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## GENERAL REMARKS

1. All melting points and boiling points were recorded on the Celsius scale and uncorrected.
2. IR spectra were recorded as nujol mull or neat, on a Perkin-Elmer Infrared Spectrometer Model 599-B, Model 1620 FT-IR and ATI Mattson, UK, Model-RS-1 FT-IR, using sodium chloride optics. IR bands are expressed in frequency $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$.
3. ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectra were recorded using tetramethylsilane as internal reference on Bruker MSL-300, Bruker AC-200, Bruker WH-90, Bruker FT-80A. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million ( $\delta$ ). Abbreviations, viz., $s=$ singlet, $d=$ doublet. $t=$ triplet, $d d=$ doublet of doublet, $d t=$ doublet of a triplet, brs = broad singlet, $\mathrm{br}=$ broad peak and $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet have been used. $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was used as the solvent unless otherwise mentioned.
4. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker MSL-300 and Bruker AC-200 instrument operating at 75 MHz and 50 MHz respectively.
5. Mass spectra were recorded on a Finnigan-Mat 1020C mass spectrophotometer at 70 eV .
6. X-ray crystal diffraction data were obtained from Siemens R3m/V diffractometer and crystallographic calculations were carried with the laid of the SHELXTL Plus program package.
7. The progress of the reaction was monitored by analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) and/or gas chromatography (GC). Analytical TLC were performed using precoated silica gel $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck, Germany) plates. GC analysis was done using Perkin Elmer, Model 8700.
8. Exact product ratios were determined by GC analysis (Perkin Elmer, model 8700) using capillary column SP-1000 or Methyl silicon or Phenyl silicon $50 \mathrm{~m}, 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$.
9. Cyclic Voltametric experiments were carried out with a three electrode assembly on a Bioanalytical system, model CV-27 or PAR 175 Universal programmer and PAR RE0074 XY recorder.
10. Quantum Yield measurements were performed using Applied Photophysics Quantum Yield reactor, model QYR-20.
11. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were taken on a VG AUTOSPEC-M mass spectrometer with OPUS V3.IX software.
12. Photoirradiations were performed using 450W Hanovia medium pressure lamp.
13. Known compounds were characterised by their boiling points, melting points, IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR.
14. All optical rotations were measured on a JASCO-181 digital polarimeter using Na light ( 4893 A). Concentrations are expressed in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}$.
15. Pet-ether refers to the fraction boiling between $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
16. Room temperature (r.t.) refers to the temperature $30 \pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
17. The number assigned to the compounds, charts, figures and schemes in each chapter of the thesis refer only to that particular chapter.

## List of Abbreviations

| $A C$ | Acetyl |
| :---: | :---: |
| aq | aqueous |
| AIBN | a, $a^{\prime}$-Azo bis(isobutyronitrile) |
| Bn | benzyl |
| bp. | boiling point |
| Bu | butyl |
| $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ | Tributyltin hydride |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | Acetonitrile |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | Dichloromethane |
| CIP | Contact ion pair |
| $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$ | Oxalyl chloride |
| $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$ | Copper(II) sulfate |
| DCA | 9,10-Dicyanoanthracene |
| DCM | dichloromethane |
| DMF | N, N-dimethyl formamide |
| DMN | 1,5-Dimethoxynaphthalene |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| ED | Electron doner |
| ET | Electron transfer |
| $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | Triethyl amine |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Diethyl ether |
| EtOAc | ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | Ethanol |
| FRIP | Free radical ion pair |
| HMPA | Hexamethyl phosphoric triamide |
| g | gram |
| h | hour |
| $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ | iso-Propanol |
| ImH | Imidazole |
| IR | infrared |
| $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | Potassium carbonate |


| $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ | Potassium permanganate |
| :---: | :---: |
| KOH | Potassium hydroxide |
| M | molar |
| LAH | Lithium aluminium hydride |
| mL | millilitre |
| mmol | millimole |
| mp | melting point |
| MeOH | Methanol |
| $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ | n-Butyl lithium |
| NaH | Sodium hydride |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | Sodium bicarbonate |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ | Sodium sulfate |
| $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ | Sodium thiosulfate |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | Ammonia |
| NMM | N-Methyl morpholine |
| PCC | Pyridinium chlorochromate |
| PET | Photosensitised electron transfer |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ | Triphenyl phosphine |
| $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ | Triphenyl phosphine oxide |
| Py | Pyridine |
| rt | room temp |
| SCE | Standard calomel electrode |
| $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$ | Samarium(II) iodide |
| $t$-Bu | tert-Butyl |
| TBAF | Tetrabutylammonium fluoride |
| TBDMS | tert-Butyldimethylsilyl |
| TBDMSCl | tert-Butyldimethylsilyl chloride |
| TEA | triethylamine |
| THF | tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | thin layer chromatography |
| TsOH | $p$-Toluene sulfonic acid |
| TMSCl | chlorotrimethylsilane |

## SYNOPSIS OF THE THESIS

## SXNOPSIS OF THE THESIS

Investigations embodied in the thesis entitled "Synthetic Perspectives of Photoreductive $\beta$ Activation of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Carbonyls for Radical Reactions" is divided into three chapters which are as follows:

## Chapter I. Development of Photosystem for Sequential ET Reaction.

This chapter presents a concise description on the secondary electron transfer processes with earlier literature reports and a brief discussion on the development of two photosystems for sequential ET reactions. Detailed photophysical parameters regarding electron transfer are provided. More emphasis is given on the concept, background and its applications for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ - bond formation reaction.

ChapterIII. Intermolecular coupling of cyclic enones with activated alkenes or alkynes : Attempts towards the synthesis of chiral $P G$ analogue.

This chapter describes the applications of electron harvesting concept through sequential ET processes for promoting intermolecular addition of activated alkenes and alkynes to cyclic enones as a new strategy for C - C - bond formation reactions. The $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketone moiety of cyclic enones, upon PET activation, generates a radical center at

its $\beta$-position which eventually adds to an activated alkene or alkyne to give coupling products. For illustration, when a mixture of cycloalkenone 1 and ethyl acrylate 2 is subjected to PET activation reaction, leads to the unprecedented coupling product 3 in moderate yield.

The coupling of methyl propiolate 4 with 1 gives thermodynamically more stable trans-isomer 5. Compound 6, when subjected to coupling reaction with 4, using PET activation condition, furnished compound 7 with a very high 2,3-trans-diastereoselectivity.



Encouraged by the excellent stereoselectivity observed during the coupling of 6 and 4 . the same methodology is extended for the synthesis of biologically active prostaglandin analogue (9).

Present approach:




Results from the above approach including preparation of the chiral prostaglandin precursor 8 starting from D-tartaric acid are presented.


Chapter II.
a) Intramolecular coupling of activated dienes for medium size ring synthesis.

A new strategy is developed for the $\beta$-activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters by sequential ET processes, Reductive activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester moiety generates a radical centre at it's $\beta$-position which efficiently cyclises to tethered activated olefin to give trans-1,2-disubstituted carbocyclic compound in very good chemical yield. When compound 14 is subjected to PET activation, cyclized product 15 is obtained .


14
15

This strategy has been further extended for the stereoselective synthesis of 2,3disubstituted hydropyran sub-units by the intramolecular cyclization of 16 as shown below.


16
17
b) Generation of $\alpha$-alkoxy radical, and it's application for the synthesis of chiral dioxane.

This part of chapter III describes results from the coupling of bis- $\beta$-alkoxy acrylate to form 1,2 disubstituted dioxane. When compound 18 is subjected to PET activation, cyclized product 19 is formed in very good yield with excellent diastereoselectivity ( $>95 \%$ ).


19
Chiral dioxane 22, a precursor for trans 1,2 - dol, has been synthesized by the PET activation of chiral compound 21 in good yield as a single diastereomer. The synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ symmetric 1,2-diol 23 from 22 is also described.


## Chapter-I

Designing a New Photosystem for Harvesting Photons into Electrons by Sequential Electron-Transfer Processes: One Electron Reductive $\beta$ Activation of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Ketones as Carbon Radical Precursor

## 1. Introduction

Photochemical reactions occupy principal position in the field of chemistry. One of the remarkable achievement of several decades of intense research activities in this area has been the recognition that photoexcitation renders well defined redox potential differences whereupon molecules either become powerful electron donors or acceptors. This property of photoexcitation has become increasingly useful tool in initiating electron exchange processes to generate radical ions:- a new type of reactive intermediates ${ }^{1-4}$. The importance of this phenomenon, known as photoinduced electron transfer (PET), has grown rapidly during the last one decade and that have attracted the attention of not only organic chemists but also inorganic chemists ${ }^{5}$ and molecular biologists ${ }^{6}$ as well.

The importance of PET phenomena, particularly in organic chemistry, may be imparted to the unique features of these transformations as the key reactive intermediates are radical ion species rather than the initially populated excited states. The knowledge over the years in this area concerning with the physical and mechanistic aspects has rapidly enhanced its scope in organic synthesis ${ }^{7-9}$.

The PET processes are initiated by the interaction of a donor (D) molecule with an acceptor (A) upon photoexcitation which results either in partial charge transfer (exciplex formation) or electron transfer (radical ion formation) depending upon the nature of the donor, acceptor and solvent polarity ${ }^{10}$ (Eq. 1).

$$
\begin{equation*}
D+A \xlongequal{h \nu} D^{+}+A^{-} \tag{Eq. 1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Generally, the feasibility of producing radical ions via PET reactions is predicted by estimating the free energy change $\left(\Delta G_{e t}\right)$ associated with their formation by using Weller ${ }^{11}$ equation (Eq. 2)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta G_{D S^{+\cdot}}^{A S^{-\cdot}} & =E_{1 / 2}^{\text {ox. }} \text { of } D-E_{1 / 2}^{\text {red. }} \text { of } A-E_{\text {exc. }} \text { ofA } . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . E q . ~
\end{array} 2 .
$$

which employs experimentally derivable parameters such as oxidation potential of the donor $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {ox }}\right.$ of D$)$, reduction potential of acceptor $\left(\mathrm{E}_{1 / 2}{ }^{\text {red }}\right.$ of A$)$ in the given solvent.

Although, several new and synthetically important organic photoreactions are discovered ${ }^{12-15}$ employing PET concept, the competive back electron transfer and the strong influence of the nature of primary intermediates [ viz contact ion pair (CIP), solvent separated ion pair (SSIP) and free radical ion pair (FRIP)] on the reactivity profiles of the radical ions have raised some restrictions in the designed application of these reactions in organic synthesis. While partial solution to retard the impact of BET is suggested ${ }^{16}$, the later issue which is primarily the function of donor-acceptor redox properties have remained rather unmanipulable except in playing with the solvent polarity. Earlier effort from our group ${ }^{17 a-b}$ have tried to solve this problem by directing the PET generated primary free radical ion, obviously possessing sufficiently large redox potential differeneces in comparison to other neutral substrates, for initiating secondary dark ET reaction to produce another radical ionic species. This concept has been realised through a photosystem (photosystem-A, PS-A) comprising of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ as sacrificial electron donor and DCA as visible light harvesting electron acceptor in deoxygenated atmosphere, to drive a secondary ET from $\mathrm{DCA}^{-}$to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones as shown in Fig. 1.

Fig. 1


Upon accepting an electron the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated moiety of 1 is activated at its $\beta$ position as carbon centered radical that cyclises with the tethered olefin to produce 1,2disubstituted cycloalkanoids (3). The thermodynamic feasibility of ET from DCA to 1 in
the above photosystem is evaluated by estimating the Gibb's free energy change (-11.29 Kcals mol ${ }^{-1}$ ).

Although, the photosystem-A as shown in Fig-1 represents the success of our basic concept of harvesting photons into electrons and its utilization for initiating one electron reductive $\beta$-activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones for radical reactions, it was realized that this photosystem may not be considered ideal for synthetic purposes owing to the constant build up of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$. To overcome this problem an alternative photosystem (photosystemB, PS-B) that could have wider acceptability as a synthetic methodology was considered ${ }^{17 \mathrm{c}}$.

## 2. Results and Discussions

The design of another photosystem, named here afterwords PS-B consisted of DCA as visible-light absorbing electron acceptor as usual, 1,5-dimethoxynaphthalene (DMN) as primary electron donor and ascorbic acid as sacrificial electron donor. The concept of this improved photosystem (Fig. 2) is also based on the thermodynamic feasibility of electron transfer (ET) between each interacting partners which are described as follows:

Fig. 2


### 2.1. Evaluation of ET feasibility between interacting components of PS-B:

(a) Evaluation of photophysical parameters for ET processes from DMN to ${ }^{I} D C A *$ (Fluorescence quenching study) :

To establish the PET phenomenon between DMN and excited state of DCA (DCA*), first the fluorescence quenching of DCA was studied at varying concentrations of DMN. Fluorescence measurement of DCA was made in acetonitrile at room temperature. Gradual decrease in the fluorescence intensity of DCA ( $\lambda_{e x}=430 \mathrm{~nm}, \lambda_{\mathrm{em}}=461 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) with the increase of quencher (DMN) concentration, while keeping the DCA concentration constant, was observed.

| $I_{0} / I$ | $=1+$ Kqf $\tau[Q] \quad . . . . . . . . . . . . ~ E q . ~$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $K_{q f} \tau$ | $=$ Slope |
| $I_{0}$ | $=$ Intensity of fluorescence without quencher |
| $I$ | $=$ Intensity of fluorescence with quencher |
| $K_{q f}$ | $=$ Rate constant of fluorescence quenching |
| $[Q]$ | $=$ Concentration of quencher (DMN) |
| $\tau$ | $=$ Singlet life time of donor $(D C A)$ |

Treatment of quenching data to Stern-Volmer analysis (Eq. 3) plot ( $\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}$ versus quencher concentration) resulted in a straight line passing through 1 (Fig. 3) from which the slope ( 0.253 ) was measured. Substituting the $\tau$ (singlet lifetime of DCA) ${ }^{19}$ with a value of 19.6 ns in Eq. 2, the fluorescence quenching rate constant $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{qf}}=1.27 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{n}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ was calculated and was found to be near diffusion ${ }^{18}\left(\mathrm{~K}_{\text {diff }}=2.30 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}\right)$ controlled rate constant.

Exciplex emission between DCA* amd DMN are not noticed either in polar or in non-polar solvents. Excitation and absorption spectra of DCA are unaffected in the presence of maximum concentration of quencher (DMN). Therefore, the quenching observed can not be attributed to the ground state complexation between DCA and DMN. Thus, the possibility of thermal electron transfer could be eliminated. The quenching process due to the singlet energy transfer from DCA $\left(E s=66.4 \mathrm{Kcal}^{-1}\right)^{4}$ to DMN could
also be ruled out as UV-absorption spectum of DMN extends up to 270-330 nm in acetonitrile. Therefore, it is imperative to assume that fluorescence quenching in these systems is via single electron transfer (SET) mechanism involving CT stabilized exciplex intermediate.

## (b) Evaluation of thermodynamic parameters :

## (i) Electron transfer from $D M N$ to $D C A^{*}$ :

To provide further evidence of PET generation of radical-ion pairs between DMN and ${ }^{1}$ DCA ${ }^{*}$, the thermodynamic feasibility of ET between DMN and excited state of DCA was established by estimating Gibb's free energy change $\left(\Delta G_{e t}\right)$ for radical ion formation using Weller equation ${ }^{11}$ (Eq. 1). Substituting Eq. 1 with appropriate values of oxidation potential of DMN $(1.28 \mathrm{eV}),{ }^{21}$ reduction potential of ${ }^{1}$ DCA* $(-0.89 \mathrm{eV}){ }^{18}$ and excitation energy of DCA $(2.88 \mathrm{eV})^{18}$, an exergonic value of $-16.37 \mathrm{kcals}^{2} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ was obtained. (ii) Electron transfer from ascorbic acid to $D M N^{+}$:

To establish ET feasibility from the ascorbic acid to $\mathrm{DMN}^{+}$, oxidation potential ( $\mathrm{E}^{1 / 2} \mathrm{ox}$ ) of ascorbic acid ( 1.084 eV vs SCE) was measured first. Oxidation potential of ascorbic acid was measured by cyclic voltameter consisting of three-electrode assembly on a PAR-173/175 Potentiostat Universal programmer instrument equipped with PAR RE0074 XY recorder. The cell consisted of a ultra micro electrode (UME) as working electrode and Pt foil as counter electrode. The peak potential values of degassed solution at a sweep rate of $200 \mathrm{mVS}^{-1}$ was measured in water solution employing potassium chloride as supporting electrolyte. The potential was referred to standard calomel electrode (SCE) and was uncorrected for liquid junction potential. The reduction potential of ascorbic acid was found to be -1.084 eV .

ET feasibility from the ascorbic acid to $\mathrm{DMN}^{+}$is evaluated by estimating the r $\Delta \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{et}}$ value employing the electrochemical equation, Eq. 4. Substituting Eq. 4 with appropriate values of reduction potential of DMN $(1.28 \mathrm{eV})^{20}$ and oxidation potential of ascorbic acid ( 1.048 ev ) an exergonic value of $-4.5 \mathrm{kcals} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ was obtained.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta G & =E_{1 / 2}^{\text {ox. }}-E_{1 / 2}^{\text {red. }} \ldots . . . . . . . . . . . . . E q . ~
\end{array}\right]
$$

The oxidative transformation of ascorbate ion to the dehydroascorbic acid and proton, as shown in Fig. 2 is precedented from the literature report. ${ }^{21}$
(iii) Electron transfer from $\mathrm{DCA}^{-\cdot}$ to ethyl-9-oxo-7(E), 2(E)-decadienoate (7) :

Similarly, the feasibility of ET from DCA to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone (7) is evaluated by estimating the Gibb's free energy change $\Delta G_{\text {et }}$ employing Eq. 4. For this purpose the reduction potential of 7 was estimated by cyclic voltameter consisting of a three electrodes assembly on a Bioanalytical system, model CV- 27. The cell consisted of a Pt inlay working electrode, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode and Pt wire as an auxiliary electrode. Tetraethylammoniumperchlorate was used as a supporting electrolyte in DMF solution. The observed cyclic voltammograms were irreversible and therefore, the point of inclination of the curves were considered ${ }^{22}$ as approximate reduction potential value of 7 . This value were changed to standard calomel electrode (SCE) by adding ${ }^{22}-0.045$ to the values obtained by $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$.

Substituting Eq. 3 with appropriate values of redox potentials of DCA $(-0.89 \mathrm{ev})$ and reduction potential of $7(-0.40 \mathrm{ev})$ an exergonic value of $-11.29 \mathrm{kcals}^{\mathrm{mol}}{ }^{-1}$ was obtained.

### 2.2. Evaluation of Synthetic Perspectives of Photosystem-B :

To evaluate the suitability of photosystem-B (Fig. 2) for triggering sequential ET reaction, one electron reductive activation of ethyl-9-oxo-7 (E), $2(\mathrm{E})$-decadienoate (7) was undertaken using DMN and ascorbic acid in an exactly similar manner as described earlier for PS-A activation reaction ${ }^{17}$. It was expected that like PS-A activation, $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone moiety of 7 upon accepting an electron from DCA would generate a radical center at its $\beta$-position (9) which would immediately add to the tethered olefinic functionality and following radical termination step by H -abstraction would result a cyclic product 10 (Scheme-2).

### 2.2.1. Preparation of ethyl-9-oxo-7 (E), 2 (E)-decadienoate (7):

Substrate 7 was easily synthesized in $90 \%$ yield by the Wittig olefination ${ }^{23}$ of 6 by stirring with 1-triphenylphosphoranylidene-2-propanone in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at r.t. for 30 h .

Compound 6 was obtained by the Swern oxidation ${ }^{24}$ of ethyl-7-hydroxy-2 (E)-heptenoate (5) which was prepared by the reaction of 2-hydroxypyran ${ }^{25}$ (4) with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate (Scheme-1).

## Scheme 1



Reagents: (a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r. t., $2 \mathrm{~d}, 83 \%$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}_{2} \mathrm{ET}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r. t., $1 \mathrm{~d}, 90 \%$;

### 2.2.2. PET Activation of 7:

PET activation of 7 involved the irradiation ( $\lambda=405 \mathrm{~nm}$ ) of a solution of 7 (2.38 mmol ) containing DMN ( 0.52 mmol ), ascorbic acid ( 2.6 equiv. of enone) and DCA ( 0.57 mmol ) in DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88: 10: 2) in a specially designed photoreactor which consisted of three chambers. The first and outermost chamber contained the irradiation solution and the second one was charged with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ filter ${ }^{26}$ solution. 450 W Hanovia medium pressure mercury lamp was housed into a water cooled double jacketed chamber which was immersed into the second one. The whole photoreactor was made of Pyrex glass. The $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ functioned as hydrogen donor. The 405 nm wavelength light was obtained by using $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution filter ${ }^{26}$ from 450 -W Hanovia medium pressure mercury lamp. All the light under this experimental setup was absorbed by DCA only. Before the irradiation, the solution was deoxygenated by bubbling argon for 2 h . After 18 h of irradiation, when 7 was almost consumed ( $98 \%$, monitored by GC), the solvents were removed under vacuo and the concentrate was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum-ether/ethylacetate as an eluent to afford 11 $(70 \%)$ as major product with small amount of $10(<20 \%)$.

IR spectrum of 10 indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption bands at $1715,1729 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to keto and ester carbonyl group, respectively.

## Scheme 2



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of product 10 (Fig. 4) displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15$ (two protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) assigned as the methylene protons of ester group. A multiplet appearing between $\delta 2.68-2.17$ (four protons), corresponds to methylene protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ - and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$-, respectively. A singlet at $\delta 2.12$ (three protons) is assigned to the ketomethyl $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}-\right)$. Protons of cyclopentane ring appeared as a bunch of multiplets at $\delta$ 1.9 (four protons), 1.6 (two protons) and 1.25 (two protons), respectively. Methyl protons of the ester moiety appeared as a triplet at $\delta 1.25(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 10 (Fig. 5) showed twelve carbon signals whose characterization was suggested by INEPT experiment. Two down field quaternary carbons appearing at $\delta 208.57$ and 173.27, correspond to -CO- and -COO-, respectively. Two methine carbons appeared at $\delta 42.25$ and 41.02. Methylene carbons appearing at $\delta 60.32$, 49.08 and 39.50 , were assigned to $\mathrm{OCH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$, respectively. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring were observed at $\delta 32.50,32.23$ and 23.60. A signal at $\delta 30.32$ was assigned as methyl carbon attached to -CO- while methyl carbon of ester moiety appeared at $\delta$ 14.40.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 6) gave expected molecular ion peak at 212 with $3 \%$ intensity and base peak at 124 . The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at 167 $\left(59 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 155\left(90 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 154(72 \%) 139\left(16 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOEt}\right), 109$ ( $71 \%$ ), $81(85 \%)$ and $67(45 \%)$ were also observed.

The structure of 10 as well as it's trans-stereochemistry was further confirmed by comparing it with authentic sample of 10 prepared by Enholm's procedure ${ }^{27}$. 10 was characterised as a mixture of two diastereomers (1.6:1) by GC/MS analysis (capillary column, phenyl methyl silicone, 25 m ).

The diastereomeric mixture of 11 could be resolved into pure diastereomers by careful column chromatography.

IR spectrum of major isomer of 11 indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption bands at $1730(\mathrm{COO}), 1715(-\mathrm{CO}-) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of major isomer of 11 (Fig. 7), methylene protons of ester moiety appeared as usual a quartet at $\delta 4.12$ (two protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ). A multiplet at $\delta 2.95$ (three protons) and a doublet of doublet at $\delta 2.83$ (one protons, $J=9.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) could be assigned to four protons of cyclobutane ring. The singlet appearing at $\delta 2.1$ (three protons), corresponds to $-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}$. Remaining protons of cyclopentane ring are observed as multiplets at $\delta 1.85$ (three protons), 1.60 (three protons). A triplet at $\delta 1.25$ (three protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) could be ascribed to the methyl protons of ester moiety.

The ${ }^{13}$ C NMR spectrum (Fig. 8) displayed two down field quaternary carbons at $\delta$ 207.34 and 173.50 , assigned for the carbons of the keto-carbonyl and ester carbonyl, respectively. Signals at $\delta 50.66,43.65,39.05$ and 38.66 corresponds to the four methine carbons. Methylene carbon of ester moiety appeared at $\delta 60.54$. Another three methylene carbons of cyclopentane ring were observed at $\delta 32.35,32.14,25.09$. Methyl carbon attached to -CO- appeared at $\delta 28.76$ and methyl carbon of ester moiety showed up at $\delta$ 14.14. These characterization of signals were suggested by INEPT experiments of decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum.

GC-MS analysis (Fig. 9) exhibited molecular ion peak at $210(4 \%)$ and base peak at $167\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ besides other fragmentation peaks at $195\left(47 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 165(31 \%$, $\mathrm{M}^{+}$-OEt), 155 ( $32 \%$ ), 137 ( $54 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}$-COOEt), 121 ( $30 \%$ ), 109 ( $12 \%$ ), 97 ( $28 \%$ ), 81 (20 \%), 67 ( $12 \%$ ), 55 ( $8 \%$ ).

IR spectrum of minor isomer of 11 indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption bands at $1732(\mathrm{COO}), 1718(-\mathrm{CO}-) \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of minor isomer of 11 (Fig. 10) displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.12$ (two protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) corresponding to methylene protons of ester moiety. $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ appeared as doublet of doublet at $\delta 3.62(J=8.7,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ whereas $\mathrm{H}_{-1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ are noticed as
multiplets at $\delta 3.08$ (two protons). A doublet of doublet at $\delta 2.88(J=13.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ is ascribed as $H-\downarrow$. Another singlet at $\delta 2.1$ (three protons) could be assigned as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CO}$. The ester methyl protons appeared as triplet at $\delta 1.25(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. Other six protons of cyclopentane ring are observed in two bunches of multiplets at $\delta 1.70$ (three protons) and $\delta$ 1.48 (three protons). Assignment of first four protons are made by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR COSY experiments (Fig. 11).

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 12) showed twelve carbon signals and characterization of each carbon signal is suggested by INEPT experiment which are as follows: $\delta 205.47$ (-CO-), $174.3(-\mathrm{COO}-), 60.29\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2}\right), 47.40(\mathrm{CH}), 40.23(\mathrm{CH}), 40.0$ $(\mathrm{CH}), 38.54(\mathrm{CH}), 31.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 28.12\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 27.75\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 25.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 14.08\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Mass spectrum showed molecular ion peak $(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z})$ at 210 with $2 \%$ intensity and 143 as a base peak along with other peaks at $167\left(15 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right), 165\left(16 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$OEt), $137\left(14 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$-COOEt), $121(11 \%), 97(10 \%)$ and $67(11 \%)$ HRMS (EI) showed $165.0917\left[\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.\right.$-OEt $)$, calcd. for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2} ; 165.0915\right]$.

### 2.2.3. Quantum Yield Determination of 11 :

The quantum yield for the formation of 11 was estimated by Applied Photophysics Quantum Yield reactor (Model QYR-20) using 200-W Mercury Lamp. Samples for the quantum yield estimation were prepared by pipetting out quantitative volume from the stock solution into the Pyrex tube. The stock solution contained the enone 7, DMN (15 $\mathrm{mol} \%$ of enone), ascorbic acid ( 2.6 equiv.) and DCA ( 20 mole \%) in DMF : $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88:10:2) solution. The sample was irradiated in the above mentioned Quantum Yield reactor for a short interval of time ( 2 h ) to bring about 8-12 \% of conversion. Uranyl oxalate actinometer ${ }^{28}$ was used to monitor the intensity of light. Quantitative formation of cyclized product 11 was estimated by HPLC (Perkin Elmer 135C, Diode-array detector; $\mathrm{C}_{8}$-reversed phase column) using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as eluent system. The quantum yield for product formation was obtained by utilizing equation eq. 5 .

$$
\phi=\frac{C \times P \times V \times A . N .}{I \times t} \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots . . . \text { eq. } 5
$$

where, $\mathrm{C}=$ concentration of compound; $\mathrm{P}=\%$ of formation of cyclized product; $\mathrm{V}=$ volume of solution pipetted out for irradiation; A.N. = Avogadro no.; $\mathrm{I}=$ light intensity and $\mathrm{t}=$ time of irradiation.

Substituting Eq. 5 with appropriate values of $\mathrm{C}=0.00407619, \mathrm{P}=0.1251235$, $\mathrm{v}=$ $4 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{I}=0.302 \times 10^{16}$ photons $/ \mathrm{sec}$, quantum yield for the formation of 11 from 10 was obtained as ( $\phi=0.058$ ). The quantum yield for the formation of $11(\phi=0.058)$ clearly indicated that its formation did not involve radical chain reaction.

### 2.2.4. Mechanism for the formation of 10 :

Though Beckwith's model ${ }^{29}$ suggests that under kinetic control the cyclization of intermediate 9 should give cyclized compound with syn-appendages (17), 10 is obtained with anti-stereochemistry from 9. It may be postulated that initially produced synintermediate (14) being less stable, gets transformed into thermodynamically more stable anti-intermediate (12) due to the resonance stabilization of enolate ketyl radical as shown in Scheme 3.

Scheme 3


Thermodynamic control in radical cyclizations are known in literature, ${ }^{30,} 31$ though the examples pertaining to this observation have involved the formation of six membered rings only.

Another possibility ${ }^{32}$ for the formation of 10 by further PET reaction of 11 (Scheme-4) is ruled out from a controlled PET activation experiment of 7 where the ratios of 10 and 11 remained same throughout the entire period of irradiation. Further support to this aspect was obtained by irradiating 11 independently, under identical PET activation conditions as discussed for 7 , showed negligible conversion to 10 .

Scheme-4



### 2.2.5. Mechanism for the formation of 11:

The formation of 11 in this reaction, as the major product, was quite surprising to us. Its formation by the intramolecular [2+2]-cycloaddition reaction of excited enone moiety with the tethered alkene is easily ruled out as 7 does not absorb any light under the present experimental conditions (Scheme-5).

