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## CHAPTER-1

## Review of the Various Properties of Cadmium Oxide

### 1.1 Structure of Cadmium Oxide

Structural properties of cadmium oxide have been reported by several investigators ${ }^{1-7}$. According to these studies, Cdo exhibits NaCl-type of cubic ( $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m} 3 \mathrm{~m}}$ ) crystal structure ${ }^{1,2}$ (Figure 1.1). The lattice parameter value at room temperature ${ }^{3,4}$ was determined to be $a=4.6951$ $\pm 0.0002$ A. The lattice parameter values at elevated temperatures were determined by several researchers ${ }^{3-5}$ and are sumarized in Table l.l. The cubic crystal structure of cdo was observed to be stable upto 1300R at atmospheric pressure ${ }^{3,4}$.

### 1.2 Thermal Properties of Cadmium Oxide

Thermal properties of cao have been investigated using different experimental techniques ${ }^{8-13}$. The experimental studies have also been carried out in various temperature range. These studies 8,9 revealed that cdo is stable upto 773 K and starts vaporizing above 773K. The vaporization was attributed to the partial dissociation of cdo. The thermodynamic constants like standard enthalpy of formation, entropy, heat of formation and heat of sublimation were also reported in the literature ${ }^{10-13}$. The thermodynamic properties of cao were reported to be independent of nonstoichiometry of cao.


FIG.1.1. SODIUM CHLORIDE TYPE CUBIC CRYSTAL STRUCTURE OF CADMIUM OXIDE.SOLID SPHERES: $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ AND HOLLOW SPHERES: $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$

## Table 1.1

Lattice parameter values of cubic cadmium oxide

| Sr. No. | Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | ```Lattice parameter,a \AA``` | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Room temp. | 4.692 | 6 |
| 2 | Room temp. | 4.6946 | 7 |
| 3 | Room temp. | 4.6951 | 3,4 |
| 4 | 32 | 4.6957 | 5 |
| 5 | 103 | 4.7002 | " |
| 6 | 167 | 4.7042 | " |
| 7 | 256 | 4.7100 | " |
| 8 | 352 | 4.7164 | " |
| 9 | 445 | 4.7226 | ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 10 | 541 | 4.7293 | " |
| 11 | 638 | 4.7361 | " |
| 12 | 732 | 4.7429 | " |

1.3

Electrical Properties of Powder Compacts of Cadmium Oxide

Several studies of the electrical properties of Cdo, in their powder compact forms, have been reported in the literature ${ }^{14-21}$. The various properties like electrical conductivity, Hall constant and thermoelectric power were studied. The important results of these studies are summarized below:
i) The resistivity of unheated powder compacts of cdo was $10^{8}$ ohm-cm ${ }^{14}$. After prolong heating above 550K, the resistivity dropped to about $10^{-1}$ ohm-cm.
ii) The variation of the resistivity in the temperature range from room temperature to 823 K , was observed to be linear ${ }^{15}$. The linear variation yielded the activation energy, $\Delta E_{g}=0.4 \mathrm{eV}$, as defined by the equation $\sigma=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta E}{k T}\right)$.
iii) The resistivity measurements in the temperature range 1 to 300 R , showed the absence of superconductivity, even though the temperature variation of Cdo resembled that of metals ${ }^{16}$.
iv) A series of resistivity measurements over the temperature range $300-800-300 \mathrm{~K}$ were carried out. In the first cycle, linear increase of resistance with temperature, due to the degenerated nature of the cdo,
was observed. While the last cycle showed normal behaviour of non-degenerated semiconductor, that is an exponential decrease of resistance with the variation of the temperature. The effects of the intermediate cycles were attributed to the reaction of oxygen with the electron donors like cd interstitial ${ }^{19}$.
v) Cdo was considered as impure metal with all impurities ionized in the lattice ${ }^{17}$.
vi) Hall constant was found to have a constant value 18 of about $4.5 \times 10^{9}$ in the temperature range 273 to 773 K . vii) Hall constant was also approximately independent of resistance ${ }^{14}$. The change of resistance by a factor of $10^{4}$ does not affect the value of Hall constant. viii) At temperature above 900k, the temperature dependence of electron concentration was in agreement with the mass action theory of point defects ${ }^{21}$. The activation energy of the point defect was found to be 0.75 eV .
ix) The comparison of experimental data with the theory of polar crystal ${ }^{20}$ gave the effective mass as 0.07 m .

To explain the experimental results, the theory of degenerate semiconductor was developed ${ }^{18}$. The materials whose conductivity was due to nonstoichiometry (point defects in the crystal lattice) or impurity
(present in crystal lattice) were found to show the semiconducting behaviour. These materials were classified into two groups. (a) Classical semiconductors: The semiconductors which had so few electrons that the classical distribution of the energy amongst the electrons were used with sufficient accuracy. (b) Degenerate semiconductors: The semiconducting materials which had so many free electrons that the Fermi-Dirac statistics were applied to the electrons. The Fermi-Dirac statistical distribution was used to derive expressions for number of electrons, conductivity, thermoelectric power and Hall constant ${ }^{18}$. The derived equations were then used to explain the observed electrical properties $16-18,20$.
1.4 Electrical Properties of Single Crystals of Cadmium Oxide

Apart from these studies on powder compacts of cao, the electrical properties of single crystals ( $\approx 1 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ) of cdo were also reported by Koffyberg ${ }^{22-24}$. The measurements of Hall coefficient and electrical conductivity of these crystals were carried out. The results were explained on the basis of model in which the conduction band was deviated from the simple parabolic shape by the addition of donor energy levels.

The model also incorporated qualitatively the main features of the theory of heavily doped semiconductors. The measured donor concentration was in agreement with the defect concentration determined earlier by chemical ${ }^{25}$, electrical ${ }^{26}$ and diffusion ${ }^{27}$ measurements. The donors were stated to be doubly-ionized oxygen vacancy or a cadmium interstitial ${ }^{23}$.

### 1.5 Magnetic Properties of Cadmium Oxide

Abd. El-Hody and coworkers ${ }^{2}$ have studied the magnetic susceptibilities of different cao samples. The susceptibility was found to increase with the temperature of preparation. The mean magnetic susceptibilities were $-0.287 \times 10^{-6}$ to $0.318 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{gm}$.

Mookherjee ${ }^{28}$ have reported the origin of diamagnetism in Cdo. He observed the experimental susceptibility of - $48.74 \times 10^{-6}$ emu/gm. The susceptibility was then calculated assuming that both inner and outer electrons obey the Langvein equation. The two values of the susceptibility were not in agreement. The method of covalent bonding with partial ionic character was used. With this the susceptibility was calculated to be $49.23 \times 10^{-6}$ emu/gm. This value was in good agreement with the experimental value, which confirmed the


#### Abstract

applicability of the covalent bond model with partial ionic character for cdo.


The electron paramagnetic resonance studies of cao have also been reported in the literature 29-31. The $g$ values of the observed lines were $1.796,1.977$ and 2.17. The lines were attributed to the conduction electron 29,30 , paramagnetic centers ${ }^{30}$ of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$and F-centers ${ }^{31}$ respectively. The second line at $g=1.977$ showed sharp splitting due to hyperfine and superhyperfine interactions 30 . The superhyperfine interaction was attributed to the interaction of unpaired electron with twelve nearest neighbours at a distance of $\sqrt{2} \times 2.34 \mathrm{~A}$.

### 1.6 Electronic structure of Cadmium Oxide

The electronic structure of cao have been determined by using four different theoretical methods ${ }^{32-35}$. The values determined for the indirect band gaps of Cdo are summarized in Table 1.2. The energy bands were calculated for two values of ionicity, namely, zero and one ${ }^{33}$. The simplified band structure of cao for ionic and neutral configuration is shown in Figure 1.2. For ionic configuration, the Permi level was found to lie just above the valence band. This explained the

| Sr. <br> No. | ```Indirect band gap eV``` | Remark | References |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.8 | - | 32 |
|  | 1.2 |  |  |
| 2 | 0.95 | For ionic | 33 |
|  | 1.11 | configurat |  |
| 3 | 1.12 | - | 34 |
|  | 1.18 |  |  |
| 4 | 0.8 | - | 35 |
|  | 0.5 |  |  |



FIG.I. 2 SCHEMATIC BAND STRUCTURE OF CdO FOR IONIC AND NEUTRAL CONFIGURATION. ${ }^{27}$
metallic behaviour of Cdo. Thus, the pure metallic behaviour was found for neutral caO, which was observed to change to semiconducting behaviour, when the ionicity was increased Irom zero to one ${ }^{33}$.
1.7 Thin and Thick Films of Cadmium Oxide

The layer structure of the material on suitable support can be called as the film. The thickness of the film can vary from few tens of micron to few tens of Angstrom. The films in the lower range of thickness (Angstrom) are called as thin films, while those in higher range of thickness (microns) are called as thick films. However, general definition of thick films has been given as the films obtained by screen printing and subsequent firing of specially formulated paste onto suitable substrate ${ }^{36}$. On the contrary, thin films can be obtained by various techniques like sputtering, evaporation, vapour deposition, electrodeposition, chemical and laser deposition techniques ${ }^{37-39}$. The films have numerous applications in the electronic industry. The properties of thin and thick films of cao are summarized below.

The electrical conductivity and absorption in visible region for thin films of cdo have been reported ${ }^{40}$.

The films were obtained by sputtering technique. The concentration of the carriers was found to vary with the sputtering parameters. The variation of conductivity with temperature was extrapolated to obtain activation energy of 1.2 eV . The same value was obtained for indirect band gap from the absorption data.

Tanaka and coworkers 41 have reported electrical and optical properties of sputtered Cdo films. They have explained their results on the basis of energy band model of degenerated semiconductors. Their schematic energy band diagram of degenerated Cdo film is shown in Pigure 1.3. The variation in the absorption edge was attributed to the shift of Fermi level caused by the change in carrier concentrations as follows. It was observed that the heat treatment of the films gave rise to the different values of the absorption edge and the carrier concentration. The variation of absorption edge as a function of carrier concentration was plotted. A theoretical curve, based on the band model was obtained. Both the curves were in good agreement. However, for the non-annealed films, the absorption edge was found to decrease with increasing carrier concentration. This variation was not in agreement with the theoretical curve because the band


FIG. I. 3 SCHEMATIC ENERGY BAND DIAGRAM of degenerated cao films 38
gap ( $\Delta \mathrm{B}$ ) and the effective mass (m*) were taken as constant. These quantities were not constant, since the different degree of crystallization led to different energy gap and effective mass. The different degree of crystallization was in turn due to the different sputtering voltages.

Wu and coworkers 42 have studied the electrical properties of thick films of Cdo. The sheet resistivity showed linear variation with the valence of dopant for three different types of glass frit binders. The resistivity was lower for the dopants CuO and $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This was explained on the basis of deficit type of semiconductor. Further, it was observed that the stability of the films was improved by coating the films with glass or epoxy resin.

Shen-Li Fu and Gi-Chang Lin ${ }^{43}$ have also reported the effect of dopant on the electrical properties of thick films of Cdo. The sheet resistivity values of undoped film was found to decrease with decreasing amount of the binder. The decrease in sheet resistivity observed for small amount of the dopant $\mathrm{Cu}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was explained on the basis of valency compensation effects.

