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## General Remarks

1. All melting points and boiling points are uncorrected and the temperatures are in the centigrade scale.
2. The compound numbers, scheme numbers and reference numbers given in each section refer to that particular section only.
3. All solvents were distilled before use. Petroleum ether refers to the fraction boiling in the range of $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
4. Solvents for anhydrous reaction were prepared according to the procedures reported in Perrin's book.
5. TLC analysis was carried out using thin layer plates pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254 (Merck) and visualized by fluorescence quenching or Iodine or by charring after treatment with $p$-anisaldehyde.
6. In cases where chromatographic purification was done, silica gel (230-400 mesh) was used as the stationary phase or otherwise as stated.
7. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer Infrared Spectrophotometer Model 68B or on Perkin-Elmer 1615 FT Infrared spectrophotometer.
8. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR were recorded on Bruker AC-200 (50 MHz) or Bruker AV400 ( 100 MHz ) or Bruker DRX-500 ( 125 MHz ). Figures in parentheses refer to ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ frequencies. Tetramethylsilane was used as the internal standard.
9. GCMS were recorded on Shimadzu's GCMS-QP5050-A.
10. Mass spectra were recorded at ionization energy 70 eV on Finnigan MAT-1020, automated GC/MS instrument and on API Q STARPULSAR using electron spray ionization [(ESI), solvent medium, a mixture of water, acetonitrile and ammonium acetate] technique and mass values are expressed as $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$.
11. Starting materials were obtained from commercial sources or prepared using known procedures.
12. Microanalytical data were obtained using a Carlo-Erba CHNS-O EA 1108 Elemental analyzer within the limits of accuracy ( $\pm 0.4 \%$ ).

## Abbreviations

Ac
AIBN
AIDS
Ar
aq
Bn
Boc
$n-B u$
$s-B u$
$t-\mathrm{Bu}$
Bz
CAN
Cat.
Cbz
${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$
DBU
DCC
DCM
DDQ
DEAD
DEPT

DHP
(DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR
DIBAL-H
DMAP
DMB
DME
DMF
DMS
DMSO

Acetyl
2, 2-Azobis(isobutyronitrile)
Acquired Immuno Deficiency Syndrome
Aromatic
Aqueous
Benzyl
tert-Butoxycarbonyl
normal butyl
secondary butyl
tertiary butyl
Benzoyl
Ceric ammonium nitrate
Catalytic
Carbobenzyloxy
Temperature in degrees Centigrade
1,8-Diazabicyclo[5,4,0]undec-7-ene
1,3-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
Dichloromethane
2,3-Dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone
Diethyl azodicarboxylate
Distortionless Enhancement by Polarization
Transfer
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| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| :---: | :---: |
| equiv (eq) | Equivalent |
| ee | Enantiomeric excess |
| EDC | Ethylene dichloride |
| Et | Ethyl |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | Triethyl amine |
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| g | gram/s |
| GCMS | Gas Chromatograph Mass Spectrometer |
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| IR | Infrared |
| LA | Lewis acid |
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| LDA | Lithium diisopropyl amide |
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| Me | Methyl |
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| m.p. | Melting point |
| MS | Mass spectroscopy or Molecular sieves |
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| PCC | Pyridinium chlorochromate |
| :--- | :--- |
| PDC | Pyridinium dichromate |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| PMB | 4-methoxybenzyl |
| i-Pr | Isopropyl |
| PTP | Protein tyrosine phosphatase |
| PTSA | para-Toluene sulfonic acid |
| Py | Pyridine |
| QSAR | Quantitative structure activity relationship |
| RCM | Ring-closing metathesis |
| rt | root temperature |
| SAR | Structure activity relationship |
| TBABr | Tetrabutyl ammonium bromide |
| TBAI | Tetrabutyl ammonium iodide |
| TBAF | Tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride |
| TBAHSO | Tetrabutyl ammonium hydrogen sulphate |
| TBDMSOTf | tert-Butyldimethylsilyl triflate |
| TBSCl | tert-butyldimethylsilyl chloride |
| TBTH | tributyltin hydride |
| TEMPO | $2,2,6,6-T e t r a m e t h y l p i p e r i d i n e-1-o x y l ~$ |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| TFAA | Trifluroacetic anhydride |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin Layer Chromatography |
| TMS | Trimethyl silane |
| TMSCl | Trimethyl silyl chloride |
| TMSI | Trimethyl silyl iodide |
| TPP | Triphenyl phosphine |
| Ts |  |
| UV |  |


#### Abstract

The thesis entitled, "Synthetic studies towards camptothecin and other biologically active compounds" is divided into three chapters.

Chapter 1 deals with a brief review on the camptothecin and the present synthetic studies towards camptothecin and is divided into two sections.

Chapter 2 constitutes of the formal synthesis of camptothecin in both racemic as well as asymmetric fashion and is divided into three sections. Chapter 3 deals with a brief review on cuparenone and its total synthesis via two routes and is divided into three sections.

\section*{Chapter 1: Synthetic study towards camptothecin}

\section*{Section 1: Camptothecin: A family of alkaloids-A brief review}

Camptothecin 1 was isolated from the Chinese plant Camptotheca acuminata by Wall and Wani in 1966. Camptothecin 1 elicited extensive interest due to its potent antitumor activity. The initial excitement quickly waned however, because of problems associated with its insolubility and toxicity. Liu and coworkers in 1985 reported that camptothecin had an unique mechanism of action that concerned selective inhibition of DNA topoisomerase I. This disclosure served to regenerate interest in camptothecinoids and has led to the development of its analogues viz topotecan $\mathbf{2}$ and irinotecan $\mathbf{3}$ which are marketed as anticancer drugs.

The present section elucidates the general introduction of camptothecin 1 along with brief account of its isolation, biosynthesis, mechanism of action and structure activity relationship studies. Also this section deals with a review on synthesis of camptothecin, emphasizing mainly the synthetic approaches which have appeared since 2006.  1. Camptothecin $R^{1}, R^{2}, R^{3}=H$ 2. Topotecan $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$ 3. Irinotecan $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OCOPipPip}, \mathrm{HCl} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$


Figure 1

Section 2: Attempted synthesis of camptothecin employing reductive amination as a key step

The present section describes the synthesis of four advanced intermediates for the synthesis of camptothecin 1, employing aldol reaction and Reformatsky reactions as the key step.

Thus synthesis started from ethyl acetoacetate 4. Ethyl acetoacetate 4 was monoalkylated followed by hydroxylation using cobalt chloride, isopropanol under oxygen atmosphere to afford hydroxy compound 6. Aldehyde 7 was prepared from commercially available acetanilide following literature procedure. Having both fragments in hand, they were subjected to condensation using LDA in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford 8 . Acetal deprotection of $\mathbf{8}$ was carried out using 2 N HCl to afford required aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$. Unfortunately yield of aldol condensation product was poor (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, acetone, ethyl iodide, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; b) $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, isopropanol, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; c) $L D A, T H F,-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 20 \%$; d) 2 N HCl, ether:water, 1 h, $85 \%$.

So the retrosynthetic plan to synthesize the required aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ was changed. It was presumed that it can be obtained by Wittig-Horner reaction between aldehyde 7 and phosphonate ester 17. This can be synthesized from commercially available cis-2-butene-1,4-diol 10 (Scheme 2).

Accordingly, cis-2-butene-1,4-diol 10 was protected as di-PMB 11. Ether 11 was then subjected to oxidative cleavage of olefin to afford 12. The aldehyde $\mathbf{1 2}$ was subjected to Wittig reaction to provide the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 13. Removal of $p$-methoxybenzyl ether in 13 afforded the allyl alcohol 14. Conversion of alcohol 14 to the corresponding
bromo derivative $\mathbf{1 5}$ was carried out using $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, followed by Michaelis-Arbuzov reaction of the resulting bromo intermediate 15 to afford phosphonate 16. Phosphonate $\mathbf{1 6}$ on $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ oxidation furnished required fragment 17 (Scheme 2 ).


Scheme 2: Reagents and Conditions: a) NaH, PMB-chloride, DMF, rt, 4 h, quant.; b) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, acetone-water (4:1) $3 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; c) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CPPh}_{3} \mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, $73 \%$; d) $D D Q, D C M$-water (9:1) 3 h, $94 \%$; e) $P B r r_{3}$, diethyl ether 2 h, $96 \%$; f) Triethyl phosphite, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, quant.; g) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$, acetone-water (9:1), $1 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$.

Having phosphonate ester 17 in hand, aldehyde 7 was subjected to Wittig-Horner olefination using triethyl amine in DCM solvent to furnish the enone 8 in $80 \%$ yield. Acetal deprotection was carried out using 2 N HCl in THF to afford the aldehyde 9 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: a) Triethylamine, dry dichloromethane, rt, 6 h , $80 \%$; b) $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; c) i) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, methanol, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} \mathrm{CN}$; ii) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} \mathrm{CN}$.

Having the required hydroxy aldehyde $\mathbf{9}$ in hand, attention was focused on the synthesis of tricyclic skeleton of camptothecin by reductive amination under different conditions, but unfortunately the reaction led to a complex reaction mixture from which the desired tricyclic compound could not be obtained (Scheme 3).

Thus, it was thought that the problem may be due to the tertiary hydroxyl group. Hence it was decided to synthesise the dehydroxy compound 21. Accordingly, Reformatsky reaction on aldehyde 7 using bromo compound 19 in presence of zinc and catalytic iodine furnished alcohol $\mathbf{2 0}$. To get the required olefin 21, reaction was attempted using PTSA as well as using dil HCl but these efforts failed to furnish the desired compound 21. The alcohol 20 was then treated with triethyl amine and mesyl chloride in DCM to afford the compound 21 in low yields (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: Reagent and conditions: a) Zn, iodine (cat), benzene-ether (1:1), reflux, 7 h , $30 \%$; b) Triethyl amine, mesyl chloride, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 35 \%$.

Though success was achieved in formation of carbon-carbon bond, the yields were very disappointing. So it was thought to prepare another substrate utilizing Wittig-Horner reaction (Scheme 5).


## Scheme 5

Accordingly, the phosphonate ester 24 was prepared from 4-chloroethylacetoacetate 25 , which on treatment with thionyl chloride, methanol and
methanesulfonic acid afforded the enol ether 26. Enol ether 26 was heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with triethylphosphite to afford a phosphonate ester 24 (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6: Reagents and conditions: a) i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, methanesulphonic acid, rt, 4 h , $90 \%$; b) Triethyl phosphite, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; c) NaH, THF, -5 to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; d) 2 N HCl , diethyl ether, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; d) 6 N HCl , diethyl ether, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$.

Having aldehyde 7 and phosphonate ester 24 in hand they were subjected to Wittig-Horner olefination by using NaH in THF as the solvent, to afford the condensed product 27 in $82 \%$ yield. Acetal deprotection was carried out using 2 N HCl in diethyl ether to afford the aldehyde $\mathbf{2 3}$ in $85 \%$ yield while on treatment with 6 N HCl in ether, $\mathbf{2 2}$ was obtained (Scheme 6). Both the compounds 22 and 23 were separately subjected to reductive amination under various conditions but all the reactions ended up as intractable complex mixtures.

## Chapter 2 : Tandem one-pot reaction approaches towards the synthesis of camptothecin

Section 1: Formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin via DE-ring synthon employing tandem aza-Michael-condensation-Knoevenagel cyclization reaction.

Having failed in the synthesis of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ in linear manner, it was envisaged to synthesise camptothecin by adopting convergent strategy. Synthetic approaches for camptothecin 1 and its analogues have typically involved synthesis of suitably functionalized CDE-rings or DE-rings or precursors thereof, which were then coupled with appropriate counterparts. Keeping this concept in mind, it was proposed to synthesize
the DE synthon, which can be synthesized from commercially available benzyl amine and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 28.

Thus the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 28 was subjected to one pot Michael addition, condensation and Knoevenagel cyclization with benzyl amine and after 30 minutes addition of 4 eq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by the addition of ethyl malonyl chloride at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to obtain dihydropyridone $\mathbf{3 0}$ in 70\% yield via the intermediate 29. The dihydropyridone $\mathbf{3 0}$ was subjected to DDQ oxidation to afford pyridone 31 in 91\% yield (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) Benzyl amine, $D C M, 1 / 2 h, K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, ethyl malonyl chloride, 8 h, $70 \%$; b) DDQ, dioxane, reflux, 24 h, 91\%.; c) DIBAL-H, THF, $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 93 \%$; e) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; f) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.

Having pyridone 31 in hand, next goal was to build the lactone ring by selective reduction of aromatic ester. Accordingly the pyridone 31 was subjected to reduction using 3 eq. of DIBAL-H at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF to furnish the required aldehyde 32, along with over reduced product viz alcohol 33 in 1:3 ratio. Aldehyde 32 on reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ resulted in the formation of lactone 34 in 93\% yield (Scheme 7).

Alternatively, lactone 34 was obtained from pyridone 31. Pyridone 31 on treatment with excess of lithium hydroxide in ethanol afforded diacid 37 in $84 \%$ yield. The selective esterification of aliphatic acid was accomplished using nickel chloride to deliver compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ in $76 \%$ yield. Compound $\mathbf{3 8}$ was treated with methyl chloroformate in THF
at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and subsequently reduced to alcohol via mixed anhydride intermediate followed by lactonization using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ to result in formation of lactone 34 in $84 \%$ yield (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; c) i) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, methyl chloroformate, anhydrous $\mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, r t, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.

The hydroxylation was carried out using $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ and catalytic amount of dimethyl amine under oxygen atmosphere to furnish $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 35 in $92 \%$ yield. Finally, $N$ debenzylation using catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the desired DE-ring synthon ( $\pm$ )-36 in $72 \%$ yield. This is the common intermediate in Comins synthesis which could be converted to ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 by a two step sequence i. e. coupling of pyridone with quinoline nucleus and intramolecular Heck reaction.

Being successful in the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-DE synthon it was decided to perform enantioselective synthesis of ( $S$ )-DE synthon, which is essential for biological activity.


Scheme 9: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$. b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$. c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, anhydrous $\mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; d) i) $(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (10 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (10 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$ over two steps. e) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.

Accordingly, lactol 39 was synthesized from aldehyde 32 and lactone 34 by treatment with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The lactol 39 was transformed into enol ether $\mathbf{4 0}$ in $95 \%$ yield via
$O$-mesylation followed by elimination. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation on 40 followed by oxidation afforded 35 ( $90 \%$ yield, $95 \% ~ e e$ ). Finally, $N$-debenzylation of 35 furnished (+)-DE synthon 36 ( $72 \%$ yield, $95 \%$ ee) of camptothecin 1 (Scheme 9).

In the DIBAL-H reduction of pyridone 31, an unusual formation of over-reduced alcohol 33 was observed. It was thought to utilize alcohol 33 for the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues. Accordingly, alcohol 33 was protected as its TBS ether 41, followed by DDQ oxidation which resulted in the desired pyridone 42 in $98 \%$ yield. TBS deprotection was carried out using TBAF in THF to afford unusual rearranged acid 43 in $60 \%$ yield, which on decarboxylation using naphthalene and Cu powder afforded pyridine 44 which can be converted into advanced intermediate $\mathbf{4 5}$ by alkylation and debenzylation, which is precursor for the synthesis of mappicine. It can also be further manipulated into camptothecin 1 (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: Reagents and conditions: a) Imidazole, TBS-Cl, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; b) DDQ, dioxane, reflux, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; c) TBAF, THF, rt, 1 h, $60 \%$; e) Naphthalene, Cu powder, reflux, 3 h, 94\%.

In summary, the formal total synthesis of (+)-camptothecin employing tandem one-pot three steps transformations involving aza-Michael reaction, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride followed by intramolecular "Knoevenagel" reaction to furnish dihydropyridone has been accomplished.

## Section 2 : Formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin

After achieving the formal synthesis of camptothecin 1 via ( $S$ )-DE synthon employing tandem one-pot three-steps transformations, in this section the idea of one pot reaction towards formal total synthesis of camptothecin 1 by using the quinoline amine $\mathbf{4 7}$ on same $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated compound 28 was explored (Scheme 11).

Iodo quinoline amine 47 was subjected to one-pot Michael addition, condensation and Knoevenagel cyclization with $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 28 and after 30 minutes 4 eq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by ethyl malonyl chloride were added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred at rt for 8 h to afford dihydropyridone 49 in $70 \%$ yield via the intermediate 48. The product thus obtained was subjected to DDQ oxidation in 1,4-dioxane at reflux temperature to result in the desired pyridone 50 in $91 \%$ yield.





Scheme 11: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, ethyl malonyl chloride, 8 h , $70 \%$; b) DDQ, 1,4-dioxane, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}, ~ 91 \%$; c) PEG-2000, $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.; d) DIBAL-H (3.0 equiv.), dry THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$; e) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
(9:1), $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; f) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; g) (DHQD) $2_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}-$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; h) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, 24 h .

The compound 50 under Heck coupling conditions using PEG-2000, triethylamine and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ afforded pyridone 51 in $84 \%$ yield. The selective reduction of aromatic ester 51 to aldehyde 52 in $83 \%$ yield was achieved using DIBAL-H. The aldehyde 52 was further reduced to lactol 53 in $90 \%$ yield using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$. The lactol 53 was transformed into enol ether 54 in $92 \%$ yield via $O$-mesylation followed by elimination. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation on 54 followed by oxidation provided (+)-camptothecin 1 (Scheme 11).

In conclusion, asymmetric synthesis of camptothecin was realized strating from quinoline amine employing tandem one-pot three-step transformations involving aza-Michael reaction, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride followed by intramolecular "Knoevenagel " reaction and PEG mediated Heck cyclization.

## Section 3. Formal total synthesis of camptothecin via tricyclic lactone

The clinical use of camptothecin has been limited owing to its insolubility and toxicity, but extensive structure-activity relationship studies have identified its various analogues having better solubility and with equal or better antitumor activity. This resurged the interest of the chemists as well as oncologists. Synthetic approaches for these analogues have typically involved synthesis of suitably functionalized CDE-rings or DErings or precursors thereof, which were then coupled with appropriate counterparts.

Accordingly, synthesis started from $\beta$-keto ester 57 as the starting material, which was prepared according to the literature procedure from glycinate salt 56. Keto ester 57 was subjected to hydrolysis-decarboxylation under Krapcho conditions to afford the keto compound 58, which was protected as acetal using ethylene glycol to afford urethane 59 in $90 \%$ yield. Urethane 59 was subjected to oxidative cleavage by using catalytic osmium tetroxide followed by $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to afford the crude aldehyde which on treatment with oxone in methanol/ethanol at room temperature afforded corresponding ester urethane 60 in $60 \%$ yield along with acid 61 in $25 \%$ yield. Acid 61 was converted into required ester on treatment with diazomethane. To synthesize D ring, ester $\mathbf{6 0}$ was subjected to cbz deprotection by using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ at 60 psi pressure followed by condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride to afford the amide 62 in $88 \%$ yield over two steps. Amide $\mathbf{6 2}$ was
treated with sodium hydride in ethanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield the cyclised compound in $98 \%$ yield which existed as the diketo ester $\mathbf{6 3}$. Without further purification, the keto compound 63 was treated with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in anhydrous dichloromethane at reflux condition, afforded chloro compound 64.
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Scheme 12: Reagents and conditions: $a$ ) NaCl (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{DMSO}_{-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$ (3:1), 120-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; b) 1, 2-Ethane diol, PTSA, benzene, reflux, 8 h, $90 \%$; c) i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, acetonewater (3:1), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) Oxone, methanol, rt, 16 h ; d) Diazomethane, $E t_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 12$ h, $95 \%$; e) i) $P d / C$, ethanol, 60 psi, 2 h ; ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, ~ D C M$, ethyl malonyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, rt, $88 \%$; f) NaH, ethanol, rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; g) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux, 4 h ; h) NaH, diethyl malonate, dry benzene, rt, overnight, 65\%; i) DDQ, 1,4-dioxane, reflux, 48 h, $96 \%$; j) DIBAL-H, THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; k) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$; l) $10 \%$ $\mathrm{HCl}, 90-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$.

The resulting chloro compound $\mathbf{6 4}$ being unstable was immediately taken for addition-elimination reaction with diethyl malonate using sodium hydride in anhydrous benzene to afford the desired compound 65 in $65 \%$ yield. Aromatization of 65 was
achieved employing DDQ to furnish pyridone 66 in $96 \%$ yield. Pyridone 66 was subjected to selective reduction of aromatic ester using 3 eq. of DIBAL-H in THF at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford aromatic aldehyde 67 in $82 \%$ yield. Aldehyde 67 on further treatment with sodium borohydride in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the lactone 68 in $90 \%$ yield. Acetal deprotection, ester hydrolysis and decarboxylation was carried out in one pot by refluxing lactone 68 with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ for 6 h to afford the tricyclic lactone 69 in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 12). This is the common intermediate in Shamma's synthesis which could be converted to ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 by a three-step sequence i.e. Friedlander condensation with 2-amino benzaldehyde followed by alkylation and hydroxylation.

## Chapter 3: Synthetic Studies towards $\alpha$-Cuparenone

## Section 1 : Cuparenone: A family of sesquiterpene-A brief review

This section elucidates the general introduction of $\alpha$-cuparenone 70, which was isolated from Thuja orientalis (Mayurpankhi) by Sukh Dev and Chatty in 1964. This compound poses a synthetic challenge to organic chemists due to the presence of two contiguous quaternary centers in a cyclopentane ring.

$\alpha$-Cuparenne 70
Also in this section a concise review on synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone is presented. Synthesis of racemic and optically pure $\alpha$-cuparenone reported only after 1997 and some representative syntheses have been described in the present section.

## Section 2 : Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone employing one pot cyclopentannulation

As described in section 1 , the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone 70 is a good synthetic challenge for organic chemist. Interest of the group in synthesis of cyclopentanoid natural products led to application of simple cyclopentannulation approach towards the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone. Synthetic sequence as depicted in Scheme 13 starts from commercially available 4-methylbenzyl cyanide 71. Dialkylation of $\mathbf{7 1}$ was carried out using sodium hydride and cis-1,4-dichlorobutene in THF to afford cyclopentene 72 along with
cyclopropyl side product 73 in 2:1 ratio as an inseparable mixture. So the mixture was subjected to further reactions with the hope that it could be separated at hydroboration oxidation step. Thus the mixture of $\mathbf{7 2}$ and 73 was subjected to reduction with DIBAL-H to furnish aldehydes 74 and 75 which were further reduced under Huang-Minlon reaction conditions without further purification to furnish required olefin intermediate $\mathbf{7 6}$ having one of the quaternary center. Formation of a single product at Huang-Minlon reduction was initially surprising but it was thought that the cyclopropane product may undergo vinyl-cyclopropane rearrangement.


Scheme 13: Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, cis 1,4-dichlorobutene, THF, rt, 8 h , $80 \%$; ii) DIBAL-H , DCM, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; c) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} . \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, NaOH , diethylene glycol, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 8 h, $60 \%$; d) i) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}$; ii) IBX, DMSO, 12 h, 85\%; e) LiHMDS, MeI, DME, HMPA, 3 h, $70 \%$.

The olefin 76 was subjected to hydroboration, oxidation sequence using $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{NaOH}$, IBX to give cyclopentanone 77 in $85 \%$ yield. Finally ketone 77 on selective dialkylation using LiHMDS, methyliodide furnished $\alpha$ - cuparenone 70 (Scheme 13).

In conclusion, one of the short and efficient synthesis of the $\alpha$-cuparenone has been achieved in five steps in 28 \% overall yield.

## Section 3 : Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone employing gem-diallylation and RCM

The strategy involved a new and efficient approach for the synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$ cuparenone 70. The key intermediate 76 was synthesized utilizing gem-diallylation followed by intramolecular ring closing metathesis reaction.

Accordingly, synthesis started from commercially available starting material 4methyl acetophenone 78. Diallylation was carried out by using $\mathrm{InCl}_{3}$, allyl trimethylsilane and TMS-Cl in ethylene dichloride at room temperature to afford diallyl compound $\mathbf{7 9}$ in $30 \%$ yield.


Scheme 27: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{InCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$, allyltrimethylsilane, $E D C, 8 \mathrm{~h}$, $30 \%$. b) Grubbs cat. $I^{s t}$ generation, $D C M, 85 \%$; c) PDC, pyridine, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \%$; d) NaH , DMF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}, 67 \%$; e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, EtOH, piperidine, $98 \%$.

The diallyl compound 79 was subjected to ring closing metathesis by using $1^{\text {st }}$ generation Grubbs catalyst to give the cyclopentene compound 76. Treatment of $\mathbf{7 6}$ with PDC in pyridine at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the rearranged $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{8 0}$. Dialkylation was carried out by using sodium hydride and methyl iodide in THF to afford dialkylated compound $\mathbf{8 1}$. Finally hydrogenation of $\mathbf{8 1}$ using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in ethanol and catalytic piperidine gave target molecule 70 in quantitative yield.

In conclusion, the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone has been accomplished in concise and efficient fashion in five steps employing simple reaction conditions and from commercially available starting materials.


Camptothecin: $\mathcal{A}$ family of alkaloids-A brief review
$\qquad$

### 1.1.1 Introduction

Camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ is a quinoline alkaloid isolated by Wall and Wani ${ }^{1}$ in 1966 from the bark and stem of Chinese plant Camptotheca acuminata at Research Triangle Institute. Camptotheca is known by many names in China including "happy tree", "dragon tree" and "fine tree". It has been used as traditional medicine for psoriasis, leukemia and diseases of liver, gallbladder, spleen and stomach.

This invention became milestone in the area of chemical and medicinal science. Camptothecin and its analogues, collectively called as camptothecins or camptothecinoids have been isolated from various plants. Camptothecin 1 elicited extensive interest from both the academic community and the pharmaceutical industry due to its potent antitumor activity. The initial excitement quickly waned however, because of problems associated with its insolubility and toxicity (myelosupression, severe and unpredictable hemorrhagic cystitis and diarrhoea). Later studies pointed to the insolubility of camptothecin, which required the drug to be formulated as the ring-opened seco acid salt, as a key aspect in its clinical failure.


Camptotheca acuminata


Manroe Wall (left) and Mansukh Wani (right) observe a beaker of camptothecin dissolved in chloroform and methanol as it fluoresces in UV light.
$\qquad$

Liu and coworkers ${ }^{2}$ in 1985 reported that camptothecin had a unique mechanism of action that concerned selective inhibition of DNA topoisomerase I. Topoisomerase I is an enzyme essential for relaxation of DNA during important cellular processes and triggers a cascade of events leading to apoptosis and programmed cell death. This disclosure served to regenerate interest in camptothecinoids and has led to the development of its analogues $v i z$ topotecan $2^{3}$ and irinotecan $3^{4}$ which are marketed as anticancer drugs.

2. Topotecan

4. $R=O M e, X=Y=O$ Nothapodytine $A$
5. R = H, X = Y = O Nothapodytine B (mappicine ketone)
6. $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Y}=(\mathrm{S})$-OH Map picine

3. Irinotecan

7. Foetidine

## Figure 1

Nothapodytine B 5 (mappicine ketone), has been recently isolated from Nothapodytine foetida ${ }^{5}$ which is an oxidized form of mappicine 6 and E-ring decarboxylative analogue of camptothecin 1, exhibited potent antiviral activities against HSV-1, HSV-2 and HCMV. One of its analogues, foetidine 7, exhibits anti-HIV activity ${ }^{6}$ while others are in different stages of clinical trials.

### 1.1.2 Structural elucidation of camptothecin

Structure of camptothecin was determined by a combination of spectral methods, chemical properties and by X-ray analysis. The compound was a high melting substance, with molecular weight of 348.11 related to the formula $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ and was optically active with $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} 31.3^{\circ}$. Based on the spectral and chemical properties, structure of
$\qquad$
camptothecin was deduced to be (1). Later it was confirmed by formation of monoacetate 1a on treatment with acetic anhydride, chloroacetate $\mathbf{1 b}$ with chloroacetic anhydride and chloro-camptothecin 1c on treatment with thionyl chloride and pyridine, suggesting the presence of hydroxyl group. Presence of lactone ring was confirmed by saponification to give sodium salt and its regeneration on acidification and lactol formation with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$.


1a. $R=O A c$
1b. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CI}$
1c. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CI}$
1d. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{I}$

## Figure 2

The X-ray crystallography of iodoacetate 1d, prepared from chloroacetate by treatment with NaI in acetone and crystallized in orthorhombic crystals, undeniably confirmed the structure to be $S$-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H pyrano [3', 4'; 6, 7] indolizino [1,2$b$ ] quinoline-3, $14(4 \mathrm{H}, 12 \mathrm{H})$-dione and revealed that the rings ABCD and the amide carbonyl are coplanar. The ring-E exists in a boat form and the C-20 and lactone oxygen deviate from the planarity. The absolute configuration was determined by Bijvoet's method.

Contrasting other alkaloids, camptothecin does not form a stable salt with mineral acids and shows negative tests with Dradgendroff and Meyer reagents. This indicates the neutral nature of the camptothecin. The hydroxyl group imparts electrophilic character to the lactone carbonyl, thus making it highly reactive towards nucleophilic attack by amines, aq. alkali and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$.

$S_{-(+)-C a m p t o t h e c i n ~}^{\text {- }}$


Ajmalicine 8

## Figure 3

Le Men-Taylor ${ }^{7}$ numbering system had been employed for camptothecin based on the close biogenetic relationship with the indole alkaloid ajmalicine 8 (Figure 3).

### 1.1.3 Naturally occurring camptothecins

The isolation of the novel pyrrolo-3,4-quinoline alkaloid camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ from Camptothica acuminata Deane (Nyssaceae) was first reported in 1966 as part of an antitumor screening program carried out under the auspices of the National Cancer Institute of the National Institutes of Health. Due to the excellent biological activity of camptothecin several analogues, collectively called as camptothecins or camptothecinoids, ${ }^{8}$ have been isolated from a variety of botanical species. Some of them hold powerful antitumor and anti-HIV properties, often more active than the parent molecule which indicates the combinatorial approach adapted by the nature for lead optimization. In light of the ongoing active development on the efforts to find new analogues of camptothecin, it is suitable to mention about some of them.


## Figure 4

Table 1

| Structure | Name | Source |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}$ | Camptothecin (1) | Camptothcea acuminata Decene $^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ ${\text { Nothapodytes } \text { foetida }^{8 \mathrm{~b}}}^{\text {Ophiorizamungos }}{ }^{8 \mathrm{c}}$ Ervatimiaheyneana $^{8 \mathrm{~d}}$ Merrillidendronmegacarpum $^{8 \mathrm{e}}$ Mostucabrunonis Ophiorizapumila |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2} \\ & =\mathrm{OH} \end{aligned}$ | 10-Hydroxycamptothecin (1e) | Camptotheca acuminata <br> Decene ${ }^{8 \mathrm{~h}}$ <br> Nothapodytes foetida ${ }^{8 b}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{R}^{5}= \\ & \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{OMe} \end{aligned}$ | 10-Methoxycamptothecin (1f) | Camptotheca acuminata $^{8 h}$ Ophioriza mungos ${ }^{8 c}$ |


| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}= \\ & \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | 9-Methoxycamptothecin (1g) | Camptotheca acuminata ${ }^{8 h}$ <br> Nothapodytes foetida <br> Ervatimia heyneana ${ }^{8 h}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{3} \\ & =\mathrm{OH} \end{aligned}$ | 11-Hydroxylcamptothecin (1h) | Camptotheca acuminata ${ }^{8 e}$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=$ <br> OMe, $\mathrm{R}^{4}=\left(\mathrm{COCH}_{2}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Me}$ | 20-Hexanoyl-10-methoxy camptothecin (1i) | Camptotheca acuminata ${ }^{8 g}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{H}, \\ & \mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{OH} \end{aligned}$ | 18-Hydroxycamptothecin(1j) | Camptotheca acuminata ${ }^{8 j}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{OMe}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\beta-\mathrm{D}- \\ & \mathrm{gl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | Chaboside (1k) | Ophioriza pumila champ $^{8 g}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{R} 1=\beta \text { D-Glu, } \\ & \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{R}^{4}=\mathrm{R}^{5}=\mathrm{H} \end{aligned}$ | 9- $\beta$-Glucosylcamptothecin <br> (11) | Ophioriza pumila ${ }^{8 f}$ |

Nothapodytine A (4), Nothapodytine B (5) and Mappicine (6) : These compounds also contain A, B, C, D rings like camptothecin 1. These were isolated by Govindachari ${ }^{8 \mathrm{bb}}$ in 1971 from Indian plant Nothapodytes foetida (formerly known as Mapia foetida Miers). Occurrence of Campothecin, Mappicine, Nothapodytine B (Mappicine ketone) and Nothapodytine A in Nothapodytes foetida ${ }^{5}$ suggests that these alkaloids have a common biosynthetic precursor or it is quite possible that $\mathbf{1}$ is the precursor of $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ as shown in mechanism (Scheme 1).

Recently Nothapodytine B (5) has been identified as an antiviral lead compound with selective activity against HSV-1, HSV-2 and human cytomegalovirus (HCMV) with $\mathrm{PR}_{50}$ of $2.9,0.5$ and $13.2 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. The antiviral mechanism of Nothapodytine B (5) is distinct from that of acyclovir (ACV) as demonstrated by the observation that ACVresistant HSV-1 and HSV-2 are inhibited by MPK and that MPK resistant mutants remain sensitive to ACV, which permits them to be used in a complimentary fashion to each other.


## Scheme 1

Foetidine 7: It has A, B, C, D rings in common with camptothecin and differs in its E-ring having a side chain through a phenolic ester bond. It showed antitumor activity against ovarian cells A2780 WT ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.4 \times 10^{-7}$ ) and antiviral activity against HIV viruses ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.6 \mu \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{3}$ ).


Deoxycamptothecin 11


22-Hydroxycuminatine 12
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## Figure 5

Deoxycamptothecin 11: Showed insignificant activity, presumably due to the lack of hydroxy group.

22-Hydroxycuminatine 12: It is a biogenetically novel alkaloid as A-D rings are similar to those of camptothecin. It showed cytotoxic activity against P388 and KB test systems in vitro with Ed50 values of 1.32 and $0.61 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ respectively.
Luotonin A 13: It is one more camptothecin-family alkaloid isolated from Chinese plant Peganum nigellastrum in 1997 and it shows potent cytotoxicity against P-388 cell.

Pumiloside 14 and Deoxypumiloside 15: Pumiloside was postulated as the post strictosamide intermediate of camptothecin biosynthesis.

### 1.1.4 Biogenesis

Wenkert et al. ${ }^{9}$ speculated camptothecin to be a monoterpenoid alkaloid, a masked indole alkaloid of Corynantheidine type. The plausible chemical sequences from isositstrikine $\mathbf{1 6}$ to camptothecin would include oxidation to 17 , D-ring unraveling, reclosing and adjustment in the final oxidation states of the carbons (Scheme 2).