## Scheme-5



Although at this stage we are not sure about the exact mechanistic role of the ascorbic acid for the formation of 11 in the above reaction, it appears that ascorbic acid is somehow stabilizing the syn-intermediate (14) that retards the equilibration towards the thermodynamically stable anti-isomer (12). One of the possibilities for this stabilization may be due to the itermolecular hydrogen bonding (18) between 14 and ascorbic acid as shown in the scheme-6. Therefore, the plausible route for the formation of 11 could likely be by the efficient cyclization of electrophilic

## Scheme-6
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radical of syn-intermediate 14 to electron rich enolate double bond ${ }^{33}$ due to their geometrical proximity (Scheme-3). This could be possible only if the rate of the termination of radical species in intermediate 14 by H -abstraction is slower than its further cyclization to enolate double bond.

In order to pin-point either DMN or ascorbic acid responsible for producing 11 in this experiment, a controlled irradiation ${ }^{34}$ experiment using stoichiometric amount of DMN to 7 and without ascorbic acid, was performed which indicated the similar ratio (3.2: 1) of 10 and 11 as obtained earlier using PS-A, though the combined chemical yields were found to be much reduced ( $40 \%$ ). This experiment suggests that ascorbic acid is definitely playing a role in the formation of 11 . To rule out the possibility of ascorbic acid changing the UV spectral pattern of the mixtures of DMN-DCA-7, detailed spectral analysis of the mixture at varying concentrations of ascorbic acid were also carried out which showed no significant change in UV spectral behavior.

During this experiment, fast degradation of DMN to a mixture of products (not more than $5 \%$ each) were observed. Although, none of the products could be isolated in sufficiently pure form, based on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of at least two isolated products, incorporation of iso-propoxy as well as 2-hydroxy-iso-propyl moiety in the DMN may be suggested. The former product could be considered to arise by the nucleophilic addition of $i$ - PrOH to $\mathrm{DMN}^{+}$while the formation of the later (19) could be explained by the coupling of $\mathrm{DMN}^{+}$with 2-hydroxy-iso-propyl radical, produced after the $\mathrm{H}^{+}$donation to terminate
intermediate 12. This observation further supports the involvement of radicaloid intermediate of type 9 , during the PET activation of 7 (Scheme-7).

## Scheme-7



### 2.3. Support for the cyclisation of syn radicaloid intermedia (14) to enolate double bond:

To provide convincing evidence that the cyclization of 9 initially produces synintermediate (14) which undergoes further cyclization to the enolate double bond to produce 11, in competition with its thermodynamically equilibrated anti-intermediate (12), the cyclization of 24 was considered. It was envisaged that the corresponding enolate ketyl radicals from 24 is expected to produce cis-cyclized product (28) only, as its reversal to corresponding anti-intermediate would be energetically unfavorable (Scheme 9).

### 2.3.1. Preparation of 2-(4-Carboethoxy-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24a) :

Substrates 24a (E-isomer) was prepared in $85 \%$ yield by Wittig olefination of 22 by stirring with the ethyl triphenyphosphoranylidene acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at r.t. for 30 h (Scheme-8). Precursor 22 itself is obtained in three steps starting from 1,3-cyclohexadione (20). Reaction of 20 with acrolein in presence of a catalytic amount of potassium hydroxide in refluxing absolute EtOH followed by acidification with conc. HCl gave 21 (64\%) as a thick liquid. Lithium aluminium hydride reduction ${ }^{35}$ of 21 in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by acidic hydrolysis and dehydration yielded 22 (84\%) and 23 (10\%). Compound 23 is further converted to 22 by treating with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ in acetone. All the intermediate compounds are well characterized by detailed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic experiments.

Scheme-8


Reagents: (a)(i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{CHCHO}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{KOH}$ (cat.), reflux 3h; (ii) Conc. HCl , r.t., $2 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$;
(b) $\mathrm{LAH}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$.; (c) $\mathrm{EtOH}, 5 \%$ aq. HCl , r.t., 1 h ; $84 \%$ (d) $\mathrm{Aq} . \mathrm{HCl},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{CO}$;
(e) when $\mathrm{EWG}=\mathrm{COOEt}$; $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $1 \mathrm{~d} ; 85 \%$ (E-isomer);
when $\mathrm{EWG}=\mathrm{CN} ; \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 1 d , $95 \%(\mathrm{E}: Z=62: 38)$.

### 2.3.2. PET activation of $24 a$ :

Usual PET activation of 24 a in DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88: 12: 2) solution containing DMN, ascorbic acid and DCA for 14 h gave $84 \%$ yield of 27 a as a thick liquid (Scheme9).

IR spectrum of 27 a indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption bands at $1730,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester and keto carbonyl groups, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 27 a (Fig. 13) displayed a bunch of multiplets at $\delta 4.08$ (two protons), 3.38 (one proton), 2.67 (one proton), 2.35 (three protons), 2.09 (one proton), 1.88 (four protons), 1.76-1.47 (three protons). Methyl protons of ester moiety appeared as a triplet at $\delta 1.21$ (three protons, $\mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ).

The ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (Fig. 14) showed two down field quaternary carbons at $\delta 208.31$ and 171.25 corresponding to keto and ester carbonyls, respectively. It also displayed another quaternary carbon at $\delta 58.90$, assignable to the bridge head carbon. Three methine carbons appeared at $\delta 51.40,50.52,43.93$, respectively. Methylene and methyl carbons of ester
moiety are observed appearing at $\delta 60.55$ and 14.39 , respectively. Remaining five signals at $\delta 39.86,26.77,25.27,24.24$ and 22.99 are assigned to methylene carbons. These characterization of signals have been suggested by INEPT experiments of decoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum.

Mass spectrum of 27a (Fig. 15) gave molecular ion peak at 222 with $19 \%$ intensity as well as $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$ with $9 \%$ intensity and base peak at $149\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$- COOEt). Other prominent fragmentation peaks are observed at $176\left(72 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}+1-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 131(43 \%), 120(43 \%), 91$ (53\%), 79 (49\%) and 67 (35\%).

Scheme-9


### 2.3.3. Preparation of 2-(4-Cyano-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24b) :

Substrate 24b was also prepared in $95 \%$ yield as a mixture of isomers (trans:cis 68:32) from compound 22 by Wittig olefination reaction using triphenylphopsphoranylidene nitrile instead of ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate following identical reaction sequences as decribed for 24a (Scheme-8).

### 2.3.4. PET activation of $24 b$ :

Similar PET activation of 24b, as described for 24a, gave 27b as a crystaHline solid (m.p. $114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) in $72 \%$ yield (Scheme-9).

The structure of 27 b is established with detailed ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and MS (for details see expt. section). The tricycle structure of 27 b is unequivocally confirmed by the single crystal X-ray (Fig. 16).


It appears that tricyclic compounds 27 from 24, are definitely formed by further cyclization of intermediate 26 to enolate double bond. This observation suggests that wherever syn-cyclized intermediates are thermodynamically more stable, invariably undergo further cyclization to proximate enolate double bond to produce double cyclized product before they can get terminated by the intermolecular H -abstraction. Our attempt to arrest the syn-monocyclized product (17 and 28) from both 7 as well as 24 by increasing the percentage of $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ in the reaction mixture, however, failed. Further progress in this direction is in progress.

## 3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a new photosystem to drive sequential electron transfer processes, promoted by visible light, to trigger one electron reductive $\beta$-activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones to produce carbon centered radical precursor. The cyclization of these radicals to tethered activated olefins are shown to be very efficient and stereoselective. The formation of double cyclized products are demonstrated to originate
from the thermodynamic stability of syn-intermediates by hydrogen bonding. The application of this photosystem is expected to give a new direction in generating radical anionic species from variety of functionalities to study unexplored and interesting areas of chemistry. Moreover, this strategy would be advantageous from ecological point of view as well.

## 4. Experimental Section

### 4.1. Cyclic Voltammetry Experiments.

The reduction potential of compounds 7, 24 (a and b) were measured by cyclic voltammetry experiments. These experiments were carried out with a three electrode assembly on a Bioanalytical System, model CV-27. The cell consisted of a Pt inlay working electrode, $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode and Pt wire as an auxiliary electrode. Tetraethylammonium perchlorate was used as supporting electrolyte in DMF solution. Before each experiment, the solution was deoxygenated by bubbling argon for 10 min . The observed cyclic voltammograms were irreversible and therefore, the point of inclination of the curves were considered ${ }^{22}$ as approximate reduction potential values of enones. These values were changed ${ }^{22}$ to standard calomel electrode (SCE) by adding -0.045 to the values obtained from $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$.

### 4.2. Fluorescence Quenching of DCA with DMN:

Quenching of fluorescence intensity of singlet excited state of DCA with varying concentrations of DMN was studied and the details of the procedure are as follows:

A stock solution of DCA $\left(3.0 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ was made by dissolving $0.0342 \mathrm{~g}(1.5$ mmol ) of DCA in 50 mL of acetonitrile. 1 mL of the above stock solution was distributed into eight 10 mL volumetric flasks. Similarly, stock solution of DMN $\left(3.02 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{M}\right)$ was prepared by dissolving 0.2846 g ( mmol ) of DMN in 50 mL of acetonitrile. From the stock solution of DMN, $0.0,0.4,0.6,0.8,1.0,1.5,2.0,2.5,3.0,3.5$, and 4.5 mL were pipetted out and added to above eight 10 mL volumetric flasks containing 1 mL solution of DCA and the volume of the resulting mixtures were made up to 10 mL with acetonitrile. The flourescence spectra of DCA solution, containing zero concentration of DMN was obtained by exciting at $430 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\lambda_{\text {ex }}\right)$ and flourescence emission intensity ( $\mathrm{I}_{0}$ ) was monitored at $461 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\lambda_{\text {em }}\right)$. Similarly, fluorescence intensity of the other solutions containing varying concentrations of DMN were also recorded. The details of the fluorescence intensity (I) at particular concentration of DMN are given in Table A.

Table A :Fluorescence Quenching of DCA by DMN at different concentrations of DMN

| Flask No. | Vol. of stock Sol. of <br> DMN added (mL) | Resulting conc. of DMN at <br> final dilution $\left(\times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}\right)$ | $\mathrm{I}_{0} / \mathrm{I}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0.0 | 0.000 | - |
| 2 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 1.270 |
| 3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 1.428 |
| 4 | 0.8 | 2.4 | 1.557 |
| 5 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 1.688 |
| 6 | 1.5 | 4.5 | 2.079 |
| 7 | 2.0 | 6.0 | 2.452 |
| 8 | 2.5 | 7.6 | 2.907 |
| 10 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 3.268 |
|  | 3.5 | 10.6 | 3.605 |

Analyses of the data presented in Table A, using Stern-Volmer quenching kinetic equation $\left(\frac{I_{0}}{I}=1+K_{\mathrm{qf}} \tau(\mathrm{Q})\right)$, by plotting $\frac{\mathrm{I}_{0}}{\mathrm{I}}$ on Y -axis with corresponding concentration of DMN on X -axis gave a straight line (Fig. 3) with an intercept of 1.0 ( $\pm 0.001$ ) on Y-axis. The slope of the straight line $\left(\mathrm{Kq}_{\mathrm{f}} \tau\right)$ was calculated and found to be $0.253( \pm 0.005)$. Incorporating singlet lifetime value of DCA $(\tau=19.6 \mathrm{~ns})^{19}$ in the slope, the rate of fluorescence quenching $\left(\mathrm{Kq}_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ was estimated to be $\left(1.27 \times 10^{10} \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}\right)$.

### 4.3. Estimation of quantum yields :

In order to estimate quantum yields for the formation of products from the enones 7, 24a-b, at first lamp intensity was evaluated

## a) Evaluation of lamp intensity in photons/second:

Lamp intensity was evaluated by using uranyloxalate actinometry ${ }^{28}$. The details of the experimental procedures are as follows:

## i) Preparation of standard $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution:

2 g of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ was dissolved in 200 mL of freshly distilled water and solution was boiled for 4 h . The solution was allowed to stir overnight and then filtered through a medium porosity sintered glass funnel and stored in amber colored bottles prior to standardisation.

## ii) Sodium oxalate standardization:

1.655 g of dry sodium oxalate was dissolved in distilled water and diluted to 250 mL so that the normality of sodium oxalate was 0.0988 N .
iii) Titration for the standardisation of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ :

Two 50 mL burettes were cleaned and filled with $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solutions, respectively. Three 50 mL conical flasks were taken and in each flask 2 mL of sodium oxalate solution was delivered from a calibrated constant aliquot pipette. 10 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added and the solution was warmed to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ before titrating with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution. The end point was noted when the faint pink coloured $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}{ }^{-}$did not disappear instantly but lingered on for few seconds, forming faint pink coloured solution. The titrations were repeated to give three concurrent values. The titration readings are given in Table-6.

Table-6: Standardisation of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$
S.No.

Vol. of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$

|  | initial value $(\mathrm{mL})$ | final value $(\mathrm{mL})$ | difference $(\mathrm{mL})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 6.80 | 6.80 |
| 2 | 7 | 13.80 | 6.80 |
| 3 | 14 | 20.80 | 6.80 |

The normality of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[N_{\text {KMnO4 }}\right] \times\left[V_{\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}}\right]=\left[N_{\mathrm{Na2C2O4}}\right] \times\left[V_{\mathrm{Na2C2O4}}\right]} \\
{\left[N_{\mathrm{KMnO} 4}\right] \times 6.80=(0.0988) \times 2.0} \\
{\left[N_{\mathrm{KMnO} 4}\right]=0.0291 \mathrm{~N}}
\end{gathered}
$$

## iv) Oxalic acid actinometer:

This actinometer was prepared by dissolving 1.259 g of uranyl nitrate ( 2.5 mmol ) and 1.579 g of oxalic acid ( 12.5 mmol ) in 250 mL distilled water and preserved in ambered bottle .

## v) Titration of actinometer:

2 mL of the above actinometer solution was delivered into 50 mL conical flask from a calibrated pipette and 10 mL of $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added. The solution was warmed to $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The hot solution was titrated with standard $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution. The titration was repeated for two concurrent values.

## vi) Irradiation and titration of actinometer solution :

4 mL of standard actinometer solution was added by pipette into two 10 mL pyrex tubes and irradiated for 2 h in Applied Photophysics Quantum Yield reactor (Model QYR20) using 200 W mercury lamp at 405 nm wave length light. For the isolation of monochromatic 405 nm light, a filter solution ${ }^{35}$ of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was kept in the middle chamber of light filtration chamber placed between the UV source and the sample cells. After the irradiation, 2 mL of irradiated actinometer was pipetted out into 50 mL Erlenmeyer flask. 10 mL of $4 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ was added and warmed to $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The hot solution was titrated against the standard $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ solution. The titration was repeated to give two consecutive concurrent values. The titration values before and after the irradiation of actinometer solution and their difference ( $\Delta \mathrm{ml}$ ) are given below:

Table-7: Irradiation and titration of actinometer solution

| Entry |  | Vol. of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ <br> final value $(\mathrm{mL})$ | difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | initial value $(\mathrm{mL})$ | 6.85 | 6.85 |
| Unirradiated | 0.00 | 13.85 | 6.85 |
|  | 7.00 | 6.15 | 6.15 |
| Irradiated | 0.0 | 13.15 | 6.15 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta m l \mathrm{KMnO}_{4} & = \\
& =6.85-6.15 \\
& =0.7 \mathrm{~mL}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculation of the lamp intensity:

The lamp intensity (I) was calculated according to the equation as shown below :

$$
1=\frac{X_{\text {eq }} \times \text { Avagadro number }}{\left(2_{\text {eq } / \mathrm{mole}}\right) \times \phi_{\mathrm{AC}} \times \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{sec}}}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{e q} & =\left(\Delta m L \mathrm{KMnO}_{4}\right) \times \mathrm{NKMnO}_{4} \times \frac{V_{A C} \text { Irr. }}{V_{A C} \text { titrated }} \times 10^{-3} \\
& =0.7 \times 0.0291 \times \frac{4}{2} \times 10^{.3} \\
& =0.04074 \times 10^{.3}
\end{aligned}
$$

On incorporating the values of in the above equation the lamp intensity (I) was calculated and found to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
I \quad & =\frac{0.04074 \times 10^{.3} \times 6.023 \times 10^{23}}{2 \times 0.563 \times 2 \times 60 \times 60} \\
& =0.302 \times 10^{16} \text { photons } / \mathrm{sec} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(A.N. $=6.023 \times 10^{23}, \phi_{\mathrm{Ac}}=$ Quantum yield for disappearance of uranyl oxalate $=0.563$ at $405 \mathrm{~nm} ., \mathrm{t}=2 \mathrm{~h}$ ).

## b) Quantum Yield Measurements:

The samples, prepared by pipetting out quantitative volume ( 4 mL ) from the stock solution of respective enones [7, 24 ( $\mathbf{a}$ and b], DMN ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of enones), ascorbic acid (2.6 eq.) DCA ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of enones) in DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88: 10: 2), were irradiated in the above mentioned quantum yield reactor at 405 nm light.

Irradiations were carried out for a short period (2-3 h) to bring about 8-12 \% of conversion. Quantitative formation of cyclized products were estimated by HPLC (PERKIN ELMER 135C, Diode-array detector; $\mathrm{C}_{8}$-reversed phase column) using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as eluent and compared with the similar analyses done with the unirradiated
solution. Mean values for the increase in the area of HPLC analyses from two consecutive experiments were considered for the purpose of calculating quantitative increase in the concentration of 11 after irradiation.

The quantum yields for product formation were obtained by utilizing the following equation

$$
\phi=\frac{C \times P \times V \times A . N .}{I \times t}
$$

Where, $\mathrm{C}=$ concentration of compound; $\mathrm{P}=\%$ of formation of cyclized product; $\mathrm{V}=$ volume of solution pipetted out for irradiation; A.N. = Avogadro no.; $\mathrm{I}=$ light intensity and $\mathrm{t}=$ time of irradiation.

Quantum yield for the formation of 7 from 11 was obtained by putting $V=4 \mathrm{~mL}, \mathrm{t}$ $=2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{C}=0.00407619, \mathrm{P}=0.1251235$; as $\phi=0.058$. Similarly, quantum yields for the formation of 27 a and 27 b were measured $0.064,0.062$ respectively.

### 4.4. HRMS Analysis:

Mass spectra were taken on a VG AUTOSPEC-M mass spectrometer with OPUS V3. IX software. Samples were introduced through a gas chromatograph equipped with HP-5 fused silica capillary column of 30 M length, 0.32 mm id and $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ film thickness. Injector temperature: $280^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Transferline temperature: $250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Oven temperature; Initial $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; initial 5 min ; rate of heating $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$, Final temperature $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Accurate mass measurement was done at 5000 RP and PFK was used as the internal reference.

Some of the compounds $(10,11)$ did not give intense molecular ion peaks. Hence, mass measurement has been done on the first intense fragmented ion from the $\mathrm{M}^{+}$.

### 4.5. General Photoirradiation Procedure:

All irradiations were performed in a specially designed photoreactor which consisted of three chambers. The first and the outer chamber contained the irradiation solution and the second one was charged with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ filter solution. 450W Hanovia medium pressure mercury lamp was housed into a water circulated double
jacketed chamber which was immersed into the second one maintaining 1 cm path length of the filter solution. The whole photoreactor was made of Pyrex glass.

DCA (20-25 mol\%) was dissolved in DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL}, 88: 10: 2)$ in a RB flask by stirring for about 2 h . Substrate DMN ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) and ascorbic acid ( 2.6 eq.) were introduced to the solution and stirred for an additional 5 minutes. The resultant mixture was transferred into the outer chamber of the above photoreactor and was deoxygenated by bubbling argon for 2 h and properly sealed. Irradiation was performed with light ( 405 nm ) of 450 W Hanovia medium pressure lamp through $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ filter solution. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. After 16-20 h of irradiation, when substrate was almost consumed ( $95-98 \%$ ), the solvents were removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The concentrate was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, saturated brine solution and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give respective cyclized products.
4.6. Preparation of Ethyl-9-oxo-7(E), 2(E)-decadienoate (7): Compound 7 was prepared in three steps as described below:
(a) Ethyl-7-hydroxy-2(E)-heptenoate (5) :

In a dry 100 mL round bottom (RB) flask was added ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate ( $11.2 \mathrm{~g}, 32.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by 2-hydroxypyran (4, $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 23.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) under argon atmosphere. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature (r.t.) for 48 h . After concentrating, the residue was stirred with 40 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : pet-ether (7:3) for 45 min . The resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate was washed with 10 mL of the same mixed solvent. The combined filtrate was concentrated under vacuo and the mixture was separated by column chromatography on silica gel to yield $3.36 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of 5 as clear liquid ;

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.17(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} . \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}) .1 .61-$ |
|  | $1.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13}$ C NMR | 166.94, 149.29, 121.50, 62.57, 60.29, 32.49, 32.19, 25.42, 14.32. |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  |
| IR (neat) | : 3400 (br), 3025, 2940, 2885, 1722, 1655, 1375, 1222, 1045, 988, 765 |

(b) Ethyl-7-oxo-2(E)-heptenoate (6):

Into a two necked $100-\mathrm{mL}$ RB flask oxalyl chloride ( $0.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere. DMSO (1.97 $\mathrm{mL}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(8 \mathrm{~mL})$ was introduced dropwise over 5 min into the flask and the gas evolution was observed. After 5 min of stirring, the alcohol ( $5,1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added over a period of 5 min , stirred for additional 1.5 h at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}(4.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 34.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise. After allowing the reaction mixture to warm to r.t., it was quenched with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water ( $5 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), saturated brine solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. The crude aldehyde ( $6,1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ) was used for Wittig reaction without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 9.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.94(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.7,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad J=15.7,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.3,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 201.4,166.28,147.37,122.21,60.13,42.85,31.15,20.28,14.13$. ( 75 MHz )

IR (neat) : 2955, 2842, 2727, 1728, 1661, 1441, 1320, 1275, 1200, 1158, 1043, 984.
(c) Ethyl-9-oxo-7(E), 2(E)-decadienoate (7):

1-Triphenyphosphoranylidene-2-propanone ( 2.9 g , 9.1 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was placed in a 50 mL of RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and an argon gas balloon. A solution of ethyl-7-oxo-2(E)-heptenoate ( $6,1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was introduced with a syringe and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 h at r.t. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuo and the residue was stirred with 30 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : pet-ether ( $1: 1$ ) for 20 min . The resulting suspension was filtered and was washed with 15 mL of the same mixture of solvents. The combined filtrate was evaporated and chromatographed over silica gel column to yield 7 as a clear liquid ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR <br> ( 200 MHz ) | : $6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.0,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.24(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | 197.97, 166.11, 147.72, 146.88, 131.53, 121.86,5992, 31.48, 31.24, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) | 26.65, 26.23, 14.05. |
| IR (neat) | : 2942, 1724, 1698, 1668, 1632, 1430, 1358, 1252, 1188, 1032, 976. |
| MS (m/e) | 210 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 195$ (4), 181 (3), 164 (71), 149 (20), 137 (77), 136 (100), |
|  | 122 (36), 121 (53), 107 (36), 93 (60), 81 (58), 68 (10). |

### 4.7. PET activation of Ethyl-9-oxo-7 (E), 2 (E)-decadienoate (7):

A solution of compound $7(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMN $(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol})$, ascorbic acid ( $1.1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and DCA ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL}, 88$ : 10: 2) was irradiated in especially designed photoreactor as mentioned earlier under argon atmosphere with light from a 450 W Hanovia medium-pressure lamp filtered by a $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. After considerable consumption ( $98 \%$ ) of $7(18 \mathrm{~h})$, the solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The concentrate was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and saturated brine solution. $\mathrm{The}_{\mathrm{Et}}^{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was concentrated under vacuo and the mixture was separated by column chromatography over silica-gel (100-200 mesh) using pet-ether: EtOAc as eluent to give compound $11(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$; mixture of two isomers $1.6: 1)$ and 10 ( $0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 18 \%$ ).
trans-Ethyl 2-[2-(2-oxopropyl)cyclopentyl]ethanoate (10):


| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | : $4.14(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.95(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.8,4.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( 200 MHz ) | $2.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \\ & (50 \mathrm{MHz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} &: 207.34,173.50,60.54,50.66,43.65,39.05,38.66,32.35,32.14,28.76, \\ & \\ & 25.09,14.14 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS (m/e) | : $210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 4\right), 195\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}, 47\right), 167\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}, 100\right), 165\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right.$, <br> $31), 155$ (32), 137 (54), 121 (30), 109 (12), 97 (28), 81 (20), 67 (12). |
| 6-Acetyl-7-carboethoxybicyclo[3.2.0]heptane (11, minor isomer): |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $\begin{aligned} &: 4.12(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), \\ & 2.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=13.2,8.2 \mathrm{HZ}), 2.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 1.70(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.48(3 \mathrm{H}, \\ &\mathrm{m}), 1.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR <br> ( 50 MHz ) | $\begin{aligned} &: 205.47,174.3,60.29,47.40,40.23,40.0,38.54,31.85,28.12,27.75, \\ & \\ & 25.36,14.08 . \end{aligned}$ |
| IR (neat) | : 2958, $2868,1732,1718,1371,1195,1154,1032$. |
| MS (m/e) | $\begin{aligned} : & 167(15), 165(16), 143(100), 137(14), 121(11), 97(10), 67(11) ;[211 \\ & \left.\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,8\right), 210\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 12\right), 195(22), 165(100)\right] . \end{aligned}$ |
| HRMS (EI) | $: 165.0917$ [( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$- -OEt$)$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 165.0915]. |

4.8. Preparation of 2-(4-Carboethoxy-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24a): This was synthesized as follows:
(a) Synthesis of compound 21:

Into a 100 mL RB flask, containing potassium hydroxide ( 2 pellets) in absolute ethanol ( 40 mL ), 1, 3-cyclohexadione ( $20,2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added while stirring. Slow addition of acrolein ( $1.53 \mathrm{~mL}, 22.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed immediately afterwards. The mixture was allowed to stir at r.t. for 2 h and refluxed for 3 h . After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, it was acidified to $\mathrm{pH}-2$ with conc. HCl and stirred at r.t. for additional 2 h . The mixture was diluted with water $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution (10\%), water, brine and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the organic solvent followed by column chromatographic purification yielded $21(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 64 \%)$ as thick liquid.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=4.3,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.62(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.58-2.15(6 \mathrm{H}$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| (200 MHz) | m), 2.05-1.66 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $1.22(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | 197.72, 168.56, 111.74, 98.6, 64.33, 36.44, 28.24, 26.0, 20.68, 14.93, |
| 50 MHz | 13.97. |
| IR ( neat) | $\begin{aligned} & 2941,1655,1627,1392,1346,1296,1224,1182,1162,1120,1066, \\ & 1023,971,946,836 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS (m/e) | $\begin{aligned} : & 196\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2\right), 167(30), 151(23), 150(28), 139(78), 122(30), 107(68), \\ & 97(70), 94(68), 72(100), 66(30), 55(46) . \end{aligned}$ |

## (b) 2-(3-Oxopropyl)2-cyclohexen-1-one (22):

Into a 100 mL two necked previously dried RB flask, equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and argon balloon, was placed lithium aluminium hydride ( $0.33 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this suspension, a solution of $21(2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 13.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in dry $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 30 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the reaction mixture was quenched with cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was separated and washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. The residue was diluted with ethanol ( 40 mL ) containing $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution. After stirring for 1 h at r.t., it was neutralized with $10 \%$ of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was washed with saturated brine solution ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. The mixture was purified by column chromatography to give $1.7 \mathrm{~g}(84 \%)$ of 22 and $0.3 \mathrm{~g}(10 \%)$ of compound 23. A solution of 23 in 25 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCH}_{3}$ was taken in a 50 mL of RB flask and 5 mL of $5 \%$ of HCl was added to it. After stirring for 3 min at r.t., the reaction was quenched with saturated brine solution. This was extracted with pet-ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 0.19 g (94\%) of 22 .

2-(3-Oxopropyl)2-cyclohexen-1-one (22):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 9.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=3.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.32(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.97$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 201.58,198.77,146.40,137.55,42.35,38.05,25.68,22.68,22.48$. ( 50 MHz )

IR (neat) : 2930, 2882, 2815, 2727, 1723, 1672, 1658, 1445, 1383, 1224, 1174, 1120, 1084, 1062, 894.

MS (m/e) : $153\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,3\right), 152\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.5\right), 123(15), 111(30), 103$ (100), 95 (52), 81 (25), 67 (26).

2-(3,3-diethoxypropyl)-2-cyclohexen-1-one (23):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $: \quad 6.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.72-3.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 2.50-2.18(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.7(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

IR (neat) : 2938, 2929, 2876, 1673, 1445, 1374, 1131, 1062.
MS (m/e) : 226 (M+, 1), 181 (9), 152 (4), 135 (5), 103 (37), 96 (18), 85 (20), 71 (36), 67 (30), 57 (100).
(c) Preparation of 2-(4-Carboethoxy-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexen-1-one (24a):

A 50 mL RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and argon gas balloon was charged with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate ( $2.4 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. To this solution, $22(0.8 \mathrm{~g}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added slowly with stirring. The stirring was continued for 30 h at r.t. The solvent was removed under vacuum and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : pet-ether ( $1: 1,20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added to the residue. After stirring for 15 min ., the resulting suspension was filtered and the precipitate was washed with the same solvent mixture ( 5 mL ). The filtrate was concentrated under vacuo and purified by column chromatography over silica gel to yield $24 \mathrm{a}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.6-2.15(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | : 199.02, 166.46, 148.18, 145.96, 138.19, 121.62, 60.02, 38.37, 30.95, |
| ( 75 MHz ) | 28.31, 25.93, 22.96, 14.16. |
| IR (neat) | : 2931, 1714, 1668, 1650, 1540, 1455, 1370, 1269, 1173, 1104, 1038. |
| MS (m/e) | : $222\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 176(28), 148$ (72), 131 (75), 120 (100), 104 (64), 91 (89) |
|  | 81 (78), 68 (39). |

### 4.9. PET Activation of 2-(4-Carboethoxy-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24a):

To a solution of DCA ( $0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$, $0.25 \mathrm{~g}(1.126 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 24 a , DMN $(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.169 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ascorbic acid $(0.516 \mathrm{~g}, 2.93$
mmol ) were added and the mixture was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned for 7. After 14 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Purification of the concentrate by pet-ether: EtOAc gave 0.21 g of 27 a in $84 \%$ yield.

Compound 27a:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.67(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.09(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 1.88(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.76-1.47(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.21(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\quad: \quad 208.31,171.25,60.55,58.90,51.40,50.52,43.94,39.86,26.77,25.27$, ( 50 MHz ) 24.24, 22.99, 14.39.

