloid.




Scheme 3.
Heckendrof et al feeded the isotopically labeled precursors and confirmed strictosidine 26 as the specific precursor and eliminated epimeric (H-3-beta) vincoside ${ }^{6 \mathrm{~d}}$ possibility. Radiochemically labeled strictosomide 25 was also tested and efficient incorporation was observed in camptothecin. An easy conversion of strictosidine into strictosamide 25 under
basic conditions and its structural similarity to camptothecin also indicated that strictosoamide as main biosynthetic precursor of camptothecin. ${ }^{7}$ The probable biosynthetic path is depicted in scheme 3 .

Hence, mevalonate is converted into secologanin 23 via geraniol and loganin, which combines with the tryptamine 22 to give strictosidine 26 which in turn was transformed into strictosamide 25. The formation of camptothecin from 25 is possible by the removal of the glucose moiety, oxidation-recyclisation of BC-ring, and oxidation of D- and E-rings. This biogenetic hypothesis is strongly supported by the observations of Cordell and coworkers ${ }^{8}$ the removal of the glucose unit followed after the formation of strictosamide 25. This possibility depends on the biosynthetic fate of strictosidine 26 in other plants. ${ }^{9}$ Actually the conversion of pyridone 33 to quinoline 34 would not realize (scheme 4) suggested that prior to D-ring oxidation BC rearrangement takes place. ${ }^{10}$


## Scheme 4.

The mechanism of rearrangement is not still understood. It is assumed that indole moiety gets opened by oxidative cleavage into the ketolactam 29, which in turn reduce to alcohol 30, followed by dehydration and subsequent ring closure via stepwise ionic or concerted electrocyclic process results in formation of $\mathbf{1}$. The proposed biogenetic route is supported by the cyclisation of $\mathbf{3 5}$ to the corresponding quinoline $\mathbf{3 6}$ at high temperature as shown in scheme $5 .{ }^{10}$


## Scheme 5.

### 1.1.5 Mode and Mechanism of Action:

In early 1970s, camptothecin was known to inhibit RNA and DNA synthesis, but a specific enzyme could not be identified as its site of activity. ${ }^{11}$ While inhibition of DNA synthesis
appears highly irreversible or partially reversible, inhibition of RNA synthesis is highly reversible. ${ }^{12}$ Another striking effect of camptothecin is its rapid fragmentation of chromosomal DNA. All the cellular effects of camptothecin remained unexplained until the identification of topoisomerase 1 as the molecular target of camptothecin. In 1979 it was discovered that most of the antitumor drugs promote covalent linkage of protein to DNA in tumor cells. ${ }^{13}$ During this time, Liu of Johns Hopkins University, had been studying the action of enzymes called DNA topoisomerases, which modulate DNA superhelicity during transcription and replication by relieving the torsional strain introduced by separation of DNA strands as the transcriptional or replication proceeds. Topoisomerase I, which catalyze the topoisomerisation reactions of DNA viz. relaxation/supercoiling, knotting/unknotting and catenation/decatenation via transient enzyme linked single strand break.


## Scheme 6.

Generally the cancer cells are more effective to topoisomerase I inhibition compared to the normal cells because they contain a higher concentration of the enzyme, due to that cancer cells grows and reproduce fastly. Therefore, the affinity of CPT for Topoisomerase I translate in selective toxicity for tumor cells. Topoisomerase I relaxed supercoiled DNA ahead of active transcription/translation sites (replicating forks), the non covalent complex of double stranded.

DNA and Topoisomerase-I, described as the "non cleavable complex," is in rapid kinetic equilibrium with the so-called "cleavable complex," which forms when Topoisomerase I generates a transient break in one DNA strand and concomitantly becomes covalently bound to the 3 '-phosphoryl end of the mutilated nucleic acid. The intact DNA strand is
allowed to unwind once and to pass through the break site, before Topoisomerase I religates the cleaved DNA and regenerate the double stranded configuration. These events conobligatory stage of DNA replication/transcription, as the DNA must be unwound for the cell to express genetic information or to divide. Camptothecin interferes with the religation by binding to the DNA-enzyme binary complex resulting in a reversible enzyme-camptothecin-DNA ternary complex. Consequently, the advancing DNA polymerases operating in the replicating fork soon "collide" with the stabilized cleavable complex and create an irreparable double-strand break. This causes to cell death. The cleavable ternary complex structure is very important for elucidation of the mechanism of action and development of new topoisomerase inhibitors. ${ }^{14}$
Lown et al ${ }^{15}$ proposed a free radical mechanism as shown in scheme 7 .


## Scheme 7.

### 1.1.6 Structure-Activity Relationship Studies of camptothecin:

Initially it was reported that the entire planar pentacyclic ring structure (A-E rings) of camptothecins is essential for the antitumor activity, but recently according to the QSAR study investigation show that the lactone E-ring is not essential for its activity.

## Modification in $A$ and $B$ rings:

The camptothecin $N$-oxide 45 showed decreased activity indicating the importance of quinoline nitrogen for biological activity. ${ }^{16}$ Rubitecan 46 serves as a metabolic precursor to 9-amino CPT 47 and currently it is in phase III clinical trials for the pancreatic cancer ${ }^{17,18}$ Lurtotecan 48 and exatecan mesylate 49 are the most successful derivative of CPT and presently it is in clinical trials for breast, colorectal and small cell lung cancers. ${ }^{19,} 20$






## Figure 6.

The heteroatomic analog $\mathbf{5 0},{ }^{21}$ and tetrahydro CPT $\mathbf{5 1}{ }^{22}$ shows less activity than parent CPT this suggest the quinoline moiety is essential for biological activity, The DE-310 52 is a prodrugs which is especially conjugate and polymer bound camptothecins, they improve the solubility and stability of lactone moiety and its therapeutic efficacy might be on account of reduced toxicity, longer retention time within the body and altered biodistribution. These approaches have proven to be promising in preclinical investigation and a plethora of camptothecin-prodrugs is under clinical survey. ${ }^{\text {lb }}$



## Figure 7.

In general functionalization of rings A and B modulates antitumor activity. Substituents at C-7, C-9 or C-10 often enhance potency, while substitution at positions 11 and 12 generally diminish $\mathrm{it}^{21,23}$ the rationale behind these results stems from the probable fact that camptothecin may bind to an enzyme or enzyme-DNA complex on the face proximal to the C-11 and C-12 region. Therefore substitution at these two carbons may pose undesirable steric and stereo-electronic interactions. Substitutients at C-9 and C-10 are more distant from this region. As a result, substitution at this location is not detrimental for biological activity. However, a 10, 11-methylene dioxy or ethylene dioxy unit greatly increases activity, while similar substitutions with two methoxy groups at 10 and 11 inactivate completely confirming the requirement of the planarity as the inevitable component for the antitumor activity of camptothecin.

## Modification in $C$ and $D$ rings:

The C-nor-4, 6-seco CPT 53, ${ }^{24}$ compound 54 the modifications at C-5 derivative 55 have been reported to result in less or loss of activity, ${ }^{25 a}, 25 \mathrm{~b}$ it might be due to loss of planarity which is essential for enzyme-DNA-CPT ternary complex stabilization. The azacamptothecin 56 is the hybrid of Luotonin A 13 and camptothecin 1 it showed promising cytotoxicity due to its shape and planarity. ${ }^{26}$ Reduction of 17-carbonyl 57 loses its activity. This evidence indicates that the pyridine carbonyl and pyridone ring is essential for receptor binding. ${ }^{27}$


Figure 8.

## Modification in E ring:

Isocamptothecin ${ }^{21} \mathbf{5 8 a}$ showed slight activity while isohomocamptothecin ${ }^{28} \mathbf{5 8 b}$ exhibited no activity respectively, replacement of C-20 'OH' group in camptothecin by $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}$, H, ethyl, hydroxymethyl, allyl moieties showed no activity $\mathbf{5 9}^{21,29}$ respectively. Replacement of C-20 ethyl group of camptothecin $\mathbf{6 0}$ by allyl, propargyl, benzyl, methoxy ethyl, show no marked change in activity while replacement by benzoyl group showed reduced activity suggests that ethyl group in $\mathbf{1}$ can be replaced by a appropriate functionality (figure 9). ${ }^{29 a}$

58a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$,
58b $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, allyl

$\mathrm{R}=$ allyl, propargyl, benzyl, methoxy ethyl, benzoyl

## Figure 9.

Structure-activity relationship studies (SARs) pointed out that the ring opened carboxylate form of several camptothecin derivatives has been shown to be significantly less active. ${ }^{30}$ The camptothecin lactone ring undergoes facile hydrolysis and equilibrates with its ringopened form even at the physiological conditions (figure 10). ${ }^{31}$ The sodium salt of the carboxylate $\mathbf{6 1}$ form of camptothecin was only one tenth active than that of $\mathbf{1}$ when administered intravenously.


## Figure 10.

The lactol 62, ${ }^{16}$ lactam $63^{27}$ and $64^{32}$ (figure 11) showed no antitumor activity, this indicated that intact lactone ring might be essential for camptothecin's activity. Sugasawa reported, ester 65 showed activity comparable to racemic camptothecin. ${ }^{29 \mathrm{a}}$ The thiolactone 66 have also been reported with activity, ${ }^{32}$ the phosphate monoester compound 67 was very toxic, though it was less powerful than CPT. ${ }^{33}$







Figure 11.
Although earlier SARs of the camptothecin suggested the importance of the lactone E-ring for activity, Lavergne et al in $1997^{34}$ reported new analogs with an expanded $\beta$-hydroxy lactone ring called homocamptothecin 68 (figure 12). The lactone ring of camptothecin opens rapidly and reversibly while lactone ring of homocamptothecin opens very slowly and irreversibly. Therefore they exhibit high plasma stability in the biological system; most importantly they are much more cytotoxic than camptothecin. Novel analogues of homocamptothecin such as fluorinated homocamptothecin 69 and silylated homocamptothecin 70 are much more potent than parent homocamptothecin $\mathbf{6 8} .{ }^{35}$


Homocamptothecin



Diflomotecan




Figure 12.
Apart from lactone and lactam E-ring, series of cyclopentyl E-ring analogues like 71, 72 and 73 have been synthesized and evaluated (figure 12). ${ }^{36}$
In order to improve the antitumor efficacy of camptothecins, several approaches have been undertaken. This includes the development of prodrugs, new formulations, synthesis of lipophilic and water soluble camptothecins. Particularly great deal of attention is being paid to water-soluble analogues to facilitate intravenous drug administration. Research in this direction has culminated in achieving a major milestone by successful commercial launching of two water soluble analogs namely irinotecan (prodrug) and topotecan as approved drug for the treatment of lung, cervical and metastatic ovarian cancer and several other analogues (e.g. lurtotecan 48, exatecan mesylate 49, figure 6) in various stages of clinical trials. ${ }^{1 \mathrm{a}}{ }^{6}$

## The stereochemistry at C-20:

The stereochemistry at C-20 is very important for its activity. As $20(S)$ hydroxyl is active while $20(R)$ hydroxyl is inactive. ${ }^{37}$ Cushman and coworkers ${ }^{38}$ demonstrated that the preferred conformation of CPTs has the $20-$ Et pseudo axial while the $20-\mathrm{OH}$ is pseudo equatorial based on quantum mechanical study.
In summary, A-E rings are essential for in vitro and in vivo activity, modifications in A and B rings are well tolerated and resulted in better activity than CPT in many cases. Saturation of B ring compounds show less activity, D-ring pyridone is required for antitumor activity, $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone is necessary for activity, Oxygen at C-20 is essential for activity, replacement of this oxygen by sulfur or nitrogen abolishes the activity of CPT.

Conformation at C-20 is important for better activity as $20(S)$ isomer is 10 - to 100 -times more active than $20(R) .{ }^{37}$

### 1.1.7 Brief literature survey:

Owing to its challenging pentacyclic ring structure including a pyrrole $(3,4 \beta)$ quinoline moiety and having one chiral centre within the $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone ring with $20(S)$ configuration(ring-E), unique mode of action and impressive biological activity, numerous imaginative (including novel chemistry as well as practical) syntheses have been reported by several research groups. ${ }^{39}$ Since the review on synthesis has already covered by and previously by Pasupathy, ${ }^{391}$ Sivappa, ${ }^{39 \mathrm{~m}}$ and Venkat $^{39 \mathrm{n}}$ from this group only few representative syntheses have been described in the present section.

Stork's approach ${ }^{40}$ : (Stork et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4074)
Five years after the isolation of camptothecin, Stork and co-workers disclosed the first racemic synthesis of camptothecin in divergent fashion (scheme 8). The key steps of the synthesis are: Friedlander condensation for the AB-ring construction, intramolecular Dieckmann condensation for the D-ring construction and intermolecular Michael addition for the construction of E-ring. The synthesis was achieved in 15 -steps in $1-2 \%$ overall yield. The main highlight of the synthesis is the conversion of $\mathbf{7 8}$ to $\mathbf{7 9}$, placing five carbon atoms along with the tertiary hydroxyl group present in the camptothecin.


Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: (a) o-amino benzaldehyde, NaOH ; (b) EtOH, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$, (c) $50 \% \mathrm{HI}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{HCl}$, (d) $\mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$, (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$, (f) $10 \%$ acetic acid, (g) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, (h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$, (i) $L D A,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (j) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, rt, 20 h , (k) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, (l) DDQ, 1,4-dioxane, (m) $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{(n)} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, (o) dil HCl .

Thus the base catalyzed Friedlander condensation of pyrrolidine 74 with 2 -amino benzaldehyde gave the tricyclic quinoline ester 75. The amino-ester resulting from the hydrolysis of 75 followed by protection with ethyl malonyl chloride furnished the diester amide 76. The tetracyclic $\beta$-keto ester was obtained by the intramolecular Dieckmann condensation of 76, was subjected to decarboxylation, reduction and elimination gave the desired dihydropyridone 78. The unsaturated lactam underwent smooth intermolecular Michael addition efficiently at low temperature to give the crucial pentacyclic lactone 79. Finally hydrolysis of the ethyl ester of $\mathbf{7 9}$ followed by reduction and protection gave the lactol, which upon oxidation with DDQ gave pyridone 81. Subsequent three-step sequence starting from 79, viz. hydrolysis, reduction and lactonization gave the ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$.
Corey's approach ${ }^{41}$ : (Corey et al J.Org.Chem, 1975, 40, 2140)
Corey et al. devised elegant first total synthesis of (-) camptothecin. It involved condensation of half ester 89 with tricyclic amine in a convergent manner and further condensation of the resulting aldehyde to furnish the pyridone moiety (scheme 9).

This synthesis began form furan 3, 4-dicarboxylic acid $\mathbf{8 2}$ as the starting material selective esterification of one acid to ester while borane reduction in THF at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hrs provided alcohol which was protected as THP ether 83. Hydrolysis of ester furnished the corresponding acid 82 in $30 \%$ yields. The acid 83 was converted to aldehyde employing a reduction-oxidation sequence using treatment of 83 with borane followed by oxidation using manganese dioxide. Ethylation using ethyl magnesium bromide followed by Collin's oxidation furnished ketone 84 in $69 \%$ yields. Cyanation using TBDMSCN furnished protected cyanohydrin 85 in $85 \%$ yield, which was converted to hydroxy acid 86 in $47 \%$ yield via the amide. Resolution using quinine followed by lactonization and protection of the tertiary alcohol with methyl chloroformate gave lactone 87 in $76 \%$ yields. Photooxidation followed by treatment with thionyl chloride gave pseudo acid chlorides 88 and 89. Condensation of $\mathbf{8 9}$ with tricyclic amine and cyclisation with generated aldehyde
furnished compound 91 and finally the deprotection of alcohol using lithium mercaptide furnished target molecule camptothecin.

The first chiral synthesis was achieved in 20 -steps with $0.46 \%$ overall yield.


Scheme 9. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; (b) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) DHP, PTSA; (d) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (e) $\mathrm{BH}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}$; (f) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$; (g) EtMgBr; (h) Collin's reagents; (i)TBDMSCN; (j) $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$; (k) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{MeOH}$; (l) $30 \% \mathrm{AcOH}$; (m)Quinine resolution (n) $\mathrm{ClCO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$ (o) $\mathrm{O}_{2} / h v$, eosin (p) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, DMF; (q)Tricyclic amine (r)Base Catalyst (s) Lithium mercaptide.

Shamma's approach ${ }^{42}$ : (Shamma et al Tetrahedron, 1973, 1949)
An intramolecular aldol condensation has been employed for the construction of pyridone ring of molecule. The pyrrolidinone 74 was protected as its ethylene glycol acetal. Hydrolysis of ester gave the corresponding acid 92 in $91 \%$ yields (scheme 10). Acid was converted to methyl ketone by a 3-step sequence of acid chloride formation, condensation with diethyl malonate sodium salt followed by hydrolytic decarboxylation. The carbonyl group was protected as 1, 3-dioxolane using ethylene glycol. The deprotection of urethane under basic conditions furnished amine which on condensation with carbethoxy acetyl chloride furnished amide 93 in 91\% yield. Deprotection to methylketone 94 was effected using acetic acid at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aldol condensation using sodium ethoxide furnished dihydropyridone 95 in $83 \%$ yields. Oxidation to pyridone using DDQ followed by
condensation with diethylmalonate and esterification furnished pyridone 96 in $65 \%$ yields. Borohydride reduction followed by periodate oxidation provided lactol 97. Oxidation to lactone 98 using $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and deprotection of acetal using oxalic acid gave ketone 99 in 57\% yield. Friedlander condensation with o-amino benzaldehyde gave lactone $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ which on ethylation and oxidation furnished $( \pm) \mathbf{1}$.





Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; (b) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; (d) $\mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2}$; (e) HCl ; (f) $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; (g) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; (h) $\mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$;
(i) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (j)NaOEt, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (k) DDQ ; (l) NaOEt, ( $\left.\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2}$; (m) EtOH, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$; (n) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; (o) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$; (p) $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$; (q) Oxalic acid, aq EtOH; (r) o-amino benzaldehyde base; (s) EtI, Base; (t) $\mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

Even though the synthesis was achieved in 20 steps in an overall yield of $6.5 \%$ upto 99, by delaying construction of the quinoline ring the authors have made synthesis of derivatives possible by this route.

Chavan's I approach ${ }^{43 \mathrm{a}}$ : (Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6745)
Our group first synthesized ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin employing intramolecular Michael addition as a key reaction. Another highlight of the synthesis is the regio-selective DIBAL-H reduction of aromatic ester in the presence of aliphatic ester in 111. Synthesis began from hydrochloride salt of ethyl ester of glycine 101. This was converted its Schiffs base 102 and it was alkylated with allyl bromide under PTC condition to give 103. The imine 103 was hydrolysed and protection of the resultant amine with CbzCl yielded the urethane 104 in very high yields. A tandem Michael-Dieckmann condensation furnished $\beta$-keto ester 105 which on subsequent decarboxylation gave the pyrrolidinone 106.





Scheme 11. Reagents and conditions: (a) Benzaldehyde, $E t_{3} N$, molecular seives, DCM, 1h, 98\%. (b) allyl bromide, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$, (d) $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, ethyl acrylate, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$. (f) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, reflux, 4 h , (g) N-(o-amino benzilidine)p-toluidine, PTSA, toluene, reflux, 6 h , $72 \%$. (h) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4$ h (i) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{C}(E t) \mathrm{CO}_{2} E t$, $D C M, r t, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$. (j)

TMSCl/NaI, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, (k) ethyl malonyl chloride, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$. (l) NaH, THF, rt, 0.5 h, 92\%. (m) DDQ, dioxane, reflux, 1 h, 78\%. (n) DIBAL-H, THF, -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$. (o) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 55 \%$. (p) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, dimethyl amine, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$.

The pyrrolidinone 106 underwent modified Friedlander condensation with Schiff base to furnish the tricyclic carbamate 107. Oxidative cleavage of the double bond in 107 furnished aldehyde which was subjected to Wittig olefination with phosphonium salt furnished the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 108. Deprotection of carbamate of $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ was carried out employing Olah's protocol. The resulted free amine was reacted with ethyl malonyl chloride to afford the key amide 109. The intramolecular Michael addition was carried on 109 using NaH to deliver tetrahydropyridone 110. The tetrahydropyridone 110 was oxidized using DDQ to provide pyridone 111. The regioselective reduction of the aromatic ester in 111 using DIBAL-H furnished the aldehyde, which was followed by reduction using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ underwent lactonization to give 20 -deoxycamptothecin 112. The hydroxylation of $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ was done employing Danishefsky's protocol, utilizing oxygen as oxidant to give ( $\pm$ ) 1.
Chavan's II approach ${ }^{43 \mathrm{~b}}$ : (Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3113)
In earlier synthesis our group exploited an intramolecular Michael addition approach towards $( \pm$ ) camptothecin (scheme 10). Central to this idea formal total synthesis is the implementation of an intramolecular "aldol"/Knoevenagel reaction of ketol 142 to construct the pyridone D-ring with suitable functionality for manipulation to the lactone Ering (scheme 12). The Heck olefination of iodoaldehyde 113 was carried out with ethyl acrylate gave the olefin-tethered aldehyde 114 in very good yield. Aldehyde 114 was subjected to reductive amination gave the tricyclic amine 115 following intramolecular Michael addition pathway. The benzyl group of amine 115 was deprotected by hydrogenation and treated with CbzCl to convert it to the corresponding carbamate 116. The carbamate 116 was subjected DIBAL-H to give aldehyde. The resulted aldehyde underwent Wittig olefination with phosphorane to furnish the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 118. Deprotection of the carbamate followed by the condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride afforded amide 109. The oxidation of 109 was accomplishing using $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ to furnish ketol 117. Ketol 117 was subjected to intramolecular "aldol"/Knoevenagel reaction to deliver the desired dihydropyridone $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ which was then reduced to tetrahydropyridone
119. The tetrahydropyridone 119 is the common intermediate in Stork's approach and in this way formal synthesis of camptothecin was achieved.




Scheme 12. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethyl acrylate, NaOAc, 5 mol\% $\operatorname{Pd}(P P h 3) 4$, $D M F, 74 \%$, (b) $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{NaBH} 4, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$, (c) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 3,90 \%$, (d) DIBAL-H, DCM, (e) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{C}(E t) \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{DCM}, 80 \%$; (f) TMSCl, NaI, CH3CN, rt, l h, (g) carbethoxy acetyl chloride $68 \%$, (h) KMnO4, acetonewater, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 95 \%$, (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 90 \%$, (j) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (100 psi), EtOH, $88 \%$.
Chavan's III Approach ${ }^{43 \mathrm{c}}$ : (Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 6879)
In earlier two approaches Chavan and co-workers described two syntheses employing intramolecular Michael addition and intramolecular "aldol" condensation. In this approach the formal total synthesis was achieved employing ring-closing metathesis as the key reaction to construct the pyridone moiety of camptothecin (scheme 13). The tricyclic carbamate 107 was prepared as shown in the first approach (scheme 11). The Cbz group was deprotected by ethanolic KOH and the generated amine was condensed with acryloyl chloride to give acrylamide 120 well suited for the RCM reaction. When 120 was subjected to the treatment with Grubbs' first generation catalyst and titanium isopropoxide as a Lewis acid in refluxing benzene it furnished dihydropyridone 121. The Michael addition of nitropropane was carried out on 121 using DBU as a base to furnish
tetrahydropyridone 122 in $86 \%$ yield. When tetrahydropyridone 122 was subjected to the treatment with sodium hydroxide in methanol and further with concentrated hydrochloric acid the Nef reaction as well as the aromatization occurred in one pot to deliver keto compound 123 in very poor yield. Keto compound 123 was reduced to its hydroxyl compound 124 in $99 \%$ yield using sodium borohydride. This intermediate 124 was converted to camptothecin by Murata's group. This constituted a formal synthesis of camptothecin.



Scheme 13. Reagents and conditions: (a) KOH, EtOH, reflux, 24 h; (b) acryloyl chloride, $K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$; (c) Grubbs $1^{s t}$ gen. cat. (10 mol\%), Ti(OiPr) $)_{4}, C_{6} H_{6}$, reflux, 16$20 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$; (d) nitropropane, DBU, rt, 16h, $86 \%$; (e) NaOH, MeOH, rt, 3h, conc. HCl, 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ and $\mathrm{RT}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 23 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$.
Hiroya approach ${ }^{44}$ : (Hiroya et al. Synlett. 2006, 16, 2636)
Hiroya et al. began the synthesis from pyridone 125. The reaction between 125 and silyl ketene acetal resulted in two products 126 and desired 127. Treatment of 127 with NaH and $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ resulted in formation of pyridone 128 (scheme 14).



Scheme 14. Reagents and conditions: (a) enol ether, $E_{2} A l C l,-40^{\circ} C, 5 h,(27+53) 80 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CuBr}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{DMSO}$ (1:1), $0-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 28 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$. (c) LiHMDS, EtI, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $r t, 11 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, then $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$. (e) Base, 7, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$.

Ethylation was carried out using LiHMDS as a base to give alkylated product 129 in $71 \%$ yield. The reduction of aromatic benzyloxy carbonyl group to alcohol and in situ lactonization was accomplished using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Asymmetric hydroxylation of 130 was achieved using KHMDS as a base and 131 as the reagent to furnish hydroxyl compound 132 in $84 \%$ yield. Finally the debenzylation was successfully carried out by hydrogenation using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$ to provide 133 in $77 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee. Thus starting from commercially available pyridone, synthesis of optically active DE synthon was accomplished in few steps though the problem of regioselective addition of the ketene silyl acetal remained unresolved.

Yao's approach ${ }^{45}$ : (Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2003)
Very recently, Yao and coworkers disclosed very short and an elegant synthesis of CPT utilizing domino reaction and mild reagents starting with chloro pyridine 134 (scheme 15).



Scheme 15. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{dppf}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CO (120 psig), $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 97 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), $5 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) propargyl bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{LiBr}, \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), toluene, 70\%. (d) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), 94\%. (e) ( COCl$)_{2}$, aniline, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $96 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 equiv), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 96 \%$. (g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ (cat.), (DHQD) $)_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (h) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$ (two steps), $95 \%$ ee.

The carbonylation on 134 was smoothly accomplished employing $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{dppf}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in methanol under the CO atmosphere at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish methyl ester 135 in excellent yield. Selective $O$-demethylation of 135 using TMSI in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ afforded pyridone 136 in $96 \%$ yield. $N$-Propargylation of 136 was accomplished with propargyl bromide and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ using PTC and LiBr in toluene resulted in the formation of N alkylated pyridone 137 in $70 \%$ yield. The basic hydrolysis of ester 137 afforded corresponding acid and subsequently the resultant acid was converted into its amide 138 in 90\% yield via acid chloride. The intramolecular hetero-Diels-Alder reaction was successfully carried out by treating with bis(triphenyl)oxodiphosponium trifluromethanesulfonate at rt resulted in the formation of quinoline enol ether $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ in excellent yield. Finally the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation followed by oxidation was accomplished furnished the (+) 1 in $83 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee.
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## Chapter 1, Section II

General account on metathesis

### 1.2.1 Introduction

The carbon-carbon double bond formation is a very important protocol in synthetic organic chemistry. Till date a wide variety of methods have been reported for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation involving olefin metathesis. Recently olefin metathesis has become a very powerful tool for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation within the same molecule or different molecules employing various catalysts developed by researchers.

The word metathesis is derived from the Greek words Meta (change) and thesis (position). Metathesis is the exchange of parts of two substances. In the reaction, $\mathrm{AB}+\mathrm{CD} \rightarrow \mathrm{AC}+$ BD, B has changed position with C. An olefin metathesis reaction is shown in Scheme 1. Through carbene (alkylidene) exchange between the two starting olefins two new olefins have been formed.


Scheme 1.
Catalyzed metathesis was discovered in the industry following observations in the 1950's of the polymerization of ethylene by Ziegler (Nobel Prize in Chemistry 1963). In a series of patents novel processes were reported; but their mechanisms were not understood. One of the reports was filed in 1957 by Eleuterio at Du Pont. It described the formation of unsaturated polymers. Such a polymer was obtained from the highly-strained starting material norbornene when it was added to molybdenum oxide on alumina combined with lithium aluminium hydride. ${ }^{1 a}$ In the same year another patent application claimed an additional and seemingly novel transformation disproportionation of olefins as evidenced by the conversion of propene into ethene and butene upon treatment with a mixture of triisobutylaluminum and molybdenum oxide on alumina. ${ }^{\text {1b, 1c }}$

In 1966 Natta (Nobel Prize in Chemistry 1963) and co-workers showed that combinations of tungsten hexachloride with either triethylaluminum or diethylaluminum chloride polymerize cycloheptene, cyclooctene and cyclododecene. ${ }^{2}$ The following year Calderon and coworkers reported their extension of these findings to other cycloolefins using a mixture of tungsten hexachloride and ethylaluminum chloride as initiator. ${ }^{3 a, 3 b}$ Calderon suggested that the polymerization of cyclic alkenes to polyalkenemers and the disproportionation of acyclic alkenes are the same type of reaction and named the reaction
olefin metathesis. ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~d}}$ Their results drew the attention of other researchers into organic and organometallic reactions to the potential of this novel reaction. However, the mechanism underlying metathesis remained a mystery.

### 1.2.2 Catalysts:

The numerous catalysts were developed by Tebbe, Schrock and Grubbs (figure 1) for different kinds of metathesis reactions, which are tolerant to different functional groups and which is wide range in chemical area and their uses are described.


Schrock's catalyst 1


Tebbe's reagent 4


Grubbs II generation catalyst 7


Grubbs catalyst 2


Grubbs-Hoveda catalyst 5


Grubbs catalyst 8


Grubbs I generation catalyst 3


Catalyst for chiral metathesis 6


Grubbs-Hoveda II Gen. catalyst 5

## Figure 1.

### 1.2.3 Mechanisms:

Several mechanistic hypotheses were in existence during this early period of olefin metathesis exploration. At first it was questioned whether olefin metathesis exchanged alkyl or alkylidene groups. Experiments by Calderon and by Mol using isotopically labelled alkenes demonstrated that the groups interchanged in olefin metathesis were alkylidenes. ${ }^{\text {3a-c }}$ But the mechanism by which interchange occurred and the role played by the metal species remained mere guesswork.

Among several ideas about the mechanism circulating at the time to explain alkylidene exchange were the metal-coordinated cyclobutane model of Calderon ${ }^{3 \mathrm{~d}}$ and later the metal or alkyl acyclopentane model of Grubbs, ${ }^{8 a}$ The first of these models tended to be depicted in different ways by different authors (Scheme 2 and 3).


## Scheme 2.



## Scheme 3.

However, it was not until Chauvin at the Institut Français du Pétrole, in his efforts to understand the metathesis mechanism, combined reports by Fischer (Nobel Prize in Chemistry 1973) on the synthesis of a tungsten-carbene complex, Natta on the polymerization of cyclopentene through ring-opening catalyzed with a mixture of $\mathrm{WCl}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{AlEt}_{3}$ and Banks and Bailey on the formation of ethylene and 2-butene from propene catalyzed with $\mathrm{W}(\mathrm{CO})_{6}$ on alumina, that a viable mechanism was presented. In 1971 Chauvin and his student Hérisson published their metathesis mechanism as illustrated in modified form in Scheme 4.

In Scheme 4 the Chauvin catalytic cycle is shown, the metal methylene (metal alkylidene) reacts with the olefin, forming a metallocyclobutane intermediate. This intermediate then cleaves into ethylene and a new metal alkylidene, although the metathesis reaction is reversible but removal of ethylene molecule is the driving force for the completion of reaction. The generated metal alkylidene reacts with a new substrate alkene molecule to give another metallocyclobutane intermediate. After decomposition in the forward direction this intermediate yields the product internal olefin (mixture of $E \& Z$ isomers) and regenerate metal methylene which can be used for next catalytic cycle. Thus each step in the catalytic cycle involves exchange of alkylidenes - metathesis.