## Scheme 2

Winterfield et al. ${ }^{10}$ proposed gessiochizine 18 as a more likely intermediate based on his findings of indole alkaloid $\mathbf{1 9}$ oxidation to quinoline $\mathbf{2 0}$ (Scheme 3).



## Scheme 3

Initially in vivo results in which the incorporation of radioactive tryptamine 21, ${ }^{11 a}$ mevalonic acid, ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}}$ geraniol/nerol isomeric mixture, ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ secologanin ${ }^{11 \mathrm{a}} 22$ and strictosidine ${ }^{11 \mathrm{c}} 23$ delivered radioactive camptothecin in apical cuttings of young seedlings of Camptotheca acuminata established that camptothecin was a monoterpene indole alkaloid.

Heckendrof et al. fed the isotopically labeled precursors and confirmed strictosidine 23 as the specific precursor and eliminated epimeric (H-3-beta) vincoside. ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~d}}$

Radiochemically labeled strictosomide $\mathbf{2 4}$ was also tested and efficient incorporation was observed in camptothecin. An easy conversion of strictosidine 23 into strictosomide 24 under basic condition and its structural similarity to camptothecin also indicated strictosomide 24 as main biosynthetic precursor of camptothecin ${ }^{12}$ (Scheme 4).


## Scheme 4

Hence, mevalonate is converted into secologanin 22 via geraniol and loganin, which combines with the tryptamine 21 to give strictosidine 23 which in turn is transformed into strictosomide 24 . The formation of camptothecin from 24 is possible by the removal of the glucose moiety, oxidation-recyclisation of BC-ring, and oxidation of Dand E-rings. This biogenetic hypothesis is strongly supported by the observations of Cordell and co-workers ${ }^{13}$ wherein they found that the removal of the glucose unit occurred after the formation of strictosamide 24 . This possibility depends on the biosynthetic fate of strictosidine 23 in other plants. ${ }^{14}$


Scheme 5
$\qquad$

Actually the conversion of pyridone 30 to quinoline 31 would not realize (Scheme 5), suggested that prior to D-ring oxidation BC rearrangement takes place. The mechanism of rearrangement is not still understood. It is assumed that indole moiety gets opened by oxidative cleavage into the ketolactam 26, which in turn is reduced to alcohol 27, followed by dehydration and subsequent ring closure via stepwise ionic or concerted electrocyclic process that results in formation of $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 4).

### 1.1.5 Pharmacology

Camptothecins, topoisomerase I inhibitors, are proving useful against a range of refractory tumors, most prominently against some colon and ovarian cancers. ${ }^{15}$ Two of the camptothecins, topotecan and irrinotecan have received Food and Drug Administration approval and several others are in clinical trials. Camptothecin is active against MDRItumors, hence it has broad spectrum of antitumor activity. ${ }^{16}$ Camptothecin inhibits replication of both SV40 ${ }^{17}$ virus and adenoviruses. ${ }^{18}$ Camptothecin inhibits retroviruses such as the HIV and the equine infectious anaemia virus. ${ }^{6}$ The anti-HIV activity is due to the inhibition of TaT-mediated transcription from the viral promoter.

Camptothecin and its analogues also have significant activity against Trypanosoma brucei, the causative parasite of African trypanosomiasis. ${ }^{19}$ Camptothecin possesses activity against the malarial parasite ${ }^{20}$ as well. Leishmaniasis, a spectrum of disease caused by the Leishmania parasite, is treated by antimony-based drugs which are normally considered to operate by topo-I inhibition which led to the hope that camptothecin would be better lead to be tested as antileishmenial agents and trials are on in this direction. Camptothecin is also used in China for the treatment of psoriasis, a skin disease.

### 1.1.6 Mode and mechanism of action

The impressive activity of camptothecin has led to intensive investigation into its mode of action. Camptothecin was shown to inhibit both DNA and RNA syntheses. Inhibition of DNA synthesis appears to be irreversible while inhibition of RNA synthesis is highly reversible. Another striking effect of camptothecin is its rapid fragmentation of chromosomal DNA. All the cellular effects of camptothecin remained unexplained until the identification of topoisomerase I as the molecular target of camptothecin. In 1979 it was discovered that most of the antitumor drugs promote covalent linkage of protein to DNA in tumor cells. ${ }^{21}$ During this time, Liu and coworkers, had been studying the action of enzymes called DNA topoisomerases, which modulate DNA superhelicity during
transcription and replication by relieving the torsional strain introduced by separation of DNA strands as the transcriptional or replication proceeds. Topoisomerase I, catalyzes the topoisomerisation reactions of DNA viz. relaxation/supercoiling, knotting/unknotting and catenation/decatenation via transient enzyme linked single strand break. Generally the cancer cells are more prone to topoisomerase I inhibition compared to the normal cells because they contain a higher concentration of the enzyme.


## Scheme 6

Topoisomerase I is responsible for the fast growth and reproduction of cancer cells therefore, the affinity of camptothecin for topoisomerase I translates in selective toxicity for tumor cells. Topoisomerase I relaxed supercoiled DNA ahead of active transcription/translation sites (replicating forks), the non-covalent complex of double
stranded DNA and topoisomerase-I, described as the "non cleavable complex," is in rapid kinetic equilibrium with the so-called "cleavable complex," which forms when topoisomerase I generates a transient break in one DNA strand and concomitantly becomes covalently bound to the 3 '-phosphoryl end of the mutilated nucleic acid. The intact DNA strand is allowed to unwind once and to pass through the break site, before topoisomerase I religates the cleaved DNA and regenerates the double stranded configuration. These events conobligatory stage of DNA replication/transcription, as the DNA must be unwound for the cell to express genetic information or to divide.

Camptothecin interferes with the religation by binding to the DNA-enzyme binary complex resulting in a reversible enzyme-camptothecin-DNA ternary complex. Consequently, the advancing DNA polymerases operating in the replicating fork soon "collide" with the stabilized cleavable complex and create an irreparable double-strand break. This causes cell death. The cleavable ternary complex structure is very important for elucidation of the mechanism of action and development of new topoisomerase inhibitors. ${ }^{2,22}$ Lewn et al. ${ }^{23}$ proposed a free radical mechanism as shown in scheme 6.
$\qquad$

### 1.1.7. Structure-activity relationship study of camptothecin

Initially it was reported that the entire planar pentacyclic ring structure (A-E rings) of camptothecins having one chiral center at C20 position is essential for the antitumor activity. Succeeding to the identification of cellular target as Topoisomerase I and mechanism of action, camptothecin became a valuable lead for cancer treatment. Recent structure-activity studies led to identification of number of analogues with improved antitumor activity on modification at different positions. In this section detailed study of SAR is discussed.

The stereochemistry at C-20: The stereochemistry at C-20 is very important for its activity as $20(S)$ hydroxy camptothecin is active while $20(R)$ hydroxy camptothecin is inactive. ${ }^{24}$ Cushman and coworkers ${ }^{25}$ demonstrated that the preferred conformation of camptothecins has the 20 -Et pseudo axial while the $20-\mathrm{OH}$ is pseudo equatorial based on quantum mechanical study.

Modification in A and B rings: In general analogues with substitution on rings A and B especially at C7, C9, C10 and to some extent C11 showed good biological activity with improved physical and pharmacological properties, while analogues with substituent at position C12 were completely inactive. ${ }^{26}$ This is due to the possibility that camptothecin may bind to an enzyme or enzyme-DNA complex on the face proximal to the C11 and particularly to the C 12 region. Hence groups substituted at this position may cause unfavorable steric and stereoelectronic interaction. Substitutents at position C9 and C10 are more distant from this region and hence substitution at this location is favorable. However, a 10, 11-methylenedioxy or ethylenedioxy unit greatly increases activity, while similar substitutions with two methoxy groups at 10 and 11 inactivate completely confirming the requirement of the planarity as the inevitable component for the antitumor activity of camptothecin.

Topotecan 2 and Irinotecan 3 have passed through clinical trials and are now being used for the treatment of solid tumors after the approval of FDA. The camptothecin $N$ oxide 37 showed decreased activity indicating the importance of quinoline nitrogen for biological activity. ${ }^{27}$ Rubitecan 38 serves as a metabolic precursor to 9-amino camptothecin 39 and currently it is in phase III clinical trials for the pancreatic cancer. ${ }^{28}$ Lurtotecan 40 and exatecan mesylate 41 are the most successful derivatives of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ and presently they are in clinical trials for breast, colorectal and small cell
lung cancers. ${ }^{29}$ Hexacyclic camptothecin analogues like 42 exhibited antitumor activity superior to those of pentacyclic ring system, probably due to the increased planarity exerted by an additional ring. ${ }^{30} 7$-Ethyl-10-amino camptothecin ${ }^{31} 43$ shows comparable activity to that of camptothecin (Figure 5).
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## Figure 5

The heteroatomic analogues $45^{26 a}$ and tetrahydrocamptothecin $46^{32}$ show less activity than parent camptothecin. This suggests that the quinoline moiety is essential for biological activity. The DE-310 47 is a prodrug which is especially conjugate and polymer bound camptothecin, they improve the solubility and stability of lactone moiety and its therapeutic efficacy might be on account of reduced toxicity, longer retention time within the body and altered bio-distribution. These approaches have proven to be promising in
preclinical investigation and a plethora of camptothecin-prodrugs is under clinical survey ${ }^{33}$ (Figure 6).
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## Figure 6

Modification in C and D rings: Modification at C and D ring has not shown much success. The C-nor-4, 6-secocamptothecin $48^{34}$ and compounds 49 and 50 with the modifications at C-5 have been reported to result in less or loss of activity, ${ }^{35}$ it might be due to loss of planarity which is essential for enzyme-DNA-camptothecin ternary complex stabilization. The azacamptothecin 51 is the hybrid of Luotonin A 13 and camptothecin 1. It showed promising cytotoxicity due to its shape and planarity. ${ }^{36}$ Reduction of $17-$ carbonyl in 52 leads to loss of its activity. This evidence indicates that the pyridine carbonyl and pyridone ring is essential for receptor binding ${ }^{37}$ (Figure 7).






Figure 7
$\qquad$

Modification in E ring: Structure-activity relationship studies (SARs) pointed out that the ring opened carboxylate form of several camptothecin derivatives have been shown to be significantly less active. ${ }^{38}$ The camptothecin lactone ring undergoes facile hydrolysis and equilibrates with its ring-opened form even at the physiological conditions (Figure 8). ${ }^{39}$ The sodium salt of the carboxylate 53 form of camptothecin was only one tenth active than that of $\mathbf{1}$ when administered intravenously (Figure 8).


## Figure 8

Isocamptothecin $\mathbf{5 4 a} \mathbf{a}^{26 a}$ showed slight activity while isohomocamptothecin $\mathbf{5 4 b}{ }^{40}$ exhibited no activity, replacement of C-20 'OH' group in camptothecin by $\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{H}$, ethyl, hydroxymethyl and allyl moieties $55^{41,26 a}$ showed no activity. Replacement of C-20 ethyl group of camptothecin 56 by allyl, propargyl, benzyl and methoxyethyl shows no marked change in activity while replacement by benzoyl group showed reduced activity suggests that ethyl group in $\mathbf{1}$ can be replaced by an appropriate functionality (Figure 9). ${ }^{41 \text { a }}$


54a $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OH}$
54 b R $=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$

$\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{N}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{H}$,
$\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, allyl


R = allyl, propargyl, benzyl, methoxyethyl, benzoyl

Figure 9
The lactol $57,{ }^{27}$ lactam $58^{37}$ and aminal $59^{38 b}$ (Figure 10) showed no antitumor activity. This indicated that intact lactone ring might be essential for camptothecin's activity. Sugasawa reported that ester 60 showed activity comparable to racemic camptothecin. ${ }^{41 \mathrm{la}}$ The thiolactone $\mathbf{6 1}$ has also been reported with activity, ${ }^{38}$ the phosphate monoester compound $\mathbf{6 2}$ was very toxic, though it was less powerful than camptothecin ${ }^{40 b}$ (Figure 10).







Figure 10
Apart from lactone and lactam E-ring, series of cyclopentyl E-ring analogues like 63, 64 and 65 have been synthesized and evaluated (Figure 11). ${ }^{42}$




## Figure 11

Homocamptothecin: Lavergne et al. ${ }^{43}$ in 1997 reported new analogues with an expanded $\beta$-hydroxy lactone ring called homocamptothecin 66 (Figure 12). The lactone ring of camptothecin opens rapidly and reversibly while lactone ring of homocamptothecin opens very slowly and irreversibly. Therefore homocamptothecin molecules exhibit high plasma stability in the biological system and most importantly they are much more cytotoxic than camptothecin.


Figure 12

Novel analogues of homocamptothecin such as fluorinated homocamptothecin 67 and silylated homocamptothecin $\mathbf{6 8}$ are much more potent than parent homocamptothecin $66 .{ }^{44}$

Thus research of camptothecins exemplifies drug development, which within a few years progressed from a laboratory interest to clinical application. Despite enormous efforts in this area, however, many aspects of the cytotoxycity and antitumor activity of camptothecins remain unclear and demand further continuation of the quest for the identification of the better lead.
$\qquad$

### 1.1.8 Synthesis of Camptothecin: A Literature Survey

Attributable to excellent biological activity and challenging pentacyclic ring structure of camptothecin including a pyrrole $(3,4 \beta)$ quinoline moiety and having one chiral centre within the $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone ring with $20(S)$-configuration (ring-E), many research groups are attracted towards the synthesis of camptothecin ${ }^{45}$ and its analogues. As a result, variety of total syntheses involving inventive adaptations of classical reactions as well as new chemistry inspired by the camptothecin as a target were accomplished and based on their strategic similarities, they are categorized under four groups (Scheme 7).

Major synthetic approaches are roughly classified as A) Broadly applied Friedlander condensation approach. B) C-ring construction approach. C) The cascade radical cyclization approach. D) Various Michael addition approaches and various DielsAlder reaction approaches. Each of these synthetic routes represents either a highly efficient and practical synthesis of camptothecin, a pioneering development of new synthetic methodology, or a unique synthetic approach.


Scheme 7

Among these four strategies, strategies A and B emerged during the "classical era of Camptothecin" while strategies C and D are contemporary and reflect recent advances in synthetic technology.

Since review on synthesis of all categories up to 2007 has been covered by Venkat, ${ }^{46 \mathrm{a}}$ Sivappa, ${ }^{46 \mathrm{~b}}$ Pasupathy ${ }^{46 \mathrm{c}}$ and Pathak ${ }^{46 \mathrm{~d}}$ from this group, synthesis of racemic and optically pure camptothecin reported after 2006 and representative synthesis of each strategy has been described in this present section.

## Stork's Approach

Five years after the isolation of camptothecin, Stork and coworkers ${ }^{47}$ reported the first racemic synthesis of camptothecin.

Scheme 8: Stork et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 4074.




Reagents and conditions: a) o-Amino benzaldehyde, NaOH ; b) $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; c) $50 \% \mathrm{HI}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{HCl} ; ~ d) \mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}$; e) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{PhCH}_{3} ;$ f) $10 \%$ Acetic acid; g) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOAc}$; i) LDA, ethyl 2-((ethoxycarbonyl)oxy)butanoate, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; j) i) Con. HCl ; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, r t, 20 \mathrm{~h}$; k) $A c_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine; l) $D D Q, 1,4-$ dioxane; m) $0.1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH;} \mathrm{n)} \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; o) dil HCl .

Thus the base catalyzed Friedlander condensation of pyrrolidine $\mathbf{6 9}$ with 2-amino benzaldehyde afforded the tricyclic quinoline ester 70. The amino-ester resulting from the hydrolysis of $\mathbf{7 0}$ followed by protection with ethyl malonyl chloride furnished the diester
$\qquad$
amide 71. The tetracyclic $\beta$-keto ester obtained by the intramolecular Dieckmann condensation of 71, was subjected to decarboxylation, reduction and elimination to give the desired dihydropyridone 73. The unsaturated lactam underwent smooth intermolecular Michael addition efficiently at low temperature to afford the crucial pentacyclic lactone 74. Finally, hydrolysis of the ethyl ester of $\mathbf{7 4}$ followed by reduction and protection gave the lactol 75, which upon oxidation with DDQ gave pyridone 76. Hydrolysis, reduction followed by lactonization gave the $( \pm)$-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$. The synthesis was achieved in 15 steps with an overall yield $1-2 \%$ employing Friedlander condensation for the AB-ring construction, intramolecular Dieckmann condensation for the D-ring construction and intermolecular Michael addition for the construction of E-ring as key steps.

## Shamma's Approach

Shamma et al. ${ }^{48}$ reported the synthesis of camptothecin via tricyclic lactone 84. An intramolecular aldol condensation has been employed for the construction of pyridone ring.
Scheme 9: Shamma et al. Tetrahedron, 1973, 29, 1949.





Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; b) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; c) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$; d) $\mathrm{NaCH}\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2}$; e) HCl ; f) $\mathrm{HO}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}^{+}$; g) KOH , MeOH ; h) $\mathrm{ClCOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}$;
$\qquad$
i) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; j) $\mathrm{NaOEt}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; k) DDQ ; l) NaOEt, $\left(\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Et}\right)_{2}$; m) EtOH, $\mathrm{H}^{+}$; n) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; o) $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$; p) $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$; q) Oxalic acid, aq EtOH; r) o-Amino benzaldehyde, base; s) EtI, Base; t) $\mathrm{CuCl}, \mathrm{O}_{2}$.

The pyrrolidinone 69 was protected as its ethylene glycol acetal. Hydrolysis of ester gave the corresponding acid 77 in $91 \%$ yield. Acid was converted to methyl ketone by a 3 -step sequence of acid chloride formation, condensation with diethyl malonate sodium salt followed by hydrolytic decarboxylation. The carbonyl group was protected as 1, 3-dioxolane using ethylene glycol. The deprotection of urethane under basic conditions furnished amine which on condensation with carbethoxy acetyl chloride furnished amide 78 in $91 \%$ yield. Deprotection to methylketone 79 was effected using acetic acid at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Aldol condensation using sodium ethoxide furnished dihydropyridone $\mathbf{8 0}$ in $83 \%$ yields. Oxidation to pyridone using DDQ followed by condensation with diethylmalonate and esterification furnished pyridone 81 in $65 \%$ yield. Sodium borohydride reduction followed by periodate oxidation provided lactol 82. Oxidation to lactol 82 using $\mathrm{Pt} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and deprotection of acetal using oxalic acid gave ketone 84 in $57 \%$ yield. Friedlander condensation with $o$-amino benzaldehyde gave lactone 85 which on ethylation and oxidation furnished ( $\pm$ )-1.

## Henegar's Approach

Heneger and coworkers ${ }^{49}$ developed a practical synthesis of camptothecin via tricyclic synthon $\mathbf{9 7}$ starting from readily available citrazinic acid $\mathbf{8 6}$.

Synthesis started from citrazinic acid $\mathbf{8 6}$ which was converted to dichlorocitrazinic acid by treatment with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, which was in turn reacted with ethyl magnesium bromide to obtain ethylketone 87. Keto protection of $\mathbf{8 7}$ with ethylene glycol to afford $\mathbf{8 8}$, followed by dissymetrization of the acetal using NaOMe afforded 89. Ortholithiation, followed by treatment with DMF afforded aldehyde $\mathbf{9 0}$. Reduction of aldehyde $\mathbf{9 0}$ with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and the protection of thus formed alcohol with benzyl bromide afforded benzyl ether 91. Benzyl ether 91 was carbonylated by reaction with $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, ~ D P P P A, ~ 1-p r o p a n o l ~ a n d ~$ potassium acetate in DMF to afford 92. Compound 92 was deprotected to ketone using TFA and olefinated with phosphorane to afford olefin 93. Dihydroxylation of olefin 93 gave racemic diol, which was resolved by acetylation with isopropenyl acetate/amino PS309 lipase immobilized on celite to afford 94. $S$-Diol 94 thus obtained in $99 \%$ ee was converted to compound 95 in four high yielding steps. 1) Oxidation of primary - OH to
aldehyde with $\mathrm{NaOCl} / \mathrm{TEMPO}$, 2) hydrogenolysis of benzyl ether to lactol with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, 3$ ) TEMPO oxidation of lactol to lactone, 4) Deprotection of $O$-methoxy group of methoxy lactone with TMSI.

Scheme 10: Henegars et al. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6588.

$\qquad$

Annulation of cyclopentanone ring was done using $t$-butyl acrylate and cesium carbonate to afford 96 and decarboxylation of $\mathbf{9 6}$ with TFA afforded $\mathbf{9 7}$, tricyclic synthon in $99.6 \%$ $e e$ ( 18 steps from 86 and $6.4 \%$ overall yield).

## Comins Approach

Comins and coworkers ${ }^{50}$ reported a short (six steps) synthesis of ( $S$ )-camptothecin starting from two commercially available heterocycles.

Scheme 11: Comins et al. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 4255.


Reagents and conditions: a) i) MesLi; ii) $N$-Formyl- $N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$ 'trimethylethylenediamine; iii) $n$-BuLi; b) i) $I_{2}$; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} . \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$, (one pot), $46 \%$; c) $\mathrm{TMSCl} / \mathrm{NaI}$, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) n, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, $87 \%$; d) $n$ - $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCOOR}^{*}$; e) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}, 60 \%$; f) $t$-BuOK, 105, DME, $\Delta$, $81 \%$; g) $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{KOAc}^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 64 \%$.

Thus, commercially available 2-methoxypyridine $\mathbf{9 8}$ was lithiated at C-3 with mesityllithium and treated with $N$-formyl- $N, N^{\prime}, N^{\prime}$-trimethylethylenediamine to afford $\alpha$-amino alkoxide. Addition of $n$-BuLi effected $\alpha$-amino alkoxide directed lithiation ${ }^{51}$ at C 4 to give the dianion 99, which was treated with iodine and worked up with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{CeCl}_{3}$ to obtain alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ in one pot process. Alcohol $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ was converted directly to dioxane
$\qquad$

101 on treatment with $\mathrm{NaI} / \mathrm{TMSCl} /$ paraformaldehyde, which upon successive treatment with $n$-BuLi followed by the addition of ketoester gave alkoxide 102 in situ. Addition of $\mathrm{HCl} / \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{PrOH}$ effected protonation, acetal hydrolysis and lactonization to afford the desired DE ring intermediate 103. Commercially available 2 -chloroquinoline carboxaldehyde was converted to iodide 105. ${ }^{52}$ The two fragments $\mathbf{1 0 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 0 5}$ were joined by treatment with $t$-BuOK in DME to provide compound 104 and the final C-ring was closed using modified Heck reaction conditions i.e. $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(15 \%)$, and KOAc in acetonitrile.

Authors have achieved the shortest asymmetric synthesis of camptothecin to date, involving a commercially available material which makes it a practical and amenable to the large-scale synthesis.

## Curran's Approach

Curran et al. ${ }^{53}$ reported the total synthesis of camptothecin employing novel $4+1$ radical methodology for construction of quinoline part of camptothecin.
Scheme 12: Curran et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1996, 34, 2683.


Reagents and conditions: a) (E)-But-2-en-1-ol, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{SiH}$, TFA ; b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}$; c) i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4},(\mathrm{DHQD})_{2}$.Pyr; ii) $I_{2}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, 85 \%$; d) $\mathrm{ICl}, 47 \%$; e) aq. $\mathrm{HI}, 72 \%$; f) Propargyl bromide, NaH, LiBr, DMF, 88\%; g) Phenyl isonitrile, hexamethyldistannane, benzene, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, h \mathrm{v}, 99 \%$ ee.

Synthesis started from pyridine 106 which was converted to iodo aldehyde 107 by using Comins conditions. ${ }^{51}$ Triethyl silyl hydride reduction of 107 in presence of crotyl alcohol gave the crotyl ether $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ in $\mathbf{6 3 \%}$ yield. Intramolecular Heck reaction of $\mathbf{1 0 8}$ using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ afforded enol ether 109 in $69 \%$ yield. Asymmetric dihydroxylation
under Sharpless conditions followed by oxidation using $\mathrm{I}_{2} /$ calcium carbonate provided lactone $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ in $85 \%$ yield. Treatment with ICl converted $\mathbf{1 1 0}$ into the iodo compound $\mathbf{1 1 1}$. Conversion to pyridone $\mathbf{1 1 2}$ was effected using either TMSI or aq. HI. $N$-Propargylation of 112 gave pyridone 113. Radical annulations using phenyl isocyanide and bistrimethyl tin gave camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ in optically pure form.

## Chavan's ${ }^{\text {st }}$ Approach

Chavan et al. ${ }^{54}$ reported a practical and efficient synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin from glycine via an intramolecular Michael addition for the construction of D-ring.

Scheme 13: Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1998, 39, 6745.


Reagents and conditions: a) Benzaldehyde, $E t_{3} N$, molecular sieves, $D C M, 1$ h, $98 \%$; b) Allyl bromide, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; c) i) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; d) i) NaH, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, ethyl acrylate, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; e) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$, reflux, 4 h ; f) N -(o-Amino benzylidine)p-toluidine, PTSA, toluene, reflux, 6 h , $72 \%$; g) i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, dioxane, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4$ h; ii) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \mathrm{C}(E t) \mathrm{CO}_{2} E t, D C M, r t, 5$ h, $83 \%$; h) i) TMSCl/NaI, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) Ethyl malonyl chloride, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$; i)
$\qquad$

NaH, THF, rt, 0.5 h, 92\%; j) DDQ, dioxane, reflux, 1 h, 78\%; k) i) DIBAL-H, THF, -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; l) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, dimethyl amine, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 20 \mathrm{~h}$.

Synthesis began from hydrochloride salt of ethyl ester of glycine 114. This was converted into its Schiff's base 115 and it was alkylated with allyl bromide under PTC condition to give 116. The imine $\mathbf{1 1 6}$ was hydrolysed and protection of the resulting amine with CbzCl yielded the urethane 117 in high yield. A tandem Michael-Dieckmann condensation furnished $\beta$-keto ester 118 which on subsequent decarboxylation gave the pyrrolidinone 119. The pyrrolidinone 119 underwent modified Friedlander condensation with Schiff's base to furnish the tricyclic carbamate 120. Oxidative cleavage of the double bond in 120 furnished aldehyde which was subjected to Wittig olefination with phosphonium salt to furnish the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ester 121. Deprotection of carbamate of 121 was carried out employing Olah's protocol. The resulted free amine was reacted with ethyl malonyl chloride to afford the key amide 122. The intramolecular Michael addition was carried on 122 using NaH to deliver tetrahydropyridone 123. The tetrahydropyridone 123 was oxidized using DDQ to provide pyridone 124. The regioselective reduction of the aromatic ester in 124 using DIBAL-H furnished the aldehyde, which on reduction using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ underwent lactonization to give 20-deoxycamptothecin 125. The hydroxylation of 125 was done employing Danishefsky's protocol, ${ }^{55}$ utilizing oxygen as oxidant to give ( $\pm$ )-1.

## Hiroya's Approach

Hiroya et al. ${ }^{56}$ reported the synthesis of DE ring system of (20S)-camptothecin from commercially available nicotinic acid in six steps utilizing the nucleophilic addition reaction of the silyl ketene acetal to the pyridinone ring as a key step.

The synthesis started from pyridone 126 wherein the reaction between 126 and silyl ketene acetal 127 resulted in two products, 128 and desired compound 129. Treatment of $\mathbf{1 2 9}$ with NaH and $\mathrm{CuBr}_{2}$ resulted in formation of pyridone 130. Ethylation was carried out using LiHMDS as the base to give alkylated product 131 in $71 \%$ yield. The reduction of aromatic benzyloxy carbonyl group to alcohol and in situ lactonization was accomplished using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} / \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to afford lactone 132. Asymmetric hydroxylation of $\mathbf{1 3 2}$ was achieved using KHMDS as a base and $N$-sulfonyloxaziridine 134 as the reagent to furnish hydroxy compound 133 in $84 \%$ yield. Finally the
debenzylation was successfully carried out by hydrogenation using $\operatorname{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}$ to provide (+)-103 in 77\% yield and 95\% ee.

Scheme 14: Hiroya et al. Synlett 2006, 16, 2636.


Reagents and conditions: a) Enol ether 127, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{AlCl},-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}$, (128+129) $80 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{CuBr} 2, \mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{DMSO}(1: 1), 0-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 28 \mathrm{~h}, 56 \%$; c) LiHMDS, EtI, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $11 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$; d) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CeCl}_{3} .7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~h}$, then $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; e) KHMDS, N-sulfonyloxaziridines, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$.

Thus starting from commercially available pyridone, synthesis of optically active DE synthon was accomplished in six steps but the problem of regioselective addition of the ketene silyl acetal remained unresolved.

## Yao's approach

Yao and coworkers ${ }^{57}$ disclosed short and elegant synthesis of camptothecin utilizing domino reaction and Sharpless dihydroxylation in eight steps starting from known chloropyridine derivative 135.
$\qquad$

Scheme 15: Yao et al. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2003.




Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ dppf ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), CO (120 psi), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, $97 \%$; b) TMSCl, NaI, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), $5 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; c) Propargyl bromide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{LiBr}$, $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NBr}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (cat.), toluene, $70 \%$; d) $\mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1), 94 \%$; e) (COCl) $)_{2}$, aniline, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 96 \%$; f) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{PO}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 1.5 equiv), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 96 \%$; g) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ (cat.), (DHQD) ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; h) $I_{2}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$ (two steps), $95 \%$ ee.

The carbonylation on 135 was accomplished employing $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) \mathrm{dppf}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in methanol under the CO atmosphere at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish methyl ester 136 in excellent yield. Selective $O$-demethylation of 136 using TMSI in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ afforded pyridone 137 in $96 \%$ yield. $N$-Propargylation of 137 was accomplished with propargyl bromide and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ using PTC and LiBr in toluene to result in the formation of N alkylated pyridone $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ in $70 \%$ yield. The basic hydrolysis of ester $\mathbf{1 3 8}$ afforded corresponding acid 139 and subsequently the resulting acid was converted into its amide 140 in $90 \%$ yield via acid chloride. The intramolecular hetero-Diels-Alder reaction was successfully carried out by treating with bis(triphenyl)oxodiphosponium trifluromethanesulfonate at room temperature to result in the formation of known ${ }^{58}$ quinoline enol ether 141 in excellent yield. Finally the Sharpless asymmetric
$\qquad$
dihydroxylation followed by oxidation was accomplished to furnish (+)-1 in $83 \%$ yield and $95 \%$ ee.

## Chavan's $4^{\text {th }}$ approach

Chavan et al. ${ }^{59}$ reported their fourth approach to camptothecin via DE ring of camptothecin employing an addition elimination reaction and selective esterification of an aliphatic carboxylic acid as key steps.
Scheme 16: Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 46, 6561.


Reagents and conditions : a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, reflux, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 97 \%$; b) NaH (1.2 equiv), diethyl malonate ( 1.2 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}, r t$, overnight, $85 \%$; c) $D D Q$ ( 1.2 equiv), anhydrous 1,4-dioxane, reflux, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), ethyl iodide (1.2 equiv), anhydrous acetone, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; e) LiOH (5.0 equiv), EtOH, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; f) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), MeOH, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; g) i) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.0 equiv), methyl chloroformate (1.0 equiv), anhydrous THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}\left(4.0\right.$ equiv), $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, $84 \%$; h) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (4.0 equiv), $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; i) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 5 h, $62 \%$; j) Ref. 50.
$\qquad$

Accordingly, the $\beta$-ketoester 142 was prepared as per literature procedure ${ }^{60}$ and treatment of 142 with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in DCM furnished 4-chloro dihydropyridone 143. Additionelimination reaction of diethyl malonate was accomplished on 143 using NaH in benzene to furnish triester dihydropyridone 144. The aromatization of dihydropyridone 144 using DDQ in refluxing 1,4-dioxane furnished pyridone 145 in $96 \%$ yield. The triester 145 was treated with ethyl iodide in the presence of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ to afford alkylated product 146 in $91 \%$ yield. The global hydrolysis of esters followed by monodecarboxylation of aliphatic acid was achieved in one pot using excess lithium hydroxide at room temperature to furnish diacid 147 in good yield. The selective esterification of aliphatic acid in presence of heteroaromatic acid was accomplished using nickel chloride as a catalyst to deliver compound 148 in good yield. Compound 148 was subjected to the treatment with methyl chloroformate in THF at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish mixed anhydride intermediate whose subsequent reduction to alcohol using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ resulted in lactonization to furnish lactone 132 in $84 \%$ yield. The hydroxylation was carried out using $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ and catalytic amount of dimethyl amine under oxygen atmosphere to furnish $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 133 in $92 \%$ yield. Finally the N -debenzylation was successfully carried out employing catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish desired DE-ring synthon 103 in $62 \%$ yield. This is the common intermediate in Comins synthesis which could be converted to ( $\pm$ )camptothecin 1 by a two-step sequence i.e. coupling of pyridone with quinoline nucleus and intramolecular Heck reaction.

## Chavan's $5^{\text {th }}$ approach

Chavan et al. ${ }^{61}$ reported their fifth approach towards camptothecin employing novel cascade intramolecular Pd-catalyzed cyclization followed by aromatization for the construction of D ring of $(+)$-camptothecin as key steps.

Thus the pyrrolidinone 119 was synthesised from glycinate salt 114. The pyrrolidinone 119 underwent modified Friedlander condensation with Schiff base to furnish the tricyclic carbamate 120. Deprotection of carbamate of $\mathbf{1 2 0}$ was carried out employing Olah's protocol. The resultant free amine was reacted with ethyl malonyl chloride to afford the key amide 149. The compound 149 was treated with catalytic amounts of $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ and 2.1 equivalent $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) at $95{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish the compound 150 in $54 \%$ yield.

Scheme 17: Chavan et al. Synlett 2007, 17, 3635.






Reagents and conditions: a) N-(o-Aminobenzylidine)-p-toluidine (1.2 equiv), PTSA (cat.), anhydrous toluene, azeotropic distillation, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; b) i) KOH ( 14.0 equiv), EtOH, reflux, 8 h; ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (1.2 equiv), ethyl malonyl chloride ( 1.2 equiv), anhyd $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$ over two steps; c) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (2.1 equiv), $\mathrm{DMF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $6 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%$; d) LDA (1.1 equiv), diethyl carbonate (1.0 equiv), THF, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; e) NaH (1.1 equiv), EtI (1.1 equiv), anhydrous DME, $\left.0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 3-4 ~ h, ~ 64 \% ; ~ f\right) ~ D I B A L-H ~(3.0 ~$ equiv), dry THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 83 \%$; g) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2.0 equiv), THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (5:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $90 \%$; h) MsCl (4.0 equiv), $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (8.0 equiv), anhydrous THF, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; i) (DHQD) $2_{2}$ PYR (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h} ;$ j) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, 24 h, 33\% over two steps.