IR (neat) : 2963, 1730, 1668, 1537, 1454, 1371, 1224.
MS m/e : $223\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,9\right), 222\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 19\right), 176(42), 149(100), 131(43), 120(43)$, 105 (34), 91 (53), 79 (49), 67 (35).

HRMS (EI) : 222.1257 (calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} 222.1255$ ).

### 4.10. Preparation of 2-(4-Cyano-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24b):

Wittig olefination reaction of compound $22(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ with triphenylphosphoranylidene acetonitrile ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 6.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following identical procedure as described for 24 a yielded 0.77 g of $\mathbf{2 4 b}(95 \%)$ as a mixture of isomers (trans : cis 68: 32).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad 6.73(1.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.45(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=10.8,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.32(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.42(8 \mathrm{H}$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \mathrm{m}), 1.98(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\quad 198.14,154.74$ (153.63), 146.21, $136.95,116.83$ (115.34), 99.47 (99.36), ( 50 MHz ) $\quad 37.77,31.67$ (30.40), 27.40 (27.46), 25.42, 22.45.

IR (neat) 2931, 2221, 1668, 1622, 1541, 1384, 1173, 1105, 972, 907. MS (m/e) $175\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 32\right), 147(17), 135(14), 119(23), 109(40), 91(18), 81$ (100), 67 (16), 53 (27).

### 4.11. Photoactivation of 2-(4-Cyano-3-butenyl)-2-cyclohexene-1-one (24b):

Compound 24b ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) DMN ( $0.04 \mathrm{~g}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ascorbic acid $(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 3.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a solution of DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing DCA ( $0.081 \mathrm{~g}, 0.355 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and mixture was irradiated for 16 h as discussed
for 7. After removal of solvents and column chromatographic purification, the residue yielded $0.18 \mathrm{~g}(72 \%)$ of 27 b as a crystalline solid, which was recrystalized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ -pet-ether mixture.

Compound 27b:

| Color | $:$ Colorless |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MP | $:$ | $114^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $3.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.78(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  | $1.94-1.53(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ | $:$ | $206.32,117.24,58.59,51.77,45.34,39.54,36.25,25.67,25.30,24.13$, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz})$ | 22.44. |  |
| $\mathrm{IR}($ nujol $)$ | $:$ | $2922,2231,1693,1553,1454,1372,1257,1102,939,848$. |
| MS (m/e) | $:$ | $176\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,17\right), 175\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 85\right), 174\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-1,30\right), 146(82), 135(59)$, |
|  |  | $119(100), 91(74), 81(92), 79(99), 67(48), 65(49), 53(85)$. |
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## Chapter-II

A New Strategy for the Construction of Carbo- and Oxycycles by Intramolecular Reductive Coupling of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Esters :

Asymmetric Synthesis of trans 1,2-diol

## 1. Introduction

The quest for the development of methodologies for the construction of carbocyclic frameworks is of prime importance in a synthetic strategy. Towards this endeavor, increasing attention is being given, currently, to explore the synthetic potential of one electron reductive cyclisations. In this context, our attention was drawn towards the possible utilization of our photosystem ${ }^{1}$, (PS-B) as described in previous chapter, for the one electron reductive cyclisations of substrate 1 to 3 as shown in Scheme-1.

Scheme-1


However, before we describe the success of our strategy in detail, it would be pertinent to briefly describe the methodologies reported for the reductive coupling of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters.

One of the very early report in this context was the effort from the group of Petrovich et al ${ }^{2}$ where intramolecular reductive coupling of bis activated olefins of the type 4 by cathodic reductions was demonstrated to produce cyclised product 5 .

Scheme-2


It was claimed that this strategy gave excellent results for the construction of three to sixmembered carbocyclic rings (Scheme-2).

Surprisingly, there was no further effort in this direction for a long time till Inanaga et $\mathrm{al}^{3}$ reported the intramolecular hydrodimerisation of conjugated ester 7 to produce 8 utilising $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$-THF-HMPA system as one electron reductant (Scheme-3). However, no effort was made to determine the diastereoselectivity of 8 in this report.

## Scheme-3
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Magnesium in methanol, a well established reducing reagent ${ }^{4}$, has recently been extended by Chavan et al ${ }^{5}$ for a facile intramolecular cyclization of 9 as shown in Scheme4. Although, this methodology has been successful for the synthesis of three to fivemembered carbocycles and heterocycles, it has failed for the construction of six membered rings due to competitive reductions.

Scheme-4


Inspite of the great potentials of the one electron reductive cyclisation for the construction of carbocycles of the type 3, not much effort has been made to develop suitable reagent, though few scattered reports are available for the dimerisation of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated esters. For example, intermolecular hydrodimerisation of 11 was reported ${ }^{2}$ by the use of $\mathrm{SmI}_{2}$-THF-HMPA system in the presence of R'OH. (Scheme-5).

## Scheme-5



Takaki et al ${ }^{6}$ have reported the utilisation of $\mathrm{Yb} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ as one electron reduction reagent to effect the reductive dimerisation followed by cyclisation of 13 to produce cyclopentanone derivative 14 (Scheme-6).

Scheme-6
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It is apparent from the above strategies that they are either too difficult to adopt in the normal synthetic laboratories ${ }^{1}$ or involve toxic reagents ${ }^{2.6}$ and /or dry reaction condition ${ }^{5}$. In the present era of increased ecological concern and increasing demand for the development of simpler and efficient synthetic methodologies using aqueous solvent, an alternative strategy to affect such types of chemistry is essential. In this endeavor, we envisaged that the application of photosystem (PS-B), as described in the previous chapter, could prove as an attractive strategy to realise the diastereoselective cyclizations of substrates of the type 1 by visible-light initiated photosensitized one electron reductive reactions.

## 2. Results and discussion

To evaluate the suitability of photosystem-B for effecting the reductive coupling of $\alpha, \beta$ - unsaturated esters, photosensitised one electron reductive activation of diethyl-2(E), 7 (E)-nonene-1,9-dioate (16) was first initiated. It was expected that $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester moiety of 16 upon accepting an electron from DCA would generate a radical center at its $\beta$-position (18) which would immediately add to the tethered olefinic functionality and following radical termination step by H -abstraction would result a cyclic product 19 (Scheme 7).

## Scheme-7



### 2.1. Synthesis of diethyl-2(F), 7(E)-nonene-1,9-dioate (16) :

Substrate 16 was easily synthesized in $90 \%$ yield by the Wittig olefination ${ }^{7}$ of 22 by stirring with the ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at r.t. for 30 h . Compound 22 was obtained by the Swern oxidation ${ }^{8}$ of ethyl-7-hydroxy-2(E)-heptenoate (21), prepared by the reaction of 2-hydroxypyran ${ }^{9}$ (20) with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate (Scheme-8).

Scheme-8


Reagents : (a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t. $2 \mathrm{~d}, 83 \%$ (b) $\left(\mathrm{COCl}_{2}\right)$, $\mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$ (c) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t. $1 \mathrm{~d}, 90 \%$

### 2.2. PET activation of 16 using PS-B :

PET activation of 16 involved the irradiation $(\lambda=405 \mathrm{~nm})$ of a solution of 16 $(2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ containing DCA ( 0.57 mmol ), DMN ( 0.36 mmol ) and ascorbic acid ( 6.2 mmol) in DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88: 10: 2) in a specially designed photoreactor which consisted of three chambers. The first and outer most chamber contained the irradiation solution and the second one was charged with $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ filter ${ }^{10}$ solution. 450W Hanovia medium pressure mercury lamp was housed into a water cooled double jacketed chamber which was immersed into the second one. The whole photoreactor was made of Pyrex glass. The $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ functioned as hydrogen donor. The 405 nm wavelength light was obtained by using $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution filter ${ }^{10}$ from 450 -W Hanovia medium pressure mercury lamp. All the light under this experimental setup was absorbed by DCA only. Before the irradiation, the solution was deoxygenated by bubbling argon for 2 h . After 20 h of irradiation, when 16 was almost consumed ( $98 \%$; monitored by GC), the solvents were removed under vacuum and the concentrate was purified by column chromatography over silica gel using petroleum-ether/ethylacetate as an eluent, to give expected cyclized product 19 (92\%). Product 19 was characterised as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (trans:cis 17:3) by GC/MS analysis (capillary column, phenyl methyl silicone, 25 m ). Our attempt to separate pure diastereomers by column chromatography remained unsuccessful. DCA and DMN were recovered back almost quantitatively ( $\approx 98 \%$ ).

IR spectrum of 19 showed prominent absorption band at $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, characteristic of a ester functionality.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of product 19 (Fig. 1) displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15$ (four protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), assigned to the methylene protons of ester groups. A multiplet appearing between $\delta 2.55-2.05$ (four protons), corresponds to methylene protons attached to ester groups. Protons of cyclopentane ring appeared as a bunch of multiplets at $\delta 2.04-$ 1.75 (three protons), $\delta$ 1.7-1.45 (three protons) and $\delta 1.25$ (two protons), respectively. Methyl protons of the ester moiety appeared as a triplet at $\delta 1.25(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 2) showed two sets of carbon signals, each set corresponding to the respective diastereomer which further confirmed compound 19 to be a mixture of two diastereomers. Exact ratio of diastereomers are obtained by GC analysis. Since compound 19 possesses $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-axis of symmetry (trans isomer) or plane of symmetry
(cis isomers), carbon signals of the symmetrical carbons appeared at one single position. First set of carbon signals corresponding to the major isomer, showed seven signals whose characterization was obtained by INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbon appearing at $\delta 178.80$ is characterised to - COO - moiety of ester group (2C). Two methine carbons appeared at $\delta 41.84$. Methylene carbons appearing at $\delta 60.02$ and 39.19 are assigned to $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-(2 \mathrm{C})$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}-(2 \mathrm{C})$, respectively. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring were observed at $\delta 32.07(2 \mathrm{C})$ and 23.30. Methyl carbon of ester moiety appeared at $\delta 14.13$.

Similarly, for minor isomer, a down field signal appearing at $\delta 172.80$ is characterised to the quaternary carbons of - COO - moieties (2C). Another signal appearing at $\delta 38.75$ is assigned to the methine carbons (2C). Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}$ moieties $(2 \mathrm{C})$ and $-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ moieties $(2 \mathrm{C})$ appeared at $\delta 60.02$ and $\delta 35.08$, respectively. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring appeared at $\delta 30.37$ (2C) and $\delta 22.14$. Methyl carbon of ester moiety is observed at $\delta 14.13$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 3) gave expected molecular ion peak at 242 with $1.3 \%$ intensity along with base peak at $81\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-88\right.$ (MacLaffarty fragment) - COOEt $]$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks were found at $197\left(73 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 168\left(52 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$HCOOEt), 155 ( $82 \%$ ), 139 ( $4 \%$ ), 127 ( $14 \%$ ), 109 ( $35 \%$ ), 94 ( $31 \%$ ), 67 ( $37 \%$ ) and 55 (9 \%)

In order to explore the effectiveness of PS-A, discovered from our group previously ${ }^{1 a}$, PET reductive reaction of 16 using PS-A (Scheme-9) was also undertaken. The activation essentially employed the same reaction condition as described earlier. Irradiation ( 405 nm ) of a mixture consisting of $16(2.38 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA ( 0.57 mmol ), in DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(88: 10: 2)$ gave 19 ( $90 \%$ ) as a mixture of two diastereomers (trans:cis $=17: 3$ ).

Scheme-9


### 2.3. Stereochemical and Mechanistic interpretations for the formation of 19:

The observed anti-stereochemistry of 29 appeared to be in close agreement with the general trend of anti-stereochemistry observed in enone-olefin radical cyclizations (chapter 1). Beckwith's model ${ }^{11}$ suggests that under kinetic control, the cyclization of intermediate 18 should give cyclized compound with syn-appendages (28). However, the predominant trans-diastereoselectivity in the formation of 19 led us to postulate that initially produced syn-intermediate 23 is less stable that gets transformed to thermodynamically more stable anti-intermediate 26 due to the resonance stabilization of ester enolate ketyl radical (18) as shown in Scheme-7. In sharp contrast to the enone-olefin cyclisations, no further cyclisation from the intermediate 23 is observed in this case. Formation of minor diastereomer with syn-appendages (28) is also noticed. The formation of minor syn-monocyclized diastereomer could only be explained by considering the partial termination of radical intermediate 23 by H -abstraction in competition with its equilibration with anti-intermediate 18 (Scheme-10).
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It is possible that the rate of the termination of radical species in intermediate 23 by H abstraction is faster than its further cyclization to ester enolate double bond because of less electron density in the ester enolate double bond compared to enolate double bond (cf. Chapter-1).

To establish the generality of such cyclisations for the formation of five membered carbocycles, substrates diethyl-4-t-butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9dioate (36) and 9-oxo, 9-carboethoxy-2(E),7(E)-nonadienenitrile (40) were selected.

### 2.4. Preparation of diethyl-4-t-butyl-dimethylsilyloxymethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9-

 dioate (36) :Compound 36 was prepared by following the steps as shown in the Scheme-11

## Scheme-11




Reagents : (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{ICH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 12 h; (b) LAH, THF, r.t., 8 h ; (c) TBDMSCl, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $6 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (d) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $20 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; (f) $5 \% \mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \mathrm{~min}, 84 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 20h, $88 \%$

Wittig olefination of the corresponding aldehyde (34), obtained in $84 \%$ yield from 33 by the treatment of $5 \%$ aq HF in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate gave 36 in 88 \% yield. Compound 33 upon Swern oxidation produced corresponding aldehyde (34) which on subsequent Wittig olefination with ethyl
triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate gave 35 ( $92 \%$ ). Compound 31 was prepared by the alkylation of diethyl malonate (30) with 3,3-diethoxy-iodopropane using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in dry acetonitrile ( $70 \%$ ). LAH reduction of 31 gave corresponding diol (32) which on subsequent selective protection by TBDMSCl furnished 33 in $70 \%$ yield.
2.5. PET Activation diethyl-4-t-butyl-dimethylsilyloxymethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9dioate (36) :

PET activation of 36, by following the experimental procedure as described earlier for 16, gave cyclised products 37 as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (trans:cis 80:20) in 80\% yield. The exact diastereomeric ratio of 37 was estimated by the GC analysis (capillary column, phenyl methyl silicone, 25 m ) (Scheme-12).

## Scheme-12



IR spectrum of 37 showed prominent absorption band at 1720 (COO-) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ confirming the retention of the ester moiety in the product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 4) displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15$ (four protons, $J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz})$, assignable to the methylene protons of ester groups. A multiplet appearing at $\delta 3.55$ (two protons), corresponds to the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ group. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.6-1.35$ (ten protons) is assigned to the four methylene protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and six protons of cyclopentane ring. Remaining one proton of cyclopentane ring and six methyl protons of the ester moieties appeared as a multiplet at $\delta$ 1.2. Another singlet at $\delta 0.9$ is attributed to the nine methyl protons of $t$-butyl group. Remaining six methyl protons of -TBDMS group appeared as a singlet at $\delta 0.05$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 37 (major isomer) (Fig. 5) showed fourteen carbon signals whose characterization is based upon the INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbon appearing at $\delta 172.74$, corresponds to two -COO- groups. Three methine carbons appeared at $\delta 47.64,44.06$ and 42.65 , respectively. Methylene carbons appearing at $\delta 65.95$ and 59.97 are assigned to $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ and $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$,
respectively. Methylene carbon signals of two $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups appeared at $\delta 39.40$ and 38.83, respectively. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring are observed at $\delta 31.17,27.47$, respectively. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.14$ is assigned to the methyl carbon of ester moieties. Another signal appearing at $\delta 18.20$ is attributed to the quaternary carbon of TBDMS group. Methyl signals of t-butyl group are observed at $\delta$ 25.87. The other two methyl signals of TBDMS group appeared at $\delta-5.51$.

Similarly, for minor isomer, a down field signal appearing at $\delta 172.74$ is characterised to the quaternary carbons of - COO-moieties (2C). Another three signals appearing at $45.86,43.50$ and 41.16 are assigned to the methine carbons (3C). Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ and $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2^{-}}$groups appeared at $\delta 63.59$ and 59.97, respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 35.41$ and 35.12 are characterised to the methylene carbons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}-(2 \mathrm{C})$ moieties. Remaining two methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring appeared at $\delta 30.12$ and 26.49. Methyl carbons of ester moieties and $t$-butyl group are observed at $\delta 14.14$ and 25.87 , respectively. The other two methyl signals of TBDMS group appeared at $\delta-5.51$. Quaternary carbon of TBDMS group appeared at $\delta 18.20$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 6) did not show intense molecular ion peak. First intense fragment ion peak is observed at $371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}\right)$ with $3 \%$ intensity and the base peak is found at $329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are observed at 341 ( $16 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}$- OEt), 255 ( $7 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}$- OTBDMS), 209 (!2\%), 181 ( $12 \%$ ), 167 ( $15 \%$ ), 135 (19\%), 107 ( $26 \%$ ), 93 (29\%), 75 ( $50 \%$ ).
2.6. Preparation of 9-oxo-9-ethoxy-2(E),7(E)-nonadienenitrile (40):

Substrate 40 was easily obtained in $92 \%$ yield by the Wittig reaction of 39 with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at r.t. for 48 h (Scheme-13).
Scheme-13


Reagent : (a) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $48 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$, $(\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{Z}=72: 28)$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$, ( $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{Z}=70: 30$ )

Compound 39 was prepared by the Swern oxidation of 7 -hydroxy-2-heptinonitrile (38), prepared by the Wittig olefination of 2-hydroxy pyran (20) with triphenylphosphoranylidene acetonitrile ( $96 \%$ ).

### 2.7. PET activation of 9-oxo-9-ethoxy-2(E), 7(E)-nonadienenitrile (40):

Identical PET reductive activation of 40 , as described for 16 , resulted expected product 41 as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (trans:cis $80: 20$ ) in $75 \%$ yield and another minor compound 42 ( $10 \%$ ) also as a mixture of diastereomers (dr 3:2) (Scheme14).

Scheme-14


IR spectrum of 41 indicated the loss of the conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption bands at 1730 and $2360 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to COO- and CN groups, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 7) confirmed the absence of conjugated double bond. It displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15(J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons, assignable to the methylene protons of ester moiety. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta$ 2.6-2.15 (four protons) could be assigned to the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ groups, respectively. Another bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta$ 2.1-1.58 (six protons) is assigned to the six protons of cyclopentane ring. Remaining two protons of cyclopentane ring appeared as a multiplet at $\delta$ 1.5-1.2. Methyl protons of ester moiety appeared as a triplet at $\delta 1.3(J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 8) of 41 revealed two sets of carbon signals which indicated 41 to be a non-separable mixture of two diastereoisomers. First set corresponding to major diastereomer, displayed twelve carbon signals whose characterisations are made by INEPT experiment. Down field quarternary carbon appearing at $\delta 172.45$ is characterised to -COO- moiety of ester group. Another signal appearing at $\delta 118.89$ is assigned to the quarternary carbon of -CN group. Methylene carbon signals of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and
$-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ groups appeared at $\delta 60.39,38.04$ and 32.36 , respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 41.50$ and 41.21 are assigned to the methine carbons. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring are observed at $\delta 31.95,23.33$ and 21.78. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.15$ is assigned to the methyl carbon of ester moiety.

Similarly for minor isomer, two signals appearing at $\delta 172.45$ and 118.89 are characterised to the quarternary carbons of - $\mathrm{COO}-$ and $-\underline{C N}$ groups, respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 38.60,38.18$ are assigned to the methine carbons. Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ groups appeared at $\delta 60.39,34.86$ and 30.49 , respectively. Remaining methylene carbons appeared at $\delta 29.90,22.08$ and 18.03, respectively. Methyl carbon of ester moiety is observed at $\delta 14.15$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 9) indicated $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$ peak at 195 with $1 \%$ intensity along with base peak at $80\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-88\right.$ (MacLaffarty fragment) - HCN $]$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $155\left(9 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right), 150\left(27 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 122(9$ $\left.\%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOEt}\right), 109(16 \%), 88(65 \%), 67(35 \%), 61(54 \%), 54$ ( $50 \%$ ).

IR spectrum of the minor bicyclic product (42) showed prominent absorptions at 2236 and $1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, corresponding to -CN and COO- groups, respectively.

The proton NMR (Fig. 10) of 42 displayed a multiplet between $\delta$ 4.4-4.1, integrating for two protons, assigned as the methylene protons of ester group. Methine protons of $-\mathrm{CHCN},-\mathrm{CHCOOEt}$ groups and another two protons of cyclopentane ring appeared as bunch of multiplets between $\delta$ 3.75-3.6 ( 0.5 proton) and 3.35-2.2 (3.5 protons). Remaining protons of cyclopentane ring appeared as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta 2.1-1.88$ and $\delta 1.85-1.45$, respectively. Methyl protons of ester moiety are observed as a multiplet between $\delta$ 1.4-1.15.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig, 11) revealed two sets of carbon signals which indicated 42 to be a non-separable mixture of two diastereoisomers. It gave correct accounting of the different types of carbons for the structure corresponding to 42 . First set corresponding to the major diastereomer, displayed eleven carbon signals whose characterisation are made by INEPT experiment. Down field quarternary carbon appearing at 172.43 is characterised to the -COO- moiety of ester group. Another signal appearing at 118.56 is assigned to the quarternary carbon of -CN group. Methylene carbon signal of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$ - appeared at $\delta$ 61.25. Another four signals appearing at $\delta 44.22,42.02,36.74$ and 24.66 are assigned to the methine carbons. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclopentane ring are
observed at $\delta 32.34,29.08$ and 25.03. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.1$ is assigned to the methyl carbon of ester moiety.

Similarly, in the second set of carbon signals which corresponds to the minor isomer of 42 , two signals appearing at $\delta 171.2$ and 119.9 could be assigned to the quarternary carbon of - COO - and - CN groups, respectively. Methine carbons (4C) appeared at $\delta 42.57,41.38,38.96$ and 27.66. A signal appearing at $\delta 61.25$ is characterised to the methylene carbon of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-$ group. Remaining methylene carbons of cyclopentane ring appeared at $\delta 31.98,31.77$ and 24.51 . Methyl carbon of ester moiety is observed at $\delta 14.1$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 12) gave molecular ion peak at 193 with $1 \%$ intensity, along with base peak at $68\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CNCHCHCOOEt}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks were found at $148\left(12 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 120\left(35 \% \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOEt}\right), 98(33 \%), 93$ (44 \%), 80 ( $24 \%$ ), 53 ( $27 \%$ ).

The formation of minor bicyclic diastereomer 42, in contrast to the cyclisation as Scheme-15

observed from 16, could only be explained by considering the partial cyclisation of the radical intermediate 43 in competition with its termination by H -abstraction (Scheme-15). Probably the rate of termination of radical species in the intermediate 43 by H -abstraction is slower than its cyclization to ester enolate double bond due to the greater electrophilic nature of the $\alpha$-cyano radical compared to that of $\alpha$-ester radical (scheme-10).

### 2.8. Construction of 1,2-disubstituted cyclohexane derivatives :

In order to evaluate the scope of this strategy for the construction of six-membered carbocyclic ring systems, substrate 52 was selected.
2.8.1. Preparation of diethyl-2(E), 8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (52):

Substrate 52 was easily synthesized in $92 \%$ yield by the Wittig olefination of adipaldehyde (51), prepared from the oxidation of 1,6-hexanediol (50) by PCC, with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate (Scheme-16).

Scheme-16


Reagents: (a) PCC , celite, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t. $80 \%$, (b) , $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., 48 h, Eisomer $86 \%$

## PET Activation of diethyl-2(E),8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (52):

Usual PET reductive activation of 52 yielded monocyclized product 53 (Scheme17) as a nonseparable mixture of two diastereoisomers with trans-appendages as major isomer (trans:cis 75:25) which was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data

Scheme-17


IR spectrum of 53 indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption band at $1718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester carbonyl groups.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 13) of 53 confirmed the absence of acrylate double bonds. It displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.12$ (four protons, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), assigned as methylene protons of ester groups. A doublet of a doublet appearing at $\delta 2.5$, integrating for one proton ( $J=$ $14.6,4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), is attributed to one of the methylene protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - group. Another doublet of a doublet at $\delta 2.1$, integrating for one proton ( $J=14.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), corresponds to the other protons of the same $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - group. A multiplet appearing at $\delta 2.2$ (two protons) is assigned to the methylene protons of the other $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - group. Protons of the cyclohexyl ring (ten protons) appeared as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta 1.85-1.05$. A triplet at $\delta 1.26(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for six protons is assigned to the methyl protons of ester groups.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 14) revealed two sets of carbon signals, each set corresponds to the respective diastereoisomer. Compound 53 having symmetry elements viz. $\mathrm{C}_{2}-$ axis of symmetry (trans isomer) or plane of symmetry (cis isomer), carbon signals of the symmetrical carbons appeared at one single position. First set of carbon signals corresponding to the major isomer, showed seven signals whose characterizations are done by INEPT experiment. Downfield quaternary carbon appearing at $\delta 172.4$ is characterised to -COO- moieties of ester groups (2C). Two methine carbons appeared at $\delta$ 38.76. Methylene carbons appearing at $\delta 59.73,38.82$ are assigned to $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2^{-}}(2 \mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}-(2 \mathrm{C})$, respectively. Remaining four methylene carbon signals of cyclohexane ring are observed at $\delta 32.10$ (2C) and 25.57 (2C). Methyl carbon signals of ester moieties appeared at $\delta 13.91$ (2C).

Similarly, for the minor isomer, a down field signal appearing at $\delta 172.4$ is characterised to the quaternary carbons of ester groups (-COO-, 2C). Another signal appearing at $\delta 35.12$ is assigned to the methine carbons ( $-\mathrm{CH}-, 2 \mathrm{C}$ ). Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-(2 \mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}-(2 \mathrm{C})$ groups are observed at $\delta 59.73$ and $\delta 35.44$, respectively. Remaining methylene carbons of cyclohexyl ring appeared at $\delta 28.58$ (2C) and $\delta 22.88$ (2C). Methyl carbon signals of ester moieties appeared at $\delta 13.91$ (2C).

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 15) gave $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$ peak at 242 with $4 \%$ intensity, along with base peak at $95\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-88\right.$ (MacLaffarty fragment) - COOEt]. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $211\left(64 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$-OEt $), 182\left(28 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$- HCOOEt $), 169$
$\left(99 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}+1-88\right), 168(57 \%), 123(90 \%), 122(55 \%), 94(58 \%), 88(45 \%), 81(96 \%)$, $67(58 \%)$ and $55(60 \%)$.

To establish the generality of six membered carbocyclisation reaction through this strategy , substrate 56 was also included in our study.

### 2.8.3. Preparation of diethyl-5,5-dicarboethoxy-2(E),8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (56):

Substrate 56 was prepared by the Wittig reaction of corresponding aldehyde obtained from the hydrolysis of the acetal 55 with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate. Compound 55 was prepared by the double alkylation of diethylmalonate (30) with 3,3-diethoxy-iodoprpane ${ }^{12}$ and ethylbromocrotonate (Scheme-18).

## Scheme-18



Reagents : (a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{ICH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, 12 h ; (b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}$, $\mathrm{BrCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 77 \%$; (c) $5 \%$ aq $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$, (d) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $1 \mathrm{~d}, 88 \%$
2.8.4. PET Activation of diethyl-5,5-dicarboethoxy-2(E),8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (56):

Usual PET reductive activation of 56 yielded monocyclized product 57 (Scheme19) as a nonseparable mixture of two diastereoisomers with trans-appendages as major isomer (trans:cis 70:30) which was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data.

Scheme-19


IR spectrum of 57 indicated the loss of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption band at $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to COO- groups.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 16) of 57 displayed a multiplet between $\delta 4.35-4.02$, integrating for eight protons, assigned as the methylene protons of ester groups. Another multiplet appearing between $\delta 2.67-2.42(2 \mathrm{H})$ could be characterised to two of the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups. Remaining two protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups and another three protons of cyclohexane ring appeared as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta$ 2.4-1.98. Another bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 1.95-1.48$ could be assigned to the remaining five protons of cyclohexane ring. Methyl protons of ester moieties are observed as a multiplet between $\delta 1.4-1.15(12 \mathrm{H})$.

The ${ }^{13}$ C NMR (Fig. 17) revealed two sets of carbon signals which indicated 57 to be a non-separable mixture of two diastereoisomers The exact ratio of diastereomers for 57 (70:30) was obtained by the GC analysis. First set corresponding to the major diastereomer, displayed fourteen carbon signals whose characterisations are made by INEPT experiment. Two pairs of down field signals appearing at $\delta(172.90,172.57)$ and $\delta$ $(172.14,171.91)$ are characterised to the quarternary carbons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups and that of - COO - moieties. Methylene carbon signals of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-$ groups appeared at $\delta$ $61.37,61.30$ and 60.35 (2C). Another signal appearing at $\delta 54.90$ is assigned to the C-5 quarternary carbon. Methylene carbon signals of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups appeared at $\delta 38.68$ (2C). Another two signals appearing at $\delta 37.94$ and 35.59 are characterised to the methine carbons. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclohexane ring are observed at $\delta$ $36.77,31.76$ and 28.80 . A signal appearing at $\delta 14.22$ is assigned to the methyl carbons of ester moieties.

Similarly, in the second set of carbon signals which corresponds to the minor isomer of 57 , two pairs of down field signals appearing at $\delta(172.14,171.91)$ and $\delta$ ( $170.59,170.5$ ) correspond to the quarternary carbons of - COO - moieties at C 5 position and that of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups, respectively. Methylene carbon signals of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$ groups appeared at $\delta 61.17,61.05$ and 60.35 (2C). Another signal appearing at $\delta 54.71$ is assigned to the C5 quarternary carbon. A signal appearing at $\delta 38.16$ is attributed to the methylene carbon signals of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups (2C). Another two signals appearing at $\delta$ 33.50 and 32.95 are characterised to the methine carbons. Remaining three methylene carbon signals of cyclohexane ring are observed at $\delta 30.71,26.48$ and 25.68. Methyl carbons of ester moieties appeared at $\delta 14.04$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 18) gave molecular ion peak at 400 with $5 \%$ intensity, along with base peak at $313\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $355\left(28 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 281(20 \%), 267(53 \%), 239(27 \%), 207(33 \%), 193$ $(50 \%), 165(36 \%), 105(21 \%), 91(21 \%)$ and $55(7 \%)$.