There is good amount of patent literature 44-46 covering the various aspects of thick films of cao. The patent literature can be classified into three categories: (1) Compositions containing cao and glass frit binders 44-48, (2) Compositions where glass contained different additives ${ }^{49-61}$ and (3) Compositions where solid solutions of cao with other oxides were used to prepare thick films of cao 62-66. Tables 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 respectively summarize these compositions.
Table 1.3

| $\underset{\sim}{\ddot{\otimes}}$ | \% | $\stackrel{\sim}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{+}$ | - | $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O ¢ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | เก |
| $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mathrm{N}}$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | n | 1 |
| $\stackrel{N}{0}$ | 1 | 1 | n | 1 | 1 |
|  | 1 | n | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \text { o } \\ 0 & o^{N} \\ 0 & \text { on } \\ \text { O } & \end{array}$ | $N$ | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $0_{\infty}^{m}$ | $\stackrel{n}{\sim}$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\stackrel{-1}{\sim}$ | $\stackrel{-1}{-1}$ |
| 8 | $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{n}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ | ${ }_{\infty}^{\infty}$ |
|  | $\stackrel{\bullet}{\sim}$ | ñ ñ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ñ } \\ & \text { ñ } \end{aligned}$ | N |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \dot{\sim} \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{+1}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \infty \\ & \text { - } \\ & \text { - } \end{aligned}$ | $\stackrel{\sim}{\infty}$ | $\stackrel{+}{\infty}$ |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0.0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}$ | N | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \text { in } \\ & \text { in } \end{aligned}$ | N1 |

Table 1.4

TH-596
$666 \cdot 3 / .7(043)$
Table 1.5
Composition of solid solutions of cao with ather oxides used to prepare

| Composition of solid solution moles of |  | Type of Dopant | Composition of glass Wt.8 of |  |  | Sheet resistivity $\mathrm{k} \Omega / \mathrm{sq}$. | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cdo | Dopant |  | PbO | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ |  |  |
| 99 | 1 | $\mathrm{Fe}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | 85 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 62 |
| 99 | 1 | $\mathrm{V}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 85 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 63 |
| 99 | 1 | $\mathrm{Nb}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ | 85 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 1.52 | 64 |
| 99 | 1 | CoO | 85 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 1.0 | 65 |
| 99 | 1 | $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ | 85 | 7.5 | 7.5 | 1.82 | 66 |

## CHAPTER-2

## Synthesis and Physicochemical Techniques of Characterization of Cadmium Oxide Ceramics

### 2.1 Introduction

Ceramics are the ancient materials ${ }^{80}$. Common and traditional examples of ceramic products are pottery, artware, structural clay products, glass and cement. Unlike such old ceramic, the high-tech ceramics are the high value added materials and are subjects of thrust area programmes of research and development in many laboratories all over the world ${ }^{81,82}$. The experimental research efforts on hightech ceramics are focussed on what happens to these products at atomic, molecular or microcrystal level during their actual synthesis, characterization and extensive usage ${ }^{81}$. The variety of different experimental and theoretical investigations on these ceramics mainly deal with the synthesis technique and its consequently resulting in better performance parameters 67-69. For example, many synthesis techniques like attrition milling ${ }^{67}$, reaction sintering ${ }^{68}$, decomposition of coprecipitated and sol-gel products ${ }^{69-71}$, hydrothermal processes ${ }^{72-75}$, spray ICP technique ${ }^{76}$, arc melting ${ }^{77}$, liquid mis technique ${ }^{78}$ and solid state reaction ${ }^{79}$ have been reported for the preparation of ceramics. Apart from these techniques, there are few other methods of obtaining the materials in the form of films for their numerous applications in the electronic industry. These methods ${ }^{34-36}$ include sputtering, evaporation, vapour deposition, electrodeposition, laser
deposition and screen printing. These synthesised ceramics are characterised for their performance parameters by different characterization techniques like, XRD, SEM/EPMA, TEM, ESCA, NMR, IR, ESR, etc. The preparation and physicochemical characterization of cadmium oxide ceramics dealing with (1) synthesis, (2) electronic process and (3) thick film forms are carried out in our laboratory. In the present chapter II, the preparation procedure and the techniques used for the physicochemical characterization of the prepared materials are described.
2.2 Preparation of solid solutions of Cdo and MnO $\left(\mathrm{Cd}_{1-x^{M}} \mathrm{x}^{0}\right.$ )

Commercially available analytical reagent grade cadmium carbonate (Renal Co., Budapest, Hungary) was used to prepare cadmium oxide. The preparation was carried out by the usual decomposition reaction, monitored through the simultaneous DTA/TG/DTG plots. The cadmium carbonate was taken in an platinum dish and covered with platinum foil. The dish was heated at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an electric furnace for four hours and then allowed to cool down to room temperature outside the furnace. The cooled sample was examined by the $X$-ray diffractometer to identify the single cubic phase of

Cdo. This Cdo was used as functional material in the preparation of thick films of cao.

Cadmium oxide (Danpha Chemicals, India) and manganese carbonate (Sarabhai Chemicals, India) were used for the preparation of solid solutions of CdO and MnO. The solid solutions were prepared by chemical (coprecipitation-decomposition) and ceramic (solid state reaction) techniques. The details of the preparation techniques are given below.

In the chemical procedure, weighed quantities of cdo and $\mathrm{MnCO}_{3}$ were dissolved in about 5 N solution of HCl to give clear solution of the ingredients. The 10\% solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was slowly added to the solution of the ingredients with constant stirring untill white precipitate was formed. The white precipitate was filtered and washed free from chloride ions (C1-). The washed precipitate was dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours. The precipitate was characterized by thermal analysis. The calcination of the precipitate was carried out at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours in an electric furnace. The heated mass was then air quenched to give polycrystalline material. This procedure was followed for the preparation of various compositions listed in Table 2.1.

| Table 2.1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sr. <br> NO. | Mole percent of <br> Cdo | Method of <br> preparation | Nomenclature |

To follow the standard ceramic technique, the weighed quantities of CdO and $\mathrm{MnCO}_{3}$ were taken in an agate mortar and mixed thoroughly in distilled and dry acetone with an agate pestle untill the mixture was dried. The mixture was then transferred to a clean and dry silica crucible, covered with a silica lid and heated in an electric furnace at $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 6 hours. The heated mass was then air quenched uniform polycrystalline material. The compositions prepared by this procedure are given in Table 2.1. However, the solid solution of cdo containing 0.1116 mole $\%$ of MnO composition (F) was allowed to cool in the furnace itself.

### 2.3 Preparation of glass frit binders

Three different glass frit binders were prepared. The major ingredient was either Pbo or ZnO or $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. The other ingredients were $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. To prepare the glasses, the ingredient materials were dried in an electric oven at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for two hours and cooled in a desicator. Weighed quantities of these dried ingredient materials, needed to give required compositions were wetmilled for 12 hours in a porcelain jar using porcelain balls. The wetmilling was carried out in the medium of distilled and dry acetone. The milled
mixture was then dried and transferred to a clean and dry platinum crucible. The crucible was introduced in an electric muffle furnace at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and heated at this temperature for 30 minutes. The mixture was completely melted. The molten mass was poured into distilled water for fritting the glass. The glass frit was taken in a stainless steel mortar and powdered in distilled water with a stainless steel pestle. The powder was then wetmilled for 24 hours in a porcelain jar using porcelain balls. The wetmilling was done under the medium of distilled water. The milled glass frit powder was examined under optical microscope. The particle size was found to be of the order of 1 to 2 m . The different glasses and their compositions are listed in Table 2.2. This glass frit powders were used as the binders in preparation of thick films of caO.

### 2.4 Preparation of thick films of Cdo

In general, thick films have been defined as the films obtained by screen printing and firing the specially formulated paste onto an alumina or any other suitable substrate. The paste consists of electrically active raterials, a low softening glass, organic fillers and solvents. Bach of the components has a definite role to play in achieving good quality thick films.

Table 2.2

Compositions of the glasses prepared

| Sr . | Weight percent of the ingredients |  |  |  |  |  | Nomenclature |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |  | $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | Si | $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |
| 1 | 62 | - | - | 24 | 12 | 2 | GL-1 |
| 2 | - | 62 | - | 24 | 12 | 2 | GL-2 |
| 3 | - | - | 62 | 24 | 12 | 2 | GL-3 |

The components which we have used with their specific role are listed in Table 2.3.

The volatility of the solvent system is an important factor for ease of screening. Excessively volatile solvent tends to dry out during the use and a solvent of very low volatility does not provide good wetting of the particles. Hence, a mixture of three solvents having different volatility is used. $\beta$-Terpineol 40\%, butyl cellosolve $30 \%$ and butyl carbitol acetate $30 \%$ are mixed to give a solvent of desired characteristics.

To prepare paste, a weighed quantity of CdO was taken in an agate mortar and the amount of glass frit powder needed to give required composition was added to it and mixed thoroughly in distilled and dry acetone with an agate pestle. This mixture was then dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for one hour in an electric oven. Weighed quantity of ethyl cellulose was taken in another clean and dry agate mortar and the amount of organic solvent needed to give the required composition was added to it and mixed thoroughly with an agate pestle. The above mixture of Cdo and glass was then added and mixed thoroughly with an agate pestle to give paste-like structure.

This procedure was repeated using different types

Table 2.3

Components used in the paste and their functions

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} . \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Components | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Materials } \\ & \text { used } \end{aligned}$ | Function of the material | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Electrically <br> active <br> material | Cdo | Conduction | - |
| 2. | Glass frit powders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { GL-1 } \\ & \text { GL-2 } \\ & \text { GL-3 } \end{aligned}$ | Binder | GL-1, GL-2 <br> and GL-3 <br> nomenclature is given in <br> Table 2.2 |
| 3. | Organic <br> filler | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bthyl } \\ \text { cellulose } \end{gathered}$ | Filler | - |
| 4. | Organic solvent | ```\beta-Terpineol + Butyl cello- solve + Butyl carbitol acetate``` | Solvent | - |

of glass frit powder binders to give the pastes listed in Table 2.4. These pastes were used to obtain thick films of $C d o$ by standard screen printing technique. The films of different geometry were prepared for different types of measurements. The printed films were dried at $150^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 minutes. The solvent was removed during this drying. The prints were then fired at temperature $500,600,700,800$ and $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 minutes in three zone thick film furnace. In the firing process, the organic binder was burnt off and the glass particle fused and formed a vitreous bond between the cao particles and the substrate.

### 2.5 Thermal analysis

Thermal analysis, used to study the physicochemical changes influenced by heating the sample, includes different experimental techniques like, thermogravimetry (TG), differential thermogravimetry (DTG) and differential thermal analysis (DTA). Thermogravimetry is based on the gradual heating of the sample and recording its weight as a function of temperature. The differential thermogravimetry involves the recording of the difference in weight between the sample and the reference material. The differential thermal analysis involves the measurement of the temperature difference between the sample

| Sr. No. | Weight percent of |  |  |  |  | Nomenclature of paste |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cao | GL-1 | GL-2 | GL-3 | Org. vehicle |  |
| 1 | 80 | - | - | - | 20 | P-1 |
| 2 | 70 | 10 | - | - | 20 | P-2 |
| 3 | 70 | - | 10 | - | 20 | P-3 |
| 4 | 70 | - | - | 10 | 20 | P-4 |
| 5 | 60 | 20 | - | - | 20 | P-5 |
| 6 | 60 | - | 20 | - | 20 | P-6 |
| 7 | 60 | - | - | 20 | 20 | P-7 |

and the reference material. The NETZSCH STA 409 model thermal analyser (Netzsch Geratebau GmbH) was used in the present studies. The analyser includes the three experimental techniques. The output of the analyser is in the form of TG, DTG and DTA curves as a function of temperature. In the present work, these curves were obtained for a commercial cadmium carbonate and the coprecipitated samples of cadmium and manganese carbonates.

### 2.6 Powder $X$-ray diffraction technique

The use of powder X-ray diffraction technique for the structure analysis of powder materials is based on the Bragg law $n \lambda=2 \mathrm{~d} \sin \theta$. This law relates the spacing between the (hkl) planes of the lattice, $d$, and the glancing angle of the X-ray beam, $\theta$. The wavelength of X-ray beam is $\lambda$. The Philips X-ray diffractometer model PW 1730 was employed in the present studies. The output of the spectrometer is the intensity of the X-ray reflected as a function of the angular position of the detector. The ploter plots this output as I vs $2 \theta$ data. The anode of the X-ray tube can be Cu, Co, Mo etc. Usually the Cu targets are used for inorganic materials because the characteristic $\alpha$-radiation of the $C u$ target are suitable for these materials. The
components due to $\mathrm{K}_{\beta}$ radiations are completely supressed by using $N i$ filters. In the present work, the powder X-ray diffraction technique is used to study the following aspects:
(i) Detection of phases.
(ii) Determination of cubic lattice parameter.
(iii) Determination of the crystallite size.

### 2.7 BPR Spectroscopy

The use of electron paramagnetic resonance technique for the macro- and micro-structural investigation of the paramagnetic substances is based on the equation $h \nu=g \beta H$. This law emphasizes the exact matching of the photon radiation energy and the energy separation between the two energy levels. Therefore, the term resonance is applied and the law is called as resonance condition. The BRURER X-band EPR spectrometer, model ER 200D-SRC was used in the present studies. The spectrometer mainly consists of a magnet, a magnetic power supply unit, a microwave bridge and a console consisting of a time base unit, a signal channel and a field controller. In addition to these four freestanding assemblies, three sub-assemblies are provided. These are a chart recorder, a microwave cavity and a
safety box. The chart recorder is mounted in the console. The cavity is supported between the poles of the magnet by a wave-guide, which connects it to the microwave bridge. The safety box is mounted in the magnet power supply unit. It isolates all power to the system. The output of the spectrometer is in the form of spectrograph covering a selected portion of the microwave region of the electromagnetic spectrum. The spectrograph can be displayed on a cathod ray tube or can also be plotted on a paper. In the present work, the EPR spectroscopy is used to study the following aspects of $\mathrm{CdO}: \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ system:
(i) Detection of various paramagnetic centers in the system.
(ii) Studies of hyperfine and superhyperfine structures in CaO: $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ system.
(iii) Detection of impurity phase grown during the aging of the system.