The compound 150 was treated with diethyl carbonate using LDA in THF at -78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the compound 151 in $70 \%$ yield. The treatment of compound 151 with ethyl iodide using NaH in THF furnished diester 123 in $64 \%$ yield. The selective reduction of aromatic ester 123 to aldehyde 152 in $83 \%$ yield was achieved using DIBAL-H. The
aldehyde 152 was further reduced to lactol 153 in $90 \%$ yield. The lactol 153 was transformed into enol ether 139 via $O$-mesylation followed by elimination. Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation on $\mathbf{1 3 9}$ followed by oxidation furnished (+)-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$.

## Kanazawa's Approach

Kanazawa and coworkers ${ }^{62}$ reported novel approach for synthesis of camptothecin employing [3+2] cycloaddition of an isomünchnone intermediate to construct the D and E rings of camptothecin.

Scheme 18: Kanazawa et al. Synlett, 2008, 15, 2275.



Reagents and conditions: a) LiHMDS, THF, then ethyl diazomalonyl chloride, 68\%; b) [Rh(OAc) $)_{2}$, benzyl vinyl ether, benzene, $91 \%$; c) $D B U, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 94 \%$; d) i) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$, $\mathrm{EtOH} 88 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{Tf}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, pyridine, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}, ~ 96 \%$; e) $\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{CHB}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \quad \mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$, aq. $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, toluene $89 \%$; f) i) DIBAL- $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; ii) TBDMSCl, imidazole, $\mathrm{DMF}, 89$; g) i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 99 \%$; ii) $\mathrm{MeCHN}_{2}$, $\left.\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} ; \mathrm{h}\right) \mathrm{Gd}(\mathrm{Oi}-\mathrm{Pr})_{3}\left(10 \mathrm{~mol} \%\right.$ ), 164 (20 mol\%), TMSCN (3 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20$
$\qquad$
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 60 \mathrm{~h}, 66 \%$; i) Chiral HPLC separation, then for (-)-158 [ $\rightarrow$ (+)-1]: 2 M HCl in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}(92 \%)$; for (+)-158 ( $\rightarrow \mathbf{1 5 7}$ ): TBAF (1 equiv), THF, $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(92 \%)$.

Thus they started their synthesis from lactam 154. Acylation of lactam 154 with ethyl 2- diazomalonyl chloride using LHMDS in THF afforded diazoester 155 in 68\% yield. Exposure of the diazoester 155 to excess benzyl vinyl ether in the presence of a catalytic amount of rhodium acetate in refluxing benzene afforded the bridged ether 156 via [3+2]-cycloaddition, which suffered ring opening to generate 157. Tetracycle 157 underwent base mediated dehydration to afford pyridone 158. Pyridone 158 was debenzylated by hydrogenolysis and the derived hydroxy pyridone was transformed into its triflate 159. Suzuki coupling of $\mathbf{1 5 9}$ with phenylvinylboronic acid in the presence of tetrakis(triphenylphosphine) palladium and sodium carbonate furnished the styrene derivative $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ in $89 \%$ yield. The ester functionality of $\mathbf{1 6 0}$ was selectively reduced to produce the corresponding hydroxymethyl derivative, which was protected as the TBSether 161. Oxidative cleavage of the styryl group afforded aldehyde, which was efficiently transformed into ethyl ketone 162 by treatment with diazoethane. Introduction of the $20(S)$ stereocenter was addressed through Shibasaki's methodology. ${ }^{63}$ Thus, ketone 162 was subjected to $S$-enantioselective cyanosilylation with TMSCN in the presence of the Gd complex generated from glucose-derived 164, which gave a $2: 1$ mixture of (-)-163 and $(+)-163$ in $66 \%$ yield. The major enantiomer (-)-163 was converted into natural camptothecin by an intramolecular Pinner reaction on treatment with HCl in hot ethanol.

## Yao's Approach

Very recently, Yao and coworkers ${ }^{64}$ disclosed expeditious total synthesis of camptothecin utilizing cascade reaction consisting pyrrolidine-catalysed Michael addition and an intramolecular aldol condensation cascade reaction for construction of $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ ring of camptothecin 1.

Thus they started synthesis from 2-aminobenzaldehydes 165 which in the presence of catalytic amounts of pyrrolidine and benzoic acid reacted with aldehyde $\mathbf{1 6 6}$ to afford two inseparable products. Mixture was treated with the $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ to afford quinoline 167. Oximation of the aldehydes 167 with $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$, removal of the acetate with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol followed by hydrogenation of oxime in methanol with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ provided the corresponding benzylamines 168. Parallel acylation of amines 168 with acryloyl chloride or 3-ethoxyacryloyl chloride in DMF yielded the corresponding acrylamide 169. $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}{ }^{-}$ based oxidation of quinolin-2-ylmethanols $\mathbf{1 6 9}$ was mildly carried out in dichloromethane
to afford aminal derivatives 170. Acetylation of aminals $\mathbf{1 7 0}$ followed by treatment with enol silyl ether $\mathbf{1 7 1}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished the substrates 172.

Scheme 19: Yao et al. Org. Lett. 2008, 9, 5393.





Reagents and conditions: a) i) Pyrrolidine (cat), benzoic acid, DCM, silica gel, rt.; ii) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, \mathrm{DCM}, 75 \%$; b) i) $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NOH}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{EtOH}$; ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$; iii) $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, $79 \%$, (over three steps); c) 3-Ethoxyacryloyl chloride, DMF, $85 \%$; d) $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}, D C M, 86 \%$; e) i) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, ~ D M A P, D C M$; ii) Silyl ether 171, $B F_{3} \cdot E t_{2} \mathrm{O}, D C M,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 72 \%$; f) Mesitylene, $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sealed tube, $83 \%$; g) i) $D D Q$, cat. AcOH, 1,4-dioxane; ii) $E t_{3} S i H$, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}, 76 \%$; h) i) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}$ (cat.), (DHQD) ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$,
$\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{t}$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) $\mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 83\% (two steps), 99\% ee.

Thermal cycloaddition ${ }^{65}$ of the modified substrate $\mathbf{1 7 2}$ proceeded smoothly, providing two separable diastereomers ( $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 4}, \mathbf{3 : 1}$ ) in an excellent yield. The mixture of $\mathbf{1 7 3}$ and $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ was treated with DDQ and a catalytic amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COOH}$ in 1,4-dioxane followed by reductive removal of the ethoxy group to afford camptothecin precursor 139 in $76 \%$ yield. Further oxidative transformation with Sharpless asymmemetric dihydroxylation followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ based hemiacetal oxidation successfully converted the cylic enol-ethers 139 to (+)-camptothecin 1.
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## Chapter 1. Synthetic study towards camptothecin

## Attempted synthesis of camptothecin employing reductive-amination as key step

### 1.2.1 Summary

The present section deals with the attempted synthesis of camptothecin employing intramolecular tandem reductive amination and cyclization as key steps. Four advanced intermediates for synthesis of camptothecin were prepared.

### 1.2.2 Introduction

In light of the remarkable biological activity and intriguing mode of action reported for camptothecin ${ }^{1} \mathbf{1}$, this group is involved in the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues ${ }^{2}$ by using different synthetic routes particularly for the construction of pyridone (D-ring) of camptothecin. Considering the pharmaceutical importance of camptothecin and low availability from natural sources, till date numerous imaginative syntheses ${ }^{3,4}$ have been disclosed by different research groups. This section describes attempted syntheses towards camptothecin 1. Different intermediates for elaboration towards camptothecin were synthesized employing "aldol" reaction and Wittig-Horner olefination as the key steps in the synthesis.

### 1.2.3 Present work

Retrosynthetic analysis of camptothecin 1, shown in Scheme 1, revealed that, camptothecin 1 can be obtained from hydroxypyridone 2. Pyridone 2, in turn could be obtained from $\alpha$-hydroxy- $\beta$-ketoester 3 by employing intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation. $\alpha$-Hydroxy- $\beta$-ketoester 3 could be realized from compound 4 via $N$ debenzylation followed by condensation.


Scheme 1

Compound 4 could be achieved from aldehyde 5 by employing tandem reductive amination and cyclisation. The key intermediate 5 could be obtained from aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ and hydroxy compound $\mathbf{7}$ by employing "aldol" condensation (Scheme 1).

### 1.2.4 Results and discussion

Accordingly, required quinoline aldehyde 6 was prepared from commercially available acetanilide $\mathbf{8}$ using literature ${ }^{5}$ procedure (Scheme 2). Acetanilide $\mathbf{8}$ on Vilsmeier-Haack reaction gave the 2-chloro-3-formyl quinoline 9. Chlorine atom was replaced with iodine using sodium iodide and HCl (cat.) in acetonitrile at reflux temperature for 5 h to furnish iodoquinoline 10. To avoid further functional group complications, the formyl group of the iodoaldehyde $\mathbf{1 0}$ was protected as its cyclic acetal 11 by treating with ethylene glycol in presence of $p$-TSA (cat.) with azeotropic removal of water. Formylation of $\mathbf{1 1}$ was carried out by treating it with $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ to furnish lithio derivative which was quenched with DMF to afford 2-formyl quinoline 6 in $58 \%$ yield. The aldehyde 6 was used for the "aldol" and Wittig-Horner reaction. All the compounds from 9 to $\mathbf{6}$ were characterized by spectroscopic methods. Spectroscopic data was in good agreement with the one reported in literature ${ }^{5}$ (Scheme 2).



Scheme 2: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, 75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 16 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NaI}, \mathrm{HCl}$ (cat), acetonitrile, reflux, 6 h, 95\%; c) Ethylene glycol, benzene, p-TSA, reflux, 7 h, 90\%; d) $n$-BuLi, DMF, dry $E t_{2} \mathrm{O},-70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 58 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 6 showed a singlet at $\delta 10.37$ integrating for one proton which was attributed to aldehydic proton. The singlet that appeared at $\delta 6.73$ integrating for one proton and singlet at $\delta 4.16$ integrating for four protons were assigned
to acetal protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ showed peak at $\delta 194.1$ confirming the presence of aldehyde. The rest of proton and carbon peaks resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of 6 showed the $m / z$ peak at $230(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Having aldehyde 6 in hand, next task was to synthesise coupling partner 7. The compound 7 was synthesized from commercially available ethyl acetoacetate 12. Ethyl acetoacetate on monoalkylation using ethyl iodide, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in acetone afforded alkylated compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $70 \%$ yield. Compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ on hydroxylation ${ }^{6}$ using cobalt chloride and isopropanol in acetonitrile under oxygen atmosphere afforded hydroxy compound 7 in $65 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, ethyl iodide, acetone, reflux, 4 h, $70 \%$; b) $\mathrm{CoCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, isopropanol, $\mathrm{O}_{2}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$.

IR spectrum of 7 showed strong absorptions at 3450,1740 and $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of hydroxy, ester and keto functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 showed disappearance of triplet due to proton $\alpha$ to both carbonyl groups. The two triplets that appeared at $\delta 0.88\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $1.33\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ integrating for three protons each were assigned to methyl group protons. The multiplet at $\delta$ 1.60-1.82 ($\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and quartet at $\delta 4.11\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ integrating for two protons each were assigned to methylene group protons. The singlet at $\delta 2.00$ integrating for three protons was assigned to methyl ( $\left.\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{CO}}-\right)$ protons. Finally, the mass spectrum of 7 showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $175(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$thus confirming the structure 7.

Having the hydroxy compound 7 in hand, it was subjected to "aldol" condensation with aldehyde 6 using excess of LDA in anhydrous THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish the aldol product 14 in 20\% yield (Scheme 4). IR spectrum of 14 showed absorptions at 3390, 1737 and $1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of hydroxy, ester and keto functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed disappearance of peak due to aldehydic proton and appearance of the new peaks at $\delta 8.03$ and 8.36 as doublets integrating for one proton each having coupling constant 15.1 Hz thus confirming the condensation. The two
triplets that appeared at $\delta 0.95$ and 1.32 integrating for three protons each were assigned to methyl protons. The two multiplets at $\delta 2.10-2.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta 4.10-4.25\left(-\mathrm{OCH}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ ) integrating for two and four protons were assigned to methylene protons next to chiral center and acetal methylene protons respectively. The quartet at $\delta 4.29$ integrating for two protons was assigned to ester methylene protons. The singlet that appeared at $\delta$ 6.19 integrating for one proton was assigned to acetal proton, while the aromatic protons resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.


Scheme 4: Reagents and conditions: a) $L D A, T H F,-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 20 \%$.

Though intermediate 14 was synthesized in short sequence, the yield of reaction was poor. Low yield of reaction can be attributed to the free hydroxy group. Attempts were made to protect hydroxy group however the desired transformation could not be achieved.

An attempt for the protection of alcohol 7 to the corresponding benzyl derivative with NaH and benzyl bromide in THF as well as DMF resulted in the formation of unidentified products. Also, when hydroxy compound 7 was treated with diisopropyl ethylamine and MOM chloride in anhydrous THF, instead of the required compound 15, starting material was recovered (Scheme 5).


Scheme 5: Reagents and conditions: a) Diisopropyl ethylamine, THF, MOMCl, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$.

Having failed to improve the yield of aldol reaction by several trials, retrosynthetic plan to synthesize the required aldehyde 5 was changed. It was presumed that the compound 5 can be obtained by Heck reaction ${ }^{7}$ (Scheme 6) between iodoaldehyde 10 and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 16.


## Scheme 6

Having this idea in mind, it was thought to synthesize $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 16 from hydroxy compound 7. Accordingly, attempt was made by reacting hydroxy compound 7 with formaldehyde using different bases but none of the reactions led to expected product 16 (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, formaldehyde, THF, rt-reflux; b) Formaldehyde, diethylamine hydrochloride, hydroquinone, water, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Having failed in synthesis of aldehyde 5 and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 16, it was thought to utilize Wittig-Horner reaction for the synthesis of required aldehyde 5 (Scheme $8)$.


## Scheme 8

With this idea in mind, the next synthetic target was to synthesize phosphonate derivative 17, for which commercially available cis-2-butene-1,4-diol 18 was identified as the starting material. Accordingly cis-2-butene-1,4-diol $\mathbf{1 8}$ was protected as its di-PMB ether 19 using PMB chloride and NaH in DMF at room temperature in quantitative yield. Di-PMB ether 19 was subjected to oxidative cleavage of olefin with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ in presence of sodium metaperiodate in acetone $/ \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1) to afford aldehyde 20 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 9). Aldehyde 20 was characterized by using spectroscopic techniques. IR spectrum of 20 showed strong absorption bands at 2730 and $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which indicated the presence of aldehyde. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to olefinic protons and a new singlet appeared at $\delta 9.70$ integrating for one proton and was assigned to aldehydic proton. The singlet at $\delta 3.82$ integrating for three protons was assigned to methoxy group protons. The singlets at $\delta 4.08$ and 4.57 integrating for two protons each were assigned to benzyl and methylene protons $\alpha$ to carbonyl group. The two doublets that appeared at $\delta 6.90$ and 7.30 integrating for two protons each having coupling constant 8.2 Hz were assigned to aromatic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 20 revealed the presence of aldehyde carbonyl carbon by resonance at $\delta 200.4$, while rest of carbons associated with structure resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 20 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $181(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $203(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Aldehyde 20 was subjected to four-carbon homologation by Wittig olefination ${ }^{8}$ with the stable ylide 22 in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature to provide the $\alpha, \beta$ - unsaturated ester 21 in satisfactory yield (Scheme 9). IR spectrum of 21 showed a strong absorption band at $1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester carbonyl.
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Scheme 9: Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, PMB-chloride, $D M F, r t, 4$ h, quant.; b) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, acetone-water (3:1), $3 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; c) 22, DCM, rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 displayed the absence of peak corresponding to aldehydic proton. Triplets that appeared at $\delta 1.00$ and 1.30 integrating for three protons each were assigned to $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ methyl group protons. The quartet at $\delta 2.28$ integrating for two protons was assigned to $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ methylene protons. The triplet that appeared at $\delta 6.83$ integrating for one proton was assigned to olefinic proton, while rest of protons resonated at expected positions. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 21 revealed the presence of three methyl group carbons and four methylene carbons. The mass spectrum of compound 21 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $279(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $301(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Removal of $p$-methoxybenzyl ether in $\mathbf{2 1}$ was effected with DDQ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the allyl alcohol 23 in $94 \%$ yield (Scheme 10). IR spectrum of 23 showed a strong absorption band at $3350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of alcohol functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 23 showed disappearance of signals due to the methyl ether and related aromatic peaks of $-P M B$ ether clearly indicating the occurrence of the transformation. The doublet that appeared at $\delta 4.36(J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ was attributed to methylene protons adjacent to hydroxy group. The two triplets at $\delta 1.01$ and 1.30 and two quartets at $\delta 2.29$ and 4.20 integrating for three and two protons each were assigned to ethyl group. The signal that appeared at $\delta 6.78$ as a triplet integrating for one proton was assigned to olefinic proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 23 revealed disappearance of signal due to the methyl ether and related aromatic peaks of $-P M B$ ether and presence of two methyl group carbons and three methylene carbons. The mass spectrum of compound 23 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $159(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $181(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.


Scheme 10: Reagents and conditions: a) $D D Q, D C M-H_{2} O$ (9:1), 3 h, $94 \%$; b) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, diethyl ether, 2 h, $96 \%$.

Having hydroxy compound 23 in hand, next task was to replace hydroxy with bromide. An attempt for the conversion of alcohol 23 to the corresponding bromo derivative $\mathbf{2 4}$ with $\mathrm{TPP} / \mathrm{CBr}_{4}{ }^{9}$ resulted in the formation of unidentified products. However, the conversion was smoothly achieved using $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}{ }^{10}$ in diethyl ether at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Scheme 10). The compound thus obtained showed characteristic change in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectral pattern. The methylene protons $\left(\mathrm{BrCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$ were shielded and resonated upfield at $\delta 4.03$ (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ) thereby confirming the transformation, while rest of the protons and all carbons resonated at expected positions. Finally, the mass spectrum of compound 24 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at 222 and $224(1: 1)(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Michaelis-Arbuzov ${ }^{11}$ reaction of the resultant bromo compound 24 with $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{3}$ at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ afforded the phosphonate 25 (Scheme 11), whose structure was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques.


Scheme 11: Reagents and conditions: a) Triethyl phosphite, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$, quant.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 5}$ showed the active methylene protons which appeared as a doublet of doublet at $\delta 2.74(J=8.2$ and $23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The methylene protons of the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ and ester group appeared as a multiplets in the region of $\delta 4.04-4.26$ integrating for six protons, while the methyl protons appeared between $\delta$ 1.25-1.35 integrating for nine protons as a multiplet. The triplet at $\delta 1.02$ integrating for three protons was assigned to methyl protons of ethyl group. All other resonances were in good agreement with the assigned structure. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 25 revealed the presence of four methyl group carbons and five methylene carbons. The mass spectrum of compound 25 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $279(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $301(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Having the olefin phosphonate ester 25 in hand, next goal was to introduce ketohydroxy moiety by oxidation of olefin. Literature precedents are there wherein the trisubstituted olefins have been converted into corresponding keto-hydroxy compounds by exposing to $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ involving a 4 e - oxidation ${ }^{12}$ (Scheme 12).
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## Scheme 12

This strategy has earlier been utilized by this group for the functionalization of substituted alkenes and that was a key step in the synthesis of camptothecin, ${ }^{2 d}$ a quinoline alkaloid (Scheme 13) as well as in the synthesis of mitralactonine, an indole alkaloid ${ }^{13}$ (Scheme 14).


Scheme 13: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, acetone $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $90 \%$.


Scheme 14: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, acetone $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O},-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}$, $84 \%$.

Encouraged by this possibility to obtain the ketol 17 in a single step, the olefin compound 25 was subjected to react with $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ under acidic conditions in acetone-water (9:1) solvent system at $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. As expected the oxidation reaction furnished the ketol compound 17 in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}$, acetone $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1),-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5$ h, $90 \%$.

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7}$ showed strong absorptions at 3449 and $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ typical of hydroxy ketone functionality and $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 7}$ displayed the absence of peak corresponding to olefinic proton, thereby confirming oxidation. The two signals that appeared as doublet of doublet at $\delta$ 3.22 and 3.47 integrating for one proton each having coupling constants 13.8 and 22.3 Hz were assigned to active methylene ( $-\mathrm{POCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ ) protons. The methylene protons next to chiral center appeared as two multiplets at $\delta 1.84-1.93$ and 2.00-2.09 integrating for one proton each. The methylene protons of the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ and ester group appeared as a multiplet in the region of $\delta$ 1.22-1.32 integrating for nine protons, while the methyl protons of the $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{OEt})_{2}$ and ester group appeared between $\delta 4.07-4.15$ and 4.18-4.28 as multiplets integrating for four and two protons respectively. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 17 showed the peaks at $\delta 170.0$ and 200.2 which were assigned to the ester and carbonyl carbons, while rest of the carbons associated with the compound resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound $\mathbf{1 7}$ displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $311(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $333(\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Having synthesized the required phosphonate ester 17, next task was to condense it with aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ by utilizing Wittig-Horner reaction. Condensation attempted by using different bases was not very successful. Finally, the base triethylamine worked well for this transformation. Thus, aldehyde 6 was subjected to Wittig-Horner olefination with
phosphonate ester $\mathbf{1 7}$ using triethyl amine in DCM for 6 h to furnish the condensed compound 14 in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 16).


Scheme 16: Reagents and conditions: a) Triethylamine, dry dichloromethane, $r$, 6 h , $80 \%$.

The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed strong absorptions at 3390,1705 and $1737 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to hydroxy, ketone and ester functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed disappearance of peak due to aldehydic proton and appearance of the new peaks as doublets at $\delta 8.03$ and 8.36 integrating for one proton each having coupling constant 15.1 Hz confirming the condensation. The two triplets that appeared at $\delta 0.95$ and 1.32 integrating for three protons each were assigned to methyl protons. The two multiplets at $\delta 2.10-2.35\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and $\delta 4.10-4.25$ integrating for two and four protons were assigned to methylene protons next to chiral center and acetal methylene protons respectively. The quartet at $\delta 4.29$ integrating for two protons was assigned to ester methylene protons. The singlet at $\delta 6.19$ integrating for one proton was assigned to acetal proton while rests of aromatic protons resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed the $m / z$ peak at $408(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Having synthesised the condensed compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ in good yield, next goal was to get required aldehyde 5 by simple acetal deprotection. Compound 14 was subjected to treatment with 2 N HCl in THF to afford the required aldehyde 5 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}, r t, 2 h, 85 \%$.

The IR spectrum of 5 showed strong absorption at $2730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to aldehydic CH stretch, 3390 and $1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to hydroxy and ketone functionalities and $1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 showed disappearance of signals due to the acetal group protons and new signal that appeared at $\delta 10.44$ integrating for one proton was assigned to aldehydic proton clearly indicating the accomplishment of desired transformation, while rest of the protons resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 5 displayed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at $342(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $364(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Thus with the required aldehyde 5 in hand, next aim was to construct the C ring camptothecin 1 i. e. synthesis of tricyclic compound 4 via reductive amination. Unfortunately attempts to cyclization via reductive amination ${ }^{14,15}$ failed by using different conditions (Scheme 18). Some of the conditions tried led to complex reaction mixture (Scheme 19).


Scheme 18: Reagents and conditions: a) i) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, methanol, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} \mathrm{CN}$; ii) $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}, \mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, MeOH, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} \mathrm{CN}$.


## Scheme 19

It was thought that the problem may be due to the free tertiary hydroxy group and hence attempts were made to protect the tertiary hydroxy group but all the efforts to protect hydroxy group failed to give desired product. Hence it was decided to change retrosynthetic plan and it was decided that instead of preparing hydroxy compound 7 synthesis of dehydroxy compound 37 should be undertaken. The dehydroxy compound 37 could be obtained by performing Reformatsky reaction on aldehyde 6 with bromo compound 38 (Scheme 20).


## Scheme 20

The bromo compound 38 was synthesized from commercially available ethyl acetoacetate by following the literature ${ }^{16}$ procedure. Thus, ethyl acetoacetate on monoalkylation using ethyl iodide and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in acetone afforded alkylated compound $\mathbf{1 3}$ in $70 \%$ yield. Selective bromination was carried out using chloroform and bromine ${ }^{16 a}$ at room temperature for 10 h to afford the bromo compound 38 in $82 \%$ yield. Both the compounds 13 and 38 were characterized by spectroscopic methods. Spectroscopic data of compound 13 and 38 was in complete agreement with the values reported in literature ${ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}$ (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, ethyl iodide, acetone, reflux, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; b) Bromine, chloroform, rt, $10 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$.

Having bromo compound 38 in hand, it was decided to perform a model Reformatsky reaction on anisaldehyde 39 using bromo compound 38. Accordingly when the Reformatsky reaction was performed in the presence of activated zinc and catalytic iodine it was observed that reaction gave expected eliminated compound $\mathbf{4 0}$ in $87 \%$ yield (Scheme 22).

 $87 \%$.

Encouraged by this possibility to obtain the required compound $\mathbf{4 1}$ in a single step, the bromo compound 38 was subjected to Reformatsky reaction with quinoline aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ in presence of activated zinc and catalytic iodine in benzene-ether solvent system, but in this case instead of the formation of olefin 41, alcohol 42 was obtained as diastereomeric mixture in $30 \%$ yield (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23: Reagents and conditions: a) Zn, iodine (cat), benzene-ether (1:1), reflux, 7 h, $30 \%$.

Formation of alcohol 42 was confirmed by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and mass spectral analysis. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 42 showed disappearance of signal due to the aldehydic proton. The signal that appeared at $\delta 5.67-5.74$ as multiplet integrating for one proton was assigned to proton adjacent to hydroxy group ( $-\mathrm{CHOHCH}_{2}-$ ). The two triplets at $\delta 0.97$ and 0.98 integrating for three protons and a multiplet at $\delta 1.22-1.33$ integrating for three protons due to diastereomeric mixture were assigned to methyl group protons.

The three multiplets at $\delta$ 1.83-2.08, 2.97-3.31 and 3.49-3.58 integrating for two, two and one proton were assigned to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right),\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COCH}-\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}\right)$ ) protons respectively. Acetal protons and ester methylene protons showed multiplet at $\delta$ 4.06-4.26 integrating for six protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{4 2}$ clearly showed it to be a mixture of diastereomer by showing doubling of peaks viz 169.4, 169.6 and 203.5, 203.7 corresponding to ester and ketone carbonyls respectively, while rest of the carbons associated with structure resonated at expected positions.

Efforts to directly get eliminated product $\mathbf{4 1}$ failed. It was decided to isolate the alcohol 42 and then subject it to mesylation and elimination. Accordingly, alcohol 42 was treated with triethyl amine and mesyl chloride in DCM to afford the eliminated compound 41 in low yield (Scheme 24).

Thus though it was possible to form carbon-carbon bond using Reformatsky reaction, the yield was very disappointing even after changing quality and quantity of zinc as well as by changing the solvent from benzene/ether to THF.


Scheme 24: Reagents and conditions: a) Triethylamine, mesyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 30 \%$.

Having failed to improve the yield of Reformatsky reaction with repeated trials, attention was shifted to utilization of Reformatsky reaction on imine 44, based on the literature precedence. ${ }^{17}$

The imine 44 was prepared by using quinoline aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ and benzyl amine $\mathbf{4 3}$ in methanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and without characterization of imine 44 , it was treated with bromo compound 38 in presence of activated zinc and catalytic amount of iodine in THF at room temperature to reflux but it resulted in complex reaction mixture (Scheme 25).


Scheme 25: Reagents and conditions: a) Methanol, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{l} \mathrm{h}$; b) Zn, iodine, THF, rtreflux.

Though success was achieved in synthesis of enone 41, the yields were very disappointing. So it was thought to prepare aldehyde 46 by utilizing Wittig-Horner reaction (Scheme 26) to get tricyclic frame of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ via reductive amination.


Scheme 26

The phosphonate ester 47 was synthesized from commercially available ethyl 4chloroacetoacetate 48 by following the literature procedure. ${ }^{18}$ Thus ethyl 4chloroacetoacetate 48 was treated with thionyl chloride and methanol at $-5-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reaction was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . Then catalytic amount of methanesulfonic acid was added to the reaction mixture and it was heated for 3 h to afford the enol ether 49. Enol ether 49 was heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with triethylphosphite to afford the phosphonate ester 47 (Scheme 27). Compounds 49 and 47 were characterized by spectroscopic techniques. Spectroscopic data was in good agreement with reported data. ${ }^{18}$


Scheme 27: $\underline{\text { Reagents and conditions: } a) \mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \text { methanesulphonic acid (cat), rt- }}$ heat, 4 h, $90 \%$; b) Triethylphosphite, $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.

Having synthesized the required phosphonate ester 47, next task was to condense with aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ by utilizing Wittig-Horner reaction. Thus, aldehyde $\mathbf{6}$ was subjected to Wittig-Horner olefination using NaH in THF for 1 h to furnish the condensed compound 50 in $82 \%$ yield as a mixture of $E$ and $Z$ isomers (Scheme 28). The IR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 0}$ showed strong absorption at $1731 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 0}$ showed two triplets that appeared at $\delta 1.35$ and 1.36 integrating for three protons and two singlets at $\delta 3.77$ and 3.82 integrating for three protons due to the presence of $E / Z$ isomers and were assigned to ester methyl and methoxy group protons. The two singlets at $\delta 5.23$ and 5.26 integrating for one proton were assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ester group. The multiplet that appeared at $\delta 4.10-4.29$ integrating for six protons was assigned to acetal methylene and ester methylene protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra clearly showed that the compound 50 existed as the mixture of $\mathrm{E} / \mathrm{Z}$ isomers by showing doubling of peaks. The mass spectrum of compound 50 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at 356 (M $+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $378(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.


Scheme 28: Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$.

With condensed compound 50 in hand, next goal was to get aldehyde 46 to perform reductive amination. Thus, acetal deprotection was carried out using 2 N HCl in diethyl ether to afford the aldehyde 46 in $85 \%$ yield while compound 50 on treatment with 6 N HCl in diethyl ether afforded aldehyde 51 in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 29). Both the
compounds 46 and 51 were characterized by using spectroscopic methods. The IR spectrum of 46 showed strong absorptions at 2720,1700 and $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to aldehydic CH stretch, carbonyl and ester functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 46 showed disappearance of peak due to acetal protons and appearance of new peaks at $\delta$ 10.40 and 10.45 (due to $E / Z$ isomer) integrating for one proton which was assigned to aldehydic proton. The signal that appeared at $\delta 3.83$ as singlet integrating for three protons was assigned to methoxy protons thus confirming the transformation. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 46 revealed the presence of two methyl group carbons and signal that appeared at $\delta 190.8$ was assigned to aldehydic carbon. The rest of protons and carbons associated with the structure resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 46 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $312(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $334(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.


Scheme 29: Reagents and conditions: a) 2 N HCl , diethyl ether, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; b) 6 N HCl , diethyl ether, rt, 3 h. $80 \%$.

The IR spectrum of 51 showed strong absorptions at 3250 and $1702 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to enol hydroxy and aldehyde carbonyl functionality and at $1730 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 51 showed disappearance of peak due to acetal protons and methoxy protons and appearance of new peaks at $\delta 10.40$ and 11.90 integrating for one proton each which were assigned to aldehydic and enolic proton and thus confirmed the desired transformation, while rest of protons associated with compound resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 51 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $298(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $320(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.


Scheme 30: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, methanol, then $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$.

Having aldehydes $\mathbf{4 6}$ and 51 in hand, next task was to construct the tricyclic ring by utilizing reductive amination. Accordingly both the aldehydes 46 and 51 were separately subjected to reductive amination by using benzyl amine in methanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by reduction of imine by addition of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ but unfortunately both the reactions led to complex reaction mixture (Scheme 30).

### 1.2.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, four advanced intermediates 14, 41, 46 and 51 for synthesis of camptothecin were prepared and out of these three were studied for cyclisation to build C ring of camptothecin by using reductive amination. Although due to paucity of time, these could not be converted to tricyclic framework under the conditions tried, by proper choice of reagents and conditions these would serve as important substrates to access tricyclic quinoline.
$\qquad$

### 1.2.6 Experimental

## 3-(1, 3-Dioxolan-2-yl) quinoline-2-carbaldehyde (6)



3-[1,3] Dioxolan-2-yl-2-iodo-quinoline 11 ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.05 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry diethyl ether $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ atmosphere was treated with $n$ - BuLi ( $1.67 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene, 3.35 mmol ) with stirring and after few minutes dry DMF was added. After reaching ambient temperature, the solution was treated with water and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude aldehyde, which was purified by flash column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to give pure $6(0.4 \mathrm{~g}, 58 \%)$ as yellow solid.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \text { (MW: 229.23). } \\ \text { Melting Point } & : 145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \\ \text { IR }\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) & : 1685,1617,1560,1145,910 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .\end{array}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 4.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61$ ( $\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{5 M H z}\right): \delta 65.2$ (2C), 98.8, 127.9, 128.5, 129.3, 129.8, 130.5, 130.6, 135.0, 147.2, 150.0, 194.1.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $230(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 68.11; H, 4.84; N, 6.11\%
: Found: C, 68.11; H, 4.84; N, 6.14\%

## Ethyl 2-ethyl-2-hydroxy-3-oxobutanoate (7)



To the anhydrous cobalt (II) chloride ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 0.316 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) heated at 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in acetonitrile, was addded isopropanol ( 1 mL ) under oxygen atmosphere. The $\beta$-keto ester $\mathbf{1 3}{ }^{16 \mathrm{~b}}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 6.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then added to the reaction mixture in acetonitrile $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$. After completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), the solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to afford hydroxy compound 7 as oil ( $0.94 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{MW}: 174.19)$.
IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \quad: 3450,1740,1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.88(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.60-$ $1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.00(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.11(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $175(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(Z)-1, 4-Bis(4-methoxybenzyloxy)but-2-ene (19)


To the suspension of NaH ( $50 \%$ dispersion in oil, 5.99 g , 124.84 mmol ) in DMF ( 80 mL ), the solution of cis-butene-1,4-diol $18(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 56.74 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DMF ( 20 mL ) was added. After $30 \mathrm{~min}, \mathrm{PMBCl}(16.9 \mathrm{~mL}, 124.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ was introduced and stirred for additional 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was partitioned between water and ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with water, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification was done by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (2:8) as an eluent to afford PMB ether $19(18.6 \mathrm{~g})$ as a yellow liquid in quantitative yield.

| Mol. Formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4}(\mathrm{MW}: 328.40)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| IR (Neat) | $: 3008,2931,1684,1035,759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0 ~ M H z}$ ) : $\delta 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.81$ (t, $J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7$ Hz, 4H).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 55.0$ (2C), 65.3 (2C), 71.7 (2C), 113.6 (4C), 129.2 (4C), 129.3 (2C), 130.1 (2C), 159.0 (2C).