### 2.9. Evaluation of the cyclisation strategy for 6 -Endo-trig cyclisation :

From the above examples, it is apparent that these cyclizations follow well established 5- and 6-exo-trig radical cyclization rules. ${ }^{12}$ These rules also suggest that 5-endo-trig cyclisation is a disfavoured process which has been proved by us earlier in the case of enone-olefin cyclisations ${ }^{1 b}$. In order to evaluate the validity of the radical intermediate in such cyclisations we further examined the 6-endo-trig mode of radical cyclization by selecting substrate diethyl-7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61) whose 6-endo position is highly activated as radical acceptor.

### 2.9.1. Preparation of diethyl-7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61) :

Compound 61 was prepared in three steps starting from triethylphosphonoacetate (58). One pot alkylation of 58 with 4-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-oxy-iodobutane followed by Wittig-Horner olefination of the intermediate with paraformaldehyde using NaH as base yielded $54 \%$ of 5-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methylenehexanoate ${ }^{13}$ (59).

Scheme-20
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Reagents : (a) (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{4}$ OTBDMS, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux, 9 h, (ii) $\mathrm{NaH},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ n, r.t., overnight, $54 \%$; (b) TBAF, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 94 \%$; (c) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}, \mathrm{DMSO}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (d) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $1 \mathrm{~d}, 91 \%$.

Desilylation of 59 using $48 \% \mathrm{HF}$ solution in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at r.t. gave ethyl-6-hydroxy-2methylene heptanoate ( $60,94 \%$ ). Diethyl-7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61) was
prepared in $91 \%$ yield by the Wittig reaction of the aldehyde obtained by the Swern oxidation of 60, with ethyl-triphenyphosphoranylidene-acetate (Scheme-20).

### 2.9.2. PET activation of 61 :

When compound 61 was subjected to the usual PET activation using PS-B system, cyclized product 62 (non-separable mixture of two isomers in 3:2 ratio, $60 \%$ ) along with minor amount of product $63(5 \%)$ were isolated (Scheme-21). Compound 62 and 63 were characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data.

Scheme-21


IR spectrum of 62 indicated the loss of conjugated double bond. It showed prominent absorption band at $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester carbonyl groups.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( Fig. 19) of 62 displayed a multiplet at $\delta 4.15$ (four protons), assignable to the methylene protons of ester groups. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.75-$ 2.2 (three protons) could be assigned to the protons of -CHCOOEt and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$ groups, respectively. Another bunch of multiplets corresponding to seven protons of cyclohexyl ring appeared at $\delta 2 \cdot 15-1.25$. Remaining protons of cyclohexyl ring and methyl protons of ester moieties are observed as a bunch of multiplets at $\delta$ 1.3-1.05.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 20) gave molecular ion $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$peak at 242 with $8 \%$ intensity along with base peak at 168 [ $\mathrm{M}^{+}$- HCOOEt]. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $181(17 \%), 154(57 \%), 122(47 \%), 109(41 \%), 95(60 \%), 81(60 \%)$, 55 (51 \%).

For details of the spectral characterisation of 63 , see experimental section.
Formation of the minor product (63) could be explained by considering the competitive partial termination of the radical intermediate 65 by 2 -hydroxy-iso-propyl radical (66) formed by donating one $\mathrm{H}^{\cdot}$ to 65 during formation of the major product 62
(Scheme-22 ). Formation of 63 provides further support and confirmation for the involvement of radical intermediate of the type 64 during the PET reductive cyclisation of 61.

Scheme-22


It may be worth emphasizing here that the activation of 52,56 , and 61 led to the smooth cyclisations to produce corresponding six-membered carbocyles in contrast to the failure reported ${ }^{5}$ for the reaction by $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ reduction system. This observation clearly indicates that this chemistry is operating mechanistically different than the reported ${ }^{5}$ in the $\mathrm{Mg} / \mathrm{MeOH}$ cases where anionic moiety of radical anion generated has participated. Sufficient evidence regarding the involvement of radical intermediate for the cyclisations in our case is already provided in the last chapter as well as in the preceding discussion.

## 3. Stereoselective Synthesis of 2,3-Disubstituted Cyclic Ethers

From the previous discussion it is apparent that we have established a new strategy for the activation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated esters as carbon centered radicals at its $\beta$-position by one electron reductive activation utilizing photosystems PS-A or PS-B which undergoes efficient intramolecular cyclizations with tethered activated olefins to provide cycloalkanoids. This expectacular succeess encouraged us to extend this methodology for the construction of some of the common subunits of naturally occurring carbocylic acid ionophores, specially, trans-2,3-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans and tetrahydropyrans ${ }^{14}$, through the approach as shown in Scheme-23.

## Scheme-23



However, before dwelling upon our results, it would be pertinent to append a brief introduction concerning the importance and the methodologies known in the literature for the construction of 2,3-disubstituted cyclic ethers.

Due to the prevalence of O-heterocycles, particularly, 2,3-disubstituted hydropyran and hydrofuran subunits, in numerous polyether antibiotics ${ }^{15}$ and ionophore natural products ${ }^{16}$, these compounds have been the frequent and important targets for synthetic organic chemists. ${ }^{17}$ Owing to the volumnous literature available on the syntheses of these cyclic ethers, only few and appropriate examples are presented in this section to highlight the approaches utilized in this context.

## (a) Radical approach:

Burke and coworkers ${ }^{18}$ have reported the synthesis of 2,3- disubstituted tetrahydropyrans by the intramolecular addition of an $\alpha$-alkoxymethyl radical (73), generated by the C-S bond homolysis of 70 using $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$, to the tethered olefin as shown in Scheme-24. The stereoselectivity of the products are dependent on the substituents as well as on the geometry ( $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ ) of the olefin. For example, when $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$, (70a) pyrans 71 and 72 are produced in the 2.1:1.3 ratio. During this reaction small amounts of 7 -endo-trig product ( $18 \%$ ) and reduced product $(15 \%)$ are also formed.

## Scheme-24



The silicone substituents ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{SiPh}_{2} t \mathrm{Bu}$ ) on the olefin such as 70 b and 70 c are found to suppress the 7 -endo mode of cyclization in comparison to 70a. Addition of $\alpha$ alkoxymethyl radical derived from (70b) has been shown to be relatively less selective (76:77 1.3:1) in comparison to 70c where anti-diastereomer (76) predominates (Scheme25).

Scheme-25


Although, this report has made a valuable contribution in this regard, it can not be made general as the selectivity depends on several factors, moreover, it utilizes toxic $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}$ as a reagent.

The same group have further reported ${ }^{19}$ the diastereoselective synthesis of tetrahydrofurans 82 in a ratio of $2: 1$ (trans:cis) by the cyclisation the $\alpha$-alkoxymethyl radical 81 , generated by the radical translocation of the intermediate 80 produced by the addition of thiophenoxy radical, to triple bond terminus of 79. (Scheme-26).

Scheme-26


Synthesis of 5-(4-carboxyphenyl)-4-methyl-2-ethoxy-tetrahydrofuran (85) with trans stereoselectivity is reported ${ }^{20}$ by the endo-trig cyclisation of a radical produced from 83, initiated by the in situ generated tin radical species obtained from 84 by using $4,4^{\prime}$ azobis (4-cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA) as initiator and in the presence of sodium borohydride as reducing agent (Scheme-27).

Scheme-27




85

83

Substituted tetrahydrofurans as well as tetrahydropyrans (87) are also synthesised ${ }^{21}$ by the xanthate group transfer radical cyclisation of 2-(alken-1-oxy)-2-[(ethoxythiocarbonyl)sulfanyl]acetic acid methyl esters (86) using di-tert-butyl peroxide as initiator (Scheme-28).

Scheme-28


Although, the cyclisation of $86(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{n}=2)$ is shown to give comparable regio- and stereoselectivity as reported by Burkey et al ${ }^{18}$, however, the stereoselectivity, in sharp contrast, is shown not to depend on the olefin geometry.

Scheme-29



Fused tetrahydrofuran 91, has also been synthesised ${ }^{22}$ by the radical mediated tandem cyclisation from 88 as shown in Scheme-29.

Vaupel and Knochel ${ }^{23}$ have reported the syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans 94 in > 99:1 ratio by the nickel catalysed carbozincation of 2-iodo and 2-bromoethyl allyl ethers of the type 92 in the presence of diethyl zinc. This cyclisation is suggested to proceed by a radical mechanism initiated by nickel catalyst (Scheme-30).

Scheme-30

$X=B r$ or $I$
i. $\mathrm{CuCN}, 2 \mathrm{LiCl}$
ii. E (electrophile)
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(b) Electrophile mediated cyclisation approach:

Liotta etal ${ }^{24}$ have reported the syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans $96 a$ and 96b by an electrophile mediated cyclisation of $\gamma$-hydroxy alkenes utilizing a variety of electrophiles [NBS, $\mathrm{I}_{2}$, mercury (II) acetate, $\mathrm{PhSe}^{+}$etc].

Scheme-31

a) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{E}^{+}=1^{+} \quad$ (trans: cis $=32: 62$ )
b) $\mathrm{R}_{1}=\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{E}^{+}=\mathrm{I}+\quad$ (trans $>98 \%$ )
with Z-olefin

It has been shown that alkyl group at allylic position does not have significant influence on the cyclisation stereochemistry (96a), though, the olefin geometry exerts substantial influence on the product distribution. For example, trans isomer 96-b is formed exclusively from a substrate having $Z$ methyl-olefin geometry (e. g. 95b) (Scheme-31).

The same group ${ }^{25}$ have further reported the syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans $(98,100)$ and tetrahydropyrans (101) by an intramolecular alkoxypalladation carbonylation reaction of $\gamma$-hydroxy alkenes (97, 99a-b). Regio- and stereochemistry of products are demonstrated to depend upon the olefin geometry and substituent at allylic position. For example, 98 is produced exclusively from 97 having methyl group at allylic position. Substrate 99 with $E$-olefin geometry has been shown to give tetrahydropyran 101 as a major product whereas 99 b with $Z$-olefin geometry leads to the formation of tetrahydrofuran 100. It is suggested that the regio- and stereochemistry of the major products of these reactions are determined by the relative energetics of various organopalladium intermediates formed during the reaction (Scheme-32).

Scheme-32



Similar oxopalladation carbonylation reaction has also been reported for the synthesis of tetrahydrofurans by Semmelhack ${ }^{26}$ where both the 2,3-trans (103) and 2,3-cis (104) diastereomers are shown to be formed, in sharp contrast to the contemporary report by Liotta et al ${ }^{25}$ where only 2,3-trans diastereomer (103) is suggested exclusively from the same starting olefin (102) (Scheme-33).

Scheme-33


Inoue et al ${ }^{27}$ have reported the syntheses of cis or trans 3-substituted, 2-(phenyl selenenyl methyl)- tetrahydropyrans 106 by an electrophilic selenium, obtained from benzene selenenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate, mediated cyclisation of 4 -substituted 5-hexen-1-ol (105), ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{CMe}, \mathrm{OH}, 4-\mathrm{MeOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CO}_{2^{-}}$etc.). It has been shown that the trans isomer is favoured when the substituent at the 4 -position is either alkyl or phenyl group whereas the cis isomer predominates when the substituents are alkoxyalkyl, alkoxy, acyl and hydroxyl groups (Scheme-34).

## Scheme-34



Evans and co-workers ${ }^{28}$ have also reported the syntheses of tetrahydrofurans 107/ 108 (56:44) by the iodonium ion mediated cyclisation of unsaturated alcohol 91 employing bis (sym-collidine)-iodine (I) perchlorate $\left[\mathrm{I}\right.$ (collidine) ${ }_{2}{ }^{+} \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$] as the source of iodonoium ion (Scheme-35).

Scheme-35

(c) Oxonium ion cyclisation approach:

Easily available $\gamma$-lactols (2-hydroxy tetrahydrofurans) (109) have been converted ${ }^{29}$ to 2,3-disubstituted tetrahydrofurans $(111,112)$ in good diastereoselectivity (e. g. 111, 92 \%) by the nucleophilic addition of allyl trimethylsilanes (110) to the oxocarbonium ion, generated by the reaction of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ on 109 (Scheme-36).

Scheme-36
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An interesting approach for the syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans (116), also reported by Mohr etal ${ }^{30}$ by the nucleophilic reaction and cyclisation of allyltrimethyl silane moiety to the in situ generated oxocarbenium ion 115 as shown in Scheme-37.

Scheme-37
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$\mathrm{R}_{1} \cdot \mathrm{R}_{2}=$ alkyl
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The intermediate 115 is generated by the Lewis acid catalysed addition of 113 to the acetal 114. This strategy has produced all-cis tetrahydrofurans 116 in 41-93 \% yield. The same strategy has further been extended ${ }^{31}$ for the syntheses of regioselective tetrahydropyrans 118, utilising the silanes 117 . In this case all the substituents of the pyrans (118) are shown to occupy equatorial orientations predominantly with $>95 \%$ diastereocontrol (Scheme-38).

Scheme-38


Schmmit and Rei $\mathrm{Big}^{32}$ have reported the diastereoslective syntheses of 2,3disubstituted tetrahydrofurans 120 by the nucleophilic addition of an organometallic reagent (e.g. $\mathrm{ZnEt}_{2}$ ) to the oxocarbonium ion generated from 119 by the reaction of borontrifluoride etherate (Scheme-39).

Scheme-39


## (d) Miscellaneous approach:

Stereoselective synthesis of all syn-substituted tetrahydropyrans 124 in high yield $(85-90 \%)$ is reported ${ }^{33}$ by the Ireland ester enolate Claisen rearrangements of 6 -alkenyl-1,4-dioxan-2-ones (121) followed by hydrogenation of the resulting dihydropyrans 123 . It is suggested that the intermediates 122 incorporates two boat like six atom transition
arrays during its pericyclic rearrangements and, thus, resulting the stereochemical orientations of the substituents at C-2, C-3 and C-6 position as shown in Scheme-40.

Scheme-40




123
$R, R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}=$ alkyl group

91 \%
a) LDA, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; - THF, $+\mathrm{PhCH}_{3}, 110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$5 \%$ aq $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} ; \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, Ether, $\mathrm{MeOH},-5{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}$

2,3-Disubstituted tetrahydrofurans and pyrans 127 are synthesised ${ }^{34}$ in good yields from five- and six-membered lactones (125), respectively, by the addition of a $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{Li}$ or Scheme-41

$\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{MgX}$ followed by the eliminative reduction of the resultant lactols 126 using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and triethylsilane as the reagents. The stereoselectivity of products is shown to depend upon the ring size of the lactones 125 (Scheme-41)

Intramolecular cyclisation of $\alpha$-alkoxymethyllithiums (129) derived by the reaction of lithum naphthalenide with 128 has been shown to be an important approach for the synthesis $^{35}$ of 2,3-substituted tetrahydrofurans 130 in moderate yield. Trans diastereoselectivity is shown to predomominate (trans/cis 7:1) in such cyclizations (Scheme-42).

## Scheme-42



A stereoselective intramolecular ene reaction of allylic ( $\gamma$-aryl) propergyl ethers 131 is developed by Mikami et al ${ }^{36}$ for the syntheses of substituted tetrhydrofurans 132. The anti-diastereoselectivity is suggested to predominate in such reactions too (Scheme43).

Scheme-43


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}, 1300^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & 93 \% \text { (trans) } \\
\mathrm{R}=p-\mathrm{MeOPh}, 200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} & 100 \% \text { (trans) }
\end{array}
$$

A $[3+2]$ cycloaddition approach involving the addition of an activated ${ }^{37 \mathrm{a}}$ or nonactivated ${ }^{37 \mathrm{~b}}$ multiple $\pi$-bonds to an stabilised carbonyl ylide (134), generated in situ by the 1,3-elimination of trimethylsilyl ( $\alpha$-aryl) methyl chloromethyl ether (133) by fluoride ion, has been utilised by Hojo et al ${ }^{37 \mathrm{ab-b}}$ for the syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans 135. The regio- and stereochemistry of the cyloadducts are shown to depend on the nature of the dipolarophiles. For example, the tetrahydrofuran 136 is essentially produced as a $1: 1$ mixture of distereomers while 137, obtained by the cycloaddition of styrene with 134, is formed predominantly with trans diastereoselectivity (trans:cis 82:18) (Scheme-44).

Scheme-44


b: $\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{Ph}$,
$=<^{Z}=$ Styrene



137 62\%
82:18 regioselectivity

Brown et al ${ }^{38}$ have reported the synthesis of cis-2,3-disubstituted tetrahydropyrans 140 in high optical purity by the intramolecular nucleophilic displacement of chloride ion by hydroxyl ion from the chlorohydrins 139, prepared in high optical purity by the reaction of chiral allyl boronates 138 with the corresponding aldehydes (Scheme-45).

Scheme-45
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RCHO $\|$ PhMe/pentane, $-1000 \mathrm{C}, 70-85 \%$


139 (83-98\% e.e.) $\quad R=$ alkyl
140 (85-98\% e.e)

Ley and co-workers ${ }^{39}$ have devised an interesting synthetic route for the construction of tetrahydrofuran portion 142 of the antibiotic tetronasin (143) via intramolecular epoxide

Scheme-46


ring opening of the chiral epoxide 141 by hydroxyl moiety using tetrabutylammonium fluoride as a base (Scheme-46).

Stereoselective syntheses of tetrahydrofurans (e.g. 145 and 146) are also reported ${ }^{40}$ by the insertion of chiral carbene generated by the catalysis of 147 on $t$-butyl diazoaceticester to the oxetanes 144 followed by catalytic asymmetric ring expansion. In this case, each enantiomer of the oxetanes gave a single diastereomer of the tetrahydrofuran. Competing metal-free ring expansions are involved to account for the less than perfect enantioselectivity (Scheme-47).

Scheme-47
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More recently, Bunce and coworkers ${ }^{41}$ have utilised a known tandem demethoxycarbonylation-Michael addition reaction strategy for the synthesis of functionalised tetrahydrofurans and 2 H - tetrahydropyrans (151) from the substrates of type 150 as shown in Scheme-48. Six membered cyclisations are shown to give better yield and diastereoselectivity compared to five membered ring closure reactions. For example, furan 151a is obtained from 150a in $60 \%$ yield with trans:cis ratio of $75: 25$
whereas corresponding pyran 151 b is formed from the cyclisation of 150 b in $75 \%$ yield with $d r$ 82:18.

Scheme-48


$$
\mathrm{b}: \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{n}=2
$$

$$
\text { b : } 75 \text { \% (trans : cis } 82: 18)
$$

Inspite of the great usefulness of this approach, it can not be generalized as it is only applicable to a particular type of substrates where decarboxylation has to proceed through a tertiary enolate intermediate for better results.

From the above introductory illustrations, it is evident that there is a need for the development of an additional strategies for the more general and practical syntheses of substituted tetrahydrofurans and pyrans.

With a view to provide a new and novel strategy for the syntheses of substrates of type 68 through the strategy as proposed in Scheme-1, substrate 154 having both acrylate and $\beta$-alkoxy acrylate moieties was selected.

### 3.1. Preparation of 1-ethoxy-5(-3-ethoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-penten-1-one

 (154) :Substrate 154 was synthesised easily in $96 \%$ yield by the Wittig olefination of the corresponding aldehyde, obtained $(60 \%)$ by the Swern oxidation of the corresponding
alcohol (152), with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate.. The details of its synthesis is shown in scheme-49.

## Scheme-49



Reagents : (a) Ethyl propiolate, $\mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $70 \%$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $20 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$

### 3.2. PET activation of the substrate 154 :

PET activation of the substrate 154, achieved by utilising an identical setup as described for 16, using either PS - A or PS - B reaction conditions, resulted in the formation of diastreomeric mixtures of 155 and 156 in $90 \%$ yield (trans:cis 75:25) (Scheme-50). Pure diastereomers 155 as well as 156 were separated by careful column chromatography and were characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectral data. The diastereomeric ratio was measured by capillary GC analysis using 25 mts phenylmethyl silicon column.

Scheme-50


IR spectrum of 155 indicated the loss of the conjugated double bond and alkoxy acrylate moiety. It showed prominent absorption band at $1735 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester moiety.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 21) of 155 confirmed the absence of conjugated double bond. It displayed a multiplet between $\delta 4.45-4.05$, integrating for five protons,
characterised as the methylene protons of ester groups and $\mathrm{H}_{-5 \mathrm{e}}$ mehtylene proton. Mehtylene proton $\mathrm{H}_{-5 \mathrm{a}}$ and methine proton $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ appeared between $\delta 3.75-4.0$ as a multiplet. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.65-2.10$ (five protons) are assigned to the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and the methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-3}\right)$. Another bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta$ 1.8-1.6 (two protons) are attributed to the remaining protons of the cyclopentane ring. A triplet at $\delta 1.3(J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, integrating for six protons is characterised to the methyl protons of ester moieties.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 22) of 155 revealed ten carbon signals whose characterization are assigned by INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbon appearing at $\delta 172.25$ and 171.28 are characterized to carbonyl moieties of ester groups. Methylene carbon signals of C-5 and methylenenes of ester groups $\left(-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}\right)$ appeared at $\delta 67.02$ and 60.63 , respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 80.19$ and 40.67 are assigned to the methine carbons C-2 and C-3, respectively. Methylene carbon signals of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups appeared at $\delta 39.89$, and 37.65 , respectively. Remaining methylene carbon signal of cyclopentane ring is observed at $\delta 32.89$. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.28$ is attributed to the methyl carbons of ester moieties.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 23) of minor cis-isomer 156 displayed a multiplet between $\delta$ 4.25-4.06, integrating for four protons, characterised as the methylene protons of ester groups. Methylene proton $\mathrm{H}_{-5 \mathrm{a}}$ and methine proton $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ appeared between $\delta 4.05-3.80$ as a multiplet. Another multiplet appearing between $\delta 3.62-3.35$ is characterised to $\mathrm{H}_{\text {-5 }}$ mehtylene proton. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.85-2.28$ (three protons) are assigned to the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - and the methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-3}\right)$. Remaining methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - appeared as a multiplet between $\delta$ 2.20-1.98 (two protons). Another bunch of multiplet appearing between $\delta 1.95-1.55$ (two protons) is assignable to the remaining protons of the cyclopentane ring. A multiplet at $\delta 1.3$ integrating for six protons is characterised to the methyl protons of ester moieties.

Similarly 156 displayed its carbon signals (Fig. 24) as follows : two down field signals appearing at $\delta 173.11$ and 170.68 are assignable to the respective quaternary carbons of ester moieties. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 75.04$ and 47.06 are attributed to the respective $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ methine carbons. $\mathrm{C}-5$ and $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$ methylene carbons appeared at $\delta 67.93$ and 60.33 (2C), respectively. Methylene carbon signals of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups (2C) and remaining one methylene carbons of cyclopentane ring are
observed at $\delta 39.79$ (2C), 34.20 and 31 , respectively. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.07$ is assigned to the methyl carbons of ester moieties.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 25) gave $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$ peak at 244 with $1 \%$ intensity, along with base peak at $157\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}\right)\right]$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $199\left(13 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}+1-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 181(4 \%), 170\left(77 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOEt}\right), 141(2 \%), 129$ $(14 \%), 115(17 \%), 97(10 \%), 83(21 \%)$ and $55(11 \%)$.

### 3.3. Stereochemical and mechanistic interpretation :

The stereochemical assignments of the diastereomers 155 and 156 is based on the comparison of their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectroscopic data.. In $155 \mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ protons appeared at higher field due to the shielding effect of the substituent in comparison to cis isomer (156). Similarly, C-2 and C-3 carbons 155 appeared at lower field than those of the corresponding cis compound 156. This argument is supported from the literature precedence ${ }^{42}$.

The trans selectivity observed during the ring closure reaction of 154 merits further discussion since cyclisation in such cases are operated by a different mechanism in comparison to other approaches. Initially formed intermediate of the type (23) gets transformed to a thermodynamically more stable anti-intermediate 26 which gives more stable trans-product (Scheme-10) in contrast to Burkes ${ }^{18}$ report, and thus allowing diastereoselectivity not to depend on the geometry of the acceptor olefinic double bond.

This logic can be further substantiated by considering the transition state conformers as shown in Scheme-51. The conformer 158 with enolate group in a psudoaxial position is likely to be thermodynamically favored over 157 resulting the trans diastereoselectivity in the product.

Scheme-51


157


158

### 3.4. Synthesis of 2,3-disubstituteded tetrahydropyrans :

To extend the scope of the cyclisation of $\beta$-enolate allylic radical to tethered alkoxy olefin for the synthesis of 2,3-disubstituted tetrahydropyrans, substrate 161 was studied.
3.4.1. Preparation of1-ethoxy-6-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-hexen-1-one (161) :

Compound 161 was synthesised in $92 \%$ yield by following the steps as shown in Scheme-52 utilising 1,4-butanediol as starting material.

Scheme-52


Reagents : (a) Methyl propiolate, $\mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $70 \%$; (b) $(\mathrm{COCl})_{2}$, DMSO, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 100 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{CHCOOEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r.t., $20 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$
3.4.2. PET activation of 1-ethoxy-6-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-hexen-1one (161) :

PET activation of 161 , by following the identical experimental procedure as described for 16 , furnished corresponding hydropyrans moiety 162 and 163 in the ratio of $85: 15$ in $60 \%$ yield alongwith olefin reduced product ( $164,15 \%$ ). The diastereomers ( 162 and 163) could be isolated in pure forms, however 163 was not obtained in sufficient amount for detailed spectral analysis. Compound 162 was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectral data (Scheme-53).

Scheme-53


IR spectrum of 162 indicated the loss of conjugated double bond and alkoxy acrylate moiety originally present in $\mathbf{1 6 1}$. It showed prominent absorption band at 1730 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester group.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig, 26) confirmed the absence of conjugated double bond. It displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.2(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, integrating for two protons, assigned as the methylene protons of ethyl ester group. A multiplet at $\delta 3.9$, integrating for one proton is assigned to $\mathrm{H}_{-6 \mathrm{eq}}$ proton. A sharp singlet at $\delta 3.7$, integrating for three protons is attributed to the methyl protons of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ moiety. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta$ 3.62-3.3 (two protons) is assigned to the $\mathrm{H}_{-6 a x}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ protons. Another bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.65-2.25$ (four protons) could be assigned to the mehtylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups. Remaining protons of cyclohexane ring are observed as a bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.1$ (one proton), 1.9-1.75 (two protons), 1.7-1.35 (one proton) and 1.35-1.2 (one proton), respectively. A triplet at $\delta 1.2(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, integrating for three protons, is assigned to the methyl protons of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ - group.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 27) of 162 revealed eleven carbon signals whose characterizations are assigned by INEPT experiment A down field signal appearing at $\delta$ 172.2 is assigned to the carbonyl moieties of the ester groups (2C). Methylene carbon (C6) and $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}-$ group appeared at $\delta 68.4$ and 60.7 , respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 78.6$ and 37.6 are assigned to the $\mathrm{C}-2$ and $\mathrm{C}-3$ methine carbons, respectively. Methylene carbon signals of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups appeared at $\delta 39.3$, and 37.7. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 51.9$ and 14.4 are assigned to the methyl carbons of $\mathrm{COOCH}_{3}$ and $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ groups, respectively. Remaining methylene carbons of cyclohexane ring are observed at $\delta 30$ and 26.1.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 28) showed $\mathrm{M}^{+} / \mathrm{e}$ at 244 (1 \%) along with base peak at $157\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-87\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}\right)\right]$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks were found at 213 , $\left(7 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}\right), 199\left(9 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}\right), 171\left(17 \%, \mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOEt}\right), 125(28 \%), 115(12 \%)$, $97(68 \%), 82(19 \%), 68(32 \%)$ and $55(28 \%)$.

### 3.4.3. Stereochemical and mechanistic interpretation of tetrahydropyran 162 :

Correlation between interacting protons of 162 were established by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR COSY experiment. The trans stereochemistry was confirmed by detailed decoupling experiment of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and measuring the coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and
$\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ protons. In this experiment, irradiation at $\delta 2.5$ (methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}$ group) simplified $\mathrm{H}_{.2}$ proton and it appeared as doublet indicating the coupling only with $\mathrm{H}_{.3}$ proron (Fig. 29 and 30). The observed coupling constant $J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ implies trans relationship between $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$.

The observed stereoselectivity in 162 is likely to be governed by the involvement of transition state 166 where trans diastereomer would predominate. As explained earlier in this chapter for tetrahydrofuran $\mathbf{1 5 5}$, the kinetically produced conformer 167 expected to result cis-diastereomer 163 gets transformed to the the thermodynamically more stable trans transition state 166 (Scheme-54).

This argument also draws the rational provided by Bounce et al ${ }^{41}$ by considering the dominant secondary orbital interaction and overlap involving HOMO of the enolate and LUMO of the $\alpha$-alkoxy- $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester carbonyl in the transition state 166 .

## Scheme-54



One important point worth mentioning is the observation of lower selectivity during the formation of five-membered ring over the six-members, in sharp contrast to the observation made during the formation of carbocycles. This may be postulated by considering the greater strain requirements to align the interacting $\pi$-systems for optimum orbital overlap.

## 4. Generation and cyclisation of $\alpha$-alkoxy radical

Our continuous efforts to extend the scope of the photosystems (PS-A, PS-B) for the useful and significant synthetic transformations led us to direct our attention for the generation of the $\alpha$-alkoxy carbon centered radical $(169)^{43}$ from the PET reductive $\beta$ activation of alkoxy acrylate moiety 168 . It was anticipated that PET reductive cyclisation of such radical species would add to tethered alkoxy acrylate moiety, providing a new approach for the construction of substituted 1,4-dioxane (170) framework present in many biologically active molecules ${ }^{44}$. Furthermore, it was realised that such radical species
(169) will enjoy further stabilization ${ }^{45}$ by the spin delocalization on to oxygen and the stereochemical out come of this cyclisation would be governed by a late and rigid transition state where nonbonding interactions of the substituent would play a vital role (Scheme-55).