## Scheme 4.

In this way Chauvin and co-workers also presented experimental support for the mechanism which could not be explained by the other proposed mechanisms. ${ }^{4}$ The mechanism has also experimental support by Grubbs', Katz, Schrock and others and is now generally accepted as the mechanism for metathesis.
Many researchers have contributed to the ensuing development of catalysts, and important early contributions were made by Lappert on rhodium (I) complexes as catalysts ${ }^{5 a}$, Casey on metathesis with tungsten complexes ${ }^{5 b}$ and others. Here, however, the focus is on the breakthroughs made by Schrock and by Grubbs' in the development of the metalalkylidene complexes that have had such a dramatic influence on modern organic synthesis.
Many researchers foresaw the great synthetic potential of metathesis. But applications to organic chemistry were generally complicated by the sensitivity of the traditional catalysts to air and moisture, by side-reactions and by relatively short lifetimes. Progress required identifiable, relatively stable compounds that would behave as long-lived catalysts, whose reactivity could even be "tuned" for the desired task.

Schrock at Du Pont in early 1970s tried to synthesize $\left[\mathrm{Ta}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]$, which was expected to be a stable compound. However, what he isolated instead was the first stable metalalkylidene complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ta}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)_{3}\left(=\mathrm{CHCMe}_{3}\right)\right]$, which has the high oxidation state of $V .{ }^{6 a}$

Schrock then synthesized other tantalum-alkylidene complexes, including the first methylene complex. These complexes were characterized using X-ray crystallography and

NMR. He also found that metallocyclobutanes were formed. But metathesis had to wait since none of these alkylidene complexes catalyzed the metathesis of olefins. ${ }^{6 b}$

In 1980, Schrock and his group at MIT reported a tantalum-alkylidene complex $\left[\mathrm{Ta}\left(=\mathrm{CHC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{PMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$, which catalyzed the metathesis of cis-2pentene. The reason that this complex worked, whereas the other members of the family of the niobium and tantalum-alkylidene complexes failed to do so, was the presence of alkoxide ligands. ${ }^{6 \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$
As indicated above, molybdenum and tungsten were the most active metals in alkene metathesis. Schrock and his group increased their efforts to find stable molecular alkylidene and alkylidyne complexes of these metals. The search eventually produced a whole family of molybdenum- and tungsten-alkylidene complexes of the general formula other chemists including Osborn in Strasbourg and Basset in Lyon has also made important contributions reporting tungsten complexes that are active as olefin metathesis catalysts.
The advantage of Schrock's catalysts, of which the most efficient were reported in 1990, was that besides being extremely active, they are molecular (without additives). One has been made commercially available. Schrock has also developed chiral catalyst for asymmetrically catalyzed metathesis together with Hoveyda.
Grubbs' had early been interested in the metathesis reaction, as indicated by his mechanistic proposal of a metallocyclopentane intermediate. ${ }^{8 a}$ After some exploration that started in the mid 1980`s of ill-defined catalysts that were prepared from late metal salts Grubbs' and his co-workers found that ruthenium trichloride polymerized olefins even in water. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}$ Actually ruthenium chloride had already been used by Natta as a catalyst for polymerisation of cyclobutene by ring opening. ${ }^{7}$ Grubbs' assumed that this catalyst system also operated by a metal carbene mechanism. Their results initiated the development of well-defined catalysts that can be used with standard organic techniques and tolerate a broad range of functional groups.
As a result of their development work Grubbs' and co-workers 1992 reported their first molecularly well-defined ruthenium-carbene complex that was not only active towards polymerization of norbornene but was also stable in presence of protic solvents. ${ }^{8 c}$ The complex was of the vinylidene type $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)\left(=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CPh}_{2}\right)\right]$ with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ph}$. To increase the reactivity of the catalyst the phenyl groups were exchanged for cyclohexyl groups $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}) .^{8 d, \mathrm{e}}$ This change produced the desired reactivity and the catalyst polymerized unstrained olefins and induced reactions with acyclic olefins. It promoted many of the
same reactions as the Schrock's molybdenum-based alkylidene complexes but had greater functional group tolerance and could be handled using standard organic techniques. ${ }^{\text {ff }}$ Noels' group also reported on Ru-catalyzed ROMP of cycloolefins in 1992.

As the need for larger quantities of catalyst grew, more efficient methods for its synthesis were required. A practical route to ruthenium benzylidene complexes was developed. In 1995 Grubbs reported new molecularly-well-defined catalysts $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(=\mathrm{CHPh}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PR}_{3}\right)_{2}\right], \mathrm{R}=$ Ph or Cy (cyclohexyl). ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{~h}}$ These structures are closely related to the vinylidene ones. The compound with $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Cy}\left[\mathrm{Ru}(=\mathrm{CHPh}) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ has been commercialized and is known as the first-generation Grubbs’ catalyst (Scheme 2). This compound is still the metathesis catalyst most used by organic chemists, because of its stability in air and compatibility with a large variety of groups.

In a number of difficult ring-closing reactions, the lifetime of the catalyst was insufficient to give high yields of products with reasonable catalyst loadings. Apparently catalysts with improved properties were needed. Detailed mechanistic studies led Grubbs’ group to conclude that the reaction first involved the dissociation of one of the phosphines to generate the reactive ruthenium intermediate. To accelerate the dissociation Grubbs' replaced one of the phosphines with a cyclic bis-amino carbene ligand. Herrmann had earlier synthesized ruthenium complexes with two such carbene ligands, but the catalytic activity of such compounds was modest. In Grubbs'catalysts containing only one such ligand the dissociation rate of the remaining phosphine is increased, increasing metathesis activity. Similar results were published 1999 almost simultaneously by Nolan and by Fürstner and Herrmann in 1999. The new, more reactive, catalysts are called second generation Grubbs'catalysts. $\left[\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left\{\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{N}(\text { mesityl }) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right\}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)(=\mathrm{CHPh})\right]$ is currently the most used catalyst for efficient cross-metathesis reactions. ${ }^{8 i}$ This new ruthenium catalyst, with its greater thermal stability is now also available commercially.
Grubbs' success has inspired also other researchers Hoveyda, Hofmann, Grela, Blechert and others to improve ruthenium-based catalysts for new tasks.

The Grubbs' and Schrock's catalysts offer synthetic chemists novel opportunities. Their widespread use in organic chemistry is due to their tolerance of a large variety of functional groups, combined with their efficiency and, for Grubbs' catalysts, their ease of handling in air. ${ }^{9}$

### 1.2.4 Types of metathesis:



## Scheme 5.

Scheme 5 shows different types of metathesis reactions.

## Cross metathesis:

Cross olefin metathesis is best method for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation, which is best option to other $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation methods like palladium-catalyzed methods (Suzuki coupling, Stille coupling and Heck coupling) as well as non-metal-mediated processes like Wittig reaction, Peterson olefination and various other methods. The major limitation in CM is to control the elements of selectivity viz. cross-coupling product selectivity, olefin stereo-selectivity and olefin chemo-selectivity in complex organic molecules. The degree of selectivity depends on the nature of olefin, catalysts and effect of secondary metathesis.

The degree of selectivity depends on the nature of olefin, catalysts and effect of secondary metathesis (the homodimeric products remain metathesis active and are consumed by secondary metathesis pathway into desired CM product).


Scheme 6. Statistical distribution of CM products.

The CM reaction between allylbenzene and an allylic alcohol equivalent affords the CM product in $80 \%$ isolated yield (scheme 7), the observed yields are consistent with those predicted statistically, i.e. 4 equivalent of allyl acetate are used in reaction and CM product was obtained in $80 \%$ yield (scheme 6).


Scheme 7. Olefin isomerization by secondary metathesis processes.

A comprehensive product selectivity model developed by the Caltech group which is valuable for the prediction of both selective and non-selective CM reaction, which can also predicts the various possible alkylidene intermediates and the numerous primary and secondary metathesis pathway involved in CM (fig. 2 and table 1).

|  | Type I- Rapid homodimerization, homodimers consumable <br> Type II- Slow homodimerization, homodimers hardly consumable <br> Type III- No homodimerization <br> Type IVI- Olefins inert to CM, but do not deactivate cat.(Spectator) |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Reaction between two olefins of Type I = Statistical CM |
|  | Reaction between two olefins of same type(Type II or III) = Non-selective CM |
|  | Reaction between olefins of two different types = Selective CM |

Figure 2. Olefin categorization and rules for selective CM.

Table 1. Olefin categories for selective CM.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Olefin type } & \text { Schrock's cat.1 } & \text { Grubbs I gen. cat.3 } & \text { Grubbs II gen. cat. 7 } \\ \text { (fast } \\ \text { homodimerization) } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Terminal } \\ \text { olefins } \\ \text { Allylsilanes }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Terminal olefin } \\ \text { Allylsilanes, } 1^{\circ} \\ \text { alcohols, ethers, } \\ \text { esters, Allylboronate } \\ \text { esters }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\alpha \text {-olefins, } 1^{\circ} \text { allylic } \\ \text { alcohols, esters, } \\ \text { Allylboronate esters, } \\ \text { Allylic halides, styrenes } \\ \text { (no large ortho substit.), } \\ \text { Allylphosponates, } \\ \text { allylsilanes, phosphine } \\ \text { oxides, sulfides, } \\ \text { protected amines. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Type 2 } \\ \text { (slow } \\ \text { homodimerization) }\end{array} & \text { Allylstannanes. } & \text { Styrene, } \begin{array}{l}\text { alcohols, vinyl } \\ \text { dioxolanes, vinyl } \\ \text { boronates }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Styrenes (large ortho } \\ \text { substit.), Acrylate, } \\ \text { acrylamides, acrylic } \\ \text { acids, acrolein, vinyl } \\ \text { ketones, unprotected } 3^{\circ} \\ \text { allylic alcohols, vinyl }\end{array} \\ \text { epoxides, } 2^{\circ} \text { allylic }\end{array}\right\}$

| Type 4 <br> (spectators to CM) | $1,1-$ <br> disubstituted <br> olefins | 1,1-disubstituted <br> olefins, disubstituted <br> $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated <br> carbonyls, $4^{\circ}$ allylic <br> carbon containing <br> olefins, <br> Perfluorinated alkane <br> olefins, $3^{\circ}$ <br> allylamines <br> (protected) | Trisubstituted allylic <br> alcohols (protected) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Ring-closing metathesis:

There are several key factors to the success of RCM reaction (a) The availability of efficient catalysts having sufficiently well-defined electronic and coordinative unsaturation to allow convenient use and higher turnover performance (b) the exceptional tolerance of these initiators to diverse functional groups, including the capacity of the Lewis-acidic metal-carbene centre to engage in intramolecular coordination to polar substituents in order to maximize proper orientation of the reacting centers (c) one's ability to profit from the gain in entropy that is the driving force for RCM due to the generation of ethylene as a volatile byproduct.
The key competing reaction to RCM is the oligomerization (scheme 8) the rate of RCM reaction decreases due to ring size and conformational effect. The competing reaction viz. oligomerization interfere to the desired RCM reaction, the rate of oligomerization is nearly constant for specific olefin substitution, can be decreased by lowering the concentration of the diene, using slow addition and using higher temperature but at higher temperature the rate of decomposition of catalyst is more hence closure of larger rings usually requires more catalyst.


Scheme 8. Competing reactions between RCM and oligomerization.

Generally the diene substrates bearing $\gamma, \delta$ - or $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated carbonyl moiety do not undergo RCM at all.




Without Ti(Oipr) ${ }_{4}, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 40 \%$
With Ti(Oipr) ${ }_{4}$ (30 mol\%), $15 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$


## Scheme 9.

This is mainly attributed to the formation of a stable and thus inactive 6- or 7-membered metal-chelation complex that blocks the catalytic cycle. The chelation can be prevented by the addition of catalytic amount of certain Lewis acid such as $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ to the reaction mixture and therefore to proceed with the RCM of carbonyl substrate (scheme 9).

## Enyne metathesis:

Enyne metathesis is a unique and interesting reaction between alkene and alkyne part in this reaction double and triple bonds are cleaved and double bond is simultaneously formed, as a result, the alkylidene part of the double bond are introduced onto the respective alkyne carbons to give a diene moiety and triple bond is converted into a single bond. Overall this reaction is just rearrangement or skeletal reorganization ( $100 \%$ atom economy). Enyne metathesis was first discovered by Katz $^{10}$ in 1985 using Fischer tungsten-carbene complex and until now there are numerous reports are available
i) Transition metal-carbene complex-catalyzed reaction

ii) Skeletal reorganization


Scheme 10. Enyne metathesis.
Two mechanisms of enyne metathesis were proposed one is transition metal-carbene complex-mediated reaction (e.g. W, $\mathrm{Mo}, \mathrm{Cr} \& \mathrm{Ru}$ ), it proceeds by a [2+2] cycloaddition between triple bond of substrate and carbene complex of catalyst to give metallacyclobutene III, ring opening of III to give carbene complex IV, which reacts with olefin intramolecularly to give cyclized diene II and second mechanism is skeletal reorganization catalyzed by transition metal viz. Pd, Pt, Ir, Ru, Ga etc. but second mechanism is not clear yet, presumably the transition metal coordinates to the triple bond to give V and then alkene carbon reacts with alkyne part to form VI then it would be converted into II. Mori ${ }^{11}$ reported chromium-catalyzed enyne metathesis and Trost developed enyne metathesis using Pd and Pt catalyst. ${ }^{12}$ This reaction proceeds via
oxidative cyclization of enyne to form VII and reductive elimination of VII to form cyclobutene VIII, after ring opening gives II (scheme 10).


## Scheme 11.

Possible reaction course for formation of two metathesis products is outlined in scheme 11. Thus, finally their continuous efforts on the study of metathesis became fruitful, widely accepted and practiced by various scientists across the globe and they received the Nobel Prize in chemistry in 2005, Richard Schrock and Robert Grubbs for the development and application of their catalysts and Yves Chauvin for his mechanistic study.
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## Chapter 1, Section III

Studies towards synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis and RCOM

### 1.3.1 Introduction:

As a part of an ongoing programme on synthesis of bioactive natural products specially the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues ${ }^{1-5}$ as well as implementation of metathesis reaction, ${ }^{6}$ this group has already developed the synthetic routes particularly for the construction of pyridone (D-ring) of camptothecins. Considering the pharmaceutical importance and traces amount of availability from natural sources of CPT 1, till date numerous imaginative syntheses have been disclosed by different research groups. This section describes the conceptually novel strategy viz. intramolecular enyne metathesis for the construction of D-ring of camptothecin and its analogues.

### 1.3.2 Present work:

According to retrosynthetic analysis depicted in scheme $1(+)$-camptothecin 1 and its analogues viz. mappicine ketone 2, mappicine 3 and homocamptothecin 4 could be accessed from a common tetracyclic intermediate 5 by simple transformation.


Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis.

Teracyclic intermediate 5 would be realized from alkyne compound $\mathbf{6}$ by intramolecular enyne metathesis and aromatization. The alkyne compound 6 could be obtained from tricyclic carbamate 7 by Cbz deprotection followed by condensation with acryloyl chloride, the carbamate 7 in turn could be accessed from keto compound $\mathbf{8}$ by Friedlander condensation with suitable Schiff's base and the keto compound 8 could be synthesized from carbamate 9 by tandem Michael addition followed by Dieckmann condensation and decarboxylation. The carbamate $\mathbf{9}$ in turn could be synthesized from cheap and commercially available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride $\mathbf{1 0}$ by simple transformation described in scheme 2.

### 1.3.3 Results and discussion:

According to the retrosynthetic analysis outlined in scheme 1 the synthesis commenced from readily available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride 10, which was converted into corresponding Schiff's base 11 in $98 \%$ yield using benzaldehyde and triethyl amine as the base and molecular sieves as water scavenger. Alkylation of O'Donnell's Schiff's base 11 with 1-bromo-2-pentyne ${ }^{7}$ under phase transfer condition (TBAHSO 4 as a phase transfer catalyst) using $10 \%$ aqueous NaOH furnished the alkylated Schiff's base 12 in excellent yield. Compound 12 was hydrolyzed into amine 13 using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and subsequently the liberated amine 13 was subjected to treatment with CbzCl using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base in anhydrous methylene chloride to furnish carbamate 9 in $96 \%$ yield.

The structure of 9 was confirmed by spectral methods. IR spectrum of 9 displayed the absorption bands at $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of ester and carbamate functional groups respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 9 revealed a triplet and quartet at $\delta$ $1.09 \& \delta 2.12(J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three and two protons respectively, doublet of doublet at $\delta 2.70(J=8.7 \& 4.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons, indicated the incorporation of propargyl group, triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.29 \& \delta 4.23(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three and two protons respectively suggested the presence of ethyl ester functionality. A multiplet at $\delta 4.40-4.49$ integrated for one protons, doublet at $\delta 5.55$ ( $J=$ 8.2 Hz ) integrated for one proton was assigned to ( -NHCbz ), singlet at $\delta 5.12$ integrating for two benzylic protons and singlet at $\delta 7.35$ integrated for five aromatic protons indicated the presence of benzyl group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 9 displayed fourteen signals and DEPT spectrum of $\mathbf{9}$ showed four methylene carbons the structure of $\mathbf{9}$ was confirmed by
mass spectrum where it showed $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 304 and $321(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$along with $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$ respectively and finally the structure of $\mathbf{9}$ was ascertained by its elemental analysis also.


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 equiv), PhCHO (0.9 equiv), MS, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ (1.2 equiv), 1-bromo-2-pentyne (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ ( 0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt $2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.2 equiv), rt, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) $K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), benzyl chloroformate (1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$.

Carbamate 9 underwent tandem Michael addition followed by Dieckmann condensation with ethyl acrylate using NaH as the base furnished the $\beta$-ketoester 14 in good yield. ${ }^{8}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{1 4}$ exhibited the mixture of isomers (tautomers and rotamers). Further the structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was confirmed by mass spectrum which showed the $m / z$ peaks at 358 and 375 which correspond to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. The $\beta$-ketoester 14 was decarboxylated under Krapcho's method using NaCl in (DMSO$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 1$ ) at $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-8$ hours delivered the keto compound $\mathbf{8}$ in $78 \%$ yield. ${ }^{9}$ The formation of $\mathbf{8}$ was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{8}$ showed the disappearance of the absorption band corresponding to ester group while newly appeared absorption band at $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 8 showed the disappearance of signals corresponding to ester functionality while two triplets appeared at $\delta 2.62$ and $\delta 3.97(J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons each respectively indicated the conversion of $\mathbf{1 4}$ to $\mathbf{8}$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{8}$ revealed the mixture of rotamers and finally the structure of $\mathbf{8}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis, the $m / z$ peak at 286,303 and 318 corresponding to ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively were observed in mass spectrum and in elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical
values. The keto compound $\mathbf{8}$ underwent Friedlander condensation with Schiffs base $N$-(o-amino)p-toluidine and catalytic PTSA in toluene at reflux temperature for 12 hours furnished tricyclic carbamate 7 in very good yield.
The structure of compound 7 was confirmed by spectral methods. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of 7 displayed absence of triplet corresponding to $\alpha$-methylene protons of ketone while the new signals appeared in aromatic region at $\delta 7.54-8.11$ integrated for five aromatic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 showed the new nine signals that appeared in aromatic region. DEPT spectrum of 7 displayed the four methylene carbons and showed the mixture of rotamers, the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 371 and 393 were observed in mass spectrum of 7 corresponding to ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $H)^{+}$and $(M+N a)^{+}$respectively. Finally the structure of 7 was ascertained by its elemental analysis as well.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}$, rt 1h, refluxed 2-3 h, 72\%. (b) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), 120-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6$ h, $78 \%$. (c) Schiff,s base (1.2 equiv), PTSA (cat), anhydrous toluene, reflux, 6 h 86\%.

The Cbz deprotection of compound 7 was carried out in ethanolic KOH at reflux temperature for 6 h to afford secondary amine ${ }^{10}$ which subsequently was further condensed with acryloyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base, delivered the acrylamide 15a in $67 \%$ yields. The conversion of $\mathbf{7}$ to $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ was confirmed by spectral data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 15a revealed the disappearance of signals corresponding to Cbz group while two new signals appeared in the olefinic region at $\delta 5.80-5.84$ integrated for one proton and at $\delta 6.54-6.65$ integrated for two protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $15 a$ revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers. Mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ displayed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at $291(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $313\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}$respectively. The structure of 15a was confirmed by its elemental analysis also, which was found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.
Similarly methacrylamide 15b was prepared in $72 \%$ yield employing identical reaction conditions for the formation of 15a using methacryloyl chloride. Methacrylamide 15b was characterized by spectral methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ displayed absence of signals
due to two benzylic protons and multiplet for five aromatic protons while a singlet appeared at $\delta 2.07$ integrated for three protons due to vinylic methyl and two peak appeared at $\delta 5.36$ and $\delta 5.46$ integrated for two protons was assigned to olefinic protons. The spectral analysis of the product thus obtained indicated the conversion of $\mathbf{7}$ to $\mathbf{1 5 b} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ exhibited it to be a mixture of rotamers. It was further confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peaks at $305(M+1)^{+}$ and $327(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in mass spectrum confirmed the structure of $\mathbf{1 5 b}$, in an elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.







## Scheme 4.

With acrylamide compounds $\mathbf{1 5 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ in hand, attention was focused towards the key step i.e. intramolecular enyne metathesis. Thus compounds 15a and 15b were subjected to intramolecular enyne metathesis reaction employing Grubbs' first and second generation catalysts under a variety of reaction conditions including various solvents, concentrations, mode of additions and in the presence of additives like $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$ as Lewis acid mentioned in table 1 . Unfortunately the desired products $\mathbf{1 6 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 6 b}$ could not be obtained. ${ }^{6}$ Trost et $a l$ have reported the enyne metathesis employing Pd and Pt catalyzed enyne cyclization. ${ }^{11}$ Accordingly 15a \& 15b were subjected to the intramolecular enyne metathesis under identical reaction conditions but the required intermediate could not be accessed. Mori and co-workers reported in a seminal report reported formation of 1,3-butadiene when alkyne was subjected to enyne metathesis under the ethylene atmosphere. ${ }^{12}$ Even performing this reaction under ethylene atmosphere was not fruitful, probably this might be due to the
interference of the quinoline nitrogen, which had a detrimental effect on the success of the reaction.


## Scheme 5.

## Table 1

| $\begin{gathered} \text { S. } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Reagent | Solvent | Temperature | Time | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grubbs $1^{\text {st }}$ Gen. cat. ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 2 eq Ti(OiPr)4 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 24 h | SM recovered |
| 2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grubbs } 1^{\text {st }} \text { Gen. cat. } \\ & (10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \\ & 2 \mathrm{eq} \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | reflux | 24 h | SM recovered |
| 3 | Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen. cat. ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | reflux | 24 h | SM recovered |
| 4 | Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen. cat. <br> ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), <br> 2 eq $\operatorname{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr}) 4$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | reflux | 24 h | SM recovered |
| 5 | Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen. cat. ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 h | SM recovered |
| 6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grubbs } 2^{\text {nd }} \text { Gen. cat. } \\ (10 \mathrm{~mol} \%), \\ 2 \text { eq } \mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 24 h | SM recovered |
| 7 | Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ Gen. cat. $(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ ethylene atmosphere | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 h | SM recovered |
| 8 | $\mathrm{PtCl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ | $\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}$ | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 h | SM recovered |

After the failure of intramolecular enyne metathesis on compound 15a and $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ under different reaction conditions mentioned in table 1 , it was decided to carry out the same reaction on protected compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ to construct the CD-ring and lastly build the tetracyclic advanced intermediate 5 by Friedlander condensation with appropriate Schiff's base. Keeping this idea in mind the treatment of keto compound 8 with ethylene glycol using PTSA (cat.) in anhydrous benzene under azeotropic removal of water furnished the acetal 17 in an excellent yield (96\%). The formation of 17 was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of 17 revealed the disappearance of absorption band corresponded to ketone functional group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 17 displayed two singlets appeared at $\delta 3.96 \&$ 3.97 that integrated for two protons each of which were assigned to methylene of the acetal group (-O-C $\underline{H}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 17 showed the appearance of new signals at $\delta 63.9,64.6,65.4,66.5$ due to rotamers. Lastly the structure was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 17 showed the peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 330$ (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, and elemental analysis showed the experimental values were in good agreements with the calculated values.

The Cbz deprotection of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ was carried out in refluxing ethanolic KOH and the resultant the secondary amine was subsequently condensed with acryloyl chloride and methacryloyl chloride furnished amides 18a \& 18b in $75 \%$ \& $73 \%$ yield respectively.
The formation of amide $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ was confirmed by spectral data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ showed the absence of signals that corresponded to Cbz group while the presence of a multiplet at $\delta$ 5.63-5.70 integrated for one proton was assigned to a $\alpha$-proton of acrylamide and multiplet at $\delta 6.32-6.54$ integrating for two protons were assigned to $\beta$-protons of acrylamide. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ also revealed that, compound $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ exists as a mixture of rotamers and its structure was further confirmed by mass and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 18a revealed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 250 and 271 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and the elemental analysis revealed that the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Similarly the structure of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ was confirmed by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum of 18b revealed the disappearance of signals due to two benzylic protons and aromatic protons, while new singlet which appeared at $\delta 1.93$ integrated for three protons was assigned to methyl of acryloyl group and two singlets that appeared at $\delta 5.08 \& 5.17$
integrating for one proton each were assigned to the olefinic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ showed it to be a mixture of rotamers. The structure of 18b was further supported by mass and elemental analysis, the peak at $m / z 264(M+H)^{+}$ and $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, which was further ascertained by its elemental analysis as well. Having 18a \& 18b in the hand the key step intramolecular enyne metathesis reaction was performed under various reaction conditions mentioned in table 1 but again the formation of anticipated dihydropyridone 19a \& 19b could not be accomplished.


Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (a) ethylene glycol (1.2 equiv), pTSA (cat), $C_{6} H_{6}$, reflux, 6 h, $96 \%$ (b) KOH (14.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux, 6 h (c) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), acryloyl chloride \& methacryloyl chloride (1.2 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$ \& $73 \%$ respectively (in two steps).

However when the acetal 18b was subjected to the intramolecular enyne metathesis employing Grubbs' second generation catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) under ethylene atmosphere in anhydrous toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ within 1 h the starting material disappeared (TLC) and afforded the triene 20b via ethylene cross enyne metathesis in excellent yield (98\%).
The formation of trine 20b was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of 20b displayed the signals at $\delta 4.92-5.29$ integrating for six protons and which were attributed to olefinic protons which indicated the addition of ethylene to triple bond and formation of conjugated diene. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0 b}$ showed the disappearance of the signals corresponding to alkyne carbons while new signals appeared at $\delta 111.0,113.9$, 144.8 and 148.8 corresponding to olefinic carbons. DEPT spectrum of 20b revealed additional two methylene carbons in olefinic region, and finally the structure of 20b was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 20b exhibited the $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$peak at $m / z 292$ along with $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$at $m / z 314$. In elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.

The resultant triene 20b was further subjected to the treatment with Grubbs' second generation catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h , furnished the desired entropically and thermodynamically favored six-membered $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 19b exclusively in $40 \%$ yield ( $90 \%$ based on recovery of starting material).


Scheme 7. Reagents and conditions: (a) Grubbs second generation catalyst (10 mol \%), $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, anhydrous toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) Grubbs’ second generation catalyst (10 mol \%), anhydrous toluene, 24 h, 90\% (based on recovery of starting material).

After obtaining the desired pyridone 19b via triene intermediate 20b, it was thought that the two reactions viz. ethylene cross enyne metathesis and ring-closing metathesis can be carried out in one-pot (tandem intermolecular enyne metathesis and intramolecular ringclosing metathesis).

For the execution of this hypothesis the compound 18b was treated with Grubbs' second generation catalyst ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and the reaction was monitored by TLC. After the disappearance of starting material, additional ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) Grubbs' second generation catalyst was further added to the reaction mixture and heating was continued for additional 24 h . It was indeed gratifying to note that the desired anticipated dihydropyridone 19b was obtained in 98\% yield (based on recovery of starting material).
The structure of compound 19b was ascertained by spectral study. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 19b displayed the absence of signals corresponding to olefinic protons while the two doublet of doublet appeared at $\delta 4.77(J=1.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 5.02(J=3.15,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ that integrated for one proton each which were attributed to exomethylene protons. This clearly indicated the loss of ethylene molecule. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $19 b$ showed the disappearance the signals that corresponded to acrylamide olefin and internal olefin of diene. DEPT spectrum of 19b displayed the six signals corresponding to methylene carbons. The $m / z$ peak at $264(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in mass spectrum confirmed the structure of 19b which was further ascertained by its elemental analysis also, which was found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.




Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: (a) Grubbs catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, anhydrous toluene, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h , Grubbs catalyst $2{ }^{\text {nd }}$ generation (15 mol \%), $24 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$ (based on the recovery of 20b). (b) DDQ (1.2 equiv), anhydrous 1, 4 dioxane, reflux, 8 h , 96\%.

After achieving the crucial step tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis followed by ringclosing metathesis reactions, the dihydropyridone 19b was aromatized by DDQ in refluxing 1,4-dioxane resulted pyridone 21b in $96 \%$ yield. The structure of 21b was confirmed by spectral methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 1 b}$ displayed the absence of the signals corresponding to methylene and methine protons of dihydropyridone ring while a presence new singlet at $\delta 6.90$ which was attributed to methine proton of pyridone ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 21b revealed the disappearance of signals corresponding to methylene and methine carbons of dihydropyridone ring while the signal appeared at $\delta 114.1$ that was assigned to methine carbon of pyridone ring. Finally the
structure of 21b was confirmed by mass spectrum which displayed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at $262(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

The synthesis of advanced intermediate 5 could be accessed from 21b by acetal deprotection followed by Friedlander condensation with suitable Schiffs' base and further 5 could be manipulated to camptothecin 1 as well as its analogues. Due to paucity of time these transformations could not be realized which look straightforward.

### 1.3.4 Conclusion:

The synthesis of advanced intermediate 21b was achieved employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis followed by ring-closing metathesis as a key step for the construction of pyridone. The intermediate 21b would serve as a common precursor/synthon which could be transformed to camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$, nothapodytine $\mathrm{B} \mathbf{2}$, mappicine 3 or homocamptothecin 4 via intermediate 5.

### 1.3.5 Experimental

## Ethyl 1-(ethoxycarbonyl) hex-3-ynylcarbamata (9)


(a) To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 0}(15.79 \mathrm{~g}, 113.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(11.43 \mathrm{~g}, 15.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 113.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in the presence of molecular sieves $\left(4 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{PhCHO}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}$, 94.3 mmol ) was added and reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 0.5 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC) the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 50 mL ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure to furnish Schiff's base $\mathbf{1 1}$ as a pale yellow coloured liquid ( $17.67 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield).
(b) To a solution of $\mathbf{1 1}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 52.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL}), 1$-bromo-2-pentyne ( 9.23 $\mathrm{g}, 6.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 62.28 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}(1.77 \mathrm{~g}, 5.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}(2.51 \mathrm{~g}, 62.28$ mmol ) were added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was allowed to stir for 1 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure furnished crude alkylated Schiff's base 12 as a yellow coloured liquid ( $12.9 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield).
(c) To a stirred compound of $12(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 38.91 \mathrm{mmol}) 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(17 \mathrm{~mL}, 46.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 0.5 h till the completion of reaction (TLC). The organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The aqueous phase was neutralized by addition of ammonia solution ( $40 \%$ ), and aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo afforded the crude amine $\mathbf{1 3}$ as a pale yellow liquid ( $6.04 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield).
(d) To a stirred solution of amine $13(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 29.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.93 \mathrm{~g}, 35.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 minutes and then benzyl chloroformate ( $6.05 \mathrm{~g}, 5.06 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir further for 2-3 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was washed with water ( 50 mL ) and organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using
ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent furnished carbamate 9 as a viscous colourless liquid ( $8.6 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 303
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1725,1702,1653,1511 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.12(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R ~}_{\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.4,14.1,14.3,23.2,52.9,61.6,67.0,73.3,85.4, ~}^{\text {, }}$ $128.2,128.55,136.4,155.7,170.6 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $321\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 260,172$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.32; H, 6.9; N, 4.62\%.
Found: C, 67.47; H, 6.67; N, 4.52\%.