Mass (ESI) m/z : $329(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 351(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 73.15; H, 7.37; O, 19.49\%
: Found: C, 73.05; H, 7.32; O, 19.45\%

## 2-(4-Methoxybenzyloxy) acetaldehyde (20)



To a stirred solution of $19(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 30.45 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3: 1$, 100 mL ) at room temperature, was added $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(0.33 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$, 2 M solution in toluene). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(16.28 \mathrm{~g}, 76.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the reaction mixture.

The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h and then quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to afford aldehyde $\mathbf{2 0}$ ( $11.4 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as colourless liquid.
Mol. Formula
IR (Neat)
: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW: 180.20).
: 3010, 2730, 1702, 1684, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 55.1,73.1,74.8,113.8$ (2C), 128.7, 129.6 (2C), 159.4, 200.4.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $181(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
(E)-Ethyl 2-ethyl-4-((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)but-2-enoate (21)


To a solution of aldehyde $20(5.0 \mathrm{~g}, 27.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane ( 100 mL ), $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Et}) \mathrm{COOEt} 22(15.8 \mathrm{~g}$, 41.6 mmol ) was added at room temperature and the reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at the same temperature. Removal of the solvent under reduced pressure gave crude compound 21. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (1:9) as eluent to afford compound $21(5.6 \mathrm{~g}, 73 \%)$ as colorless oil.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ (MW: 278.34).
IR (Neat) : 2936, 1731, 1612, 1367, 1173, $757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.00(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.28(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.83$ (t, $J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): $\delta 13.6,14.1,20.4,55.1,60.4,66.0,72.3,113.7$ (2C), 129.3, 129.7 (2C), 135.5, 137.4, 159.2, 167.0.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $279(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 301(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 69.04; H, 7.97\%
: Found: C, 69.01; H, 7.91\%

## (E)-Ethyl 2-ethyl-4-hydroxybut-2-enoate (23)



To a solution of PMB ether $21(4.0 \mathrm{~g}, 14.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\operatorname{DDQ}(3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 15.80 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at the room temperature and diluted with dichloromethane and saturated sodium bicarbonate. The organic layer was washed with sodium bicarbonate, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified using flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) to afford alcohol $23(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%)$ as a colorless oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW: 158.19).
IR (Neat) $: 3350,1731,1600,1137 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.01(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{q}$,
$J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.7,14.0,20.2,58.9,60.6,134.5,139.8,167.4$.
Mass (ESI) m/z
$: 159(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 181(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 60.74; H, 8.92\%
: Found: C, 60.69; H, 8.88\%

## (E)-Ethyl 4-bromo-2-ethylbut-2-enoate (24)



A solution of $23(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 12.64 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}(1.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.32$ mmol ) in diethyl ether ( 60 mL ) was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous solution of KBr and layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was washed with water, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford title compound $24(2.68 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a yellowish oil, and was pure enough for further use.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}_{2}(\mathrm{MW}: 221.09) . \\
\text { IR (Neat) } & : 1730,1630,1245 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.32(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.40(\mathrm{q}$, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{t}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.6,14.0,19.8,25.7,60.7,134.2,137.9,166.7$.
Mass (ESI) m/z : 222 and $224(1: 1)(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 43.46; H, 5.93\%
: Found: C, 43.42; H, 5.89\%
(E)-Ethyl 4-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-2-ethylbut-2-enoate (25)


The bromo compound $24(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 11.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ and triethylphosphite ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 12.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were heated at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Excess of triethylphosphite was removed under high vacuum to furnish $25(3.11 \mathrm{~g})$ as colorless oil and was pure enough for further use.
Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{P}$ (MW: 278.28).
IR (Neat)
: 2934, 1730, 1446, 1392, $1167 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25-1.35(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.40(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 2.74 (dd, $J=8.2$ and $23.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 6.63-6.75 (m, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.3$ (d), 14.0, 16.2 (d, 2C), 19.9 (d), 27.0 (d), 60.4, 62.0 (d, 2C), 129.3 (d), 137.6 (d), 166.7 (d).

Mass (ESI) $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z} \quad: 279(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 301(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 51.79; H, 8.33\%
: Found: C, 51.75; H, 8.29\%
Ethyl 4-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-2-ethyl-2-hydroxy-3-oxobutanoate (17)


To a well-stirred solution of olefin $25(2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ and AcOH ( $2.2 \mathrm{~mL}, 35.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in aqueous acetone ( $40 \mathrm{~mL}, 9: 1$ ) maintained at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}(2.27 \mathrm{~g}, 14.3$ mmol) in portions such that the reaction temperature remained below $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After stirring for 0.5 h at $-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the black precipitate of $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ was filtered off through a celite pad and the filtrate was
evaporated at reduced pressure to remove acetone and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish crude ketol. The ketol was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (5:5) as eluent to afford product $17(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%)$.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{P}$ (MW: 310.28).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 3449,2934,1734,1715,1352,1145 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 0.87(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.00-2.09(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=22.3$ and $13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.8$ and $22.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 4.18-4.28 (m, 2H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 7.3,13.9,16.1,16.2,28.9,37.2$ (d), 62.6, 67.7 (d), 62.8 (d), 84.8 (d), 170.0, 200.2 (d).

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $311(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 333(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 46.45; H, 7.47\%
: Found: C, 46.41; H, 7.42\%
(E)-Ethyl 5-(3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)quinolin-2-yl)-2-ethyl-2-hydroxy-3-oxopent-4enoate (14)


To the stirred solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{6}(1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ at room temperature was added $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $1.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). Reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min and then phosphonate ester $17(1.48 \mathrm{~g}, 4.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DCM ( 10 mL ) was added dropwise. After completion of reaction (TLC, 5 h ), the reaction mixture was quenched by water and the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as an eluent to afford condensed compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ as a pale yellow thick liquid ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula

$$
: \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \text { (MW: 385.41). }
$$

```
IR (Neat) : 3390, 1737, 1705, 1610, 1444,1256, 1143, 865,763 cm
'1}\mp@subsup{\mathbf{H}}{\mathbf{NMR}(\mp@subsup{\mathbf{CDCl}}{3}{\prime},200 MHz):\delta 0.95(t,J=7.4 Hz, 3H), 1.32(t, J=7.0 Hz, 3H), 2.10-}{-
    2.35 (m, 2H), 4.10-4.25 (m, 4H), 4.29(q, J=7.0 Hz, 2H),
    6.19(s,1H), 7.59 (t, J=6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73-7.88 (m, 2H),
    8.03 (d, J=15.1 Hz, 1H), 8.20-8.24 (m, 2H), 8.36 (d, J=
    15.1 Hz, 1H).
Mass (ESI) m/z : 408 (M+Na).
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 65.44; H, 6.02; N, 3.63%
    : Found: C, 65.40; H, 6.04; N, 3.59%
```


## (E)-Ethyl 2-ethyl-5-(3-formylquinolin-2-yl)-2-hydroxy-3-oxopent-4-enoate (5)



To the acetal $14(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 2.59 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 2 h . After removing the THF under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether to afford aldehyde $5(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as yellow thick oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 341.36).
IR (Neat) : 3390, 2730, 1736, 1705, 1600, 1560, 1445, 1154, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.96(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-$ $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.87-8.05 (m, 3H), 8.20 (d, $J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.66$ (s, 1H), 8.77 (d, $J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $342(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 364(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Ethyl 5-(3-(1, 3-dioxolan-2-yl) quinolin-2-yl)-2-ethyl-5-hydroxy-3-oxopentanoate (42)


To the stirred suspension of activated zinc $(0.255 \mathrm{~g}, 3.92$ mmol ) in anhydrous benzene-ether ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1$ ), was added quinoline aldehyde $6(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by solution of bromo compound $38(0.339 \mathrm{~g}, 1.42 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene-ether ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1$ ) followed by catalytic amount of iodine at room temperature. Reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 7 h . After completion, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate ( 10 mL ), water was added, resulting mixture was filtered through sintered funnel and residue was washed with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ) and the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 30 mL ). Organic layer was washed with brine, dried over sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (4:6) to furnish desired compound 42 ( $0.153 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (MW: 387.43).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 3400,1738,1715,1610,1535,1145,863,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 0.97$ and $0.98(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.83-2.08 (m, 2H), 2.97-3.31 (m, 2H), 3.49-3.58 (m, 1H), 4.06-4.26 (m, 6H), 5.67-5.74 (m, 1H), $6.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.86$ (d, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right), 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.9,12.0,14.1,14.2,21.3,21.4,49.2,49.5,61.0,61.11$, $61.18,61.6,65.3,66.9,67.4,101.0,101.2,127.0,127.1$, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.3, 128.4, 130.4, 130.5, 135.9, $136.1,146.23,146.28,159.4,159.5,169.4,169.6,203.5$, 203.7 (mixture of diastereomers).

Mass (ESI) m/z : $410(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## (E)-Ethyl 5-(3-(1, 3-dioxolan-2-yl) quinolin-2-yl)-2-ethyl-3-oxopent-4-enoate (41)



To the stirred solution of alcohol $42(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.259 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous DCM ( 10 mL ), was added triethyl amine ( 0.06 $\mathrm{mL}, 0.516 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by mesyl chloride ( 0.03 mL , 0.387 mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , cooled and water was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM ( 3 x 20 mL ). Combined organic layer was washed with aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and then brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent to afford the compound 41 ( $0.028 \mathrm{~g}, 30 \%$ ).

## Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 369.41).

IR (Neat)
: $1733,1716,1610,1450,1535,1145,863,756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.02$ and $1.16(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.29$ and $1.37(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0,14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0$, $14.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.11-4.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, $6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $370(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 392(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
(2Z,4E)-Ethyl 5-(3-(1,3-dioxolan-2-yl)quinolin-2-yl)-3-methoxypenta-2,4-dienoate (50)


50

To the suspension of $50 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.156 \mathrm{~g}, 3.27 \mathrm{mmol})$ (washed with dry petroleum ether 2-3 times) in dry THF (10 $\mathrm{mL})$, was added $47(0.73 \mathrm{~g}, 2.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and stirred for 5 min . Then aldehyde $6(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.18$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h . On completion of the reaction, it was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution, extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 20 mL ) and washed with brine. The
combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent to afford compound $50(0.635,82 \%)$ as yellowish thick oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 355.38).
IR (Neat)
: 2900, 1731, 1620, 1560, 1395, 1305, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.35$ and $1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ and $3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.10-4.29 (m, 6 H$), 5.23$ and $5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.21$ and $6.25(2 \mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67-7.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 8.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.76$ (d, $J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 14.2,14.4,50.9,51.0,55.3,65.2,94.1,100.9,101.4$, $126.6,126.7,126.8,127.0,127.6,129.4,129.7,129.8$, $130.4,130.6,133.7,147.9,152.5,165.8,166.0,166.6$, 167.0.

$$
\text { Mass (ESI) m/z } \quad: 356(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 378(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} .
$$

Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 67.59; H, 5.96; N, 3.94\%
: Found: C, 67.62; H, 5.92; N, 3.94\%
(2Z, 4E)-Ethyl 5-(3-formylquinolin-2-yl)-3-methoxypenta-2,4-dienoate (46)


To the acetal $50(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added 2 N HCl solution ( 2 mL ) and stirred for 2 h. After removing the THF under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with DCM ( 25 mL ). The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to provide the title compound 46 (0.372 $\mathrm{g}, 82 \%$ ) as yellow thick oil.

Mol. Formula
IR (Neat)
: $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 311.33).
: 2910, 2720, 1730, 1700, 1610, 1445, 1150, $855 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.34$ and $1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.22$ and $4.25(2 \mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81-7.95$ (m, 2H), 8.20 (d, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.31$ (d, $J$ $=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.4$ and 10.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}_{\mathbf{N M}}^{\mathbf{( C D C l}} 3 \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 14.2,14.3,51.05,51.08,55.5,59.7,65.3,94.1,95.1$, $126.5,127.3,127.4,128.5,128.7,129.9,130.0,132.6$, $142.6,149.3,153.1,153.2,165.6,165.8,166.6,167.0$, 190.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z
Elemental analysis
: $312(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 334(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
: Calculated: C, 69.44; H, 5.50; N, 4.50\%
: Found: C, 69.41; H, 5.47; N, 4.52\%


To the acetal $50(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.562 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in THF ( 10 mL ) was added 6 N HCl solution ( 2 mL ) and stirred for 2 h . After removing the THF under reduced pressure, the residue was diluted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (4:6) as eluent to provide of the title compound $51(0.133 \mathrm{~g}$, $80 \%$ ) as brown thick oil.

| Mol. Formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 297.31). |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR (Neat) | : 3250, 2900, 2710, 1730, 1702, 1590, 1145, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (CDC | $\begin{aligned} & : \delta 1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.28(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.5 \text { and } 15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\ & 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83-7.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.15(\mathrm{~d}, J \\ & =8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 10.40 \\ & (\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 11.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| Mass (ESI) m/z | : $298(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 320(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |

### 1.2.7 Spectra
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $25\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$






DEPT spectrum of compound $17\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $14\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $46\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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Chapter 2. Tandem one-pot reaction approaches towards the synthesis of camptothecin


Formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin via DE-ring
synthon employing tandem
aza-Michael-condensation-Knoevenagel
cyclization reaction

### 2.1.1 Summary

The present section deals with the introduction of tandem reaction and formal synthesis of racemic and enantioselective synthesis of camptothecin via DE-ring synthon employing tandem aza-Michael-condensation-Knoevenagel cyclization reactions.

### 2.1.2 Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-DE synthon

### 2.1.2.1 Introduction

The tandem reaction has recently been of interest for organic synthesis because it offers a convenient and economical method to prepare desired organic molecules. ${ }^{1}$ The Michael addition and the Knoevenagel reaction are acknowledged as useful tools for constructing complex organic molecules, and combining the two reactions in one pot has attracted much attention in organic synthesis. ${ }^{2}$

Sequential transformations, which are known to every chemist under the allembracing term "one-pot reactions", can be divided into two groups.

## 1. Domino Reactions

## 2. Consecutive Reactions

Domino reaction, ${ }^{3}$ frequently described as tandem or cascade reaction, is a process involving two or more consecutive reactions in which subsequent reactions result as a consequence of the functionality formed by bond formation or fragmentation in the previous step. The preliminary formation of a reactive intermediate such as a carbocation or a carbanion is not counted here as a reaction step. On the other hand, the formation of a diene by a retro-Diels-Alder reaction with a subsequent cycloaddition would be considered as a domino reaction.

Consecutive reaction is a reaction in which another reagent, mediator, or catalyst is added after the first transformation without isolation of the first formed product; the subsequent reaction steps then lead to the final product. Strictly, sequences in which the individual steps are carried out at different temperatures should also be included in this group. Finally, the term iterative process is also found in the literature. This can also be carried out as domino, consecutive, or individual reactions.

### 2.1.2.2 Present work

Having failed in the synthesis of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ in linear manner, efforts were continued towards the synthesis of naturally occurring alkaloids namely camptothecin ${ }^{4}$ and its analogues, which exhibited impressive anticancer activity. This led to the synthesis of camptothecin by adopting convergent strategy employing tandem one-pot reaction to synthesise the pyridone which could later be elaborated to DE synthon 3 of camptothecin 1.

The retrosynthetic analysis for camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ is delineated in Scheme 1, which reveals that camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ can be synthesized from DE synthon $\mathbf{3}$ by $N$-alkylation with $\mathbf{2}$ followed by cyclization via Heck coupling or radical cyclization.


## Scheme 1

The DE ring synthon $\mathbf{3}$ can be synthesized from lactone $\mathbf{4}$ by $N$-debenzylation. The lactone 4 could be obtained from compound 5 by selective reduction of ester and lactonization. Pyridone 5 could be obtained from dihydropyridone $\mathbf{6}$. The key synthon $\mathbf{6}$ can be obtained from diester 7, which in turn could be obtained from commercially
$\qquad$
available benzyl amine $\mathbf{8}$ and $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{9}$ via Michael addition followed by condensation.

### 2.1.2.3 Results and discussion

According to retrosynthesis, the initial concern was to obtain the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 9. $\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated ketone 9 can be prepared by performing Reformatsky reaction. Thus acrolein $\mathbf{1 0}$ and ethyl 2-bromobutyrate $\mathbf{1 1}$ were refluxed in THF in the presence of zinc and catalytic amount of iodine for 8 h to afford $\beta$-hydroxy ester 12 in $67 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2: Reagents and conditions: a) Zn, iodine (cat), THF, reflux, 8 h, $67 \%$.

Formation of 12 was confirmed by using spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed strong absorption bands at 1736 and $3484 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester and alcohol functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum showed two triplets that appeared at $\delta 0.95\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$ and $1.28\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right)$ integrating for three protons each assigned to methyl group protons. The multiplets which appeared in the region at $\delta$ 5.27-5.35 ( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\right)$ integrating for two protons and $\delta 5.79-5.91\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}}\right)$ ) integrating for one proton confirmed the presence of olefinic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra showed doubling of signals for carbonyl and olefinic carbons revealing it to be a mixture of diastereomers. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 2}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum showed the $m / z$ peak at $173(M+1)^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Having hydroxy compound $\mathbf{1 2}$ in hand, next task was the oxidation of secondary alcohol to get required fragment 9 . It was thought to perform oxidation by using mild and green conditions and with this idea in mind, compound 12 was subjected to oxidation using different conditions (Table 1, Scheme 3). Only five of the various conditions tried gave the required compound $\mathbf{9}$ of which Jones oxidation and IBX oxidation gave excellent yields, while others gave poor to moderate yield.


Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: a) Jones reagent, acetone, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 85 \%$; b) IBX, ethyl acetate, reflux, 4 h, $90 \%$.

## Table 1.

| Sr. No | Reagents and conditions | Observation/yield |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | MCPBA, TBAB, TEMPO, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt | $30 \%$ |
| 2 | TEMPO, TBAB, Oxone | Complex TLC |
| 3 | $\mathrm{TBHP}, \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$, TBAB, DCM, rt | Starting material recovered |
| 4 | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{HBr}$, acetonitrile, rt | Intractable reaction mixture |
| 5 | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{KI}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$, water | Intractable reaction mixture |
| 6 | $\mathrm{TEMPO}, \mathrm{I}_{2}$, aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, toluene, $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Complex TLC |
| 7 | $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}+\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, DCM, rt | $65 \%$ |
| 8 | $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$, DCM, rt | $60 \%$ |
| 9 | IBX, ethyl acetate, reflux, 4h | $90 \%$ |
| 10 | Jones reagent | $85 \%$ |

Formation of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{9}$ was confirmed by using spectroscopic methods. Absorption at 1710 and $1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{9}$ indicated the presence of two carbonyls which were attributed to ketone and ester carbonyl respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed triplets at $\delta 0.95(J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $1.26(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three protons each, a multiplet at $\delta 1.84-2.03$ integrating for two protons and a quartet at $\delta 4.18$ $(J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons which was assigned to two ethyl group protons. The signals that appeared at $\delta 5.86$ (dd, $J=1.7$ and 9.8 Hz ), $6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7$ and 17.4 Hz ) and $6.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.8$ and 17.4 Hz ) integrating for one proton each were attributed to olefinic protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 9 revealed signals that appeared at $\delta 11.8,14.0$, 21.6 and 61.2 which were assigned to two ethyl group carbons and the signals that appeared at $\delta 129.5$ and 134.6 were assigned to olefinic carbons, while the signals that appeared at $\delta 169.6$ and 194.8 were attributed to ester and ketone carbonyl carbons
respectively. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{9}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{9}$ showed the $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 171$ and 193 respectively and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with theoretical values.

Having obtained the desired $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 9, attention was focused on one-pot Michael addition and condensation with appropriate counterpart. Thus the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{9}$ was subjected to Michael addition with benzyl amine $\mathbf{8}$ at room temperature for 30 minutes followed by addition of dichloromethane, 1 equivalent $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and ethyl malonyl chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring at room temperature to afford condensed product 7 in 70\% yield (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: Reagents and conditions: a) Benzyl amine, $r$ t, $1 / 2 h$; DCM, 1 eq. $K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, ethyl malonyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; b) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, \mathrm{rt}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.

The formation of compound 7 was confirmed by spectral techniques. Absorption at 1735, 1710 and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of 7 indicated the presence of ester, ketone and amide carbonyls respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 7 showed a multiplet at $\delta 7.16$ 7.26 integrating for five protons and was attributed to aromatic protons thereby confirming Michael addition reaction and peaks that appeared at $\delta 3.40$ and 3.61 as singlets integrating for two protons due to mixture of rotamers were attributed to methylene protons ( $-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$-) confirmed the condensation reaction. The triplet that appeared at $\delta$ 0.91 integrating for three protons was assigned to methyl group which belonged to Michael acceptor while a triplet at $\delta 1.27(J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for six protons and signals at $\delta 4.16$ (q, $J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}$ ) and 4.17 (q, $J=7.1,2 \mathrm{H})$ were assigned to ethyl ester protons and singlet that resonated at $\delta 4.59$ integrating for two protons was assigned to benzyl protons thereby confirming Michael addition and condensation. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 7 revealed that it existed as the mixture of rotamers showing double
peaks viz. 166.3, 166.6, 167.3, 167.6, 169.2, 169.4, 203.0, 204.2 that were assigned to four carbonyl carbons, while rest of the protons and carbon peaks appeared at expected positions and finally the structure of 7 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peaks at 392 and 414 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$ respectively were observed in mass spectrum and in elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

Having synthesized the required condensed compound 7 in one pot, the stage was now set for evaluating the construction of D-ring of camptothecin via intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation. In this direction, substrate was subjected to cyclization using different bases. It was found that reaction went smoothly using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in anhydrous DCM as a solvent to furnish excellent yields of dihydropyridone 6. Formation of dihydropyridone $\mathbf{6}$ was confirmed by using spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed no peak corresponding to the ketone carbonyl while other carbonyls appeared at expected positions (1735 and $1657 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for ester and amide carbonyls respectively) thereby confirming Knoevenagel cyclization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 6 showed disappearance of methylene (- $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ ) protons thus confirming the cyclization. Three triplets that appeared at $\delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $1.36(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for three protons each and two quartets at $\delta 4.15(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ and $4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$ integrating for two protons each were attributed to ethyl ester protons. Multiplets at $\delta$ 2.22-2.52 (m, 2H) and 3.17-3.44 (m, 2 H ) were attributed to methylene protons in pyridone ring, while aromatic and benzylic protons resonated at expected positions. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 6 showed presence of three methyl and six methylene carbons along with peaks at $\delta 161.5,165.5$ and 171.3 corresponding to three carbonyls present in the dihydropyridone 6. Finally the structure was further confirmed by mass spectral analysis which revealed signals at $m / z 374(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $396(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$thus confirming the structure of $\mathbf{6}$ and the experimental values of elemental analysis were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

Having successfully synthesised the dihydropyridone $\mathbf{6}$ in two steps it was thought to perform this transformation in one pot as in both the transformations $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is the base and DCM as the solvent. For the execution of this hypothesis, the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 9 was subjected to Michael addition with benzyl amine $\mathbf{8}$ and after 30 minutes dichloromethane, 4 eq. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ and ethyl malonyl chloride were added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the
$\qquad$
reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to achieve the condensation and Knoevenagel cyclization to delightfully afford dihydropyridone 6 in 70\% yield via the intermediate 7 (Scheme 5). Spectral data for the isolated product matched perfectly with that of the product $\mathbf{6}$ synthesized by the two-step sequence described above.


Scheme 5: Reagents and conditions: a) Benzyl amine, DCM, $1 / 2 h ; K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, DCM, ethyl malonyl chloride, 8 h, 70\%; b) DDQ, 1, 4-dioxane, reflux, 24 h, $91 \%$.

Having been successful in synthesis of dihydropyridone 6, employing tandem one pot aza-Michael addition, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride and Knoevenagel condensation, the next task was to oxidize compound 6. Thus dihydropyridone $\mathbf{6}$ was subjected to DDQ oxidation in 1,4-dioxane as the solvent at reflux for 24 h to afford the pyridone 5 in $91 \%$ yield. The structure of pyridone 5 was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum showed absorptions at 1735,1718 and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of aromatic ester, aliphatic ester and amide carbonyl functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 showed appearance of signals at $\delta 6.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) which were attributed to pyridone ring protons adjacent to each other thereby confirming oxidation. The triplet that appeared at $\delta 3.50$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ethyl ester $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CHCOOEt}}\right)$. Three signals that appeared as triplets at $\delta 0.92,1.24$ and 1.40 integrating for three protons each, two quartets at $\delta 4.13$ and 4.42 integrating for two protons each and two multiplets at $\delta 1.70-1.81$ and 1.93-2.04 for diastereotopic protons adjacent to chiral center were atributed to three ethyl group protons. The benzylic protons appeared as two doublets at $\delta 5.05$ and 5.13 integrating for one proton each having the geminal coupling constant $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and aromatic protons appeared as multiplet at $\delta 7.29-7.35$ integrating for five protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 5 showed 19 peaks corresponding to 21 carbons. A DEPT spectrum revealed presence of three methyl and four methylene carbons. Finally the mass spectrum of 5 showed the $m / z$
peaks at $372\left(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right.$and $394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Having synthesized the required pyridone 5 in a short sequence, next objective was to build a lactone ring ( E ring of camptothecin 1) by selective reduction of aromatic ester in presence of aliphatic ester. Kraiss ${ }^{5}$ reported selective reduction of aromatic ester to lactol (Scheme 6). The heartening fact was the single isomer obtained during the reduction step with aromatic ester reducing faster than aliphatic one. A variety of conditions were available for the oxidation of lactol to the desired lactone.


Scheme 6: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H (3 equiv.), THF, -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; b) Oxidation.

Same reaction conditions were applied earlier by this group for the synthesis of pentacyclic lactone. ${ }^{6}$ Thus, treatment of diester 16 with 3 equivalents of DIBAL-H at -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry THF for 2 h furnished aldehyde 17 in $81 \%$ yields. No trace of the aliphatic aldehyde or lactol was obtained. And finally, aldehyde on reduction with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave the desired lactone 18 (Scheme 7).


Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H (3 equiv.), THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$ b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 92 \%$.

Based on this success of selective reduction of heteroaromatic ester it was planned to apply the same conditions for the synthesis of DE synthon of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$. Thus, ester 5 was subjected to reduction using DIBAL-H under the reaction conditions previously described. Surprisingly, treatment of 5 with 3 eq. DIBAL-H at $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in THF furnished the required aldehyde 19, along with overreduced product viz alcohol 20 in 1:3 ratio which were separated by column chromatography (Scheme 8). Formation of alcohol
$\qquad$

20 was unexpected based on the propensity of DIBAL-H to selectively reduce the heteroaromatic ester over the aliphatic ester.

The aldehyde 19 and alcohol 20 were characterized by spectral methods. IR spectrum of the aldehyde 19 indicated the presence of ester, aldehyde and amide carbonyl group by revealing absorptions at 1734,1705 and $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 19 revealed absence of peaks corresponding to aromatic ester and the presence of new singlet that appeared at $\delta 10.52$ integrating for one proton and was assigned to aldehydic proton. The triplet at $\delta 4.95$ integrating for one proton was attributed to proton $\alpha$ to ester $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CHCOOEt})}\right.$. Signals that appeared at $\delta 6.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ) and $7.46(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ were attributed to pyridone ring protons adjacent to each other. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 9}$ showed absence of peak corresponding to aromatic ester while a new signal at $\delta 192.7$ was assigned to aldehyde carbonyl. Finally, the mass spectrum of 19 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $328(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $350(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analyses were also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.


Scheme 8: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H (3 equiv.), THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Structure of $\mathbf{2 0}$ was also confirmed by using spectroscopic techniques. IR spectrum of 20 showed the appearance of strong absorption at $3421 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which was assigned to hydroxy functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of alcohol 20 displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to aliphatic ester and aromatic pyridone protons. It showed new signals appearing at $\delta$ 2.36-2.47 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.52-2.64 ( $\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) and 3.26-3.40 (m, 2 H ) corresponding to ring protons which confirmed overreduction and signal that appeared at $\delta 2.22-2.32$ as a multiplet integrating for one proton was assigned to quaternary proton $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ revealed absence of peak corresponding to aliphatic ester and aromatic carbons in pyridone ring but it showed the presence of new signals that appeared at $\delta 43.2,49.5$ and 67.3 corresponding to methylene carbons which were formed by reduction of pyridone ring and aliphatic ester. DEPT spectrum of 20
$\qquad$
revealed the presence of two methyl and six methylene carbons. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{2 0}$ showed the $m / z$ peaks at $332(M+H)^{+}$and $354(M+N a)^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values. Finally, to confirm the structure it was subjected to crystallisation using ethyl acetate/pet ether solvent system. Surprisingly, the ORTEP diagram obtained from the crystal data showed that the compound 20 isomerised during crystallisation to afford the deconjugated isomer 20a. Formation of 20a on heating is surprising as it leads to deconjugated ester. This isomerization was explained by using spectroscopic techniques.


## ORTEP Diagram of 20a

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR data of the crystals obtained were different than the original compound. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of compound 20a showed a multiplet at $\delta$ 5.76-5.80 integrating for one proton and was attributed to olefinic proton in 20a thereby confirming the isomerization. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR showed five $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and six -CH carbons instead of six $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and four -CH carbon peaks. The rest of the protons and carbons resonated at expected positions.

In order to get aldehyde 19 exclusively, it was thought to vary the stoichiometry of DIBAL-H. Accordingly, the ester 5 was subjected to the treatment with 1 equivalent of DIBAL-H but surprisingly it led to the formation of $\mathbf{6}$, where one of the double bond of pyridone was selectively reduced, in $80 \%$ yield. Use of 2 equivalents of DIBAL-H at -60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of a mixture of products. Compounds 19 and $\mathbf{6}$ have same $R_{f}$ value
and were not separable by column chromatography, while alcohol 20 has low $R_{f}$ (formation of mixture of product was evident from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR) (scheme 9).


Scheme 9: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H (1 equiv.), THF, $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; b) DIBAL-H (2 equiv.), THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In spite of failing to get the desired aldehyde $\mathbf{1 9}$ as the sole product, it was decided to go ahead with the available aldehyde 19. Aldehyde 19 was subjected to reduction by using $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent system to afford required lactone 21 in $93 \%$ yield (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 93 \%$.

The structure of lactone $\mathbf{2 1}$ was confirmed by spectral study. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 1}$ showed the absorption bands at 1720 and $1651 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to six-membered lactone and amide functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 21 showed the presence of two doublets that appeared at $\delta 5.08$ and $5.18(J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz})$ which were attributed to lactone methylene protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 21 displayed the presence
of a signal at $\delta 51.9$ which was assigned to the lactone methylene carbon and rest of the carbon peaks associated with the compound were observed at expected positions. Mass spectrum of 21 showed peaks at $m / z 284$ and 306 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. Finally, the structure of $\mathbf{2 1}$ was confirmed by elemental analysis. The experimental values were in good agreement with its calculated values. All the spectral data of the lactone $\mathbf{2 1}$ were in good agreement with literature reported values. ${ }^{7}$

Having fruitfully synthesized the required lactone, albeit in low yield (for aldehyde formation) and failed in selective reduction of aromatic ester, attention was shifted to selective hydrolysis of ester 5 to acid. According to the plan, the selective hydrolysis of the aliphatic ester in presence of the aromatic ester was accomplished by treatment of the diester 5 with 1 equivalent of lithium hydroxide in ethanol/water solvent system at room temperature and it furnished the aliphatic acid 22 in $80 \%$ yield (Scheme 11). The structure of monoacid 22 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 2}$ showed a very broad absorption band at $2500-3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which is characteristic of carboxylic acid.


Scheme 11: Reagents and conditions: a) LiOH (1 equiv), $\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$; b) $\mathrm{LiBH}_{4}, \mathrm{THF}$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to aliphatic ester. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 2}$ also showed no peak corresponding to aliphatic ester while predictable peaks of protons and carbons associated with the compound resonated at expected positions. The acid 22 on treatment with lithium borohydride ${ }^{8}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature unfortunately instead of forming required product, ended up with complex reaction mixture (Scheme 11).
$\qquad$

After the unsuccessful reduction of 22 to alcohol 23, it was decided to hydrolyze both aliphatic and aromatic esters to diacid. Ester 5 on treatment with excess of lithium hydroxide in ethanol afforded diacid 24 in 84\% yield (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12: Reagents and conditions: a) LiOH, EtOH, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, reflux, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 76 \%$; c) i) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, methyl chloroformate, anhydrous THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.

The diacid 24 was characterized by spectral methods. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ showed the disappearance of absorption band corresponding to ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 24 displayed the absence of the signals corresponding to ester groups. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 24 revealed only two methylene carbons which was indicative of the hydrolysis of both esters, while other peaks associated with compound $\mathbf{2 4}$ were seen at expected positions. Finally the structure of compound 24 was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of diacid $\mathbf{2 4}$ showed the peaks at $m / z 316$ and 338 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and in the elemental analysis of $\mathbf{2 4}$ the experimental values were in good agreement with calculated values.

The selective esterification of aliphatic acid in presence of heteroaromatic acid was accomplished using catalytic amount of $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2}{ }^{9}$ in anhydrous methanol at reflux temperature to yield monoester 25 in $76 \%$ yield (Scheme 12). The structure of compound 25 was ascertained by spectral study. IR spectrum of 25 displayed the strong absorption band at $1736 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester group. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 25 revealed a singlet at $\delta 3.68$ integrating for three protons which was assigned to methyl ester group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 25 showed the appearance of signal at $\delta 172.7$ corresponding to carbonyl of ester functionality $\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$ and a signal at $\delta 52.0$ corresponding to the methyl carbon of ester functionality $\left(-\mathrm{COCH}_{3}\right)$, while rest of the
$\qquad$
carbon peaks associated the compound were present at expected positions. The mass spectrum of 25 showed the peaks at $m / z 330$ and 352 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. Elemental analysis was in good agreement with calculated values.