Scheme-55
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To test the viability of this concept substrate 1 -methoxy-3-(2-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-(E)-1-propenyloxy)ethyloxy)-(E)-2-propen-1-one (172) was designed.
4.1. Preparation of 1-methoxy-3-(2-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-(E)-1-propenyloxy)ethyloxy)-(E)-2-propen-1-one (172) :

This compound was prepared in $70 \%$ yield by the Michael reaction ${ }^{46}$ of 1,2 ethandiol with methyl propiolate in the presence of N -methyl-mporpholine as shown in the Scheme-56 .

Scheme-56
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Reagents : (a) Methylpropiolate, NMM, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 70 \%$

### 4.2. PET reductive activation of 172 :

Identical PET reductive cyclisation of 172 , as described for 16 , resulted into the expected cyclised dioxane product, 1-methoxy-2-(3-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl) [1,4] diox-2-yl)-1-ethanone (173, $80 \%$ ) with excellent diastereoselectivity (trans:cis 95:5) along with the recovery of $15 \%$ of starting material (172) (Scheme-57). 173 was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data.

Scheme-57


IR spectrum of 173 indicated the absence of conjugated double bonds. It showed prominent absorption band for ester carbonyl groups at $1740 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 31) of 173 confirmed the absence of alkoxy acrylate double bonds. It displayed a bunch of multiplets between $\delta$ 3.80-3.60 integrating for twelve protons including a singlet at $\delta 3.7$, is attributed to the methylene protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-5 \mathrm{eq}}, \mathrm{H}_{-5 \mathrm{ax}}, \mathrm{H}_{-6 \text { eq }}\right.$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-6 \mathrm{x}}$ ), methine protons ( $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ ) and six methyl protons of ester moieties (singlet at $\delta$ 3.7).
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 32) revealed two sets of carbon signals, each set corresponding to the respective diastereoisomer. Compound 173 being a symmetrical molecule, carbon signals of the symmetrical carbons appeared at one single position. First set of carbon signals corresponding to the major isomer, showed five signals whose characterizations are assigned by INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbon appearing at $\delta 170.71$ is characterised to - CO - moieties of ester groups (2C). Two methine carbons appeared at $\delta 75.55$. Another signal appearing at $\delta 66.50$ is assigned to the methylene carbons (C-5 and C-6) of the dioxane ring. Another signal appearing at $\delta 51.62$
$(2 \mathrm{C})$ is attributed to the methyl carbons of ester groups. Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ groups are observed at $\delta 36.77$ (2C).

Similarly, for minor isomer quaternary carbons of ester groups (-CO-, 2C) are observed at $\delta 170.71$. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 72.32(2 \mathrm{C})$ and $50.81(2 \mathrm{C})$ are characterised to the methine and methyl carbons of ester groups, respectively. C-5, C-6 methylene and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - appeared at $\delta 72.32$ (2C) and $\delta 33.76$ (2C), respectively.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 33) showed molecular ion peak at 232 with $6 \%$ intensity. The base peak was observed at $99\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HCOOMe}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOMe}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentations are noticed at $200\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{MeOH}, 41 \%\right), 158(76 \%), 145(10$ $\%$ ), 115 ( $13 \%$ ), 81 ( $15 \%$ ), 74 ( $73 \%$ ), 65 ( $8 \%$ ), 59 ( $92 \%$ ), 55 ( $33 \%$ ).

To establish the generality of such cyclisations for the formation of 2,3disubstituted 1,4-dioxane framework, substrate 1-ethoxy-3-(2-(1-methyl-3-ethoxy-3-oxo-(E)-1-propenyloxy)ethyloxy)-(E)-2-propen-1-one (175) was also included in our study.

### 4.3. Preparation of 175 :

Substrate 175 was prepared from 1,2-propandiol (174) following the identical procedure as described for 172 (Scheme-58).

Scheme-58


Reagents : (a) Ethylpropiolate, $\mathrm{NMM}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 75 \%$

### 4.4. PET reductive activation of 175 :

Identical PET reductive cyclisation of 175 , as described for 172 , gave cyclised dioxane, 1-ethoxy-2-(3-(1-methyl-2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl) [1,4] diox-2-yl)-1-ethanone (176) as a mixture of two diastereomers (trans:cis 95:5) in $75 \%$ yield along with the recovery of
$20 \%$ of starting material (175). Product 176 was characterized by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data (for details see experimental section) (Scheme-59).

## Scheme-59



### 4.5. Synthesis of optically pure $C_{2}$-symmetric diol :

Synthesis of dioxane framework of the type 176 in high diastereocontrol encouraged us to utilise this type of structure for the asymmetric synthesis of trans-1,2diol (177) via the stereoselective synthesis of chiral dioxane 178 . The retrosynthetic route for our proposed plan for the synthesis of trans-1,2-diol is shown in Scheme-60.

## Scheme-60



1,2-Diols are part of various biologically active natural products including pheromones ${ }^{47 a}$. The other uses of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric diols as auxiliaries for asymmetric syntheses and formation of diastereomeric acetals and ketals are well known in the literature ${ }^{47 \mathrm{~b}-\mathrm{d}}$.

The development of a stereoselective synthesis of chiral 1,2-disubstituted 1,2-diols is a very important subject in the synthetic organic chemistry and many methodologies have been devised in this context. The known approaches can be classified in the following three broad categories.
4.5.1. Stereoselective addition to the carbonyl functionality bearing a chiral $\alpha$-alkoxy group:

Addition of organometallic reagents (organomagnasium, organolithium, organocopper) to aldehydes or ketones having a chiral $\alpha$-alkoxy group, is an imprtant approach for the asymmetric synthesis of 1,2 -diols. For example, addition of n butylmagnesiumbromide to the carbonyl functionality of 180 is reported ${ }^{48}$ to afford 181 in $>99 \%$ ds (Scheme-61).
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The high diastereoselectivity is explained by considering the Cram's ${ }^{49}$ chelation controlled transition state model (184) as shown in Scheme-62. Chelation dominates all other effects which determines the conformation in acyclic aldehydes and ketones and favours high diastereoselectivity and predictability ${ }^{50}$. However, several factors are known to influence the diastereoselectivity of such types of additions, including solvent polarity, counter-ion, and the nature of the ligating group.

Scheme-62

$L$ and $S$ are large and small substituents, respectively.

### 4.5.2. Nucleophilic opening of chiral epoxide :

Nucleophilic opening or hydrolysis of chiral (enantiomerically pure) epoxides, obtained either by Sharpless ${ }^{51}$ AE reaction of olefins having alcohol/alkoxy group in
allylic position or by Jacobson's ${ }^{52}$ method, provides an excellent approach for the synthesis of chiral 1,2-diols.

For example, diol (190) is obtained from the epoxide 186 by transforming it to 187 through Payne rearrangement followed by nucleophilic ring opening of the terminal epoxide ${ }^{53}$. The terminal epoxide (187) is less stable than the corresponding internal epoxide (186), and therefore former is normally the minor component in the reaction mixture, though, it reacts much more rapidly than the epoxide $187^{54}$ due to the lack of steric hindrance (Scheme-63).

Scheme-63




The problem of reversibility of epoxides during the Payne rearrangement step (Scheme-63) has led to the development of an alternate strategy involving irreversible formation of epoxide ${ }^{55}$ as shown in Scheme-64.

Scheme-64


The range of nucleophiles which can be used in this process are limited to those compatible to aqueous bases. This limitation has been, however, circumvented by the preparation of the terminal epoxide (198) as a descrete entity followed by reaction under anhydrous (non-equilibrating) conditions ${ }^{56}$ (Scheme-65).

## Scheme-65



Devine et al ${ }^{57}$ have synthesised chiral diols 202 in good yield by the nucleophilic opening of (S,S)-1,2,3,4-diepoxybutane, obtained from the dimesylate (200), as shown in scheme-66.

## Scheme-66



### 4.5.3. Asymmetric dihydroxylation approach :

The development of an efficient asymmetric dihydroxylation process involving the reaction of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ with unactivated olefins pioneered by Sharpless ${ }^{58}$, is perhaps the most reliable and general method for the oxygenation of olefines (Scheme-67).

Scheme-67


A range of catalysts is available which provides cis-diols from various alkenes in high ee. Complexes derived from $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ with phthalzine ligands of dihydroquinidine (DHQD) and dihydroquinine ( DHQ ) have been found to be very effective catalysts for this purpose. These two catalysts systems are shown to give opposite asymmetric induction (Scheme68). However this approach suffers from the following limitations: (a) substrates having cis-olefins are problematic for AD reaction, and in most of the cases give poor ee (no examples exceeding $90 \%$ ee), (b) aliphatic and acyclic substrates give even lower selectivities ( $\sim 50 \%$ ee), (c) few terminal olefins with a single, small substituent e. g. allyl derivatives $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{X}[\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH} 3, \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{R}$, OR , halo etc.], normally give $<70 \%$ ee, (d) $\mathrm{OSO}_{4}$ being an electrophilic reagent, electron rich olefins give better results in
comparison to electron deficient olefins and (e) lastly, this reagent is toxic and expensive too.

Scheme-68


### 4.6. Present investigation :

To provide an alternate strategy for the asymmetric synthesis of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symmetric diols, we evaluated to synthesise and utilise chiral dioxane 213 as a precursor for the synthesis of 1,2-trans-diol by its stereoselective ring opening.

The synthesis of dioxane 213 was envisaged to be realised from the from the PET cyclisation of 212.
4.6.1. Preparation of 1 -ethoxy-3-(1(R)-phenyl,2-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo-(E)-1-propenyloxy) ethyloxy) -(E)-2-propen-1-one (212):

Compound 212 was obtained in two steps starting from (R)-mandelic acid as shown in Scheme-69.

Scheme-69


Reagents and conditions : (a) LAH, Ether, r. t., 93 \%; (b) Ethylpropiolate, NMM, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r. t., $83 \%$
4.6.2. PET reductive cyclisation of 1-ethoxy-3-(1(R)-phenyl, 2-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo-(E)-1-propenyloxy)ethyloxy)-(E)-2-propen-1-one (212) :

PET reductive activation of 212, achieved by utilising PS-A or PS-B reaction condition, as described for 16, afforded expected dioxane moiety (213) in $65 \%$ yield as a
single diastereomer. Minor amount of cleavage product (214, $10 \%$ ) was also observed during its activation. Unreacted 212 was recovered in about $20 \%$ yield. Products 213 and 214 were characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data (Scheme70).

Scheme-70


IR spectrum of 213 indicated the loss of alkoxy acrylate double bonds. It showed prominent absorption band at $1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester moiety.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 34) of 213 displayed a multiplet between $\delta 7.45-7.3$, integrating for five protons, assigned to the aromatic protons. A doublet of a doublet $(J=10.9,2.4$ Hz ) appearing at $\delta 4.67$, integrating for one proton, is attributed to the benzylic proton. A quartet ( $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) appearing at $\delta 4.2$ is assigned to the methylene protons of ester groups. Another doublet of a doublet ( $J=10.9,12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) appearing at $\delta 3.50$ is characterised to one of the $\mathrm{H}_{-5}$ methylene proton. A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 4.1-3.8$ (three protons) could be assigned to the remaining $\mathrm{H}_{-5}$ and two other methine protons ( $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-4}$ ). Methylene protons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - moieties appeared at $\delta 2.55$ as a multiplet while methyl protons of ester moieties are observed as a multiplet at $\delta 1.25$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 35) of 213 showed only one set of carbon signals which indicated 213 to be an optically pure diastereomer. It revealed fifteen carbon signals whose characterization were assigned by INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbons appearing at $\delta 170.63$ and 170.56 are characterized to carbonyl moieties of ester groups. Another downfield signal appearing at $\delta 137.91$ is assigned to the quaternary carbon of aromatic benzene ring. Three signals appearing at $\delta 128.47,128.07$ and 126.19, are attributed to the ortho, para and meta carbons of benzene ring, respectively. C-5 methylene carbon appeared at $\delta 72.43$. Methylene carbon signals of $-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2}$ groups are observed at $\delta 60.95$ and 60.85 , respectively. A pair of signals appearing at $\delta 37.54$ and 37.24 are characterised to the methylene carbons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups (2C). Another three
signals observed at $\delta 77.65,76.06$ and 75.54 are assigned to the methine carbons. A signal appearing at $\delta 14.28$ is assignable to the methyl carbons of ester moieties.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 36) showed $\mathrm{M}^{+}+1$ peak at 337 with $1 \%$ intensity along with base peak at $104\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $291\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 3 \%\right), 216(10 \%), 184(7 \%)$ ), $170(28 \%), 143(17 \%), 129(9 \%), 125(5$ \%), 97 ( $8 \%$ ), 91 ( $20 \%$ ), 85 ( $6 \%$ ), 77 ( $19 \%$ ), 59 ( $6 \%$ ), 55 ( $18 \%$ ).

Optical rotation measurement of 213 gave a value of $[\alpha]_{D}^{22}=-66.90(\mathrm{C}=0.94$, $\mathrm{MeOH})$.

Stereochemistry of $\mathrm{H}_{-2}, \mathrm{H}_{-3}$, and $\mathrm{H}_{-6}$ protons of 213 were confirmed by NOE, NOESY and decoupling experiments of its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum.

### 4.6.3. Synthesis of (-)-1,8-di( -t-butyldimethylsilyloxy)l-4 (S),5(S)-octanediol (218):

Initially we tried to open the dioxane ring of 213 by hydrogenation, however, hydrogenation employing $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ remained unsuccessful. Our attempt to open the dioxane 213 by using $\mathrm{Na} /$ liq $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ gave a mixture of products with 215 as major as shown in Scheme-71.

Scheme-71


Formation of 215 led us to realise that stereoselective ring opening of 213 could be made possible by using $\mathrm{Na} /$ liq $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ if the ester groups are in reduced form. For this purpose dioxane 213 was transformed to 217 by LAH reduction followed by protection of the hydroxyl groups by TBDMSCl as shown in Scheme-72.


Reagents : (a) LAH, ether, r. t., $98 \%$; (b) TBDMSCl, imidazole, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 98 \%$

Subjecting 217 with $\mathrm{Na} / \mathrm{liq} \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ reduction gave 218 with the formation of styrene molecule (219) (Scheme-73). Diol 218 was characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data.

Scheme-73


IR spectrum (Fig. 37) showed a broad absorption band at $3471 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to the hydroxyl groups.

The 1H NMR (Fig. 38) displayed a multiplet between $\delta$ 3.98-3.82 integrating for four protons, assigned as the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ groups. Another multiplet appearing between $\delta 3.80-3.65$ (two protons) is characterised to the $\alpha$-hydroxy methine protons. Remaining methylene protons appeared as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta 1.85-1.72$. Protons of hydroxyl groups are observed as broad singlets at $\delta 3.55$ and 1.65 . Another singlet at $\delta 0.92$ is attributed to the eighteen protons of $t$-butyl groups. Remaining twelve methyl protons of -TBDMS group appeared as a singlet at $\delta 0.10$.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 39) of the diol (218) showed six carbon signals whose characterisation was assigned by INEPT experiment. Compound 218 being a $\mathrm{C}_{2^{-}}$ symmetric molecule carbon signals of the symmetrical carbons appeared at one single position. A signal appearing at $\delta 73.30(2 \mathrm{C})$ is characterised to the methine carbons. Another signal appearing at $\delta 61.51(2 \mathrm{C})$ is assigned to the methylene carbons of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OTBDMS}$ group. Remaining methylene carbons appeared at $\delta 35.18$ (2C). A signal appearing at $\delta 18.10(2 \mathrm{C})$ is attributed to the quaternary carbons of $t$-butyl groups. Methyl carbons of $t$-butyl groups are observed at $\delta 25.78$ (6C). Remaining methyl carbon signals of TBDMS group appeared at $\delta-5.58(4 \mathrm{C})$

Mass spectral analysis did not show prominent molecular ion peak. First intense peak from $\mathrm{M}^{+}$is found at $321\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-57(t-\mathrm{Bu})\right]$ with $5 \%$ intensity and the base peak is observed at 75 . The other prominent fragmentation peaks appeared at 312 (1 \%), 304 (3 \%), 229 ( $3 \%$ ), 189 ( $37 \%$ ), 171 ( $38 \%$ ), 159 ( $10 \%$ ), 133 ( $13 \%$ ), 115 ( $20 \%$ ), 105 ( $28 \%$ ), $97(51 \%), 89(63 \%), 69(12 \%)$ and $59(8 \%)$.

This one step ring opening of dioxane ring of 217 (Scheme-73) is a new strategy of its own kind. The plausible mechanism of dioxane ring opening is shown in Scheme-74. 4.6.4. Mechnism of ring opening of 217 :

Scheme-74


It may be important to cite a related work here ${ }^{59}$ for the synthesis of chiral diol 227 by the double nucleophilic addition to the dioxane (224) using chiral hydrobenzoin (223) as an auxiliary. However, it is apparent that this approach involves longer reaction sequence compared to ours as detailed out in Scheme-75.
Scheme-75



## 5. Conclusion

The work presented in this chapter can be summarised as follows :
(a) we have developed a new strategy for the reductive $\beta$-activation of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated esters to produce carbon centered radical precursor. The cyclization of these radicals to tethered activated olefins are shown to be very efficient and stereoselective for carbocyclic ring formation.


The 1,2-anti-stereochemistry observed in the cyclized products are suggested to originate from the thermodynamic equilibration of kinetically favored syn-intermediates.
(b) The application of this strategy has been demonstrated by the stereoselective synthesis of trans 2,3-disubstituted tetrahydrofuran and tetrahydropyran as a simple and new methodology.

(c) Generation of $\alpha$-alkoxy radical from the $\beta$-activation of alkoxy acrylate moiety and its cyclisations have been shown to provide an attractive route to substituted 1,4dioxane systems.

(d) Synthetic significance of this approach has been utilised for the asymmetric synthesis $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-symemetric 1,2-diol.


## 6. Experimental Section

### 6.1. General Photoirradiation Procedure:

All irradiations were performed in a specially designed photoreactor as described in chapter-1 using either PS-A or PS-B reaction conditions. PS-A reaction conditons involved the photolysis of mixture containing DCA (20-25 mol \%), substrate (1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (0.7-0.8 equivalent) in DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88: 10: 2) using 405 nm light. Details of the procedure has been described in chapter-1.
6.2. Preparation of Diethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9-dioate (16): Compound 16 was prepared in the three steps starting from 20 :
(a) Preparation of Ethyl-7-hydroxy-2(E)-heptenoate (21) and (b) Ethyl-7-oxo-2(E)heptenoate (22) has already been described in chapter-1.
(c) Preparation of diethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9-dioate (16):

Ethyl triphenyphosphoranylideneacetate ( $3.18 \mathrm{~g}, 9.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was placed into a 50 mL RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and an argon gas balloon. A solution of ethyl-7-oxo-2 (E)-heptenoate (22, $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (5 mL ) was introduced with the help of a syringe into the flask and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 30 h at r.t. The reaction mixture was concentrated under vacuo and the residue was stirred with 30 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : pet-ether (1:1) for 20 min . The resulting suspension was filtered and was washed with 15 mL of the same mixture of solvents. Evaporation of the combined filtrate under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification over silica gel afforded $1.51 \mathrm{~g}(90 \%)$ of 16 as a clear liquid.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}:$ | $6.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.2$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35-2.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ |
|  | $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
|  |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}:$ | $165.89(2 \mathrm{C}), 147.62(2 \mathrm{C}), 121.70(2 \mathrm{C}), 59.71(2 \mathrm{C}), 31.07(2 \mathrm{C}), 26.08$, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $13.89(2 \mathrm{C})$ |

```
IR (neat) : 2982, 2935, 1720, 1655, 1368, 1268, 1183, 1097, 1042, 979
MS m/e : 241(M+ ( 1, 3), 240( M+, 8), 195 (31),166 (36),149 (21), 138 (17),
    121 (24), }99\mathrm{ (32), }93\mathrm{ (56), }86\mathrm{ (23), }81\mathrm{ (100), }68\mathrm{ (28), }55\mathrm{ (24)
```


### 6.3. PET activation of Diethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9-dioate (16):

A solution of compound $16(0.571 \mathrm{~g}, 2.38 \mathrm{mmol})$, DCA ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMN ( $0.034 \mathrm{~g}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ascorbic acid ( $0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 3.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in a mixture of DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL}, 88: 10: 2)$ solvent was irradiated in especially designed photoreactor, as mentioned earlier in chapter I, under argon atmosphere with the light emanating from a 450 W Hanovia medium-pressure lamp filtered through a $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ : $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. After considerable consumption ( $98 \%$ ) of 16 ( 18 h ), the solvents were removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The concentrate was dissolved in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and saturated brine solution. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was concentrated under vacuo and the mixture was separated by column chromatography over silica-gel (100-200 mesh) using pet-ether: EtOAc as eluant to give compound $19(0.526 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%)$ as a mixture of two isomers (trans:cis $17: 3$ ).

Ethyl 2-[2-(carboethoxymethyl)cyclopentyl]ethanoate (19):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.05(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2-1.75$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) 1.7-1.45 |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( 200 MHz ) | $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.05(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, including $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ at 1.25) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | : (major) 172.80, 60.02, 41.84, 39.19, 32.07, 23.30, 14.13. |
| ( 50 MHz ) | (minor) $172.80,60.02,38.75,35.08,30.37,22.14,14.13$ |
| IR (neat) | : $2979,2956,1735,1390,1280,1200,1170,1050$ |
| MS m/e | $\begin{aligned} & : \quad 243\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,5\right), 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 13\right), 197(73), 168(52), 155(82), 139(4), \\ & 127(14), 109(35), 94(31), 81(100), 67(37), 55(9) \end{aligned}$ |

### 6.4. PET Activation of Diethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9-dioate (16) using PS-A :

PET activation of 16 using PS-A involved the irradiation of a solution of 16 ( 0.57 g ., 2.38 mmol ), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.375 \mathrm{~g}, 1.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{DCA}(0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 0.571 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ utilising the same setup and procedure using PS-B. After 18 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the crude concentrate over silica-gel using pet-ether: EtOAc as eluent afforded 0.515 g of $19(90 \%)$ as a mixture of diastreomers.
6.5. Preparation of Diethyl-4-t-butyldimethylsilyloxymethyl-2(E),7(E)-nonadien-1,9dioate (36):

Compound 36 was prepared in seven steps starting from diethylmalonate (30) as described below.
(a) Preparation of 31 :
(i) 3,3-diethoxy-iodopropane ${ }^{60}$ : To a solution of $\mathrm{NaI}(18 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol})$ and acrolein ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, \quad 100 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 250 mL ) was rapidly added chlorotrimethylsilane ( $15.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 120 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with vigorous stirring. The resulting suspension was stirred for 4 min and ethanol ( $14 \mathrm{~mL}, 238.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After stirring for 5 min , the reaction mixture was poured onto a $5 \%$ aq solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(100$ $\mathrm{mL})$ overlaid with hexane $(300 \mathrm{~mL})$. After thorough mixing three distinct liquid phases were produced. The bottom aqueous layer was removed and the remaining top and middle organic phases were washed with $5 \%$ aq solution of $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and subsequently with saturated NaCl solution ( $8 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) until only a single organic phase was formed. The hexane layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$. Solvent removal at reduced pressure gave $22.2 \mathrm{~g}(86 \%)$ of 3,3-diethoxy-iodopropane as a pale yellow liquid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.8-2.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.16(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.15$ $(90 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{td}, J=7.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz})$.
(ii) Into a dry 250 ml RB flask, fitted with reflux condenser, an argon gas balloon and a magnetic stirring bar, was placed $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(37.6 \mathrm{~g}, 271.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry acetonitrile
$(80 \mathrm{ml})$. Neat diethylmalonate ( $14.5 \mathrm{~g}, 90.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the above stirring mixture at r.t. A solution of 3,3-diethoxy-iodopropane ( $23.38 \mathrm{~g}, 90.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetonitrile ( 20 ml ) was added and the resultant reaction mixture was refluxed in an oil bath for 12 h . After cooling to r.t., the reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was concentrated under vacuo. Purification of the crude concentrate by column chromatography over silica-gel yielded 18.4 g of $31(70 \%)$ as a viscous liquid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.44(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.18(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.13-$ $(90 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 1.44(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40-1.02(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$
(b) Preparation of 33 :
(i) Lithium aluminium hydride ( $0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 17.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry THF ( 50 mL ) were placed in a 100 mL two neck RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar, reflux condenser and argon gas balloon. Compound 31 ( $4 \mathrm{~g}, 13.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in THF ( 15 mL ) was slowly added to the suspension through a syringe. The whole content was refluxed for 10 h . After cooling, the reaction mixture was quenched with conc. NaOH solution. The organic layer was separated from the precipitate and the precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. The concentrate was purified by silica-gel column chromatography to yield $2.72 \mathrm{~g}(96 \%)$ of the corresponding diol (32).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.88-3.08(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.95-1.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.15(6 \mathrm{H}$, $(90 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad \mathrm{t}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz})$
(ii) To a stirring solution of $32(2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol})$, triethylamine ( $1.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.6$ mmol) and DMAP ( $0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 30 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was slowly added a solution of $t$-butyldimethylsilylchloride ( $1.46 \mathrm{~g}, 9.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 15 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by addition funnel and the stirring was continued at r.t. for 4 h . The reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated brine solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. Silica-gel column chromatographic purification of the concentrate afforded $2.19 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of 33 as a clear liquid.

```
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR \(\quad: \quad 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.80-3.25(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.30(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.22\) \((200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.89(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})\).
IR (neat) : \(\quad 3450,2953,2858,1257,1132,1097,835\).
```


## (c) Preparation of 34 :

Swern oxidation of 33 ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the identical procedure as described earlier from 21 gave the corrresponding aldehyde ( $1.99 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%$ ).
${ }^{1}$ H NMR : $9.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.48(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t} . J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.72-3.35$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-1.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.22(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.88$ $(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), .08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$

Wittig olefination of the crude aldehyde with ethyl triphenylphosphoranyledene acetate $(2.8 \mathrm{~g}, 6.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the identical reaction procedure as described for 22 furnished 2.22 g ( $92 \%$ ) of 35 as a clear liquid.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}: & 6.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.85,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=15.85 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J \\
(200 \mathrm{MHz}): & =6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4.21(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{Jz}), 3.8-3.35(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.75- \\
& 1.36(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.35-1.20(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s})
\end{aligned}
$$

## (d) Preparation of 36 :

To a solution of $35(2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 ml of acetonitrile was added 4.4 mL of a $5 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{HF}(48 \%)$ in acetonitrile at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After 3 min , the reaction was quenched by adding $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{ml})$. The reaction mixture was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$ and concentrated under vacuo to yield 1.36 g of crude aldehyde (84 \%). This crude aldehyde was used for the Wittig reaction using ethyl triphenyl phosphoranyledene acetate ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 5.19 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the identical reaction procedure as described for 22 to give $1.47 \mathrm{~g}(88 \%)$ of 36 as a clear liquid. $: \quad \delta 6.75-7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$,
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad 2.5-2.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.85-1.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $: \quad \delta 166.1,165.92,149.13,147.92,122.63,121.64,65.18,59.91,59.85$, $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 44.23,29.36,28.50,25.63,18.03,14.03,-5.67$.

IR (neat) : $\quad 2929,2857,2360,1721,1656,1465,1368,1260,1100,837,757$
MS (m/e) : $369\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 3\right), 339\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 10\right), 327\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}, 100\right), 253$ (8), 235 (9), 207 (13), 179 (6), 161 (18), 133 (38), 105 (30), 103 (40), 89 (63), 81 (30), 75 (64).

### 6.6. PET activation of 36 :

PET activation of 36 involved the irradiation (20 h) of the solution of DMF: iPrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing 36 ( $0.40 \mathrm{~g} ., 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA $(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ following identical irradiation procedure as described for 16 . After removal of solvents by distillation under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification of the concentrate gave ethyl-2-[-(2-(carboethoxy)-5-(tertbutyldimethylsilyloxy) methyl)cyclohexyllethanoate ( $37.0 .32 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ) as a non-separable mixture of diastereomers (80: 20).

Ethyl-2-[(2-carboethoxymethyl-5-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclopentyllethannoate:

```
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR : \(\quad \delta 4.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.6-1.35(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.2(6 \mathrm{H} \mathrm{m})\),
\((200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})\).
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR \(: \quad \delta 172.74,65.95,59.97,47.64,44.06,42.65,39.40,38.83,31.17,27.47\),
( 50 MHz ) 25.87, 18.20, 14.14, -5.51.
IR (neat) : \(\quad 2953,2361,1734,1378,1252,1156,1033,838,746\)
MS (m/e) : \(371\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 3\right), 341\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 16\right), 329\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}, 100\right), 255(7)\),
    209 (12), 181 (12), 167 (15), 135 (19), 107 (26), 93 (29), 75 (50).
```

6.7. Preparation of 9-oxo, 9-carboethoxy-2(E),7(E)-nonadienenitrile (40):

This compound was prepared by following the same reaction sequence as described for diethyl-2(E), 7(E)-nonadien-1,9-dioate (16).
(a) Preparation of 7-Hydroxy-2-heptenonitrile (38):

It was obtained $(1.18 \mathrm{~g})$ in $96 \%$ yield as a mixture of two isomers (trans: cis $=68$ : 32) by the Wittig olefination of 2-hydroxypyran ( $20,1 \mathrm{~g}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) following the same reaction procedure as described for 21 employing triphenylphosphoranylidene acetonitrile $(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 12.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ instead of ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR : $\quad 6.75(0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.2,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.51(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=11.0,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.36$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.48(0.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.27(1.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.12(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br}$ s. $\mathrm{OH}), 1.58(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(b) Preparation of 7-Oxo-2-heptenonitrile (39):

Swern oxidation of 7-hydroxy-2-heptenonitrile ( $38,1 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), as described earlier for compound 21, gave 1.0 g of crude 7 -oxo-2-heptenonitrile ( $39,100 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $: \quad 9.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.7(0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.3,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.48(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=11.1$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5(2.6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.26(1.4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(c) Preparation of 9-Oxo, 9-ethoxy-2 (E), 7(E)-nonadienenitrile :

Wittig reaction of the crude aldehyde (39, $1 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate $(3.34 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ yielded 1.44 g (92\%) of 9-oxo, 9-ethoxy-2 (E), 7 (E)-nonadienenitrile (40).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $: \quad \delta 6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.7(0.7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.2,6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.5(0.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.85$
$(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=14.8,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.2,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.8-2.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35-2.1(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.9-1.5(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\quad: \quad$ (major isomer) $\delta 201.35,165.98,154.74,147.27,121.97,100.16$, $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 59.88,32.22,30.93,25.71,13.95$
IR (neat) : $\quad 2938,2223,1719,1655,1640,1445,1191,1042,979,864$

MS (m/e) : $193\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 148\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 50\right), 127(56), 120(300), 99(69), 93$ (37), 81 (96), 53 (100).