## Diethyl 4 -oxo-5-(pent-2-ynyl) pyrrolidine-1, 3-dicarboxylata (14)



To a stirred suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and anhydrous benzene ( 50 mL ) was added and urethane $9(5 \mathrm{~g}, 18$ mmol ) in anhydrous benzene ( 25 mL ) was added gradually.
The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature till the evolution of hydrogen ceased. To the generated sodium salt was dropwise added ethyl acrylate $(2.16 \mathrm{~g}, 2.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 21.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ over 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 0.5 h and then refluxed for 3 hours. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction the reaction mixture was quenched with the addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:3) as eluent resultant the $\beta$-keto ester 14 as viscous oil in $4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 79 \%$ yield.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 357
IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 3405,1772,1708,1642 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.02-2.17 (m, 2H), 2.51-3.14 (m, 2H), 3.53-3.64 (m, 0.65H), 3.97-4.64 (m, 5H), $5.19(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), $7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 10.02 \mathrm{ppm}$ (bs, 0.35 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.45,13.8,14.1,14.2,14.4,21.1,22.3,45.8$, $49.3,49.6,60.5,61.1,61.6,61.8,62.1,67.0,67.3,73.2,73.65,83.95,97.6,97.9,128.0$, 128.1, 128.2, 128.6, 136.45, 136.7, 154.1, 168.4, 168.9 ppm.

MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 375\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 358(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 326,321,296,259,242,227,200,187$, 172.

## Ethyl 3-oxo-2-(pent-2-ynyl) pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (8)



To a stirred solution of $\beta$-keto ester $14(4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaCl}(2.92 \mathrm{~g}, 50.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent delivered pyrrolidinone $\mathbf{8}$ as a viscous pale yellow liquid ( $3.26 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 285
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}: 1719,1701,1418,1216,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.57-2.64 (m, 4H), 3.77-3.98 (m, 3H), $5.19(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.3,14.0,20.6,21.8,36.0,36.4,42.8,60.9,61.1$, $63.8,64.0,64.9,67.1,73.3,73.8,74.2,84.0,84.4,126.85,127.3,127.9,128.15,128.35$, $128.5,136.2,136.4,141.3,154.65,211.6,211.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $303\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 252,227,224,200,172$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.57 ; H, 6.65 ; N, $4.91 \%$.
Found: C, 71.55; H, 6.62; N, 4.79\%.

## Ethyl 3-(pent-2-ynyl) -1 H-pyrrolo [3, 4-b] quinoline-2(3H)-carboxylate (7)



To a stirred solution of pyrrolidinone $8(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 10.52 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 30 mL ), $N$-(o-aminobenzilidine)- $p$ toluidine ( $2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 12.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resultant reaction mixture was refluxed for 0.5 h with azeotropic removal of water and after $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 0.201 \mathrm{~g}$ of $p$-TSA was added and the reaction mixture was further refluxed for 3 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction, the reaction was quenched with the addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:3) furnished quinoline 7 as a yellow solid (2.72 g, $70 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, MW: 370
M. P.: $109{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1703,1630,1516,1407,1216,1113,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.79,0.81(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 5 H ), 7.54-7.55 (bs, 1H), $7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98,8.04(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}$, $1: 1,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.15,13.8,23.7,24.9,50.8,51.1,61.9,62.4$, $67.0,67.3,74.1,74.5,83.6,84.0,126.5,127.6,127.7,127.8,128.1,128.55,129.0,129.15$, $129.3,136.5,136.75,148.1,154.4,154.8,161.4,161.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $371(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 393(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 77.81; H, 5.99; N, 7.56\%.
Found: C, 77.75; H, 6.16; N, 7.59\%.

## 1-(3-(pent-2-ynyl)-1H-pyrrolo [3, 4-b] quinolin-2(3H)-yl) prop-2-en-1-one (15a)



To a stirred solution of urethane $7(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 30 mL ), $\mathrm{KOH}(6.3 \mathrm{~g}, 113.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol (30 mL ) was added and the solution was degassed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and resultant yellow solution was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere till completion (TLC, 6 h). The resultant dark brown solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo provided the crude amine. To the stirred solution of crude amine in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred for $5-10$ minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after that acryloyl chloride ( 0.88 g , $0.79 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise under nitrogen atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x $10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) afforded the compound 15a as a pale yellow solid ( $1.66 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, MW: 290
M.P.: $105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR (CHCl3) $v_{\text {max }}: 1697,1631,1513,1409,1214,1117,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.79,0.85(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-3.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-5.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.30,5.50(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{dd}, J$ $=9.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54-6.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{t}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.99-8.13 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.35,13.8,14.1,23.55,27.2,29.9,50.7,51.5$, $61.8,62.3,73.5,74.9,83.4,85.1,126.9,127.8,128.0,128.9,129.0,129.1,129.5,129.6$, $129.9,148.1,148.6,161.4,161.5,164.6,164.9 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: 291(M + H $)^{+}, 313(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 78.59; H, 6.25; N, 9.65\%.
Found: C, 78.63; H, 6.28; N, 9.57\%.

2-methyl-1-(3-pent-2-ynyl)-1H-pyrrolo [3, 4-b] quinolin-2(3H)-yl) prop-2-en-1-one (15b)

To a stirred solution of urethane $7(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 50 mL ), $\mathrm{KOH}(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was degassed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and resultant yellow solution was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere till completion ( 6 h ). The resultant dark brown solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 70 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo provided the crude amine. To the stirred solution of crude amine obtained ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 17.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred for $5-10$ minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Methacryloyl chloride ( $1.95 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise under nitrogen atmosphere at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 10 minutes to the above reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h . After completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether afforded the compound $\mathbf{1 5 b}$ as a pale yellow solid ( $2.95 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, MW: 304
M.P.: $104-106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }}: 1722,1651,1621,1412,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.89,3.44(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31-5.46$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92(\mathrm{~s}$, 1 H ), $8.06 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.2,13.8,14.0,19.8,20.3,23.6,26.4,29.7,50.9$, $53.2,61.5,63.0,74.7,83.3,116.1,117.0,126.7,127.6,127.8,129.0,129.5,129.65,141.1$, 148.1, 161.3, 171.2, 171.6 ppm (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $305(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 327(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 78.92; H, 6.62; N, 9.20\%.

Found: C, 78.95; H, 6.56; N, 9.17\%.

## Compound (17)



To a stirred solution of pyrrolidinone $8(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 11.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene ( 20 mL ), ethylene glycol ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{~mL}$, $17.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $p$-TSA $(0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added and the resultant reaction mixture was refluxed with azeotropic removal of water for 12 h . After completion (TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with addition of $10 \%$ sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) afforded compound 17 as viscous pale yellow liquid ( $2.98 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 329
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}: 1698,1417,1216,1107,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.10,1.11(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.01(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.27-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96,3.97(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.3,14.0,14.6,20.1,21.05,32.4,33.1,33.3$, $43.3,43.4,43.6,60.8,61.3,61.5,63.9,64.6,65.4,66.5,66.7,75.6,75.9,82.7,83.1,113.7$, $114.35,125.5,126.7,127.0,127.55,128.1,128.3,136.6,136.7,141.4,154.6,154.7,154.9$ ppm (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 69.28; H, 7.04; N, 4.25\%.
Found: C, 69.33; H, 6.98; N, 4.27\%.

## Compound (18a)



To a stirred solution of the urethane $17(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ), $\mathrm{KOH}(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was degassed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and resultant yellow solution was refluxed under nitrogen for 6 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and the resultant dark brown solution was concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue
obtained was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo provided the crude amine. To a stirred solution of the resultant crude amine in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 7.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred for 5 minutes and acryloyl chloride ( $0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 0.59 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added under nitrogen atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC) the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 15 mL ) and organic phase was dried over anhydrous Na 2 SO 4 , filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) afforded the compound 18a as a viscous liquid (1.13 g, 75\% yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 249
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\max }: 1695,1614,1432,1215,1119,1044,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.98-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.53-$ $3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32-6.54 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.0,13.4,13.75,19.1,22.5,30.9,33.1,42.35,43.9,60.6$, $61.1,63.7,64.0,65.3,74.7,75.5,82.5,84.0,112.8,114.1,126.8,127.4,128.3,164.5$, 164.6 ppm (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $250(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 272(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.45; H, 7.68; N, 5.62\%.
Found: C, 67.39; H, 7.73; N, 5.57\%.

## Compound (18b)



To a stirred solution of the urethane $17(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 40 mL ), KOH ( $13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.14 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in ethanol ( 30 mL ) was added and the solution was degassed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and resultant yellow solution was refluxed under nitrogen for 6 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and the resultant dark brown solution was concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was diluted with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo
provided the crude amine. To a stirred solution of crude amine in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 30 $\mathrm{mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.51 \mathrm{~g}, 10.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred and methacryloyl chloride ( 1.14 $\mathrm{g}, 1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added under nitrogen atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 10 minutes. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC) the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ and organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) afforded the compound 18b as a viscous liquid ( $1.75 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 263
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1695,1614,1432,1215,1119,1044,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.55(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.94(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.08,5.17 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.5,14.2,19.3,20.0,34.0,46.1,60.55,64.3,65.8,113.7$, $116.05,141.2,171.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $264(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 68.42; H, 8.04; N, 5.32\%. Found: C, 68.37; H, 8.09; N, 5.29\%.

## Compound (20b)



To the stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 8 b}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 20 mL ), Grubbs' second generation catalyst $(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mol \%) was added and degassed thoroughly. The reaction mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under ethylene atmosphere. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and after the completion of reaction, toluene was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh size) eluted with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (8:2) afforded the compound 20b as a colourless liquid ( $0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 291
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1691,1613,1431,1215,1118,1045,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.71$ (m, 6H), 3.45-4.18 (m, 7H), 4.92-5.29 ppm (m, 6H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 13.0,19.8,26.7,34.0,34.2,45.7,59.6,64.0,65.3,111.0$, 113.9, 116.4, 141.05, 144.8, 148.8, 171.4 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $292(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 314(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 70.07; H, 8.65; N, 4.81\%.
Found: C, 69.94; 8.67; N, 4.77\%.

## Compound (19b)



To the stirred solution of $\mathbf{2 0 b}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 10 mL ), Grubbs' second generation catalyst $(0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034$ mmol, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added and degassed thoroughly. The reaction mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere till the completion of reaction (TLC, 12 h ). Toluene was removed in vacuo and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230400 mesh size) eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (6:4) provided the dihydropyridone compound 19b as colourless syrup ( $0.036 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ yield) and 0.055 g of starting material was recovered.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 263
IR (CHCl3) $v_{\max }: 1719,1647,1609,1439,1215,1159,1038,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.99-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.6,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02$ ppm (dd, $J=3.2,1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.35,13.5,27.9,28.2,33.8,42.1,59.8,65.4$, $112.0,114.3,126.25,145.7,150.2,165.0 . \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) $m / z: 264(M+H)^{+}, 286(M+N a)^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 68.42; H, 8.04; N, 5.32\%.
Found: C, 68.44; 8.07; N, 5.27\%.

## Compound (21b)



To the stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 9 b}(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $1,4-$ dioxane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, DDQ $(0.095 \mathrm{~g}, 0.41 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resultant reaction mixture was refluxed under nitrogen atmosphere till the completion of reaction (TLC, 8 h ). The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh size) eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (7:3) furnished the pyridone compound 21b as viscous liquid ( $0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 261
IR (CHCl3) $v_{\text {max }}: 3056,1657,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.32(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96-4.62(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.90 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.1,14.3,24.9,41.1,47.9,66.3,114.1,115.4$, 126.5, 129.85, 131.1, 139.4, 155.5 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $262(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

### 1.3.6 Spectra


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $9\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $9\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $9\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$




DEPT spectrum of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $15 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $15 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 18a ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}$ )



DEPT spectrum of compound $18 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $18 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $18 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $20 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $20 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound 20b $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $19 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $19 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $19 \mathrm{~b}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 2, Section I

Total synthesis of $\pm$ )-camptothecin employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation and Michael addition

### 2.1.1 Introduction:

The Reformatsky reaction ${ }^{1-4}$ is very well known reaction for the carbon-carbon bond formation under mild reaction conditions. An aldehyde or ketone is treated with zinc and $\alpha$-halo ester, vinylog of an $\alpha$-halo ester, $\alpha$-halo nitriles, $\alpha$-halo ketones and $\alpha$-halo $N, N$ disubstituted amides in ethers (viz. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, THF and 1,4-dioxane) gives $\beta$-hydroxy ester (figure 1) or sometimes eliminated compound $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester (in case of aryl aldehydes) and this sometimes becomes alternative to Wittig reaction.


## Figure 1.

### 2.1.2 Present work:

After achieving the synthesis of advanced intermediate of camptothecin and its analogues employing tandem ethylene cross enyne metathesis followed by ring-closing metathesis strategy, as a part of the ongoing programme to synthesize the camptothecin and its analogues which exhibited impressive anticancer activity, this group has been synthesizing the camptothecin and its analogues by different protocols. ${ }^{5}$ In this section the feasibility of mild intermolecular Reformatsky reaction as well as intermolecular Michael reaction of carbon nucleophile on an activated tetracyclic dihydropyridone substrates is described. As per retrosynthetic plan 1 depicted in scheme 1 the CPT 1 could be synthesized from diester 2 by selective reduction followed by lactonization and enantioselective hydroxylation, the diester 2 could be accessed from keto ester 3 by intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and aromatization. The $\beta$-keto ester $\mathbf{3}$ could be obtained from hydroxy compound 4 by oxidation; the hydroxy compound 4 in turn would be realized from amide 5 by oxidative cleavage followed by Reformatsky reaction. The amide 5 in turn could be prepared from carbamate 6 by Cbz deprotection followed by condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride. The carbamate $\mathbf{6}$ can be readily obtained from keto compound 7 by Friedlander condensation. Keto compound 7 in turn could be prepared from
carbamate 8 and carbamate $\mathbf{8}$ could be synthesized from commercially available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride salt $\mathbf{9}$ by sequence of simple transformation.


## Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic plan 1.

### 2.1.3 Results and discussion:

According to planned retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 1) the amide 5 which is the precursor for Reformatsky reaction was synthesized from commercially available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride salt 9 as per procedure ${ }^{5 a}$ developed by this group as shown in scheme 2.




Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 equiv), PhCHO (0.9 equiv), MS, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ (1.5 equiv), allyl bromide (1.2 equiv), TBAHSO 4 (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt $2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 equiv), rt, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), benzylchloroformate ( 1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$. (e) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}$, rt 1h, refluxed 2-3 h, 72\%. (f) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. (g) N -(o-aminobenzilidine)-p-toluidine (1.2 equiv), PTSA (cat), anhydrous toluene, reflux, 6 h 86\%. (h) KOH, EtOH, reflux, 8h; (i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$ in two steps.

The amide 5 was treated with catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and 2.1 equivalent of $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ in acetone-water at room temperature furnished the corresponding aldehyde. This resultant aldehyde was subsequently subjected to Reformatsky reaction with 1.5 equivalents of ethyl 2-bromobutyrate, 3.0 equivalents of Zinc powder and catalytic amount of iodine in the mixture of diethyl ether and benzene (1:1) and was refluxed for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$ but unfortunately it did not yield the desired product 14. Instead a complex reaction mixture along with traces of aromatic compound 15 was observed.
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## Scheme 3.

The formation of compound 15 was confirmed by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 15 displayed the triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.43 \& 4.43(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ corresponding to three and two protons indicated the presence of ethyl ester functionality. Singlet at $\delta 5.35$ for two protons were observed which were assigned to benzylic protons, doublet at $\delta 7.35$ ( $J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, triplet at $\delta 7.69(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, triplet at $\delta 7.85(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, doublet at $\delta 7.96(J=$ $8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, doublet at $\delta 8.25(J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, singlet at $\delta 8.43$, doublet at $\delta 8.51(J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ corresponding to one proton each and were assigned to aromatic protons. Finally the structure of 15 was confirmed by the mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass
spectrum of 15 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $307(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 329(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}) .^{+}$Its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Having failed to obtain the desired product 14 by Reformatsky reaction (scheme 3), it was thought that the same reaction can be performed on protected compound 17 (scheme 4) which was prepared from keto compound 7. The keto compound 7 was protected as a cyclic acetal 16 using ethylene glycol and catalytic amount of $p$-TSA in benzene with removal of water by azeotropic distillation. The Cbz group of acetal $\mathbf{1 6}$ was deprotected by employing KOH in ethanol at reflux temperature for 8 h to furnish amine ${ }^{6}$ and the resultant crude amine was subsequently condensed with ethyl malonyl chloride utilizing potassium carbonate as a base in anhydrous dichloromethane at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded the amide 17 in $68 \%$ yield in two steps.



## Scheme 4.

The structure of amide 17 was confirmed by spectroscopic data. IR spectrum of 17 showed the disappearance of the absorption band corresponding to Cbz group while appearance of the strong absorption bands at 3457,1736 and $1647 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the conversion of 16 to 17. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7}$ displayed the disappearance the signals corresponding to Cbz group while the triplet and quartet appeared at $\delta 1.28(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}) \& 4.19(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ which integrated for three and two protons respectively corresponding to ethoxy moiety of the ester. The singlet at $\delta 3.26$ for two protons clearly suggested the introduction of ethyl malonyl moiety. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 17 also confirmed structural
assignment of 17. The mass spectrum of 17 revealed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at 284 and 306 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and by its elemental analysis, which was in good agreement with calculated values.
Thus the compound 17 was converted into corresponding aldehyde by oxidative cleavage of the olefin and was subjected to the Reformatsky reaction under identical conditions as mentioned in scheme 3. But again the intractable mixture along with trace amounts of aromatic compound 19 was observed instead of expected product 18.
The formation of compound 19 was confirmed by spectral study. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 19 displayed the triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.38 \& 4.36(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ respectively integrating for three and two protons corresponding to the presence of ethyl ester functionality. Triplet at $\delta 2.39(J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons, triplet at $\delta 4.02(J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for two protons, singlet at $\delta 4.25$ integrated for four protons. Two doublets resonated at $\delta 6.24$ \& $8.21(J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for one proton each, were assigned to aromatic protons. Further the structure of 19 was confirmed by the mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 19 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $266(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{K})^{+}$and further it was confirmed by elemental analysis which was in good agreement with the calculated values.


## Scheme 5. Retrosynthetic plan 2.

Having failed to obtain the compounds $14 \& 18$ employing Reformatsky reaction (schemes $3 \& 4$ ), it was decided to construct the pyridone ring by Knoevenagel condensation ${ }^{6-8}$ and Michael reaction ${ }^{9-12}$ as delineated in modified retrosynthetic pathway in scheme 5.

The oxidative cleavage of 5 was accomplished employing similar conditions as shown in scheme 3 to furnish aldehyde and the resultant aldehyde was further treated with piperidine-acetate in benzene at room temperature, delivered dihydropyridone 20 (scheme
6). The dihydropyridone 20 was unstable therefore it was immediately subjected to intermolecular Michael addition with ethyl acetoacetate using piperidine-acetate as the base gave aromatic compound 15 instead of anticipated Michael adduct 21. Similarly 1,4addition with diethyl malonate under identical conditions resulted in formation of 15 instead of desired compound 21 . The formation of aromatic compound 15 instead of desired compounds 21 \& 22 can be explained by logical way. There are two possibilities: one is aromatization reaction is competing with intermolecular Michael reaction and rate of aromatization is more than conjugate addition. Second is initially the Michael adduct might be formed but it was further converted into aromatic compound 15.


## Scheme 6.



## Scheme 7.



## Scheme 8.

Having failed to obtain the Michael adducts $21 \& 22$, it was thought that the simultaneous addition of the piperidine-acetate and ethyl acetoacetate to the solution of aldehyde and progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. And it indicated that the formation aromatic compound 15 goes through Michael adduct 21. The formation of intermediate 21 was confirmed by spectral data analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 showed the disappearance of signals corresponding to three olefinic protons while multiplet appeared at $\delta$ 1.19-136 corresponding to the six protons $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The signal at $\delta 2.09$ for three protons was assigned to acetyl protons and the multiplet at $\delta 4.06-4.44$ corresponding to four protons was assigned to methylene protons of acetal $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 1}$ displayed it to be the mixture of diastereomers. Finally the formation of intermediate 21 was confirmed by mass spectrum. The mass spectrum of 21 showed $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $439,461 \& 477$ corresponding to $(M+1)^{+},(M+N a)^{+}$and $(M+K)^{+}$respectively.


## Scheme 9.

Similarly the formation of compound 22 was also confirmed by spectral study. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 showed the disappearance of the signals corresponding to three olefinic protons. While new multiplet appeared at $\delta 1.20-1.37$ integrated for nine protons that were
assigned to methyl protons $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, multiplet that appeared at $\delta 2.83-4.00$ integrating for five protons and multiplet appeared at $\delta 4.06-4.47$ integrated for six protons were assigned to methylene ester protons $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 22 showed the presence of diastereomers. Lastly the formation of 22 was confirmed by mass spectral analysis which revealed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at $369,391 \& 507$ corresponding to $(M+1)^{+},(M+N a)^{+}$and $(M+K)^{+}$respectively. It suggests that the generated Michael adduct of ethyl acetoacetate or diethylmalonate may be unstable and it was further converted into aromatized compound. This may be due to the tendency of stabilised nucleophiles to undergo retro Michael reaction due to resonance.
The formation of aromatic compound 15 can be explained by the probable mechanism described in scheme 10.

 $\mathrm{NO}_{2}, \mathrm{CN}$




Scheme 10. Probable mechanism.
After the unsuccessful tandem Knoevenagel condensation and conjugate addition to aldehyde with ethyl acetoacetate and diethyl malonate, it was decided to use the reactive nucleophile viz. ethyl butyrate and strong base like n-BuLi. When aldehyde was treated
with ethyl butyrate using n-BuLi in THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-6 \mathrm{~h}$, the reaction was monitored by TLC which showed the formation of dihydropyridone 20 but it failed to provide the desired product 23, instead furnished the aromatic compound 15. This indicates that the Michael addition is not favored at low temperature and the generated dihydropyridone 20 may have been converted into aromatic compound 15 by air oxidation.
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## Scheme 11.

Considering to vary the temperature parameter, the same reaction was carried out at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to warm to room temperature. It was indeed gratifying to note that the desired diester 23 was obtained in $56 \%$ yield along with aromatized compound 15 in $36 \%$ yield. The formation of compound 23 was confirmed by spectral analysis and it is in complete agreement with data reported by this group earlier. ${ }^{5 a}$


## Scheme 12.

The tetrahydropyridone 23 was aromatized using DDQ in refluxing 1,4-dioxane for 2 h furnished desired aromatized product 2 and the structure of 2 was confirmed by spectral data which exactly matched with reported data. ${ }^{5 a}$ The selective hydrolysis of aliphatic ester in presence of heteroaromatic ester was carried out using LiOH in EtOH at room temperature for $7-8 \mathrm{~h}$ furnished its lithium salt which subsequently was converted into deoxycamptothecin 24 in $43 \%$ yield employing lithium borohydride. ${ }^{13}$ Finally the hydroxy group was installed at the $\alpha$-carbon of the lactone ring on deoxycamptothecin 24 using $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, catalytic amount of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}$ in DMF under oxygen atmosphere at room temperature
for 24 h furnished ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ in $87 \% .{ }^{14}$ Thus, the total synthesis of camptothecin was achieved employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation followed by Michael addition.



Scheme 13. Reagent and conditions: (a) DDQ (2.1 equiv), 1,4-dioxane, reflux, 3-4 h, 97\%. (b) LiOH (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH}, r t, 7-8 \mathrm{~h}$; (c) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}$ ( 0.3 equiv), THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 43 \%$ in two steps (d) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$.

### 2.1.4 Conclusion:

The intermolecular Michael addition versus aromatization reaction on tetracyclic activated dihydropyridone was studied. The total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 was achieved employing tandem Knoevenagel condensation followed by Michael addition and selective hydrolysis of aliphatic ester in the presence of heteroaromatic ester was accomplished.

### 2.1.5 Experimental

Ethyl 9-oxo-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8-carboxylate (15)


To a well stirred solution of olefin $5(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone-water ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1$ ) was added catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture become black coloured, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.71 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise and resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 3-4 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the acetone was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, to furnish aldehyde. A mixture of crude aldehyde ((assuming 100\% conversion)), Zinc power ( $0.302 \mathrm{~g}, 4.62 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ethyl 2-bromobutyrate ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 0.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.31 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{I}_{2}\left(c a t\right.$.) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$ and the progress of reaction was monitored by (TLC). The reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature and quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:1) gave 15 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.094 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%$ ) yield.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, MW: 306
M.P.: $141-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3373,1728,1216,754,666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.69(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.51 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $307(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 329(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, $70.58 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.61$; N, $9.15 \%$.
Found: C, 70.39; H, 4.84; N, 8.87\%.

## Compound (17)



To a well stirred solution of carbamate $16(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 50 mL ) was added $\mathrm{KOH}(13.0 \mathrm{~g}, 231 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 40 mL ) and the solution was degassed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere and resultant yellow solution was refluxed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere till the completion of reaction ( $8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The dark brown solution was concentrated in vacuo, and the residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50$ mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo resulted the crude amine. To a well stirred mixture of crude amine (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.73 \mathrm{~g}, 19.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dropwise added ethyl malonyl chloride ( $2.97 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5 \mathrm{~mL}$, 19.8 mmol ) under argon and allowed to stir for 1 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resulted residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether $(2: 3)$ as a solvent system afforded the compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ as a viscous liquid ( $3.17 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 283
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3458,1736,1647,1436,755,667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.26$ (s, 2H), 3.34-3.46(m, 2H), 3.71-397 (m, 4H), $4.19(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-5.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.72-5.93 ppm (m, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (CDCl3 + CCl4, $\left.50 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 13.7,31.1,32.8,33.7,36.1,41.2,41.6,42.1,43.8$, $60.7,61.4,62.6,63.5,63.7,64.9,113.1,114.0,116.9,118.2,133.4,134.3,164.5,166.8$ ppm (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 59.35; H, 7.47; N, 4.94\%.
Found: C, 59.44; H, 7.33; N, 5.19\%.

## Compound (19)



The title compound 19 ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 27 \%$ ) was observed from 17 $(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ as per the procedure of compound 15.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 265
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3451,1733,1655 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.02(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.21 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $266(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 58.86; H, 5.70 ; N, $5.28 \%$.
Found: C, 59.17; H, 5.43; N, 5.13\%.

## Ethyl 7-(1-ethoxy-1,3-dioxobutan-2-yl)-9-oxo-5b,6,7,8,9,11-hexahydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8-carboxylate (21)



To a well stirred solution of olefin $5(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone-water ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1$ ) was added catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture become black coloured, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise and resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 6-7 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the acetone was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, to furnish aldehyde. The solution of crude aldehyde (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) in anhydrous benzene ( 5.0 mL ) was gradually added to the well stirred mixture of ethyl acetoacetate $(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ and piperidine $(0.14 \mathrm{~g}, 0.16 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and left to stir till to completion of reaction (5-6 h, TLC). The reaction was quenched by the addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:2)
as eluent furnished the compound 21 in $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ but it was not stable and converted into aromatic compound 15.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, MW: 438
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1659,1651,1602,1453,1410,1216 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.19-1.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.09(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.14-$ $3.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.06-4.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.71-5.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-8.57 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}$, $5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz$) \delta: 14.0,14.2,20.4,20.8,22.5,22.7,29.6,33.8,34.3,34.8$, $37.4,37.9,38.9,48.95,49.2,50.55,51.0,54.9,58.1,58.2,59.9,60.8,60.9,61.7,75.35$, $84.3,85.0,85.8,126.75,127.3,127.85,128.0,128.2,128.9,130.1,131.1,131.3,147.5$, $159.2,159.4,164.2,164.5,169.6,170.1,170.5,171.0,172.2,174.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of isomers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $439(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 461(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 392,329,307$.

## Diethyl 2-(8-(ethoxycarbonyl)-9-oxo-5b,6,7,8,9,11-hexahydroindolizino[1,2-

 b]quinolin-7-yl)malonate (22)

The title compound 22 ( $0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ ) was prepared from $5(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ as per the procedure outlined for the preparation of compound 21
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, MW: 468
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1657,1648,1450,1411,1216 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 1.20-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H})$, 2.83-4.0 (m, 5H), 4.06-4.47 (m, 6H), 4.69-5.34 (m, 3H), 7.55-8.33 ppm (m, 5H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz ) $\delta: 13.9,29.6,29.8,31.1,33.4,36.3,36.7,41.6,48.1,48.4$, $48.9,51.2,51.4,53.0,54.2,54.4,54.7,59.2,61.2,61.9,126.7,127.5,127.6,127.9,128.5$, 128.7, 129.6, $129.95,130.3,139.25,147.9,148.4,152.45,160.8,164.5,165.9,167.3$, $167.4,167.6,167.8,168.9,169.3,170.1 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of isomers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $469(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 491(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 507(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.

Ethyl 7-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-9-oxo-5b,6,7,8,9,11-hexahydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8-carboxylate (23)


To a well stirred solution of olefin $5(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.54 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone-water ( $12 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1$ ) was added catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes, the reaction mixture become black coloured, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(0.69 \mathrm{~g}, 3.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise and resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at rt for 6-7 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the acetone was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10$ mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, to furnish aldehyde. The solution of crude aldehyde in anhydrous THF (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) was dropwise added to the well stirred mixture ethyl butyrate $(0.214 \mathrm{~g}, 1.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $1.6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{n-BuLi}(0197 \mathrm{~g}, 1.93 \mathrm{~mL}$, $3.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction ( 12 h ) the reaction was quenched with addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:2) as eluent furnished the tetrahydropyridone 23 as pale yellow solid ( $0.366 \mathrm{~g}, 56 \%$ yield) along with aromatic compound 15 ( $0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$,MW: 424
M.P.: $157-159{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}$ : $1736,1729,1659,1451,1412,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.46-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.0,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01-4.35(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.57-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.07 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R ~}_{\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 11.8,12.1,12.2,14.2,14.3,21.8,23.2,23.5,29.1, ~}^{\text {, }}$ $30.1,35.6,38.7,39.1,48.4,48.9,49.6,50.5,52.2,53.8,59.4,60.6,60.7,61.4,61.7,61.8$,
$126.9,127.8,127.9,129.0,129.6,129.7,130.4,130.5,147.9,148.1,161.2,161.6,164.8$, $164.9,166.6,169.7,170.1,170.5,173.4,173.7,173.9,174.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of isomers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $425(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 447(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## Ethyl 7-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-9-oxo-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1.2-b]quinoline-8carboxylate (2)



To a well stirred solution of tetrahydropyridone 23 (0.2 $\mathrm{g}, 0.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1,4-dioxane ( 10 mL ) was added DDQ ( $0.235 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resultant reaction mixture was refluxed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere for (3-4 h, TLC). After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with benzene and quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with benzene ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:1) as eluent afforded the compound 2 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.197 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, MW: 420
M.P.: $172-174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. $172-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1735,1727,1649,1215,757,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.98(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.46(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l} 3+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.2,14.3,14.4,25.9,50.1,50.2,61.3,61.7,99.8$, $125.4,128.0,128.1,129.0,129.7,130.6,131.1,146.2,148.7,150.5,152.2,158.2,165.9$, 171.8 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $421(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 443(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 68.56; H, 5.75; N, 6.66\%.
Found: C, 68.61; H, 5.68; N, 6.71\%.