### 2.8 Scanning electron microscopy

The use of scanning electron microscopy for the topographical analysis of the material is based on the fact that when the material is bombarded with an electron beam, the secondary and backscattered electrons are
emitted from the materials which contains the information of the topology of the specimen. The Cambridge Stereoscan 150 scanning electron microscope was used in the present work. A fine 'probe' of electrons is scanned across the surface of the specimen. The secondary electrons and/or backscattered electrons produce an image on the cathode ray tube. The SBM image is recorded by photographing the face of a cathode ray tube on the negative film of 35 mm , which is developed into the positive scanning electron micrographs. In the present work, scanning electron micrographs of thick films of cao without containing eny binder were recorded.

### 2.9 Resistivity measurements

Thick films of Cdo, 20 mm in length and 5 mm in width were obtained by the procedure described in section 2.4. Air drying type of silver paste was applied at the ends so that 2.5 mm of length of the Cdo-film was covered with the silver paste. The dimensions of silver paste applied were $1 \mathrm{~cm} \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}$. The alumina substrate with thick films of $C d O$ and the silver electrode was fixed on a teflon block with the help of phosphor bronze strips so that the strips were placed on the electrodes. The phosphor bronze strips along
with eyelets were screwed on the teflon block. The eyelets were soldered with copper wire. The other ends of the copper wire were fixed to the terminals. The resistance across this terminal was measured using a Yamuna digital multimeter.

The resistance of thick films of cdo, cdo-PbO, cdo-Zno, Cdo-Bi $2_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ system was measured and sheet resistivity was calculated using the following formula:

$$
{ }^{6}=R \frac{W}{L}
$$

where, ${ }^{6}$ s is sheet resistivity, ohm/sq.
$R$ is resistance of the film, ohm.
$W$ is width of the film, min.
L is the length of the film, mm.
and $\frac{L}{W}$ is called the aspect ratio of thick films.

#  CHAPTER-3 

Characterization of Solid Solutions of CdO and MnO

### 3.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the physicochemical characterization of solid solutions of $C d o$ and MnO. The solid solutions of $C d_{1-x} M_{x}{ }^{0}$ system have been characterized using various techniques for their thermal, structural and electrical properties. The techniques used were, simultaneous thermal analysis (DTA/TG/DTG), X-ray diffraction and EPR spectroscopy. The analysis of the resulting experimental data is also presented in this chapter.

### 3.2 Thermal analysis

Thermal analysis data (TG, DTG and DTA curves) of the dried white precipitate was obtained under the following conditions on Netzsch 409 STA analyser:

| Sample size | $: 30.4 \mathrm{mg}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Reference compound $: \alpha-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |  |
| Sample holder | $:$ Platinum crucible |
| Temperature range $: 25-1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |
| Linear heating rate : $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ |  |
| Atmosphere | Air |

The data is shown in Fig.3.1. The TG curve shows a loss of 7.3 mg ( 24.01 weight percent) in the temperature range 282 to $368^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this temperature range DTA curve shows an endothermic peak. The probable endothermic reaction in

the precipitate is the decomposition of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ as follows:

$$
\mathrm{CaCO}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{CdO}+\mathrm{CO}_{2} \uparrow
$$

This reaction indicates that 30.4 mg of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ sample shows a loss of 7.8 mg ( 25.66 weight percent) due to the loss of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. This loss in weight is close to the loss observed from the TG curve. The TG curve further shows no loss in weight till $870^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In the temperature range 870 to $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a gradual weight loss corresponding to 0.55 mg is showed by the TG curve. In this temperature range, the DTA curve showed a broad endothermic plateau. This gradual weight loss can be due to the slow vaporization of $\mathrm{cdo}^{8,9}$. These studies show that the decomposition of the precipitate can be carried out in temperature range of 368 to $870^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ without any significant loss in weight due to the sublimation of do. We selected an intermediate temperature of $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for the decomposition of our precipitated samples.

### 3.3 X-ray diffraction studies

The X-ray diffraction patterns of samples $A$ to D prepared by chemical method, E to $F$ - prepared by ceramic technique and sample $F$ - aged for various time period, were recorded as shown in Figures $3.2,3.3$ and 3.4 respectively. From the patterns, the Bragg angle $(2 \theta)$ and the normalized


FIG.3.2. X-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS OF SAMPLES (I) $A$, (I) $B$,(II) C AND (IV) D.


FIG.3.3. X-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS OF SAMPLES (I)E, (■) F AND (III) $G$.


FIG.3.4. X-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS OF SAMPLES F FRESHLY PREPARED (I) AND AGED FOR 25 DAYS (II), 126 DAYS.(II) AND 186 DAYS (DV)
intensity of the $X$-ray diffraction peaks were calculated. The d values corresponding to the Bragg angles were calculated from the X-ray conversion table. The $d$ values were compared with the $d$ values of the standard ASTM patterns ${ }^{83-85}$ of $\mathrm{CdO}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ as shown in Tables $3.1,3.2$ and 3.3. The comparison of the prepared sample indicated that they had rock salt structure. Hence, the lattice parameter was calculated using the relation

$$
d_{h k l}=\left[\frac{h^{2}+k^{2}+1^{2}}{a^{2}}\right]^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

The values of the lattice parameter for the samples are summarized in Table 3.4. The structural data of the aged sample (Table 3.3) showed the presence of impurity phases like $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ (hexagonal), $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ (hexagonal) and a small amount of unidentified impurity (crystalline) phase. The impurity phases grow during the aging period. The aging effects are further discussed in section 3.7 of the present chapter.

### 3.4 Spin Hamiltonian formalism

The general approach of the interpretation of the EPR spectra is based on the spin Hamiltonian formalism 86-90. The approach has been stated to have two advantages 86 .
Table 3.1

Table 3.2

| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Sr} \\ & \text { No } \end{aligned}$ | Sample-E |  |  | Sample-F |  |  | Sample-G |  |  | Reported data for cao |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ |  | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | hkl |
| 1 | 100 | 33.08 | 2.7056 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 32.50 | 2.8376 | 100 | 2.712 | 111 |
| 2 | 86 | 33.38 | 2.3435 | 84 | 38.34 | 2.3457 | 86 | 38.22 | 2.3527 | 88 | 2.349 | 100 |
| 3 | 48 | 55.34 | 1.6587 | 46 | 55.38 | 1.6576 | 49 | 55.20 | 1.6625 | 43 | 1.661 | 220 |
| 4 | 31 | 66.00 | 1.4142 | 30 | 66.00 | 1.4142 | 30 | 65.80 | 1.4180 | 28 | 1.416 | 311 |
| 5 | 13 | 69.30 | 1.3547 | 13 | 69.34 | 1.3540 | 12 | 69.16 | 1.3571 | 13 | 1.355 | 222 |
| 6 | 5 | 82.16 | 1.1722 | 5 | 82.16 | 1.1722 | 6 | 81.86 | 1.1757 | 5 | 1.1742 | 400 |

Table 3.3A


Table 3.3B
Additional X-ray diffraction peaks observed after various aging time period

| Aging <br> period days | Additional peaks |  |  | Corresponding $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ |  | Peaks for $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $2 \theta$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Degree |  | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \\ & \mathrm{~A} \end{aligned}$ |
| 25 | 1 | 31.50 | 2.8376 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 2 | 37.18 | 2.4161 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 1 | 47.16 | 1.9255 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 49.60 | 1.8363 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
| 126 | 0.4 | 31.58 | 2.8306 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 36.44 | 2.4635 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 37.28 | 2.4099 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 43.98 | 2.0570 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 44.70 | 2.0256 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 47.16 | 1.9255 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 49.60 | 1.8363 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 50.04 | 1.8212 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 58.36 | 1.5803 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 61.88 | 1.4981 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 63.16 | 1.4708 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
| 186 | 0.6 | 31.50 | 2.8376 | 100 | 2.94 | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.6 | 35.50 | 2.5265 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 36.60 | 2.4531 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 37.28 | 2.4099 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 43.98 | 2.0570 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 44.66 | 2.0273 | - | - |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 47.20 | 1.9239 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
|  | 1.9 | 49.90 | 1.8260 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |

Table 3.4

The lattice parameter value for the prepared samples

(1) The choice of the spin multiplicity used for the interpretation of the BPR spectra is determined by the nature of the spectra. (2) Different interactions can easily be considered by adding the appropriate terms to the Hamiltonian. The general solution of the spin Hamiltonian has been reported by several investigators ${ }^{91-105}$. However, these solutions are cumbersome and have been replaced by simpler formulations that deals with particular cases of interest ${ }^{86}$. For example, the spin Hamiltonian for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in orthorhombic symmetry is given as ${ }^{106}$

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & g \beta \text { 日. } s+\frac{a}{6}\left[s_{x}^{4}+s_{y}^{4}+s_{z}^{4}-\frac{1}{5} s(s+1)\left(3 s^{2}+3 s-1\right)\right] \\
& +\frac{F}{180}\left[35 s_{z}^{4}-30 s(s+1) s_{z}^{2}+25 s_{z}^{2}-6 s(s+1)+\right. \\
& \left.\left.3 s^{2}(s+1)\right)\right]^{2}+D\left[s_{Z}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} s(s+1)\right]+B\left[s_{x}^{2}-s_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& \left.+ \text { AS.I }-g_{I} \beta_{N} \text { 日.I }+P\left[I_{Z}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} I(I+1)\right)\right] \ldots \ldots \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $S$ and $I$ are the electron and nuclear spin, $g$ and $g_{I}$ are the electron and nuclear gyromagnetic ratios, $\beta$ and $\quad \beta_{N}$ are Bohr and nuclear magnetons, $a$ is the cubic zerofield splitting parameter ${ }^{106}$ and $D, B$ and $F$ are axial zerofield splitting parameters ${ }^{86}$. The parameters a and F essentially represent a cubic field plus a tetragonal
distortion. Generally, the values of the parameters associated with the quartic operators, $a$ and $F$, are smaller than the parameters arising from the quadratic operators, $D$ and $E^{106}$. Hence, the terms with $a$ and $F$ are often neglected in the literature. The spin Hamiltonian, therefore, becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & g \beta \text { H.S }+D\left[S_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} S(S+1)\right]+E\left[S_{x}^{2}-S_{Y}^{2}\right] \\
& +A S . I+P\left[I_{z}^{2}-\frac{1}{3} I(I+1)\right]-g_{I} \beta_{N} \text { H.I } \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g$ factor and hyperfine coupling constant $A$ are isotropic within the experimental error. The Hamiltonian gives $(2 S+1)(2 I+1)=36$ spin states. The five allowed transitions between the states $|M, m\rangle \quad 4 \quad|M-1, m\rangle$ are defined by selection rule $\quad \Delta M= \pm 1$ and $\Delta m=0$ where $M$ and $m$ are respectively the magnetic quantum numbers for the electron spin (S) and the nuclear spin (I). The six allowed lines corresponding to $\left|\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|-\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle$ transitions are readily seen in polycrystalline samples at magnetic field 88,106 .