Having compound 25 in hand, the attention was focused towards the E-ring construction. The acid 25 was reacted with methyl chloroformate using triethyl amine ${ }^{10}$ as a base to afford the mixed anhydride. The generated mixed anhydride was immediately treated with sodium borohydride at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ at room temperature and stirring for 12 h . It was satisfying to note that the desired lactone $\mathbf{2 1}$ was obtained in very good yields (Scheme 12). All the spectral data of the lactone $\mathbf{2 1}$ were in good agreement with literature reported values. ${ }^{7}$

Having accomplished the synthesis of the lactone 21 in satisfactory yield, next concern was the straightforward synthesis of DE synthon of camptothecin. The $\alpha$ hydroxylation on lactone 21 was smoothly carried out using cupric chloride and dimethylamine as a catalyst in DMF under oxygen atmosphere at room temperature for 24 h to furnish $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 4 in excellent yield. ${ }^{11}$


Scheme 13: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{NH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{DMF}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; b) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.; c) ref 13.

Compound $\mathbf{4}$ was characterized by spectral techniques. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{4}$ showed a broad absorption band at $3350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydrogen bonded hydroxyl functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 4 displayed the absence of the triplet corresponding to methine proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of 4 showed the disappearance of the signal corresponding to methine carbon. The peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ 300 and 322 were observed in mass spectrum of 4 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. The elemental analysis of $\mathbf{4}$ revealed that the experimental values were in good agreement with its calculated values. Finally, $N$-debenzylation of 4 was
successfully carried out using catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five hours to obtain the desired DE-ring synthon ${ }^{12} \mathbf{3}$ in satisfactory yield (Scheme 13). The structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was confirmed by spectral study wherein the spectral data of compound $\mathbf{3}$ was found to be in complete agreement with the literature data. ${ }^{7,12}$ Compound 3 is a key intermediate in Comins synthesis. ${ }^{13}$ The DE synthon $\mathbf{3}$ can be transformed into ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ or its analogues by coupling with suitable AB-ring counterpart.

In the DIBAL-H reduction of pyridone $\mathbf{5}$, formation of an unusual overreduced alcohol 20 was observed (Scheme 8). It was thought to utilize alcohol 20 for the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues. Accordingly, alcohol 20 was subjected to DDQ oxidation in 1,4-dioxane at room temperature but under various tried conditions, the desired transformation could not be achieved. In all the attempts, the TLC showed complex pattern (Scheme 14). It was presumed that the free alcohol was interfering with the reagents under the conditions applied.


Scheme 14: Reagents and conditions: a) $D D Q, 1,4$ dioxane, $r$ t to reflux.

So it was thought to protect alcohol first and then perform the aromatization. Accordingly, treatment of alcohol 20 with TBSCl and imidazole in anhydrous DCM at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt for 1 h smoothly delivered the TBS protected compound 27 with isomerization of double bond as diastereomeric mixture (Scheme 15). In the IR spectrum hydroxy absorption was absent indicating the conversion of -OH to its silyl derivative. In the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, signals corresponding to TBS group appeared at $\delta 0.01$ (s, 3H), 0.02 (s, $3 \mathrm{H})$ and $0.87(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H})$. The multiplet at 5.60-5.65 integrating for one proton was assigned for olefin proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of compound 27 showed doubling of peaks due to mixture diastereomers. The mass spectrum of 27 showed peaks
at $m / z 446$ and 468 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$and $(M+N a)^{+}$respectively and elemental analysis data was in good agreement with the proposed structure.


Scheme 15: Reagents and conditions: a) Imidazole, TBSCl, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; b) DDQ, 1, 4-dioxane, reflux, 5 h, 98\%.

Having TBS protected compound 27 in hand, it was subjected to DDQ oxidation. Gratifyingly, aromatization occurred very smoothly in refluxing 1,4-dioxane as the solvent to afford excellent yield of compound 28 (Scheme 15). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed signals corresponding to TBS group that appeared at $\delta-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, and 0.83 ( s , $9 \mathrm{H})$. The two triplets at $\delta 0.85\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$ and $1.36\left(-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ were assigned to two methyl group protons. Signals that appeared at $\delta 6.17$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) and 7.22 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) were attributed to aromatic protons in pyridone ring which confirmed the oxidation. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of 28 showed signals at $\delta-5.7$, -5.6 and 11.7 corresponding to TBS carbons, while rest of the carbon signals were seen at expected positions. Finally, mass spectrum of 28 showed the peaks at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 444$ and 466 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and elemental analysis data were in good agreement with the proposed structure.

Having the compound 28 in hand, the next concern was to deprotect and eliminate the hydroxyl group to get exomethylene compound. Thus TBS deprotection was carried out using TBAF in THF but surprisingly instead of desired product 26, formation of compound 29 was observed (Scheme 16). The formation of compound 29 was confirmed by spectral techniques. IR spectrum of 29 showed disappearance of absorption band corresponding to ester functionality and showed a very broad absorption band at 2500$3300 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which was characteristic of carboxylic acid. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 29 revealed the absence of peaks corresponding to aliphatic ester and TBS group. The signals at $\delta 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4,3 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4,2 \mathrm{H})$ were assigned to ethyl group protons, while exomethylene protons appeared as two singlets at $\delta 4.84$ and 5.12.


Scheme 16: Reagents and conditions: a) TBAF, THF, rt, 1 h, 60\%
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum of 29 showed signal at $\delta 112.5$ corresponding to exomethylene carbon. The structure of compound 29 was further supported by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peaks at $284(M+H)^{+}$and $306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$were seen in the mass spectrum and elemental analysis values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

The formation of compound 29 can be rationalized by the probable mechanism which is depicted in Scheme 17.


## Scheme 17: Plausible mechanism

Having unusual rearranged product 29 in hand, it was thought to utilize this compound for the synthesis of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ and its analogues. The acid 29 was subjected to reduction under various conditions but unfortunately under the conditions tried, the desired transformation could not be achieved. In all the attempts, the TLC showed complex pattern (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18: Reagents and conditions: a) i) Et $t_{3} N$, methyl chloroformate, anhydrous THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4},-78-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{rt}$; b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{I}_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$.

In spite of failing to reduce the acid $\mathbf{2 9}$ to corresponding alcohol, it was decided to decarboxylate the acid and then introduce the methyl group. Accordingly, decarboxylation was carried out using Cu and quinoline ${ }^{14}$ at reflux condition to furnish desired compound 31 (Scheme 19).


Scheme 19: Reagents and conditions: a) Cu, quinoline, reflux, 3 h, $90 \%$.
Having decarboxylated compound 31 in hand, it was subjected to introduction of methyl group using methyl iodide in presence of strong base like LDA or n-BuLi but unfortunately in both the cases starting material was recovered (Scheme 20).

 $-78-0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\qquad$

Due to paucity of time these transformations could not be tried by other reagents and conditions which look straightforward. With proper choice of reagents and conditions, the compounds 29 and 31 would serve as versatile and important substrates to access advanced intermediate $\mathbf{3 3},{ }^{15}$ which is a precursor for the synthesis of mappicine ketone $\mathbf{3 4}$, as well as it can be further manipulated into camptothecin 1 (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21

### 2.1.3 Synthesis of (+)-DE synthon

### 2.1.3.1 Introduction

The earth is chiral and so most of the organic compounds. Chemists from pharmaceutical world, agro and cosmetic industries require access to enantiomerically pure compounds.

It is well known that all the biological receptors are chiral and as such can distinguish between two enantiomers of a ligand or substrate. Thus pharmacological compounds, which are chiral when screened for their activity, may behave differently in comparison to their enantiomers or racemate. Hence the quest to obtain enantiomerically pure compound has always been a challenge to the chemical world. An easy and straightforward solution is to isolate them from natural sources. Alternatively one can prepare the racemate and resolve it, plan a chiron approach for its synthesis or perform an asymmetric synthesis. The different objectives are restrained from factors such as amount of material required, the cost of starting material, length of synthetic plan etc. Resolution is restricted by the drawback that one of the enantiomers can be achieved in the maximum theoretical yield of $50 \%$ unless the unwanted enantiomer is recovered and recycled. The chiron approach utilises/consumes chiral natural starting material and at best can produce a single enantiomer from a given route.

Intrigued by the above mentioned facts and with the successful accomplishment of racemic synthesis of DE synthon $\mathbf{3}$ in short sequence, it was thought worthwhile to expand the chemistry towards the asymmetric accomplishment of the DE synthon 3 , which will give easy access to enantioselective synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues.

### 2.1.3.2 Present work

The present subsection deals with the study towards the asymmetric construction of the DE synthon 3, which was efficiently synthesised in the previous section in racemic form. A careful inspection of $\mathbf{3}$ reveals that the only chiral center present therein and which is further carried over to the final molecule $\mathbf{1}$ (C20), can be fixed by Sharpless asymmetric dihyroxylation ${ }^{16}$ of alkene 37. The retrosynthetic approach described previously can be modified in light of asymmetric synthesis as delineated in Scheme 23.

(+)-Camptothecin 1
35. Topotecan $\mathrm{R}^{1}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{R}^{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{R}^{3}=\mathrm{OH}$
36. Irinotecan $R^{1}=E t, R^{2}=H, R^{3}=$ OCOPipPip. $\mathrm{HCl}^{3} 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

## Scheme 22

Accordingly, (+)-3 could be efficiently synthesised by selective dihydroxylation of alkene 37. The alkene 37 could be realised by mesylation and elimination of hydroxy group of lactol 38, which in turn could be efficiently synthesized by reduction of aldehyde 19 and lactone 21. Both the aldehyde 19 and the lactone 21 can be accessed from ester $\mathbf{5}$ (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23

### 2.1.3.3 Results and discussion

At the onset of the journey towards asymmetric synthesis, reduction was performed with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ on already synthesized aldehyde 19 and lactone 21, which in turn were synthesized from diester 5 as explained in previous subsection. The aldehyde 19 was converted into lactol 38 via the lactonization using two equivalents of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{THF} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent system at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . Formation of lactol 38 was confirmed by spectral techniques. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 8}$ showed a broad absorption band at $3400 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of hydroxy functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 8}$ displayed the absence of signal corresponding to aldehyde proton and the presence of two doublets that appeared at $\delta 4.60$ and $5.05(J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$ which were attributed to lactol methylene protons. A signal appearing at $\delta 0.99$ as a quartet integrating for three protons and a multiplet at $\delta 1.57-1.74$ integrating for two protons were assigned to ethyl group protons. Triplet at $\delta 2.39$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ethyl group. Broad singlet at $\delta 3.92$ integrating for one proton was assigned to lactol -OH proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 38 showed the disappearance of the signal corresponding to aldehyde carbonyl. DEPT spectrum showed presence of one methyl and three methylene carbon while rest of the carbons associated with compound resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum showed the $m / z$ peaks at $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values. Lactol 38 was also obtained from lactone 21 (synthesized from diester 5 via mixed anhydride sequence of
$\qquad$
reaction) by reduction using one equivalent of $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ solvent system at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min (Scheme 24).


Scheme 24: Reagents and conditions: : a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (2 eq.), THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 30$ $\min , 90 \%$. b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ (1 eq.), THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{rt}, 15 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$ c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, anhydrous THF, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; d) i) (DHQD) $2_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}(3.0$ equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ ( 10 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (10 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$ over two steps. e) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$.

With lactol 38 in hand, the elimination of hydroxy group was effected using 4 equivalents of mesyl chloride and eight equivalents of triethyl amine in THF at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h to afford enol ether 37 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 24). IR spectrum of enol ether 37 showed no peak corresponding to the -OH functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 37 showed signal at $\delta 6.57$ as a singlet integrating for one proton and was attributed to enol ether proton (-C=CH-O-) confirming elimination. The signals at $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ were attributed to ethyl group protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 37 showed new peaks which appeared at $\delta 100.7$ and 141.5 and were attributed to enol ether carbons (- $\underline{\mathrm{C}}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\mathrm{O}-$ ) while rest of the peaks were in good agreement with the proposed structure and finally the structure of 37 was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum showed the $m / z$ peaks at $268(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $290(\mathrm{M}$ $+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Having the enol ether 37 in hand, the last and important task was to synthesize the enantiomerically pure $\alpha$-hydroxy lactone 4. Taking into account the well established enantioselective principle and mnemonic device for asymmetric dihydroxylation of trisubstitued alkene, it was concluded that (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PYR would be the right ligand of choice to render the $S$ enantiomer. Thus, when the substrate 37 was subjected to Sharpless dihydroxylation conditions, ${ }^{16}$ employing (DHQD) ${ }_{2}$ PYR as chiral ligand, potassium osmate as osmium source, methane sulphonamide, $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{17}(1: 1)$, it furnished the diol as anomeric mixture which was subjected to oxidation using Corey's condition ${ }^{18}$ i. e. $\mathrm{I}_{2} / \mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ to afford optically pure $4\left(90 \%\right.$ yield, $95 \%$ ee), $\left[\alpha{ }_{b}^{25}=+112\right.$ ( $c=1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The enantiopurity of the hydroxylactone was estimated to be in excess of $95 \%$ using chiral HPLC analysis (Chiralcel OD-H ( $250 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), 80:20, IPA:n-hexane (12:88), $0.7 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{min}, 280 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). The structure of 4 was confirmed by spectral study. The spectral data of compound $\mathbf{4}$ was in complete agreement with the literature data. ${ }^{12}$

Finally $N$-debenzylation of $\mathbf{4}$ was successfully carried out using catalytic amount of palladium hydroxide in ethanol under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for five hours, to afford the desired DE-ring synthon ( + )-3 in satisfactory yield $\left[\alpha b^{25}=+120 \quad(c=0.3\right.$, methanol) (Scheme 24). The spectral data of compound 3 was in complete agreement with the literature data. ${ }^{19}$ The DE-ring can be transformed into (+)-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ or its analogues by coupling with suitable AB -ring counterpart.

### 2.1.4 Conclusion

In conclusion, the formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin via DE ring synthon employing tandem one-pot, three-step transformations involving aza-Michael reaction, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride followed by intramolecular "Knoevenagel" reaction to furnish dihydropyridone has been accomplished. Also, formal synthesis of (+)camptothecin was achieved employing Sharpless dihydroxylation via DE synthon.
$\qquad$

### 2.1.5 Experimental

## Ethyl 2-ethyl-3-hydroxypent-4-enoate (12)



To the stirred suspension of zinc ( $34 \mathrm{~g}, 534 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 150 mL ), was added acrylaldehyde $10(12.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 178 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by solution of ethyl-2-bromobutyrate $\mathbf{1 1}$ ( $32 \mathrm{~mL}, 213$ mmol) in anhydrous THF and catalytic amount of iodine at room temperature. Reaction mixture was heated at reflux temperature for 8 h . After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate, water was added, the resulting mixture was filtered through sintered funnel and residue was washed with ethyl acetate ( 250 mL ). The resulting filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50$ mL ). Organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (1:9) to furnish the desired compound 12 ( $20.5 \mathrm{~g}, 67 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ (MW: 172.22).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 3484,1736,1376,1186 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.95(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-$
1.75 (m, 2H), 2.36-2.44 (m, 1H), 4.18 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ),
4.24-4.37 (m, 1H), 5.27-5.35 (m, 2H), 5.79-5.91 (m, 1H).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.5,11.9,14.2,20.5,22.3,52.5,52.7,60.4,73.0,73.2$,
116.2, 116.3, 137.6, 138.5, 174.5, 175.0 (mixture of diastereomers).

Mass (ESI) m/z : $173(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 62.77; H, 9.36\%
: Found: C, 62.72; H, 9.33\%

## Ethyl 2-ethyl-3-oxopent-4-enoate (9)



Method A: To the stirred solution of alcohol 12 ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 78.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in acetone was added Jones reagent dropwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ such that the reaction temperature remained around $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The initially formed green colored solution turned into reddish color indicating
completion of reaction. After completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was decanted. The residue was washed with $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether ( 50 mL ) and combined layer was extracted using $25 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether and washed with water and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. The combined organic layer was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford title compound 9 ( $8.3 \mathrm{gm}, 85 \%$ ). This was pure enough for further use.

Method B: To the stirred solution of alcohol $12(5 \mathrm{~g}, 29.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous ethyl acetate was added IBX ( $9.7 \mathrm{~g}, 34.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the resultant solution was refluxed for 4 h . After completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through the celite pad. The residue was washed with ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) and combined layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish the title compound 9 (8.9 g, 90\%), which was pure enough for further use.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{MW}: 170.21)$.
IR (Neat) : 1736, 1710, $1147 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.95,(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-$ $2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.59(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3,1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7$ and $9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7$ and 17.4 $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.49 (dd, $J=9.8$ and $17.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,14.0,21.6,58.0,61.2,58.0,61.2,129.5,134.6$, 169.6, 194.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $171(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 193(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 63.51; H, 8.29\%
: Found: C, 63.14; H, 8.25\%

Ethyl 5-(N-benzyl-3-ethoxy-3-oxopropanamido)-2-ethyl-3-oxopentanoate (7)


To the keto compound 9 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), benzyl amine ( 3.21 mL , 29.4 mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir for 30 min . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), dichloromethane ( 15 mL ) and $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(4 \mathrm{~g}, 29.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added followed by drop wise addition of ethyl malonyl chloride ( 4.5 mL , 35.2 mmol ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), then it was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$\qquad$
$(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as an eluent to afford the condensed product 7 as a colorless liquid ( $8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ ( $\mathrm{MW}: 391.46$ ).
IR (Neat) : 1735, 1710, 1681, 1629, 1566, 1521, 1477, 1217, $769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.27(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-$ 1.92 (m, 2H), 2.67-2.93 (m, 2H), 3.17 and 3.32 (2t, $J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ and $3.61(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.54-3.57(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ), 4.16 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59$ (s, 2H), 7.16-7.39 (m, 5H). (Mixture of rotamers)
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.6,11.7,13.9,21.2,21.3,39.8,39.9,40.9,41.2,42.1$, 48.1, 52.8, 60.4, 60.6, 61.1, 61.3, 61.4, 126.3, 127.3, 127.7, $127.8,128.5,128.8,136.1,136.8,166.3,166.6,167.3$, 167.6, 169.2, 169.4, 203.0, 204.2. (Mixture of rotamers)

Mass (ESI) m/z : $392.42(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 414(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.<br>Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 64.43; H, 7.47; N, 3.58\%<br>: Found: C, 64.51; H, 7.45; N, 3.55\%

Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (6)


Method A : To a stirred solution of keto compound 9 (5 g, 29.4 mmol ) in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, benzyl amine ( 3.21 mL , 29.4 mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir for 30 min . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(14.2 \mathrm{~g}, 102.9$ mmol) was added followed by dropwise addition of ethyl malonyl chloride ( $4.89 \mathrm{~mL}, 38.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion ( $8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), and it was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) using ethyl
$\qquad$
acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to afford the dihydropyridone 6 ( $7.6 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ) as colorless liquid.

Method B : To the stirred solution of diester compound 7 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 12.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry dichloromethane was added potassium carbonate ( $4.4 \mathrm{~g}, 32 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and allowed to stir for 5 h at room temperature. After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether to yield dihydropyridone 6 ( $4.5 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ) as colorless liquid.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(\mathrm{MW}: 373.44) . \\
\text { IR (Neat) } & : 1735,1657,1610,1566,1521,1477,1217,769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} . \\
{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \text { NMR (CDCl }{ }_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z )}: & : \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, \\
& J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22- \\
& 2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.17-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{q}, \\
& J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \\
& \mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.9,14.1,14.2,22.9,24.2,43.7,49.6,50.4,61.0,61.3$, 127.5, 127.9, 128.2 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 136.9, 147.6, 161.5, 165.5, 171.3.

Mass (ESI) m/z<br>: $374(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 396(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.<br>Elemental analysis<br>: Calculated: C, 67.54; H, 7.29; N, 3.97\%<br>: Found: C, 67.51; H, 7.25; N, 3.95\%

Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate (5)


To the stirred solution of dihydropyridone $6(4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous 1, 4-dioxane ( 50 mL ) was added DDQ ( $2.6 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8$ mmol ) and reaction mixture was refluxed till the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, filtered using sintered funnel and filtrate was quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated
under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether to yield pyridone 5 ( $3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ) as a pale yellow thick liquid.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 371.43).
IR (Neat)
: 1735, 1718, 1681, 1629, 1566, 1521, 1477, 1217, $769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}$,
$J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.70-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$
(t, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
2H), 5.05 (d, $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.13 (d, $J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ),
6.28 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.24 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.29-7.35 ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,13.9,14.1,25.6,49.5,51.9,61.1,61.5,104.6$, 125.7, 128.1, 128.5 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 135.4, 137.4, 148.7, 159.3, 165.9, 171.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $372(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 394(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 67.91; H, 6.78; N, 3.77\%
: Found: C, 67.88; H, 6.73; N, 3.75\%

## Ethyl 2-(1-benzyl-3-formyl-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridin-4-yl) butanoate (19) and ethyl-

 1-benzyl-4-(1-hydroxybutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (20)The pyridone 5 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 20 mL ) under argon atmosphere and temperature lowered to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIBAL-H ( 2 M in toluene, $2.08 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.20$ mmol ) was added dropwise and left to stir at the same temperature till the completion of reaction ( $2 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction was quenched at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the addition of methanol (2 mL ) and water ( 1 mL ) and then warmed to room temperature. The gelatinous precipitate was filtered through celite, washed thoroughly with THF and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting first with $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in pet ether as eluent to deliver the aldehyde 19 ( 0.088 g, 20\%) as yellowish oil, and then with $50 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether as eluent to deliver the alcohol 20 ( $0.275 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ) as a white solid in 1: 3 ratio.
$\qquad$

Ethyl 2-(1-benzyl-3-formyl-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridin-4-yl) butanoate (19)


Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(\mathrm{MW}: 327.37)$.
IR (Neat) : 2730, 1734, 1705, 1680, 1635, 1590, 1510, $765 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.621.73 (m, 1H), 1.98-2.08 (m, 1H), 4.12 (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.95 (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.13 (s, 2H), 6.30 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.33-7.39 (m, 5H), 7.46 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 10.52 (s, 1 H ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.9,14.0,25.8,46.3,52.1,61.0,106.1,122.2,128.4$, 128.5 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 135.1, 147.7, 156.5, 163.4, 172.3, 192.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $328.38(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 350.35(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 69.71; H, 6.47; N, 4.28\%
: Found: C, 67.9; H, 6.53; N, 4.25\%

Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-hydroxybutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (20)


Mol. Formula : $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 331.41).
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) : 3421, 1734, 1641, 1496, 1176, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Melting point $: 79-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-$ $1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-$ $2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.24 (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.35 (d, $J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52$ (d, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
$\qquad$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,18.1,21.7,27.4,39.6,43.2,49.5,58.1,67.3,120.5$, 127.4, 128.0 (2C), 128.5 (2C), 136.9, 159.7, 160.1, 165.5.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $332(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 354(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 68.86; H, 7.60; N, 4.23\%
: Found: C, 68.83; H, 7.58; N, 4.21\%
Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-hydroxybutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (20a)


Mol. Formula
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )
: $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 331.41). : 3421, 1732, 1649, 1496, $759 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Melting point : $118-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
 $1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40-$ 3.45 (m, 1H), 3.54-3.58 (m, 1H), 3.63-3.74 (m, 1H), 4.024.06 (m, 2H), 4.19-4.26 (m, 2H), 4.48 (d, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90$ (d, $J=14.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.76-5.80 (m, 1H), 7.257.35 (m, 5H).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.7,13.9,21.5,47.6,49.2,49.9,52.0,62.2,64.0,121.7$, 127.5, 127.8 (2C), 128.6 (2C), 133.6, 136.1, 164.4, 169.4.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $332(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 354(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 68.86; H, 7.60; N, 4.23\%
: Found: C, 68.87; H, 7.57; N, 4.24\%
Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 20a

| Empirical formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Formula weight | $: 331.40$ |
| Temperature | $: 293(2) \mathrm{K}$ |
| Wavelength | $: 0.71073 \mathrm{~A}$ |

Crystal system, space group : Monoclinic, P21/c

Unit cell dimensions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : \mathrm{a}=12.8414(7) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { alpha }=90^{\circ} . \\
& \mathrm{b}=8.7972(5) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { beta }=91.204(1)^{\circ} . \\
& \mathrm{c}=16.0038(9) \mathrm{A} \quad \text { gamma }=90^{\circ} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Volume : 1807.52(17) A^3
Z, Calculated density $\quad: 4,1.218 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cc}$
Crystal size $\quad: 0.47 \times 0.40 \times 0.25 \mathrm{~mm}$
Theta range for data collection : 1.59 to $25.00^{\circ}$.
Completeness to theta $=25.00 \quad 99.8 \%$
Refinement method Full-matrix least-squares on $\mathrm{F} \wedge 2$
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)] R1 $=0.0418$, $\mathrm{wR} 2=0.1083$
Table 2. Atomic coordinates ( $\mathrm{x} 10 \wedge 4$ ) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\mathrm{A}^{\wedge} 2 \times 10 \wedge 3$ ) for 20a.
$\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized Uij tensor.

|  | x | y | z | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{eq})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $7558(1)$ | $-496(1)$ | $1612(1)$ | $43(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $7125(1)$ | $-451(2)$ | $847(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $6292(1)$ | $743(2)$ | $658(1)$ | $42(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $6008(1)$ | $1797(2)$ | $1366(1)$ | $41(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $6512(1)$ | $1694(2)$ | $2092(1)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $7353(1)$ | $580(2)$ | $2285(1)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $6612(1)$ | $1655(2)$ | $-97(1)$ | $47(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $7850(2)$ | $3412(2)$ | $-589(1)$ | $76(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $8788(2)$ | $4178(4)$ | $-279(2)$ | $139(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $4104(1)$ | $2135(2)$ | $1509(1)$ | $54(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $8336(1)$ | $-1670(2)$ | $1820(1)$ | $52(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $9438(1)$ | $-1064(2)$ | $1867(1)$ | $49(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $10064(1)$ | $-1355(2)$ | $2561(1)$ | $63(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $11087(1)$ | $-858(2)$ | $2595(2)$ | $76(1)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $11490(1)$ | $-81(2)$ | $1947(2)$ | $79(1)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $10877(2)$ | $241(3)$ | $1251(1)$ | $80(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $9853(1)$ | $-247(2)$ | $1216(1)$ | $67(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $7367(1)$ | $-1345(1)$ | $286(1)$ | $56(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $6133(1)$ | $1724(2)$ | $-748(1)$ | $72(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $3184(1)$ | $2870(1)$ | $1205(1)$ | $62(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $7488(1)$ | $2407(1)$ | $70(1)$ | $59(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $5095(1)$ | $2862(2)$ | $1186(1)$ | $46(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $5236(1)$ | $4484(2)$ | $1518(1)$ | $59(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | $6113(2)$ | $5367(2)$ | $1134(1)$ | $75(1)$ |

Table 3. Bond lengths [A] and angles [ ${ }^{0}$ [for 20a.

| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.3347(17)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.4612(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.4697(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.2382(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.5247(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.5143(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.515(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $0.951(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.3219(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.5232(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.486(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.1993(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.3279(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $1.457(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.4599(19)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{C})$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.4240(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.523(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $1.512(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $1.381(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $1.383(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $1.384(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $1.354(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $1.379(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17) \mathrm{C})-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.384(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 0.9300 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(30)$ | 0.8200 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $1.532(2)$ |
| C | 0.9800 |
| C |  |


| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 1\right)$ | 0.9700 |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 0.9700 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 1\right)$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 0.9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $125.29(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.81(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $114.87(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ | $122.69(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $118.61(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $118.70(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $110.22(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $116.89(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $108.83(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $110.7(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $105.0(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3)$ | $104.3(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $119.63(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $124.64(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $115.63(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $124.61(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5)$ | 117.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{H}(5) \mathrm{C})$ | 117.7 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $114.60(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.6 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.6 |
| 108.6 |  |


| $\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{H}(6 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.6 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $124.53(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $125.22(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $110.22(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $107.93(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~A})$ | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 110.1 |
| $\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{H}(8 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.4 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{~B})-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{H}(9 \mathrm{C})$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1{ }^{\prime}\right)$ | $112.73(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~A})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(11^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 109.0 |
| $\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{H}(10 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.8 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $113.21(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{~N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 108.9 |
| $\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~A})-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{H}(11 \mathrm{~B})$ | 107.7 |


| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $118.38(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $120.20(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $121.40(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $120.61(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{H}(13)$ | 119.7 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $120.44(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{H}(14)$ | 119.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120.10(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 119.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{H}(15)$ | 119.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | $119.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{H}(16)$ | 120.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | $120.75(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{H}(17)$ | 119.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{H}(3 O)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $115.93(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $108.86(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | $111.53(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 1\right)$ | $114.98(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 107.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 107.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | 107.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $114.99(15)$ |
| C | 10.5 |


| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 1\right)$ | 108.5 |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 108.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 108.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 1\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(2^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 107.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 1\right)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 1\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 2\right)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 1\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 2\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{H}\left(3^{\prime} 3\right)$ | 109.5 |

Table 6. Torsion angles [ ${ }^{0}$ ] for 20a

| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $176.12(13)$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $-1.7(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $-47719)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $179.08(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-0.46(18)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $-55.31(16)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $125.16(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $-121.94(14)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $2.98(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $61.40(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $-173.68(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)$ | $-0.9(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $175.46(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $6.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-175.77(12)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $-3.5(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)$ |  |


| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | -112.33(16) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 118.26(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | 65.97(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | -63.43(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | 105.31(15) |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -72.73(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 128.57(16) |
| $\mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -53.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | -1.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)$ | 177.35(16) |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)$ | -0.1(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 0.9(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)-\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -0.6(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | 1.3(3) |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(16)$ | -177.03(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)-\mathrm{C}(16)-\mathrm{C}(17)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | -0.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 2.1(2) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | -176.21(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{O}(4)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 177.6(2) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1{ }^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | -166.51(12) |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 65.58(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1{ }^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | -81.58(17) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 94.90(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | 44.36(19) |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)$ | -139.16(13) |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | -171.72(14) |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}\left(1^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(2^{\prime}\right)-\mathrm{C}\left(3^{\prime}\right)$ | 63.73(19) |

## 2-(1-Benzyl-3-(ethoxycarbonyl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridin-4-yl) butanoic acid (22)



The pyridone 5 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.34 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH ( 10 mL ) was treated with $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.064 \mathrm{~g}, 2.68 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the resultant reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 24 h . After the disappearance of starting materials (TLC), ethanol was removed on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The residue thus obtained was acidified with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ till neutralization and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to furnish mono acid 22 as a colorless syrup ( $0.413 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 343.14).
IR (Neat) : 3020, 1718, 1681, 1629, 1566, 1521, 1477, 1217, $769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.63-$
$1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
4.48 (q, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14$ (d,
$J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.32-7.36 (m, 5H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.9,14.1,25.2,49.6,52.1,61.9,105.0,125.5,128.3$, 128.6 (2C), 129.0 (2C), 135.3, 137.7, 149.2, 159.4, 166.3, 174.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $344(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 366(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 66.46; H, 6.16; N, 4.08\%
: Found: C, 66.49; H, 6.13; N, 3.99\%

## 1-Benzyl-4-(1-carboxypropyl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (24)



To the well stirred solution of pyridone $5(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol ( 20 mL ) was added $\mathrm{LiOH}(0.76 \mathrm{~g}, 21.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ and reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), ethanol was evaporated in vacuo and the resultant residue was dissolved in water ( 10 mL ) and washed with ethyl acetate $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The aqueous layer was acidified with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ to $\mathrm{pH}=7$ and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried
$\qquad$
over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford compound 24 ( $1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ ) as a white solid.

## Mol. Formula $\quad \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(\mathrm{MW}: 315.12)$.

Melting point
: 130-132 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
IR (Neat)
: 3018, 1718, 1681, 1629, 1566, 1521, 1477, 1217, $769 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 0.65(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$, 1 H ), 4.95 (s, 2H), 6.31 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.06 (s, 5H), 7.50 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{D M S O}_{\mathbf{d}}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.6,25.1,47.9,52.7,109.2,115.5,127.8$ (2C), 128.0, 128.4 (2C), 134.0, 139.3, 160.2, 164.0, 165.1, 173.0.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $316(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 338(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 64.75; H, 5.43; N, 4.44\%
: Found: C, 64.77; H, 5.38; N, 4.39\%

1-Benzyl-4-(1-methoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (25)


To a well stirred solution of dicarboxylic acid $24(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.58 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{NiCl}_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.037 \mathrm{~g}, 0.158 \mathrm{mmol})$ and reaction mixture was refluxed for 12 h . The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC and after the completion of reaction, methanol was removed in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:2) as an eluent to aford compound $\mathbf{2 5}$ as a gum ( $0.396 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 329.13).
IR (Neat) : 3025, 1736, 1691, 1630, 1568, 1479, 1456, 1215, $755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.67-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.02-2.16(\mathrm{~m}$, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 5.53 (t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.55$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.31-7.42 (m, 5H), 7.50 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 12.1,26.0,48.1,52.0,53.4,109.6,115.9,128.6$ (2C),
128.9, 129.2 (2C), 134.1, 139.2, 160.6, 164.8, 165.4, 172.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 65.64; H, 5.81; N, 4.25\%
: Found: C, 65.67; H, 5.78; N, 4.24\%
7-Benzyl-4-ethyl-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridine-3,8(4H,7H)-dione (21)


Method A: To a well stirred mixture of $25(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ ( $0.060 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added methyl chloroformate ( $0.057 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir till the completion of reaction ( $1 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was filtered and precipitate was washed with dry THF ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The resultant filtrate was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise followed by dropwise addition of methanol ( 10 mL ) over 30 minutes and was allowed to stir at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h . The cooling bath was removed and $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ solution was added slowly until no residual $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ remained, and it was further stirred for additional 12 hours at room temperature. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure and residue obtained was diluted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic phase was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (2:3) as an eluent to yield lactone 21 as a gum ( $0.144 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield).

Method B: To a stirred solution of aldehyde $19(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(6 \mathrm{~mL}$, 9:1), was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.005 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . After the completion of reaction (TLC), $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ was added and the mixture extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated in vacuo and the residue obtained was purified by flash column chromatography over silica gel using ethyl acetate/pet ether (2:3) as an eluent to furnish lactone $21(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 93 \%)$ as a colorless gum.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}(\mathrm{MW}: 283.12) . \\ \text { IR (Neat) } & : 1720,1651,1567,1523,1477,1215,755 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .\end{array}$
$\qquad$
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.02(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, \mathbf{3 H}), 1.85-2.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1 H ), 5.25 (d, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.43 (d, $J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.02 (d, $J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.36$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}+\mathbf{C C l}_{4}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 11.2,25.1,44.9,51.9,66.2,105.0,121.1,128.3$ (2C), 128.4, 129.0 (2C), 135.7, 136.7, 145.5, 158.8, 170.3.