## Deoxycamptothecin (24)



To a well stirred solution of diester $2(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.012 \mathrm{~g}, 0.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature till the completion of reaction (7-8 h, TLC). The ethanol was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure and salt obtained was dissolved in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}(0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 0.11$ mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir till the completion of reaction ( $6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluent furnished deoxy camptothecin 24 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.067 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, MW: 332
M.P.: $257-261{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1736,1658,1601,1051,1217,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.1(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.5(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.45 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}$, 1H).
MS (ESI) m/z: $333(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 355(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 371(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Camptothecin (1)


The mixture of compound $24(0.030 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ ( $0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 0.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $25 \%$ aqueous dimethylamine (4-5 drops) in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) was allowed to stir at room temperature under oxygen atmosphere. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC, after the completion of reaction $(24 \mathrm{~h}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the pH was adjusted to 6.5 with addition of dilute HCl , and the reaction mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $2 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluent resulted ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ as white solid ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 87 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$; MW: 348
M.P.: $265{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. $264-266{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1735,1659,1602,1051,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.89(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31$ (s, 2H), $5.44(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.70 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (DMSO-d $\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$ ) $\delta: 8.3,31.0,51.0,65.9,73.2,98.2,119.7,128.7,129.3$, $129.4,130.3,131.4,132.6,146.2,148.6,151.0,153.2,157.9,171.0 \mathrm{ppm}$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $349(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 371(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

### 2.1.6 Spectra


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $19\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Mass spectrum of compound 21 (ESI)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


Mass spectrum of compound 22 (ESI)

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 2, Section II

## Total synthesis of (+)-camptothecin employing Pd-catalyzed cyclization strategy

### 2.2.1 Introduction:

Cross olefin metathesis is a very powerful tool for the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation ${ }^{1-2}$ in the synthetic organic chemistry and it is the very good alternative to various intermolecular alkene formation reactions, viz. palladium-catalyzed methods (e.g. Heck coupling, SuzukiMiyaura coupling and Stille coupling) as well as non-metal-mediated reactions like Wittig reaction, Peterson olefination and various other methods. Hetero-Diels-Alder reaction is also very efficient method for the construction heterocyclic rings ${ }^{3}$ generally which are present in natural and unnatural products and which show broad spectrum of biological activity against numerous diseases.

The camptothecin 1 and its analogues were synthesized by intramolecular enyne metathesis and tandem Knoevenagel condensation followed by Michael addition as mentioned in earlier section. As a part of ongoing program to synthesize the camptothecin family alkaloids and utilization of metathesis protocols this group has been employing different protocols particularly for the construction of D-ring of camptothecins which have been reported. ${ }^{4}$ In this section attempted utilization of the cross olefin metathesis for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation is described.

### 2.2.2 Present work:



Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic plan 1.
The planned retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 1) revealed that the ( + )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ could be derived from enol ether 2 by Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation followed by
oxidation. Enol ether 2 could be realized from compound $\mathbf{3}$ by hetero Diels-Alder reaction, compound 3 can be accessed from compound 4 by Cbz group deprotection and condensation. Compound 4 would be realized from urethane 5 by cross olefin metathesis and urethane 5 in turn could be obtained from readily available ethyl ester glycine hydrochloride salt $\mathbf{8}$ via. Intermediates 6 and 7.

### 2.2.3 Results and discussion:

According to planned retrosynthetic analysis the key precursor 5 which is a substrate for cross olefin metathesis was synthesized from readily available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride $\mathbf{8}$ as per reported procedure ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ described by this group as shown in scheme 2 .


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 equiv), PhCHO (0.9 equiv), MS, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$. (b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$ (1.5 equiv), allyl bromide (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, r t 2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 equiv), rt, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), benzylchloroformate ( 1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$. (e) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}$, rt 1h, refluxed 2-3 h, $72 \%$. ( $f$ ) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. (g) N -(o-aminobenzilidine)-p-toluidine (1.2 equiv), PTSA (cat), anhydrous toluene, reflux, 6 h $86 \%$.

After the synthesis of tricyclic carbamate 5 which is one partner of cross metathesis another partner i.e. 2-ethyl acrolein 14 or its cyclic acetal 15 was prepared from
commercially available n-butyraldehyde $\mathbf{1 3}$ as per literature procedure ${ }^{5,6}$ depicted in scheme 3.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) preheated mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(1: 3), 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h, $76 \%$. (b) Ethylene glycol (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ adsorbed on silica gel (cat.), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (67:33), reflux, 6-8 h, 81\%.

There are several reports of cross olefin metathesis well documented in the literature some of them are described here.

Chatterjee, A. K.; Morgan, J. P.; Scholl, M. and Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 3783-3784. ${ }^{7}$


## Scheme 4.

O’Leary, D. J.; Blackwell, H. E.; Washenfelder, R. A.; Miura, K and Grubbs, R. H. Tetrahedron Letters 1999, 40, 1091-1094. ${ }^{8}$


## Scheme 5.

Having the required literature support for the cross olefin metathesis as shown in schemes 4 and 5 and in accordance with the planned retrosynthesis, the carbamate 5 was subjected to cross olefin metathesis with 2-ethyl acrolein 14 under different reaction conditions shown in tabulated form in table 1 . But unfortunately all efforts were not fruitful and the anticipated compound 22 could not be accessed, instead the starting material was recovered.


Scheme 6.
Table 1

| Sr. <br> No. | Reagents | Solvents | Temperature | Time | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Grubbs I gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | rt | 12 h | SM |
| 2 | Grubbs I gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | reflux | 15 h | SM |
| 3 | Grubbs I gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) <br> 2 eq Ti(OiPr) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | reflux | 15 h | SM |
| 4 | Grubbs II gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | reflux | 10 h | SM |
| 5 | Grubbs II gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) | toluene | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 12 h | SM |
| 6 | Grubbs II gen. cat. <br> $(10$ mol \%) | toluene | $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 15 h | SM |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

After the failure in cross olefin metathesis of 5 with 14,5 was again subjected to cross olefin metathesis with cyclic acetal 15 under various reaction conditions mentioned in table 1 but again the desired could not be realized. This might be probably due to less reactive geminal disubstituted olefins towards cross olefin metathesis.

Having failed to obtain the desired products 22 and 23 via cross olefin metathesis as mentioned in scheme 6 , it was decided to modify the strategy for the synthesis of $(+)$ camptothecin 1 by another protocol employing mild and very well known reaction viz. Wacker oxidation as a key step.

Palladium plays a very important role in organic synthesis, Pd in its different oxidation states is a very useful catalyst in effecting critical $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond forming reactions and is utilized in different coupling reactions. ${ }^{9-15} \mathrm{Pd}$ (II) catalyst finds use in Wacker oxidation and in different types of cyclization reactions. The Wacker oxidation is the well known reaction for the conversion of an olefin into ketone employing $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ as the catalyst and $\mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, p-benzoquinone or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as a reoxidants. ${ }^{16}$


## Scheme 7. Retrosynthetic plan 2.

The retrosynthetic plan 2 (scheme 7) revealed that, the ( + )-camptothecin 1 could be accessed from enol ether 2 by Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation followed by oxidation; the enol ether 2 in turn could be obtained from diester 24 by sequence of transformations. The diester 24 in turn could be synthesized from ester 25 by acylation followed by alkylation. Ester 25 could be obtained from keto compound 26 by intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation; the ketone 26 would be realized from olefin 27
by Wacker oxidation, the olefin 27 in turn could be accessed from carbamate 5 and which in turn could be obtained from commercially avaiable ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride 8.

According to retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 7), it was thought that the hypothesis (Wacker oxidation) can be tested on simple substrate, accordingly the substrate required for Wacker oxidation 28 was prepared from ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride $\mathbf{8}$ by reported procdure in literature. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{c}}$ When the Wacker oxidation was performed on compound 28 employing catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and 2.1 equivalents $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ as a reoxidant in (DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3: 1$ ) at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ it afforded anticipated keto compound 29 in $65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~g}}$
The formation of keto compound 29 was confirmed by spectroscopic data. IR spectrum of 29 displayed strong absorption band at $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 29 showed the disappearance the olefinic signals, while new two singlets appeared at $\delta 2.08$ and 2.15 integrating for three protons which were attributed to methyl protons attached to ketone. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 29 also exhibited the absence of signals of olefinic carbons and new signals appeared at $30.6,30.8$ and $\delta 205.5$, 205.6 which were assigned to methyl and carbonyl carbon of ketone. DEPT spectrum of 29 revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers, and finally the structure of 29 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 29 showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $320(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$and $342(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreements with calculated values.


## Scheme 8.

After the successful Wacker reaction on compound 28 (scheme 8), it was decided to perform the Wacker oxidation under identical reaction conditions on compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ containing olefin and active methylene in the same molecule. Accordingly compound 30 was subjected to Wacker reaction under identical conditions like compound 28. Surprisingly the formation of a cyclic product 32 in $38 \%$ yield along with isomer of starting material 33 in 43\% yield were observed instead of anticipated ketone 31. It is
pertinent to mention that earlier Hegedus and coworkers have reported Pd (II) catalyzed intermolecular alkylation of olefin and intramolecular Pd (II)-mediated heterocyclic ring formation. ${ }^{17}$ Trost et al also reported the formation of rings from the substrate having olefin and $\beta$-sulfonyl ester in same subtrate ${ }^{18}$ and most recently Widenhoefer et al have also observed similar intramolecular cyclizations were observed when 1,3-diketo and $\beta$ ketoester olefin were subjected to the treatment with catalyst $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}$ in DME as the solvent. ${ }^{19}$ Other reports of Pd-mediated alkylation and cyclization have been reported by various authors. ${ }^{20-22}$


## Scheme 9.

The structure of 32 was confirmed by analysis of spectral data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 32 displayed the disappearances of the signals corresponding to active methylene and olefinic protons respectively while new singlet appeared at $\delta 2.00$ was ascribed to vinylic methyl protons. This indicated the absence of olefin and active methylene functionality. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 32 also indicated the absence of signals corresponding to active methylene and olefinic carbons while new signals appeared at $\delta 21.1,127.15$ and 149.2 characteristic of vinyl methyl carbon and two quaternary olefinic carbons. DEPT spectrum of 32 was also in accordance with the proposed structure. Finally structure of 32 was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 32 revealed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 284 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was in good agreement with calculated values. The compound 32 is the common intermediate in the Shamma's approach and the spectral data is in complete agreement with reported data. ${ }^{23}$

The formation of compound 33 was also characterized by spectroscopic methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 33 displayed the absence of signals corresponding to terminal olefin while presence of doublet at $\delta 1.76$ integrating for three protons $\left(\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and multiplet at $\delta$ 5.25-5.75 integrated for two protons which was assigned to internal olefinic protons ($\mathrm{C} \underline{\mathrm{H}}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 33 showed the disappearance of the signals of terminal olefinic carbon while new signals appeared at $\delta 17.9$ and $\delta 18.0$ corresponding to allylic carbon. Signals which appeared at $\delta 125.8,127.4,127.6$, and 128.9 correspond to internal olefinic carbons. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and DEPT spectrum of 33 showed it to be the mixture of rotamers. Lastly the structure of 33 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 33 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values. The formation of cyclic compound 32 and isomer 33 can be explained by the mechanism depicted in scheme 10.


Scheme 10. Probable mechanism.

The Pd catalyst forms complex with electron rich olefin. This complex formation is followed by attack of the malonate carbanion as an internal nucleophile even in the presence of another nucleophile (i. e. water). After the $\beta$-H elimination the Pd catalyst is regenerated and isomerisation of exocyclic double bond into endocyclic double bond takes place, which results the formation of 32 , a competing elimination of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ results in the formation of isomeric olefin 33.

Encouraged by the above results, i.e. cyclization of $\mathbf{3 0}$ to 32 (scheme 9 ) and some similar literature reports ${ }^{24}$ it was assumed that similar reaction could also performed on advanced compound 35 under identical reaction conditions. The compound 35 was prepared by reported procedure ${ }^{4 a}$ as shown in scheme 11 . When same reaction was performed on compound 35 under Wacker conditions an intractable mixture was observed instead of anticipated cyclized product 36 . The failure of this reaction may be attributed to the electron deficient olefin, which fails to the complex with the catalyst and hence the reaction is not very clean.

28


Scheme 11.
After failure of olefin 35 to undergo with Pd-mediated cyclization (scheme 11), it was thought that, by taking the advantage of unusual result of monosubstituted undergoing cyclization (scheme 9), the synthesis of S-(+)-camptothecin 1 could be achieved. Accordingly this methodology was employed on tricyclic compound 27 which was synthesized from carbamate 5 by Cbz deprotection followed by condensation with ethyl
malonyl chloride. Accordingly the tricyclic compound 27 was subjected to Wacker oxidation reaction employing catalytic amount of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and 2.1 equivalents $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) at $95-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $5-6 \mathrm{~h}$. Surprisingly the aromatized product 25 was observed in $54 \%$ yield and no isomer of starting material or keto compound 26 was formed. Formation of $\mathbf{2 5}$ might be due to the driving force for aromatization. Here the Pdcatalyzed oxidative cyclization followed by concomitant aromatization was accomplished in one-pot.


## Scheme 12.

The formation of compound 25 was confirmed by spectral techniques. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 25 displayed the disappearance of signals corresponding to two active methylene protons and three olefinic protons respectively. While new singlet appeared at $\delta 2.45$ integrating for three protons which was assigned to methyl protons and singlet at $\delta 7.20$ integrated for one proton was assigned to aromatic proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 25 showed new signals that resonated at $\delta 20.5,103.6,128.1,128.3,149.1$ and 151.2. DEPT spectrum of 25 exhibited the two signals for methylene carbons at $\delta 50.3$ and 61.65 . Finally the structure of $\mathbf{2 5}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peak at $321(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$and $343(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in mass spectrum confirmed the structure of $\mathbf{2 5}$ and elemental analysis of compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ was in good agreement with the calculated values. By taking the inspiration from scheme 12, it was believed that Wacker oxidation would work on substrate 38 which contains the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester and ester amide functionality to form the known advanced intermediated 24 in one-pot. Thus the compound 38 was synthesized as per reported procedure ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ and it was subjected to Wacker oxidation under identical conditions but unfortunately the desired compound 24 could not be
obtained instead the intractable mixture was observed. This again might be presumably due to the electron deficient olefin's inability to complex with Pd.



Scheme 13. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.1 equiv), acetone-water (3:1), rt, 6-8 h (b) $\sim_{\text {cooet }}^{\mathrm{Pr}_{3}}(1.5$ equiv), dry DCM, rt, $48 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$. (c) TMSI (10.0 equiv), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$ (d) Ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (2.1 equiv), $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$

Having failed in synthesis of advanced intermediate 24 by employing Pd-mediated cyclization followed by aromatization (scheme 13), it was decided that the known intermediate 24 can be obtained by stepwise manner from 25 . Thus the compound 25 was treated with diethyl carbonate using LDA as the base at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ furnished diester compound 39 in 70\% yield. ${ }^{25}$

The structure of 39 was confirmed by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 39 showed the disappearance of the singlet corresponding to methyl protons while appearance of the triplet at $\delta 1.29$ and quartet at $\delta 4.20$ integrated for three and two protons respectively. Singlet at $\delta 3.78$ integrated for two protons, suggested the introduction of ester functionality. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 39 displayed new signals at $\delta 14.2,39.7$ and 61.5 indicated the presence of ethyl ester group. DEPT spectrum of 39 revealed the presence of ten quaternary carbons and four methylene carbons that resonated at $\delta 39.6,50.2,61.4$ and 61.6. The mass spectrum of 39 displayed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at 393,415 and 431 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+},(M+N a)^{+} \&(M+K)^{+}$respectively which confirmed the structure of
compound 39 and in the elemental analysis the observed values were in good agreement with calculated values.

The compound 39 was alkylated with ethyl iodide using sodium hydride as the base in anhydrous DME. It was gratifying to note that the desired compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ was obtained in $64 \%$ yield. ${ }^{26}$ The formation of compound 24 was confirmed by spectral data which was in complete agreement with the literature data. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{a}}$ The compound 24 is a common key intermediate in our previous approach. ${ }^{4 a}$


Scheme 14. Reagents and conditions: (a) LDA (1.1 equiv), Diethyl carbonate (1.0 equiv), THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$. (b) NaH (1.1 equiv), EtI (1.1 equiv), anhydrous DME, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt, 34 h, 64\%.

After obtaining the diester compound $\mathbf{2 4}$, the remaining job was to construct the E-ring having chiral centre. The selective reduction of aromatic ester of diester $\mathbf{2 4}$ to aldehyde $\mathbf{4 0}$ was accomplished in $90 \%$ yield using DIBAL-H in THF at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and further the aldehyde was converted into lactol 41 via the lactonization using two equivalents of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The lactol 41 was converted into enol ether 2 in $92 \%$ yield via $O$-mesylation followed by elimination using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as the base in THF at ambient temperature.




Scheme 15. Reagents and conditions: (a) DIBAL-H (3.0 equiv), dry THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, 83\%. (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ ( 2.0 equiv), $\mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$. (c) MsCl (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (8.0 equiv), anhydrous THF, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) (DHQD) $2_{2}-P Y R$ (cat.), OsO4 (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7$ h (e) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 33 \%$ in two steps.

## A short account on Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (AD):

The stereospecific cis-dihydroxylation of olefins achieved by $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, is one of the most important transformation for introducing functionality into organic molecules. Initially the AD using derivatives of cinchona alkaloids was performed under stoichiometric conditions. Lateron with the advent of (i) use of two phase of conditions with $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ as reoxidant (ii) $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ for rate acceleration and (iii) second generation ligands (phthalazine and diphenylpyrimidine with two independent cinchona alkaloid units) by sharpless et al. catalytic AD came into focus. The enantioselectivity in the AD reaction is due to the enzyme-like binding pocket present in the dimeric cinchona alkaloid ligands. The cinchona alkaloid backbone is ideally suited for providing high ligand acceleration and enantioselectivity. The reaction rates are influenced by the nature of $0-9$ substituent of the cinchona alkaloid (figure 1).


Figure 1. Mnemonic diagram $(\mathrm{S}=$ small group, $\mathrm{L}=$ large group, $\mathrm{M}=$ medium group, $\mathrm{H}=$ hydrogen.

The rate enhancement is caused by a stabilization of the transition state due to aromatic stacking interactions. Although this kind of stabilization is operative even in monomeric first generation ligand, it is most effective in the dimeric second-generation ligands due to the presence of a binding with respect to rates and enantioselectivities can be readily explained by an especially good transition state stabilization resulting from offset-parallel interactions between the aromatic substituent of the olefin and the phthalazine floor of the ligand, as well as favorable edge-to-face interactions with the bystander methoxyquinoline ring.

The above observations have led to a revised mnemonic devise for predicting the enantiofacial selectivity in the reaction. An olefin positioned accordingly will be attacked either from the top face ( $\beta$ face) in the case of dihydroquinidine derivatives or from the bottom face ( $\alpha$ face) in the case of dihydroquinine derived ligands.

Having the enol ether 2 in hand the last and important task was to install the enantiomerically pure $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone by Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation followed by oxidation. Thus enol ether 2 was treated with catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and (DHQD) $2_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}, 3.0$ equivalent $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ as a re-oxidant, 3.0 equivalent $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1.0 equivalent $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ as an additive to enhance the rate of reaction in t - $\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h to furnish the diol. Subsequently the resultant diol was oxidized into target $S$-(+)-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ in $33 \%$ yield using $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1) at room temperature for 24 h . However, it can be improved upto $83 \%$ by changing the ratio of $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from $10: 1$ to $2: 1$ which was recently reported by Yao et al. ${ }^{27}$ The formation of compounds $\mathbf{2 4}$ to $(+) \mathbf{- 1}$ were confirmed by spectral analysis and which were in complete agreement with literature data. ${ }^{4 \mathrm{e}}$

### 2.2.4 Conclusion:

The total synthesis of $(+)$-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved employing novel tandem Pdcatalyzed cyclization followed by aromatization under Wacker reaction conditions as a crucial step to construct the pyridone ring.

### 2.2.5 Experimental

## Compound (29):



To a well stirred solution of $28(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(8.0$ $\mathrm{mL}, 3: 1)$ was added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.007 \mathrm{~g}, 0.066 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(0.236 \mathrm{~g}, 1.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ under oxygen atmosphere. The dark green reaction mixture was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC) reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 x 20 mL ), brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $20 \%$ ethyl acetate in petroleum ether yielded compound 29 as a viscous liquid ( $0.136 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 319
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1717,1697,1216,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.93(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.07,2.14(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-$ $2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80,4.00(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.35 \& 3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ $5.06,5.19(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 30.6,30.8,32.2,33.0,43.2,44.5,45.4,59.1,59.4$, $64.5,65.4,66.8,113.8,114.4,127.95,128.0,128.5,136.7,154.45,154.6,205.5,205.6$ ppm (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $320(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 342(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 63.94; H, 6.63; N, 4.39\%.
Found: C, 64.02.19; H, 6.69; N, 4.66\%.

## Compound (32):



To a well stirred mixture of $30(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.020$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.632 \mathrm{~g}, 3.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF$\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(16.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1)$ and the resultant dark green solution was heated at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction ( 7 h ), reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue obtained was
purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) yielded mixture of compound $32(0.188 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \%)$ and $33(0.215 \mathrm{~g}, 43 \%)$ as a viscous liquid.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 281
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1731,1659,1651,1213,759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-2.37(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$
(t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-388(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.30 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.3,21.1,29.9,33.6,41.8,59.2,61.2,65.4$, $113.75,127.15,149.2,160.45,166.1 \mathrm{ppm}$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 59.78; H, 6.81; N, 4.98\%.
Found: C, 59.72.19; H, 6.79; N, 5.06\%.

## Compound (33):



MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 283
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1728,1667,1651,1216,754 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.30-3.39$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.75 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.2,17.9,18.0,29.2,31.35,32.3,36.6,40.2,41.7$, $42.1,43.2,44.2,50.3,52.7,61.25,62.0,62.95,64.2,64.5,65.2,65.4,112.45,113.3,114.7$, $125.8,127.4,127.6,128.9,164.8,165.5,167.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 59.35; H, 7.47; N, 4.94\%.
Found: C, 59.39; H, 7.41; N, 4.89\%.

## Compound (34):



To the stirred solution of olefin $28(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone-water ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1$ ) was added catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes, the reaction mixture became black coloured, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(2.96 \mathrm{~g}$, 13.86 mmol ) was added portion wise and reaction mixture was left to stir for 3-4 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the resultant
residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, to furnish aldehyde. The generated crude aldehyde (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) was treated with Wittig salt ( $3.72 \mathrm{~g}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20 mL ) under argon atmosphere and allowed to stir at room temperature. After the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ) the DCM was removed in vacuo and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent yielded the gum like compound 34 ( $2.07 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$, MW: 403
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}, 1701,1621,1217,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: ~ 0.76-0.94(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.13-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-2.49$
$(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.36-3.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-4.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.24 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 13.6,14.2,20.0,43.4,60.2,62.0,62.3,64.1,64.9$, $65.4,66.8,67.1,114.0,114.6,126.8,127.3,127.8,128.0,128.4,135.5,136.4,136.6$, $137.3,137.5,141.2,155.0167 .7 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of isomers). MS (ESI) m/z: $404(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 421\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 426(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 65.49; H, 7.24; N, 3.47\%.
Found: C, 65.53; H, 7.19; N, 3.51\%.
Compound (35):


To a well stirred mixture of carbamate $34(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetonitrile ( 10 mL ) was added TMSCl $(2.67 \mathrm{~g}$, 24.8 mmol ) dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir at room temperature till completion of reaction ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction was quenched with addition of $20 \%$ sodium thiosulphate solution and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure delivered amine. To a well stirred mixture of the obtained crude amine ( $0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 2.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.41 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97$ mmol) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ethyl malonyl chloride ( $0.45 \mathrm{~g}, 2.97$ mmol ) gradually under nitrogen atmosphere at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over a period of 10 minutes and left to stir till completion of reaction ( $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). After the completion of reaction the reaction
mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 30 mL ) and organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$,filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) afforded the compound 35 as a viscous liquid ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$, MW: 383
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}, 1736,1705,1650,1215,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.0(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $1.93-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.60-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.12-4.25 (m, 4H), 6.61-6.75 ppm (m, 1H).
 $61.7,113.9,137.9,165.4,166.9,167.2 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of isomers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $384(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 401\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 406(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 422(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 59.52; H, 7.62 ; N, 3.65\%.
Found: C, 59.49; H, 7.66; N, 3.61\%.

## Ethyl7-methyl-9-oxo-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8-carboxylate (25)



To a stirred solution of $27(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF $(15.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}(0.072 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.20 \mathrm{~g}, 12.95 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resultant reaction mixture became dark green which was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction (6 h), the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (50 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added and it was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine ( 40 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:1) as eluent furnished compound 25 as a pale yellow solid ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, MW: 320
M.P.: $147-150{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \nu_{\text {max }}: 1728,1658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.43(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.45(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 14.5,20.5,50.3,61.6,103.6,128.1,128.3,129.3$, $130.0,130.7,131.2,145.9,149.1,151.2,152.5,158.55,166.5 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $321(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 343(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.24; H, 5.03; N, 8.74\%.
Found: C, 71.19; H, 5.11; N, 8.69\%.
Ethyl7-(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)-9-oxo-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8carboxylate (39)


To a well stirred solution of diisopropyl amine ( 0.378 g , $3.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10.0 mL ) was added 1.6 M n-BuLi ( $0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{ml}, 3.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 minutes and then cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Compound $25(1.0 \mathrm{~g}$, $3.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 5.0 mL ) was added dropwise over 10 minutes and allowed to stir for 30 minutes at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by dropwise addition of diethyl carbonate ( $0.442 \mathrm{~g}, 3.75$ mmol ) in THF ( 5.0 mL ) and reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for further 3-4 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC) the reaction was quenched with the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature, the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 230-400\right.$ mesh) using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:1) as eluent rendered the 39 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield $)$.
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, MW: 392
M.P.: 244-246 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1736,1727,1658 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.2,39.8,50.3,61.5,61.7,103.2,124.0,128.2$, 129.05, 129.7, 130.7, 131.1, 146.55, 147.6, 148.9, 152.1, 158.35, 165.9, 169.2 ppm

MS (ESI) m/z: $393(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 415(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 431(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.34; H, 5.14; N, 7.14\%.
Found: C, 66.94; H, 4.91; N, 7.43\%.

## Ethyl 7-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-9-oxo-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8-

 carboxylate (24):

To a $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.097 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added. Compound $39(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 2.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added slowly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 minutes followed by dropwise addition of ethyl iodide ( $0.350 \mathrm{~g}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir till the completion of reaction ( $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction was quenched by addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution, the organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:1) as eluent resulted compound 24 as a yellow solid ( $0.548 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%$ yield). The m.p. and spectral data of 24 has mentioned in chapter 2, section II

## 8-(formyl)-7-[1-(ethoxycarbonyl)propyl]-9,11-dihydroindolizino[1,2b]-quinoline-9-

 one (40)

To a well stirred solution of $24(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.261 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 25 mL ) was added 3 M solution in toluene of DIBAL-H ( $0.257 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.785 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. The resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for an additional 2 h. The reaction was quenched with addition of $\mathrm{MeOH}(0.257 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.05 \mathrm{~mL})$ and warmed to room temperature. The gelatinous precipitate was filtered through celite and the celite washed thoroughly with THF ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure the resultant residue was purified by flash column
chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent to furnish pure aldehyde 40 ( $0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, MW: 376
M.P.: $181-182^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR (KBr) $v_{\text {max }}: 1725,1680,1635,1590,1510 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.05(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.9(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.7(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.42(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.65 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.2,14.3,26.0,47.3,50.4,61.3,101.7,121.5,128.3$, 128.5, 128.7, 129.5, 130.0, 131.0, 131.4, 149.1, 150.2, 151.8, 158.4, 162.6, 172.5, 192.3 ppm.
MS (ESI) m/z: $377(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 394\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 399(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 70.3; H, 5.1; N, 7.4\%
Found: C,69.7; H, 4.5; N, 8.05\%

4-ethyl-3hydroxy-3,4,12,14-tetrahydro-1H-pyrano[3',4’,6,7]indolizino[1,2b]quinoline-14-one (41) :


To a stirred solution of aldehyde $40(0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5: 1)$ was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.015 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4$ mmol) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture allowed to stir at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 0.5 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), $10 \%$ HCl was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo the residue obtained was purified by column flash chromatography over silica gel using $5 \%$ methanol in chloroform as eluent furnished lactol 41 ( $0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, MW: 334
M.P.: $212-214^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR (Neat) $v_{\max }: 3400 ; 1660 ; 1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.1(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.7(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85(\mathrm{dd}$, 2H), $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $335(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 357(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $373(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, $72.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.4 \%$.
Found: C, 71.83; H, 5.05; N, 7.96\%.
4-ethyl-12,14-dihydro-1H-pyrano[3',4’,6,7]indolizino[1,2b]quinoline-14-one (2)


To a well stirred solution of lactol $41(0.06 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.145 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4$ mmol ) followed by mesyl chloride $(0.082 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resultant reaction mixture was left to stir at room temperature till the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). Water ( 15 mL ) was added and aqueous phase extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ as eluent furnished enol ether $2(0.052 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 316
IR (Neat) $v_{\text {max }}: 1660 ; 1620 ; 1540 ; 1020 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.2(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.6(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.8(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 13.7,20.6,49.7,63.3,95.5,114.8,115.6,127.5,127.9$, 128.7, 129.4, 130.2, 130.7, 143.5, 145.6, 146.9, 148.8, 153.6, 158.6 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $317(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 334\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 339(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $355(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 75.90 ; H, 4.70 ; N, $8.90 \%$.
Found: C, 75.84; H, 5.09; N, 9.38\%

4(S)-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrano[3',4’,6,7]indolizino[1,2b]quinoline-3,14(4H,12H)dione (1)


A mixture of $\mathrm{K}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}\right](0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ $(0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{MeSO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in t-BuOH- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1)$. To this slurry was added a precomplexed mixture of (DHQD) $)_{2}$ PYR and catalytic $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0006 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the mixture was stirred for 10 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The enol ether $2(0.020 \mathrm{~g}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the above reaction mixture. The resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till the completion of reaction ( $7 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOH}$ was removed under reduced pressure and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo rendered crude diol ( 0.016 g ). The crude diol was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( $11 \mathrm{~mL}, 10: 1$ ). Calcium carbonate ( 0.145 g , $0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by Iodine $(0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC) the methanol was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(5 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the combined organic layers were dried over sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $2 \% \mathrm{MeOH}$ in chloroform furnished ( + )-1 ( $0.007 \mathrm{~g} 33 \%$ yield).
$[\alpha]^{25}{ }_{\mathrm{D}}+39\left(\mathrm{C} 0.142, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathrm{MeOH} 4: 1\right)($ lit. $+41.2 ;+42.1 ;+36)$.
The m.p. and spectral data of camptothecin 1 has mentioned in chapter 1, section II.