### 6.8. PET Activation of 9-oxo, 9-ethoxy-2(E),7(E)-nonadienenitrile (40):

To a solution of DCA ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(500 \mathrm{~mL}), 0.50 \mathrm{~g}$ ( 2.59 mmol ) of 40 , DMN ( $0.68 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ascorbic acid ( $1.18 \mathrm{~g}, 6.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned earlier for 16 . After 22 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate yielded $41(0.379 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a non-separable mixture of isomers (trans:cis 80: 20; ratio obtained from GC analysis) along with a minor compound $42(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)$ also as a mixture of diastereomers (dr 3:2).

2-[2-(carboethoxymethyl)cyclopentyl]ethanenitrile (41):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.6-2.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.1-1.58(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.5-1.2$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( 200 MHz ) | ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, including $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, at $1.3, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $172.45,118.89,60.39,41.50,41.20,39.04,32.36,31.95,23.33$, |
| ( 50 MHz ) | 21.78, 14.15. |
| IR (neat) | 2957, 2360, 1730, 1183, 1027. |
| MS (m/e) | $195\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 155$ (9), 150 (27), 122 (9), 109 (16), 88 (65), 80 (100), |
|  | 67 (35), 61 (54), 54 (50). |
| GC/MS (m/e) | : (major) 155 (24), 150 (99), 122 (40), 88 (100), 81 (84), 60 (43), 53 |
|  | (41), 41 (68). |
|  | (minor) 155 (5), 150 (27), 88 (83), 81 (100), 67 (52), |

Minor bicyclic compound 6-cyano-7-carboethoxy-bicyclo[3.2.0] heptane (42):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.4-4.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75-3.6(0.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.35-2.2(3.5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-1.88$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.65-1.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.4-1.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | (major) 172.43, 118.56, 61.25, 44.22, 42.02, 36.74, 32.34, 29.08, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) | 5.03, 24.66, 14.10. |
|  | (minor) 172.12, 119.9, 61.25, 42.57, 41.38, 38.96, 31.98, 31.77, 7.66, |
|  | 24.51, 14.1. |
| IR (neat) | 2957, 2236, 1731, 1374, 1262, 1184, 1047, 1036. |
| MS (m/e) | $: 193\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 0.5\right), 148\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$- OEt, 12), 120 (35), 98 (33), 93 (44), 80 (24), |
|  | 68 (100), 53 (27). |
| GC/MS (m/e) | : (major) $166\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HCN}, 22\right), 164$ (29), 148 (18), 120 (38), 98 (41), 93 |
|  | (37), 80 (18), 68 (100), 41 (39). |
|  | (minor) 166 (29), 148 (28), 120 (63), 98 (85), 93 (64), 80 (43), 68 |
|  | (100), 67 (87), 53 (27), 41 (61). |

6.9. Preparation of Dithyl-2(E),8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (52): This compound was synthesized in two steps starting from 1,6-hexanediol (50).

Dry pyridinium chlorochromate $(22.84 \mathrm{~g}, 106 \mathrm{mmol})$ and celite $(23 \mathrm{~g})$ were placed in a 500 mL RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and an argon gas balloon. Dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(150 \mathrm{ml})$ was introduced in to the flask by a canulla. A solution of 1,6 -hexanediol $(50,5 \mathrm{~g}, 42.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(160 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added very fast to the reaction mixture at r.t. by a an addition funnel. The suspended mixture was continued to stir for another 2 h . $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the reaction mixture. The resulting mixture was passed through a silica gel column and eluted with EtOAc: pet-ether to give $3.38 \mathrm{~g}(70 \%)$ of adipaldehyde ${ }^{61}(51)$ as a clear oil. Wittig reaction of $51(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ with ethyl triphenyl phosphoranylidene acetate ( $7.33 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the identical reaction condition as described for 22 gave dithyl-2 (E), 8 (E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (52; $1.92 \mathrm{~g}, 86$ \%) as an oil.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $6.96(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.8,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.8,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.18$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( 200 MHz ) | $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.48(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | 166.52 (2C), 148.25 (2C), 121.45 (2C), 59.78 (2C), 31.57 (2C), 27.26 |
| ( 50 MHz ) | (2C), 13.98 (2C). |
| IR (neat) | : 2980, 1720, 1655, 1460, 1367, 1263, 1045. |
| MS (m/e) | $: 255\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} 1,3\right), 254\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 209\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 13\right), 208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{EtOH}, 12\right)$, |

180 (42), 163 (54), 162 (37), 140 (37), 135 (87), 134 (73), 122 (18), 107 (85), 99 (44), 95 (52), 86 (37), 68 (70), 67 (77), 55 (100).

### 6.10. PET activation of Dithyl-2(E),8(E)-decadien-1,10-dioate (52):

PET activation of 52 involved the irradiation ( 25 h ) of solution of DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $52(0.28 \mathrm{~g} ., 1.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA $(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ by adopting the identical procedure as described for $\mathbf{1 6}$. Usual work up and purification of the reaction mixture yielded $0.24 \mathrm{~g}(85 \%)$ of ethyl-2-[2(carboethoxymethyl)cyclohexyl]ethanoate (53) as a mixture of two isomers which were characterised as diastereomers (trans:cis 75:25) by GC/MS analysis.

Ethyl-2-[2-(carboethoxymethyl)cyclohexyl]ethanoate (53):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $:$ | $4.12(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=14.6,8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.85-1.05(16 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, including $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}$, at $1.26, J$ |
|  | $=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $:$ | $($ major $) 172.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 59.73(2 \mathrm{C}), 38.82(2 \mathrm{C}), 38.76(2 \mathrm{C}), 32.10,25.57$ |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $(2 \mathrm{C}), 13.91(2 \mathrm{C})$, |
|  | $($ minor $) 172.4(2 \mathrm{C}), 59.73(2 \mathrm{C}), 35.44(2 \mathrm{C}), 35.12(2 \mathrm{C}), 28.58(2 \mathrm{C})$, |
|  | $22.88(2 \mathrm{C}), 13.91(2 \mathrm{C})$. |

6.11. Preparation of 56: This compound was prepared in four steps starting from diethyl malonate (30) as described below:

## (a) Preparation of compound (54):

Compound 54 was prepared ( 2.29 g ,) in $70 \%$ yield from diethyl malonate ( 30,2 $\mathrm{g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the exactly same reaction procedure as described for 31 using 3,3-dimethoxy-iodopropane ( $2.87 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) instead of 3,3-diethoxy-iodopropane.

## (b) Preparation of Compound 55:

Into a dry 100 mL RB flask, fitted with reflux condenser, argon gas balloon and a magnetic stirring bar, was placed paraffin free $\mathrm{NaH}(0.35 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ and dry THF ( 30 mL ). A solution of $54(1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 7.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise to the above stirring mixture at r.t.. The stirring was continued till the solution became clear ( $\sim 45$ min.). Solution of ethyl bromocrotonate ( $1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 7.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 5 mL ) was added slowly to the reaction mixture and the whole content was heated in an oil bath at $45-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 40 h . After cooling to r.t., water ( 15 mL ) was added and diluted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was further extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water, saturated brine solution and dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was column chromatographed over silica-gel to give 2.1 g ( $77 \%$ ) of 55 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }: & 6.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=16.2,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J= \\
(200 \mathrm{MHz}): & 5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.30-4.05(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 3.32(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 2.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.02- \\
& 1.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.62-1.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.35-1.15(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}) \\
\mathrm{IR} \text { (neat) }: \quad & 2982,2832,2360,1728,1670,1284,1160,1050,980 . \\
\text { MS (m/e) : }: & 359\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 1\right), 343(5), 329(3), 271(20), 255(12), 141(8), 225(19), \\
& 183(24), 123(7), 107(9), 91(10), 85(24), 79(14), 75(100), 71(95), \\
& 58(39), 55(30)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Preparation of Compound 56:

To a solution of the compound $55(1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 30 mL of acetonitrile was added a $5 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{HF}(48 \%)$ in acetonitrile $(2.1 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring. Progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After 3 min , the reaction was quenched by adding $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was washed with aq $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic phase was dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentreted under vacuo to yield 0.84 g of crude aldehyde. This aldehyde was used as such for the Wittig reaction using ethyl triphenylphosphoranyledene acetate ( $1.16 \mathrm{~g}, 3.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the identical reaction procedure as described for 22 to give 0.86 g of 56 as a clear liquid in $81 \%$ overall yield starting from 55.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $:$ | $6.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,6.17 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.9(1 \mathrm{H}$, |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.3-4.1(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, |
|  | $2.80(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25-1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.40-1.20(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |,

### 6.12. PET activation of 56:

$0.318 \mathrm{~g}(0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 56 and $0.168 \mathrm{~g}(0.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ were dissolved in 300 mL solution of DMF: $i$ - $\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $0.048 \mathrm{~g}(0.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ of DCA and mixture was irradiated for 20 h , as described for 16. After removal of solvents and subsequent column chromatographic purification of the residue yielded $0.256 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of 57 as a mixture of diastereomers (trans:cis 70:30) which were identified by GC/MS analysis.

Ethyl 2-[4,4-dicarboethoxy-2-(carboethoxymethyl)cyclohexyl]ethanoate (57):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\text { 4.35-4.02 }(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.67-2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.40-1.98(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.95-1.48$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| ( 200 MHz ) | (5H, m), 1.4-1.15 (12H, m) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | major 172.90, 172.57, 171.14, 171.91, 61.37, 61.30, 60.35 (2C), 54.90, |
| ( 50 MHz ) | 38.68 (2C), 37.90, 36.77, 35.59, 31.76, 28.80, 14.22 (4C) |
|  | minor $172.14,171.91,170.59,170.5,61.17,61.05,60.35$ (2C), 54.71, |
|  | 38.16 (2C), 33.50, 32.95, 30.71, 26.48, 25.68, 14.04 (4C) |
| IR (neat) | 2982, 2936, 2362, 1731, 1250, 1180, 1175 |
| MS (m/e) | 355 (28), 313 (100), 281 (20), 267 (53), 239 (27), 207 (33), 193 (51), |
|  | 165 (36), 105 (21), 91 (21), 55 (7) |
|  | [400 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 5\right), 355$ (100), 341 (15), 337 (17), 326 (33)] |

### 6.13. Synthesis of Diethyl 7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61):

Compound 61 was prepared in four steps starting from triethylphophonoacetate (58) as described below:
(a) Preparation of 5-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-2-methylene hexanoate (59):
i) 4-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy iodobutane: To a stirred suspension of KI ( 26.9 g , $162.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 60 mL of dry THF, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL}, 161.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 12 h . Saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ) was added to the solution and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(2 \times 60 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ solution ( 50 mL ) followed by water ( $2 \times 150 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and finally dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration of the dry organic layer under vacuo yielded 23.6 g (73\%) of crude iodobutanol. ${ }^{62}$

To a stirred solution of the above alcohol ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and imidazole ( 7.5 g , 110 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$, $t$-butyldimethylsilylchloride ( $8.3 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at r.t. and stirring was continued for an additional 4 h . Reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated brine solution, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. Silica-gel column chromatographic purification of the concentrate afforded 15.1 g (95\%) of 4-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy iodobutane.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.73(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.88$ $(90 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.06(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
ii) A previously dried 100 mL two neck RB flask fitted with a reflux condenser was charged with paraffin free $\mathrm{NaH}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ and dry $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. Ttriethylphosphonoacetate ( $58,5 \mathrm{~g}, 22.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the flask slowly under argon atmosphere at r.t. The mixture was stirred until it became clear ( $\sim 30 \mathrm{~min}$.). 4-tertbutyldimethylsilyloxy iodobutane ( $5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 17.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise to the mixture and the whole content was refluxed for 8 h . After cooling to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
an additional amount of $\mathrm{NaH}(1.07 \mathrm{~g}, 50 \%)$ was added at a time and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir for 45 min at r.t. Solid paraformaldehyde ( 1.0 g ) was introduced portionwise by a solid addition flask and the reaction mixture was left stirring overnight at r.t. The mixture was diluted with 100 mL of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, suction filtered through small celite pad and washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The filtrate was concentrated under vacuo and subsequently purified by column chromatography over silica-gel to give ethyl 5 -tert-butyl-dimethyl-silyloxy-2-methylenehexanoate ( $59,2.76 \mathrm{~g}, 54 \%$ )

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $:$ | $6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.54(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.67(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}):$ | $2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.52(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) .0 .9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.05$ |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. |  |
| IR (neat) $:$ | $: \quad 2836,2832,1714,1649,1466,1378,1365,1272,1193,1075,1043$, |  |
|  |  | 982. |

## (b) Preparation of Ethyl 5-hydroxy-2-methylene hexanoate (60):

To a stirred solution of $59(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added aqueous solution of $48 \% \mathrm{HF}(0.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ at r.t. and the progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, 10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 5 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with water, saturated brine solution and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Concentration under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification of the residue on silica gel gave alcohol $60(0.85 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$.

```
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR \(: \quad 6.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=1.35 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68\)
\((200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.6(4 \mathrm{H}\),
        \(\mathrm{m}), 1.32(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})\).
\({ }^{13}\) C NMR \(\quad: \quad 167.54,140.84,124.68,62.35,60.75,32.18,31.62,24.74,14.24\).
( 50 MHz )
IR (neat) : 3428 (br., OH), 2936, 1716, 1648, 1372, 1274, 1188, 1042, 982.
MS (m/e) : \(173\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,7\right), 155\) (4), 142 (12), 126 (99), 111 (57), 98 (95), 81
    (100), 67 (58), 55 (56).
```

(c) Preparation of diethyl 7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61) :

This compound was prepared $(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%)$ by Wittig reaction of ethyl-5-oxo-2methylene hexanoate ( $0.59 \mathrm{~g}, 3.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), obtained by Swern oxidation of alcohol 60 ( 0.6 $\mathrm{g}, 3.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene-acetate ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 4.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an analogous manner as described for 22.


### 6.14. PET Activation of Diethyl 7-methylidene-2(E)-octen-1,8-dioate (61):

$0.25 \mathrm{~g}(1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 61 and $0.273 \mathrm{~g}(1.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ were dissolved in solution of DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing of DCA $(0.068 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mixture was irradiated for 28 h in an identical reaction setup as discussed for 16. After removal of solvents and column chromatographic separation, the crude mixture yielded 0.15 g ( $60 \%$ ) of ethyl-3-(carboethoxy)methyl-cyclohexanecarboxylate ( 62 ) as a nonseparable mixture of two isomers (3:2) along with 0.016 g (5 \%) of Ethyl-1-(2-hydroxypropyl)-3-(carboethoxy)methyl-cyclohexanecarboxylate (63).

Ethyl-3-(carboethoxy)methyl-cyclohexanecarboxylate (62):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.75-2.20(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.15-1.35(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30-1.05$
(200 MHz) (8H, m)
IR (neat) : 2920, 2860, 1730, 1472, 1320, 1015.
MS (m/e) : $242(\mathrm{M}+, 8), 181(17), 168(100), 154$ (57), 122 (47), 109 (41), 95 (60), 81 (60), 55 (51)

Ethyl-1-(2-hydroxypropyl)-3-(carboethoxy)methyl-cyclohexanecarboxylate (63):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}:$ | $: \quad 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.6-2.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.35-1.60(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  | $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.3(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |

### 6.15. Preparation of1-ethoxy-5 (3-ethoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-penten-1-one

 (154) :This compound was prepared in three steps starting from 1,3-propanediol as described below :
(a) Preparation of 3(3-hydroxypropyloxy)-1-ethoxy-2 (E)-propen-1-one (153):

N -Methylmorpholine ( $1.06 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a 100 mL two necked RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and an argon gas balloon. Methylpropiolate ( $1.03 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to the stirring solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was continued for 5 min for the completion of the complex formation between ethylpropiolate and NMM. This cold reaction mixture was then added by a syringe to a solution of 1,3-propandiol (1 g, 13.14 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (20 mL ) kept in an another two neck RB flask fitted with magnetic stirring bar and argon gas balloon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After continuous stirring at r.t. for another 10 h , the reaction mixture was washed with water ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), brine solution and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and finally concentrated under vacuo. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate over silica-gel gave 1.6 g of $153(70 \%)$ as a thick liquid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $: \quad \delta 7.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad \mathrm{Hz}), 4.01(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.8(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$.

IR (neat) : $\quad 3400(\mathrm{br}), 2980,2887,1708,1625,1329,1239,1141,1048$
(b) Preparation of 1-ethoxy-5 (-3-ethoxy-3-oxo-1 (E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-penten-1-one (154) :

Compound 154 was prepared ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ) by the Wittig reaction of the corresponding aldehyde, ( $0.6 \mathrm{~g}, 3.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), obtained by Swern oxidation of alcohol 153 ( $1.01 \mathrm{~g}, 5.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene-acetate ( $1.58 \mathrm{~g}, 4.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) following the same reaction procedure as described for 21 .
${ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.9$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.2(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J$ $=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\quad: \quad 167.45,165.96,166.77,143.36,123.95,97,68.60,60.30,59.74,31.48$, ( 75 MHz ) $\quad 14.28,14.18$.
IR (neat) : 2929, 2360, 1712, 1626, 1368, 1136, 1041
MS (m/e) : $\quad 242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 197(25), 168(100), 140(28), 127(30), 99(78), 81(72)$, 71 (41).

### 6.16. PET Activation of 154 :

PET activation of 154 involved the irradiation ( 18 h ) of the solution of DMF: $i$ PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ containing 154 ( 0.2 g ., 0.826 mmol ), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.173 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA ( $0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), in an identical manner as described for 16 . Usual work up and purification of the reaction mixture yielded $0.137 \mathrm{~g}(68 \%)$ of trans 1-ethoxy-2 (2-(2-ethoxy-oxoethyl)perhydro3-furanyl)-1-ethanone (155) along with minor amount of 156 $(0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 22 \%)$. Minor product was observed in GC analysis of the reaction mixture which could be assigned as cis diastereomer 156 by GC/MS fragmentation pattern and NMR spectroscopic experiments.
trans 1-Ethoxy-2 (2-(2-ethoxy-oxoethyl) perhydro3-furanyl)-1-ethanone (155) :

cis-1-Ethoxy-2 (2-(2-ethoxy-oxoethyl) perhydro3-furanyl)-1-ethanone (156):

6.17. Preparation of 1-ethoxy-6-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-hexen-1-one (161): This compound was prepared from 1,4-butanediol following the same reaction sequence as described for 154 .
(a) Preparation of 3(4-hydroxybutyloxy)-1-methoxy-2(E)-propen-1-one :

It was obtained ( 1.35 g ) in $70 \%$ yield from 1,4-butanediol following the same reaction procedure as 154 using methylpropiolate ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 8.92 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) instead of ethylpropiolate.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 7.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ $(300 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.69,(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.88-1.75$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.73-1.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.

IR (neat) : 3417 (br), 2952, 2361, 1710, 1625, 1439, 1335, 1214, 1145, 1048, 825
(b) 1-ethoxy-6-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyloxy)-2(E)-hexen-1-one (161):

Swern oxidation of $160(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{mmol})$ as described earlier for compound 16 gave crude aldehyde $(0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \%)$. Wittig reaction of the crude aldehyde ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 4.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with ethyl triphenylphosphoranylidene acetate ( $1.84 \mathrm{~g}, 5.28 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) yielded $0.9 \mathrm{~g}(92 \%)$ of 161.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $\delta 7.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz})$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) | $5.84(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dt}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=1.56 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.2$ |
|  | $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.7(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.3(2 \mathrm{H}$, |
|  | $\mathrm{m}), 1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.3(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.25 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | $\delta 167.52,165.78,161.98,146.89,121.98,96,69.59,59.78,50.53$, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz})$ | 27.98, 27, 13.88. |
| IR (neat) | : $3020,2952,1713,1653,1626,1510,1500,1466,1390,1295,1095$, |
|  | 956, 823. |
| MS (m/e) | : $242\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1\right), 243\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,1\right), 211\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}, 19\right), 197\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 9\right)$, |
|  | 182 (27), 168 (32), 155 (8), 141 (21), 127 (30), 113 (68), 95 (100), 84 |
|  | (83), 71 (48), 67 (84). |

### 6.18. PET Activation of 161 :

To a solution of DCA ( $0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL}), 0.29$ $\mathrm{g}(1.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 46 and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 0.953 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned for 16 . After 30 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate yielded $62(0.140 \mathrm{~g}, 48 \%),), 0.35 \mathrm{~g}$ as a mixture of 162 and 163 along with reduced product $(164,0.044 \mathrm{~g}, 15 \%)$.
trans 1-Ethoxy-2-(2-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl) perhydro-3-pyranyl)-1-ethanone (162):


Product (164) :

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}$ | $:$ | $\delta 7.52(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}),), 5.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(300 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  | $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.30(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ |
|  | $6.25 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.80-1.35(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |  |
|  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ | $:$ | $172.59,167.42,161.94,95.45,70.21,59.50,50.22,33.38,27.94$, |
| $(75 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $24.69,23.89,13.56$ |  |

6.19. Preparation of 1-methoxy-3-(2-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1 $(E)$-propenyloxy)-2(E)-propen-1-one (172) :

This compound was prepared in three steps from 1,2-ethanediol (171) as described below.
N -methylmorpholine ( $1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were placed in a 50 mL two necked RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and an argon gas balloon. Methylpropilate ( $1.05 \mathrm{~g}, 12.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added to the stirring solution at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring was continued for 5 min . A solution of 1,2 -ethanediol ( $171,0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 5.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was slowly added by an addition funnel to the stirring solution at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stirr at r.t. for another 10 h . The reaction mixture was washed with water ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), brine solution and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and finally concentrated under vacuo. The concentrate was purified by column chromatography over silica-gel to give 0.96 g of $172(70 \%)$ as a thick liquid.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | : | $\delta 7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.1(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.7$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}$ ) |  | (6H, s). |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | : | $\delta 167.42$ (2C), 161.53 (2C), 96.92 (2C), 68.49 (2C), 50.88 (2C). |
| ( 75 MHz ) |  |  |
| IR (neat) | : | $\begin{aligned} & 3098,2955,2366,1711,1625,1449,1344,1244,1192,1141,1130 \text {, } \\ & 1111,1045,984,858,827 . \end{aligned}$ |
| MS (m/e) | : | 199 (7), 171 (11), 129 (43), 97 (16), 85 (36), 73 (56), 69 (58), 59 (100), |
|  |  | 55 (17) |
|  |  | [230 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 3\right), 199$ (64), 187 (3), 171 (100), 167 (26), 155 (18), 145 (47), |
|  |  | 139 (29)]. |

### 6.20. PET Activation 172:

PET activation of 172 involved the irradiation ( 32 h ) of a solution of DMF: $i$ PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $172(0.7 \mathrm{~g} ., 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 2.44 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA ( $0.192 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in an analogous manner as described for 16 . Usual work up and purification of the reaction mixture yielded $0.564 \mathrm{~g}(80 \%)$ of 1-methoxy-2 (3 (2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl) [1,4] diox-2-yl)-1-ethanone (173) as a mixture of two diastereomers (dr 95:5). Minor product was observed in GC analysis of the reaction mixture which could be assigned as the cis-diastereomer of 173 by GC/MS analysis.

1-Methoxy-2 (3 (2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl) [1,4] diox-2-yl)-1-ethanone (173)
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad \delta 3.80-3.60(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, including $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, at 3.7$), 2.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ ( 200 MHz )

| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR |  | $\delta$ (major) 170.71, (2C), 75.55 (2C), 66.50 (2C), 51.62 (2C), 36.77 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ( 75 MHz ) |  | (minor) 170.71, (2C), 72.32 (2C), 63.09 (2C), 50.81 (2C), 33.76 |
| IR (neat) | : | 2957, 2861, 2361, 1740, 1627, 1440, 1385, 1309, 1271, 1252, 1157, |
|  |  | 1119, 926, 895 |
| MS (m/e) | : | $232\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 6\right), 200$ (41), 158 (67), 145 (10), 129 (37), 115 (13), 99 (100), |
|  |  | 81 (15), 74 (73), 65 (8), 59 (92), 55 (33) |

6.21. Preparation of 1-ethoxy-3-(1-methyl-2-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo-1 (E)-propenyl) ethyloxy)-2 (E)-propen-1-one (175):

Compound 175 was obtained ( 1.07 g ) in $75 \%$ yield from 1,2-propanediol ( $174,0.4$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the same reaction procedure as described for 172 .


### 6.22. PET Activation of 175 :

A solution of $175(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.1 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{DCA}(0.07 \mathrm{~g}, 0.307 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.23 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned for 16 . After 32 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were removed by distillation under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate yielded $176(0.227 \mathrm{~g}, 75 \%)$ as a non-separable mixture of isomers ( $d r$ 95:5; ratio estimated from GC analysis).

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR <br> ( 200 MHz ) | : | $\delta$ 4.11-4.22 $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.6-3.8(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.25(1$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $11.6,10.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.25(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.05(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=$ |
|  |  | 6.2 Hz) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | : | $\delta 170.16$ (2C), 75.47, 75, 71.92, 71.26, 60.32, 60.26, 37, 36.75, 16.26, |
| ( 75 MHz ) |  | 13.86 (2C) |
| IR (neat) | : | 2980, 2875, 3261, 1738, 1374, 1160, 1094, 1026, 950, 830. |
| MS (m/e) | : | $274(\mathrm{M}+3.4), 275\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,2.5\right), 229\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OEt}, 34\right), 170$ (92), 143 |
|  |  | (59), 125 (17), 113 (63), 97 (29), 75 (10), 71 (100), 59 (33), 55 (36). |

6.23. Preparation of 1 -ethoxy-3-(1(R)-phenyl-2(3-ethoxy-3-oxo-1 $(E)$-propenyloxy) ethyloxy)-2(E)-propen-1-one (212) :

This compound was prepared in two steps starting from (R)-mandelic acid as described below.

## (a) Preparation of ( $R$ )-(-)-1-phenyl-1,2-ethanediol:

To a refluxing suspension of lithium aluminium hydride ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 26.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ was introduced slowly mandelic acid $(210,2 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{mmol})$ by a solid addition flask with continued stirring under argon atmosphere at such a rate that gentle reflux was maintained. The contents were refluxed for another 6 h . After cooling, the reaction was cautiously quenched by conc NaOH solution. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was separated and the precipitate was washed with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layer was washed with saturated brine solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo to give 211 as a white solid ( $1.67 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%$ ).

MP : $\quad 66-69^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
$[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}: \quad-68^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$
(b) Preparation of 212 :

Compound 212 was obtained ( 1 g ) in $83 \%$ yield from $211(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 3.62 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following the same reaction procedure as described for 172 .

| $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{22}$ | - | $-34.52{ }^{\circ}(\mathrm{C}=0.84, \mathrm{MeOH})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR |  | $7.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.25(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  | $5.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=12.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.15(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ |
|  |  | $10.8,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.25-3.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.35-1.15(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | : | $166.98,161.51,160.64,135.31,128.91,128.80,126.31,99.20,97.48$, |
| $(75 \mathrm{MHz})$ |  | $81.72,77.93,77.28,76.65,73.53,59.53,14.08$ |
| IR (neat) | : | $2982,1708,1642,1628,1370,1326,1288,1194,1173,1097,1048$, |
|  |  | 757 |

MS (m/e) : 289 (1), 219 (17), 191 (10), 173 (7), 163 (5), 145 (100), 129 (27), 117 (47), 104 (83), 91 (33), 77 (29), 71 (25), 57 (9)

### 6.24. PET Activation of 212 :

To a solution of DCA $(0.175 \mathrm{~g}, 0.77 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF: i-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(700 \mathrm{~mL}), 212$ $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 1.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned for 16 . After 35 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate yielded 0.398 g of $(2 S, 3 S, 6 R)$ 2,3-dicarboethoxymethyl-6-phenyl-1,4-dioxan (213) ( $65 \%$ ) as a single diastereomer along with a cleavage product (214, . $060 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%$ ).
(2S, 3S, $6 R$ ) 2,3-dicarboethoxymethyl-6-phenyl-1,4-dioxan (213) :


Cleavage product 214 :
$\begin{array}{l:l}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : \\ (200 \mathrm{MHz}) & \\ (1.6(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.4-7.15(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=13.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 4.25-3.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) .\end{array}$

### 6.25. Preparation of(2S, 3S, 6R)-6-phenyl-2,3-di-(2-hydroxy)ethyl-1,4-dioxan (216) :

Compound 216 was obtained ( $0.13 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ) by LAH ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) reduction of $213(0.18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.535 \mathrm{mmol})$ by following exactly the same procudure as described for 211.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 7.42-7.25(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.65(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.68-3.40(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 2.48(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 1.98-1.42(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$
6.26. Preparation of $2 S, 3 S, 6 R$ )-2,3-di-(2-t-butyldimethylsilyloxy)ethyl-6-phenyl-1,4dioxan (217):

To a stirred solution of $216(0.10 \mathrm{~g}, 0,4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and imidazole $(0.12 \mathrm{~g}, 1.76 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, $t$-butyldimethylsilylchloride $(0,133 \mathrm{~g}, 0.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added at r.t. and stirring was continued for another 4 h . Reaction mixture was filtered and the filtrate was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$, saturated brine solution, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum. Silica gel column chromatographic purification of the concentrate afforded 0.187 g of $217(98 \%)$ as a gummy liquid.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 7.40-7.18(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=10.6,2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.70-3.42(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 1.98-1.45(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.9(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.1(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
6.27. Preparation of trans (-)-(3S, 4S)-1,6-di(t-butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3,4-hexanediol (218) :

To a dry and distilled liquid ammonia ( 10 mL ) collected in a 25 mL two neck RB flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a cold finger condenser, was added Na $(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon atmosphere and stirring was continued for 10 min. A solution of $217(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0,21 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 2 mL ) was added slowly by a syringe and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for another 20 min . The
reaction was quenched by adding solid ammonium chloride $(1 \mathrm{~g})$ and the cooling bath was removed. Ammonia was distilled off by allowing the reaction mixture to come to r.t. and residue was washed with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Removal of solvent followed by column chromatographic purification yielded 0.078 g of 218 ( $100 \%$ ).
$[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{22} \quad: \quad-24.5(\mathrm{C}=0.24, \mathrm{MeOH})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 3.98-3.82(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.80-3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.55-3.45(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.72$
$(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.70-1.55(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OH}), 0-9.2(18 \mathrm{H}, 3), 0.10(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S})$
${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\quad: \quad 73.30,61.51,35.18,25.78,18.10,-5.58$.
( 75 MHz )
IR (neat) : $\quad 3471(\mathrm{br}, \mathrm{OH}), 2930,2858,2362,1471,1256,1090,836,758$.
MS (m/e) : $\quad 321\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-57\right.$ (t-Bu), 5], 312 (1), 304 (3), 229 (3), 189 (37), 171 (38), 159 (10), 133 (13), 115 (20), 105 (28), 97 (511), 89 (63), 75 (100), 69 (12), 59 (8).
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## Chapter-III

A New Carbon -Carbon Bond Formation Strategy by the Intermolecular $\beta$-Coupling of Cyclic Enones with Activated Alkenes and Alkynes : Efforts Towards the Synthesis of Optically Pure Prostaglandin Analogue

## 1. Introduction

We have described in the early part of this dissertation the development of a novel strategy to activate $\beta$-position of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones (enones) to carbon centered radicals employing either of the photosystems, PS-A or PS-B ${ }^{1,2}$. Intramolecular addition of these radicals to tethered activated olefins have provided an unique opportunity to construct stereoselective trans 1,2-disubstituted cycloalkanes and cis fused bicycloalkanes ${ }^{2}$. Since developing a carbon-carbon bond forming strategy at the $\beta$-position of enones is an important endeavor in organic synthesis, it occurred to us that activation of a $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones in the presence of an activated olefin or alkyne might result into a new strategy for carbon-carbon bond formation at the $\beta$ - position of enones as shown in Scheme-1.