Mass (ESI) m/z
Elemental analysis
: $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
: Calculated: C, 72.07; H, 6.05; N, 4.94\%
: Found: C, 72.11; H, 6.03; N, 4.97\%

7-Benzyl-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridine-3,8(4H,7H)-dione (土)-4


The mixture of lactone 21 ( $0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{CuCl}_{2}(0.19 \mathrm{~g}, 1.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $25 \%$ aqueous dimethyl amine ( 0.5 mL ) in anhydrous DMF ( 10 mL ) under oxygen atmosphere was stirred at room temperature till the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). After the completion of reaction, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the pH was adjusted to 6.5 with addition of dilute HCl , and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 10 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (2:3) to obtain the hydroxyl compound 4 as white solid ( $0.103 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 299.12).
Melting point $\quad:$ 139-141 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.{ }^{12} 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
IR (Neat) : 3350, 1741, 1655, 1604, 1563, 1512, $756 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0 M H z}\right): \delta 0.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.80(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.64$ (bs, 1H), 5.12 (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19$ (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.19$ (d, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.51 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.37$ (m, 5H), 7.39 (d, $J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 7.7,31.5,52.1,66.5,72.0,103.0,119.1,128.2$ (2C), 128.3, 129.0 (2C), 135.6, 137.3, 148.5, 158.6, 173.5.
$\qquad$

| Mass (ESI) m/z | $: 300(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 322(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elemental analysis | $:$Calculated: $\mathrm{C}, 68.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.72 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.68 \%$ |
|  | $:$ Found: $\mathrm{C}, 68.28 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.69 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.63 \%$ |

Ethyl $\quad$ 1-benzyl-4-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,3,6-
tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (27)


To the stirred solution of alcohol 20 ( $0.7 \mathrm{~g}, 2.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in DCM, was added imidazole ( $0.272 \mathrm{~g}, 4.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by TBSCl ( 0.346 g , $2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h (TLC). After completion, water ( 10 mL ) was added, organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with DCM. Combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:9) as eluent to furnish compound 27 ( $0.89 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{MW}: 445.67)$.
IR (Neat)
: $1734,1655,1565,1158,763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 0.01$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), $0.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.82(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.87$ (s, 9H), 1.27 (t, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-$ $1.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.014.07 (m, 2H), 4.16-4.21 (m, 2H), 4.49-4.55 (m, 1H), 4.87$4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.26-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta-5.5,11.3,11.5,13.9,14.0,18.0,18.1,21.9,22.9,25.7$, 25.8, 47.2, 47.6, 47.7, 47.9, 49.6, 49.7, 53.2, 54.2, 61.5, 61.6, 64.0, 65.9, 118.2, 119.5, 127.3, 127.7, 127.8, 128.5, $134.5,134.6,136.5,164.8,168.9,169.2$. (Mixture of diastereomers)

Mass (ESI) m/z : $446(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 468(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 67.37; H, 8.82; N, 3.14\%
: Found: C, 67.33; H, 8.58; N, 3.11\%

Ethyl 1-benzyl-4-(1-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)butan-2-yl-)-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3carboxylate (28)


To the stirred solution of dihydropyridone 27 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1, 4-dioxane (15 mL), was added DDQ (0.28 g, 1.23 mmol ) and reaction mixture was refluxed till the completion of reaction ( $5 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate, filtered using sintered funnel and filtrate was quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to yield pyridone $28(0.487 \mathrm{~g}$, 98\%) as a pale yellow thick liquid which was pure enough for further use.
Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ (MW: 443.25).
IR (Neat) : 1725, 1647, 1450, 1163, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-0.04(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),-0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{dd}, 5.7$ and 10.4 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71$ (dd, $J=5.7$ and $10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.34-4.39 (m, 2H), 5.05 (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.15$ (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta-5.7,-5.6,11.7,14.1,18.0,23.3,25.7,46.6,51.6,61.3$, 65.2, 105.2, 126.1, 128.0, 128.3 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 135.7, 136.5, 153.4, 159.5, 166.5.

Mass (ESI) m/z $: 444(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 466(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 67.68; H, 8.41; N, 3.16\%
: Found: C, 67.63; H, 8.48; N, 3.18\%

## 1-Benzyl-4-(but-1-en-2-yl)-2-oxo-1, 2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylic acid (29)



To the stirred solution of compound $28(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 0.676 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF (10 mL), was added TBAF ( $1.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.35 \mathrm{mmol}$, 1 M solution in THF) at room temperature. Reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h . After completion, water was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 10 mL ). Combined
$\qquad$
organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (4:6) as eluent to furnish compound 29 ( $0.11 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ).
Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (MW: 283.32).
IR (Neat) : 3300, 1653, 1535, 1137, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.08(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H), 5.12 (s, 1H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 6.30 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.34-7.44 (m, 5H), 7.51 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (CDCl ${ }_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): $\delta 12.3,28.8,53.3,110.1,112.5,114.7,128.5$ (2C), 128.9
(2C), 129.2, 134.3, 139.1, 151.6, 163.7, 164.0, 164.7.
Mass (ESI) m/z : $284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 72.07; H, 6.05; N, 4.94\%
: Found: C, 72.09; H, 6.06; N, 4.98\%

## 1-Benzyl-4-(but-1-en-2-yl)pyridin-2(1H)-one (31)

The acid 29 ( $0.050 \mathrm{gm}, 0.176 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to copper powder ( 0.068
 $\mathrm{gm}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in quinoline ( 7 mL ) and the resulting mixture was heated at $160{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h . Upon cooling, ethyl acetate ( 25 mL ) was added, and the copper was filtered off through Celite. The filtrate was washed with $10 \%$ hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was washed with water followed by brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:7) as eluent to furnish compound 31 ( $0.038 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}$ (MW: 239.31).
IR (Neat) : 1660, 1600, 1137, $763 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.12(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 6.23 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), (m, 5 H ), $6.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $240(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 262(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 80.30; H, 7.16; N, 5.85\%
: Found: C, 80.25; H, 7.13; N, 5.81\%

7-Benzyl-4-ethyl-3-hydroxy-3,4-dihydro-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridin-8(7H)-one (38)


Method A: To the well stirred solution of aldehyde 19 ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ (9:1) was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.02 \mathrm{~g}, 0.61 \mathrm{mmol})$ portionwise at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temp. After completion of reaction ( 30 min ), it was quenched using $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:1) as a solvent system to deliver the hydroxyl compound 38 ( $0.155 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ).

Method B: To the well stirred solution of lactone $21(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.35 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} 0$ (9:1) was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.006 \mathrm{~g}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ portionwise at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at room temp. After completion of reaction, it was quenched using $10 \%$ HCl . The pH was adjusted to 6.5 and the mixture was extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (1:1) as a solvent system to deliver the hydroxyl compound $38(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%)$ as thick yellow oil.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (MW: 285.14).
IR (Neat) : 3400, 1660, 1600, 1050, $758 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.57-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.39(\mathrm{t}, J=$ 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (bs, 1H), 4.60 (d, $J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.71$
(d, $J=17.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.05 (d, $J=14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.14 (d, $J=$ $14.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.70 (bs, 1H), 6.01 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.14 (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$ ): $\delta 11.5,26.2,43.4,51.6,58.3,92.3,107.9,123.6,127.9$, 128.3 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 134.1, 136.2, 145.6, 159.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $286(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 308(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 71.56; H, 6.71; N, 4.91\%
: Found: C, 71.53; H, 6.69; N, 4.90\%
$\qquad$

## 7-Benzyl-4-ethyl-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridin-8(7H)-one (37)



To the stirred solution of lactol $38(0.09 \mathrm{~g}, 0.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF was added triethyl amine ( $0.35 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) followed by mesyl chloride $(0.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.26 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and water was added and the aqueous phase was extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic layers were washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ followed by brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under diminished pressure. The resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (2:8) to deliver the olefin compound $37(0.08 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%)$ as colorless thick oil.

| Mol. Formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (MW: 267.13). |
| :--- | :--- |
| IR (Neat) | $: 1660,1620,1540,1020,767 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ) : $\delta 1.10(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.07(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), 5.13 (s, 2H), 6.07 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 6.57 (s, 1H), 7.22 (d, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.37$ (m, 5H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}$ ): $\delta 13.7,20.3,51.7,63.3,100.7,113.9,114.9,127.9$ (2C), 128.0, 128.8 (2C), 136.0, 136.4, 141.5, 146.6, 159.3.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $268(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 290(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 76.38; H, 6.41; N, 5.24\%
: Found: C, 76.36; H, 6.39; N, 5.21\%

## (S)-7-Benzyl-4-ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridine-3,8(4H,7H)-dione (+)-4



A mixture of (DHQD) $)_{2}$-PYR ( $0.003 \mathrm{~g}, 0.037 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}(0.184 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.561 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.077 \mathrm{~g}, 0.561 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{2}(\mathrm{OH})_{4}(0.0009 \mathrm{~g}$, $0.037 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}(0.018 \mathrm{~g}, 0.187 \mathrm{mmol})$ in water and tertbutanol ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 1: 1$ ) was stirred at room temp until two phases were clear, and it was then cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Enol ether $37(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.0187 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the above mixture in one portion and the whole reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 h . The reaction was quenched at the same temperature by adding sodium sulfite ( 0.05 g ), and then warmed to room temperature and stirred for additional $30 \mathrm{~min} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(1 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were
added and the aqueous layer was further extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish crude diol.

To the crude diol in $\mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (2:1) ( 7 mL ), was added crystalline iodine ( 0.473 $\mathrm{g}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}(0.187 \mathrm{~g}, 1.87 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 10 h and then quenched at room temperature by slowly adding $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ $(0.2 \mathrm{~g})$. The methanol was removed and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added. The aqueous layer was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash chromatography using ethyl acetate/pet ether (6:4) as eluent to afford (+)-4 as a white solid ( $0.049 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) in $95 \%$ ee.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 299.12).
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Specific Rotation } & :[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+112\left(c=1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{Lit.}^{12}+107.2\left(c=1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right. \\ & 91 \% \mathrm{ee}]\end{array}$
ee $: 95 \%$
Melting point
: 139-141 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. $\left.^{12} 140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
HPLC conditions : Column: chiralcel OD-H ( 250 X 4.6mm)
: Mobile phase: IPA:n-hexane (12:88)
: Wavelength: 280 nm
: Flow rate: $0.7 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
: Sample concentration: $1 \mathrm{mg} / 2 \mathrm{~mL}$
The other analytical data are identical with (rac)-4.

## (S)-4-Ethyl-4-hydroxy-1H-pyrano[3,4-c]pyridine-3,8 (4H,7H)-dione (+)-3



To a well-stirred solution of compound 3 ( $0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.167 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(2.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.016 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under hydrogen atmosphere. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC ( 5 h ). After the disappearance of starting material, the reaction mixture was filtered on celite and residue was washed with EtOH ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo
and resultant crude compound was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (3:2) as eluent to furnish the desired DE-ring fragment $\mathbf{3}$ as a white solid ( $0.025 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%$ ).

| Mol. Formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NO}_{4}(\mathrm{MW}: 209.07)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Melting point | $: 225{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{Litr}^{19} 227{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. |
| Specific Rotation | $:[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{25}+120\left(c=0.3\right.$, methanol $\left[\right.$ Lit. ${ }^{19}+117.0(c=0.3$, |
|  | $\mathrm{MeOH}) 93 \%$ ee $]$ |
| ee | $: 95 \%$ |
| IR (Neat) | $: 3273,1754,1651,1255,837 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (CD $\left.{ }_{3} \mathbf{O D}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85(\mathrm{q}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.22$ (d, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41$ (d, $J=16.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63$ (d, $J=$ $6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.47$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H).
 134.4, 151.3, 160.4, 174.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $210(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 232(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 57.41 ; H, 5.30; N, 6.70\%
: Found: C, 57.39; H, 5.34; N, 6.73\%

### 2.1.6 Spectra



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $12\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $9\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $19\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $20\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $20 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound 20a $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $22\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$






DEPT spectrum of compound $24\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}+\right.$ DMSO- $\left._{6}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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DEPT spectrum of compound $27\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$








${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $38\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $37\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



DEPT spectrum of compound $37\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 100 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 2. Tandem one-pot reaction approaches towards the synthesis of camptothecin



Formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin

### 2.2.1 Summary

The present section deals with the formal synthesis of $(+)$-camptothecin 1 . A short and concise formal synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved utilizing one-pot tandem reaction, Heck coupling for C ring formation.

### 2.2.2 Introduction

The palladium-catalyzed C-C coupling between aryl halides or vinyl halides and activated alkenes in the presence of a base is referred as the Heck reaction. It has received increasing attention because of its enormous synthetic potential for generating carboncarbon bonds and its tolerance towards a wide range of functional groups. ${ }^{1}$ Recent developments in the catalysts and reaction conditions have resulted in a much broader range of donors and acceptors being open to the Heck reaction. Unlike most catalytic organic reactions, the Heck reaction is not well defined and specific for particular reagents and catalysts with optimal conditions, solvents, ligands, etc. Instead, the scope of the reaction is changing, expanding, and being improved on frequently. Therefore, fine-tuning this reaction entails thousands of variations and involves learning about palladium catalysis as a whole. ${ }^{2}$

Heck reaction was first developed by Mizoroki ${ }^{3}$ and Heck ${ }^{4}$ in the early 1970's. Mizoroki and co-workers reported the reaction with aryl iodides and potassium acetate in methanol at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ independently of Heck and co-workers. However, Heck and coworkers reported the reaction under more opportune laboratory conditions by reacting aryl halides with activated alkene compounds in the presence of a hindered amine base and catalytic palladium to form substituted olefins.

The Heck reaction is a general reaction in which aryl, benzyl and styryl halides react with activated olefins at high temperatures in the presence of an amine base and a catalytic amount of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ to form substituted olefins (Scheme 1).


## Scheme 1

An important aspect of the Heck reaction is the generation of the active palladium species. The active palladium catalyst can be formed in situ from precatalysts such as $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$. Usually the reaction is carried out with mono and bidentate ligands. ${ }^{5}$ However, the reaction can work with or without phosphine ligands, but the
phosphine ligands stabilize the palladium in its zero oxidation state. The utilization of phosphine ligands is the common and well-established approach that gives optimal results in a majority of cases. ${ }^{2}$

It has also been found that the reaction rate depends on the degree of substitution of the olefinic compound. Generally, more substituted olefins undergo reaction at a slower rate than less substituted olefins. Also, the X group on the aryl or vinyl substituent has a large impact on the rate of the reaction. Typically the order of X from fastest to slowest rate is $\mathrm{I}>\mathrm{Br} \sim \mathrm{OTf} \gg \mathrm{Cl}$. It is typically difficult in catalysis to execute a coupling reaction with an aryl or vinyl chloride and remains a challenge to have it work as well or better than other halides. The electronic nature of the substituents on the olefins has a limited influence on the reaction however, electron poor olefins tend to give higher yields.

The Heck reaction has been utilized in hundreds of works and still remains a mystery as to the exact scope of the reaction. Small variations such as substrate structure, nature of the base, ligands, temperature, etc. lead to mixed results. Sometimes more sophisticated ligands for more advanced organic transformations will be unsuccessful for the simplest cases of the Heck reaction.

## Mechanism

Heck reaction can proceed through a neutral mechanism or a cationic mechanism

1. Cationic mechanism ${ }^{6,7}$ : The reaction undergoes a cationic mechanism when X is OTf, OAc, or when $\mathrm{Ag}^{+}, \mathrm{TI}^{+}$, quaternary ammonium and phosphonium salts are used to help displacement from halides. It is also a predominating pathway when chelating ligands are used for catalysis.
2. Neutral mechanism ${ }^{8}$ : For the Heck reaction to undergo a neutral mechanism, X is usually a strong $\sigma$-donor such as $\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}$ or I .

Simplified scheme introducing major step of Heck cycle is shown in scheme 2. Broadly, mechanism of Heck reaction involves five steps.

1. Preactivation Step: The entry into the catalytic cycle includes the reduction of $\operatorname{Pd}(I I)$ complexes to $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ and the generation of active species through multiple ligand exchange equilibria. Usually the most common approach to obtain the active $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ is to generate it in situ from $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The preactivation has been extensively studied by Amatore and Jutand ${ }^{8,9}$ for phosphine-assisted reactions.


## Scheme 2

2. Oxidative Addition: The oxidative addition of low-valent transition metal complex to C-X bond is usually the rate determining step and proceeds through a concerted type mechanism. The cis-geometry is formed first, but the trans geometry is preferred because phosphine ligands prefer to be opposite one another. The oxidative addition is much less sensitive to the substituents in the unsaturated system but much more sensitive to the nature of nucleofuge and the strength of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds. The order of reactivity is I > OTf $>\mathrm{Br}>\mathrm{Cl}^{10}{ }^{10}$
3. Migratory Insertion: Migratory insertion is the product-forming step of the Heck cycle where the new C-C bond is formed. This step can explain regio- and stereoselectivity as well as substrate selectivity. For both mechanistic approaches (cationic and neutral), studies found that the reaction of the active intermediate is inhibited by excess phosphine, which establishes that a free coordination site is required for olefin coordination. Computational data has shown that by addition of a cationic carbene complex in the migratory insertion stage of the reaction, the degree of charge transfer from Pd to the olefin is negligible and there is no charge build-up in the transition state. This confirmed that the migratory insertion step takes place through a concerted process, not a $\mathrm{SN}_{2}$ type
mechanism. ${ }^{11}$ The stereochemistry of the product makes the Heck reaction an appealing reaction for organic synthesis. Generally, the Curtin-Hammet principle is the controlling factor for $E$ and $Z$ product ratio, where the $E$ isomer is usually obtained unless R is very small. ${ }^{2}$ However, steric and electronic factors play major role in controlling the outcome of the insertion process. Migratory insertion can place the aryl group on either carbon of the alkene. Electronic factors can control this placement. In the case of electron deficient alkenes and styrene derivatives, the aryl group is placed on the most electrophilic carbon $\beta$ to the Ph or electron withdrawing group. With electron rich alkenes, the opposite regiochemistry is usually obtained with the aryl group $\alpha$ to the electron donating group, but with the aryl group still placed on the most electrophilic carbon. With neither electron rich nor electron deficient alkenes, a mixture of products can be produced with steric factors controlling the outcome. ${ }^{12}$
4. Termination: After the migratory insertion comes the step in which palladium (0) is released and launches the next turn of the Heck cycle. There are several possibilities briefly delineated below.


## Scheme 3

a) Palladium hydride is eliminated to release the double bond (path i, Scheme 3). b) Elimination of Pd-M complex occurs with the same outcome (path ii Scheme 3). c) Palladotropic shift may occur giving a new intermediate with its own chemistry. d) PdH elimination cannot occur by stereochemical reasons or is slow. The termination occurs by nucleophilic attack at Pd, which either by nucleophilic substitution or by reductive elimination of coordinated nucleophile leads to the release of $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$. The product formed may be formally viewed as a syn-adduct of R-Nu to the starting olefin. e) As in d but the alkyl palladium intermediate goes into a subordinate catalytic process. Cascade of Pd catalyzed transformations is thus initiated realizing the atom economy principle. ${ }^{13,14}$
5. Palladium Hydride Elimination: Following migratory insertion, $\beta$-hydride elimination occurs. The elimination must occur through a syn-coplanar geometry between the Pd and the $\beta$ hydrogen atom. The process is concerted and goes through a strong agostic interaction between the Pd and $\beta$-hydride. After the syn-elimination, the PdH is scavenged by base and $\operatorname{Pd}(0)$ is released back into the catalytic cycle. ${ }^{11}$

In conclusion, various catalytic asymmetric intramolecular Heck reactions are in progress for the synthesis of natural product. This has been done with a relatively small number of ligands, while current research is being done to find new ligands such as chiral
ones to be utilized in the asymmetric Heck reaction. ${ }^{15}$ Due to the major problem of losing the palladium catalyst at the end of the reaction, extensive research has been done to solve this problem. Carmichael and co-workers ${ }^{16}$ have found a number of low melting ionic liquids that the Heck reaction can be performed in. The high solubility of the Pd catalysts in ionic liquids and their low solubility in organic solvents allow the products of the reaction to be separated from the ionic liquid and catalyst by solvent extraction with an organic solvent or by fractional distillation. Due to the unpredictable, flexible character of the Heck reaction, research is continual, and advances are still being made. Its complexity and sometimes-surprising results lead many research groups try and facilitate to experiment and try to understand the reaction. However, such extensive and abundant research is done only because the Heck reaction is such a powerful carbon-carbon bond forming reaction with many uses for synthetic organic chemistry in the academic and industrial world.

### 2.2.3 Present work

The formal synthesis of camptothecin 1 was achieved via ( $S$ )-DE synthon employing tandem one-pot three-steps transformations involving aza-Michael reaction, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride followed by intramolecular "Knoevenagel" reaction as described in section I of this chapter. In light of the impressive biological activity and intriguing mode of action reported for camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$, it was decided to utilise the idea of one-pot reactions towards total synthesis of camptothecin 1.



## Scheme 4

As per retrosynthetic plan depicted in scheme 4, the (+)-camptothecin 1 could be synthesized from diester 2 by selective reduction followed by lactonization and enantioselective hydroxylation. The diester 2 could be accessed from diester 3 by intramolecular Heck coupling and it was envisioned as the key intermediate. The key intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ could be accessed by aromatization of 4, which in turn can be synthesized by Michael addition, condensation and Knoevenagel cyclisation sequence of quinoline amine 5 on $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 6.

### 2.2.4 Results and Discussion

According to planned retrosynthetic analysis, the journey began with the synthesis of quinoline amine 5. Meth-Cohn's ${ }^{17}$ quinoline aldehyde 7 with a formyl group at 3position and the halide at 2-position for the functionalization i.e. C-C bond formation, given the flexibility and simplicity for the analogues preparation, appeals to be ideal starting material to do away with the shortcomings of the earlier approaches such as usage of 2-aminobenzaldehyde, regiochemistry problems encountered in Friedlander condensation and the usage of expensive starting materials like acridine, propargyl amine and DMAD.



Scheme 5: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH},{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; b) $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$, reflux, 4 h, $72 \%$; c) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{DMF}, r t, 17$ h, $85 \%$; d) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, r t, 2$ h, $94 \%$.

Chloroquinoline aldehyde 7 was realized by Vilsmeier-Haack reaction on acetanilide using dimethylformamide and phosphorous oxychloride. The aldehyde 7 was converted to required quinoline amine $\mathbf{1 1}$ (Scheme 5) by following literature procedure. ${ }^{18}$ Aldehyde 7 on treatment with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol afforded alcohol 8. The alcohol $\mathbf{8}$ was
refluxed with $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ to afford di-bromo compound $\mathbf{9}$ in good yield. The bromo compound $\mathbf{9}$ was subjected to treatment with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in DMF at room temperature to furnish the azide $\mathbf{1 0}$. The absorbance band at $2103 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed the incorporation of azide moiety, which subsequently on reduction using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ under hydrogen atmosphere in ethanol afforded required bromo quinoline amine 11. Amine 11 was characterized by using spectral methods and the data were in complete agreement with reported values. ${ }^{18}$
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Scheme 6: Reagents and conditions: a) $P B r_{3}$ (1 equiv.), $D C M$, reflux, 4 h, $72 \%$; b) $N a N_{3}$, DMF, rt, 17 h, $85 \%$; c) $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, r t, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$.

Since the retrosynthetic analysis involved Heck cyclization as one of the key transformations, to check the reactivity of substituted chloroquinoline, it was planned to synthesise chloroquinoline 14 . Chloroquinoline amine 14 was prepared from alcohol 8, wherein the alcohol 8 on treatment with 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{PBr}_{3}$ in DCM afforded the bromide 12. It was subjected to treatment with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in DMF at room temperature to furnish the azide 13, which subsequently on reduction using $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$ under hydrogen atmosphere in ethanol afforded required chloroquinoline amine 14 (Scheme 6). The spectral data of the amine $\mathbf{1 4}$ were in complete agreement with reported values. ${ }^{18}$

With the synthesis of quinoline amines $\mathbf{1 1}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ completed, which will act as Michael donor in tandem reactions, the Michael acceptor $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{6}$ was synthesized form acrolein (described in section I of this chapter) and the stage was set to perform one-pot tandem aza-Michael reaction, condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride followed by intramolecular "Knoevenagel" cyclization.


Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) $K_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (4 equiv.), ethyl malonyl chloride, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; b) $D D Q, 1,4$-dioxane, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$.

Thus, the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone $\mathbf{6}$ was subjected to one-pot Michael addition, condensation and Knoevenagel cyclization wherein it was treated with quinoline amine $\mathbf{1 1}$ at room temperature, and after 30 minutes, addition of 4 eq. of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by the addition of ethyl malonyl chloride at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirring at room temperature for 8 h afforded dihydropyridone 17 in 65 \% yield via the intermediate 15. Formation of dihydropyridone 17 was confirmed by using spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed presence of carbonyl functionalities by revealing absorptions at 1734 and $1680 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for ester and amide carbonyls respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 17 showed two triplets at $\delta 0.92$ ( $J=$ 7.4 Hz ) and $0.97(J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$ together integrating for three protons and a multiplet at $\delta$ 1.76-1.99 integrating for two protons attributed to ethyl group protons which originated from Michael acceptor. The multiplets that appeared at $\delta 2.34-2.58$ and $3.65-3.78$ integrating for two protons each were assigned to methylene protons in the dihydropyridone ring. The rest of the protons resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 17 showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 504: $506(1: 1)(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and 526:528 $(1: 1)(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$confirming the structure of $\mathbf{1 7 .}$

Same reactions under similar conditions were performed on amine 14 which resulted in the similar outcome affording 18 in comparable yield.

Having synthesized the required dihydropyridones 17 and 18 in a short sequence, they were subjected to aromatization using DDQ as oxidant in 1, 4-dioxane to furnish excellent yields of pyridones 19 and 20 respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 19 showed appearance of
two new doublets at $\delta 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ which were attributed to pyridone ring protons adjacent to each other thereby confirming oxidation. The triplet at $\delta 3.50$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ethyl ester $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCOOEt}\right)$. Three signals appeared as triplet at $\delta 0.92,1.24$ and 1.39 integrating for three protons each, two quartets at $\delta 4.14$ and 4.41 integrating for two protons each and two multiplets at $\delta 1.69-1.83$ and 1.96-2.06 for diastereotopic protons adjacent to chiral center which were attributed to three ethyl group protons. Mass spectrum of 19 showed the $m / z$ peaks at 524 and $526(1: 1)(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$confirmed the structure of pyridone 19. The pyridone $\mathbf{2 0}$ was prepared from dihydropyridone $\mathbf{1 8}$ in a similar way.

Having synthesized the required pyridones 19 and 20 in a short sequence, stage was set to form C-C bond to obtain C ring so that it can be further elaborated to the target molecule. To construct $C$ ring it was decided to exploit the Heck cyclization. Comins ${ }^{19}$ utilized Heck coupling for the total synthesis of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8: Reagents and conditions: a) $P d(O A c)_{2}, T B A B, K O A c, D M F, 86 \%$.

Therefore it was planned to apply same conditions for synthesis of ABCD ring. Thus, pyridone 19 was subjected to Heck coupling using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{TBAB}$ and KOAc in DMF at reflux condition but it led to complex TLC pattern (Scheme 9). The pyridone 20 also failed to give the desired product under these conditions.


Scheme 9: Reagents and conditions: a) $\operatorname{Pd}(O A c)_{2}, T B A B, K O A c, D M F, 100-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Hence, efforts were directed to get ABCD skeleton 2 by performing the reactions under different conditions for Heck cyclization (Table 1). However, most of the different reaction conditions tried (entry 1-5, Table 1) ended up with complex TLC patterns, while use of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as base and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in DMF gave required product 2 but the yield of the product was very discouraging. Hence, efforts were directed to improve the yield of the transformation (entry 6 and 7) but the results were not much encouraging.

Table 1.

| Sr. <br> No. | Catalyst | Base | Solvent | PTC | Temp <br> ${ }^{\mathbf{o}} \mathbf{C}$ | Observation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | KOAc | DMF | $\mathrm{TBAB} / \mathrm{TBAI}$ | $90-110$ | Complex <br> TLC |
| 2 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | KOAc | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | $\mathrm{TBAB} / \mathrm{TBAI}$ | reflux | Complex <br> TLC |
| 3 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DMF | TBAB | $90-120$ | Complex <br> TLC |
| 4 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | TBAB | RT- <br> reflux | Complex <br> TLC |
| 5 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ | $\mathrm{DMF} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | TBAB | $90-120$ | Complex <br> TLC |
| 6 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO} 3$ | DMF | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | 80 | $>10 \%$ yield |
| 7 | $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ | DME | $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | reflux | $>12 \%$ yield |

Since the bromo and chloro compounds did not react well under the conditions tried to effect Heck coupling, it was decided to perform the Heck reaction on iodo compound based on the propensity of the iodides to undergo facile Heck reactions. Thus, to synthesize of iodo amine 22, again Meth-Cohn’s ${ }^{17}$ quinoline aldehyde 14 was preferred as the starting material (Scheme 10).


## Scheme 10

Thus, chloro aldehyde $\mathbf{1 4}$ was converted into iodo azide $\mathbf{2 6}$ by following literature ${ }^{20}$ procedure. Chlorine atom was replaced by iodine using NaI in acetonitrile to afford iodo aldehyde 23. The aldehyde 23 on treatment with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ in methanol afforded alcohol 24.

Alcohol 24 on $O$-mesylation using $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MsCl}$ afforded 25, which on treatment with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in DMF at room temperature furnished the corresponding azide 26 in 95\% yield (Scheme 11). Spectral data for azide were in complete agreement with those reported in literature. ${ }^{20}$


 $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, methanol, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 85 \%$; c) $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{MsCl}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 20 \mathrm{~min}$, quanti.; d) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMF, rt, l h, 95\%.

Having azide 26 in hand, next task was the reduction of azide to amine 22. Same reaction conditions were applied for this transformation as in chloro azide $\mathbf{1 3}$ and bromo azide 10 but unfortunately hydrogenation over $\mathrm{PtO}_{2}$, did not yield the desired amine 22, instead dehalogenated amine 27 was observed (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{PtO}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{I}$ h, $94 \%$.

Failure of this reduction made it mandatory to shift to an alternative synthetic strategy. It was thought to synthesise amine 22 in short sequence. Accordingly aldehyde 23 was treated with hydroxyl amine hydrochloride and sodium acetate in ethanol to give corresponding oxime 28 which was subsequently exposed to reduction using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in methanol but unfortunately it ended up in a complex reaction mixture. Also reduction of
$\qquad$
oxime was carried out using LAH in THF but unfortunately under this condition also the reaction ended up in complex reaction mixture (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13: Reagents and conditions: a) Hydroxylamine hydrochloride, NaOAc, ethanol, rt, 90\%; b) Pd/C, $H_{2}$, ethanol; c) LAH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$.

In spite of failing to synthesise amine 22 in short sequence, it was decided to go ahead with the original plan i. e. reduction of azide 26 to amine 22 . Thus azide 26 was subjected to reduction using Schrödinger's protocol using TPP in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (8:2) as solvent system at room temperature to afford amine 22, but $R_{f}$ values of amine and side product TPP oxide were same so it was very difficult to purify the product, and hence the amine was protected as its Boc derivative for purification using Boc anhydride, triethyl amine in DCM to furnish compound 29 (Scheme 14). IR spectrum of the product 29 indicated the presence of a carbamate by revealing absorption at $1708 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.


Scheme 14: Reagents and conditions: a) i) TPP, THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (8:2), rt; ii) Boc-anhydride, $E t_{3} N, D C M, 1$ h, $85 \%$, (over two steps); b) TFA, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 9}$ showed signal corresponding to Boc group at $\delta 1.46$ as a singlet integrating for nine protons. The doublet at $\delta 4.44$ integrating for two protons was attributed to benzylic protons ( $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-$ ). The broad singlet at $\delta 5.28$ integrating for one proton was assigned to amide proton. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 29 showed signals at $\delta 28.3$, 79.9 and 155.6 which were assigned to Boc group carbons. Signal at $\delta 47.7$ corresponded to benzylic ( $\mathrm{ArCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}-$ ) carbon. The rest of the proton and carbon signals were present at expected positions. Lastly mass spectrum of 29 showed the
peaks at $m / z 385$ and 407 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively and elemental analysis data were in good agreement with the proposed structure. Finally Boc deprotection was carried out using TFA in DCM to afford required iodo amine 22 in excellent yield (Scheme 14). Absence of peak corresponding to carbonyl group in the IR spectrum and presence of absorption at $3345 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirmed the deprotection. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 22 displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to Boc group. The signal that appeared at $\delta 3.96$ as a singlet integrating for two protons was assigned to benzylic protons while the signal that appeared at $\delta 7.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ was assigned to quinoline proton at C-4 position. The remaining aromatic protons showed two doublets at $\delta 7.75$ and 8.00 integrating for one proton each having coupling constant 8.5 Hz and two triplets at $\delta 7.53$ and 7.65 integrating for one proton each having coupling constant 8.0 Hz . Structure of 22 was further confirmed by its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra, which showed only one methylene (- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ) and five methine (-CH-) carbons. Finally, mass spectrum confirmed the formation of 22 by exhibiting a peak at $285(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$and in case of elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

Having required amine 22 in hand, next goal was to perform tandem one-pot reaction employing same reaction conditions described earlier for bromo and chloro amines 11 and 14. Thus the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated ketone 6 was subjected to one-pot Michael addition, with quinoline amine 22 in DCM at room temperature and after 30 minutes 4 equiv. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ followed by the ethyl malonyl chloride were added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to afford dihydropyridone $\mathbf{3 1}$ in 65 \% yield via the intermediate 30 (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, ethyl malonyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$, 8 h, $65 \%$.; b) DDQ, 1, 4-dioxane, reflux, 24 h, $91 \%$.