### 2.2.6 Spectra:


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $33\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $33\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $34\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $34\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $35\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $35\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $35\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $25\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $25\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $39\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $39\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $40\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $41\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 2, Section III

Formal synthesis of $( \pm)$-camptothecin

### 2.3.1 Introduction:

Although camptothecin was synthesized more than 4 decades ago, it faced considerable limitation in the clinical use. ${ }^{1}$ Due to its poor solubility and toxicity associated within the biosystem. Several of its analogues can be derived from camptothecin in a convergent manner. Alternative synthetic approaches for these analogues typically involve the synthesis of suitably functionalized CDE-rings ${ }^{2}$ or DE-rings ${ }^{3}$ or relevant synthons followed by coupling with suitable AB -ring counter parts either predominantly through Friedlander coupling or by radical or Heck cyclization respectively. Thinking on these lines, it was envisioned to synthesize first the DE-ring fragment with suitable functionality and then condense with AB-ring fragment by radical or Heck cyclization to complete the synthesis of target molecule camptothecin.

### 2.3.2 Present work:

Having the successful synthesis of racemic as well as chiral camptothecin 1 and its analogues by different strategies viz. intramolecular enyne metathesis, tandem Knoevenagel condensation followed by Michael addition and novel tandem Pd-mediated cyclization followed by aromatization but these are linear approaches. In this section the formal synthesis of $( \pm)$-camptothecin 1 (DE-ring fragment) by convergent manner which is efficient than linear one is described.


## Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic analysis.

The planned retrosynthetic strategy depicted in scheme 1 which shows the $(+)$ camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ could be accessed from DE-ring synthon $\mathbf{3}$ by N -alkylation with $\mathbf{2}$ followed
by Heck coupling or radical cyclization. The DE ring synthon 3 can be synthesized from lactone 4 by hydroxylation and $N$-debenzylation. The lactone 4 could be obtained from compound 5 by aromatization followed by lactonization. The compound 5 could be realized from alcohol 6 by Reformatsky reaction or Wittig reaction. Alcohol 6 can be prepared from $\beta$-keto lactam 7 by hydroxymethylation. Compound 7 could be synthesized from amide 8 by Dieckmann condensation followed by decarboxylation and amide $\mathbf{8}$ could be readily obtained from inexpensive and commercially available benzyl amine 9 by Michael addition and $N$-acylation.

### 2.3.3 Results and discussion:

The Michael addition of benzyl amine $\mathbf{9}$ on methyl acrylate was smoothly accomplished to furnish the amine 10 in $97 \%$ yield. The amine 10 was further condensed with ethyl malonyl chloride, afforded the amide 8 in very good yield ( $86 \%$ ). Amide 8 was treated with sodium hydride in ethanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded the cyclised compound which existed in tautomeric form as the keto $\mathbf{1 1}$ and enol $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $91 \%$ yield (scheme 2). The formation of $\mathbf{1 0}$ to 12 was confirmed by spectral analysis which was in complete agreement with literature data. ${ }^{4}$


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) methyl acrylate (1.0 equiv), rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$. (c) NaH (1.2 equiv), EtOH, rt, 3 h, 91\%.

The mixture of $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ was decarboxylated using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to furnish compound $\mathbf{7}$ in very good yield ( $87 \%$ ), which was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of 7 displayed strong absorption bands at 1731 and $1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to $\beta$-keto lactam functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 displayed a triplet at $\delta 2.44$ integrated for two protons were assigned to methylene adjacent to carbonyl of ketone. Singlet at $\delta 3.32$ integrating for two protons which was assigned to methylene protons flanked between two carbonyl groups, triplet at $\delta 3.40$ integrated for two protons adjacent to amide nitrogen. Singlet at $\delta 4.60$ integrated for two benzylic protons and multiplet at $\delta 7.15-7.31$
integrating for five aromatic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 7 revealed signals at $\delta 38.5$, $42.3,48.7$ and 49.9 which were assigned to aliphatic methylene carbons. The signals that appeared at $\delta 127.8,128.0,128.8$ and 136.3 corresponded to aromatic carbons while the signals at $\delta 166.4$ and 203.1 corresponded to amide and ketone carbonyl carbons respectively. Finally structure of 7 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 7 showed the $(M+1)^{+}$peak at $m / z 204$ and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with theoretical values. The next task was to introduce the hydroxymethyl group at active methylene site. ${ }^{5}$


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) $10 \%$ HCl, reflux, $4-5$ h, $87 \%$. (b) Reaction conditions mentioned in table 1.

## Table 1

| Sr.No. | Reagents | Solvent | Temperature | Time | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{KHCO}_{3} / \mathrm{Formalin}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | rt | 2 h | 13 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ | THF | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ | 15 h | 13 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ | THF | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ | 15 h | 13 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{MOMCl}$ | DMF | $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 h | 13 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, <br> $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ | 13 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{TiCl}_{4}$, <br> MOMCl | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 3 h | 13 |

A number of reactions were performed on compound 7 under basic as well as acidic conditions using the formalin, paraformaldehyde and MOMCl but unfortunately instead of desired product 6 formation of compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ was observed (scheme 3). The formation of compound 13 was confirmed by spectral techniques. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 13 showed the signal as multiplet at $\delta 2.25-2.33$ integrating for two protons, multiplet at $\delta 2.54-2.61$ integrated for two protons. The multiplet at $\delta$ 3.16-3.20 integrating for two protons, and singlet at $\delta 3.23$ integrated for two protons which were attributed to bridged methylene protons. The multiplet at $\delta$ 3.31-3.44 integrated for two protons, doublets of doublets at $\delta$ $4.63(J=16.8,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for four protons which were assigned to benzylic protons. The multiplet at 7.28-7.30 integrated for ten aromatic protons appeared as broad singlet at $\delta 12.34$ integrated for two enolic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, DEPT, COSY and HETCOR spectra of $\mathbf{1 3}$ were also used to ascertain the structure of $\mathbf{1 3}$. The structure of compound 13 was further supported by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peak at $419(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $441(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in the mass spectrum, its elemental analysis was in good agreement with theoretical values and finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was unambiguously confirmed by single crystal X-ray analysis.

The formation of compound 13 can be rationalized by the probable mechanism which is depicted in scheme 4.


Scheme 4. Probable mechanism.



ORTEP Diagram of 13

The $\beta$-keto lactam 7 in its enolic form 14 when treated with formalin, paraformaldehyde or methoxy methyl chloride under acidic or basic condition forms a mixture of compounds $\mathbf{1 5}$ and 16. However the tautomeric mixture 15 and 16 are unstable which immediately lose water molecule or HCl and is converted into enone 17. Enol 14 reacts with this enone 17 in a Michael fashion to give compound 18 which exists as its enolic form 13 which is stabilized by intramolecular hydrogen bonding.

After the failure in introduction of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ functionality at $\mathrm{C}-3$, it was thought that same moiety can be installed via aldehyde 19. The aldehyde 19 can be obtained by VilsmeierHaack reaction. ${ }^{5}$ Thus compound 7 was treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ and DMF in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature for 1 h . The formation of compound $\mathbf{2 0}$ was observed in very poor yield (27\%) instead of the desired aldehyde 19.


## Scheme 5.

The formation of chloro compound 20 was confirmed by spectral data. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 20 showed appearance of a triplet at $\delta 2.64$ integrated for two allylic protons, triplet at $\delta$ 3.38 integrating for two protons which were assigned to methylene protons adjacent to amide $N$ and the singlet at $\delta 6.15$ integrating for one olefinic proton. The mass spectrum of 20 displayed the $m / z$ peak 222,244 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ respectively.

After the failure of Vilsmeier-Haack reaction on compound 7 (scheme 5), the compound 11 was alkylated with methyl iodide using potassium carbonate as the base to give compound 21 in very good yield ( $97 \%$ ), which was confirmed by spectral methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 displayed the triplet at 1.21 and quartet at $4.17(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for three and two protons corresponding to ethyl ester functionality and the singlet at 1.60 integrating for three methyl protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 21 revealed the thirteen signals, DEPT spectrum of 21 exhibited the four methylene carbons and finally the structure of 21 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 21 showed the $m / z$ peak at $290 \& 312$ corresponding to $(M+H)^{+} \&(M+N a)^{+}$ respectively and in elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with calculated values.
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$0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, overnight, $97 \%$.

## Scheme 6.

After obtaining the compound 21 (scheme 6), it was thought to perform the Reformatsky reaction ${ }^{6}$ on 21.


## Scheme 7.

Accordingly the compound 21 was treated with zinc and ethyl 2-bromo butyrate in THF under reflux conditions, complex reaction mixture was observed on TLC instead of desired product 22.

Having failed to obtain the hydroxy compound 22 by Reformatsky reaction mentioned in scheme 7, it was decided to perform the Wittig reaction on compound 21. Wittig reaction on ketone at high pressure or in sealed tube is reported in literature. ${ }^{7}$ When Wittig reaction on 21 was attempted it did not provide the desired product 23, instead the starting material was recovered. It was presumably due to the steric crowding of the ketone and the less reactivity of the Wittig reagent.


## Scheme 8.

After failure of the Wittig reaction on compound 21 (scheme 8), it was thought to perform the Wittig-Horner reaction ${ }^{8}$ in which Wittig reagent is more reactive.

Accordingly compound 21 was subjected to Wittig-Horner reaction using sodium ethoxide as base in ethanol, NaH as base in THF but again the desired product 23 was not obtained, instead the ring was opened and compound 24 was formed in $87 \%$ yield. The formation of 24 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of 24 showed the disappearance of absorption band that corresponded to ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ displayed the disappearance of singlet while appearance of two doublets at $\delta 1.36$ and 1.46 $(J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ of three methyl protons. Triplet and quartet appeared at $\delta 1.25$ and $4.14(J=$ 7.1 Hz) integrated for six and four protons respectively corresponding to ester functionality. This suggested the formation of ethyl ester group during reaction and a multiplet appeared at $\delta$ 3.36-3.92 integrated for three protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 24 revealed the mixture of rotamers due to ring opening. The mass spectrum of 24 shows $m / z$ peak at 336,353 and 358 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+},\left(M+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively which confirmed the structure of 24.
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## Scheme 9.

The formation of compound 24 can be explained by the probable mechanism outlined in (scheme 10). Sodium ethoxide acts as a nucleophile instead of base and it attacks on electrophilic ketonic carbonyl of compound 21 and then the bond between ketone and ester functionality breaks because the resulting carbanion is stabilized and the resulting compound 24 became free from steric crowding may be the driving force for retroDieckmann condensation.


Scheme 10. Probable mechanism.
After the failure of Wittig-Horner reaction on compound 21 as shown in scheme 9, a totally different approach for the E ring construction as delineated in scheme 11 was devised.

Accordingly the compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in anhydrous dichloromethane at reflux temperature to furnish chloro compound 25 in excellent yield (96\%). The resulting compound 25 was unstable so immediately the addition elimination reaction of diethyl malonate was carried out on crude chloro compound 25 using sodium hydride as a base in
anhydrous benzene at room temperature for overnight, afforded the product 26 in very good yield ( $85 \%$ ). ${ }^{8}$

The structure of compound 26 was confirmed by spectral studies. IR spectrum of 26 showed a strong absorption band at $1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 26 displayed triplet at $\delta 1.28$ integrating for nine protons, two triplets at $\delta 2.57$ and $\delta 3.35$ integrating for two protons each, multiplet at $\delta$ 4.11-4.37 integrating for seven protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 6}$ showed six methylene carbons. Finally the structure of 26 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of compound 26 showed the $m / z$ peak at $418(M+$ $\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was in good agreement with calculated values.

The compound 26 was aromatized by DDQ in refluxing 1,4-dioxane for 6 h , afforded aromatized product 27 in excellent yield ( $96 \%$ ). The formation of pyridone 27 was confirmed by spectral study. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 27 showed the disappearance of two triplet integrating for two protons each and appearance of two new doublets at $\delta 6.29$ and $\delta 7.23$ for one proton each $(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 27 revealed the presence of three methylene carbons and lastly structure of compound 27 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of compound 27 displayed the $m / z$ peak at $416(M+1)^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

The alkylation of pyridone 27 was accomplished with ethyl iodide using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base in anhydrous acetone at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature for overnight to furnish the alkylated product 28 in ( $91 \%$ ) yield. The compound 28 was characterized by spectral analysis. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 28 the triplet and quartet appeared at $\delta 0.94$ and $\delta 2.27(J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three and two protons respectively which suggest the incorporation of ethyl moiety. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 28 revealed two extra carbons at $\delta 10.0$ and $\delta 28.6$. DEPT spectrum of 28 showed five methylene carbons and finally the structure of the compound 28 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 28 displayed the $m / z$ peak at $444(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $466(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and in an elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.


Scheme 11. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, 3h, 96.5\%. (b) NaH (1.2 equiv), diethylmalonate (1.2 equiv), benzene, rt, overnight, 85\%. (c) DDQ (1.2 equiv), anhydrous 1,4 dioxane, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl iodide (1.2 equiv), anhydrous acetone, reflux, 12 h, 91\%.

After achieving the synthesis of compound 28 in scheme 11, the selective reduction of heteroaromatic ester in presence of aliphatic ester employing DIBAL-H was accomplished by this group. ${ }^{9}$ Therefore pyridone 28 was treated with DIBAL-H under reported reaction conditions, but unfortunately complex TLC pattern was observed instead of desired product 29.


## Scheme 12.

After failing to convert aromatic ester to aldehyde in scheme 12, the compound 28 was subjected to the treatment with one equivalent lithium hydroxide in ethanol at ambient temperature to furnish diester compound 30 in very good yield ( $85 \%$ ). The compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was formed via selective hydrolysis of aliphatic ester followed by decarboxylation. It can be explained on the basis of hard-soft acid base theory. In DIBAL-H hydride is soft nucleophile it reacts with carbonyl of aromatic ester (soft electrophile) while in LiOH hydroxyl anion is hard nucleophile and it react with carbonyl of aliphatic ester (hard electrophile). The structure of diester compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was confirmed by spectral data. The
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 0}$ displayed the absence of triplet and quartet corresponding to aliphatic ester while appearance of a new triplet at $\delta 3.49$ integrating for one proton which suggests the loss of one aliphatic ethyl ester group. The compound $\mathbf{3 0}$ was also confirmed by mass spectrum which revealed the $m / z$ peak at $372(M+1)^{+}$along with $394(M+N a)^{+}$ respectively.


## Scheme 13.

After the selective hydrolysis followed by decarboxylation of aliphatic ester was accomplished (scheme 13), then 2.0 equiv LiOH was used under identical reaction conditions which afforded the anticipated acid 31 in very good yield. The structure of acid 31 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of 31 showed a very broad absorption band at 2500-3300 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ which is characteristic of carboxylic acid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 31 displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to aliphatic ester, and new triplet appeared at $\delta 3.51$ integrating for one proton. The structure of compound 31 was also confirmed by mass spectrum which shows the $m / z$ peaks at $344(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $366(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
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## Scheme 14.

After achieving the synthesis of acid 31 in scheme 14, the next task was to construct E-ring via lactonization. There are some reports in literature ${ }^{10}$ for cyclization using lithium
borohydride in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$. But in this case compound 31 did not furnish the desired lactone 4 under the literature reaction conditions.


## Scheme 15.

After failure to obtain lactone by reduction of ester 31 (scheme 15), the compound 28 was subjected to global hydrolysis and was treated with excess ( 5.0 equiv) of lithium hydroxide in ethanol at room temperature to deliver expected diacid 32 in good yield (76\%) along with decarboxylated compound 33 in $9 \%$ yield as depicted in scheme 16.

The diacid 32 was characterized by spectral methods. IR spectrum of 32 showed the disappearance of absorption band corresponding to ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 32 displayed the absence of the signals corresponding to ester groups. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 32 revealed the two methylene carbons which is indicative of the hydrolysis of all esters and decarboxylation of one of the aliphatic acid. Finally the structure of compound 32 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of diacid 32 showed the $m / z$ peak at 316 and 338 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and in the elemental analysis of 32 the experimental values were in good agreement with calculated values.

The structure of decarboxylated compound 33 was also confirmed by spectral study. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 33 displayed the triplet at $\delta 3.06$ integrated for two protons which was ascribed to methylene adjacent to pyridone ring. The $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at 272 and 294 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively in mass spectrum of 33 further confirmed the assigned structure.


## Scheme 16.

The selective esterification of aliphatic acid in presence of heteroaromatic acid was accomplished using catalytic amount of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ in anhydrous methanol at reflux temperature to yield monoester compound 34 in good yield (76\%) ${ }^{11}$ along with decarboxylated compound 33 in 11\% yield (scheme 17).

The structure of compound 34 was ascertained by spectral study. IR spectrum of 34 displayed the strong absorption band at $1722 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicated the presence of ester group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 34 revealed the singlet at $\delta 3.66$ integrating for three protons which was assigned to methyl ester. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 34 showed the appearance of signal at $\delta 172.9$ corresponding to carbonyl of ester functionality $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$ and a signal at $\delta 52.25$ corresponding to the methyl carbon of ester functionality $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{3}\right)$. The mass spectrum of 34 showed the peaks at $m / z 330$ and 352 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. The structure of compound $\mathbf{3 4}$ was confirmed by its elemental analysis also, which was in good agreements with calculated values.


## Scheme 17.

Having a compound 34 in hand, the attention was focused towards the E-ring construction. The resultant acid 34 was reacted with methyl chloroformate using triethyl amine as a base delivered the mixed anhydride. The generated mixed anhydride was immediately treated sodium borohydride at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ at room temperature for 12
h. It was gratifying to note that the desired lactone 4 was obtained in very good yield as shown in scheme 18. ${ }^{12}$

The structure of lactone 4 was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of 4 showed the absorption bands at 1738 and $1660 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to six-membered lactone and amide functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4 showed the presence of two doublets that appeared at $\delta 5.08$ and $5.18(J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ which were attributed for lactone methylene protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 4 displayed the presence of a signal at $\delta 52.25$ while another new signal appeared at $\delta 66.4$ was assigned to the lactone methylene protons. Mass spectrum of 4 showed peak at $m / z 284$ and 306 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+N a)^{+}$ respectively. Finally the structure of 4 was confirmed by elemental analysis; the experimental values were in good agreement with its calculated values.


1. $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.0 \mathrm{eq})$,
methylchloroformate(1.0 eq)
THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.
2. $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(4.0 \mathrm{eq}),-78 \mathrm{oC} 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.


## Scheme 18.

The $\alpha$-hydroxylation on lactone 4 was smoothly carried out using cupric chloride and dimethylamine as a catalyst in DMF under oxygen atmosphere at room temperature for 24 $h$ to furnish $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 35 in excellent yield ( $92 \%$ ). ${ }^{13}$ Compound 35 was characterized by spectral techniques. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 5}$ showed a broad absorption band at $3530 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydrogen bonded hydroxyl functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 35 displayed the absence of triplet corresponded to methine proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectra of 35 showed the disappearance of the signal corresponding to methine carbon. The peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 300$ and 322 were observed in mass spectrum of 35 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})$ and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})$ respectively. The elemental analysis of $\mathbf{3 5}$ revealed the experimental values were in good agreement with its calculated values.

The $N$-debenzylation of 35 was successfully carried out using catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h resulted the desired

CD-ring fragment 3 in satisfactory yield (62\%) (scheme 19). ${ }^{14}$ The structure of 3 was confirmed by spectral study, the spectral data of compound 3 was in complete agreement with the literature data. ${ }^{15}$ Compound $\mathbf{3}$ is a key intermediate in Comins synthesis. ${ }^{15}$ The DE-ring can be transformed into ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ or its relevant analogues by coupling with suitable AB-ring counter part.


Scheme 19. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 h, 68 \%$.

### 2.3.4 Conclusion:

The formal total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 (DE-ring) was achieved in twelve-steps in $16.7 \%$ overall yield, starting from cheap and commercially available starting material employing the addition elimination reaction and selective esterification of aliphatic acid in presence of heteroaromatic acid as key steps. This also avoided the use of DIBAL-H which is important from practical point of view. This protocol can be also utilized for the construction CDE-ring of CPT.

### 2.3.5 Experimental:

## Methyl 3-(benzylamino) propanoate (10)



To a well stirred benzyl amine $9(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.046 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added methyl acrylate ( $4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.046 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir for 24 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:6) to furnish the secondary amine $\mathbf{1 0}$ as a colourless liquid ( $8.7 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 193
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max: }} \mathbf{3 3 2 4}, \mathbf{1 7 3 6}, 1361,737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.80(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.58 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.71 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.21 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 34.3,44.2,51.02,53.45,126.7,127.8,128.1$, 140.0, 172.8 ppm .

MS (ESI) $m / z: 194(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 216(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 68.37; H, 7.82; N, 7.25\%.
Found: C, 68.29; H, 7.75; N, 7.09\%.
Ethyl 3-(benzyl (3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl) amino)-3-oxopropanoate (8)


To a mixture of amine $\mathbf{1 0}(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.044 \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(7.34$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added ethyl malonyl chloride ( $7.92 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{ml}, 0.052 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till the completion of reaction $(1 \mathrm{~h}$, TLC). The reaction mixture was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine and organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:6) as eluent afforded the tertiary amide 8 as a colourless liquid ( $11.62 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 307
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1735,1654,1648,1438,1216,1029,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.23,1.28(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.53,2.64(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.67(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-4.23(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.60,4.64(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16-7.37 \mathrm{ppm}$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 13.8,31.95,32.6,40.8,41.1,42.7,42.9,47.7,51.4$, $51.6,52.5,61.2,126.2,127.2,127.4,127.6,128.1,128.4,128.75,136.0,136.6,166.3$, $166.5,167.2,167.45,171.15,172.0 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 62.53; H, 6.89; N, 4.56\%.
Found: C, 62.49; H, 6.82; N, 4.63\%.

## Ethyl 1-benzyl-2,4-dioxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (11 or 12)


$60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 0.019 \mathrm{~mol})$ was washed by dry petroleum ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), absolute ethanol $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of amide $9(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.016 \mathrm{~mol})$ gradually and allowed to stir for 2-3 h at room temperature. After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the reaction was quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure resulted the mixture of tautomers 11 and 12 as a thick liquid ( $4.09 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield).

## 1-benzylpiperidine-2, 4-Dione (7)



To a well stirred mixture of $11 \& 12(0.78 \mathrm{~g}, 0.019 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added $10 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}(5.8 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed for 6 h till the completion of reaction (TLC). The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:8) as eluent rendered the $\beta$-keto lactam 7 as a viscous colourless liquid ( $2.87 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 203
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1731,1651,1428,1215,1047,1029,928,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 2.44(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.40(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.31 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 38.5,42.3,48.7,49.9,127.8,128.0,128.8,136.3$, 166.4, 203.1 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $204(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 226(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 70.92; H, 6.45; N, 6.89\%.
Found: C, 71.03 ; H, 6.39; N, 6.87\%.
3, 3 methylenebis(1-benzyl-4-hydroxy-5,6-dihydroxypyridin-2(1H)-one) (13)


To a well stirred solution of compound $7(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.099 \mathrm{~g}, 0.137 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ to the above solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 5 minutes. Paraformaldehyde ( $0.029 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the above reaction mixture at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir till completion of reaction ( $15 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), solvent was evaporated in vacuo and residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent resulted compound 13 as a white crystalline solid $(0.191 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ yield $)$.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, MW: 418
M.P.: $153-155{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1647,1556,1486,1447,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 2.25-2.33(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16-3.20$ (m, 2H), 3.23 (s, 2H), 3.31-3.44 (m, 2H), $4.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.28-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 10 H ), $12.34 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 19.3,28.2,43.6,50.25,104.7,127.5,128.0,128.7$, 137.3, 165.6, 171.3 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $419(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 442(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.75; H, 6.26; N, 6.69\%.
Found: C, 71.79 ; H, 6.31; N, 6.73\%.
Table 1 Crystal data and structure refinement for J26.

| Identification code | j26 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| Formula weight | 418.48 |
| Temperature | 297(2) K |
| Wavelength | 0.71073 A |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, $\mathrm{P}-1$ |
| Unit cell dimensions | $\begin{aligned} & a=9.042(2) \AA, \alpha=82.097(4)^{\circ} . \\ & b=11.037(3) \AA, \beta=74.061(4)^{\circ} . \\ & c=11.629(3) \AA, \gamma=75.413(4)^{\circ} . \end{aligned}$ |
| Volume | 1077.1(4) $\AA^{3}$ |
| Z, Calculated density | 2, $1.290 \mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |
| Absorption coefficient | $0.088 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 444 |
| Crystal size | $0.64 \times 0.49 \times 0.29 \mathrm{~mm}$ |
| Theta range for data collection | 2.55 to $25.00^{\circ}$. |
| Limiting indices | $-10<=\mathrm{h}<=10,-13<=\mathrm{k}<=13,-13<=1<=13$ |
| Reflections collected / unique | $15708 / 3783[\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{int})=0.0266]$ |
| Completeness to theta $=25.00^{\circ}$ | 99.8 \% |
| Absorption correction | Semi-empirical from equivalents |
| Max. and min. transmission | 0.9750 and 0.9459 |
| Refinement method | Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ |
| Data / restraints / parameters | 3783 / 0 / 283 |
| Goodness-of-fit on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 1.030 |
| Final R indices [ $\mathrm{I}>2$ sigma( I$)$ ] | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0414, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1098$ |
| R indices (all data) | $\mathrm{R} 1=0.0461, \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1145$ |

Largest diff. peak and hole $\quad 0.182$ and $-0.181 \mathrm{e} . \AA^{-3}$
Table 2 Bond lengths [ $\AA$ ] and angles $\left[{ }^{\circ}\right]$ for J26.

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.2534(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.3361(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.2521(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.3358(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.3473(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.451(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.465(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.3485(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.455(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.458(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.462(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.348(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.5178(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.493(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.505(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.508(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.382(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.394(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.387(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $1.373(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.385(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.376(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.5144(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.466(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.346(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.497(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.503(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.512(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.381(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.385(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.385(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.373(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.362(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(111^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.380(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.81(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $119.64(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $117.31(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.46(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.34(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $118.74(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $120.53(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $120.74(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $118.73(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $119.55(13)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $123.39(14)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $117.02(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $124.83(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $114.23(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $120.92(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $110.43(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $111.35(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $115.09(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $118.73(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $123.16(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $118.08(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $120.17(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $121.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $117.72(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.56(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.75(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $118.69(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.21(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $123.68(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $117.05(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $124.81(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $114.52(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.67(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | $110.20(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | $110.76(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $114.98(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $118.04(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $122.89(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.06(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.59(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.38(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $119.32(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.74(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $120.88(15)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:
Table 3 Torsion angles [ ${ }^{\circ}$ ] for J26.

| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $8.6(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $171.13(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-171.38(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $-8.8(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $162.99(13)$ |


| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | -17.0(2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -14.71(19) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 165.26(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -171.57(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 6.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 6.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -175.55(13) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | -155.54(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 25.83(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 41.84(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -155.02(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | -48.15(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | -118.96(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 78.10(18) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 4.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -172.88(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -1.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | -178.76(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 0.2(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -1.2(4) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 0.4(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 0.7(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | 178.58(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | -84.53(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 93.07(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 4.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 170.49(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | -175.73(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | -9.70(19) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 162.04(14) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | -17.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | -15.14(19) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 165.05(12) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | -83.94(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 93.10(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | -171.07(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 5.9(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 7.8(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | -175.20(14) |
| $\mathrm{O}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | -154.83(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)$ | 26.2(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | 43.93(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)$ | -149.79(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(4^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | -49.99(18) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | -105.61(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(5^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | 88.28(17) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | -24.0(2) |
| $\mathrm{N}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)$ | 156.19(14) |


| $\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $-1.7(2)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)$ | $178.16(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.8(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(9^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)$ | $-1.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(10^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(8^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | $2.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(6^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(7^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(12^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)$ | $-177.84(15)$ |

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms:

Ethyl 1-benzyl-3-methyl-2, 4-dioxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (21)


To a stirred solution of $\beta$-keto ester $11(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stirred for 15 minutes and then methyl iodide ( $0.62 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36$ mmol ) was added dropwise and left to stir at room temperature for overnight. After the completion of reaction (TLC), solvent was removed in vacuo and residue obtained was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (25 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with mixture ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:8) as a solvent system furnished the compound 21 as a viscous colourless liquid $(0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield $)$.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 289
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1755,1723,1655,1216,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.82$ (m, 2H), 3.27-3.57 (m, 2H), $4.17(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.89(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 13.7,17.7,37.2,41.4,50.8,62.1,127.7,127.9$, 128.6, 136.1, 167.1, 201.8 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $290(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 312(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 66.42; H, 6.62; N, 4.84\%.
Found: C, 66.47; H, 6.51; N, 4.79\%.

Ethyl 3-(benzyl (3-ethoxy-3-oxopropyl)amino)-2-methyl-3-oxopropanoate (24)


To a well stirred mixture of Wittig reagent $(0.43 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOEt}(0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous EtOH ( 15 mL ) was dropwise added the solution of $21(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{EtOH}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature and left to stir till completion of reaction ( $6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The EtOH was removed in vacuo and the resultant residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent afforded compound 24 as colourless syrup ( $0.512 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 335
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1737,1729,1655,1648,1438,1216,1029,738,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.19-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.66$ (m, 2H), 3.36-3.92 (m, 3H), 4.04-4.24 (m, 4H), 4.34-4.94 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.36 ppm (m, 5H) (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.0,14.1,32.45,33.5,42.8,43.3,48.3,52.3,60.5$, $61.0,61.3,61.4,126.3,127.4,127.7,127.8,128.6,128.9,136.6,137.1,170.3,170.5$, $170.9,171.95 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $336(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 358(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 64.46; H, 7.51; N, 4.18\%.
Found: C, 64.47; H, 7.57; N, 4.23\%.

## 1-benzyl-4-chloro-5, 6-dihydropyridin-2(1H)-one (20)



To a well stirred mixture of compound $7(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DMF ( $0.071 \mathrm{~g}, 0.076 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.15 \mathrm{~g}, 0.09 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.98 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the disappearance of starting material ( 3 h ), the reaction was quenched with the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. Organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the resultant residue was
purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:6) as eluent rendered the compound 20 as pale yellow syrup ( $0.045 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \%$ yield)

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NOCl}, \mathbf{M W}: 221$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 2.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.15(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.39 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $222(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 244(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## Diethyl 2-(1-benzyl-3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-oxo-1, 2, 5, 6-tetrahydropyridine-4-yl) malonate (26)



To a well stirred solution of keto enol mixture $\mathbf{1 1} \& \mathbf{1 2}(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6$ mmol) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dropwise added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ $(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at rt and the resultant mixture was refluxed under argon atmosphere till the completion of reaction ( $3 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to rt and reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure afforded the crude chloro compound 25 in $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 96.5 \%$ yield. To a stirred suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ was prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dry benzene ( 50 mL ) was added followed by gradual addition of diethyl malonate ( 0.65 g , 4.1 mmol ) over 15 minutes at room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The chloro compound $25(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise over 15 minutes initially formed yellow coloured solution and then which turned into orange colour, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for overnight. After the completion of reaction (TLC), reaction was quenched by addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution ( 50 mL ), the organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent furnished the compound 26 as viscous yellow liquid ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$, MW: 417
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1732,1584,1506,1215,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.35(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.29 \mathrm{ppm}$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 13.9,14.1,26.1,43.85,47.6,49.7,53.4,55.02$, $55.5,61.6,61.8,61.9,62.1,124.4,125.8,127.5,127.9,128.1,128.6,130.9,136.1,136.8$, $143.2,161.0,163.5,164.9,165.9,166.7,167.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}: 418(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 440(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 63.30; H, 6.52; N, 3.36\%.
Found: C, 63.34; H, 6.59; N, 3.33\%.
Diethyl 2-(1-benzyl-3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridine-4-yl) malonate (27)


To a mixture of dihydropyridone $26(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DDQ $(0.598 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous 1 , 4-dioxane ( 10 mL ) was refluxed till the completion of reaction ( $6 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with benzene and quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with benzene ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate-petroleum ether as a solvent system yielded pyridone 27 as a pale yellow liquid ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$, MW: 415
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\max }: 1736,1651,1606,1508,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.26 (2q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 13.8,14.0,52.1,54.4,61.6,62.1,106.0,125.7$, 128.1, 128.5, 128.8, 135.4, 137.9, 143.3, 159.0, 165.3, 166.1 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $416(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 438(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 63.60; H, 6.07; N, 3.37\%.
Found: C, 63.57; H, 6.11; N, 3.41\%.