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}_{\circ}+\mathrm{Am}+\left(\mathrm{A}^{2} / 2 \mathrm{H}_{\circ}\right)\left(\frac{35}{4}-\mathrm{m}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Ten forbidden transitions (defined by selection rule $\Delta M= \pm 1$ and $\Delta m= \pm 1$ ) between the states $\left.\left|\frac{1}{2} m\right\rangle \leftrightarrow \right\rvert\,-\frac{1}{2}$ $m-1\rangle$ and $\left|\frac{1}{2} m-1\right\rangle \leftrightarrow\left|-\frac{1}{2} m\right\rangle$ can also be seen in
polycrystalline samples at ${ }^{106}$

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & H_{0}+A\left(m-\frac{1}{2}\right) \pm\left(\frac{A^{2}}{2 H_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{17}{2}\right)+\left(\frac{A^{2}}{2 H_{\circ}}\right) \\
& \left(\frac{33}{4}+m(m-1)\right) \pm g_{I} \beta_{N} H_{0}+P(2 m-1) \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

The ratio of the intensities of the forbidden to allowed lines is 106,107

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{I_{F}}{I_{A}}=\frac{512}{15}\left(\frac{35}{4}-m^{2}+m\right)\left(\frac{D^{2}}{H_{0}}\right)^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4) gives the doublet splitting of the forbidden lines as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{m}=\left(\frac{A^{2}}{H_{0}}\right)\left(\frac{17}{2}\right)+2 g_{I} H_{\circ}+2 P(2 m-1) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (6) for specific values of $m$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{5 / 2}-\delta_{-3 / 2}=16 P \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The superhyperfine interaction of unpaired electrons of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ with Cd nuclei of nonzero spin can be accounted for, by adding the $A_{\text {SHF }}$ S.I term to the spin Hamiltonian of equation (1). This term gives rise to a ( $2 \mathrm{n} \mathrm{I}+1$ ) number of lines, where $n$ is number of equivalent $C d$ nuclei with
nonzero nuclear spin $I$. These lines correspond to $\left|m, \bar{m}_{I}\right\rangle$ $\longleftrightarrow \quad\left|-m,-\bar{m}_{I}\right\rangle \quad$ transitions. The position of the lines can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{H}^{\prime}+\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{SHF}} \overline{\mathrm{~m}}_{\mathrm{I}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H^{\prime}$ is the magnetic field at the centre of the superhyperfine lines and $\bar{m}_{I}$ is the sum of the nuclear spins of the cd nuclei.

These equations (1)-(8) will now be used to interpret the ESR spectra (of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in CdO) at room temperature and are described in the next section 3.5 .
3.5 EPR spectra of the prepared solid solutions of $C d O$ and
$\underline{M n O}$
The wide range EPR spectra of the samples were obtained under the following experimental conditions:
Nature of sample : Polycrystalline solid
Temperature $\quad$ : Room temperature
Microwave frequency : 9.4 to 9.8 GHz
Microwave power $: 20 \mathrm{~dB}, 2 \mathrm{~mW}$
Modulation frequency: 100 KHz
Modulation intensity: 1.25 Gpp

| Centre field | $: 3500 \mathrm{G}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Scan range | $: 5000 \mathrm{G}$ |
| Scan time | $: 200 \mathrm{~S}$ |

The spectra of sample $A$ to $D$ are shown in Figure 3.5 A to D. While Figure 3.6 A to $C$ presents the spectra of samples $F$ and $G$. From the spectra, the $g$ values for the observed $\operatorname{SPR}$ signals were calculated by using the resonance condition described in section 2.6. The main observations of the spectra are listed below:
(1) All samples showed hyperfine sextet centered at $g$ $=1.999$.
(2) The samples also showed a central signal at $g=1.985$.
(3) Two $E P R$ lines at $g=2.186$ and 2.236 are observed for the samples synthesized by chemical method.
(4) Por samples obtained by ceramic technique, a EPR line at $g=2.182$ is observed.

The observations can be explained by using the reported literature of the related systems. Hyperfine sextet, centered at $g$ values close to the free electron value $g_{e}$, have been observed for $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in different polycrystalline oxides systems ${ }^{108-125}$. Our observation (1) is thus characteristic of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in polycrystalline cao. The
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observation (2) and (4) are similar to the observations made by $\operatorname{EPR}$ studies of different specimens of CdO. Elshner and Schlaak ${ }^{30}$ have reported $\operatorname{BPR}$ studies of single crystals of cdo. A signal at $g=1.977$ was observed at room temperature. The signal was attributed to the $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ion at the site of $\mathrm{Cd}^{++}$ion. The same paramagnetic centers ( $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ ions) were studied by Meinhold ${ }^{31}$ in polycrystalline samples of cao sintered at different temperatures. He observed the $B P R$ signals at $g=1.97$ and 1.98 respectively for Cdo samples sintered at $750^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Both the signals were assigned to the paramagnetic centers, $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions in place of $\mathrm{Cd}^{++}$ions. The $g$ value of 1.985 observed by us for the central signal (observation 2) is very close to the value 1.98 reported for $\mathrm{cd}^{+}$ion in cdo by Meinhold. Hence, the central signal can arise due to the $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$centers. Meinhold further observed an $\operatorname{EPR}$ signal at $g=2.17$ due to the F -centres present in their samples. We have observed similar type of signal at $g=2.186$ (observation 3 ) or 2.182 (observation 4) for our samples. These signals may arise due to F -centers. Lastly, the signal at $\mathrm{g}=2.236$ can be attributed to the R-centers formed upon the aggregation of the F-centers ${ }^{\mathbf{1 2 6}} \mathbf{1 2 7}$. This signal is observed only in samples prepared by chemical method. This can be explained on the basis of different heating effects in the two preparation techniques. The heating of Cdo has
been reported to cause a change in the EPR signal at $g=2.17$ due to the loss of F -center on heating. Thus, in samples prepared by ceramic techniques, the F -centers are less in number to aggregate and produce R-centers.

### 3.6 Byperfine lines

The high resolution technique is used to study the hyperfine lines. The BPR spectrum recorded at higher resolution scan for sample $C$ is shown in Figure 3.7. A sextet of sharp lines and doublets of weak lines in between the adjacent pairs of the sharp lines are observed. The observations are characteristic of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in different oxide systems ${ }^{108-125}$. The observed sextet of sharp lines are the allowed hyperfine lines corresponding to $\left|\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle$ $\longleftrightarrow \quad\left|-\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle \quad$ transitions. Bach line of the sextet can be designated by the values between $-\frac{5}{2}$ to $+\frac{5}{2}$ observed by $\mathrm{m}^{87}$. The weak doublets are the forbidden lines corresponding to $\left|\frac{1}{2}, m-1\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow \quad\left|-\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle$ and $\left|\frac{1}{2}, m\right\rangle$ $\leftrightarrow \quad\left|-\frac{1}{2}, m-1\right\rangle$ transitions. These allowed and forbidden lines were used to determine the spin Hamiltonian parameters.

The allowed six lines are centered at $g=1.999$ with average hyperfine splitting $|A|=7.8 \mathrm{mT}$. The amplitude of the allowed lines were used in equation (5) to give the axial zero-field splitting parameter $D=3.5 \mathrm{mT}$. The
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doublet separation between the lines, $\quad \delta_{+5 / 2}$ and $\delta_{\mathbf{- 3 / 2}}$, were substituted in equation (7) to give the nuclear quadrupole term $|P|=0.039$ mT. The spin Hamiltonian parameters are compared with the other cubic system in Table 3.5.


The experimental parameters were substituted in equation (3) and (4) to predict the positions of the allowed and the forbidden lines. The predicted positions of these lines are compared with the observed positions in Table 3.6. It is really interesting to note the close agreement between the predicted and the observed line positions.

### 3.7 Superhyperfine lines

Six set of seven weak lines were superimposed on each of the six allowed hyperfine lines. The $G P R$ spectrum of each of the allowed lines was recorded at higher resolution scan. One of the lines with lowest resonance field recorded at higher resolution scan is shown in Figure 3.8. Seven lines are clearly observed with experimental intensity ratio 0.8 : 12.3 : 68.8 : 128.1 : 68.0 : 12.0 : 0.8.

The six set of seven weak lines are the superhyperfine lines corresponding to the $\left|m \bar{m}_{I}\right\rangle \longleftrightarrow\left|-m-\bar{m}_{I}\right\rangle$ transitions. The superhyperfine lines have earlier been

Table 3.5

Spin Hamiltonian parameters for $\mathrm{Mn}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ ions in different hosts

|  |  | $A_{\text {AF }}$ | D | P | $\mathrm{A}_{\text {SHF }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Host | g | mT | mT | mT | mT | Ref. |
| cao | 1.999 | 7.8 | 3.5 | 0.039 | 0.36 | Present <br> work |
| MgO | 2.0014 | 8.1 | 1.86 | - | - | 114 |
| $\mathrm{MgAl}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ | 2.00 | 8.3 | 6.4 | 0.03 | - | 106 |

Table 3.6

Calculated and observed positions of allowed and forbidden lines for CaO:Mn ${ }^{2+}$ system

| Position of <br> allowed lines <br> in mT | Position of <br> forbidden lines <br> in mT | Doublet separation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


$\begin{aligned} \text { FIG. 3.8: } & \text { HIGH RESOLUTION EPR SPECTRUM OF } M n^{2+I O N S ~ I N ~} \\ & \text { POLYCRYSTALLINE C DO (SAMPLEC) CORRESPONDING TO } \\ & \text { LOWER FIELD PEAK OF THE SIX LINES. }\end{aligned}$
observed for CdS:Mn ${ }^{2+}$ system ${ }^{128,129}$. It was shown that unpaired electrons of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ interact with neighbouring ${ }^{111_{c d}}$ and ${ }^{113}$ cd nuclei to give superhyperfine lines. The total natural abundance of these odd isotopes was taken as $25 \%$ because the magnetic moment values of ${ }^{111} \mathrm{Cd}$ ( $I=$ $\left.\frac{1}{2}, \mu=0.592 \mu_{n}\right)$ and ${ }^{113} \mathrm{Cd}\left(I=\frac{1}{2}, \mu=0.619 \mu_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$ differ only by 4.5 percent ${ }^{124,129}$. The distribution of these two odd isotopes around $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions was used to calculate the intensity ratio of the superhyperfine lines ${ }^{128,129}$.

Our X-ray diffraction study of the samples has showed that the samples exhibit NaCl-type of structure. $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ occupying normal substitution site in cdo will have six oxygen atoms at a distance of $\frac{a}{2}$ and twelve cadmium atoms at a distance of $\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}$ as nearest neighbours ${ }^{124}$. The six oxygen atoms are directly bonded to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ion. Out of twelve neighbouring $C d$ ions, a group of four $C d$ ions is bonded through each of six oxygen ions to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ion. Now as $25 \%$ of naturally occuring $C d$ nuclei have nonzero spin, one out of four cd nuclei will have nonzero spin. Thus, the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ion is bonded to one Cd nuclei having nonzero spin through each of the six oxygen. Therefore, six equivalent $C d$ nuclei ( $n=6$ ), having nonzero $\operatorname{spin}(I=$ $\frac{1}{2}$ ), will give seven lines as observed by us. However, to calculate the intensity ratio of these lines, total
probable distribution of the odd isotopes of Cd amongst the twelve cd neighbours is considered. As the total natural abundance of odd isotope of Cd is $25 \%$, the twelve Cd nuclei will have three odd isotopes of Cd. This is the most probable distribution. Proceeding according to the procedure of Hitashi et al ${ }^{129}$, the other probable distributions and their abundances were found. The abundance of three cd nuclei, all having zero spin, one of them having nonzero spin, two of them having nonzero spin and all three having nonzero spin is $0.75^{3}, 3 \times 0.75^{2} \times 0.25 / 2,3 \times 0.75 \times 0.25^{2} / 4$ and $0.25^{3} / 8$ respectively. This is equivalent to $128: 64$ : 10.67 : 0.59 respectively. Thus, theoretical intensity ratio is 1 : 11 : 64 : 128 : 11 : 1 . The theoretical intensity ratio is in good agreement with the experimental intensity ratio.

The positions of superhyperfine lines were predicted by using the equation (8). The predicted positions are in good agreement with the observed positions as seen from the Table 3.7. The average splitting of these lines gave the magnitude of the superhyperfine splitting as $A_{S H F}=$ 0.36 mT .