Scheme-1


Generally, the -C-C-bond formation at the $\beta$-position of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones are achieved either by the conjugate addition of a carbon nucleophile or by the addition of a radical species.

### 1.1. Conjugate additions :

Conjugate addition is the one of the best known method for carbon-carbon bond formation reaction at the $\beta$-position of enone (Scheme-2) and vast literature is available on this subject ${ }^{3}$.

Scheme-2


However, the main problem in this approach lies in the competitive 1,2-carbonyl addition reaction (Scheme-3). Initially efforts were centered around to enhance the kinetic 1,4addition product over 1,2 -addition by carrying out the reaction at low temperature, but subsequently significant improvement could be achieved in changing the ratio of 1,4 addition vs 1,2-addition by changing the solvent polarity as organometallic reagent were assumed to add via contact ion pair (CIP) in a 1,2 fashion whereas solvent separated ion pairs (SSIP) underwent only 1,4-conjugate additions. Soft and hard acid-base concept has also been utilized to control the reaction.

Scheme-3


In general, for soft nucleophiles, including $\pi$-stabilised carbanions, conjugate addition (1,4-addition) is favoured. For example, organolithium gives 1,2- addition product whereas organomagnesium gives both 1,2- and 1,4-additions and organocopper gives only 1,4 -addition product. It is also known that effects of polar solvent, larger counter-ion, increasing steric hindrance in either reactant (except at the $\beta$-carbon of the conjugate acceptor), increasing delocalisation or stabilisation of the carbanion, higher temperature and longer reaction time have a strong influence on 1,4-vs 1,2-additions.

Further development in this area have been made by introducing a variety of new soft organometallic reagents utilising different metals viz copper ${ }^{4}$, zinc $^{5}$, aluminium ${ }^{6}$, manganese ${ }^{7}$ etc.

### 1.2. Free radical additions :

Alkyl radicals are well known to undergo 1,4-addition (conjugate addition) to $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated ketones or aldehydes and are well documented in literature. ${ }^{8}$ Examples of both intra ${ }^{9}$ as well as intermolecular ${ }^{10}$ additions are utilised in many synthetic strategies (Scheme-4) .

Scheme-4



## 2. Results and discussion

In order to evaluate the intermolecular coupling as shown in Scheme-1, PET activation of a mixture of cyclopentenone (17) with ethyl acrylate (18) was first studied.

### 2.1. PET initiated coupling of cyclopentenone (17) with ethyl acrylate (18) :

The coupling between 17 and 18 involved the irradiation of a mixture containing DCA ( $20 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), 17 (1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ ( 0.8 equiv.) and 18 ( 2.5 equiv.) in DMF:iPrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88:10:2) using 405 nm light ${ }^{11}$. Evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure followed by purification gave 19 ( $55 \%$ ) along with enone reduced product ( $21,10 \%$ ), dimer (22, $4 \%$ ) and some polymeric material (Scheme-5). DCA was recovered almost quantitatively ( $>98 \%$ ).

Scheme-5


IR spectrum of 19 showed prominent absorption bands at 1710 and $1728 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of keto-carbonyl and ester-carbonyl groups, respectively.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, assignable to the methylene protons of ester group. A triplet $(2 \mathrm{H}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ appearing at $\delta 2.42$ is characterised to the methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ group. A multiplet appearing between $\delta 2.40-1.96$, is assigned to the four keto methylene protons of cyclopentanone ring. Another multiplet appearing between 1.95-1.35 (four protons) could be assigned to the remaining two methylene protons, one methine proton of cyclopentanone ring and another $\beta$-proton to the ester group. Remaining protons appeared as a bunch of multiplet between $\delta$ 1.3-1.10.

Mass spectral analysis revealed expected molecular ion peak at 184 with $2 \%$ intensity along with base peak at 55 . The other prominent fragmentation peaks were found at $138\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{EtOH}, 30 \%\right), 127(3 \%), 110(18 \%), 111(11 \%), 97(12 \%), 82(28 \%), 83$ (27\%), 67 (24 \%).

Compound 21 and 22 have been characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and compared with authentic samples (for details see experimental section).

To establish the generality of this coupling reaction, PET reductive activation of cyclohexenone (23) with ethyl acrylate (18) was also studied.

### 2.2. PET initiated coupling of cyclohexenone(23) with 18 :

Identical PET activation of a mixture of 23 and 18, as described for 17, yielded coupling product 24 along with reduction product (25, $8 \%$ ) and dimer ( $\mathbf{2 6}, 5 \%$ ) (Scheme6). All the compounds were characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data.
Scheme-6

$265 \%$
IR spectrum of 24 showed prominent absorption bands at 1720 and $1710 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester and keto-carbonyl functionalities, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 1) displayed a quartet at $\delta 4.15$ (two protons, $J=7.3$ Hz ), assignable to the methylene protons of ester group. A multiplet appearing between $\delta$ 2.5-2.25 is characterised to the two methylene protons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - group and two ketomethylene protons of cyclohexanone ring. Remaining two keto-methylene protons and methine proton of cyclohexanone ring appeared between $\delta 2.22-1.85$ as a bunch of multiplets. Remaining protons are observed as bunch of multiplets between $\delta 1.84-1.55$ (four protons), $\delta$ 1.50-1.10 (two protons). Methyl protons of ester moiety appeared as a triplet at $\delta 1.26(J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 2) showed eleven signals whose characterisations were assigned by INEPT experiment. Down field quaternary carbons appearing at $\delta 211.16$ and 173.45 are
characterised to - $\underline{C O}$ - moiety of keto-carbonyl and - $\underline{C O O}$ - moiety of ester-carbonyl groups, respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 60.57$ and 47.88 are assigned to the methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-$ and $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COO}$ - groups, respectively. A signal appearing at $\delta 36.66$ is characterised to the methine carbon. Methylene carbons of $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ - groups appeared at $\delta 41.50$ and 31.82 respectively. Remaining methylene carbons appeared at $\delta$ $31.64,31.14$ and 25.24 , respectively. Methyl carbon signal of ester moiety is observed at $\delta$ 14.39.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 3) showed molecular ion peak at 198 with $24 \%$ intensity along with base peak at $152\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{EtOH}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $141(9 \%), 124(61 \%), 111(25 \%), 98(46 \%), 99(53 \%), 88(18 \%)$, 81 ( $27 \%$ ), 67 ( $28 \%$ ), 55 ( $95 \%$ ).

Compound 25 and 26 are characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (for details see experimental section).

Since there are several naturally occurring biologically active compounds possessing 3-alkenyl cyclopentanone moiety having E- geometry (e. g. prostaglandins ${ }^{12}$ etc.), PET activation of cyclopentenone (17) with methyl propiolate (27) was considered in order to evaluate the olefin geometry of the resultant coupling product.

### 2.3. PET initiated coupling of cyclopentenone (17) with methyl propiolate (27) :

PET coupling of 17 with 27, employing PS-B photolyses reaction conditions, gave coupled product 28 and 29 (trans:cis 5:1) along with the usual reduction and dimerisation product 21 and 22 , respectively (Scheme-7). It may be mentioned here that coupling of 17 and 27 was found much cleaner using PS-B irradiation condition than PS-A.

## Scheme-7



Although 28 and 29 could be isolated in pure form by careful column chromatography for complete spectral characterisation, the cis isomer (29) could not be obtained in sufficient amount.

IR spectrum of 28 indicated a strong band at $1653 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of a conjugated double bond. It also showed prominent absorption bands at 1739 and 1724 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester carbonyl and keto-carbonyl groups, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 4) of 28 displayed a doublet of a doublet $(J=15.6$, 7.8 Hz ) at $\delta 6.98$ (one proton) for the $\beta$ proton of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated olefin. Another doublet of a doublet $(J=15.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 5.83$, integrating for one proton, is attributed to the $\alpha$ proton of the same $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester. A sharp singlet appearing at $\delta 3.75$ (three protons) is characterised to the methyl protons of ester group. Allylic methine proton ( $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ ) of cyclopentanone ring appeared as a multiplet between $\delta$ 3.15-2.80 (one proton). A bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.65-2.02$ is assignable to the five protons of cyclopentanone ring. Remaining one proton of the cyclopentanone ring appeared as a multiplet between $\delta$ 1.98-1.70.

The coupling constant of $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ protons of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester moiety suggested it to have $E$ - geometry.
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 5) revealed nine carbon signals whose characterisation are assigned by INEPT experiment. Two down field quaternary carbons appearing at $\delta 207.05$ and 166.88, correspond to - CO- and -COO- groups, respectively. A pair of signals appearing at $\delta 149.92$ and 121.02 is assigned to the $\beta$ carbon and $\alpha$ carbon of the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester group, respectively. Another two signals appearing at $\delta 51.77$ and 39.46 are characterized to the methyl carbon of the ester group and methine carbon (C-3), respectively. Ketomethylene carbons of cyclopentanone ring appeared at $\delta 43.84$ and 38.04. Remaining methylene carbon of cyclopentanone ring is observed at $\delta 29.03$.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 6) showed expected molecular ion peak at 168 with 40 $\%$ intensity. The base peak is observed at $153\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}\right)$. The other prominent fragmentation peaks are at $137(24 \%), 109(59 \%), 97(22 \%), 81(89 \%), 67(36 \%), 53$ (76 \%), 39 (59 \%).

### 2.4. Coupling of 2-alkyl cyclopentenone with methyl propiolate (27) :

There are many important biologically active molecules possessing stereoselective 2,3-disubstituted alkylated cyclopentanone moiety, for example, jasmone ${ }^{13}$,
prostaglandins ${ }^{12}$ etc. Therefore, in order to determine the relative stereochemistry between $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ in the resultant products coupling between 2-alkyl cyclopentanone with 27 was studied.

### 2.4.1. PET initiated coupling of 2-methyl cyclopentenone (30) with 27 :

Usual PET reductive activation of a mixture of 30 and 27 in an analogous manner as described above, led to the coupling products 31 and 32 in $62 \%$ yield, with each of the product as a mixture of two diastereomers (Scheme-8). Diastereomeric ratio was measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum by comparing the integration of the methyl protons (attached to C2). $E$ and $Z$ isomers were separated by column chromatography.

Scheme-8


IR spectrum of $E$-isomer (31) indicated the characteristic absorption band of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated olefinic double bond at $1662 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It showed prominent absorption bands at 1743 and $1716 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ also confirming the retention of ester and keto-carbonyl moieties, respectively, in the product.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 7) displayed a doublet of a doublet ( $J=15.8,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), integrating for one proton, characterised to the $\beta$ proton of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester group $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-6}\right)$. Another doublet of a doublet ( $J=15.8,0.76 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) at $\delta 5.93$, integrating for one proton, is attributed to the $\alpha$-proton of the same $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester group $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-7}\right)$. A sharp singlet at $\delta 3.75$, integrating for three protons, is assigned to the methyl protons of ester group. The allylic proton ( $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ ) and another proton ( $\mathrm{H}_{- \text {Seq }}$ ) are observed as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta$ 2.65-2.30. Another bunch of multiplets appearing between $\delta 2.28-2.06$ (two protons) could be assigned to the remaining $\mathrm{H}_{-5 a x}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\text {-4eq }}$, respectively. $\mathrm{H}_{-4 \mathrm{ax}}$ proton is observed as a multiplet between $\delta 1.82-1.50$. Another multiplet between $\delta .2 .05-1.86$ (one proton) is
characterised to the methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-2}\right)$. Another doublet $(J=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 1.10$, integrating for three protons, is assignable to the methyl protons attached to $\mathrm{C}-2$ carbon.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 8) showed ten carbon signals whose characterisation are assigned by INEPT experiment. A pair of down field signals appearing at $\delta 218.20$ and 166.69 are characterised to the quaternary carbon of keto-carbonyl and estercarbonyl groups, respectively. Another pair of signals appearing at $\delta 149.76$ and 121.64 are characterised to the $\beta$-carbon and $\alpha$-carbon of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester group, respectively. Methyl carbon of ester group and methyl carbon attached to C-2 carbon, appeared at $\delta$ 15.61 and $\delta 12.15$, respectively. Another pair of signals appearing at $\delta 49.47,47.76$ are assigned to the methine carbons. Methylene carbons of cyclopentanone ring (C-5 and C-4) are observed at $\delta 36.84$ and 26.95 , respectively.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 9) showed molecular ion peak at 182 with $18 \%$ intensity along with base peak at 55 . The other prominent fragmentation peaks are observed at $167\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 14 \%\right), 150\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{MeOH}, 15 \%\right), 151\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}, 15 \%\right), 123$ $(74 \%), 111(48 \%), 95(61 \%), 81(60 \%), 67(88 \%)$.
(Z) isomer (32) was characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (for details see experimental section).

The structural assignment of 31 was confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ COSY (Fig. 10), NOE and detailed decoupling experiment (Fig. 11 \& 12). The relative stereochemistry between $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{.3}$ was assigned as trans from the decoupling experiment and measuring the coupling constant ( $J=10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) between these two protons.

Scheme-9


The trans relationship between $\mathrm{H}_{-2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{-3}$ in 31 is likely to emerge by the predominant anti addition of the resultant $\beta$-centered radical 33 to 27 owing to the shielding ${ }^{14}$ of the syn face of 33 by the substituent present at 2-position as depicted in Scheme-9.

To establish the generality of the reaction and stereochemistry for the 2,3disubstituted cyclopentanone, PET reductive coupling of 2-pentyl cyclopentenone (36) with 27 was also studied.

### 2.4.2. Preparation of 2-pentyl cyclopentenone (37) :

Substrate 37 was prepared ${ }^{15}$ from 21 in three steps as shown in Scheme-10. Compound 21 upon treatment with $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylformamide dimethyl acetal gave 35 ( $88 \%$ ), which on subsequent conjugate addition of n-butyl group, using n-butyllithium as a nucleophile, followed by elimination of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethylamide produced 36 (77 \%). Acid catalysed isomerisation of the endocyclic olefinic double bond of 36 gave 37 in $75 \%$ yield (Scheme-10).

Scheme-10


Reagents and conditons: (a) $\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}, 110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi},-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, (c) 12 N $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 2.4.3. PET initiated coupling of 37 with 27:

Usual PET activation of 37 in the presence of 27 furnished 38 in $55 \%$ yield (trans:cis 5:1) (Scheme-11). Compound 38 was characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic data. (for details see experimental section). Diastereomeric ratio
was measured by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum by comparing the integration of methoxy protons and further confirmed by GC analysis (Capillary column, methyl phenyl silicone, 25 m ).

Scheme-11


## 3. Attempts towards the synthesis of prostaglandin analogues:

The successful coupling between 30 or 37 and 27 and the observed 1,2-trans stereochemistry and $E$-olefin geometry in 31 and 38 encouraged us to attempt the synthesis of optically pure PG analogue 40 through the retrosynthetic route as shown in Scheme-12. The transformation of 40 to 39 was planned to be achieved by following the reported procedure of Otera et al ${ }^{16}$.

Scheme-12

a $\mathrm{R}=\underset{\substack{\mathrm{CH}_{2} \\ \text { or }}}{=\mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{P}=\text { TBDMS, } \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { Amyl }}$
b $\quad\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6} \mathrm{COOMe}$

Despite the attractiveness of three component coupling approach ${ }^{17}$ for the assembling of 39 , serious limitations viz: enolate isomerisation [e.g. $44 \rightarrow 45$ ] and $\beta$ alkoxide elimination [e.g. $45 \rightarrow 46$ ] from 44 (Scheme-13) has rendered two component coupling approach ${ }^{18}$ still a highly studied and valuable route.

## Scheme-13
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This background led us to attempt the above proposed strategy (Scheme-12) as an alternative to the classical two-component coupling approach. Since our photolysis reaction is performed in aqueous solvent, it was envisaged that it might help in restricting the offending enolate equilibrium step [e.g. $44 \rightarrow 45$ ] due to the quenching of the initially formed intermediate by the proton, easily available from water. It was also envisioned that our approach might become attractive as it avoids the use of sensitive organometallic reagents and dry reaction conditions.

Towards this goal we initiated our effort by studying the coupling of 41a \& 41b with 27 and 42, respectively, by following the PET activation conditions as described earlier. Prostaglandin precursor 41a was synthesised starting from $4(\mathrm{R})$-tert-butyldimethyl-silyloxy-cyclopenten-2-one (43).

### 3.1. Preparation of $4(R)$-Hydroxycyclopent-2-enone (43):

43 was obtained from D-tartaric acid (47) with little modification of the known procedure ${ }^{19}$ in six simple steps as shown in Scheme-14.

47 was converted to dimethyl 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-tartarate (48) by heating with 2,2-dimethoxy propane in presence of p-toluene sulphonic acid ( TsOH ) which on reduction by lithium aluminium hydride afforded 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-threitol (49) in quantitative yield. Tosyl protection of the hydroxyl groups of 49 by tosyl chloride/pyridine gave ditosylate (50, $90 \%$ ) as a solid, $\mathrm{mp} 89^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{20} \mathrm{mp} 91.7-92.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). Condensation of
lithio derivative of methyl methylsulfinyl sulfide with 50 afforded cyclic sulfoxide 51 which on subsequent acid hydrolysis produced 52 as a colorless oil. Protection of the hydroxyl moiety of 52 as TBDMS ether by stirring with $t$-butyldimethylsilylchloride in DMF at r.t in the presence of imidazole afforded 43 in $90 \%$ yield.

Scheme-14


Reagents and conditions: (a) 2,2-dimethoxypropane, TsOH, (b) LAH, THF, reflux, $99 \%$, (c) TsCl , Pyridine, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$, (d) $\mathrm{MeSCH}_{2} \mathrm{SOMe}, \mathrm{n}$-BuLi, THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75 \%$, (e) 1 N $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 50 \%$, (f) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, r. t., $90 \%$.

### 3.2. Preparation of substrate 41a:

Precursor 41a was obtained ${ }^{21}$ from 43 in four steps as shown in the Scheme-15.
Treatment of 43 with phenylselenyl chloride in the presence of pyridine at r.t. afforded 2-phenylseleno-4(R)-tert-butydimethylsilyloxy-cyclopent-2-en-1-one (53). Conjugate addition of tributylstannyl group to 53 using tributylstannyllithium as nucleophile followed by trapping of the resultant lithium enolate by 7 -iodo-hept-5-yne-1nitrile at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF gave 54 . Subsequent deselenostanyllation by tetrabutylammonium fluoride furnished 41a in $70 \%$ yield.

## Scheme-15



Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{PhSeCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, r. t., $90 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnLi}, \mathrm{RI}, \mathrm{THF}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; (c) TBAF, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$.

IR spectrum of 41a indicated the characteristic absorption band of -CN- group at $2343 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. It showed prominent absorption bands at $1708,1647 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirming the retention of keto-carbonyl moiety and olefinic double bond, respectively.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (Fig. 13) displayed a multiplet between $\delta$ 7.36-7.30 integrating for one proton, assigned as the vinylic proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-12}\right)$. Another multiplet between $\delta 4.99-3.87$ is characterised to the methine proton $\left(\mathrm{H}_{-1}\right)$, attached to -OTBDMS group. Another multiplet between $\delta 3.15-3.02$ integrating for two protons, is assigned to the methylene protons $\alpha$ to CN group. A doublet of a doublet $(J=17.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ at $\delta 2.85$, integrating for one proton is attributed to the $\mathrm{H}_{-10 \text { eq }}$ proton. Methylene protons attached to $\mathrm{C}-2, \mathrm{C}-4$ and remaining one methylene proton ( $\mathrm{H}_{-10 \mathrm{ax}}$ ) appeared as a bunch of multiplets between $\delta$ 2.65-2.22 (five protons). A multiplet appearing between $\delta$ 1.98-1.78 (two proton) is attributed to the methylene protons $\beta$ to CN group. Protons of $t$-Bu-group (nine proton) appeared as a singlet at $\delta 0.95$. Protons of the remaining two methyl group of TBDMS moiety are observed as two singlets at $\delta .13$ (three proton) and 0.12 (three protons), respectively.

The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (Fig. 14) and its INEPT experiment suggested the characteristics of carbon signals as well as the correct accounting of different carbons. It displayed fifteen carbon signals. Two down field carbon signals appearing at $\delta 203.98$ and 157.90 corresponds to the keto-carbonyl and the methine carbon (C-12). The C-8, C-6, and C-5 quaternary carbons appeared at $\delta 118.88,79.63$ and 77.02 , respectively. Another signal
appearing at $\delta 68.50$ is characterised to the methine carbon (C-11). Methylene carbons (C7, C-9, C-4, C-3 and C-2) are observed at $\delta 45.46,25.55,24.50,17.85$ and 15.87 respectively. A signal appearing at $\delta 17.64$ is attributed to the quaternary carbon of $t$ - Bu moiety. Methyl carbon signals of $t-\mathrm{Bu}$ group (2C) and the remaining two methyl carbon signal of TBDMS moiety are observed at $\delta 15.09$ and -4.90 respectively.

Mass spectral analysis (Fig. 15) did not show prominent molecular ion peak. First intense peak is found at $260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}\right)$ with $21 \%$ intensity along with a base peak at 75 . The other prominent fragmentation peaks are found at $232(44 \%), 218$ (91 \%), 205 (6 \%), 186 ( $8 \%$ ), 175 ( $5 \%$ ), 157 ( $6 \%$ ), 115 ( $17 \%$ ), 103 ( $11 \%$ ), 91 ( $23 \%$ ), 77 ( $21 \%$ ), 74 (30 \%), 57 (29 \%).

### 3.3. PET reductive coupling of $41 a$ with 42 :

PET reductive coupling of 41a with 42 involved the irradiation of a mixture of chiral enone (41a) and ethyl propiolate (42) using PS-B reduction conditions in an identical fashion as described for 17 .

Scheme-16


Usual workup followed by purification of the reaction mixture afforded the expected coupling product 40 a in very poor yield ( $<15 \%$ ) along with $\beta$-alkoxide elimination product 57 (yield $40 \%$ ) and reduced product 58 (yield $20 \%$ ) (Scheme-16).

Formation of 40 a as a minor product was quite surprising to us. Formation of $\mathbf{5 7}$ and 58 dominated the reaction mixture. Much effort was not made to isolate the coupling product 40 a in very pure form. However, 57 and 58 could be isolated in pure form and were characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral analysis (see details in experimental section).

Compound 40a was always isolated as a mixture with 57 . The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum (Fig. 16) of the mixture clearly provides sufficient information regarding its presence in the mixture. For example, it displayed the absence of vinylic double bond present in 41a., a doublet of doublet at $\delta 6.95(J=15.2,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and a doublet at $\delta 5.92(J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, characteristics of the $\beta$ and $\alpha$ protons of acrylic double bond, respectively, suggested its presence in the reaction mixture.

### 3.4. Mechanism of the reaction:

Upon acceptance of an electron from $\mathrm{DCA}^{*-}, \alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone moiety of 41a is transformed into enolate radical ion which on protonation by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is converted to 55. The formation of 57 suggests that 55 isomerises to 56 reversibly by intermolecular deprotonation/protonation of the intermediates 55 and 56 even in aqueous medium. Due to the isomerisation of $\mathbf{5 5}$ to $\mathbf{5 6}$, the carbon centered radical (55) looses its stabilisation, as no longer it remains conjugated with the olefinic double bond and before it gets trapped by the propiolate, it is terminated by H -donation from iso-propanol, followed by $\beta$ elimination to form 57 . Formation of 58 could be explained by partial termination of the intermediate 55 by iso-propanol followed by the quenching of the enol by $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

### 3.5. Preparation of substrate 41 b :

Precursor 41b was prepared in three steps starting from 43 by following the known procedure ${ }^{22}$ (Scheme-17).
$\alpha$-Iodination of 43 by the reaction of iodine in the presence of pyridine gave 59 (77 \%) which upon $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ catalysed Suzuki cross coupling with 9-(7-oxo,7-ethoxy) heptyl-9BBN, obtained by the hydroboration of methyl-6-heptenoate with 9-BBN, gave 41b (25 $\%)$. Methyl-6-heptenoate was prepared $(55 \%)$ by the Grignard reaction of 5-hexenyl
magnesium bromide and methyl chloroformate. Although the coupling yield of 41b is reported ${ }^{22}$ to be $77 \%$, in our hand we could not achieve more than $25 \%$ yield.

## Scheme-17



Reagents and conditions : (a) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4} / \mathrm{Py}$, r. t., $77 \%$; (b) 9-BBN, methyl-6-heptenoate, $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}, \mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DMF/THF/H2O, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 25 \%$.

### 3.6. PET initiated coupling of 41 b with 27 :

PET activation of 41b by following analogous reaction condition as described for 41a yielded 40 b in $12 \%$ yield along with $60(40 \%)$ and $61(20 \%)$ (Scheme-18). Due to the poor yield for the formation of 40 b , we did not attempt its isolation from the reaction mixture in the pure form, however, its formation has been confirmed by analysing the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HNMR}$ spectrum of the crude reaction mixture as described earlier.

## Scheme-18





Our above frustrating effort to achieve a meaningful synthesis of PG analogue through the strategy developed by us has been dropped at the moment at this stage. It is proposed that the same will be tried through the modified approach as shown in Scheme-

## 19
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Further development in this direction is in progress.

## 4. Conclusion :

In summary, we have developed a new and simple strategy for the intermolecular coupling of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketones at its $\beta$-position with activated alkenes or alkynes. This methodology presents a new concept in -C-C- bond formation reaction. An attempt has been made to extend this methodology towards the synthesis of optically pure PG analogues as an alternative strategy to two component coupling approach. New observation of $\beta$-enolate radical intermediate isomerisation in aqueous medium is also described.

## 4. Experimental

### 4.1. General Photoirradiation Procedure:

All irradiations were performed in a specially designed photoreactor as described in chapter-1. Details of the reaction procedure has also been described in chapter-1 and chapter-2. PS-A reaction conditions involved the photolysis of a mixture containing DCA ( $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), enone ( 1 equiv.), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ (0.7-0.8 equiv.) and alkene or alkyne (2-4 equiv.) in DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (88:10:2) using 405 nm light. ${ }^{11}$ Similarly, the reactions utilizing PS-B were carried out by photolysing a mixture of DCA ( $25 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), enone ( 1 equiv.), DMN ( $15 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), ascorbic acid ( 2.6 equiv.) and alkene or alkyne ( $2-4$ equiv.) under similar irradiation conditions.

### 4.2. PET initiated coupling of cyclopentenone (17) with ethyl acrylate (18) using PS-A :

A solution of compound $17(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 3.04 \mathrm{mmol}), 18(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$ $(0.637 \mathrm{~g}, 0.2 .43 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DCA ( $0.173 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL}$, 88: 10: 2) was irradiated in a specially designed photoreactor as mentioned in chapter-1 under argon with light from a 450 W Hanovia medium-pressure lamp filtered by a $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4} \cdot 5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ solution. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. After considerable consumption ( $98 \%$ ) of $17(25 \mathrm{~h})$, the solvent was removed by distillation under reduced pressure. The concentrate was dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 mL ) and washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and saturated brine solution. The EtOAc layer was concentrated under vacuo and the mixture was separated by column chromatography over silica-gel (100-200 mesh) using pet-ether: EtOAc as eluant to give compound $19(0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$ along with dimer ( $22,0.021 \mathrm{~g}, 4 \%$ ). 22 was characterised by GC/MS analysis.

3-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo)propyl-cyclopentanone (19):
$\begin{array}{lll}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }: & 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.40-1.96(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), \\ (200 \mathrm{MHz}) & & 1.95-1.35(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.30-1.10(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \text { including, } 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) . \\ \text { IR (neat) }: & : 2968,2822,1730,1715,1428,1361,13401231.1140,1062 . \\ \text { MS (m/e) }: & 184(\mathrm{M}+, 2 \%), 138(\mathrm{M}+-\mathrm{EtOH}, 30 \%), 127(3 \%), 110(18 \%), 111 \\ & (11 \%), 97(12 \%), 82(28 \%), 83(27 \%), 67(24 \%), 55(100 \%) .\end{array}$

### 4.3. PET initiated coupling of cyclohexenone (23) with ethyl acrylate (18) using PS-A:

To a solution of DCA ( $0.148 \mathrm{~g}, 0.65 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL}), 23$ $(0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}), 18(0.65 \mathrm{~g}, 6.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}(0.546 \mathrm{~g}, 2.08 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added and the mixture was irradiated in a similar manner as described above. After 28 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Column chromatographic purification of the concentrate yielded 3-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo)propylcyclohexanone ( $24,0.31 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) and dimer 3,3'-bicyclohexanone ( $26,0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 5 \%$ ).