Diethyl 2-(1-benzyl-3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridine-4-yl) -2ethylmalonate (28)


To a well stirred solution of pyridine $27(0.9 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous acetone $(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 minutes and ethyl iodide ( 0.40 g , $0.20 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 15 minutes and refluxed for 12 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with acetone ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the resultant residue was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:3) as eluent afforded the alkylated product 28 as a colourless viscous liquid ( $0.87 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$, MW: 443
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1735,1647,1601,1258,1216,1128,1022,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10-4.43(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.19$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 10.0,14.0,28.6,52.1,61.7,61.95,63.3,107.3$, $128.45,128.8,129.1,135.5,135.9,147.05,160.15,166.0,168.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $444(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 466(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 65.00; H, 6.59; N, 3.16\%.
Found: C, 65.07; H, 6.61; N, 3.19\%.
Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate (30)


To a stirred solution triester 28 ( $2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 20 mL ), $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h . After the disappearance of starting materials (TLC), ethanol was evaporated in vacuo and the resultant residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 x 25 mL ). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered
and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with mixture of ethyl acetatepetroleum ether as a solvent system delivered compound 30 as colourless oil ( $1.42 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 371
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}$ : $1736,1718,1681,1629,1566,1521,1477,1217,769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.39(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.49(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.00-4.25 (m, 2H), 4.41 (q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.09(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $372(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.91; H, 6.78; N, 3.77\%.
Found: C, 68.04; H, 6.71; N, 3.79\%.
2-(1-benzyl-3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-4-yl) butanoic acid (31)


The triester $28(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was treated with $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.216 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After the disappearance of starting materials (TLC), ethanol was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue thus obtained was acidified with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ till neutralization and extracted EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo furnished compound 31 as colourless syrup ( $1.35 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 343
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3020,1718,1681,1629,1566,1521,1477,1217,769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.62-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.92-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$5.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $344(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 366(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 66.46; H, 6.16; N, 4.08\%.
Found: C, 66.49; H, 6.13; N, 3.99\%.

## 1-benzyl-4-(1-carboxypropyl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (32)



To a well stirred mixture of triester $28(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and LiOH ( $0.541 \mathrm{~g}, 22.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 20 mL ) was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After the completion of reaction (as per TLC), ethanol was evaporated in vacuo and the resultant residue was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ up to neutralization and extracted EtOAc ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure afforded compound 32 as a white solid ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 315
M.P.: $130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3018,1718,1681,1629,1566,1521,1477,1217,769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.49 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R ~}_{\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}+\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 11.8,25.3,48.1,52.9,109.4,115.7, ~}^{\text {, }}$ $128.05,128.6,134.2,139.5,160.4,164.2,165.3,173.2 \mathrm{ppm}$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $316(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 338(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 64.75; H, 5.43; N, 4.44\%.
Found: C, 64.77; H, 5.38; N, 4.39\%.
1-benzyl-4-(1-methoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (34)


To a well stirred solution of dicarboxylic acid $32(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol})$ and reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC, after the completion of reaction, methanol was removed in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:2) as eluent gave compound 34 as a gum ( $0.396 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 329
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3025,1736,1691,1630,1568,1479,1456,1215,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-$ $2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.21(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.45(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.51 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.3,26.2,48.3,52.25,53.6,109.8,116.1,128.8$, $129.1,129.4,134.3,139.45,160.8,165.05,165.6,172.9 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 65.64; H, 5.81; N, 4.25\%.
Found: C, 65.67; H, 5.78; N, 4.24\%.
1-benzyl-2-oxo-4-propyl-1, 2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (33)


MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 271
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3023,1687,1636,1567,1459,1214,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.94(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$,
$1.47-166(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.42 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $272(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 294(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
1-benzyl-4-ethyl-1H-pyrano [3, 4-c] pyridine-3, 8(4H, 7H)-dione (4)


To a well stirred mixture of $5(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(0.060 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.082 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added methyl chloroformate $(0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir till the completion of reaction ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was filtered and precipitate was washed with dry THF ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resultant filtrate was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise followed by dropwise addition of methanol ( 10 mL ) over 30 minutes and allowed to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The cooling bath was removed and $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution was added slowly until no residual $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ remained, and further stirred for additional 12 h at room temperature. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure and residue obtained diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (30 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent yielded lactone 4 as a gum $(0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield $)$.
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 283

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1720,1651,1567,1523,1477,1215,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.36$
$(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08 \& 5.18(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25 \& 5.43(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 11.4,25.3,45.1,52.1,66.4,105.2,121.3,128.5$, $129.2,135.9,136.95,145.75,159.0,170.5 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 72.07; H, 6.05; N, 4.94\%.
Found: C, 72.11; H, 6.03; N, 4.97\%.
7-benzyl-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H pyrano [3, 4-c] pyridine-3, 8 (4H, 7H)-dione (35)


The mixture of lactone $4(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $25 \%$ aqueous dimethyl amine $(0.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ in anhydrous DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ under oxygen atmosphere was stirred at room temperature till the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). After the completion of reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the pH was adjusted to 6.5 with addition of dilute HCl , and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as a solvent system delivered the hydroxyl compound 35 as white solid (0.103 g, $98 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 299
M.P.: $139-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. $140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\max }: 3350,1741,1655,1604,1563,1512,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.78(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.08 \& 5.18(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.17 \& 5.61(2 \mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.36 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 7.9,31.7,52.3,66.7,72.2,103.2,119.3,128.4$, 129.2, 135.8, 137.5, 148.7, 158.8, 173.7 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $300(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 322(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 68.21; H, 5.72; N, 4.68\%.
Found: C, 68.28; H, 5.69; N, 4.63\%.

## 4-ethyl-4-hydroxy -1H pyrano[3, 4-c] pyridine-3, 8(4H, 7H)-dione (3)



To a well stirred solution of compound $35(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ in EtOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(0.0046 \mathrm{~g}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under hydrogen atmosphere. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC ( 5 h ), after the disappearance of starting material, the reaction mixture was filtered on celite and residue was washed with EtOH ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo and resultant crude compound was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using the ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:2) as eluent furnished the desired DE-ring fragment 3 as a white solid ( $0.047 \mathrm{~g}, 68 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 209
M.P.: $225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3273,1754,1651,1255,837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}+\mathbf{D M S O}-\mathbf{d}_{6}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 7.85,31.7,65.91,104.6,115.3$, 134.6, 151.5, 175.0 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $210(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 232(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, $57.41 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.30 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.70 \%$.
Found: C, 57.39; H, 5.34; N, 6.73\%.

### 2.3.6 Spectra:


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $10\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $10\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $10\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $7\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


COSY spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


HETCOR spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


[^0]
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


[^1]
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $30\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $31\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO-d $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left.\mathrm{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $33\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $34\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $34\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $34\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $35\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


[^2]

DEPT spectrum of compound $35\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO-d $\left.{ }_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $3\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left.\mathbf{d}_{6}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 3, Section I

Synthesis of 3-ethyl-4-methyl pyrrofine-2-one

### 3.1.1 Introduction:

Today diabetes is increasingly common, potentially devastating, treatable yet incurable, lifelong disease and becoming a major and serious problem in society. It is leading cause of other diseases like blindless, kidney failure and amputation. According to recent estimation USA have a treatment of diabetes cost is $\$ 90$ billion dollar annually it is more than the heart, cancer and AIDS diseases. In India also this disease is increasingly at an alarming rate and it is estimated that India will soon become the diabetic capital of the world.


3-ethyl-4-methyl-3-pyrrolin-2-one 1


Glimepiride 2


C-phycocyanin 3

Figure 1
Five-and six-membered lactones and lactams are widely present as key building blocks in several natural products showing promising biological activity. 3- Ethyl -4- methyl -3pyrroline -2- one 1 is an important heterocyclic building block of anti-diabetic drug viz. Glimepiride (2) and its derivative, which belongs to sulfonylurea drug and show potent activity against diabetic diseases a metabolite of glimepiride The synthesis of transhydroxyglimepiride a metabolite of the drug glimepiride was reported by Gurjar et al. ${ }^{1}$ Pyrrolinone $\mathbf{1}$ is also present as main precursor in bile pigments (the blue protein Cphycocyanin 3), which is depicted in figure 1 which was isolated from the blue-green algae Synechococcus sp. 6301. Bile pigment takes part in the very useful photosynthesis reaction.

Following lines describe the reported syntheses of 3-ethyl-4-methyl-3-pyrroline-2-one.

### 3.1.2 Literature survey

Henry's approach ${ }^{2}$ (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8024)
Henry and co workers reported the synthesis of 1 from ethyl acetoacetate 4, which was alkylated with ethyl iodide using sodium ethoxide in ethanol at reflux temperature to give the mixture of monoalkylated compound 5 and dialkylated compound 6 in $52 \%$ yield and $15-20 \%$ yield respectively. Monoalkylated compound 5 was subjected to treatment with sodium bisulphite and sodium cyanide to furnish cyanohydrin 7 whose further the reduction followed by cyclization of cyanohydrin nitrile 7 employing Raney nickel in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere under 50 psi at $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and dehydration using sodium carbonate afforded desired intermediate 1 in $29 \%$.


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaOEt (1.0 equiv), EtI (1.0 equiv), EtOH, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 52 \%$ (b) $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ (1.23 equiv), NaCN ( 1.05 equiv), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{~T}-1 \mathrm{Ra}-\mathrm{Ni}$, $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 50$ psi, $33^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h , reflux, 8 h (ii) $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, 4 h $29 \%$.
Pelkey's approach ${ }^{3}$ (J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 6678)
After completion of the work described in this thesis recently, Pelkey and co workers accomplishd the synthesis of 1 in seven-steps in $14 \%$ overall yield starting his synthesis from Boc-glycine 8. DCC coupling of $\mathbf{8}$ with N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine gave $\mathbf{9}$ in $78 \%$ yield. Deprotection of Boc group group followed by formylation furnished compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $65 \%$ yield (two steps). Dehydration of formamide 10 with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ to afford the key intermediate isocynide 11 in $70 \%$ yield. The cyclocondensation reaction between isocynide 11 with $\beta$-nitroacetate or $\alpha$-nitroalkenes in the presence of DBU led to the corresponding pyrrole Weinreb amide 12 in good yield. The pyrrole Weinreb amides 12 were then converted into the corresponding pyrrole -2-carboxaldehyde 13 by reduction with lithium aluminium hydride in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $65 \%$ yield. Finally, oxidation of the pyrrole-2-
carboxaldehyde 13 to corresponding 3-pyrrolin-2-ones 1 was accomplished employing $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$.




Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) MeONHMe, DCC, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 78 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}, 8{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (c) $\mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, heat, (65\%, two steps); (d) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, 70 \%$;
(e) i or ii, DBU, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 90 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{O}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $65 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, MeOH, rt, 67\%.

### 3.1.3 Present work:

Owing to its impressive pharmaceutical importance, very poor yield and the ongoing programme on synthesis of biologically active compounds and generalization of RCM protocol for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation, interest to devise the practical routes of bioactive compounds, it was decided to explore the intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and catalytic Pdmediated cyclization and ring-closing metathesis protocols.


Scheme 3. Retrosynthetic plan 1.
From the retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 3) it is clear that the target intermediate 1 can be accessed from lactam 14 by alkylation followed by deprotection; the lactam 14 could be realized from keto compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ by intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation followed by
decarboxylation. The ketone 15 can be readily obtained from amine 16, and amine 16 in turn could be accessed from commercially available methallyl chloride 17 by different transformations.

### 3.1.4 Results and discussion:

According to retrosynthetic plan 1 (scheme 3), the synthesis began from the commercially available methallyl chloride 17, which was converted into corresponding amine 16 in $61 \%$ yield in three steps. ${ }^{4}$ The structure of the generated amine 16 was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques. IR spectrum of 16 exhibited broad absorption band at $3436 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of amino group. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 6}$ displayed a singlet at $\delta$ 1.78 integrated for three protons and which was assigned to vinyl methyl, singlet at $\delta 3.18$ integrating for two protons assigned to methylene adjacent to nitrogen, singlet at $\delta 3.70$ integrated for two benzyl protons, singlet at $\delta 3.80$ integrated for three protons $\left(\mathrm{Ar}^{-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}}\right)$ two olefinic protons resonated as two singlets at $\delta 4.86$ and $\delta 4.90$ while the four aromatic protons appeared as two doublets at $\delta 6.85$ and $7.25(J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 16 revealed nine signals. DEPT spectrum of 16 displayed three methylene carbons. The structure of 16 was further confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 16 revealed the $(M+H)^{+}$peak at $m / z$ 192. The elemental analysis revealed that the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

The amine 16 was further subjected to the treatment with ethyl malonyl chloride using potassium carbonate as the base in anhydrous dichloromethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give tertiary amide 20 in $86 \%$ yield. The formation of amide 20 was confirmed by spectral data analysis. IR spectrum of 20 displayed strong absorption band at 1735 and $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of ester and amide functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 20 displayed three extra signals which appeared as triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.29$ and $\delta 4.20(J=$ 7.1 Hz ) integrating for three and two protons respectively and were assigned to ethyl ester suggesting the presence of ethyl ester group and incorporation of malonyl moiety, two singlets in ratio (2:1) appeared at $\delta 3.66$ and $\delta 3.96$ due to presence of rotamers. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{2 0}$ revealed the presence of rotamers and finally mass spectrum and elemental analysis confirmed the structure of $\mathbf{2 0}$. Mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ displayed the $m / z$ peak at $306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 328(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, while the elemental analysis the observed values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

The oxidative cleavage of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was carried out using catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and 2.1 equivalents $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to furnish its corresponding keto compound 15 in very good yield ( $89 \%$ ). The structure of compound 15 was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 5}$ displayed the strong absorption band at $1717 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which indicated the presence of ketone functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 15 exhibited the disappearance of signals corresponding to two olefinic protons suggesting the absence exomethylene moiety. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 15 also supported the absence of signals characteristic of alkene terminal and quaternary carbons while new signal appeared at $\delta 202.2$ and 202.5 due to rotamers which also the indication of the transformation of olefin to ketone functionality. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 5}$ was ascertained by mass spectral and elemental analysis, the mass spectrum of 15 showed the $m / z$ peak at $308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values in an elemental analysis.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv), dry DMSO, $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h . (b) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (1.1 equiv), $E t_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 15h. (c) (i) Anisaldehyde (1.2 equiv), dry MeOH, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 1 h . (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 61 \%$ over four steps (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), dry DCM, ethyl malonyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.2 equiv), acetone-water (3:1), rt, 3h, 89\%. (f) NaH (2.0 equiv), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$. (g) NaCl (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$ (h) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl iodide (1.2 equiv), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, 3h, 71\%. (i) CAN (2.5 equiv), acetonitrile: water (5:1), rt, 2h, 80\%.

The intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation was successfully carried out on 15 using NaH as a base in THF to furnish $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 21 in $81 \%$ yield. The formation of compound 21 was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of 21 showed the absence the peak corresponding to ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 displayed the disappearance of the methylene protons and showed the single signals from these two observations it suggested the formation of 21, the $m / z$ peak at 290,312 and 328 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+},(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$respectively in the mass spectrum and finally the structure of $\mathbf{2 1}$ was further ascertained by its elemental analysis as well.

The smooth decarboxylation of ester group was accomplished under Krapcho's condition furnished corresponding decarboxylated $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 14 in very good yield ( $87 \%$ ). ${ }^{5}$ The formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ revealed the absence of absorption band corresponding to ester group and appearance of absorption band at $1672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 14 displayed the disappearance of the signals corresponding to ethyl ester and a new singlet appeared at $\delta 5.85$ indicated that the ethyl ester at $\alpha$-carbon in $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam was decarboxylated. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed twelve signals, DEPT spectrum of 14 revealed two methylene carbons which also supported the structure. Additionally the mass spectrum of 14 showed a $m / z$ peak at $218(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}),{ }^{+} 240(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $256(\mathrm{M}+$ $K)^{+}$. Finally the formation of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ was further ascertained by its elemental analysis as well.

The regioselective alkylation at $\alpha$-carbon over $\gamma$-carbon was accomplished with ethyl iodide utilizing sodium hydride as a base in dry THF delivered the anticipated desired product 22 in $71 \%$ yield. ${ }^{6}$ The structure of 22 was ascertained by its spectral study. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 showed the disappearance the olefinic proton while triplet and quartet appeared at $\delta 1.07$ and $2.28(J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three and two protons respectively which indicated the introduction of ethyl group at $\alpha$-carbon. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 22 displayed the disappearance of the signal corresponding to $\alpha$-carbon of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam while appearance of the new signals at $\delta 12.6$ and 16.8 which suggested the incorporation of ethyl functional group. DEPT spectrum of 22 revealed the presence of three methylene carbons supported the structure of 22. Finally the structure of 22 was confirmed by its mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum exhibited the $m / z$ peaks at $246(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 268(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $274(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$and in an
elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

The last step was the deprotection of PMB group and which was achieved by employing the two equivalents CAN in acetonitrile at room temperature resulted in the formation target $\mathbf{1}$ in $80 \%$ yield. ${ }^{7}$ The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of 1 shows the strong absorption bands at $3226 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ revealed the disappearance of the peaks corresponding to PMB group and a new broad singlet appeared at $\delta 8.04$ characteristic of amide proton suggesting the removal of PMB group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ also revealed the absence of signals due to PMB group, clearly indicated that the compound $\mathbf{1}$ does not contain the PMB moiety, DEPT spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ displayed two methylene carbons which also supported the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ and finally the structure of 1 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 1 showing the $m / z$ peak at 126 and 148 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+N a)^{+}$ respectively, in an elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with theoretical values. The spectral data of 1 exactly matched with the reported data.

After the successful synthesis of 1 employing intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation (scheme 4), it was thought to synthesize it by following an alternative approach including cheap and commercially available starting material containing less number of steps and employing novel Pd-catalysed cyclization step under Wacker reaction conditions which is delineated in scheme 5 . The target intermediate 1 could be obtained from compound 21, the lactam 21 can be readily accessed from keto compound 15 , which in turn would be realized from amide 23 by Wacker oxidation and cyclization. The amide 23 in turn could be synthesized from amine 24 and amine 24 can be easily obtained from cheap and readily available allyl amine 25.


Scheme 5. Retrosynthetic plan 2.

Accordingly the synthesis started from cheap and readily available starting material viz. allyl amine 25. Allyl amine 25 was converted into secondary amine 24 in excellent yield (97\%) by reductive amination with $p$-anisaldehyde, using triethyl amine in methanol followed by reduction of the resultant imine by sodium borohydride in methanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The formation of $\mathbf{2 4}$ was confirmed by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ revealed the doublet at $\delta 3.28$ that integrated for two protons and was assigned to allylic protons, singlet at $\delta 3.78$ integrating for three protons was ascribed to $\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, singlet at $\delta 4.65$ for two benzylic protons was attributed to multiplet at $\delta$ 5.14-5.28 was assigned to terminal olefinic protons, multiplet at $\delta 5.6-6.1$ integrating for one proton was ascribed to internal olefinic doublet that appeared at $\delta 6.87$ two aromatic protons was attributed to ortho to methoxy group, doublet at $\delta 7.28$ for two aromatic protons meta to methoxy group. The structure of $\mathbf{2 4}$ was also ascertained by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ displayed the $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$peak at 177.


Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) p-anisaldehyde (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. (ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h} .86 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (10 mol \%), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (2.1 equiv), $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6$ h, $62 \%$.

The treatment of 24 with ethyl malonyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in anhydrous dichloromethane furnished the amide 23 in $86 \%$ yield. The structure of amide 23 was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques. IR spectrum of 25 revealed the strong absorption bands at 1735 and $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of ester and amide functionality respectively which indicate the introduction of ethyl malonyl moiety in $23 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 23
revealed the triplet at $\delta 1.28$ integrating for three protons a singlet at $\delta 3.78$ for two methylene protons and a quartet at $\delta 4.23$ integrating for two protons also supported the installment of ethyl malonyl group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of 23 showed the presence of rotamers. The formation of $\mathbf{2 3}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2 3}$ exhibited the molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 292$ corresponding to its molecular weight. The elemental analysis of $\mathbf{2 3}$, the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.

With 23 was in hand, attention was towards the crucial Wacker oxidation. When 23 was subjected under Wacker reaction conditions ${ }^{8}$ employing catalytic amount of palladium chloride ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and two equivalent $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ as a co-oxidant in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6: 1)$ at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hrs, surprisingly cyclized product 21 was observed in $62 \%$ yield, instead of anticipated Wacker product 15 . The cyclized product 21 is common intermediate in scheme 4 and which was already manipulated into desired target 1.

The formation of 21 can be explained by the mechanism delineated in scheme 7 .


## Scheme 7. Probable mechanism.

After the successful synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ by two routes i.e. intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and Pd-catalyzed cyclization, it was also envisioned that $\mathbf{1}$ can be synthesized in less number of steps employing RCM strategy as shown in scheme 8 . The desired key intermediate 1 could be accessed from lactam 22 by deprotection of PMB group, the
lactam 22 could be realized from acrylamide 26 by RCM, compound 26 could be obtained from amine 16 by $N$-acylation and lastly the amine 16 can be readily accessed from commercially available 4-methoxybenzylamine 27.


Scheme 8. Retrosynthetic plan 3.
According to retrosynthetic plan 3, the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ commenced from the commercially available 4-methoxybenzylamine 27. The treatment of 27 with 0.33 equivalent of methallyl chloride (in order to prevent the further alkylation) using potassium carbonate as the base \& catalytic potassium iodide in anhydrous dichloromethane to furnish the secondary amine 16 in $84 \%$ yield (based on the recovery of starting material 27). The ethacryloyl chloride 31 is important fragment which was synthesized from commercially available butyraldehyde $\mathbf{2 8}^{9,10}$ as depicted in scheme 9 .


Scheme 9. Reagents and conditions: (a) preheated mixture of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{NH}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}(1: 3), 55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 2 h, $76 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ (1.0 equiv), $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ (1.1 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 68 \%$.

The treatment of resultant amine 16 with ethacryloyl chloride 31 using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base afforded the acrylamide 26 in excellent yield ( $91 \%$ ). The structure of 26 was confirmed by spectral study. The IR spectrum of 26 showed a strong absorption band at $1644 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency of acrylamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum revealed a triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.08$ and $2.33(J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three and two protons respectively and singlet at $\delta 5.10$ for two olefinic protons, indicated the presence of the ethacrylamide functionality in 26. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum displayed signals at $\delta 11.4,26.9$ corresponding to
ethyl group, signals that appeared at $\delta 111.9,112.2$ and 146.0 were assigned to olefinic carbons while signal at $\delta 172.1$ was assigned to acrylamide carbonyl carbon. DEPT spectrum of 26 showed methylene carbons at $\delta 26.9,45.25,47.7,49.8,52.3,111.9$ and 112.2, which give the support for structure of 26 . The $m / z$ peak at $274(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and base peak observed at 192 in mass spectrum which confirmed the structure of 26. Finally the structure of 26 was ascertained by its elemental analysis also, which was found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

After getting 26 in hand, the next task was to perform the crucial ring-closing metathesis transformation. Accordingly 26 was subjected to Grubbs $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( 10 mol $\%$ ) in anhydrous toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , it was gratifying to note that the desired lactam 22 was obtained in $40 \%$ yield $^{11-13}$ ( $90 \%$ yield based on the recovery of starting material). The yield of RCM step was very poor presumably due steric hindrance of substituents at olefin and ring strain of resulting ring it was decided to study the substituent effect in the RCM reaction.


Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: (a) methallyl chloride (0.33 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), KI (cat), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, 12h, $84 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), ethacryloyl chloride 6 (1.2 equiv), dry $D C M, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 3 h, 91 \%$. (c) Grubbs’ catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation (10 mol \%), dry toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$.


## Scheme 11.

Table 1. RCM reactions of allyl and acrylamide in toluene at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| entry | substrate | R | $\mathrm{R}^{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | product | Yield |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $(\%)$ |
| 1 | $\mathbf{2 6 a}$ | PMB | H | H | $\mathbf{2 2 a}$ | 98 |
| 2 | $\mathbf{2 6 b}$ | PMB | Me | H | $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ | 45 |
| 3 | $\mathbf{2 6 c}$ | PMB | Me | Et | $\mathbf{2 2 c}$ | 40 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{2 6 d}$ | Bn | Me | Me | $\mathbf{2 2 d}$ | 45 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{2 6 e}$ | Bn | Me | Et | $\mathbf{2 2 e}$ | 43 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{2 6 f}$ | BOC | Me | H | $\mathbf{2 2 f}$ | 37 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{2 6 g}$ | alkyl | H | $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 g}$ | 92 |

From the results in table 1 it is observed that the substituent present on allyl moiety (electron rich olefin) has a profound influence on the rate of reaction as well as yield and not the substituent present on acrylamide (electron deficient olefin).

### 3.1.5 Conclusion:

The synthesis of key intermediate $\mathbf{1}$ has been achieved by three different synthetic routes, first is intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation as key step for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ formation in ninesteps in $18 \%$ overall yield. Second is novel and efficient Pd-catalyzed cyclization in sixsteps in $25 \%$ overall yield and third one is the short and efficient by RCM strategy in foursteps in $55 \%$ overall yield (based on the recovery of SM), and the generality generalized and the substituent effect on the efficiency of RCM reaction was studied.

### 3.1.6 Experimental

## $N$ - (4-Methoxybenzyl)-2- methyl prop -2- en -1- amine (16).

## Method A


(i) To the stirred solution of methallyl chloride $17(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 11$ mmol) in anhydrous DMSO ( 15 mL ), was added $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 16.05$ mmol ) and the resultant reaction mixture was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under inert atmosphere for 15 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), the DMSO was removed by water wash and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure furnished the corresponding azide 18. (ii) To a well stirred solution of the above crude methallyl azide 18 (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after $1 \mathrm{~h}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and reaction mixture was allowed stir at room temperature till the completion of the reaction ( $14 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo yielded the crude methallyl amine 19. (iii) A mixture of crude methallyl amine 19 (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) and $p$-anisaldehyde ( $1.79 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59$ $\mathrm{mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeOH}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h and after the completion of reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and allowed to stir till the completion of reaction (TLC). After the completion of reaction, methanol was removed in vacuo and residue was quenched with the addition of cold $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 20 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent to furnish amine 16 as colourless oil ( $1.28 \mathrm{~g}, 61 \%$ yield).

## Method B

To the stirred solution of 4-methoxybenzylamine 27 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.03 \mathrm{~g}, 21.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 minutes and a solution methallyl chloride ( $0.217 \mathrm{~g}, 0.234 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.37 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise over 10 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h and the progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated
ammonium chloride solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent furnished amine 16 as a colourless oil ( 0.384 g , $84 \%$ yield), 0.670 g starting material was recovered.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$, MW: 191
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1611,1512,1216,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.70(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.25 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 20.5,52.1,54.5,54.6,110.6,113.3,128.9,132.1$, 143.4, 158.3 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $192(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 75.35; H, 8.96; N, 7.32\%.
Found: C, 75.23; H, 9.07; N, 7.27\%.
Ethyl 3- (4-methoxybenzyl) (2-methylallyl) amino -3- oxopropanoate (20)


To a stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(15 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and stirred for 15 minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then ethyl malonyl chloride $(1.17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.98 \mathrm{~mL}$, 0.78 mmol ) was added drop wise over $10-15$ minutes at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of reaction was monitored on TLC. After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15$ mL ). The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent to render the amide 20 as colourless oil $(1.71 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 305
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3461,3007,1735,1654,1512,1248,1035,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70,1.72(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.43$, 3.48 (s, s, 2H), 3.66, 3.96 (s, s, 2H), 3.79, 3.80 (s, s, 3H), 4.20 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 4.41, $4.53(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82,4.93(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d},(1: 2), J=12.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82,6.86(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75,7.18 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.0,20.0,41.0,41.15,47.7,49.6,50.1,52.2,55.0$, $61.2,111.6,112.65,113.8,114.25,127.5,127.8,128.9,129.3,139.5,140.1,158.9,159.1$, 166.1, $166.5,167.4 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 328(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: 66.86; H, 7.59; N, 4.59\%.
Found: C, 67.09; H, 7.42; N, 4.35\%.
Ethyl 3 (4-methoxybenzyl) (2-oxopropyl) amino) -3- oxopropanoate (15)


To the stirred solution of olefin $20(1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ( 15 mL acetone \& $5 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ was added at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture became black coloured, then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(2.46 \mathrm{~g}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise and reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3-4 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo, the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent furnished compound 15 as a thick colourless syrup ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 307
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\max }: 3450,2982,2937,1735,1651,1513,1248,1174,1033,818,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.26,1.29(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{t}, J=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.32,3.56(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78,3.80(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51$, $4.54(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.11 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{+}_{\mathbf{C C l}}^{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{, 5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.1,21.0,27.1,27.2,41.1,41.6,49.2,52.3$, $54.65,55.2,56.5,60.3,61.5,114.1,114.4,127.2,128.4,129.7,159.5,166.6,167.2,202.2$, 202.5 ppm (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: $308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 62.53; H, 6.89; N, 4.56\%.
Found: C, 62.42; H, 7.03; N, 4. 67\%.

## Ethyl 1-(4-methoxybenzyl) -4- methyl -2- Oxo - 2, 5-dihydro -1H pyrrole -3carboxylate (21)

## Method A:


$60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.195 \mathrm{~g}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was washed by dry pet ether, ( $3 \times 10$ mL ) of dry THF was added and cooled the solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, amide 15 $(1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 hour. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:2) as eluent afforded compound 21 as pale yellow syrup ( $0.98 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield).

## Method B:

To a stirred solution of ester olefin $23(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},(12 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1)$, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2},(0.011 \mathrm{~g}, 0.068 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.24 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the resultant solution was heated at $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC (6$8 \mathrm{~h})$ and the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \mathrm{x}$ 15 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and the solvent was remover on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure and the residue obtained was purified by flashed column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent furnished cyclized product 21 ( 0.122 g , $62 \%$ yield) as a pale yellow syrup.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 289
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\max }: 1721,1687,1214,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$,
$3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J$ $=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $290(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 312(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 66.42; H, 6.62; N, 4.84\%. Found: C, 66.56; H, 6.45; N, 4. 77\%.

## 1- (4- methoxybenzyl) -4- methyl -1H pyrrol -2 (5H) - one (14)



To the stirred solution of $21(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1)$, $\mathrm{NaCl}(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and resultant reaction mixture was heated at $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-7 \mathrm{~h}$. After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the reaction mixture was allowed to cool at room temperature, diluted with water $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:6) as eluent gave compound 14 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.326 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield $)$.
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 217
M. P.: $122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3347,1672,1513,1247,1216,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 2.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 75 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 15.05,45.05,54.7,55.0,114.0,122.7,129.1$, 129.5, 154.9, 159.0, 171.6 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $218(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 240(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.87; H, 6.96; N, 6.45\%.
Found: C, 71.61; H, 6.98; N, 6.69\%.