### 3.8 Aging effects

The $B P R$ spectra of sample $F$ aged for various time

Table 3.7
Calculated and observed positions of superhyperfine lines

| Designation of | Designation of | Position of superhyperfine |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| hyperfine lines superhyperfine lines | lines (in mT) |  |


| m | $\bar{m}_{I}$ | Observed | Calculated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +5/2 | $+3$ | 368.73 | 368.72 |
|  | +2 | 368.44 | 368.36 |
|  | $+1$ | 368.01 | 368.00 |
|  | 0 | 367.66 | 367.63 |
|  | - 1 | 367.23 | 367.27 |
|  | - 2 | 366.79 | 366.90 |
|  | - 3 | 366.54 | 366.54 |
| +3/2 | $+3$ | 360.78 | 360.86 |
|  | $+2$ | 360.38 | 360.42 |
|  | $+1$ | 360.03 | 360.06 |
|  | 0 | 359.61 | 359.70 |
|  | - 1 | 359.26 | 359.34 |
|  | - 2 | 358.94 | 358.98 |
|  | - 3 | 358.63 | 358.63 |
| + 1/2 | $+3$ | 353.08 | 353.08 |
|  | $+2$ | 352.85 | 352.72 |
|  | $+1$ | 352.53 | 352.37 |
|  | 0 | 352.15 | 352.01 |
|  | - 1 | 351.78 | 351.66 |
|  | -2 | 351.43 | 351.31 |
|  | - 3 | 350.95 | 350.95 |
| - 1/2 |  |  |  |
|  | $+2$ | 344.83 | 344.89 |
|  | $+1$ | 344.44 | 344.48 |
|  | 0 | 344.09 | 344.13 |
|  | - 1 | 343.75 | 343.72 |
|  | - 2 | 343.30 | 343.36 |
|  | - 3 | 342.98 | 342.98 |
| - 3/2 | $+3$ | 337.65 | 337.65 |
|  | $+2$ | 337.31 | 337.28 |
|  | $+1$ | 336.95 | 336.91 |
|  | 0 | 336.58 | 336.54 |
|  | - 1 | 336.20 | 336.17 |
|  | - 2 | 335.73 | 335.80 |
|  | - 3 | 335.43 | 335.43 |
| - 5/2 | $+3$ | 330.48 | 330.48 |
|  | + 2 | 330.05 | 330.07 |
|  | $+1$ | 329.60 | 329.67 |
|  | 0 | 329.24 | 329.26 |
|  | - 1 | 328.86 | 328.86 |
|  | - 2 | 328.37 | 328.45 |
|  | - 3 | 328.05 | 328.05 |

period are shown in Figure 3.9 A to E. The freshly prepared sample was required to record at higher gain and modulation amplitude to observe the signals as shown in Figure $\mathbf{3 . 8}$ $A$ and B. The aged samples (Figure 3.9 C to B ) show an EPR signal at $g=2.778$ in addition to the signals described in section 3.4. The linewidth of the signal is $\Delta H_{P P}=$ 1500 G . This type of broad signal have not yet been observed in systems containing $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions. However, for isoelectronic $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$ in $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{-Y}$ zeolite ${ }^{130}$, it has been observed at $\mathrm{g}=2.3$ with $\Delta H_{P P}=1300$ G. In this system, the signal was assigned to hydroxy oxidic compounds of $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}$. In our $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{ray}$ diffraction studies of aged sample (section 3.2), impurity phases of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, unidentified impurity were detected. Hence, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ in these impurity phases are likely to give such types of additional signal in the aged sample.

The BPR spectra of aged samples were recorded using the high resolution technique as shown in Figure 3.10 A to B . The freshly prepared sample shows a very weak hyperfine sextet even with relative higher gain of 10 x $10^{5}$ (Figure 3.10 A ). On increasing the modulation amplitude, the sextet appears as shown in Figure 3.10 B. The aged samples show an additional hyperfine sextet growing with the period of aging (Figure 3.10 C to E ). The new hyperfine sextet is prominent for the sample aged for 240 days as


shown in Figure 3.10 E . The spectrum of this sample is compared with the spectrum of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}: \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ sample in Figure 3.10. The new hyperfine sextet is comparable with the sextet of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ lattice. As the X -ray diffraction studies indicate $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ as majour impurity phase grown during the aging period, the new hyperfine sextet can be assigned to the presence of $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in the $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ impurity phase. The predicted and observed positions of the new hyperfine lines are compared with the position of the hyperfine lines of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}: \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ system in Table 3.8 .

### 3.9 Conclusions

The synthesis of $C d_{1-x}{ }^{M n} X_{x} O$ system was carried out by usual decomposition reaction, monitored through the simultaneous DTA/TG/DTG plots. The X-ray diffraction studies of the prepared compositions showed that the system exhibits cubic crystal structure. The lattice parameter values of the compositions are close to the reported values of CdO. The X-ray diffraction studies of the aged sample clearly revealed the presence of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. The phases grow during the aging period by the action of atmospheric $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on CdO .

The extensive EPR studies of the $C d_{1-x} M_{x} 0$ system are carried out for the first time. All the $g$ values



Table 3.8

Calculated and observed positions of the new hyperfine lines and position of the hyperfine lines of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}: \mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ system

obtained for the GPR signal observed in the system are comparable with the reported $g$ values for different paramagnetic centers present in a variety of nonstoichiometric specimens of Cdo. This facilitated the assignment of the signals observed in our system.

The observation of hyperfine and superhyperfine lines for this system showed that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions occupy substitutional site in nearly cubic environment of oxygen octahedral in cao. Further, the observation of superhyperfine lines suggested that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ electrons are delocalized and raaches into the crystal to give superhyperfine interaction with the cd nuclei of nonzero spin. The spin Hamiltonian parameters are comparable with that reported for other cubic system.

The aged samples of the system showed an additional signal at $g=2.778$ corresponding to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions present in the impurity phases. The aged sample also showed an additional hyperfine sextet growing with the aging period. This sextet is likely to arise from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions in the $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ impurity phase.

In summary, it is clearly seen that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions can be used as a excellent EPR microprobe to monitor the local environment and stoichiometry in bulk cdo.

## CHAPTER-4

Characterization of Thick Films of Cdo

### 4.1 Introduction

This chapter describes the characterization of thick films of CdO, obtained by using different types of binders and their formulations. The characterization techniques like, X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy and electrical resistivity measurements have been used to study the interesting properties of the cdo in thick film form. The analysis of experimental data is presented in the following pages.

### 4.2 X-ray diffraction studies

The X-ray diffraction pattern of the starting material, Cdo, is shown in Fig. 4.1. Prom the XRD pattern, Bragg angle ( $2 \theta$ ), corresponding ${ }^{\prime} d_{h k l}$ ' values and normalized intensity of the X-ray diffraction peaks were calculated. The 'dnkl values were compared with the standard ASTM pattern ${ }^{83}$, as shown in Table 4.1.

The X-ray diffraction patterns of thick films of cdo, Cdo-GL-1, Cdo-GL-2 and cao-GL-3 are shown in Figures 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5 respectively. From these patterns, Bragg angle ( $2 \theta$ ), corresponding ' $d_{h k l}$ ' values and normalized intensity of the X-ray diffraction peaks were calculated. The 'd' values corresponding to Cdo are summarized in Tables $4.2,4.3,4.4$ and 4.5. The ' $d$ ' values

Table 4.1

Structural data for starting material, cdo

| Sr. No. | Data for starting material, cao |  |  | Reported data ${ }^{83}$ for cubic cdo |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { d values } \\ (\mathrm{A}) \end{gathered}$ | Int. | d values ( A ) | hkl |
| 1 | 100 | 33.56 | 2.6620 | 100 | 2.712 | 111 |
| 2 | 93 | 38.80 | 2.3189 | 88 | 2.349 | 200 |
| 3 | 55 | 55.80 | 1.6461 | 43 | 1.661 | 220 |
| 4 | 37 | 66.48 | 1.4052 | 28 | 1.416 | 311 |
| 5 | 17 | 69.74 | 1.3473 | 13 | 1.355 | 222 |
| 6 | 7 | 82.52 | 1.1680 | 5 | 1.1742 | 400 |
| 7 | 12 | 91.72 | 1.0733 | 9 | 1.0772 | 331 |

Lattice parameter 4.667
4.6953



FIG. $4.3 \times$-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS OF THICK FILMS OF CdO-GL-I FIRED AT(I) 500 , (II) 600 , (III) 700 , (IX) 800 AND (V) $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.


FIG. 4.4 X-RAY DIFFRACTOGRAMS OF THICK FILMS OF CdO-GL-2 FIRED AT (I) 500 ,(II) 600 , (III) 700 , ( D ) 800 AND ( V$) 900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Table 4.2

|  | $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sr. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $2 \theta$ Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $2 \theta$ Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { da } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { ® } \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $2 \theta$ Degree | d |
| 1 | 100 | 32.86 | 2.6451 | 100 | 33.04 | 2.7088 | 100 | 33.10 | 2.7040 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 33.10 | 2.7040 |
| 2 | 84 | 38.08 | 2.3611 | 88 | 38.36 | 2.3445 | 89 | 38.36 | 2.3445 | 93 | 38.38 | 2.3433 | 93 | 38.44 | 2.3398 |
| 3 | 52 | 55.12 | 1.6648 | 75 | 55.28 | 1.6603 | 74 | 55.28 | 1.6603 | 80 | 55.34 | 1.6587 | 80 | 55.38 | 1.6576 |
| 4 | 35 | 65.80 | 1.4180 | 48 | 65.92 | 1.4158 | 57 | 65.90 | 1.4168 | 67 | 65.90 | 1.4161 | 67 | 65.94 | 1.4154 |
| 5 | 15 | 69.10 | 1.3582 | 22 | 69.32 | 1.3544 | 26 | 69.30 | 1.3547 | 28 | 69.30 | 1.3547 | 29 | 69.32 | 1.3544 |
| 6 | 5 | 81.90 | 1.1752 | 8 | 82.02 | 1.1738 | 11 | 82.08 | 1.1731 | 13 | 82.10 | 1.1729 | 12 | 82.12 | 1.1726 |

Table 4.3

|  | $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sc. } \\ & \text { No. } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { À } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | a A | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | d | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | d |
| 1 | 100 | 32.90 | 2.7200 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 33.10 | 2.7040 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 33.04 | 2.7088 |
| 2 | 87 | 38.32 | 2.3468 | 90 | 38.34 | 2.3457 | 88 | 38.36 | 2.3445 | 88 | 38.30 | 2.3480 | 64 | 38.44 | 2.3398 |
| 3 | 58 | 55.26 | 1.6609 | 49 | 55.30 | 1.6598 | 75 | 55.34 | 1.6587 | 65 | 55.28 | 1.6603 | 54 | 55.38 | 1.6576 |
| 4 | 39 | 65.90 | 1.4161 | 29 | 65.92 | 1.4158 | 40 | 66.00 | 1.4142 | 39 | 65.88 | 1.4165 | 31 | 66.04 | 1.4135 |
| 5 | 16 | 69.28 | 1.3551 | 13 | 68.30 | 1.3547 | 18 | 69.32 | 1.3544 | 17 | 69.22 | 1.3561 | 31 | 69.40 | 1.3530 |
| 6 | 7 | 82.00 | 1.1741 | 5 | 82.06 | 1.1733 | 7 | 82.10 | 1.1729 | 6 | 82.00 | 1.1741 | 4 | 82.16 | 1.1722 |

Table 4.4

|  | $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  | $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { 日 } \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { A }} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { A }} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | d | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | d A |
| 1 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 32.90 | 2.7200 | 100 | 32.96 | 2.7152 | 100 | 32.92 | 2.7184 | 100 | 32.90 | 2.7200 |
| 2 | 93 | 38.26 | 2.3504 | 92 | 38.18 | 2.3551 | 88 | 38.18 | 2.3551 | 88 | 38.18 | 2.3551 | 50 | 38.18 | 2.3551 |
| 3 | 57 | 55.24 | 1.6614 | 71 | 55.16 | 1.6636 | 55 | 55.18 | 1.6631 | 67 | 55.16 | 1.6636 | 47 | 55.14 | 1.6642 |
| 4 | 33 | 65.94 | 1.4154 | 32 | 65.84 | 1.4173 | 36 | 65.86 | 1.4169 | 42 | 65.84 | 1.4173 | 27 | 65.62 | 1.4215 |
| 5 | 15 | 69.22 | 1.3561 | 13 | 69.18 | 1.3568 | 15 | 69.16 | 1.3571 | 19 | 69.14 | 1.3575 | 27 | 69.12 | 1.3578 |
| 6 | 5 | 82.02 | 1.1738 | 6 | 81.94 | 1.1748 | 7 | 81.92 | 1.1750 | 7 | 81.90 | 1.1752 | 5 | 81.86 | 1.1757 |

Table 4.5

| Sr. No. | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 500^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 600^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 700^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ |  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 800^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 900^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\ 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | d A A |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 100 | 32.90 | 2.7200 | 100 | 33.00 | 2.7120 | 100 | 33.10 | 2.7040 | 100 | 33.06 | 2.7072 | 100 | 33.20 | 2.7775 |
| 2 | 87 | 38.16 | 2.3563 | 88 | 38.30 | 2.3480 | 96 | 38.38 | 2.3439 | 88 | 38.18 | 2.3551 | 78 | 38.58 | 2.3316 |
| 3 | 48 | 55.26 | 1.6609 | 48 | 55.36 | 1.6581 | 59 | 55.48 | 1.6548 | 47 | 55.48 | 1.6548 | 54 | 55.74 | 1.6477 |
| 4 | 32 | 65.94 | 1.4154 | 31 | 66.08 | 1.4127 | 40 | 66.12 | 1.4120 | 29 | 66.20 | 1.4104 | 31 | 66.30 | 1.4086 |
| 5 | 13 | 69.32 | 1.3544 | 13 | 69.46 | 1.3520 | 20 | 69.46 | 1.3520 | 12 | 69.58 | 1.3500 | 13 | 69.66 | 1.3486 |
| 6 | 5 | 82.18 | 1.1719 | 5 | 82.20 | 1.1717 | 7 | 82.30 | 1.1705 | 4 | 82.28 | 1.1708 | 6 | 82.50 | 1.1682 |

of additional peaks are listed in Tables 4.6, 4.7, 4.8 and 4.9. The tables showed the presence of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ and traces of unidentified impurity. As discussed in section 3, these phases can arise due to aging effects. The lattice parameter values of the cubic phase, present in the films, are calculated and summarized in Table 4.10. The observed variation of the lattice parameter value as a function of firing temperature is explained as follows.