3-(3-ethoxy-3-oxo)propyl-cyclohexanone (24):

```
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR \(\quad: \quad 4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.55-2.25(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.22-1.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.84-\)
(200 MHz) \(\quad 1.55(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50-1.10(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}\), including 3 H , t, at \(1.26, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})\).
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR \(\quad: \quad 211.16,173.45,60.57,47.88,41.50,38.66,31.82,31.64,31.14,25.24\),
( 50 MHz ) 14.39.
MS (m/e) : \(198\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 24 \%\right), 152\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{EtOH}, 100 \%\right), 141(9 \%), 124(61 \%), 111\)
    ( \(25 \%\) ), 98 ( \(46 \%\) ), 99 ( \(53 \%\) ), 88 ( \(18 \%\) ), 81 ( \(27 \%\) ), 67 ( \(28 \%\) ), 55 ( 95
    \(\%)\).
IR (neat) : 2980, 1732, 1712, 1478, 1340, 1221, 1050
```

3,3'-bicyclohexanone (26) was characterised by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, IR and mass spectroscopic experiment and compared with reported data ${ }^{23}$.
4.4. PET initiated coupling of cyclopentenone (17) with methyl propiolate (27) using PS-B:

To a solution of DCA ( $0.173 \mathrm{~g}, 0.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL}), 0.25$ $\mathrm{g}(3.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $17,27(0.894 \mathrm{~g}, 10.64 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{DMN}(0.086 \mathrm{~g}, 0.457 \mathrm{mmol})$ and ascorbic acid ( $1.39 \mathrm{~g}, 7.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the mixture was irradiated in an analogous manner as mentioned for 17 using PS-A. After 29 h , irradiation was stopped and solvents were distilled off under reduced pressure. Purification of the concentrate by pet-ether: EtOAc gave 0.3 g of 28 ( $54 \%$ ).

3-[(3-ethoxy-3-oxo)-2(E)-propenyl]-cyclopentanone (28):
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR : $\quad 6.98(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.83(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75$
$(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.15-2.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65-2.02(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.98-1.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
${ }^{13}$ C NMR : $\quad 217.05,166.88,149.92,121.02,51.77,43.84,39.46,38.04,29.03$.
( 50 MHz )
IR (neat) : $\quad 2955,1739,1724,1653,1274,1200,1155,979,861$.
GC/MS : $168\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 40 \%\right), 153\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 100 \%\right), 137\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}, 24 \%\right), 109$
$(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}) \quad(59 \%), 97(22 \%), 81(89 \%), 67(36 \%), 53(76 \%), 39(59 \%)$.
4.5. PET initiated coupling of 2-methylcyclopentenone (30) with methyl propiolate (27) using PS-B:

PET initiated coupling of 30 involved the irradiation ( 28 h ) of the solution of DMF: $i$-PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $30(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 3.12 \mathrm{mmol}), 27(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 10.95 \mathrm{mmol})$, and DCA ( $0.178 \mathrm{~g}, 0.78 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMN ( $0.088 \mathrm{~g}, 0.468 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ascorbic acid ( 1.43 g , 8.1 mmol ) following the identical irradiation procedure as described earlier. After removal of solvents by distillation under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification of the concentrate gave $31(0.29 \mathrm{~g}, 52 \%)$ and $32(0.058 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \%)$.

Trans-2-methyl-3-[(3-ethoxy-3-oxo)-2(E)-propenyl]-cyclopentanone (31):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $:$ | $6.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=15.6,0.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.75$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.65-2.32(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.28-2.06(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05-1.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.82-$ |
|  | $1.50(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.10(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.98 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $:$ | $218.20,166.69,149.76,121.64,51.61,49.47,47.76,36.84,26.95$, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | 12.15. |
| $\mathrm{IR}($ neat $):$ | $2957,2877,2361,1743,1716,1662,1446,1311,1277,1149$. |
| MS (m/e) $:$ | $182\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 18 \%\right), 167\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{Me}, 14 \%\right), 150\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{MeOH}, 15 \%\right), 151$ |
|  | $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}, 15 \%\right), 123\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{COOMe}, 74 \%\right), 111(48 \%), 95(61 \%)$, |
|  | $81(60 \%), 67(88 \%), 55(100 \%)$. |

Cis-2-methyl-3-[(3-methoxy-3-oxo)-1(E)-propenyl]-cyclopentanone (32):
$\begin{aligned}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR }: & 6.14(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=9.7,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.0-5.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.75(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.35-2.28 \\ (200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad & (2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.55-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.05-1.75(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.45-1.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.03 \\ & (3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}) .\end{aligned}$

### 4.6. Preparation of 2-pentyl cyclopentenone (37) :

Compound 37 was prepared from 21 in three steps as described below :
(a) preparation of 2-[( Dimethylamino) methylene]cyclopentane (35):

To a 8.4 g ( 109.64 mmol ) of cyclopentanone was added $11.9 \mathrm{~g}(100 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ - dimethyl formamide dimethyl acetal and the mixture was refluxed under argon at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Methanol was distilled off and the concentrate was distilled in a Kugelrohr apparatus at $120-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.4-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ to afford 12.2 g of $35(88 \%)$ as an reddish oil which was used without further purification.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 7.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=0.76 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.08(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.22(2 \mathrm{H}$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad \mathrm{t}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.95-1.45(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(b) To a solution of $35(4 \mathrm{~g}, 28.78 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\operatorname{THF}(120 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 3 M solution of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(10.55 \mathrm{~mL}, 32,65 \mathrm{mmol})$ dropwise over a period of 30 min . The reaction mixture was stirred to r.t. over 2 h . The excess n - BuLi was destroyed by the addition of water ( 5 mL ) and the solvent was removed under vacuo. The residue was treated with 50 mL of water and extracted 5 times with 50 mL portion of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{NaSO}_{4}$ and evaporated under vacuo to give 3.37 g of 36 ( $77 \%$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { BP } & 95-102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~mm} \\
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : \\
(200 \mathrm{MHz}) & 6.5-6.40(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.65-2.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.35-2.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\
& 2.20-2.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.98-1.75(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.70-1.15(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 0.85(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J \\
& =7 \mathrm{~Hz})
\end{array}
$$

(c) Into a solution of $36(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 10 mL of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuOH}$ was added 2 mL of 12 N HCl and the mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The mixture was poured into water and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solution was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and solvent was removed to give $0.75 \mathrm{gm}(75 \%)$ of 37 as a mobile, colourless, fragrant liquid.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | $:$ | $7.45-7.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65-2.50(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.48-2.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.25-2.05$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.10(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.92(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$. |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}$ | $:$ | $209.93,157.29,146.47,34.56,31.57,27.42,26.42,24.72,22.40$, |
| ${ }^{(50 \mathrm{MHz})}$ | 13.94. |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{IR}}$ (neat) | $:$ | $2987,2837,1715,1639,1446,1351,1267,1049$. |

4.7. PET initiated coupling of 2-pentyl cyclopentenone (37) with methyl propiolate (27) using PS-B:

Compound 37 ( $0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $27(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 6.9 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMN ( $0.056 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and ascorbic acid $(0.51 \mathrm{~g}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a solution of DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}$ : $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing DCA $(0.063 \mathrm{~g}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mixture was irradiated for 20 h . After removal of solvents and column chromatographic separation of the residue yielded $0.258 \mathrm{~g}(55 \%)$ of 38 .

Trans-2-pentyl-3-(3-methoxy-3-oxo-1(E)-propenyl)-cyclopentanone (38):

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR | 6.96 (1H, dd, $J=16.1,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.76(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $2.95-2.82(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.60-1.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.05(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ |
|  | $=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR | 218.1, 166.05, 149.58, 120.43, 50.9, 43.56, 39.5, 35.87, 35.23, 29.98, |
| $(50 \mathrm{MHz})$ | (2C), 25.27, 22.19, 13.14. |
| IR (neat) | : 2927, 2842, 1740, 1718, 1656, 1466, 1291, 1262, 1047. |
| MS (m/e) | 238 ( $\left.\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2 \%\right), 236$ (67 \%), 221 (89\%), 207 (16 \%), 193 (7\%), 177 |
|  | (100\%), 175 (20\%), 168 (26\%), 167 (37\%), 161 (10\%), 153 (23\%), |

$149(12 \%), 147(16 \%), 153(23 \%), 149$ (12 \%), 147 ( $16 \%$ ), 135 (6 \%), 91 (5 \%), 79 (3 \%).

### 4.8. Preparation of 2,3-O-Isopropylidene-D-tartarate (48):

D- tartaric acid ( $47,50.5 \mathrm{~g}, 336.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 2,2-dimethoxypropane ( $80 \mathrm{~g}, 770 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), PTSA ( 0.2 g ) and dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ were charged into a 500 mL RB flask fitted with magnetic stirring bar and reflux condenser. The whole content was warmed gently on an oil bath for 1.5 h . To the dark red solution was added an additional 40 g , ( 385 mmol ) of 2,2-dimethoxypropane and 225 mL of cyclohexane. The resulting two layer solution was refluxed with stirring with azeotropic removal of cyclohexane-acetone ( $53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and methanol-cyclohexane ( $54.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). After $48 \mathrm{~h}, 240 \mathrm{~mL}$ distillate was collected. Anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1 \mathrm{~g})$ was added to neutralise PTSA. The solvent and unreacted 2,2dimethoxypropane were removed under reduced pressure to give $74 \mathrm{~g}(\sim 100 \%)$ of 48 .

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{BP} & : 90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\mathrm{Lit.}^{24} 82-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.02 \mathrm{~mm}\right) . \\
{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR} & : 4.76(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 3.82(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 1.48(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) . \\
(200 \mathrm{MHz}) &
\end{array}
$$

### 4.9. Preparation of 2,3-O-Isopropylidene-D-threitol (49):

Compound 49 ( $22 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) was prepared by the LAH ( $7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 192.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) reduction of 48 ( $33 \mathrm{~g}, 151.38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) by following the identical procedure as described earlier.

BP $\quad: \quad 110-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{20} 91-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.01-0.02 \mathrm{~mm}\right)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.02-3.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.82-3.57(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.4-2.1(2 \mathrm{H}$, broad s), $1.39(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ ( 200 MHz )

### 4.10. Preparation of 1,4-Ditosyl-2,3-O-Isopropylidene-D-threitol (50):

To a solution of $20 \mathrm{~g}(123.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 49 in 150 mL of dry pyridine at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 58.8 g ( 308.4 mmol ) of freshly crystallised TsCl in one portion. The mixture was stirred till it became homogeneous and it was kept at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The product was crystallised from the reaction mixture by the slow addition of water and crystallisation was
permitted to continue at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for few hour. The product was washed with $95 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$. Recrystallisation of the product from pet ether/EtOAc gave ( $52 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{5 0}$.

```
MP : 86-88 ' C ( Lit. }\mp@subsup{}{}{20}91.7-92.7 ' C C)
'1}\mp@subsup{}{}{1}\textrm{H}\mathrm{ NMR : 7.75(2H,d, J=8.1 Hz), 7.32(2H,d, J=8.0 Hz), 4.05(4H, d, J= 1.3
(200 MHz) Hz, 4.1 Hz), 3.98-3.90(2H, m), 2.45 (6H, s), 1.32(6H, s).
```

4.11. Preparation of $(3 R, 4 R)-3,4-O-$ Isopropylidene-L-threitolcyclopentanone Dimehtyldithioketal S-Oxide (51) :

To a cooled solution of methyl methylsulfinyl sulfide ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 120.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 400 mL ) was added 4 M solution of $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(30.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 110.24 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dropwise over a period of 2 h . The reaction mixture was stirred at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then allowed to warm slowly to room temperature for 2.5 h after which the solution turned yellow. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of $50(24.62 \mathrm{~g}, 52.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 150 mL ) was added dropwise over a period of 2 h . It was stirred at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h and then allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 days. Saturated aqueous ammonium chloride $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$ and triethylamine ( 1 mL ) were added to the reaction mixture. The THF layer was separated, and the aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride ( $2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic extracts were evaporated at reduced pressure, and the residue obtained was loaded on a silica gel column, pretreated with $1 \%$ triethylamine in hexane and eluted with $20 \%$ acetone in ethyl acetate containing $1 \%$ triethylamine to give the 9.84 g of pure $51(75 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.3-4.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.0-3.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.65(3 \mathrm{H}$, two singlet), 2.25 ( 3 H , $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ two singlet), 2.1-1.95 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.85-1.75 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 1.5 ( 6 H , two singlet)
4.12. Preparation of (4R)-hydroxy-cyclopent-2-en-1-one (52) :

To a solution of $51(9.5 \mathrm{~g}, 38.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ether ( 500 mL ) was added $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ $(7.3 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the resulting mixture was allowed to stir at $\mathrm{r} . \mathrm{t}$. for 3 days. The reaction mixture was neutralised by adding solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Removal of insoluble material by filtration followed by evaporation of the solvent under reduced pressure afforded $1.88 \mathrm{~g},(50 \%)$ of 52 as an oil.

```
\([\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}:+68.8^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{C}=2.46, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\)
\({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\) NMR \(: \quad 7.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.16(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.02-4.98\)
\((200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.26(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J\)
    \(=18.1,2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})\)
\({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\) NMR \(\quad: \quad 207.41,163.93,134.88,70.14,44.12\).
( 75 MHz )
IR (neat) : \(3395(\mathrm{OH}), 2986,1712,1596\).
```

4.13. Preparation of (4R)-tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-cyclopent-2-en-1-one (43) :

Compound $43(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ was prepared from $52(1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 11.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ by stirring with TBDMSCl ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 13.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in the presence of imidazole ( $1.9 \mathrm{~g}, 27.94$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in DMF ( 10 mL ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 7.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.20(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.01(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.70(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 18,6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.15(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
4.1.4. 7-[(3R)-3(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy-5 oxo-1-cyclopentenyl]-5 heptynonitrile (41a): (a) Preparation of $53^{25}$ :

To a magnetically stirred solution of benzeneselenyl chloride ( $0.526 \mathrm{~g}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, kept in 25 mL two neck RB flask, was added pyridine ( $0.22 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.75$ mmol ) at r . t under argon atmosphere. After 15 min , the mixture was added in one portion to a stirred solution of $43(0.37 \mathrm{~g}, 1.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ placed in another 25 mL RB flask fitted with argon gas balloon. The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h . The resulting yellow solution was washed with $5 \% \mathrm{HCl}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$, brine $(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ and finally dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvent followed by flash column chromatography (silicagel, $20 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ pet ether and then $20 \% \mathrm{EtOAc} /$ pet ether) afforded $53(0.58 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 7.6(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.45-7.25(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 6.7(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.9$ $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.3,5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.4(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.3,1.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.2(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.19(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
(b) Preparation of 7-iodo-5-heptynonitrile :

This compound was prepared by following the reported ${ }^{26.27}$ reaction sequences as follows :

Propargyl tetrahydropyranyl ether ( $15 \mathrm{~g}, 107.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was converted into 6-chloro-2-octyn-1-ol-tetrahydropyranyl ether ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ), using $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(34 \mathrm{~mL}, 107.1 \mathrm{mmol}$, 3.15 M ) as a base and 1-chloro-3-bromo propane ( $16.87 \mathrm{~g}, 107.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) as alkylating agent.

6-chloro-2-octyn-1-ol-tetrahydropyranyl ether ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 46.17 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on subsequent reaction with $\mathrm{NaCN}(3.16 \mathrm{~g}, 64.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO ( 75 mL ) gave 6-cyano-2-octyn-1-oltetrahydropyranyl ether ( $9 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ).

6-cyano-2-octyn-1-ol-tetrahydropyranyl ether:
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 4.85(1 \mathrm{H}$, broad, s$), 4.3-4.15(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.95-3.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.62(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=$ ( 200 MHz ) $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.6-3.55(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.5-2.4(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.1-1.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.9-1.5$ ( $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ )

6-cyano-2-octyn-1-ol-tetrahydropyranyl ether ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 14.49 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) on treatment with PPTS ( $0.364 \mathrm{~g}, 1.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in aq EtOH produced corresponding alcohol, 6-cyano-2-octyn-1-ol ( $1.25 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) which upon subsequent treatment with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 5.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and pyridine ( $0.169 \mathrm{~g}, 2.13 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry ether ( 20 mL ), afforded 7-bromo-5heptynonitrile ( $1.52 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ ).

Preparation of 7-iodo-5-heptynonitrile :
To a solution of 7-bromo-5-heptynonitrile ( $1.09 \mathrm{~g}, 5.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry acetone ( 30 mL ) was added $\mathrm{NaI}(1.317 \mathrm{~g}, 8.78 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min , filtered and poured into a mixture of ether ( 150 mL ) and water ( 50 mL ). The ether layer was separated, washed with water ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuo to yield 7 -iodo-5-heptynonitrile (1.363g, $100 \%$ ). The iodide was used immediately without further purification.

7-iodo-5-heptynonitrile :
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad 3.95(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.6-2.4(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.0-1.85(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$. ( 200 MHz )

## Preparation of compound 41a :

(c) Into a 25 mL two neck RB fitted with argon gas balloon was placed 0.624 mL of diisopropyl amine in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{BuLi}(1.65 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.36 \mathrm{M})$ was added dropwise. The resulting solution was stirred for an additional 5 min and tributyltinhydride ( $1.02 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added via syringe. After $15-20 \mathrm{~min}$ at stirring at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the formation of $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnLi}$ was completed. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a solution of (4R)-4 [tert butyldimethyl silyl) ]-2- (phenyl seleno)-2-cyclopentenone 53 ( $0.72 \mathrm{~g}, 1.95 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 2 mL ) was added. The mixture was stirred for an additional 10 min , and 7-iodo-5-heptynonitrile $(1.363 \mathrm{~g}, 5.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ and HMPA ( 2 mL ) were added. The mixture was stirred for 1 h , during which time the bath temperature was increased to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Completion of the allylation was confirmed by TLC. A solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride ( $1.0 \mathrm{M}, 2.34$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF was added at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the cooling bath was removed. The mixture was stirred at r. t . for another 30 min . Additional tetra $(1.95 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.95 \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and stirring was continued for 1.5 h . The reaction mixture was directly subjected to column chromatography over silica gel using pet ether/EtOAc as eluant to give $41 \mathrm{a}(0.432 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ yield)

| $[\alpha]_{\text {d }}{ }^{22}$ | : + 11.5 ( $\mathrm{C}=0.9, \mathrm{MeOH})$. |
| :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(200 \mathrm{MHz})$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.36-7.30(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 4.99-3.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 3.15-3.02(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, \\ & J=17.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.65-2.22(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 1.98-1.78(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~m}), 0.95(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), \\ & 0.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}), 0.12(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{~s}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{13} \mathrm{C} \text { NMR } \\ & (50 \mathrm{MHz}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} : & 203.98,157.90,142.60,118.88,79.63,77.02,68.50,45.46,25.55 \\ & 24.50,17.85,17.64,15.87,15.09,-4.90 \end{aligned}$ |
| IR (neat) | 2925, 2343, 2248, 1708, 1422, 1045. |
| MS (m/e) | $\begin{aligned} : & 274(2 \%), 260\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}, 21 \%\right), 232(44 \%), 218(91 \%), 205(6 \%), \\ & 186(8 \%), 175(5 \%), 157(6 \%), 115(17 \%), 103(11 \%), 91(23 \%), \\ & 77(21 \%), 75(100 \%), 74(30 \%) . \end{aligned}$ |

### 4.15. PET initiated coupling of (41a) with ethyl propiolate (42) using PS-B:

PET initiated coupling of 41a with 42 involved the irradiation ( 28 h ) of the solution of DMF: $i-\mathrm{PrOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing $41 \mathrm{a}(0.2 \mathrm{~g}$., 0.63 mmol$), 27(0.189 \mathrm{~g}$, 2.52 mmol ), and DCA ( $0.036 \mathrm{~g}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), DMN ( $0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.095 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and ascorbic acid $(0.288 \mathrm{~g}, 1.638 \mathrm{mmol})$, following the same procedure as described earlier. After the removal of solvents by distillation under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification of the concentrate, gave 0.60 g of a mixture of 40 a and 57 along with pure 57 $(0.023 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$ and pure $58(0.040 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$. Ratio of $40 \mathrm{a}, 57$ and 58 in the crude mixture was measured by GC analysis (capillary column, methyl phenyl silicone, 25 m ).

### 4.16. Methyl 7-((3R)-3(tert-Butyldimethyl silyloxy)-5 oxo-1- cyclopentenyl)-heptenoate (41b) :

Preparation of substrate $59^{28}$ :
(a) Iodine ( $1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 5.91 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} / \mathrm{Pyridine}$ (1:1) was added dropwise to a solution of $43(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 20 mL of $\mathrm{CCl}_{4} /$ Pyridine ( $1: 1$ ), kept in a 100 mL RB flask fitted with argon gas balloon, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 24 h during which time the temperature was allowed to reach to r . t. The mixture was diluted with ether ( 100 mL ) and washed successively with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40), 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(2 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40$ $\mathrm{mL}), 20 \% \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO} 4$. Solvent evaporation followed by column chromatographic purification yielded 0.61 g of 59 (77 \%).
$\begin{array}{ll}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \text { NMR } & : \\ (200 \mathrm{MHz}) & 7.8(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.0-4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.85(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.5,6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), \\ & 2.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.1,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 0.9(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.14(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})\end{array}$

## (b) Preparation of methyl-6-heptenoate:

To a 100 mL two neck RB flask containing a solution of methyl chloroformate (5.8 $\mathrm{g}, 61.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added dropwise the Grignard reagent, prepared in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mathrm{~mL})$ from magnesium ( $0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 30.97 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 6-bromo-1-hexene $(5 \mathrm{~g}, 30.67 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $10^{\circ}-15^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 2 h . at r.t. Afterwards, the
mixture was poured onto an ice-cold saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution and extracted with $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with water, saturated brine solution, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuo. The concentrate was distilled to yield methyl-6-heptenoate as a clear oil $(2.39 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\quad: \quad$ 5.9-5.8 $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.1-4.9(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.65(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.3(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad 2.15-2.0(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.75-1.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.5-1.35(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(c) To a flame dried RB flask were added methyl-6-heptenoate ( $0.631 \mathrm{~g}, 4.44$ mmol) and dry THF ( 4 mL ). The solution was cooled to $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a THF solution of 9-BBN-H ( $0.5 \mathrm{M}, 8.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise over 15 min . The solution was allowed to warm to r. t. and stirring was continued for an additional $4 \mathrm{~h} .50 \%$ of the THF was removed from the reaction mixture under reduced pressure. A solution of the $\alpha$ iodoenone ( $59,1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.96 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DMF ( 10 mL ) was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Cs}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.74$ $\mathrm{g}, 5.34 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (dppf) $(0.065 \mathrm{~g}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ and $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{As}(0.054 \mathrm{~g}, 10 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ kept in a separate RB flask. Water ( $0.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 12$ equiv) was added to the reaction mixture with vigorous stirring, followed by addition of the above THF solution of the borane. After stirring for 1.5 h , the contents of the flask were poured into 100 mL of water and extracted with ether ( 150 mL ). The organic phase was washed with $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}), 10 \%$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, water $(1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and brine ( $1 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. Removal of the solvents under vacuo followed by column chromatographic purification of the concentrate afforded 0.26 g of $41 \mathrm{~b}(25 \%)$ as an oil.

| $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}$ | $:$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $:$ | $+20.2(\mathrm{C}=0.68, \mathrm{MeOH}), \mathrm{Lit} .^{29}[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{21}+22.8(\mathrm{C}=0.404, \mathrm{MeOH})$ |
| $(200 \mathrm{MHz}) \quad$ | $17.5,5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42-2.02(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.80-1.18(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.92(9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.15$ |
|  | $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ |
| $\mathrm{MS}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}) \quad:$ | $323\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{OMe}, 1\right), 297\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-t-\mathrm{Bu}, 57\right), 265(34), 247(10), 237(6)$, |
|  | $191(15), 173(24), 169(32), 163(41), 145(28), 131(29), 107(23), 95$ |
|  | $(42), 89(20), 79(26), 75(100), 67(31), 55(32)$. |

### 4.17. PET initiated coupling of (41b) with methyl propiolate (27) using PS-B:

Compound 41b ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 565 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $27(0.22 \mathrm{~g}, 2.24 \mathrm{mmol})$, DMN $(0.016 \mathrm{~g}, 0.085$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and ascorbic acid ( $0.259 \mathrm{~g}, 1.47 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in a solution of DMF: $i$ PrOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(300 \mathrm{~mL})$ containing DCA $(0.032 \mathrm{~g}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and mixture was irradiated for 20 h . After removal of solvents and column chromatographic separation of the residue yielded a mixture of 40 b and $60(0.055 \mathrm{~g})$ along with pure $60(0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$ and pure 61 $(0.039 \mathrm{~g}, 20 \%)$. Ratio of $40 \mathrm{~b}, 60$ and 61 in the initial mixture were measured by GC analysis (capillary column, methyl phenyl silicone, 25 m )

## 5. References

1. Pandey, G.; Hajra, S., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 1169
2. Pandey, G.; Hajra, S.; Ghorai, M. K.; Ravi Kumar, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc.,1997, 119, 8777.
3. P. Perlmutter, and J. E. Baldwin, Conjugate Addition Reactions in Organic synthesis-Pergamon Press: Oxford, UK, 1992.
4. Lipshutz, B. H., Synthetic Procedure Involving Organocopper Reagent in Organometallics in Synthesis, M. Schlosser, Ed., John Wiley \& Sons, New York, 1994 and references cited therein.
5. (a) Watson, R. A.; Kjonaas, R. A., Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 1437 and references cited therein.; (b) Greene, A. E.; Charbonnier, F., J, Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 4752
6. Maruoka, K.; Nonoshita, K.; Yamamoto, H., Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 5723 and references cited therein.
7. Cahiez, G.; Alami, M,, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 569.
8. Giese, B., Radicals in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-carbon Bonds; Gibes, B. Ed., Persimmon Press, New York, 1986.
9. Y. Arab, T. Endo, M. Tang, J. Nagasaki and, Y. Etude, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 5853.
10. N. A. Porter, D. R. Magnet and, B. T. Write, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 2787.
11. J. G. Culvert, and J. N. Pits Jr., Photochemistry, John-Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1966, p-736.).
12. (a) Mitra, A., The Synthesis of Prostaglandins; Wiley: New York, 1977; (b) Bindra, J. S.; Bindra, R., Prostaglandin Synthesis, Academic Press: New York, 1977; (c) Collins, P. W.; Djuric, S. W., Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 1533.
13. (a) Buchi, G.; Egger, B., J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 2021; (b) Sarkar, T. K.; Ghorai, B. K.; Banerji, A, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 6907.
14. D. P. Curran, N. A. Porter, B. Giese, Stereochemistry of Radical Reactions: Concepts, Guidelines, and Synthetic Applications-Weinheim: VCH Verlagsgesellschaft, GMBH, 1996, p-119. and references cited therein.
15. Abdulla, R. F.; Fuhr, H. K., J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 4248.
16. T. Sato, H. Shima, and J. Otera, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 3936.
17. (a) B. H. Lipshutz and M. R. Wood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 11689. and references cited therein (b) W.Godding, C. C. Beard, G. F. Cooper, D. Y. Jackson, J. Org. Chem., 1993, 58, 3681.
18. Y. Yoshida, N. Ono, and F. Sato, J. Org. Chem., 1994, 6153. and references cited therin.
19. (a) Ogura, K.; Yamashita, M.; Tsuchihashi, G., Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 759; (b) Khanapure, S. P.; Najafi, N.; Manna, S.; Yang, J.; Rokach, J., J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7548.
20. Rubin, L. J.; Lardy, H. A.; Fischer, H. O. L., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, 74, 425.
21. S. Kusuda, Y. Watanabe, T. Toru, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 3145.
22. C. R. Johnson, M. P. Braun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 11014.
23. Mattay, J.; Banning, A.; Bischof, E. W., Heidbreder, A.; Runsink, J.,Chem. Ber., 1992, 125, 2119.
24. Carmack, M.; Kelley, C. J., J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 2171.
25. Toru, T., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 4815.
26. Corey, E. J.; Sachdev, H. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 8483.
27. Johnson, C. R.; Penning, T. D., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 4726.

28 Johnson, C. R.; Adama, J. P.; Braun, M. P.; Senanayake, C. B. W.; Wovkullch, P. M.; Uskokovic, M. R., Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 917.

29 Sato, F. Tsujiyama, H.; Ono, N.; Yoshino, T.; Okamuto, S, Tetrahedron Lett., 1990, 31, 4481.

Spectra


Fig. 1



Fig. 3



$\stackrel{\square}{\square}$






Fig. 6



Fig. 5






Fig. 9


Fig. 8





$01 \cdot 8!d$

Fig. 11

Fig. 12


Fig. 13



Fig. 15
R=-CH2 $(\mathrm{CH} 2)_{3}-\mathrm{CN}$

Fig. 14




## LIST OF PUBLICATIONS:

1. Visible-Light Initiated Photosensitized Electron Transfer (PET) Reductive $\beta$-Activation of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Ketones for Radical Cyclization: A new Concept in Promoting Radical Reactions.
Ganesh Pandey, Saumen Hajra and Manas K. Ghorai Tetrahedron Lett. 1994, 35, 7837.
2. Design of a Photosystem to Harvest Visible-Light into Electrons: Photosensitized one Electron Redox Reactions in Organic Synthesis.
Ganesh Pandey, Manas K. Ghorai and Saumen Hajra Pure \& Appl. Chem. 1996, 68, 653.
3. Designing Photosystems for Harvesting Photons into Electrons by Sequential ElectronTransfer Processes: Reversing the Reactivity Profiles of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Ketones as Carbon Radical Precursor by One Electron Reductive $\beta$-Activation.
Ganesh Pandey, Saumen Hajra, Manas K. Ghorai and K. Ravi Kumar J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 8777.
4. Visible-Light Initiated Photosensitized Electron Transfer (PET) Cyclization of Aldehydes and Ketones to Tethered $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Esters: Stereoselective Synthesis of Optically Pure C-Furanoside.
Ganesh Pandey, Saumen Hajra, Manas K. Ghorai and K. Ravi Kumar J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5966.
5. A New Strategy for the Construction of Carbo- and Oxycycles by Intra-molecular Reductive Coupling of $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated Esters:
Ganesh Pandey, Manas K. Ghorai and Saumen Hajra Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 1831.