In support of the confirmation of formation of intermediate 30, it was isolated and characterized using spectroscopic techniques. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{3 0}$ showed absorptions at 1735, 1710 and $1681 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which indicated the presence of three different types of carbonyls. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 30 showed the signals that appeared at $\delta 0.88$ and 0.93 $(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.2,3 \mathrm{H})$ (due to rotamers ${\left.\left.\underline{\mathrm{CH}_{3}} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right) \text { and } \delta 1.21-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})\left(-\mathrm{COOCH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{3}\right)_{2}\right) .}^{2}$ were attributed to methyl protons. The two singlets that appeared at $\delta 3.39$ and 3.78 integrating for two protons due to mixture of rotamers were attributed to methylene ($\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ ) group protons thus confirming the condensation with malonyl chloride. The characteristic aromatic proton signals appeared at $\delta 7.56$ and 7.61 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.5,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67$7.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.98-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ thereby confirming Michael addition. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 30 revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers showing doubling of peaks viz. at $\delta 166.9,167.0,167.2,168.0,169.3,169.5,203.1$ and 204.2 which were assigned to four carbonyl carbons, while rest of proton and carbon peaks resonated at expected positions and finally the structure of $\mathbf{3 0}$ was confirmed by its mass spectra and elemental analysis. The $m / z$ peaks at 569 and 591 corresponding to ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $H)^{+}$and $(M+N a)^{+}$respectively were observed in mass spectrum and in elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

Formation of 31 was deduced by spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed no peak corresponding to the ketone carbonyl while ester and amide carbonyls showed peaks at expected positions at $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1657 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ respectively thereby confirming intramolecular Knoevenagel cyclization. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 31 revealed following characteristic resonances: Two triplets appeared at $\delta 1.31$ and 1.37 integrating for three protons each and those were assigned to the protons of two ester methyls. Two triplets at $\delta$ 0.93 and 0.98 integrating for three protons due to conformers were assigned to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$ protons. Multiplets at $\delta 2.32-2.56$ and 3.65-3.73 integrating for two protons each were assigned to ring methylene protons. Two quartets at $\delta 4.22$ and 4.36 integrating for two protons each were assigned to ester methylene protons. Benzylic protons appeared as multiplets at $\delta 4.51-5.01$ integrating for two protons while quinoline protons resonated in aromatic region at expected positions. Structure of 31 was further supported by its mass spectrum and elemental analysis, the $m / z$ peak at 573 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$was observed in mass spectrum and in elemental analysis the experimental values were in good agreement with the theoretical values.

Having synthesized the required pyridone $\mathbf{3 1}$ having iodine by a short sequence, it was subjected to aromatization using DDQ as oxidant in refluxing 1,4-dioxane as solvent for 24 h , to afford pyridone 32 in $91 \%$ yield (Scheme 15). The structure of pyridone 32 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum showed absorptions at 1735 and 1665 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of ester and amide carbonyl functionalities respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 32 showed appearance of two new signals at $\delta 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ which were assigned to vicinal pyridone ring protons thereby confirming oxidation. The triplet which appeared at $\delta 3.51$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ethyl ester $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CHCOOEt})}\right.$. Three signals appeared as triplets at $\delta 0.93,1.25$ and 1.39 was integrating for three protons each, two quartets at $\delta 4.13$ and 4.42 integrating for two protons each and two multiplets at $\delta 1.69-1.84$ and 1.97-2.11 integrating for one proton each for diastereotopic protons adjacent to chiral center were attributed to three ethyl group protons. The singlet at $\delta 5.34$ integrating for two protons was assigned to the benzylic protons adjacent to the quinoline ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 32 displayed 24 peaks corresponding to 24 carbons. DEPT spectrum showed presence of three methyl and four methylene carbons. Finally the mass spectrum of 32 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $549(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $571(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis results were also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Having the iodo pyridone 32 in hand, stage was set to form C ring of camptothecin 1. Yet again it was decided to perform the intramolecular Heck reaction for the cyclization. Pyridone 32 was subjected to Heck coupling employing different conditions as on pyridones 19 and 20 (Table 1) but frustratingly results were same as shown in Table 1.

Having failed in Heck cyclization, it was decided to go for alternative reaction for c-c bond formation. Comins ${ }^{21}$ employed radical cyclization for C ring formation of camptothecin 1. Similarly, pyridone 32 was subjected to radical cyclization using tributyltin hydride, with AIBN as radical initiator in benzene or toluene at room temperature to reflux conditions to afford the expected compound 33 in $10 \%$ yield along with dehalogenated compound 34 in >10\% yield (Scheme 16).

Though the desired cyclization was achieved, the yield and selectivity was extremely unacceptable. To improve yield of reaction, a variety of conditions were tried by changing addition sequence, rate of addition and addition temperature. Unfortunately
none of the trials gave satisfactory results. In all cases, either reaction ended up with complex reaction mixture or very low yield of required compound 33 .


Scheme 16: Reagents and conditions: a) $B u_{3} S n H, A I B N$, benzene, rt to reflux; b) $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN}$, toluene, rt to reflux.

In spite of failing to improve yield of radical cyclization on pyridone 32, it was decided to go for original plan i.e. Heck cyclization. Accordingly it was decided to change solvent from conventional to ionic liquid. ${ }^{22}$ A literature search revealed the application of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) having molecular weight 2000 as an efficient reaction medium for Pd-catalyzed Heck reaction. ${ }^{23}$ Instead of going for ionic liquid, it was decided to apply these conditions (PEG) for Heck coupling on pyridone 32.

Gratifyingly, pyridone 32 was subjected to Heck coupling using PEG 2000 as solvent, triethylamine and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ at $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford the pyridone 2 in $84 \%$ yield (Scheme 17).


Scheme 17: Reagents and conditions: a) $P E G-2000, E t_{3} N, P d(O A c)_{2}, 90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$.

The formation of compound 2 was confirmed by spectral data. IR spectrum of 2 showed strong absorption bands at 1735,1727 and $1649 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of aliphatic ester, aromatic ester and amide functionality respectively. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR showed singlet at $\delta 7.50$ integrating for one proton and was assigned to pyridone proton thereby confirming coupling to have taken place. The triplet at $\delta 3.73$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to ethyl ester. The three triplets at $\delta 0.99,1.26$ and 1.43 were assigned to three methyl protons. Two quartets at $\delta 4.19$ and 4.47 integrating two protons each were assigned to ester methylene protons. The singlet at $\delta 5.25$ integrating for two
protons was assigned to the benzylic protons adjacent to the quinoline ring, while rest of the protons resonated at expected positions. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR revealed 24 carbon signals corresponding to the proposed structure. The compound $\mathbf{2}$ is a common key intermediate in our previous approaches for camptothecin $\mathbf{1 .} .^{24,25}$ All the data of $\mathbf{2}$ were in complete agreement with reported data.

Alternatively diester compound 32 could be obtained from compound 35, which was synthesized from $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compound 6 (described in section I of this chapter). Accordingly, the compound 35 was subjected to $N$-debenzylation using $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ at $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ethanol under hydrogen atmosphere to afford the amine 36 in $96 \%$ yield (Scheme 18).


Scheme 18: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$. b) $t$ - $\mathrm{BuO}^{-} \mathrm{K}^{+}$, 25, DME, reflux, 8 h, $86 \%$.

Formation of pyridone 36 was confirmed by spectroscopic techniques. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra showed absence of aromatic protons and carbon resonances corresponding to benzyl group, while all other proton and carbon peaks resonated at expected positions in respective spectra in accordance with the proposed structure $\mathbf{3 6}$. Finally the mass spectrum of 36 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $282(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$and $304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Having pyridone 36 in hand, it was subjected to condensation with mesyl compound 25 using potassium tertiary butoxide as the base in DME as solvent at reflux temperature to afford required pyridone 32 in $86 \%$ yield. The pyridone 32 thus obtained was identical to the one obtained earlier (Scheme 15) in all respects.

Since the pyridone 2 has been converted to ( + ) camptothecin by this group ${ }^{25,26}$ via lactol 38 and enol ether 39 and enantioselectivity was achieved using Sharpless dihydroxylation (Scheme 19). This constitutes a formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin 1.

Thus, the enol ether 39 was treated with catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ and (DHQD) $2^{-}$ PYR, 3 equivalent $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ as a re-oxidant, 3 equivalent $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, 1 equivalent
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ as an additive to enhance the rate of reaction in $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h to furnish the diol. Subsequently the resultant diol was oxidized into target $S$-(+)camptothecin 1 in $33 \%$ yield using $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1) at room temperature for 24 hours by this group. ${ }^{26}$ However, the yield was improved upto $83 \%$ by changing the ratio of $\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ from $10: 1$ to $2: 1$ which was recently reported by Yao et al. ${ }^{27}$



Scheme 19: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H (3.0 equiv), dry THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$, $83 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; c) $\mathrm{MsCl}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, anhydrous THF, rt, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; d) (DHQD) ${ }_{2}-\mathrm{PYR}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ (cat.), $\mathrm{K}_{3} \mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{CN})_{6}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ (1.0 equiv), $t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; e) $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CaCO}_{3}$ (12.5 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (10:1), rt, 24 h .

### 2.2.5 Conclusion

Enantioselective formal synthesis of (+)-camptothecin was achieved employing one-pot tandem aza-Michael addition-condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride and intramolecular "Knoevenagel" reaction and Heck cyclization as the key step. Another important feature of this synthesis is the installation of the chiral center in an enantioselective manner employing Sharpless dihydroxylation as the key step at the end.

### 2.2.6 Experimental

(2-Iodoquinolin-3-yl) methyl methanesulfonate (25)


To a solution of (2-iodoquinolin-3-yl) methanol 24 ( $10 \mathrm{~g}, 35.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added triethylamine (9.7 $\mathrm{mL}, 70.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and after five minutes of stirring mesyl chloride ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 52.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and the temperature was raised to room temperature. After 20 minutes, the mixture was quenched with saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted twice with dichloromethane. The combined organic layers were washed with $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the product 25 in quantitative yield ( 12.7 g ) as a yellow solid.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{INO}_{3} \mathrm{~S}$ (MW: 363.17).
Melting Point
$: 111-113{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
IR (Neat) : 1605, 1351, 1171, $860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 3.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.85 (d, $J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.06 (d, $J=8.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 38.0,72.7,121.4,126.5,127.8,127.9,128.3,129.8$, 131.0, 136.6, 148.9.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $364(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 36.38; H, 2.78; N, 3.86, I, 34.94\%
: Found: C, 36.35; H, 2.75; N, 3.84, I, 34.89\%

## 3-(Azidomethyl)-2-iodoquinoline (26)




To (2-iodoquinolin-3-yl) methyl methanesulfonate 25 (5 g, 13.7 mmol) dissolved in dimethylformamide ( 28 mL ), was added sodium azide ( $0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 15.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After one hour, the reaction was quenched with water ( 80 mL ), extracted with ethyl acetate and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was filtered through a silica bed with ethyl acetate/pet ether (1:9) to afford the azide 26 as a yellow solid ( $5.5 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ ).
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{IN}_{4}$ (MW: 310.09).

```
Melting Point : 60-61 ' C.
IR (CHCl 3) : 2103, 1612, 1151 cm
'1}\mathbf{H NMR (CDCl , 200 MHz): \delta 4.60(s, 2H), 7.59(t, J=6.9 Hz, 1H), 7.73 (t, J=6.9 Hz,
    1H), 7.82 (d, J=8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.0 (s, 1H), 8.05 (d, J=6.9
    Hz, 1H).
```

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 57.4,122.9,127.0,127.7$ (2C), 128.5, 130.5, 132.0, 135.2, 148.4.
Mass (ESI) m/z : $311(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 333(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 38.73; H, 2.28; I, 40.92; N, 18.07\%
: Found: C, 38.71; H, 2.22; I, 40.92; N, 18.01\%
tert-Butyl ((2-iodoquinolin-3-yl) methyl) carbamate (29)


To a solution of azide 26 ( $3 \mathrm{~g}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40: 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added triphenylphosphine ( 3.8 g , 14.5 mmol ). After 3 hours, the reaction mixture was extracted with dichloromethane, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to give the crude amine. The crude amine was dissolved in dry dichloromethane ( 40 mL ) and triethylamine ( $4.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. After 5 minutes of stirring the Boc-anhydride ( $2.64 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise. After 1 h , the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted with dichloromethane. The organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification on silica gel using pet ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) afforded the carbamate 29 as a white solid ( $3.1 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ (MW: 384.21).
Melting Point $\quad: 142-144^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ) : 3453, 3019, 1708, 1588, 1215, $860 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 1.46(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 4.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.28$ (bs, 1H), 7.57
(t, $J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $7.70(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{5 M H z}\right): \delta 28.3$ (3C), 47.7, 79.9, 123.8, 127.1, 127.3, 127.6, 128.2,
130.0, 134.6, 135.1, 148.5, 155.6.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $385(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 407(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 46.89; H, 4.46; I, 33.03; N, 7.29\%
: Found: C, 46.87; H, 4.44; I, 33.05; N, 7.28\%

## (2-Iodoquinolin-3-yl) methanamine (22)



To a solution of $29(2 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(15 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added trifluoroacetic acid ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the mixture was stirred at rt for 1 h . After completion of reaction (TLC), solvent was evaporated to furnish amine 22 ( $1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ), which was pure enough for further use.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{IN}_{2}$ (MW: 284.10).
IR (Neat) : 3345, 1588, 1352, 1215, $862 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65(\mathrm{t}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), 7.75 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.98 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.00 (d, $J=8.5$ Hz, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 49.4,124.6,127.0,127.2,127.4,127.5,128.5,129.9$ 133.8, 148.4.

Mass (ESI) m/z<br>: $285(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.<br>Elemental analysis<br>: Calculated: C, 42.28; H, 3.19; I, 44.67; N, 9.86\%<br>: Found: C, 42.21; H, 3.15; I, 44.61; N, 9.81\%

## Ethyl 5-(3-ethoxy-N-((2-iodoquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-3-oxopropanamido)-2-ethyl-3oxopentanoate (30)



To a stirred solution of amine $22(2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL}), \alpha, \beta$-unsaturated keto compound 6 ( $1.45 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir for 30 min . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ ( $1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added followed by dropwise addition of ethyl malonyl chloride (1.3 $\mathrm{mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion ( 1 h , TLC), and then was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and
concentrated on a rotary evaporator under diminished pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as an eluent to afford dihydropyridone $\mathbf{3 0}$ as a colorless liquid ( $3.45 \mathrm{~g}, 70 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{MW}: 568.40)$.
IR (Neat) : 1735, 1710, 1681, 1600, 1435, 1220, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 0.88$ and $0.93(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.21-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 1.77-1.91 (m, 2H), 2.03-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.80-3.10 (m, 1H), 3.38 and 3.40 (2t, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.64-3.73 (m, 2H), 3.39 and 3.78 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.09-4.39 (m, 4H), 4.62-5.02 (m, 2H), 7.56 and 7.61 ( $2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.67-7.76 (m, 1H), 7.80$7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98-8.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. (Mixture of rotamers)
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,11.9,14.0,14.1,21.4,21.5,29.6,39.7,40.1,40.9$, 41.2, 42.6, 42.9, 51.8, 56.7, 60.5, 60.7, 61.4, 61.6, 61.7, $121.8,123.2,127.0,127.4,127.5,127.6,127.7,127.8$, $128.0,128.3,128.4,130.1,130.5,131.7,131.9,133.1$, $134.4,148.7,148.8,166.9,167.0,167.2,168.0,169.3$, 169.5, 203.1, 204.2. (Mixture of rotamer)

Mass (ESI) m/z : $569(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 591(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 50.71; H, 5.14; I, 22.33; N, 4.93\%
: Found: C, 50.69; H, 5.15; I, 22.31; N, 4.92\%
Ethyl 4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-((2-iodoquinolin-3-yl) methyl)-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (31)



Method A: To the stirred solution of diester $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( 2 g , 3.51 mmol ) in dry dichloromethane ( 10 mL ), was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(1.4 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and allowed to stir for 5 h at room temperature. After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the reaction was quenched with addition of saturated solution of ammonium chloride and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure which resulted in
the residue which was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as eluent to yield dihydropyridone 31 ( $1.7 \mathrm{~g}, 92 \%$ ).

Method B: To a stirred solution of amine $\mathbf{3 0}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 7.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, keto compound $6(1.19 \mathrm{~g}, 7.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added dropwise at room temperature and allowed to stir for 20 min . After the completion of the reaction (TLC), $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(3.8 \mathrm{~g}, 28.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added followed by drop wise addition of ethyl malonyl chloride $(1.05 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.73$ mmol ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature until completion ( $8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), and then it was filtered and the residue was washed with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was washed with $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated under diminished pressure. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (3:7) as an eluent to afford the dihydropyridone 31 ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ) as yellowish thick oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW: 550.39).
IR (Neat) : 1734, 1657, 1605, 1450, 1365, 1235, $867 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.93$ and $0.98(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 3 H ), 1.37 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.97$ (m, 2H), 2.32-2.56 (m, 2H), 3.25-3.40 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.73 (m, 2H), 4.22 (q, $J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.36 (q, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.53-7.56 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.72 (m, 1H), 7.77-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.85-8.07 (m, 2H).

Mass (ESI) m/z : $573(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 52.37; H, 4.94; N, 5.09; I, 23.06\%
: Found: C, 52.38; H, 4.94; N, 5.08; I, 22.99\%

Ethyl 1-((2-bromoquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2,5,6-tetrahydropyridine-3-carboxylate (17)


Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW: 503.39).
IR (Neat) : 1734, 1680, 1600, 1450, $1365,1235,867 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.92$ and $0.97(2 \mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37$ (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.58$ (m, 2H), 3.25-3.40 (m, 1H), 3.65-3.78 (m, 2H), $4.22(\mathrm{q}, J=$ $7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.36(\mathrm{q}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.53-7.56 (m, 1H), 7.65-7.72 (m, 1H), 7.77-7.81 (m, 1H), 7.85-8.07 (m, 2H).

Mass (ESI) m/z : 504 and $506(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 526: 528(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Ethyl 4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate (36)


To a well-stirred solution of compound 35 ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 2.69 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in EtOH $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}(0.075 \mathrm{~g}, 0.53 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under hydrogen ballon. The progress of reaction was monitored by TLC ( 5 h ) and after the disappearance of starting material, the reaction mixture was filtered on celite and residue was washed with EtOH ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The solvent was removed in vacuo to furnish the desired amine 36 as thick oil ( $0.727 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

| Mol. Formula | $: \mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}(\mathrm{MW}: 281.30)$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| IR (Neat) | $: 1735,1718,1695,1629,1521,1477,1217 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.91(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.23(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.87(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50$ (t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13$ (q, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42$ (q, $J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 6.39 (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43$ (d, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 13.4 (bs, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,14.0,14.1,25.7,49.9,61.2,61.6,105.6,125.0$, 135.6, 151.2, 162.2, 165.8, 171.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 59.78; H, 6.81; N, 4.98\%
: Found: C, 59.76; H, 6.82; N, 4.96\%.
$\qquad$

Ethyl 4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-1-((2-iodoquinolin-3-yl)-methyl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate (32)


Method A: To the stirred solution of dihydropyridone 31 ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 3.63 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1 , 4-dioxane ( 20 mL ), was added DDQ ( $0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 3.99$ mmol) and reaction mixture was refluxed till the completion of reaction ( $24 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and quenched with addition of $10 \% \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution, the organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate-pet ether to obtain pyridone 32 ( $1.81 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%$ ).

Method B: To the stirred solution of $\mathbf{3 6}(0.3 \mathrm{~g}, 1.06 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 10 mL of dry DME at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added $\mathrm{KO} t \mathrm{Bu}(0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 1.59 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting yellow suspension was stirred at ambient temperature for 30 min , and then mesyl compound 25 ( $0.42 \mathrm{~g}, 1.16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise in dry DME. After completion of reaction (TLC, 2 h ), the reaction mixture was cooled and quenched with water. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with $30 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether to obtain pyridone 32 ( $0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ) as yellow sticky solid.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(\mathrm{MW}: 548.37)$.
IR (Neat) : 1735, 1718, 1665, 1600, 1521, 1350, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51$
(t, $J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$
$\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.34 (s, 2H), 6.39 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{t}, J=$
$7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.62 (d, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{t}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
1 H ), 7.85 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.00 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.37
(s, 1H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 11.8,14.0,14.1,25.7,49.7,50.4,61.2,61.7,104.9$, $125.9,126.7,127.0,127.3,127.9,128.1,130.9,138.3$, 140.5, 147.2, 149.45, 149.48, 159.5, 165.7, 171.17.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $549(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 571(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 52.57; H, 4.60; I, 23.14; N, 5.11\%
: Found: C, 52.53; H, 4.63; I, 23.11; N, 5.08\%
Ethyl 1-((2-bromoquinolin-3-yl)methyl)-4-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridine-3-carboxylate (19)

Mol. Formula
IR (Neat) : $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW: 501.37). :1735, 1718, 1664, 1600, 1521, 1350, $856 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): & \delta 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.24(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39(\mathrm{t}, \\
& J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50 \\
& (\mathrm{t}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \\
& \mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J= \\
& 7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, \\
& 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.36 \\
& \text { (s, 1H). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Mass (ESI) m/z : 524 and $526(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Ethyl 7-(1-ethoxy-1-oxobutan-2-yl)-9-oxo-9, 11-dihydroindolizino[1,2-b]quinoline-8carboxylate (2)


A mixture of pyridone 32 ( $0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), PEG-2000 $(4 \mathrm{~g})$, triethylamine ( $0.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ ( $0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was placed in a 10 mL round bottomed flask and stirred at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction ( $10 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ), the reaction mixture was cooled and water was added and extracted with cold diethyl ether ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) eluting with $80 \%$ ethyl
acetate-petroleum ether as a solvent system to yield pyridone 2 as a pale yellow solid ( $0.128 \mathrm{~g}, 84 \%$ yield).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ (MW: 420.46).
Melting point $\quad: 171-173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ Lit. 172-173 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$.
IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \quad: 1735,1727,1649,1215,757,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0 ~ M H z}\right): \delta 0.99(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{t}$, $J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-2.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73$ (t, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.19(\mathrm{q}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2$ Hz, 2H), 5.25 (s, 2H), 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.65 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.83 (t, $J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.91 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 8.27 (d, $J$ $=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 12.0,14.1,14.2,25.7,49.9,50.0,61.1,61.5,99.6,125.2$, $127.8,127.9,128.0,128.8,129.5,130.4,130.9,146.0$, 148.5, 150.3, 152.0, 158.0, 165.7, 171.6.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $421(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}, 443(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 68.56; H, 5.75; N, 6.66\%
: Found: C, 68.56, H, 5.74, N, 6.65\%

### 2.2.7 Spectra



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $25\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$






DEPT spectrum of compound $29\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$














${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 2. Tandem one-pot reaction approaches towards the synthesis of camptothecin



Formal synthesis of camptothecin via tricyclic Cactone as key synthon

### 2.3.1 Summary

The present section deals with the formal total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ via tricyclic lactone 3, which is most versatile synthon for the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues.

### 2.3.2 Introduction

The clinical use of camptothecin has been limited owing to its insolubility and toxicity, but extensive structure-activity relationship studies have identified its various analogues having better solubility and with equal or better antitumor activity, which resurged the interest of the chemists as well as oncologists. The New York academy of science organized two international meetings devoted exclusively to camptothecin in the year 1996 and 2000. ${ }^{1,2}$ As of 2003 August, of the 2255 cancer clinical trials recorded, $5.3 \%$ comprises of camptothecin derived drugs, including irinotecan ${ }^{3}$ and topotecan ${ }^{4}$ either as single agents or in combination with other anticancer agents. ${ }^{5}$ Stimulated by the excellent biological activity, unique mode of action and challenging structure it has been the compound of choice spanning four decades for both medicinal as well as synthetic chemists. Synthetic approaches for these analogues have typically involved synthesis of suitably functionalized CDE-rings or DE-rings or precursors thereof, which was then coupled with appropriate counterparts either predominantly through Friedlander coupling or by radical or Heck cyclization respectively. Thinking on these lines, it was envisioned to synthesize the CDE-ring fragment, which is most versatile synthon for the synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues.

### 2.3.3 Present work

As described in earlier sections, racemic as well as enantioselective synthesis of camptothecin 1 was achieved by convergent (via DE synthon) and linear manner employing tandem one-pot reactions. In this section the formal synthesis of ( $\pm$ )camptothecin 1 via CDE tricyclic lactone followed by coupling with suitable AB-ring counterparts, predominantly through Friedlander coupling to get pentacyclic frame of camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$, is described.

As shown in the retrosynthetic analysis (Scheme 1), camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ could be synthesized from pentacyclic lactone 2 by alkylation followed by hydroxylation, which in
turn could be obtained from tricyclic lactone 3 via Friedlander coupling. Tricyclic lactone $\mathbf{3}$ could be realized from pyridone $\mathbf{4}$ via lactone formation followed by decarboxylation.


## Scheme 1

Pyridone $\mathbf{4}$ could be synthesised by oxidation of dihydropyridone 5, which in turn could be realized from diester $\mathbf{6}$ via cyclization. The diester $\mathbf{6}$ could be accessed from compound $\mathbf{7}$ by Cbz deprotection and condensation. Compound 7 could be synthesised from urethane 8, which in turn could be synthesized from commercially available glycine ethyl ester hydrochloride salt 9.

### 2.3.4 Results and discussion

According to planned retrosynthetic analysis, the intermediate 8 was synthesized from readily available ethyl ester of glycine hydrochloride 9 as per reported procedure ${ }^{6}$ described by this group (Scheme 2). Glycine ester hydrochloride 9 was subjected to imine formation with triethylamine and benzaldehyde in DCM to afford imine 10 in $98 \%$ yield. Alkylation of O'Donnell's Schiff's base $\mathbf{1 0}$ with allyl bromide in presence of TBAHSO 4 as phase transfer catalyst using $10 \%$ aq NaOH furnished the allylated Schiff's base $\mathbf{1 1}$ in excellent yield. Acidic hydrolysis of the 11 liberated the free amine 12, which in turn was protected as a carbamate 13 using CbzCl with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in DCM in $96 \%$ yield.
$\qquad$

Urethane 13 underwent one-pot Michael addition followed by Dieckmann cyclization with ethyl acrylate using NaH as a base to afford the keto ester 14 in $72 \%$ yield. Keto ester 14 without purification was subjected to decarboxylation under Krapcho's conditions ${ }^{7}$ to furnish the keto compound $\mathbf{8}$ in $78 \%$ yield. Keto compound $\mathbf{8}$ was protected as its acetal using ethylene glycol to afford urethane $\mathbf{1 5}$ in $90 \%$ yield. The structures of compounds $\mathbf{1 0}$ to $\mathbf{1 5}$ were confirmed by spectral analysis and were in complete agreement with literature data. ${ }^{6,8}$



Scheme 2: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (1.2 equiv), PhCHO (0.9 equiv), molecular sieves, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; b) $10 \% \mathrm{NaOH}$, allyl bromide (1.2 equiv), $\mathrm{TBAHSO}_{4}$ (0.1 equiv), $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, r t, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; c) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ (1.5 equiv), rt, $0.5 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$; d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (3.0 equiv), benzyl chloroformate (1.1 equiv), anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; e) NaH (1.2 equiv), ethyl acrylate (1.2 equiv), $C_{6} H_{6}, r t, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, reflux, $2-3 \mathrm{~h}, 72 \%$; f) NaCl (4.0 equiv), DMSO- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), 120-130 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 78 \%$; g) 1, 2-Ethane diol, PTSA, benzene, reflux, 8 h , $90 \%$.

Having urethane 15 (C ring) in hand, next task was to build D ring of camptothecin 1. Thus urethane 15 was subjected to oxidative cleavage by using catalytic osmium tetroxide followed by $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ to afford the crude aldehyde, which on treatment with oxone ${ }^{9}$ in methanol/ethanol at room temperature afforded corresponding ester urethane 16 in $60 \%$ yield along with acid 18 in $25 \%$ yield (Scheme 3). The formation of ester 16 and acid 18 was confirmed by spectroscopic data. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 6}$ displayed strong absorption band at $1734 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ which indicated the presence of ester carbonyl. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of ester $\mathbf{1 6}$ showed signal at $\delta 1.26$ as a triplet integrating for three protons and was assigned to methyl group ( $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$-) protons. In addition to these peaks, three multiplets appeared at $\delta$ 1.88-2.21 ( $\left.-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\right)$, 2.38-2.85 ( $\left.-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}-\right)$ and 3.35-3.59 ( $\left.-\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \underline{\mathrm{CH}}-\right)$
$\qquad$
integrating for five protons. The triplet at $\delta 3.80$ integrating for two protons was assigned to methylene protons next to ring nitrogen. The multiplet that appeared at $\delta$ 3.98-4.22 integrating for six protons was assigned to acetal and ester methylene protons which were merged, while benzylic and aromatic protons resonated at $\delta 5.07-5.23$ as multiplet integrating for two protons and a singlet at $\delta 7.33$ integrating for five protons respectively. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers by revealing doubling of some peaks. Peaks that appeared at $\delta 154.4,154.5$ (-NCO-) and 170.7 (-COOEt) were assigned to two carbonyl groups. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 6}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 16 showed the $m / z$ peaks at 350 (M $+1)^{+}$and $372(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.


Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: a) i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$, acetone: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, 3$ h; ii) Oxone, ethanol, rt, 16 h, $60 \%$; b) Diazomethane, diethyl ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 95 \%$.

Acid 18 was also characterized by using spectral techniques. IR spectrum showed presence of acid by revealing a characteristic absorption at $3410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 8}$ showed signal at $\delta 5.12-5.17$ as a multiplet integrating for two protons which was assigned to benzylic protons, while aromatic protons showed singlet at $\delta 7.34$ integrating for five protons. The doublet of doublet at 2.41 integrating for one proton was assigned to methine proton. Rest of the protons resonated at expected positions as multiplets. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers. Its mass spectrum revealed a peak of $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$at 322 , and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$at 344 which confirmed the formation of acid 18. Finally, acid was confirmed by converting it into required ester by treatment with diazomethane to afford methyl ester 17 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 3).

Having requisite ester 16 in hand, next task was the Cbz deprotection and condensation. Thus, ester 16 was subjected to Cbz deprotection by using $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ at 60 psi pressure followed by condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as the base in dry DCM to afford the amide 6 in $88 \%$ yield over two steps (Scheme 4). The formation of
$\qquad$

6 was confirmed by spectral analysis. IR spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ showed the absorption bands at 1731 and $1647 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ corresponding to ester and amide carbonyl respectively.


Scheme 4: Reagents and conditions: a) i) Pd/C, ethanol, 60 psi, 2 h; ii) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, ethyl malonyl chloride, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{rt}, 88 \%$; b) NaH, ethanol, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 6 displayed the disappearance of singlet corresponding to aromatic protons while appearance of two new triplets at $\delta 1.26$ and 1.28 integrating for three protons each were assigned to two ester methyl group protons, confirming the deprotection of Cbz and condensation with ethyl malonyl chloride, while rest of proton peaks resonated at expected positions. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 6 revealed it to be a mixture of rotamers. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{6}$ showed $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 330 and 352 corresponding to $(M+H)^{+}$, and $(M+N a)^{+}$respectively. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Amide 6 was treated with sodium hydride in ethanol at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt to yield the cyclised compound which existed in keto form 19. The formation of 19 was confirmed by its IR spectrum (revealed characteristic absorptions at 1732, 1710, $1654 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and without purification it was immediately subjected to next reaction with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$ in anhydrous dichloromethane at reflux temperature to furnish chloro compound $\mathbf{2 0}$. The resulting chloro compound 20 was unstable, so straight away it was subjected to additionelimination reaction by treatment with diethyl malonate using sodium hydride as the base in anhydrous benzene at room temperature, overnight, to afford the product 5 in $65 \%$ yield (Scheme 5). IR spectrum of 5 showed a strong absorption band at $1732 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester functionality. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5 displayed multiplet at $\delta 1.25-$ 1.38 integrating for nine protons which was assigned to ester methyl groups. Singlet that appeared at $\delta 4.77$ integrating for one proton was assigned to the proton flanked between two ester carbonyls thus confirming addition of diethyl malonate.


Scheme 5: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}$, reflux, 4 h ; b) NaH, diethyl malonate, dry benzene, rt, overnight, $65 \%$.

Other characteristic resonances were observed at $\delta 2.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8$ and 5.05 Hz , $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$ and $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$ and $5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ assigned to protons at chiral center and adjecent diastereotopic protons, multiplets at $\delta 3.94-4.03$ and 4.19-4.38 integrating for four and six protons respectively were assigned to acetal protons and ester methyl group protons, multiplets at $\delta$ 2.04-2.08 and 3.48-3.74 integrating for two protons each assigned to methyl protons in five membered ring containing nitrogen. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 5 showed seven peaks for eight methylene carbons. Peaks at $\delta 65.2$ and 113.4 were ascribed to acetal carbons while rest of carbons associated with compound resonated at expected values. The mass spectrum of compound 5 showed the $m / z$ peaks at $426(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $448(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was in good agreement with calculated values.

As camptothecin $\mathbf{1}$ has ethyl group at C-20, it was thought to introduce ethyl group during addition-elimination steps. Accordingly, chloro compound 20 was subjected to addition-elimination with diethyl 2-ethylmalonate using strong bases like LDA and NaH in THF at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature but unfortunately it led to a complex reaction mixture (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6: Reagents and conditions: a) $L D A$, THF, diethyl 2-ethylmalonate, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$; b) NaH, THF, diethyl 2-ethylmalonate, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$-rt.
$\qquad$

Having failed in addition of diethyl 2-ethylmalonate, it was thought to introduce ethyl group by utilizing ethyl butyrate. Thus, chloro compound 20 was subjected to addition-elimination with ethyl butyrate using LDA as a strong base in THF at $-78{ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$ to room temperature but unfortunately reaction ended up with complex reaction mixture (Scheme 7).



20
Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) $L D A, T H F$, ethyl butyrate, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}-r t$.
Since addition-elimination of diethyl 2-ethylmalonate and ethyl butyrate failed, it was thought to go ahead with triester 5 and to introduce ethyl group at later stages. Accordingly, triester 5 was subjected to the aromatization using DDQ as oxidant in 1, 4dioxane at reflux conditions for 48 h to afford the pyridone 4 in $96 \%$ yield (Scheme 8 ). IR spectrum of $\mathbf{4}$ showed a strong absorption band corresponding to carbonyl. The ${ }^{1} H$ NMR spectrum of 4 showed the disappearance of three doublet of doublets integrating for one proton each and appearance of one new singlet at $\delta 6.47$ integrating for one proton which was assigned to aromatic proton thus confirming the aromatization. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{4}$ revealed the presence of two methine carbons at $\delta 54.4$ and 100 which were assigned to tertiary methine and aromatic methine carbon respectively, while rest of the carbons resonated in agreement with proposed structure and lastly structure of compound $\mathbf{4}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of compound 4 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $424(M+1)^{+}$and $446(M+N a)^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.


Scheme 8: Reaqents and conditions: a) DDQ, 1,4-dioxane, reflux, 48 h, $96 \%$.

Having the pyridone 4 in hand, attention was turned to introduction of ethyl group at required position. But under various conditions tried, the desired transformation could not be achieved; instead it ended up in a complex reaction mixture (Scheme 9).