The lattice parameter value was found to decrease with increase in firing temperature for thick films of cao without containing any binder. This decrease can be due to the decrease of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions (ionic radii $\left.1.14 \mathrm{~A}^{\circ}\right)^{131}$, likely to be present as native imperfections in the place of $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions (ionic radii 0.97 A$)^{131}$. The similar decrease in the lattice parameter value for thick films of CdO-GL-2 is observed for the increase in firing temperature from 500 to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the lattice parameter value was same as that of the value observed for the film fired at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the value was further decreased. The initial decrease is again likely to arise due to the decrease of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions. However, at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, if the $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ions (ionic radii $1.2 \AA)^{131}$ from the glass phase gets incorporated into the lattice of CdO, then it is possible

Table 4.6

Additional $X$-ray diffraction peaks observed in thick films of cdo fired at different temperatures

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3} \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ \AA \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~A}} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 8.0 | 23.36 | 3.8047 | 80 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | 11 | 30.18 | 2.9587 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 36.20 | 2.4793 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 40.00 | 2.2521 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 43.60 | 2.0741 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 47.88 | 1.8982 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
| 500 | 4.0 | 49.68 | 1.8335 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 58.00 | 1.5888 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 61.60 | 1.5043 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 62.80 | 1.4784 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 73.00 | 1.2949 | 5 | 1.30 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 74.98 | 1.2656 | 17 | 1.26 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 76.80 | 1.2400 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 6.0 | 23.52 | 3.7824 | 80 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | 9.0 | 30.30 | 2.9472 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 36.46 | 2.4622 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 40.20 | 2.2413 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 43.84 | 2.0633 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 48.12 | 1.8893 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
| 600 | 3.0 | 49.86 | 1.8273 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 57.50 | 1.6014 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 1.0 | 58.24 | 1.5828 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 61.80 | 1.4999 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 63.20 | 1.3739 | 5 | 1.36 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 73.00 | 1.2949 |  |  | 15 | 1.27 |
|  | 0.3 | 75.20 | 1.2624 | 17 | 1.20 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 76.82 | 1.2398 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |

Table 4.6 (Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \AA \\ & \AA \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{CaCO}_{3} \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ \hline \mathbf{A} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ \dot{A} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2.0 | 23.52 | 3.7824 | 80 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 30.30 | 2.9472 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 35.20 | 2.5472 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 1.0 | 35.46 | 2.4622 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 40.20 | 2.2413 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 43.60 | 2.0741 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 48.12 | 1.8893 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
| 700 | 1.0 | 49.86 | 1.8273 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 52.60 | 1.7384 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 3.0 | 57.50 | 1.6014 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 0.7 | 58.24 | 1.5282 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 61.32 | 1.5105 |  |  | 13 | 1.51 |
|  | 0.7 | 68.20 | 1.3739 | 5 | 1.36 |  |  |
|  | 3.0 | 76.90 | 1.2387 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 89.00 | 1.0989 |  |  | 3 | 1.092 |
|  | 1.0 | 23.56 | 3.7729 | 80 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 30.28 | 2.9491 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 36.40 | 2.4661 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 43.80 | 2.0651 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 49.80 | 1.8294 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
| 800 | 0.2 | 55.56 | 1.6526 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 57.52 | 1.6009 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 61.30 | 1.5109 |  |  | 13 | 1.51 |
|  | 0.3 | 68.20 | 1.3739 | 5 | 1.36 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 76.84 | 1.2395 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 89.00 | 1.0989 |  |  | 3 | 1.092 |

Contd..

Table 4.6 (Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CdCO}_{3} \\ \mathrm{~d} \\ \dot{\mathrm{~A}} \end{gathered}$ | Int. | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \\ \mathrm{a} \\ \dot{A} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 0.3 | 35.28 | 2.5418 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.3 | 43.46 | 2.0804 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 52.60 | 1.7384 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 3.0 | 57.58 | 1.5993 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
| 900 | 0.7 | 61.40 | 1.5087 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 68.24 | 1.3732 |  |  | 15 | 1.274 |
|  | 5.0 | 76.92 | 1.2384 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 89.08 | 1.0981 |  |  | 3 | 1.098 |

Table 4.7

Additional $X$-ray diffraction peaks observed in thick films of CdO-GL-1 fired at different temperatures

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. |  |
|  | 1.3 | 23.40 | 3.7983 | 30 | 3.77 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 32.20 | 2.7775 |  |  |  |  |
| 500 | 0.7 | 36.30 | 2.4727 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 43.68 | 2.0705 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 54.80 | 1.6737 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 2.0 | 24.20 | 3.6745 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.3 | 25.38 | 3.5063 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 27.66 | 3.2222 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 29.58 | 3.0173 |  |  | 100 | 3.03 |
|  | 1.3 | 30.18 | 2.9587 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 30.80 | 2.9005 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.0 | 31.10 | 2.8732 |  |  |  |  |
|  | - | 33.40 | 2.6804 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.9 | 36.08 | 2.4672 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 40.50 | 2.2254 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 42.60 | 2.1204 | 45 | 2.12 |  |  |
|  | 4.7 | 46.04 | 1.9697 |  |  |  |  |
| 600 | 4.0 | 49.76 | 1.8308 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 51.88 | 1.7609 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.7 | 52.40 | 1.7446 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.7 | 53.28 | 1.7178 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.3 | 56.60 | 1.6347 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 0.3 | 57.00 | 1.6142 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 59.86 | 1.5438 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 64.90 | 1.4355 | 15 | 1.42 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 67.80 | 1.3810 | 5 | 1.36 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 77.60 | 1.2292 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 79.34 | 1.2066 | 8 | 1.19 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 84.98 | 1.1403 | 8 | 1.14 |  |  |

Table 4.7 (Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{A} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \\ & \dot{\mathrm{~A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. |  |
| 700 | 1.9 | 25.40 | 3.5036 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | 27.74 | 3.2131 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 30.88 | 2.8932 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | 31.24 | 2.8607 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 34.10 | 2.6270 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.8 | 36.18 | 2.4806 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 42.70 | 2.1157 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 44.78 | 2.0221 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 46.16 | 1.9648 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 49.80 | 1.8294 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 52.02 | 1.7564 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 2.5 | 53.30 | 1.7172 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 56.70 | 1.6221 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 0.3 | 57.10 | 1.6116 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 59.88 | 1.5433 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 65.00 | 1.4336 |  |  | 20 | 1.44 |
|  | 0.6 | 68.00 | 1.3774 | 5 | 1.37 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 77.70 | 1.2279 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 79.40 | 1.2058 | 8 | 1.19 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 85.00 | 1.1401 | 8 | 1.14 |  |  |
| 800 | 7.6 | 31.78 | 2.8133 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.3 | 36.40 | 2.4661 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 2.4 | 37.16 | 2.4174 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 41.00 | 2.1994 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 41.68 | 2.1651 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 53.00 | 1.7262 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 53.58 | 1.7089 |  | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 62.80 | 1.4784 | 5 |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 63.68 | 1.4600 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 86.80 | 1.1210 | 8 | 1.12 |  |  |

Table 4.7 (Continued)

| Firing temp. |  | Additional peaks | peaks | $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Reported data for } \\ \mathrm{CdCO}_{3} & \mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Int. | Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \AA \\ & \mathbf{A} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | d A A | Int. | d |


|  | 3.3 | 31.88 | 2.8047 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 35.20 | 2.5474 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 1.1 | 37.36 | 2.4049 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 41.80 | 2.1592 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 43.50 | 2.0786 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 45.58 | 1.9885 |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | 0.3 | 52.60 | 1.7384 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.6 | 53.20 | 1.7202 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 57.64 | 1.5978 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 61.40 | 1.5087 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.3 | 62.80 | 1.4784 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 63.86 | 1.4564 |  |  | 20 | 1.44 |
|  | 1.7 | 76.88 | 1.2389 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |

Table 4.8
Additional $X$-ray diffraction peaks observed in thick films of CdO-GL-2 fired at different temperatures

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\text { A }} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{d} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{~A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ |
| 500 | 0.2 | 30.48 | 2.9302 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 25.10 | 3.5448 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 28.26 | 3.1552 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 29.38 | 3.0374 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.4 | 30.00 | 2.9760 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 2.1 | 30.64 | 2.9153 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.9 | 31.38 | 2.8482 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.1 | 33.60 | 2.6649 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.4 | 34.50 | 2.5974 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.2 | 39.40 | 2.2850 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
| 600 | 0.2 | 41.10 | 2.1943 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 42.78 | 2.1119 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 43.80 | 2.0651 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 49.86 | 1.8273 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 50.20 | 1.8158 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 51.40 | 1.7762 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 52.60 | 1.7384 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.2 | 57.80 | 1.5938 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 59.70 | 1.5475 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 62.68 | 1.4809 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 0.2 | 63.78 | 1.4580 | 15 | 1.42 |  |  |

Table 4.8 Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $2 \theta$ | d |  |  |  |  |
|  | Int. | Degree | A | Int. | d | Int. | A |


|  | 1.5 | 25.20 | 3.5309 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.8 | 28.30 | 3.1508 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 29.60 | 3.0153 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | 30.20 | 2.9568 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 6.2 | 30.70 | 2.9097 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.4 | 31.40 | 2.8464 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.6 | 31.90 | 2.8030 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 33.70 | 2.6572 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.3 | 34.54 | 2.5945 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.8 | 38.84 | 2.3166 |  |  |  |  |
| 700 | 0.4 | 39.40 | 2.2850 | 3 | 2.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 41.38 | 2.1801 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 42.98 | 2.1026 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 44.20 | 2.0473 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 46.80 | 1.9395 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 49.00 | 1.8574 | 33 | 1.88 |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 50.40 | 1.8090 | 80 | 1.83 |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 51.40 | 1.7762 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 52.38 | 1.7452 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 52.98 | 1.7269 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.8 | 57.90 | 1.5913 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 62.80 | 1.4784 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |

Table 4.8 (Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | $\begin{gathered} 2 \theta \\ \text { Degree } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{a} \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | Int. | a A | Int. |  |
|  | 8.2 | 31.80 | 2.8115 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 36.38 | 2.4674 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 1.8 | 37.18 | 2.4161 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 41.60 | 2.1691 |  |  |  |  |
| 800 | 1.8 | 52.92 | 1.7287 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.9 | 53.58 | 1.7089 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 62.60 | 1.4826 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 1.4 | 63.60 | 1.4617 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 76.90 | 1.2387 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 0.4 | 77.12 | 1.2360 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.7 | 31.78 | 2.8133 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 37.18 | 2.4161 |  |  |  |  |
| 900 | 0.3 | 52.86 | 1.7305 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.7 | 63.60 | 1.4617 | 5 | 5.147 |  |  |
|  | 0.5 | 76.80 | 1.2400 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |

Table 4.9
Additional $X$-ray diffraction peaks observed ${ }^{23-96}$ in thick films of CdO-GL-3 fired at different temperatures

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | Degree |  | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { \& } \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ |
| 500 | 4.8 | 25.50 | 3.4901 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 31.40 | 2.8464 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 35.04 | 2.5586 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.6 | 37.60 | 2.3901 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 41.58 | 2.1701 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.2 | 43.24 | 2.0905 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 44.80 | 2.0213 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.4 | 52.50 | 1.7415 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 8.3 | 57.48 | 1.6019 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.4 | 61.30 | 1.5109 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 68.20 | 1.3739 | 5 | 1.36 |  |  |
|  | 7.1 | 76.98 | 1.2376 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 89.10 | 1.0980 | 8 | 1.02 |  |  |
| 600 | 2.3 | 25.58 | 3.4793 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.8 | 30.10 | 2.9664 | 100 | 1.94 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 31.00 | 2.8823 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 31.78 | 2.8133 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 34.10 | 2.6270 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 34.48 | 2.5989 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 35.18 | 2.5488 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.6 | 36.28 | 2.4740 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 36.66 | 2.4492 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 43.40 | 2.0998 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
|  | 1.2 | 57.54 | 1.6004 |  |  | 30 | 1.63 |
|  | 1.2 | 77.04 | 1.2368 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |

Table 4.9 (Continued)

| Firing terpp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Additional peaks |  |  | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{d} \\ & \dot{\mathbf{A}} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \AA \\ & \text { A } \end{aligned}$ | Int. |  |
|  | 1.1 | 24.80 | 3.5870 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 25.60 | 3.4767 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 28.50 | 3.1291 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 31.00 | 2.8823 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 31.40 | 2.8464 |  |  |  |  |
| 700 | 1.1 | 31.80 | 2.8115 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 35.20 | 2.5474 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 2.2 | 36.30 | 2.4727 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 2.2 | 36.68 | 2.4479 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 50.80 | 1.7957 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 1.1 | 77.12 | 1.2357 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 24.76 | 3.5927 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.0 | 25.58 | 3.4793 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 28.58 | 3.1206 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 30.30 | 2.9472 | 100 | 2.94 |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 30.98 | 2.8841 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 31.40 | 2.8464 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 31.80 | - 2.8115 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.3 | 32.10 | 2.7859 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.7 | 32.60 | 2.7444 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 34.40 | 2.6048 |  |  |  |  |
| 800 | 1.4 | 36.28 | 2.4740 | 50 | 2.46 |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 36.70 | 2.4466 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.4 | 37.68 | 2.3852 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 45.68 | 1.9844 |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.9 (Continued)

| Firing temp. ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  | Additional peaks | peaks | Reported data for |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Int. | Degree | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{\mathrm{A}} \end{aligned}$ | Int. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d } \\ & \stackrel{\circ}{A} \end{aligned}$ | Int. |  |
| 800 | 0.7 | 50.80 | 1.7957 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.7 | 51.40 | 1.7762 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 1.3 | 53.40 | 1.7143 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 54.40 | 1.6851 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.6 | 57.60 | 1.5988 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 62.98 | 1.4746 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 1.3 | 64.60 | 1.4415 |  |  | 20 | 1.443 |
|  | 0.6 | 77.00 | 1.2373 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |
|  | 3.7 | 25.60 | 3.4767 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 31.20 | 2.8642 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.7 | 32.20 | 2.7775 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 35.40 | 2.5334 |  |  | 100 | 2.56 |
|  | 0.9 | 37.78 | 2.3791 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 43.70 | 2.0676 | 45 | 2.06 |  |  |
| 900 | 0.9 | 44.70 | 2.0256 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 53.60 | 1.7083 |  |  | 30 | 1.74 |
|  | 0.9 | 56.98 | 1.6148 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 57.80 | 1.5938 | 40 | 1.58 |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 61.60 | 1.5043 | 17 | 1.50 |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 63.00 | 1.4742 | 5 | 1.47 |  |  |
|  | 0.9 | 64.60 | 1.4415 |  |  | 20 | 1.443 |
|  | 2.8 | 77.20 | 1.2346 | 5 | 1.23 |  |  |

Table 4.10

Lattice parameter values for the films of CdO, Cdo-GL-1, CdO-GL-2 and CdO-GL-3 as a function of their firing temperature

| Sr. No. | Firing Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ |  | ce parameter Cdo-10\% GL-1 | (A) for thic CdO-108 GL-2 | films of CdO-10\% GL-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 500 | 4.704 | 4.696 | 4.697 | 4.693 |
| 2 | 600 | 4.695 | 4.694 | 4.700 | 4.686 |
| 3 | 700 | 4.694 | 4.691 | 4.701 | 4.683 |
| 4 | 800 | 4.693 | 4.697 | 4.702 | 4.679 |
| 5 | 900 | 4.688 | 4.688 | 4.707 | 4.669 |

to have higher lattice parameter value. Further decrease of the value at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ can arise due to the further loss of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ion or due to the oxidation of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ions to $\mathrm{Pb}^{4+}$ ions (ionic radii $0.87 \AA$ ) ${ }^{131}$.

The films of CdO-GL-3 also showed decrease in the lattice parameter value with the increasing firing temperature as observed for the films of cao. The decrease is more pronounced for the films of CdO-GL-3. In the glass GL-3, the main ingredient is ZnO . The ionic radii ${ }^{131}$ of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions is $0.74 \AA$. Thus, any incorporation of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in cao during firing will result in lowering of the lattice parameter. The decrease will be significant for the decrease $\circ f \mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions as it has higher ionic radii. The combined effect of both the phenomenon is likely to show pronounced decrease of the lattice parameter value as observed by us.

The films of CdO-GL-2 showed the increase in lattice parameter value with the increase of firing temperature. The main ingredient of GL-3 glass is $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$. The ionic radii ${ }^{131}$ of $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ is 0.74 A . Thus, the incorporation of $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ ions in cao during the firing will result in lowering of the lattice parameter. However, we have observed increase in the lattice parameter. This can be explained
as follows.

The binary oxide system, that is an oxide containing foreign atom, can be classified into two categories ${ }^{132}$. The simplest case is that in which foreign atom has the same valence as the atom it replaces and forms compounds of same formula and same crystal structure as the compound in which it is dissolved. The solid solutions thus obtained are termed as "normal" mixed crystal. The examples 133-139 of such types of mixed crystals are $(\mathrm{CaMn}) 0, \quad(\mathrm{CdSr}) 0, \quad(\mathrm{CdZn}) 0, \quad(\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Cr}) \mathrm{O}_{3}$ etc. In such compounds, the physical properties like lattice parameter, vary linearly with the amount/concentration of the foreign atom present in the host crystal lattice. In thick films of CdO-GL-1 and CdO-GL-3, such types of normal mixed arystal, $(C d, P b) O$ and $(C d, Z n) o$ are likely to form during the firing of the films. The Pbo and Zno are respectively present in glasses $G L-1$ and $G L-3$ as main ingredients. The second type of binary oxide system is the one in which the dopant atoms or foreign atoms have a valence different from that of the atom which they replace. Such oxide system may belong to one of the following three categories ${ }^{132}$ :
(1) The incorporation of foreign atom may form atomic imperfections with well defined concentrations. For
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example the incorporation of $\mathrm{CaCl}_{2}$ in NaCl forms vacancies of Na or interstitial chlorine. In either case, the concentration of atomic imperfections formed is well defined viz.. one per $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{Na}}$ atom. It is termed as 'controlled atomic imperfections, 140 . Koch and Wagner first studied the properties related to this type ${ }^{141}$.


(2) Atoms of the host crystal may change their valency as a consequence of the incorporation of foreign atom. For example, when Li is incorporated in NiO, equivalent amount of Ni atoms changes its valence from 2 to 3 without the formation of atomic imperfections. Verwey and coworkers first reported this and named as 'control valence'.
(3) It may occur that foreign atom (pure), when dissolved in host oxide, it may adapt itself and form a compound similar to the host compound. Thus, with manganese in $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$, solid solutions $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}-\mathrm{Mn} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}-\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ are formed ${ }^{143}$. Selwood introduced the term induced valence' for such type.

The properties of $\mathrm{CdO}-\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solid solutions can be explained by means of controlled valence process. If $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ from the glass phase is incorporated into the lattice of cao, the quasichemical reaction on the basis of $\mathrm{ZnO}: \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$
system ${ }^{144}$ can be written as

$$
\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \rightleftarrows 2 \mathrm{Bi}^{+}(\mathrm{cd})+2 \Theta+2 \mathrm{CAO}(\mathrm{~s})+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{o}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})
$$

Here, the sequence of events can be explained as: (1) The two oxides $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and ZnO react. (ii) The Bi ions display $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions from their normal position to give rise to excess positive charge at those locations. (iii) Two of the three $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ions derived from $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ and the two displaced $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions form additional $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+} \mathrm{O}^{2-}$ units $\mathrm{cdo}(\mathrm{s})$. (iv) The third $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ ion originally associated with $\mathrm{Bi}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ is ejected into the gas phase as $\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{~g})$. Two electrons left behind convert two $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions in lattice to give pair of $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions. The mixed oxide is designated as $\mathrm{Bi}_{\mathrm{x}}^{3+} \mathrm{Cd}_{1-\mathrm{x}}^{2+} \mathrm{Cd}_{\mathrm{x}}^{+} \mathrm{O}_{1+\mathrm{x}}^{2-}$ which indicate that Cd is encountered in two valence state $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$. The $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions increase with the $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ ions that get incorporated into cal. With increase in firing temperature, more of $\mathrm{Bi}^{3+}$ ions can get incorporated into the lattice Gao, thereby increasing the concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ion and consequently increasing the lattice parameter.

### 4.3 Scanning electron microscopic studies

Scanning electron micrograph of thick films of cato without containing any binder were obtained using a
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Cambridge Steroscan 150 Scanning Electron Microscope. The micrographs, obtained after each firing, are shown in Fig. 4.6 A to E. The micrographs of the films show increasing grain growth with the peak firing temperature. However, the grains were agglomerated and it was difficult to measure the grain size.

### 4.4 Determination of crystallite size

In the physicochemical characterization of the oxide ceramics, a knowledge of particle and crystallite size has become increasingly important because of the fact that the kinetic reactions in powders are strongly influenced by the crystallite size of the ceramics ${ }^{145}$. The $X$-ray line broadening effects are frequently used to follow sintering process and crystallite-growth kinetics. The first such application was to study the growth of MgO crystallite prepared by decomposition of carbonate ${ }^{146}$ at temperature above $400^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The crystallite growth of $B e O$ from sulfate, hydroxide and oxalate sources has also been reported ${ }^{147}$. The crystallite size
measurements were therefore carried out from the X-ray diffractograms of thick films of cao. The relationship between the crystallite size and $X$-ray diffraction peak broadening was first given by Scherrer as ${ }^{145,148}$

$$
D=\frac{R \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
$$



Fig. 4.6 : SCANNING ELECTRON MICROGRAPHS OF THICK FILMS OF CdO FIRED AT (A) 500 , (B) 600 , (C) 700 , (D) 800 AND ( B ) $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
where $D$ is crystallite size, $\lambda$ is wavelength, $\theta$ is Bragg angle, $\beta$ is pure diffraction broadening and $K$ is the constant. Using this relation, crystallite size for cubic crystals of different polyhedral shape has been calculated $145,149,150$. The detailed procedure has been given by Bratram ${ }^{145}$. He had described three different methods, viz, half breadth, Warren equation and integral breadth. We have used the half breadth method to calculate crystallite size of cao in thick films of cao. The initial crystallite size of the starting polycrystalline Cdo was also calculated ( $D_{0}=0.1015 \mu_{m}$ ). The crystallite size D for the different peak firing temperatures are listed in Table 4.11.
4.5 Grain growth studies in thick films of cao

Scanning electron micrographs of the films showed the increasing grain growth with firing temperature. However, the grains were agglomerated and it was difficult to measure the grain size. The relative grain size of the air fired films at successive firing temperature was obtained from the X-ray diffractograms using the half width method as listed in Table 4.11. The observations can be explained as follows.

The rapid grain growth in porous cdo sintered in

## Table 4.11

Variation of crystallite size with peak firing temperature in thick films of cdo

| Sr. No. | Peak firing temp. (K) | ```Crystallite size D ( }\mu\textrm{m}``` | $\begin{gathered} D^{3} \\ (\mu \mathrm{~m})^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \log \left[\frac{\left(D^{3}-D_{0}^{3}\right)}{t}\right] \\ & (\mu \mathrm{m})^{3} / \mathrm{min} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 873 | 0.3116 | 0.0302 | -2.5345 |
| 2 | 973 | 0.5639 | 0.1793 | -1.7489 |
| 3 | 1073 | 0.9868 | 0.9609 | -1.0178 |
| 4 | 1173 | 1.3158 | 2.27808 | -0.6426 |

hydrogen was attributed to vapour transport, but the activation energy was reported to be much higher than that expected from vapour transport ${ }^{151,152}$. Grain growth controlled by volume diffusion resulting from impurity drag in dense polycrystalline cao was found to follow one-third time-dependent relation ${ }^{153}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{3}-D_{0}^{3}=A e^{-Q / R T} t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the grain diameter at time $t$, $D_{\text {。 }}$ is the initial grain diameter, $A$ is a constant and $Q$ is the activation energy for grain growth. Fig. 4.7 shows linear variation of $\log \left[\left(D^{3}-D_{0}^{3}\right) / t\right]$ with the reciprocal of the absolute temperature T. The activation energy, $Q=125 \pm 11 \mathrm{~kJ} / \mathrm{mole}$ found from the slope is close to the activation energy of $134 \pm 16.8 \mathrm{RJ} /$ mole observed for grain growth of dense polycrystalline oxide in temperature range 1173-1373 K. The present experimental data fit well in equation(1) indicating that the grain growth in thick films of cao in temperature range 873-1173 K is controlled by volume diffusion due to impurity drag. The comparison between the activation energy values for different specimens of Cdo is shown in Table 4.12.