### 4.1.4 3-Ethyl-1-(-4-methoxy-benzyl)-4-methyl-1, 5-dihydro-pyrrol-2-one (22)

Method A


To a $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 1.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( 3 x 10 mL ) was added $\mathbf{1 4}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF (10 mL ) slowly at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stirred for 15 minutes followed by ethyl iodide $(0.158 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.081 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.99 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) dropwise addition at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed stir for 2-3 h. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC. The reaction was quenched with addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the resultant residue
was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent furnished lactam 22 as a yellow solid mp-127-131 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.160 \mathrm{~g}, 71 \%$ yield $)$. Method B

To a degassed homogeneous solution of $26(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 20 $\mathrm{mL})$, Grubbs second generation catalyst ( $0.062 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added under an argon atmospheres. The resultant reaction mixture was heated at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h and after the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) to provide lactam 22 (22C) as a yellow solid ( $0.072 \mathrm{~g}, 40 \%$ yield) and 0.11 g of starting material was recovered.
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 245
M.P.: $127-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1671,1515,1248,1212,757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.07(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.14 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.9,12.95,18.9,46.1,53.2,54.3,113.8,128.1$, $128.6,135.0,144.95,158.7,171.7 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: $246(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 268(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 73.44; H, 7.81; N, 5.71\%. Found: C, 73.47; H, 7.78; N, 5.76\%.
(22a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, 200 MHz ) $\delta: 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.
(22b) ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $\left.200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) ~ \delta: 1.98 \& 1.99(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.67(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75 \& 3.77(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83 \& 5.84(2 \mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$.
(22d) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) $\delta: 1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
(22e) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, $200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) $\delta: 1.03(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.52 (s, 2H), $4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.26 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
(22f) Spectral data of compound $22 f$ has mentioned in Ch. 3, Sec II, compound 5.
(22g) ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CDCl3, 200 MHz$) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-$ $2.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0 \& 6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.0 \& 2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

3- Ethyl -4- methyl -3- pyrrolin -2- one (2)


To a stirred solution of lactam $22(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$, Cerium ammonium nitrate $(0.447 \mathrm{~g}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature till the completion of reaction ( 2 h ) by TLC. The solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure, the resultant residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:2) as eluent furnished target $\mathbf{1}$ as a pale yellow solid $(0.036 \mathrm{~g}$, 80\% yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}, \mathbf{M W}: 124$
M.P.: $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }}: 3326,2974,1681,1451,1216 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.04 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 75 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 12.3,12.5,16.0,49.7,133.4,148.2,175.9 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) m/z: 125 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.17; H, 8.86; N, 11.19\%.
Found: C, 66.93; H, 9.11; N, 11.38\%.
$N$ - (4-methoxybenzyl) prop -2- en -1-amine (24)


To the stirred solution of allyl amine $25(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry methanol ( 20 mL ), was added $p$-anisaldehyde ( $2.62 \mathrm{~g}, 2.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 19.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.66 \mathrm{~g}, 17.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portion wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for additional 0.5 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and residue was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under reduced
pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:6) solvent system resulted secondary amine 24 as pale yellow liquid ( 3.0 g , $97 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}, \mathbf{M W}: 177$
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3393,3019,1613,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78$ ( s , $3 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.26 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}$, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

MS (ESI) m/z: $178(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 74.54; H, 8.53; N, 7.90\%.
Found: C, 74.59; H, 8.47; N, 7.87\%.

## Ethyl 3- (allyl (4-methoxybenzyl) amino) -3- oxopropanoate (23)



To the stirred solution of $24(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.3 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 15 minutes and then ethyl malonyl chloride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added drop wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere till the completion of reaction ( 1 h ) by TLC. The reaction mixture was filtered and residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$, the filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent furnished amide 23 as a colorless syrup ( $1.41 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield $)$.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$, MW: 293
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3458,2982,2936,1735,1654,1513,1248,1032 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.75$, $3.97(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.2(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.43,4.5(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ (mixture of rotamers).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 14.0,41.0,41.1,47.6,49.25,50.1,55.0,61.1$, $113.8,114.2,116.95,117.4,127.6,127.8,128.9,129.3,132.3,158.9,159.1,166.0,166.2$, 167.4 ppm (mixture of rotamers).

MS (ESI) m/z: 292 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 65.96; H, 7.27; N, 4.81\%. Found: C, 66.09; H, 7.19; N, 4.77\%.

### 4.1.2 N-(4-methoxybenzyl)-N- (2-methylallyl) -2- methylenebutanamide (26)



To the stirred solution of amine $16(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.545 \mathrm{~g}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere followed by dropwise addition of ethacryloyl chloride ( 0.186 $\mathrm{g}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC, after the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered and residue washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) solvent system to afford compound 26 as a thick colorless oil ( $0.325 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 273
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3081,1970,1644,1614,1512,1247,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0}} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.33(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.10(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.82$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{~}^{\mathbf{C}} \mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 11.4,19.65,26.9,45.2,47.6,49.8,52.3,54.6$, $111.9,112.2,113.3,113.6,127.9,129.1,139.9,146.0,158.65,172.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ (mixture of rotamers).
MS (ESI) m/z: $274(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, $74.69 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.48 ; \mathrm{N}, 5.12 \%$.
Found: C, 74.63; H, 8.37; N, 5.23\%.

### 3.1.7 Spectra:


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
(1)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $15\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

DEPT spectrum compound 1 ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
Choroform
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl} 4,50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 3, Section II

## Total synthesis of (Z)-pulchellalactam

### 3.2.1 Introduction

(Z)-Pulchellalactam (1) a pyrrolidinone was isolated in 1997 from the marine fungus Corollospora pulchella by Alvi et al. ${ }^{1}$ Structurally it is the five-membered $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam having methyl substituents at $\beta$-carbon and side chain at $\gamma$-carbon. It has been the focus of some attention due to its potent inhibitory action against protein tyrosine phosphatase, (PTP) CD45, which in turn activates B and T cells. Protein tyrosine phosphatase has been a target implicated in autoimmune and anti-inflammatory diseases. ${ }^{2}$ The scarcity of effective inhibitors of protein tyrosine phosphatase has led to a search for other small molecule inhibitors. (Z) Pulchellalactam (1) has been shown to possess remarkable inhibitory action against CD45.

(Z)-Pulchellalactam (1)

Figure 1.

### 3.2.2 Literature survey

The literature survey revealed that several syntheses of $(Z)$-pulchellalactam 1 have been achieved by different research group employing various protocols. ${ }^{3-7}$
Li's approach $^{3}$ (J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 4702)
Li et al. devised an elegant synthesis of $(Z)$ pulchellactam 1 which started with the coupling of Boc-glycine 2 with Meldrum's acid to furnish acylated Meldrum,s acid followed by intramolecular cyclization followed by decarboxylation to provide compound 3. The hydroxy lactam $\mathbf{3}$ was converted in to corresponding tosyl compound $\mathbf{4}$ using tosyl chloride in dichloromethane in $93 \%$ yield. The 1,4 -addition of $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$ on 4 and elimination of tosylate was achieved in one pot at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished lactam 5 in $70 \%$ yield. And finally the condensation of isobutyraldehyde with lactam using sodium hydride in THF followed by Boc deprotection furnished the target molecule $(Z)$ pulchellalactam $\mathbf{1}$ in $86 \%$ yield. The synthesis was completed in five-steps in an overall yield of $45 \%$.



1
Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) Meldrum's acid, isopropyl chloroformate, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (ii) EtOAc, reflux, 80\%. (b) Ts-Cl, DIPEA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 93 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CuLi}$, THF, 70\%. (d) NaH, isobutyraldehyde, THF, 86\%.
Parsons's approach ${ }^{4}$ (Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 6221)
A short and efficient synthesis was disclosed by Parsons and co-workers where they began their synthesis from the reaction of 2,4-dimethoxybenzylamine with ketone $\mathbf{6}$ followed by treatment of dichloroacetyl chloride provided 1.5:1 mixture of enamide regioisomers 7 and 8 respectively in $32 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) $\mathrm{PMBNH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), dichloroacetyl chloride (1.1 equiv), toluene, reflux, $32 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ ( 0.5 equiv), toluene, reflux, 4 days, 89\% mixture of products. (c) TFA, rt, 15 min, 66\%.

The mixture was heated with $\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{3}$ in toluene where enamide 7 gave desired dienones 9 and 10 in 32\% and 41\% yields respectively while $\mathbf{8}$ gave $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 2}$ in $17 \%$ and $14 \%$ yields respectively. Dienone 9 was stirred in neat TFA at room temperature afforded desired $(Z)$ pulchellalactam 1 in $66 \%$. yield, while dienone 10 gave $E$ isomer in $83 \%$ yield.
Takabe's Approach ${ }^{5}$ (Heterocycles, 2004, 63, 1013)
Takabe and co-workers reported the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$. Accordingly the citraconimide 14 was achieved from anhydride 13 by using HMDS as ammonia source in DMF at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The selective reduction of $\mathbf{1 4}$ to $\mathbf{1 5}$ was achieved using sodium borohydride in $92 \%$ yield. Reductive deoxygenation by employing $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$ followed by Boc-protection by Boc-anhydride to provided 5 in $91 \%$ yield. The condensation was carried out with isobutyraldehyde using LDA as a base at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish ( $Z$ ) pulchellalactam $\mathbf{1}$ in $82 \%$ yield.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) HMDS, DMF, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, 92 \%$. (c)(i) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-7{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ - rt; (ii) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, r t, 91 \%$. (d) LDA, isobutyraldehyde, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$.
Argade's approach ${ }^{6}$ (Synthesis, 2004, 1560)
Argade et al also commenced their synthesis from citraconimide 14 which underwent regioselective reduction by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ to give hydroxylactam 15 in quantitative yield. The reduction of olefin was performed by using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ to furnish $\mathbf{1 6}$ as a mixture of stereoisomers in quantitative yield. Dehydration followed by isomerisation of 16 was carried out by the treatment with mildly acidic amberlyst resin in acetonitrile to furnish $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 17 in $92 \%$ yield. The lactam 17 was protected with Boc-anhydride in acetonitrile at room temperature gave protected lactam 5 in $85 \%$ yield. Finally the the aldol condensation of isobutyraldehyde using NaH in THF followed by deprotection of Boc group furnished ( $Z$ ) pulchellalactam 1 in $82 \%$ yield. The synthesis was achieved in five-steps with $64 \%$ overall yield.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1.0 equiv), EtOH, $-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, quantitative. (b) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, r t, 2$ h, quantitative. (c) (i) p-TSA (cat), $\mathrm{C}_{6} H_{6}$, reflux, 3 h, 25-30\% (ii) AcOH, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 50-55 \%$ (iii)Amberlyst resin, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (d) (Boc) $)_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1.5 equiv), DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, r t, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$. (e) NaH, THF, isobutyraldehyde, rt, 5 min. 82\%.
Langlois's approach ${ }^{7}$ (Synthetic Communications, 2006, 36, 2253)
Recently Langlois and co-workers disclosed their concise synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ from $N$-Boc pyrrolinone 18 which on treatment with diazomethane in diethyl ether at room temperature for 14 h furnished pyrazoline 19 in $68 \%$ yield. The pyrazoline 19 was refluxed in toluene for 8 h where thermolysis occurred providing a mixture of compound 5 and cyclopropane 20 in $71 \%$ and $9 \%$ yields respectively.


Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, r t, 14$ h, 68\%. (b) Toluene, reflux, 8 h, 71\%. (c) NaH, isobutyraldehyde, THF, 86\%.

The desired compound 5 was condensed with isobutyraldehyde using sodium hydride as the base in THF followed by Boc deprotection furnished target natural product ( $Z$ ) pulchellalactam 1 in $86 \%$ yield. The synthesis has been completed in three-steps in $48 \%$ overall yield.

### 3.2.3 Present work:

In connection with an ongoing programme on the synthesis of biologically and pharmaceutically important natural products, considering its promising biological activity and limited availability from the marine source, it was thought to design a short and feasible route employing RCM protocol for the construction of five-membered $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated lactam present in ( $Z$ )-pulchellalactam 1.


## Scheme 6. Retrosynthetic plan 1.

It was surmised that the target molecule ( $Z$ )-pulchellalactam 1 could be accessed from lactam 5 by intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation followed by deprotection. The lactam 5 in turn could be realized from keto compound 21 by intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and subsequently decarboxylation. The keto compound 21 can be obtained from carbamate 22 by treatment with ethyl malonyl chloride and carbamate 22 in turn could be accessed from readily available methallyl chloride 23 by simple transformations.

### 3.2.4 Results and discussion:

According to retrosynthetic plan 1 (scheme 6) synthesis of 1 began with commercially available methallyl chloride 23. Nucleophilic substitution on 23 with sodium azide furnished corresponding methallyl azide 24 which was subsequently reduced to its corresponding amine 25 using $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in diethyl ether and catalytic amount of water at ambient temperature, ${ }^{8}$ and the amine 25 thus generated on treatment with Boc-anhydride using potassium carbonate as the base in dry dichloromethane furnished carbamate 22 in 59\% yield (in three steps).

The structure of $\mathbf{2 2}$ was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 2}$ displayed strong absorption bands at $3352 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching
frequency, which indicated the presence of carbamate functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 revealed the singlet at $\delta 1.45$ integrating for nine protons which were assigned to tertbutyl group, singlet at $\delta 1.73$ integrated for three protons was ascribed to allylic methyl. A doublet that appeared at $\delta 3.65$ for two protons was attributed to methylene protons adjacent to nitrogen, broad singlet appeared at $\delta 4.64$ for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton, Two singlets at $\delta$ 4.80 and $\delta 4.83$ integrated for two olefinic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 22 displayed the signals at $\delta 20.0$ methyl carbon at $\delta 28.3$ for three equivalent methyl carbons of tert-butyl group, $\delta 46.1$ for aliphatic methylene. The signals at $\delta 78.8$ was assigned to quaternary carbon of tert-butyl moiety, while the signal at $\delta 110.3$ was attributed to terminal olefinic carbon, $\delta 142.5$ was assigned to quaternary carbon of olefin and $\delta 155.75$ which was ascribed to the carbonyl carbon of carbamate. DEPT spectrum of 22 displayed the two methylene carbons which resonated at $\delta 46.1$ and 110.3 which also were in agreement with the assigned structure. The mass spectrum showed the $m / z$ peak at $172(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 194(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and $210(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$which confirmed the structure of 22 . The structure of 22 was further ascertained by its elemental analysis as well.


Scheme 7. Reagents \& conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ (1.5 equiv.), DMSO, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 15$ h. (b) $P P h_{3}$ (1.1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, 14 h . (c) Boc-anhydride (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv.), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, 59\% over three-steps. (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv.), dry DCM, ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 equiv.), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$. (e) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ (2.2 equiv.), acetone-water (3:1), rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$. (f) NaH ( 2.0 equiv.), dry THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$. (g) (i) NaCl (4.0 equiv.), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h. (ii) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.2 equiv.), reflux, 12 h.

The carbamate 22 was treated with ethyl malonyl chloride using potassium carbonate as a base in anhydrous dichloromethane to render the compound 26 in very good yield ( $86 \%$ ). It was confirmed by spectral analysis. The IR spectrum of 26 displayed the disappearance of absorption band corresponding to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency of Boc-carbamate while appearance of the strong absorption band at 1741 and $1701 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of ester and amide functionality which indicate the transformation of 22 to $26 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 26 revealed the disappearance of broad singlet corresponding to amide proton and new signals appeared as triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.29$ and $4.19(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ that integrated for three protons, singlet at $\delta 3.88$ integrating the methylene protons flanked between two carbonyl carbon which clearly indicate the conversion of 22 to $26 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 6}$ showed the additional signals that appeared at $\delta 14.2,49.0,61.0,167.4$ and 168.0 also suggested the introduction of ethyl malonyl moiety. DEPT spectrum of 26 revealed two extra methylene carbons resonating at $\delta 48.9$ and 61.05 which gives support for structural assignment. The $m / z$ peak at $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 303\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 324(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$ along with peak appeared due to fragmentation 192 and 230 in the mass spectrum of 26 provided strong evidence and it was further confirmed by elemental analysis also which was found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.
The compound 26 was cleaved into corresponding keto compound 21 using catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ in acetone-water (3:1) at ambient temperature. The structure of 21 was assigned by spectral techniques. IR spectra of 21 showed the strong absorption band at $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, which indicated the presence of ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 revealed the disappearance of the peak corresponding to two olefinic protons it suggested the absence of olefin functionality. The disappearance of the signals corresponding to olefinic carbons while appearance the new signal at $\delta 200.9$ in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 21 clearly indicated the transformation of olefin to ketone. The mass spectrum of 21 revealed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 305\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 310(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ and $326(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$also the fragmented peak at 232 and finally it was ascertained by its elemental analysis, the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.

The treatment of keto compound 21 with sodium hydride in THF at low temperature rendered the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 27 in $82 \%$ yield. The formation of lactam 27 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of 27 displayed the disappearance the
absorption band due to ketone functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 27 displayed the disappearance of the signal corresponding to active methylene protons, which suggested the cyclization. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum revealed the signals appearance at $\delta 124.4$ and 161.4 which also indicated the formation of lactam 27. The $m / z$ peak at $270(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 287\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)^{+}, 294(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}, 308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{K})^{+}$along with fragmentation peak at 170 and 214 in the mass spectrum also gave the support for structural elucidation of 27. Finally structure of 27 was further confirmed by elemental analysis which showed the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

The decarboxylation of the ester group of compound 27 was attempted under various reaction conditions but unfortunately all the efforts remained fruitless due to formation intractable mixture.

After the failure in decarboxylation in scheme 7, it was decided to devise the alternative strategy outlined in scheme 8.

The target molecule ( $Z$ )-pulchellalactam 1 could be accessed from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 5 by Knoevenagel condensation, the lacatm 5 would be realized from acrylamide 21 by RCM and acrylamide 21 in turn can be readily obtained from commercially available methallyl chloride 23.


## Scheme 8. Retrosynthetic plan 2.

According to retrosynthetic plan 2 (scheme 8) the carbamate 22 was prepared from commercially available methallyl chloride 23 mentioned in scheme 7. When carbamate 22 was subjected to treatment with acryloyl chloride using sodium hydride as the base in a variety of solvents viz THF, DMF did not furnish the desired compound 28. Performing the acylation reaction by employing DMAP in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ also did not work. This failure was probably due to the less nucleophilic nature of nitrogen of resultant carbamate 22, and then it was decided to change the sequence of reagents.


22



28

## Scheme 9.

After the failure in the conversion of 22 to 28 (scheme 7), the amine 25 was first treated with acryloyl chloride to afford acrylamide 29. The structure of 29 was confirmed by spectroscopic data. The IR spectrum of 29 displayed the strong absorption bands at 3286 and $1625 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to stretching frequency of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ functional groups of the $\alpha, \beta-$ unsaturated acrylamide. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 29 showed the singlet at $\delta 1.73$ integrating for three protons which were attributed to allylic methyl protons, doublet that integrated for two methylene protons appeared at $\delta 3.86(J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, singlet at $\delta 4.82$ was due to exomethylene protons, doublet of doublet that resonated at $\delta 5.63(J=9.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for internal olefinic proton of acrylamide, broad singlet appeared at $\delta 6.07$ integrated for $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ proton of acrylamide multiplet that appeared at $\delta$ 6.12-6.34 was assigned to two terminal olefinic protons of acrylamide. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 29 revealed the seven signals matched with its structure. DEPT spectrum of 29 exhibited three methylene carbons that resonated at $\delta 45.0,110.95$ and 126.1. The mass spectrum of 29 showed the $m / z$ peak at $126(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 148(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$which confirmed the structure of 29. Finally the structure of 29 was ascertained by its elemental analysis which was also found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.

When the resultant acrylamide 29 was treated with Boc-anhydride and catalytic amount of DMAP in anhydrous acetonitrile at room temperature to render the desired carbamate 28 in very good yield ( $82 \%$ ). Formation of 28 was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of 28 showed the disappearance of the absorption band corresponding to $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching frequency of acrylamide and the new strong absorption bands appeared at 1734 and 1687 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency of carbamate and acrylamide functional groups respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 28 revealed the disappearance of broad singlet corresponding to $\mathrm{N}-\underline{\mathrm{H}}$ of acrylamide while new singlet appeared at $\delta 1.48$ integrating for nine protons. This observation clearly indicated the conversion of 29 to $28 .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 8}$ revealed two additional two signals at $\delta 28.1$ and 83.2 which were assigned
to three methyl carbons and quaternary carbon respectively. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2 8}$ exhibited the peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 248(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$, and lastly the structure of 28 was confirmed by its elemental analysis also.


Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: (a) acryloyl chloride (1.2 equiv.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv.), dry DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 59 \%$ over three-steps. (b) Boc-anhydride (1.2 equiv.), DMAP (0.1 equiv.), dry $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$. (c) Grubb's catalyst $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), dry toluene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$. (d) NaH (1.5 equiv.), isobutyraldehyde (3.0 equiv.), THF, rt, 10 \% HCl, 85\%.

With the required compound 28 in the hand the attention was focused towards the key step which was to construct the cyclic $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam by ring-closing metathesis. The compound 28 was subjected with Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in anhydrous toluene at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h delivered the desired $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 5 in $85 \%$ yield (based on the recovery of starting material). ${ }^{9}$ The structure of 5 was ascertained by spectroscopic methods. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 revealed the disappearance of signals corresponding to olefinic protons and a new singlet resonated at $\delta 5.80$ integrating for one proton and was ascribed to the $\alpha$-proton in the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of 5 showed the absence of two signals at $\delta 110.1$ and 128.1 corresponding to olefinic methylene carbons which also indicated the formation of lactam 5. The mass spectrum of 5 showed the peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 198(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $220(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and finally the structure of 5 was ascertained by its elemental analysis also, which revealed the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the calculated values. After obtaining the lactam 5 by RCM strategy the last job was the condensation with aldehyde and deprotection and thus the treatment of $\mathbf{5}$ with isobutyraldehyde using NaH as a base in THF at ambient temperature and further treatment of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ resulted in the target molecule (Z)-pulchellalactam 1 in $85 \%$ yield. ${ }^{3}$ The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was elucidated by
analysis of spectral techniques. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed the disappearance the absorption band corresponding to carbamate carbonyl functionality while the presence of a new strong absorption band at $3287 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is characteristic of N-H stretching frequency of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated lactam. This result indicated the deprotection of carbamate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 1 revealed the disappearance of the singlet corresponding to two methylene protons at $\gamma$ position and a new doublet appeared at $\delta 1.11$ integrating for six protons and multiplet at $\delta$ 2.57-275 for one proton and doublet at $\delta 5.14(J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ in olefinic region for one proton that suggested the introduction of isobutyl functionality at $\mathrm{C}-5$ position and the disappearance of the singlet corresponding to nine protons of carbamate while an appearance the broad singlet at $\delta 8.68$ suggested the deprotection of carbamate. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 1 showed the disappearance of the signals corresponding to Boc-carbamate while new signals resonated at $\delta 23.0,27.7,120.2$ and 137.7 indicated the conversion of 5 to $\mathbf{1}$. The DEPT spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ indicated the absence of methylene carbon at $\delta 54.1$. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ displayed the $(M+1)^{+}$peak at $m / z 152$, the structure of $\mathbf{1}$ was further ascertained by its elemental analysis as well. The spectral data was in good agreement with reported data in literature. ${ }^{3}$

Having achieved the total synthesis of (Z)-pulchellalactam 1, a synthetic route with a view to minimize the number of transformations was examined (scheme 11). The shortened route began from commercially available acrylamide $\mathbf{3 0}$ which was alkylated with methallyl chloride 23 using sodium hydride as the base in anhydrous DMF at room temperature to furnish the mixture of desired monoalkylated acrylamide 29 in 59\% yield and dialkylated acrylamide 31 in 20\% yield (Based on the recovery of acrylamide 30). The yield of desired compound 29 was improved up to $91 \%$ using 0.33 equivalent of methallyl chloride 23 under the same reaction conditions overcame this selectivity problem.


Scheme 11. Reagents and conditions: (a) methallyl chloride (1.1 equiv.), NaH (1.2 equiv.), dry DMF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 24$ h.59\% 29, 20\% 31. (b) methallyl chloride (0.33 equiv.), NaH (1.2 equiv.), dry DMF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 30 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$.

### 3.2.5 Conclusion:

A short and efficient total synthesis of (Z)-pulchellalactam 1 has been achieved in foursteps in $54 \%$ overall yield employing ring-closing metathesis as the key step.

### 3.3.6 Experimental

## Tert- butyl 2-methylallylcarbamate (22)


(i) To a well stirred mixture of methallyl chloride $23(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 11$ mmol) and $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 16.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DMSO ( 15 mL ) under argon atmosphere and the resultant reaction mixture was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), the DMSO was removed by water wash and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure afforded corresponding azide 24 as colourless liquid. (ii) To a stirred solution of crude methallyl azide 24 (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after $1 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and reaction mixture was left to stir at room temperature for 14 h . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 x 15 mL ). The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo furnished methallyl amine 25 as a colourless oil. (iii) To a stirred solution of crude amine 25 (assuming 100\% conversion) in DCM ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 33$ mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ stirred for 15 minutes followed by the dropwise addition of Boc-anhydride $(2.89 \mathrm{~g}, 3.04 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ over 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC, after the completion of reaction $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the reaction mixture and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \mathrm{x} 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent yielded compound 22 as colourless oil ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield, in three-steps $)$.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$, MW: 171
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3352,3080,2978,2932,1701,1521,1366,1174 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.65(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2H), 4.64 (bs, 1H), $4.80(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 20.0,28.3,46.1,78.8,110.3,142.5,155.75 \mathrm{ppm}$. MS (ESI) $m / z: 172(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 63.13; H, 10.01: N, $8.10 \%$.
Found: C, 62.81 ; H, 9.62; N, 8.36\%.
Ethyl 3-(tert-betoxycarbonyl (2-methylallyl) amino) -3- oxopropanoate (26)


To a stirred solution of $22(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ $(20.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 15 minutes and ethyl malonyl chloride $(1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.0$ mmol ) was added drop wise over $10-15 \mathrm{~min}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and after the completion of reaction the reaction mixture was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and crude product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether as eluent furnished compound 26 as a colorless oil ( $1.43 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 285
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3452,3080,2980,2939,1741,1701,1369,1240,1151,1070,1033,855$, $777 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.79 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 75 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 14.2,20.4,27.9,45.9,49.0,61.0,83.4,110.2$, 140.7, 153.0, 167.4, 168.0 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, $58.93 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.12 ; 4.90 \%$.
Found: C, 58.76; H, 8.07; N, 4.81\%.

## Ethyl 3-(tert-butoxycarbonyl (2-oxopropyl) amino) -3-oxopropanoate (21)



To the stirred solution of olefin $26(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetonewater ( $20 \mathrm{~mL}, 3: 1$ ), catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ was added and the reaction mixture became dark black then $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at room temperature and reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3-4 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the residue obtained was
dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent yielded the keto compound 21 as thick colorless oil ( $1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield $)$.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$, MW: 287
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right)_{v_{\max }}: 3455,2982,2939,1744,1698,1370,1151,1080,854,775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 3.93 (s, 2H), 4.19 (q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.52 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{7 5 M H z}\right) \delta: 13.95,26.4,27.6,45.2,52.9,60.9,84.0,151.8$, 166.9, 167.9, 200.9 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $288(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 310(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 54.34; H, 7.36; N, 4.87\%.
Found: C, 54.49; H, 7.16; N, 4.84\%.

## 1- tert-butyl 3-ethyl 4-methyl -2-oxo-2H-pyrrole-1, 3 (5H) -dicarboxylate (27)


$60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.16 \mathrm{~g}, 4.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ was washed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added and cooled to 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and compound $21(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the completion of reaction ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), the reaction mixture was quenched with the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:2) as eluent furnished 27 as pale yellow syrup ( 0.76 g , 82\% yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$, MW: 269
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }}: 3405,3019,2983,2936,1781,1720,1328,1159,1062,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.25 (s, 2H), $4.33 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 13.95,15.3,27.8,52.9,60.7,82.8,124.6,149.2$, 161.6, 164.1, 166.4 ppm.

MS (ESI) $m / z: 270(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 57.98; H, 7.11; N, 5.20\%.
Found: C, 58.00; H, 7.56; N, 5.00\%.

## $N$ - (2- methylallyl) acrylamide (29)

## Method A


(i) To a stirred solution of methallyl chloride $23(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 11$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO $(15 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{NaN}_{3}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 16.05 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added under inert atmosphere and the resultant reaction mixture was heated at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the DMSO was removed by water washings and extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo afforded corresponding azide 24 as a colourless liquid. (ii) To a stirred solution of crude methallyl azide 24 (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 12.1$ mmol) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, after $1 \mathrm{~h} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 14 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 3 x 15 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure furnished methallyl amine 25 as a colourless oil. (iii) A mixture of crude amine 25 (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4.6 \mathrm{~g}, 33$ mmol) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was stirred and acryloyl chloride $(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 1.07$ $\mathrm{mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added gradually over 10 minutes at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was allowed to stir till the completion of reaction ( $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), after the completion of reaction cold water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added into the reaction mixture and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) afforded acrylamide 29 as colourless oil ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 59 \%$ yield, in three-steps).

## Method B

$60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.67 \mathrm{~g}, 16.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ was washed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) was added to the above powder followed by the addition of acrylamide ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 10 minutes and then methallyl chloride ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.64 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise over 20 minutes and reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), reaction was quenched by addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent delivered acryl amide 29 as thick colourless oil $(0.53 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield, based on recovery of starting material).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}$, MW: 125
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3286,3080,2974,2918,1916,1721,1625,1550, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}$, 2 H ), 5.63 (dd, $J=9.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.04$ ( bs, 1H), 6.15 (dd, $J=16.9,9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.30$ ppm (dd, $J=16.9,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 20.35,45.0,110.95,126.1,131.0,141.6,165.9$ ppm.

MS (ESI) $m / z: 126(M+H)^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 67.17; H, 8.86; N, 11.19\%.
Found: C, 67.38; H, 8.55; N, 10.84\%.

## Tert-butyl acryloyl (2-methylallyl) carbamate (28)



To a stirred solution of acrylamide $29(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added DMAP $(0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by the addition of Boc-anhydride ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature under argon atmosphere and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 3 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the acetonitrile was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure
and the resultant residue was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetatepetroleum ether (1:6) solvent system furnished carbamate 28 as thick colourless oil ( 0.74 g , 82\% yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 225
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3364,2979,2937,1734,1687,1619,1404 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.48(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.80$ $(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.32(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.04 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $16.8,10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 20.6,28.1,49.5,83.2,110.1,128.1,131.3,141.0$, 153.2, 168.25 ppm .

MS (ESI) $m / z: 226(M+H)^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 63.98; H, 8.50; N, $6.22 \%$.
Found: C, 63.61; H, 8.11; N, 6.53\%.

## $N$-tert-Butoxycarbonyl-4-methyl-3-pyrrolin-2-one (5)



To a stirred homogeneous solution of $28(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene ( 60 mL ) was added Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( $0.13 \mathrm{mg}, 5 \mathrm{~mol}$ \%) and the solution was degassed with argon and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ till to completion of reaction ( $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) as eluent furnished the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactam 5 as thick colourless oil ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield) and 300 mg of starting material was recovered.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$, MW: 197
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3448,2980,1778,1739,1712,1643,1447,1293,1164,843,755, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.52(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.80 \mathrm{ppm}$ (s, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 15.3,27.9,54.1,82.1,122.6,149.1,157.8,169.0$ ppm.

MS (ESI) m/z: $198(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 60.90; H, 7.67; N, 7.10\%.
Found: C, 61.26; H, 8.06; N, 6.75\%.

## (Z)- Pulchellalactam (1)



The $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%, 0.073 \mathrm{~g}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was washed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), the solution of $N$-Boc lactam $6(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5$ mmol) in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) was added at room temperature and stirred for 5 minutes followed by the addition of isobutyraldehyde ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 5 mL ) and stirred at room temperature for further 0.5 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure and the resultant residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10$ $\mathrm{mL})$ and brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) resulted the target molecule ( $Z$ )-pulchellalactam (1) as thick colourless oil ( $0.196 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}$, MW: 151
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3462,3019,2400,1684,1384,1215,843,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{\mathbf{4}}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta 1.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.07(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-2.75$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.68 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{7 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 12.0,23.0,27.7,120.2,121.0,137.7,148.9,172.6$ ppm.