### 4.6 Resistivity of thick films of CaO

The sheet resistivity values of thick films of cao

Table 4.12

Comparison of activation energy for different specimens of cadmium oxide

| Sr. <br> No. | Nature of specimen | Atmosphere | Temp. <br> range (K) | Activation <br> energy <br> (RJ/mole) | Ref. |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Porous cao | Hydrogen | $1173-1373$ | $108 \pm 19$ | 151 |
| 2 | Polycrystalline <br> Cdo | Air | $1173-1373$ | $134 \pm 17$ | 153 |
| 3 | Thick films of cao | Air | $873-1173$ | $125 \pm 11$ | This <br> work |

without containing any binder were measured after each firing of the films and are summarized in Table 4.13. The variation of sheet resistivity as a function of the firing temperature is shown in Fig. 4.8. The figure shows almost linear decrease of the resistivity values as the temperature increases from 600 to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. However, the value observed for the films fired at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is lower. The variation can arise because of the following facts.

As discussed in section 4, the $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$content in the film decreases with the increase in firing temperature. The concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions will, therefore, be highest in the film fired at $500^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to decrease the resistivity to its observed lowest value. At $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the considerable decrease in the lattice parameter value suggests the decrease of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions to increase the resistivity considerably. The slight decrease in the lattice parameter value above $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ indicates further loss of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions and increase of the resistivity value. However, above $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the figure shows continuous decrease of the resistivity value with increase of firing temperature. This can be explained with the help of microstructure attained after each firing.


FIG. 4.8: VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY AS A FUNCTION OF FIRING TEMPERATURE FOR THICK FILMS OF CdO.
Table 4.13
Variation of resistivity with firing temperature and with of glass frit binders

| Sheet resistivity in $\mathrm{K} \Omega$ /square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sr. No. | temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | cdo | $\begin{gathered} \text { CdO-5\% } \\ \text { GL-1 } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { GL- }-1}{\text { Cdo }}$ | $\underset{\text { GL-2 }}{\substack{\text { cao-5s }}}$ | $\underset{\text { GL-2 }}{\substack{\text { CaO-108 }}}$ | $\underset{\text { GL-3 }}{\substack{\text { GOO-5 }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CdO-108 } \\ \text { GL-3 } \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | 500 | 0.4403 | 2.3788 | 0.2629 | 8.7086 | 0.1849 | 0.7797 | 0.1007 |
| 2 | 600 | 0.7574 | 1.6034 | 0.6028 | 2.9457 | 225.77 | 0.3809 | 0.08009 |
| 3 | 700 | 0.5691 | 0.3568 | 0.2683 | 2.5777 | 35.34 | 0.1110 | 0.0444 |
| 4 | 800 | 0.2946 | 0.0812 | 0.0243 | 8.8843 | 98.37 | 0.0289 | 0.0170 |
| 5 | 900 | 0.0803 | 0.0341 | 0.0109 | 31.6 | 225.49 | 0.0588 | 0.0074 |

of do fired in temperature range 600 to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ show progressive grain growth which can lower the contact resistance. It has been observed that the major portion of the total resistivity comes from electron scattering at the grain boundaries 154,155 . The quantitative relation was given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{e_{0}}{e_{9}}=1-\frac{3}{2} \gamma-3 \gamma^{2}-3 \gamma^{3} \ln (1+\gamma) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $=\frac{\lambda_{0}}{d_{a v}} \frac{R}{1-R}$
where $e_{0}$ and $e_{g}$ are bulk and grain boundary resistivity, $\lambda_{0}$ is background mean free path, $R$ is the reflection coefficient and $d_{a v}$ is the average grain diameter. The equation(1) has been simplified as ${ }^{154}$

$$
\frac{e_{0}}{e_{g}} \approx 1+\frac{3}{2} \gamma \quad \quad \gamma \ll 1 \quad \ldots(2
$$

$$
\frac{e_{0}}{e_{9}} \approx \frac{4}{3} \gamma \quad \gamma \gg 1
$$



FIG. 4.9: VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY AS A FUNCTION OF GRAIN DIAMETER.

### 4.7 Influence of the binder on the sheet resistivity

The sheet resistivity values of thick films of cdo containing different types of binders were measured after each firing of the films and are listed in Table 4.13.

The variation of sheet with the content of GL-1 type of binder (PbO-based glass) is shown in Fig. 4.10. The figure shows maxima in the sheet resistivity value for 5 wt of the binder and for firing temperature of 500 and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The variation is almost linear for firing temperature of $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The similar variation is observed for GL-3 type of binder (znO-based glass) as shown in Fig. 4.12. However, for this type of binder the linear variation is observed for the firing temperature of $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The variation of sheet resistivity with the content of GL-2 type of binder is shown in Fig. 4.11. In this case, maximum values of sheet resistivity were observed for the films containing 10 weight percent of the binder and fired in temperature range of 600 to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No linear variation is observed for any of the firing temperature.

The variation of sheet resistivity with firing temperature were plotted for all three of binders as shown in Figs. 4.13 and 4.14. The films containing GL-1 type of binder ( 5 wt $\%$ ) show the behaviour resembling to the


FIG. 4.IO: VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY WITH THE CONTENT OF GL-I TYPE OF BINDER.


FIG. 4.II VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY WITH THE CONTENT OF GL-2 TYPE OF BINDER


FIG. 4.12 : VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY WITH THE CONTENT OF GL- 3 TYPE OF BINDER.
films without containing any binder (Fig. 4.8). Por the binder GL-3, the behaviour is almost linear. However, in the case of GL-2 binder, no definite trend is observed (Fig. 4.13). For higher concentration of binder ( 10 wt \%), the films containing GL-1 and GL-3 show decrease in resistivity values with increase in firing temperature as shown in Fig. 4.14. The films containing GL-2 first show decrease in the resistivity values in firing temperature range 500 to $700^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the increase in the range 700 to $900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 4.8 Conclusions

Thick films of cadmium oxide were obtained by screen printing and firing in temperature range $500-900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Three different glass frit binders were propared and used to prepare thick films of Cdo. These four different series of the films, viz, caO, caO-GL-1, caO-GL-2 and cao-GL-3 are studied by X-ray diffraction techniquas. These studies indicated the presence of cubic cdo and additional impurity phases of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$.

The variation of the lattice parameter for the films of cao indicates change of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ions to $\mathrm{Cd}^{2+}$ ions with increase in firing temperature. The films of caO-GL-1 also show similar changes and indicate the incorporation


FIG. 4.13 : VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY AS A FUNCTION OF FIRING TEMPERATURE FOR THICK FILMS OF CdO-GL-I, CdO-GL-2, AND CdO-GL-3, CONTAINING 5 WEIGHT \% OF GL.


FIG. 4.14 :VARIATION OF SHEET RESISTIVITY AS AFUNCTION OF FIRING TEMPERATURE FOR THICK FILMS OF CdO-GL-I, CdO-GL-2 AND CdO-GL-3 CONTAINING IO WEIGHT \% OF GL.
of $\mathrm{Pb}^{2+}$ ions into the lattice of cao at firing temperature of $800^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The films of CdO-GL-3 showed continuous decrease in the lattice parameter values and decrease in the concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$. In the case of CdO-GL-2 films, increase in the lattice parameter value is observed. This is explained on the basis of controlled valence process, similar to that observed in $\mathrm{ZnO} \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ system.

Scanning electron micrographs of thick films of Cdo showed the increasing grain growth with increase in firing temperature. The relative grain size was estimated using the $X$-ray diffractograms of the films. The variation of relative grain size with temperature gave an activation energy of $125 \pm 11 \mathrm{~kJ} /$ mole. In conclusion, grain growth in thick films of cadmium oxide fired in air at $600-900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is observed for the first time. The comparison of these studies with the reported data of related system suggests that the grain growth is most likely due to the volume diffusion resulting from impurity drag.

The resistivity of thick films of Cdo was studied as a function of firing temperature. The studies indicate that two processes occur in thick films of Cdo. (i) The $\mathrm{Ca}^{+}$ions change considerably to $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$ ions when the firing temperature is increased from 500 to $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to increase
the resistivity value. (ii) The decrease in intercrystalline resistance is the major part of the firing process and may reduce the resistivity due to lowering of the electron scattering at grain boundaries.

The influence of the binder on the resistivity of thick films of Cdo was studied for the amount of content and type of binder. These studies indicate that with PbObased (GL-1) and ZnO-based (GL-3) binders, the resistivity can be controlled with the concentration of binder and for firing temperature of 700 and $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively.

In summary, cao is prospective candidate for its wide usage as a conducting material in thick film resistors.
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## Summary

In the last decade, a large number of high value added high-tech materials are available which exhibit or deliver superior mechanical, electrical, magnetic and optical properties. These are now commercially produced from readily available materials such as oxides, nitrides, carbides of iron, zirconium, titanium, aluminium, silicon, etc. through the optimization of many process parameters. The experimental research efforts on high-tech ceramics are focussed on what happens to these products at atomic, molecular or crystal level during their synthesis, processing, characterization and extensive usage. Physicochemical characterization of cadmium oxide ceramics dealing with synthesis, electronic processes and thick film form are therefore carried out in our laboratory.

The synthesis of $\mathrm{Cd}_{1-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{O}$ system was carried out by ususl decomposition reaction, monitored through simultaneous DTA/TG/DTG plots. The X-ray diffraction studies of the prepared composition showed that the system exhibits cubic crystal structure. The lattice parameter values of the compositions are close to the reported value of Cdo. The X-ray diffraction studies of the aged samples clearly revealed the presence of
$\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. These phases grow during the aging period by the action of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on cao.

The extensive $\mathrm{FPR}^{2}$ studies of the $\mathrm{Cd}{ }_{1-x^{M n}} \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{O}}$ system are carried out for the first time. All the $g$ values obtained for the GPR signal observed in the system are comparable with the reported $g$ values of different paramagnetic centers present in a variety of nonstoichiometric specimens of cao.

The observations of hyperfine and superhyperfine lines for this system showed that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions occupy substitutional site in nearly cubic environment of oxygen octahedra in Cdo. Further, the observation of superhyperfine lines suggested that $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ electrons are delocalized to give superhyperfine interactions with the nearest neighbouring cd nuclei of nonzero spin. The spin Hamiltonian parameters are comparable with that reported for other cubic system.

The aged sample of the system showed an additional signal at $g=2.778$ corresponding to the $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions present in the impurity phases. The aged sample also showed an additional hyperfine sextet growing with the aging period. This sextet is likely to arise from $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$
ions in the impurity phase of $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$.

Thick films of cdo were prepared by using different types of binder. The concentration of the binder varied from 0 to 10 wt is. The X-ray diffraction studies of the films showed the presence of impurity phases of $\mathrm{CdCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Cd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$. The variation of lattice parameter for the films of cao indicated the oxidation of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ ions to $C l^{2+}$ ions during the firing process. The films of CdO-GL-1 also showed similar changes and indicated the incorporation of $\mathrm{Pb}^{\mathbf{2 +}}$ ions into the lattice of cao at firing temperature of $600^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The films of Cdo-GL-3 showed continuous decrease in the lattice parameter values and decrease in the concentration of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$. In the case of CdO-GL-2 films, increase in the lattice parameter value is observed. This is explained on the basis of controlled valency process similar to that observed in $\mathrm{ZnO}: \mathrm{Ga}^{3+}$ system.

The grain growth in thick films of cdo fired in the temperature range of $600-900^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is observed for the first time. The comparison of these studies with the reported data of related system suggests that the grain growth is most likely due to the volume diffusion resulting from impurity drag.

The variation of sheet resistivity of thick films of cao is explained on the basis of oxidation of $\mathrm{Cd}^{+}$ ions to $\mathrm{Cd}^{++}$ions and electron scattering at grain boundaries. The influence of the binder on sheet resistivity values of the films is discussed.

In conslusion, $\mathrm{Mn}^{2+}$ ions are found to be an excellent $E P R$ microprobe to monitor the local environment and stoichiometry in bulk $C d O$ and $C d o$ is prospective candidate for its wide usage as a conducting material in thick film resistors.
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