MS (ESI) $m / z: 152(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.49 ; H, 8.67; N, $9.26 \%$.
Found: C, 71.34; H, 8.68; N, 9.01\%.

### 3.2.7 Spectra:


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 300 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $26\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $21\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 75 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 3, Section III

Total synthesis of rubrolide $\mathcal{E}$

### 3.3.1 Introduction:

Rubrolides (1, A-F, I-N) are the biologically active marine tunicate metabolites which have been isolated in1991 by Andersen from tunicate Ritterella rubra ${ }^{1}$ and in 2000 by Salva and his co-workers from tunicate Synoicum blochmanni. ${ }^{2}$ Structurally, rubrolides contain butenolide frameworks with two para- hydroxyphenyl moieties with or without halogen atoms. These rubrolides show potent in-vitro antibiotic activity, cytotoxicity against cancer cell lines and moderate but selective inhibition of protein phosphatases. ${ }^{3}$ Cardiolide A 2a \& cardiolide B $\mathbf{2 b}^{4}$ and other structurally related drugs viz. Rofecoxib $\mathbf{3}$ exhibit antitumor activity ${ }^{5}$ while Benfurodil hemisuccinate 4 shows activity against heart failure. ${ }^{6}$

Rubrolide $A$ (1a) $R=Z=H ; K=L=X=Y=B r$
 Rubrolide B (1b) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Cl}$ Rubrolide C (1c) $R=K=Y=Z=H ; L=X=B r$ Rubrolide D (1d) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br}$ Rubrolide $E$ (1e) $R=L=K=X=Y=Z=H$ Rubrolide F (1f) $R=M e ; L=K=X=Y=Z=H$ Rubrolide I (1i) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{H}$; $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Cl}$ Rubrolide J (1j) $R=K=Z=H ; L=X=y=B r$ Rubrolide K (1k) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{X}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathbf{Z}=\mathrm{Cl}$ Rubrolide L(1) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{L}=\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Cl}$ Rubrolide M (1m) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathbf{X}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathbf{Z}=\mathrm{Cl}$ Rubrolide N (1n) $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{H} ; \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{Br}$


Cadiolide A (2a) X = H Cadiolide $B$ (2b) $X=B r$


4 Benfurodil hemisuccinate

## Figure 1.

The molecules Heritol 5a, Heritonin 5b, Heritianin 6a, Vallapin 6b, Vallapianin 7 and ( $\pm$ )Laevigatin $\mathbf{8}^{5-9}$ described in fig. 2 also show some structural similarity of possessing 3 aryl butenolide as the common framework and exihibit itchthiotoxicity.


5a Heritol, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
5b Heritonin, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$


6a Heritianin, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
6b Vallapin, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$


7 Vallapianin, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$


8 (+) Laevigatin

Figure 2.

### 3.3.2 Literature survey:

The literature investigation shows that the numerous short and elegant syntheses of rubrolide E have been devised by chemists utilizing novel chemistry. These are described below.

Negeshi's approach ${ }^{10}$ (Synthesis, 1997, 121)
Negeshi and co-workers devised elegant synthesis of $\mathbf{1 e}$ from $p$-iodo aromatic compound $\mathbf{9}$ which was treated with ethynylzinc bromide in presence of $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ to afford compound 10 in $73 \%$ yield. The acetylene 10 was reacted with BuLi and dry ice at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnishing corresponding acid 11 in $94 \%$ yield. Compound 11 was treated with sodium iodide in acetic acid to give iodo compound 12 in $77 \%$ yield. The cross coupling-lactonization reaction of 10 with 12 was accomplished using $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{CuI}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ as a base in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature to give 13 in $50 \%$ yield. Lastly the demethylation of aromatic methoxy groups was accomplished using boron tribromide to furnish rubrolide E 1 in $98 \%$ yield. Thus synthesis was achieved in five-steps in $26 \%$ overall yield employing Pd mediated cross coupling-lactonization sequence as key reaction.


Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) Zinc, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, 73 \%$ (b) BuLi, $\mathrm{CO}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 94\%. (c) NaI, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 77 \%$. (d) 10, $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 24$ h, rt, 50\%. (e) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$.

Boukouvalas's approach ${ }^{11}$ (Tetrahedron Letters. 1998, 39, 7665)
Boukouvalas et al. achieved a short and efficient synthesis of rubrolide E employing Suzuki coupling as the key step. The Vilsmeier bromination was carried out on commercially available $\beta$-tetronic acid 14 to furnish 4-bromo-2( $5 H$ )-furanone 15 in $86 \%$ yield. The bromo butenolide 15 underwent Suzuki coupling reaction with pmethoxyphenylboronic acid using $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ in aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to afford aryl furanone 16 in $79 \%$ yield. Treatment of 16 with anisaldehyde in presence of TBDMSOTf and diisopropylethylamine, followed by DBU mediated dehydration furnished corresponding Z-arylmethylenebutenolide 13 in $84 \%$ yield. Demethylation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ using boron tribromide in dry DCM at low temperature gave rubrolide E 1 in $98 \%$ yield. Thus the total synthesis was achieved in four-steps in $56 \%$ overall yield.


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) Vilsmeir bromination, $86 \%$. (b) $\mathrm{ArB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\operatorname{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6} / \mathrm{EtOH}, 80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$.(c) TBDMSOTf (1.2 equiv), $i-$ $\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, r t, 1-2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{DBU}$ (2.0 equiv), $r t, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ (d) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 24$ h, $98 \%$.

Prim's approach ${ }^{12}$ (JCS Perkin Trans-2, 1999, 1175)
Prim et al. started their synthesis from p-methoxyacetophenone 17 employing VilsmeierHaack reaction and Negeshi coupling as the key steps. The treatment of 17 with DMF $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ furnished $\beta$-aryl- $\beta$-haloacrolein 18 in $90 \%$ yield. The oxidation of carbaldehyde 14 using $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}$ in acetonitrile at room temperature gave corresponding cinnamic acid 19 in $82 \%$ yield. The acid 19 underwent smooth Negeshi coupling with aryl acetylene furnishing coupled product 13 in $74 \%$ yield and finally demethylation using $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$ furnished rubrolide E 1 in $98 \%$ yield. Thus rubrolide 1 was synthesized in four-steps in 54\% overall yield.


Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{POCl}_{3}, 90 \%$ (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$, $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 82 \%$. (c) $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{CuI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{R}_{3} \mathrm{PhCCH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 74 \%$ (d) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$.

Argade's approach ${ }^{13}$ (Synthesis, 2005, 2284)
Argade et al. synthesized rubrolide E from commercially available $N$-phenylmaleimide 20 which was coupled with $p$-anisyldiazonium chloride employing Meerwein coupling using $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ in aq. acetone at pH 3 at 0 to $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish arylmaleimide 21 in $65 \%$ yield.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) p-anisyldiazonium chloride, $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{pH} 3$, aq. Acetone, $0-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$ (b) (i) $20 \%$ aq. $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, 4 h (ii) $\mathrm{H}^{+} / \mathrm{HCl}$ (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$ for $b$ \& $c$ (d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, 62\% (e) piperidine, panisaldehyde, $\mathrm{MeOH}, r t, 15 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$. (f) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.

The basic hydrolysis of 21 followed by treatment with acetic anhydride induced dehydrative-closure furnished $p$-anisylmaleic anhydride 22 in quantitative yield. The regioselective reduction of 22 using sodium borohydride in THF to yielded the corresponding butyrolactone 16 in $62 \%$ yield. Butyrolactone 16 was condensed with $p$ anisaldehyde employing Knoevenagel condensation using piperidine as a base in methanol at room temperature to afford required $(Z)$ butenolide 13 in $78 \%$ yield. The demthylation of 13 using $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ at lower temperature furnished target molecule rubrolide $\mathrm{E} \mathbf{1}$ in $95 \%$ yield. Thus the total synthesis was completed in six-steps in $30 \%$ overall yield employing Meerwein coupling as a key reaction.

### 3.3.3 Present work:

As a part of ongoing programme on the synthesis of biologically active natural products coupled with the limited availability of rubrolide E from natural sources and the impressive bioactivity exhibited by it against different diseases it was decided to undertake the synthesis of rubrolide E 1. Practical routes of different compounds employing various strategies have been developed earlier from this group. This section describes different protocols like RCM, intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation and Reformatsky reaction for the synthesis of rubrolide E .


## Scheme 5. Retrosynthetic plan 1.

According to retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 5), the key precursor butenolide 16 could be elaborated to the target molecule $\mathbf{1}$ by intermolecular Knoevenagel condensation followed by demethylation. The butenolide 16 can be realized by ring-closing metathesis of
appropriate compound 23. Compound 23 could be obtained from compound 24 by treatment with acryloyl chloride and compound 24 can be readily accessed from readily available 4-methoxyphenylacetonitrile 25 by alcoholysis followed by exomethylene introduction.

### 3.3.4 Results and discussion:

Accordingly the ester 26 was prepared in $96 \%$ yield by alcoholysis of nitriles from commercially available 4-methoxyphenylacetonitrile 25 by literature method. ${ }^{14}$ Treatment of the ester 26 with paraformaldehyde using potassium carbonate as the base and $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ as a phase transfer catalyst gave $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 24 in $89 \%$ yield. ${ }^{15}$ The formation of 24 was ascertained by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ displayed a triplet at $\delta 1.33$ integrating for three protons and was ascribed to $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, singlet at $\delta 3.81$ integrating for three protons was assigned to $\left(\mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}\right)$, quartet at $\delta 4.28$ integrated for two protons was attributed to $\left(\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$, two singlets at $\delta 5.82$ and $\delta 6.25$ integrating for one proton each which were assigned to olefinic protons and aromatic protons resonated as two doublets at $\delta 6.88$ and $\delta 7.37(J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 24 showed the presence of ten signals. DEPT spectrum of 24 displayed two methylene carbons at $\delta 61.0$ and 124.8 and finally the structure of 24 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 24 showed the $m / z$ peak at $207(M+H)^{+}$. In an elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with its theoretical values.

The ester 24 was reduced to alcohol using DIBAL-H at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish the corresponding allyl alcohol 27 in $97 \%$ yield, the formation of alcohol 27 was confirmed by spectral studies. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 7}$ displayed the absence of absorption band corresponding to ester functionality while the presence of a broad absorption band at $3443 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicating the transformation of ester to alcohol. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 27 showed absence of signals corresponding to ester functionality while presence of singlet that appeared at $\delta$ 4.52 for two protons was attributed to the methylene protons of the allyl alcohol. The $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at $216(\mathrm{M})^{+}$was observed in mass spectrum of 27 which confirmed the structure of alcohol 27. Finally the structure of 27 was ascertained by its elemental analysis also, which was found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

The treatment of alcohol 27 with acryloyl chloride using triethyl amine as the base in anhydrous dichloromethane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish acrylate 23 in excellent yield (92\%). The
structure of 23 was elucidated by spectral data. IR spectrum of 23 showed the disappearance of broad absorption band corresponding to OH group while appearance a strong absorption band at $1721 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ suggested the transformation of alcohol to acrylate. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 23 revealed the doublet of doublet at $\delta 5.83(J=10.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for one proton, doublet of doublet at $\delta 6.14(J=18.0,10.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for one proton, doublet of doublet at $\delta 6.43(J=10.0,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for one proton indicated the presence of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated olefin present in compound 23. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 23 revealed eleven signals and the presence of three methylene carbons in compound 23. The mass spectrum of 23 displayed the molecular ion peak at $m / z 218(\mathrm{M})^{+}$ which confirmed the structure of 23. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{2 3}$ was further confirmed by its elemental analysis as well.



Scheme 6. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ (2.0 eq.), EtOH, reflux, 3h, 96\%. (b) $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{n}$ (1.5 eq.), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.5 eq.), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ (0.1 eq.), toluene, $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 89 \%$. (c) DIBAL-H (2.1 eq.), dry DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 h, 97 \%$. (d) $E t_{3} N$ (1.5 eq.), acryloyl chloride (1.2 eq.), dry DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$. (e) Grubbs' catalyst ( $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation, $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), titanium isopropoxide (1.2 eq.), dry DCM, reflux, 12h, 83\%. (f) Piperidine (0.7 eq.), anisaldehyde (1.0 eq.), $\mathrm{MeOH}, r t, 15 h, 81 \%$. (g) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (3.0 eq.), dry DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $30 \mathrm{~min}, ~ r t, ~ 24 h, ~ 94 \%$.

After obtaining the compound 23 it was subjected to ring-closing metathesis (RCM) reaction using Grubbs' second generation catalyst ( $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ). The catalyst was used under a variety of reaction conditions including various solvents, concentrations, mode of additions, all without success but after the addition of additives like titanium isopropoxide as a Lewis acid and performing RCM in refluxing dichloromethane for 12 h , butenolide $\mathbf{1 6}$ was obtained in $83 \%$ yield along with hydrolyzed compound 27 in $15 \%$ due to cleavage of allylic ester. ${ }^{16}$
The butenolide 16 is the common intermediate in literature for the synthesis. ${ }^{11}$ The spectral data of $\mathbf{1 6}$ was in complete agreement with the literature data. After successfully obtaining the aryl butenolide 16, it was condensed with $p$-anisaldehyde to furnish exclusively $(Z)$ butenolide 13 in $81 \%$ yield. The formation of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was confirmed by spectroscopic methods and also matched with reported data. ${ }^{11}$ Finally the demethylation of both an aromatic methoxy groups gave target molecule rubrolide E 1 in $94 \%$ yields. The structure elucidation of $\mathbf{1}$ was confirmed by spectral analysis and the data exactly matched with reported literature data. ${ }^{11}$

Having a synthesis of rubrolide E $\mathbf{1}$ in hand by RCM approach, an alternative practical route which is outlined in scheme 7 was envisioned.

The key intermediate butenolide 16 could be accessed from ester 28 employing intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation followed by decarboxylation as a key step and the ester 28 can be obtained form alcohol 29 by esterification and the alcohol 29 could be readily obtained form commercially available 4-methoxyacetophenone 17 by Rubottom oxidation.


## Scheme 7. Retrosynthetic plan 2.

According to retrosynthetic analysis (scheme 7) the synthesis commenced from cheap and commercially available 4-methoxyacetophenone 17. Treatment of 17 with TMSCl using trimethyl amine as the base in dry acetonitrile at reflux temperature afforded the silyl enol
ether 30, which was further converted to epoxide 31 utilizing m-CPBA and sodium bicarbonate in dichloromethane and the generated epoxide 31 was transformed in to desired alcohol 29 in $87 \%$ yield (in three steps) by treatment of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl} .^{17}$

The formation of alcohol 29 was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of 29 displayed broad absorption bands at $3467 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ characteristic of hydroxyl group and $1677 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ due to ketone attached to electron donating aromatic moiety. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 29 presented triplet at $\delta 3.58(J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for one proton and which was assigned to $(-\mathrm{OH})$, singlet at $\delta 3.88$ integrated for three protons was attributed to $\left(\mathrm{Ar}_{-} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, doublet that appeared at $\delta 4.81(J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrated for two protons was ascribed to methylene protons, while four aromatic protons appeared as two doublets at $\delta 6.96$ and $\delta 7.89(J=9.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 29 displayed seven signals clearly suggested the assigned structure. DEPT spectrum of 29 showed only one methylene carbon attached to the hydroxy functionality at $\delta 65.0$ and finally the structure of 29 was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 29 displayed molecular ion peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 166(\mathrm{M})^{+}$corresponding to its molecular weight. The elemental analysis of 29 showed the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.


Scheme 8. Reagents and conditions: (a) $E t_{3} N$ (1.5 eq.), TMSCl (1.5 eq.), dry acetonitrile, reflux, 6h. (b) MCPBA (1.5 eq.), $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ (2.0 eq.), DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 4-5 \mathrm{~h}$. (c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 eq.), rt, overnight, $87 \%$ over three steps (d) $E t_{3} N$ (1.2 eq.), ethyl malonyl chloride (1.2 eq.), dry DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}$, 3-4h, 93\%. (e) NaH (1.2 eq.), dry THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$. (f) NaCl (4.0 eq.), wet DMSO, $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 h, 91 \%$.

Alcohol 29 was subjected to the treatment with ethyl malonyl chloride using triethyl amine as a base in dry dichloromethane, gave ester 28 in excellent yield (93\%). The structure elucidation of ester 28 was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 8}$ displayed the absence of absorption band corresponding to hydroxy group while presence of strong absorption bands at 1759 and $1737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ clearly indicated the incorporation of ester moieties. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 28 exhibited disappearance of triplet corresponding to hydroxyl proton while a triplet appeared at $\delta 1.29$ integrating for three protons of the ester group, singlet at $\delta 3.56$ integrated for two protons due to active methylene and a quartet at $\delta 4.23$ integrated for two protons was ascribed to the methylene protons of ester moiety attached to oxygen atom ( $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), it clearly suggested the insertions of ethyl malonyl group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 28 showed five signals at $\delta 14.2,41.35,61.8,166.3$ and 166.4 also supported the assigned structure including DEPT spectrum of 28 which revealed the presence of three methylene carbons at $\delta 41.3,61.8$ and 66.6 . The presence of molecular ion peak at $m / z 280(\mathrm{M})^{+}$in the mass spectrum of 28 and finally the structure of 28 was ascertained by its elemental analysis also.

The intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation was achieved employing sodium hydride as a base in anhydrous tetrahydrofuran at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h yielded five-membered $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactone 32 in excellent yield (97\%). ${ }^{18}$ The compound 32 was ascertained by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 2}$ displayed the disappearance of the singlet corresponding to two methylene protons flanked between two ester groups. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 32 showed the disappearance of the signals corresponding to methylene carbon flanked between two ester groups and ketonic carbonyl carbon while new signals appeared at $\delta$ 117.0 and 170.1. The DEPT spectrum of 32 possesses two methylene carbons that resonated at $\delta 61.9$ and 70.3 supported the structure elucidation. Finally the structure of 32 was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{3 2}$ showed $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $263(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. In an elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with the theoretical values.

The compound 32 was decarboxylated under Krapcho s condition using sodium chloride in a mixture of dimethyl sulfoxide and water (3:1) as a solvent at $120-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h resulted in the formation of butenolide 16 in excellent yield ( $91 \%$ ). ${ }^{19}$ This is the same common intermediate in scheme 6 for the synthesis of rubrolide.

Having two syntheses of Rubrolide E 1 in hand by two different approaches, another simple and mild strategy employing Reformatsky reaction described in scheme 11 was envisaged. Here it was surmised that the butenolide 16 could by obtained from compound 33 employing dehydroxylation followed by dehydration and lactonization, and compound 33 in turn could be synthesized from readily available 4-methoxyacetophenone 17 employing Reformatsky reaction followed by dehydration.


## Scheme 9. Retrosynthetic plan 3.

According to retrosynthetic plan 3 (scheme 9), the 4-methoxyacetophenone 17 was subjected to Reformatsky reaction using ethyl bromoacetate and zinc in $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, 1: 1\right)$ at reflux temperature for $6 \mathrm{~h}^{20}$ to give the alcohol and during reaction the generated alcohol was converted into the thermodynamically stable $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 34 exclusively, instead of the anticipated desired $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester 33.


Scheme 10. Reagents and conditions: (a) Activated Zinc (3.0 equiv), ethyl bromoacetate (1.5 equiv), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), reflux, 6 h .

The formation of 34 was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of 34 showed the disappearance of absorption band due to conjugated ketone functionality while strong absorption band was observed at $1722 \mathrm{~cm} .{ }^{-1}$ This clearly indicated the formation of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ester instead of $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 34 revealed the
appearance of signal pattern as follows: triplet and quartet at $\delta 1.31$ and $4.20(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ corresponding to ethyl ester functionality, singlet at $\delta 2.56$ integrated for two protons which were assigned to allylic methylene group. Singlet at $\delta 6.1$ integrating for one proton that was assigned to the $\alpha$-proton of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester. Finally the structure of 34 was confirmed by mass spectrum it revealed the $m / z$ peaks at $221(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 243(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

After the failure in selective installment of terminal olefin in scheme 10 , it was proposed to access the butenolide 16 from alcohol 29 employing the same reaction strategy (Reformatsky reaction) as outlined in scheme 11.


Scheme 11. Retrosynthetic plan 4.
Accordingly the alcohol 29 was subjected to the treatment with 1.5 equivalent of ethyl bromoacetate and 3.0 equivalent activated zinc powder in diethyl ether-benzene (1:1) at reflux temperature for 6 h to form the mixture of diol 35 and dehydrated compound 36 (TLC), and the resultant crude mixture was further treated with catalytic amount of PTSA in refluxing benzene for 3 h furnished the desired butenolide 16 in very good yield ( $87 \%$ ).


Scheme 12. Reagents and conditions: (a) Zn (3.0 eq.), $\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ (1.5 eq.), $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1) reflux 6h. (b) PTSA (cat.), $C_{6} H_{6}$, reflux, 3h, $87 \%$.

After the successful Reformatsky reaction on alcohol 29, it was decided to perform this reaction in one-pot. Accordingly the Reformatsky reaction was carried out under similar
reaction conditions as shown in scheme 13, and after the disappearance of starting material (TLC), a catalytic amount of PTSA was added and the reaction mixture was refluxed for additional 3-4 h. It was indeed gratifying to note that the anticipated butenolide 16 was obtained in $78 \%$ yield.


## Scheme 13.

### 3.3.5 Conclusion:

The total synthesis of Rubrolide E has been achieved by three different protocols viz. (i) ring-closing metathesis in seven-steps in $50 \%$ overall yield, (ii) intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation in eight-steps in $56 \%$ overall yield and (iii) Reformatsky reaction in six-steps in $52 \%$ overall yield. The Reformatsky reaction, dehydration and lactonization steps were carried out in one-pot in third protocol and a practical formal synthesis of Rubrolide C has been achieved.

### 3.3.6 Experimental:

## Ethyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acrylate (24)



A mixture of ethyl 2- (4-methoxyphenyl) acetate 26 ( $5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 25$ $\mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(5.37 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol})$, TBAHSO $4(0.875 \mathrm{~g}, 2.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}(1.125 \mathrm{~g}, 37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous toluene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) using $2 \%$ ethyl acetate-petroleum ether as eluent gave compound 24 as a colourless oil ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, MW: 206
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3424,1716,1610,1513,1251,1216,1177,836 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}(\mathbf{C D C l} 3,200 \mathbf{M H z}) \delta: 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37 \mathrm{ppm}$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 14.2,55.2,61.0,113.5,124.8,129.2,129.4,140.9,159.6$, 167.0 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $207(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 69.88; H, 6.84\%.
Found: C, 69.74; H, 7.02\%.

## 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) prop-2-en-1-ol (27)



To the stirred solution of ethyl 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acrylate $24(3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), 2 \mathrm{M}$ DIBAL-H ( $4.34 \mathrm{~g}, 15.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 30.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 1 h and gradually warmed up to room temperature and further stirred for 2 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and then reaction was quenched with addition of methanol. The organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 15 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The
resulted residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ with ethyl acetatepetroleum ether (2:3) as eluent, afforded compound 27 as a white solid ( $2.31 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. MW: 215
M.P.: $80-83{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3443,1609,1513,1249,1216,1034 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
MS (ESI) m/z: 216 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 73.14; H, 7.36\%.
Found: C, 72.91; H, 7.07\%.

## 2- (4-methoxyphenyl) allyl acrylate (23)



To a stirred solution of alcohol $27(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.85 \mathrm{~g}, 2.54 \mathrm{~mL}, 18.28$ mmol ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 15 minutes and then acryloyl chloride $(1.32 \mathrm{~g}, 1.18$ $\mathrm{mL}, 14.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 10 minutes and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for further 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the completion of reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography with mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:4) as eluent gave ester 23 as a viscous colourless liquid ( $2.44 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ yield).
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. MW: 218
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1721,1608,1514,1215,758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0 ~ M H z}\right) \delta: 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.2,1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{dd}, J=17.2,10.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43$ (dd, $J=17.2,1.65 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R ~ ( C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 55.3,65.9,113.7,113.9,127.2,128.3,130.5,131.1$, 141.75, 159.6, 165.9 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: 218 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 71.54 ; H, 6.47\%. Found: C, 71.48; H, 6.42\%.

## 4- (4-methoxyphenyl) furan - 2- (5H) - one (16)


(a) To a solution of allyl acrylate $23(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.91 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, titanium isopropoxide $(0.312 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.323 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and Grubbs' $2^{\text {nd }}$ generation catalyst ( 0.077 $\mathrm{g}, 0.091 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was degassed under argon atmosphere and refluxed for 12 h . After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-pet ether (30:70) as eluent yielded the butenolide 16 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield).
(b) To a solution of ester $32(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1)(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NaCl}(0.442 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resultant reaction mixture was heated at $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 h . After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate-pet ether (30:70) as eluent afforded the butenolide 16 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.329 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ yield).
(c) A mixture of compound $29(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, Zinc power ( $0.587 \mathrm{~g}, 9.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), ethylbromoacetate ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (cat.) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was refluxed for 3-4 hand the progress of reaction was monitored by (TLC). After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), catalytic amount of p-TSA was added and it was further refluxed for additional 3 h , and monitored by TLC. The reaction mixture was quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was washed with saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography eluting with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:7) furnished butenolide 16 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.446 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) yield.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$, MW: 190
M.P.: $138{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1745,1620,1609,1514,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right) \delta: 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.23(\mathrm{t}, J=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C ~ N M R ~ ( ~}_{\mathbf{N D C l}}^{3} \mathbf{, 5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 55.6,71.0,110.65,114.8,122.35,128.3,162.5,163.7$, 174.4 ppm.

MS (ESI) $m / z: 191(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 213(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 69.46; H, 5.30\%.
Found: 69.38; H, 5.16\%.
(Z)- 5- (4-methoxybenzylidene) -4- (4-methoxyphenyl) furan-2 (5H) - one (13).


To a stirred solution of lactone $16(1.90 \mathrm{~g}, 10$ mmol ) in MeOH were added piperidine ( 0.595 g , $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and para-anisaldehyde ( 1.36 g , $1.21 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature and the mixture was stirred for 15 h . Removal of solvent in vacuo followed by flash column chromatographic purification of the residue using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent furnished Zbutenolide 13 as a yellow solid ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, MW: 307
M.P.: $136-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1754,1604,1511,1256,1176,1032 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right)$ \&: $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.91$
(d, $J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.77 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=8.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{5 M H z}\right) \delta: 55.4,55.5,112.3,113.7,114.4,114.6,123.0,126.0,130.1$, 132.6, 146.7, 158.4, 160.5, 161.45, 169.4 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 74.01 ; H, 5.23\%.
Found: C, 73.87; H, 5.12\%.

## Rubrolide E (1):



To a stirred solution of $13(1.54 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added a 1 M solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL}, 15 \mathrm{mmol})$ over a period of 15 minutes. The mixture was then allowed to warm up to room temperature and stirred for further 24 h. After the completion of reaction (TLC), reaction was
quenched with addition of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$, organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography using ethyl acetatepetroleum ether (2:3) as eluent furnished Rubrolide E 1 as a yellow solid ( $1.33 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{4}$. MW: 280
M.P.: $278-281^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Lit. 282-283 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3276,2854,1683,1597,1461,1376,1279,1170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{D M S O}_{\mathbf{-}} \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 6.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 10.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.48$ ppm (bs, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{D M S O}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 111.2,114.2,116.6,121.35,124.8,130.9$, 133.2, 145.9, 158.7, 159.15, 160.1, 169.6 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: 280 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 72.85 ; H, 4.32\%.
Found: 72.73; 4.25\%.

## 2-hydroxy-1- (4-methoxyphenyl) ethanone (29)


(a) To a well stirred mixture of 4-methoxyacetophenone 17 $(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(1.34 \mathrm{~g}, 1.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was dropwise added $\mathrm{TMSCl}(1.07$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at room temperature and refluxed for 12 h. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC, the reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated sodium bicarbonate solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to furnish enol ether 30.
(b) To a stirred solution of crude enol ether $30(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}), 5 \%$ $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1.11 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ and MCPBA ( $1.38 \mathrm{~g}, 7.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h . After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$.

The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo afforded epoxide 31.
(c) To a stirred solution of crude epoxide $31(1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \%$ HCl solution ( $0.36 \mathrm{~g}, 3.6 \mathrm{ml}, 9.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC, the organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent furnished alcohol compound 29 ( $0.96 \mathrm{~g}, 87 \%$ yield) as a white solid.
MF: $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. MW: 165
M.P.: $103-106^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $\nu_{\text {max }}: 3467,1677,1602,1264,1215,759,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 3.58(\mathrm{t}, J=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.89 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}_{\mathbf{N M}}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 55.6,65.0,114.2,126.4,130.0,164.4,196.8 \mathrm{ppm}$.
MS (ESI) $m / z: 166(M+H)^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 65.05; H, 06.06\%.
Found: C, 65.19; H, 05.90\%.

## Ethyl 2- (4-methoxyphenyl) -2-Oxoethyl malonate (28)



To a stirred solution of compound $29(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.608 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ the solution was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and ethyl malonyl chloride $(0.54 \mathrm{~g}, 0.45 \mathrm{~mL}$, 3.6 mmol ) was added drop wise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 1 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetatepetroleum ether (1:3) as eluent provided ester 28 as a thick yellow liquid ( $0.815 \mathrm{~g}, 97 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{6}$. MW: 280
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) v_{\text {max }}: 3022,1758,1736,1695,1602,1264,1242,756,667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0 M H z}\right) \delta: 1.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.56(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}$,
$J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.88 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (CDCl3, 50 MHz$) \delta: 14.2,41.35,55.7,61.8,66.6,114.2,127.2,130.2,164.3$, 166.3, , 189.9 ppm.

MS (ESI) m/z: 280 (M) ${ }^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 59.99; H, 5.75\%.
Found: C, 60.11; H, 5.67\%.
Ethyl 4- (4-methoxyphenyl) -2-oxo- 2, 5 dihydrofuran -3- carboxylate (32)


To a $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.102 \mathrm{~g}, 2.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ), and cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then compound $28(0.6 \mathrm{~g}$, $2.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resultant reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was quenched by addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution, organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (1:2) as eluent yielded compound 32 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.533 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield).

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{5}$. MW: 262.
M.P.: $133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 3020,1763,1722,1606,1516,1216,1038,758,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 1.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 5.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.54 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right) \delta: 14.1,55.6,61.9,70.3,114.6,117.0,121.5,130.0,162.9$,
163.0, 163.5, 170.1 ppm .

MS (ESI) m/z: $263(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis Calculated: C, 64.11 ; H, 5.38\%.
Found: C, 64.19; H, 5.11\%.

## (E)-Ethyl 3-(4-methoxyphenyl) but-2-enoate (34)



To a well stirred mixture of $17(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 6.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Zn}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}$, 20.0 mmol ), $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (cat) in anhydrous diethyl ether-benzene (20 mL ) was dropwise added ethyl bromoacetate $(1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0$ mmol ) and the resultant reaction mixture was heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6-8 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with the ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether $(1: 9)$ as eluent furnished the exclusively $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 34 in $1.21 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ yield.

MF: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. MW: 262
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) $v_{\text {max }}: 1722,1606,1516,1216,1038,758,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right) \delta: 1.31(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.56(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20$
$(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{d}, J=9.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. MS (ESI) m/z: $263(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

### 3.3.7 Spectra:


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


[^3]
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $16\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $13\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathbf{d}}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left._{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $28\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $32\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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