## Scheme 9

Having failure in introduction of ethyl group, it was thought to build lactone ring first. In that direction, the next task was to selectively reduce heteroaromatic ester to aldehyde. Earlier ${ }^{6}$ the selective reduction of heteroaromatic ester in presence of aliphatic ester employing DIBAL-H was accomplished by this group. Therefore, pyridone 4 was subjected to selective reduction of aromatic ester using 3 eq. of DIBAL-H in THF as solvent at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford selectively reduced aromatic aldehyde 23 in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 10).


Scheme 10: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H,THF, $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; b) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$, THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $5 \mathrm{~min}, 90 \%$.
$\qquad$

IR spectrum of 23 showed a strong absorption band at $2720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of aldehydic CH strech. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 23 displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to aromatic ester and a new singlet that appeared at $\delta 10.47$ integrating for one proton was assigned to aldehyde proton. The deshielded singlet at $\delta$ 6.08 integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to two carbonyls (-COCHCO-). The triplet at $\delta 1.28$ integrating for six protons and quartet at $\delta 4.24$ integrating for four protons having coupling constant 7.2 Hz was assigned to aliphatic ester group protons. The multiplet at $\delta 4.12-4.18$ integrating for six protons was assigned to acetal and methylene protons next to nitrogen. The triplet at $\delta 2.43$ integrating for two protons was assigned to methylene in five membered ring. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of 23 revealed the presence of aldehyde carbonyl carbon by resonance at $\delta 192.1$ while rest of the carbons associated with structure resonated at expected positions. The mass spectrum of compound 23 displayed the $m / z$ peaks at $380(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $402(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and its elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

With aldehyde 23 in hand, a reduction followed by cyclization would give the desired tricyclic lactone 24 . Thus aldehyde 23 on treatment with 1 eq. of sodium borohydride in THF: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min furnished the lactone 24 in $90 \%$ yield (Scheme 10). IR spectrum of 24 showed strong absorption bands at 1734, 1722 and 1647 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of ester, lactone and amide functionalities respectively. The ${ }^{1}$ H NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 4}$ displayed the absence of peaks corresponding to aldehyde, and the presence of two new doublets that appeared at $\delta 5.36$ and $5.52(J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$ which were attributed to the lactone methylene protons. The shielded singlet at $\delta 4.50$ integrating for one proton was assigned to proton $\alpha$ to carbonyl group. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 24 revealed the presence of 16 carbons and DEPT spectrum displayed six methylene carbons and only one methyl carbon. The mass spectrum of lactone 24 showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peaks at 336 and 358 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$and $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

Having tricyclic lactone 24 in hand, acetal deprotection, ester hydrolysis and decarboxylation was achieved in one pot by refluxing lactone 24 with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ for 6 h to afford the tricyclic lactone 3 in $82 \%$ yield (Scheme 11). IR spectrum of 3 showed strong absorption bands at 1722,1710 and $1667 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of lactone, ketone and amide carbonyls respectively.


Scheme 11: Reagents and conditions: a) $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}, 90-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 82 \%$; b) Ref. $10 ; \mathrm{c}$ ) Ref. 11, 12, 13.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 3 showed the absence of peaks corresponding to ester, and the presence of two new singlets that appeared at $\delta 3.66$ and 5.44 integrating for two protons each were assigned to lactone methylene protons. The two triplets at $\delta 2.97$ and 4.35 integrating for two protons each having coupling constant 7.0 Hz were assigned to methylene protons in five membered ring containing nitrogen. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR along with DEPT spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ revealed the presence of four methylene carbons and one methine carbon. The mass spectrum of 3 showed the $m / z$ peaks at 219 and 242 corresponding to $(\mathrm{M})^{+}$and ( $\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na})^{+}$respectively. Lactone $\mathbf{3}$ is the common intermediate in Shamma's synthesis ${ }^{10}$ which could be converted to ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin 1 by a three-step sequence i.e. Friedlander condensation with 2-amino benzaldehyde to give pentacyclic core 2 which on alkylation and hydroxylation furnished ( $\pm$ )-camptothecin $1 .{ }^{11,12,13}$ This constitutes a formal synthesis of camptothecin.

### 2.3.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, a formal synthesis of $( \pm)$-camptothecin via tricyclic lactone 3, which is versatile synthon for synthesis of camptothecin and its analogues, employing simple reaction conditions and from cheap, commercially available starting material with an overall yield of $12.1 \%$ is achieved.
$\qquad$

### 2.3.6 Experimental

1-Benzyl 3-ethyl 5-allyl-4-oxopyrrolidine-1, 3-dicarboxylate (14)


To the stirred suspention of of sodium hydride $(1.73 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \mathrm{mmol})$ ( $50 \%$ suspention prewashed with dry pet ether $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in dry benzene ( 100 mL ), was added urethane $\mathbf{1 3}(10 \mathrm{~g}, 36 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry benzene ( 50 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred till evolution of hydrogen ceased. To the generated sodium salt was dropwise added ethyl acrylate (4.2 $\mathrm{mL}, 39.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in benzene $(50 \mathrm{~mL})$, over 20 min . The mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 30 mins and then refluxed for 2 h . The reaction mixture was quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish crude $\beta$-keto ester 14 which on purification by column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $25 \%$ ethyl acetate in pet ether as eluent furnished $\beta$-keto ester $14(8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 72 \%)$ as colorless oil.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{5}$ (MW: 331.36).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 1730,1700,1410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45-3.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-4.55(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.20(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 14.1,14.2,14.3,34.3,35.5,45.3,45.9,48.9,49.1,53.3$, $60.6,62.1,62.2,67.0,119.2,119.7,120.1,128.0,128.2$, $128.3,128.6,131.6,131.8,132.2,136.3,136.5,136.8$, $154.3,154.5,154.8,166.2,167.5,169.2$ (Mixture of rotamers).
Mass (ESI) m/z : $354(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 65.24; H, 6.39; N, 4.23\%
: Found: C, 64.35; H, 6.20; N, 4.40\%

## Benzyl 2-allyl-3-oxopyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (8)



To the stirred solution of $\beta$-keto ester $14(10 \mathrm{~g}, 30.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in DMSO: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), was added $\mathrm{NaCl}(7 \mathrm{~g}, 120 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the mixture was stirred under reflux for 3 h . The reaction mixture was cooled and water ( 50 mL ) was added and extracted twice with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30$
$\qquad$
mL ). The combined organic phases were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filterd and concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish keto compound 8 ( $6.1 \mathrm{~g}, 78 \%$ ) as yellow oil, which was pure enough for further use.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{3}$ (MW: 259.30).
IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \quad: 1722,1702,1216,1053,762,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 2.34-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.49-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87-4.14(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.99-5.26 (m, 4H), 5.54-5.74 (m, 1H), $7.36(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 35.4,35.9,42.0,61.7,67.0,119.4,127.8,128.0$ (2C), 128.3 (2C), 131.6, 136.1, 154.7, 212.5.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $260(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 282(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 69.48; H, 6.61; N, 5.40\%
: Found: C, 69.57; H, 6.73; N, 5.34\%

## Benzyl 6-allyl-1,4-dioxa-7-azaspiro[4.4]nonane-7-carboxylate (15)



A mixture of keto compound $\mathbf{8}(15 \mathrm{~g}, 57.84 \mathrm{mmol})$, ethylene glycol (4.8 $\mathrm{mL}, 186.72 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount of PTSA ( 500 mg ) in benzene was refluxed azeotropically for 8 h . The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and diluted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with saturated sodium bicarbonate solution. The aquoues layer was further extracted with ethyl acetate ( 2 x 30 mL ). The combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue obtained was column chromatographed using pet ether/ethyl acetate (9:1) to provide compound $\mathbf{1 5}$ ( $15.79 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ) as colorless thick oil.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 303.35).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 2894,1692,1416,763,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.86-2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45-3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.67-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.86-4.08 (m, 4H), 4.95-5.18 (m, 4H), 5.57-5.94 (m, 1H), 7.36 (s, 5H).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 32.0,32.7,34.8,35.5,42.8,61.8,62.0,63.6,64.5,65.0$, $66.3,66.6,113.7,114.3,117.0,126.5,126.9,127.4,127.6$, 128.1, 134.4, 134.5, 136.3, 136.5, 154.6, 154.8. (mixture of rotamers).
$\qquad$

| Mass (ESI) (m/z) | $: 304(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elemental analysis | $:$Calculated C, 63.88; H, $8.93 ; \mathrm{N}, 8.28 \%$ |
|  | $:$ Found: C, 63.49; H, 8.60; N, 8.21\% |

To the stirred solution of olefin $15(8.0 \mathrm{~g}, 26.37 \mathrm{mmol})$ in acetone-water ( 50 mL , 3:1), was added catalytic amount of $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ at room temperature and stirred for 10 minutes. The reaction mixture became black coloured. $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}(14.1 \mathrm{~g}, 65.93 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added portionwise and reaction mixture was left to stir for 3 h . After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30$ $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to furnish aldehyde. The generated crude aldehyde (assuming $100 \%$ conversion) was treated with oxone ( 17.8 g ) in ethanol ( 20 mL ) under argon atmosphere and allowed to stir at room temperature. After the completion of reaction ( $16 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ) the solvent was removed on rotary evaporator under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 40 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using first ethyl acetate/pet ether (4:6) as an eluent to furnish the ester 16 as a viscous yellow liquid ( $5.3 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ). Eluting further ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (8:2) furnished acid $18(2.1 \mathrm{~g}, 25 \%)$.

## Benzyl 6-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-1,4-dioxa-7-azaspiro[4.4]nonane-7-carboxylate (16)



Mol. Formula<br>IR (Neat)

: $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (MW: 349.38).
: $1734,1654,1464,753,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.88-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.85(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H ), 3.35-3.59 (m, 1H), $3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.98-4.22$ (m, 6H), 5.07-5.23 (m, 2H), 7.33 (s, 5H).
$\qquad$

| ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{5 M H z}\right)$ | $: \delta 14.0,31.7,32.4,35.7,36.7,42.9,43.0,59.0,59.3,60.0$, |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $64.2,65.3,66.5,66.6,113.5,114.1,127.4,127.6,127.7$, |
|  | $128.2,136.3,136.4,154.4,154.5,170.7$. |
| Mass (ESI) m/z | $: 350(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 372(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |
| Elemental analysis | $:$Calculated: $\mathrm{C}, 61.88 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.64 ; \mathrm{N}, 4.01 \%$ |
|  | $:$ Found: C, $62.01 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.62 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.99 \%$ |

2-(7-((Benzyloxy) carbonyl)-1, 4-dioxa-7-azaspiro[4.4]nonan-6-yl)acetic acid (18)

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.90-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.5$ and $5.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.65-2.95 (m, 1H), 3.35-3.59 (m, 2H), 3.81-4.10 (m, 5H), 5.12-5.17 (m, 2H), $7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{5 M H z}\right): \delta 31.7,32.5,35.7,36.5,43.0,59.0,59.3,64.4,65.4,66.8$, $113.5,114.2,127.5,127.7,127.8,128.3,136.2,154.7$, 176.1, 176.2.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $322(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 344(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Ethyl 3-(6-(2-ethoxy-2-oxoethyl)-1,4-dioxa-7-azaspiro[4.4]nonan-7-yl)-3-oxopropanoate (6)


The carbamate $16(5 \mathrm{~g}, 14.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken in methanol ( 30 mL ) and was subjected to hydrogenation at 60 psi pressure with $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}(10 \%, 0.1 \mathrm{~g})$ as the catalyst for 2 h . After completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was filtered through a celite bed and the filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to furnish quantitative yield of amine. To the stirred solution of amine in anhydrous DCM, was added $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(2.26 \mathrm{~g}, 16.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ followed by ethyl malonyl chloride ( $2.1 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and left to stir at room temperature under nitrogen atmosphere till the completion of reaction. The reaction mixture was diluted with water and the DCM layer separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic
$\qquad$
layers were washed with aq. $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (4:6) as eluent to afford the ester $6(4.1 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \%)$ as a pale yellow oil.
Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ (MW: 329.35).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 1731,1647,1416,1173 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.26(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-$ $2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4$ and $16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.34$ and $3.41(2 \mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-4.05(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-$ 4.31 (m, 4H).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}_{\mathbf{N M}}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.7,13.9,30.8,32.5,34.6,37.2,41.3,41.4,42.2,43.9$, 58.7, 59.5, 60.1, 60.5, 61.1, 64.1, 64.3, 65.2, 112.9, 113.9, $164.8,165.0,166.9,167.0,170.2,170.5$. (Mixture of rotamers)

Mass (ESI) m/z : $330(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 352(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 54.70; H, 7.04; N, 4.25\%
: Found: C, 54.65; H, 6.99; N, 4.23\%

## Ethyl 5',7'-dioxohexahydro-2'H-spiro[[1,3]dioxolane-2,1'-indolizine]-6'-carboxylate

 (19)
$50 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.167 \mathrm{~g}, 6.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ was washed with dry petroleum ether ( 2 x 5 mL ). Absolute ethanol ( 30 mL ) was added dropwise at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by gradual addition of amide $\mathbf{6}$ ( $2 \mathrm{~g}, 6.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and allowed to stir for $2-3 \mathrm{~h}$ at room temperature. After the disappearance of starting material (TLC), the reaction was quenched by addition of $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was evaporated on rotary evaporator under diminished pressure to afford keto compound 20 as a thick yellow liquid ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 98 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{6}$ (MW: 283.28).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 1732,1710,1654,1168 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
Mass (ESI) m/z
$: 284(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 306(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
$\qquad$

Diethyl 2-(6'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5'-oxo-3', 5', 8', 8a'-tetrahydro-2'H-spiro[1,3]dioxolane-2,1'-indolizin]-7'-yl)malonate (5)


To a well-stirred solution of keto compound $19(1 \mathrm{~g}, 3.53$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$, was added $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}(0.33$ $\mathrm{mL}, 3.53 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dropwise at room temperature. The resultant mixture was refluxed under argon atmosphere till the completion of reaction ( $4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). After the completion of reaction, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The organic phase was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed under diminished pressure to afford the crude chloro compound 20 . The crude chloro compound 20 was used as such for next reaction.

To the stirred suspension of $50 \% \mathrm{NaH}[(0.101 \mathrm{~g}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol})$, prewashed with anhydrous petroleum ether ( $3 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ )], dry benzene ( 15 mL ) was added followed by gradual addition of diethyl malonate $(0.64 \mathrm{~g}, 4.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ at room temperature and stirred for 30 minutes. The crude chloro compound 20 in dry benzene was added dropwise over 15 min and the reaction mixture was allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. After the completion of reaction (TLC), reaction was quenched by addition of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ solution. The organic layer was separated and aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (4:6) as an eluent to furnish the compound 5 as a viscous yellow liquid ( $0.97 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $\quad \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{9}(\mathrm{MW}: 425.17)$.
IR (Neat) $\quad: 1732,1664,1629,1444,1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.25-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 2.04-2.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.8$
and $5.05,1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $2.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$ and $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-$
$3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=13.7$ and $5.05 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-$
$4.03(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.19-4.38(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\qquad$
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.85,13.87,13.9,25.8,33.5,41.9,55.0,59.5,61.6$, 62.10, 62.17, 65.2 (2C), 113.4, 130.8, 143.2, 159.5, 164.7, 165.7, 166.3.

| Mass (ESI) $\mathbf{m} / \mathbf{z}$ | $: 426(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 448(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Elemental analysis | $:$Calculated: C, $56.46 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.40 ; \mathrm{N}, 3.29 \%$ |
|  | : Found. C $56.45 \cdot \mathrm{H}, 6.39 \cdot \mathrm{~N}, 3.31 \%$ |

Diethyl 2-(6'-(ethoxycarbonyl)-5'-oxo-3',5'-dihydro-2'H-spiro[[1,3]dioxolane-2,1'-indolizin]-7'-yl) malonate (4)


A mixture of dihydropyridone $5(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ and DDQ ( $0.293 \mathrm{~g}, 1.29 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous 1 , 4-dioxane ( 20 mL ) was refluxed till the completion of reaction ( $48 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{TLC}$ ). The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and filtered. The filtrate was quenched with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution. The organic phase was separated and aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The generated residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with ethyl acetate/pet ether (6:4) as a solvent system to yield pyridone 4 as a pale yellow liquid ( $0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{9}$ (MW: 423.15).
IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) \quad: 1735,1654,1602,1508,1251 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left.{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R ~ ( C D C l} 3, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.28((\mathrm{t}, J=7.20 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.38(\mathrm{t}, J=7.07 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.39$ (t, $J=6.95 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{q}, J=7.20,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.20$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.40(\mathrm{q}, J=7.07,2 \mathrm{H}), 4.09-4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 13.8$ (2C), 14.0, 33.5, 45.0, 54.4, 61.7, 62.2 (2C), 65.5 (2C), 100.0, 112.8, 124.7, 144.8, 149.6, 157.9, 165.4, 166.3 (2C).

## Mass (ESI) m/z

: $424(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 446(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 56.73; H, 5.95; N, 3.31\%
: Found: C, 56.71; H, 5.94; N, 3.32\%

Diethyl 2-(6'-formyl-5'-oxo-3',5'-dihydro-2'H-spiro[[1,3]dioxolane-2,1'-indolizin]-7'yl) malonate (23)


The compound $4(0.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.476 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken in dry THF ( 20 mL ) under argon atmosphere and temperature lowered to $-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIBAL-H ( 2 M solution in toluene, $0.710 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.41 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise and left to stir at same temperature till the completion of reaction (TLC). The reaction was quenched at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with an equal amount of methanol and water as that of DIBAL-H and then warmed to room temperature. The gelatinous precipitate was filtered through the celite and washed thoroughly with THF. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ eluting with the mixture of ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (5:5) as a solvent system to deliver the aldehyde 23 ( 0.146 g , $82 \%$ ) as yellowish thick oil.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{NO}_{8}(\mathrm{MW}: 379.13) . \\
\text { IR (Neat) } & : 2720,1732,1718,1699,1253 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ $4.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{q}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 10.47(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ): $\delta 13.9$ (2C), 33.4, 45.1, 52.9, 62.1 (2C), 65.7 (2C), 101.1, 113.0, 121.5, 150.1, 154.0, 161.9, 166.9 (2C), 192.1.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $380(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 402(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 56.99; H, 5.58; N, 3.69\%.
: Found: C, 56.97; H, 5.60; N, 3.70 \%.

## Ethyl $3^{\prime}, 10^{\prime}$-dioxo-1',3', $\mathbf{4}^{\prime}, 7^{\prime}, 8^{\prime}, 10^{\prime}$ 'hexahydrospiro[[1,3]dioxolane-2,6'-pyrano[3,4-flindolizine]-4'-carboxylate (24)



To the stirred solution of aldehyde $23(0.1 \mathrm{~g}, 0.263 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(9: 1)$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}(0.004 \mathrm{~g}, 0.131 \mathrm{mmol})$ and stirred for 5 min . The reaction was quenched with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ and extracted with chloroform. The organic layer was separated and aqueous phase further extracted with $2 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of chloroform. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and solvent was removed in vacuo. The residue thus obtained was purified by flash
column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (7:3) as an eluent to furnish the compound 24 as viscous yellow liquid $(0.079 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{7}$ (MW: 335.31).
IR (Neat)
: 1734, 1722, 1647, 1350, $1150 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
$\left.{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{N M R ~ ( C D C l} 3, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.28(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-$ $4.15(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.50(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.24(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 13.9,29.6,33.9,44.9,52.0,63.1,65.6,66.7,98.8,112.9$, 121.0, 140.7, 149.3, 157.5, 164.9, 165.1.

Mass (ESI) m/z
: $336(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}, 358(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 57.31; H, 5.11; N, 4.18\%
: Found: C, 57.21; H, 5.01; N, 4.12\%

## 7,8-Dihydro-1H-pyrano[3,4-flindolizine-3,6,10(4H)-trione (3)



To the lactone $24(0.05 \mathrm{~g}, 0.149 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and refluxed for 6 h till the completion of reaction (TLC). The reaction mixture was cooled and was extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 10$ mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using ethyl acetate/pet ether (9:1)) to afford tricyclic lactone 3 ( $0.026 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ) as oil, which was gradually converted to white solid.

| Mol. Formula | : $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{NO}_{4}$ (MW: 219.19). |
| :---: | :---: |
| IR (Neat) | : $1722,1710,1667,1310,1125 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. |
| Melting point | : $51-52{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 2.97(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.35(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { z): } \delta 33.6,34.1,42.1,66.2,102.5,126.6,139.6,142.4,157.7 \text {, } \\ & \text { 167.2, 195.8. } \end{aligned}$ |
| Mass (ESI) m/z | : $219(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 242(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$. |
| Elemental analysis | : Calculated: C, 60.27; H, 4.14; N, 6.39\% |
|  | : Found: C, 60.25; H, 4.04; N, 6.29\% |

### 2.3.7 Spectra



${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $8\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$












${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $6\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

(

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$



${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $23\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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Chapter 3. Synthetic studies towards $\alpha$-cuparenone


$$
\alpha \text {-Cuparenone: } \mathcal{A} \text { family of sesquiterpene- } \mathcal{A} \text { brief }
$$

## review

### 3.1.1 Summary

The present section deals with general introduction of bicyclic sesquiterpenes along with detailed review on synthesis emphasizing mainly the synthetic approaches towards $\alpha$ cuparenone, a bicyclic terpene isolated from Thuja orientalis (Mayurpankhi).

### 3.1.2 Introduction

$\alpha$-Cuparenone 1, $\beta$-cuparenone 2, cuparene 3, laurene 4 and herbertenol 5 are bicyclic sesquiterpenes belonging to cuparene family. First two compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ were isolated from Thuja orientalis (Mayurpankhi) by Sukh Dev and Chetty ${ }^{1}$ in 1964. Cuparene 3 was isolated by Erdtman and Enzellz ${ }^{2}$ in 1958. Laurene 4 was isolated by Irie and co-workers ${ }^{3}$ while $\beta$-herbertenol 5 was isolated from Herberta adunsa by Matsuo and co-workers. ${ }^{4}$

$\alpha$-Cuparenone 1

$\beta$-Cuparenone 2


Cuparene 3


Laurene 4

$\beta$-Herbertenol 5

## Figure 1

These compounds pose a challenge to synthetic organic chemists due to the presence of two contiguous quaternary centers in a cyclopentane ring.
$\qquad$

### 3.1.3 Synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone: literature survey

Owing to its challenging structure having two contiguous quaternary centers in a cyclopentane ring, several syntheses of $\alpha$-cuparenone are reported in the literature employing various strategies. In many cases, the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone has been used as a demonstration of the novelty and efficiency of new methodology. These several syntheses of $\alpha$-cuparenone can be broadly classified into following categories.

1. Dieckmann cyclization ${ }^{5-12}$
2. Via 1, 4-dicarbonyl compounds ${ }^{13-21}$
3. Ring expansion ${ }^{22-30}$
4. Use of transition metal catalysts ${ }^{31,32}$
5. Michael addition ${ }^{11,12,33-35}$
6. Miscellaneous ${ }^{36-45}$

Since review on synthesis of all categories up to 1997 has been covered by Sachindra S. Patil ${ }^{46}$ from this group, only those syntheses of racemic and optically pure $\alpha$ cuparenone reported after 1997 and some representative syntheses have been described in this present section.

## Raphael's Approach

Raphael and co-workers ${ }^{5}$ have reported the first total synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone in seven steps with overall yield of $18 \%$, employing Dieckmann cyclization as key step.
Scheme 1 : Raphael et al. J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 1558.


Reagents and conditions: a) Furfuraldehyde, EtOH, aq. $\mathrm{NaOH}, r t, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $t-\mathrm{BuOK}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{I}$, rt; c) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$; d) i) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, ether, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ii) Benzene, $t$-BuOK, reflux, 6 h; e) i) $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 \mathrm{~h}$; ii) Heat, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{NaOH}$.
$\qquad$

The less hindered methylene of ketone $\mathbf{6}$ was protected via aldol condensation with 2-furfuraldehyde. The resulting enone was subjected to gem-dimethylation to get enone 7 . Ozonolysis of compound $\mathbf{7}$ afforded substituted adipic acid 8. The acid $\mathbf{8}$ on esterification followed by Dieckmann cyclization, hydrolysis and decarboxylation afforded $\alpha$ cuparenone 1.

## Noyori's Approach

In this communication Noyori et al. ${ }^{31}$ have reported an elegant one-step synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone using transition metal catalyst.

Scheme 3: Noyori et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1978, 19, 993.


Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$, benzene, $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, argon, $18 \%$.
Dibromoketone 9 was treated with 2-p-tolyl-1-propene 10 in presence of $\mathrm{Fe}_{2}(\mathrm{CO})_{9}$ directly to afford the $\alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$, though in very low yield (18\%). This is the shortest and most well-designed synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone involving dipolar cycloaddition of oxa-allyl cation to construct five membered ring.

## Chavan's $\mathbf{1}^{\text {st }}$ Approach

This group ${ }^{20}$ synthesized ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone employing aza-Claisen rearrangement.
Scheme 3: Chavan et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 2629.



Reagents and conditions: a) hv, 2-methyl oxirane, aq. acetone 6 h, $70 \%$; b) $\operatorname{SOCl}_{2}$,
$\qquad$
benzene, allylamine, $E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}$; c) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}, E t_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 80 \%$; d) DIBAL-H, benzene, ambient temp., $70 \%$; e) Wacker oxidation, $63 \%$; f) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, r t, 98 \%$; g) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}$ (excess), $65 \%$; h) Pd-C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, r t, 98 \%$.
$\alpha$-Chloro-4-methyl propiophenone $\mathbf{1 1}$ was converted to $\alpha$ - $p$-tolyl propionic acid 12 by facile photochemical rearrangement, in the presence of propylene oxide as an acid scavenger. $\alpha$ - $p$-Tolyl propionic acid $\mathbf{1 2}$ was converted to allyl amide $\mathbf{1 3}$ by reacting it with thionyl chloride followed by allylamine. Aza-Claisen rearrangement ${ }^{47}$ of compound 13 mediated by triphenyl phosphine and $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ furnished unsaturated nitrile $\mathbf{1 4}$, which on partial reduction and hydrolysis afforded aldehyde 15 . Wacker oxidation of compound 15 gave ketone 16 which was followed by intramolecular aldol condensation and dehydration to give cyclopentanone 17. Gem-dimethylation of compound 17 followed by hydrogenation completed the total synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$. Thus the total synthesis was completed in eight steps with an overall yield of $14 \%$.

## Kulkarni's Approach

Kulkarni et al. ${ }^{21}$ have reported a short and efficient total synthesis of $( \pm)-\alpha-$ cuparenone employing a tandem enol ether exchange Claisen rearrangement.

Scheme 4: Kulkarni et al. Tetrahedron, 1997, 53, 3167.


Reagents and conditions: a) Prenyl alcohol, TFA (10\%), toluene, reflux, 18 h, 69\%; b) $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ (10\%), $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}$ (10\%), $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: D M E$ (1:9), rt, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 81 \%$; c) KOH, MeOH, rt, 2 h , $87 \%$; d) $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{AcOEt}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$, rt, 8 h, $94 \%$.

Accordingly, enol ether 19 was prepared by enol ether exchange from 18 and subsequent Claisen rearrangement using trifluoroacetic acid in refluxing toluene gave aldehyde 20 via 19. Wacker oxidation of aldehyde 20 afforded ketoaldehyde 21, which on
$\qquad$
aldol condensation gave 22 and hydrogenation of 22 afforded the $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone 1 . Thus the total synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone was completed in four steps with an overall yield of $43 \%$.

## Shishido's Approach

Shishido and coworkers ${ }^{40}$ have reported an efficient and enantiocontrolled formal total synthesis of (-)- $\alpha$-cuparenone by employing an asymmetric construction methodology for formation of the benzylic quaternary stereogenic centre.

Scheme 5: Shishido et al. Chem. Commun, 1997, 1167.


Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78$ to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 84 \%$; b) Vinyl acetate, PPL , $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{rt}, 84 \%$; c) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMAP}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{SO}, 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 73 \%$; d) MsCl , $i-\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{NEt}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 88 \%$; e) KCN, 18-crown-6, Me2SO, $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$; f) DIBAL-H, hexane $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (1:1), $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 75 \%$; g) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{CBr}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 94 \%$; h) $t$-BuLi, $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CSiMe}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{HMPA},-78-0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 69 \%$; i) (PhIO) ${ }_{n}, \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; j$ ) $\mathrm{PhSO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 83 \%$ (over two steps); k) $\mathrm{Na}-\mathrm{Hg}$ (5\%), MeOH , sonication, rt, $70 \%$; l) PDC, $t$ - BuOOH , celite, benzene, $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{rt}, 72 \%$; m) Ref. 15.

Accordingly, treatment of 2-tert-butyl-4, 5-dihydro-5-(4-methylphenyl)-1, 3dioxepine $23^{48}$ with ozone followed by reductive workup with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave prochiral 1, 3-
diol 24. Diol 24 on asymmetric acetylation using porcine pancreatic lipase (PPL) and vinyl acetate gave monoacetate 25 . Removal of hydroxy moiety in 25 by tosylation and subsequent reduction gave alcohol, which on mesylation and subsequent cyanation, DIBAL-H reduction, and further reduction of the aldehyde thus obtained with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave one carbon elongated alcohol, which was converted to bromide 26 by using $\mathrm{CBr}_{4}$ and triphenylphosphine. Reaction of bromide with lithium trimehylsilylacetylide produced the alkynylsilane 27 in 69\% yield.

The construction of quaternary stereogenic center was carried out via [1, 5] C-H insertion reaction of the alkylidene carbene. Thus, treatment of 27 with iodosylbenzene in the presence of boron trifluoride diethyl etherate in DCM at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by treatment with aq. sodium tetrafluoroborate provided the iodonium tetrafluoroborate $\mathbf{2 8}$, which was exposed to aq. sodium benzenesulfinate at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give the cyclised vinyl sulfone 30 via the alkylidene carbene intermediate 29. Reductive removal of benzenesulfonyl moiety in 30 under sonication afforded 31. Oxidation of allylic methylene was carried out using PDC in presence of tert-butylhydroperoxide and celite to furnish enone $\mathbf{1 7}$ which is an intermediate in the cuparenone synthesis and can be converted to (-)- $\alpha$-cuparenone. ${ }^{17}$ Thus this synthetic sequence constitutes a formal synthesis of $(-)-\alpha$-cuparenone.

## Chavan's $2^{\text {nd }}$ Approach

This is the second short and simple approach from this group. ${ }^{41}$ Central to this approach is construction of a substituted cyclopentenone via acid catalyzed decomposition of $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated- $\alpha$-diazoketone.

Scheme 6 : Chavan et al. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 13417.



Reagents and conditions: a) i) Ethyl 2-bromopropionate, Zn, ether; ii) $H^{+}, 97 \%$; b)
$\mathrm{KOH} / \mathrm{EtOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; c) i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$, benzene; ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, ether, quant; d) $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, quant; e) i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{acac})_{2}, \mathrm{THF}, r t, 85 \%$; ii) NaH, diglyme, MeI, $68 \%$.

Accordingly, Reformatsky reaction of 4-methylacetophenone 32 and ethyl 2bromopropionate furnished the $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated ester 33. Saponification of ester 33 gave acid $\mathbf{3 4}$ followed by treatment with $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ to give corresponding acid chloride. Reaction of acid chloride with diazomethane gave $\beta, \gamma$-unsaturated- $\alpha$-diazoketone 35. Cyclization was carried out by using $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$. $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to furnish cyclopentenone 36. 1,4-Conjugate addition with trimethylaluminum ${ }^{49}$ followed by alkylation ${ }^{50}$ furnished $\alpha$-cuparenone 1 . Thus total synthesis was achieved in five steps with an overall yield $56 \%$.

## Ho's approach

Ho et al. ${ }^{28}$ reported the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone applying ring expansion approach based on symmetry considerations.

Scheme 7: Ho et al. Can. J. Chem. 75, 1997, 621.



Reagents and conditions: a) Sonication, $76 \%$; b) Zn dust, NaI, $\mathrm{AcOH}, 80-90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 84 \%$; c) [Tris(methylthio)methyl]lithium, 95\%; d) Lithium hydroxide, copper perchlorate-
$\qquad$
acetonitrile complex; e) Raney nickel, EtOH, reflux, 85\%; f) ref. 7 g) One carbon Wittig; h) Thallium (III) nitrate trihydrate; i) MeMgI; j) Ozonolysis; k) Aldol.

Accordingly, synthesis started with $2+2$ cycloaddition of $\alpha, p$-dimethylstyrene 10 with dichloroketene 37 under ultrasonic irradiation to obtain compound 38 , which on dechlorination afforded symmetric ketone 39 . Ketone 39 on treatment with [tris(methylthio)methyl]lithium afforded mixture of cis and trans-hydroxythio-orthoesters 40 and 41. Both 40 and 41 on treatment with LiOH , copper (I) perchlorate-acetonitrile complex gave ring enlarged ketone 42. Desulfurization of 42 gave ketone 43 which on methylation gave $\alpha$-cuparenone 1 .

Alternatively 39 was converted to 44 by Wittig reaction followed by treatment with thallium (III) nitrate trihydrate to afford 17. Compound 17 is well known precursor for synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone. ${ }^{20}$ Also, compound 39 was subjected to reaction with methyl magnesium iodide, dehydration, ozonolysis and aldolization to afford known precursor 17 for the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone. ${ }^{20}$

## Mukherjee's Approach

This communication ${ }^{11}$ deals with synthesis of rac- $\alpha$-cuparenone using $\alpha, \alpha$-dimethylation of the ester 48 as the key step.

Scheme 8: Mukherjee et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 4733.


Reagents and conditions: a) 3,3-Ethylenedioxypropylmagnesium bromide, CuBr. $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, THF, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 50 \%$; b) $\mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{HOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, reflux, then $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$, then heat
$\qquad$
$190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; c) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75 \%$; d) LDA (1 equiv), THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, MeI, HMPA, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $95 \%$; e) LDA (1.7 equiv), HMPA (2 equiv), THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; MeI, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$; f) $\mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (4:1), 25-60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; g) Jones reagent, $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CO}, 0-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; h) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; i) t-BuOK, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$, reflux; j) DMSO, $\mathrm{NaCl}, 155^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 75 \%$.

Accordingly, conjugate addition of 3, 3-ethylenedioxypropylmagnesium bromide to the unsaturated cyano-ester 46 provided 47. Hydrolysis, decarboxylation and esterification afforded ester 48 in $75 \%$ overall yield. The ester 48 was alkylated with MeI to provide the ester 49. After a few functional group transformations, the resultant diester 50 was subjected to Dieckmann cyclization to afford $\beta$-ketoester 51. Finally decarbomethoxylation of $\mathbf{5 1}$ afforded ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone.

Later in 2003, the same authors have reported synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone using similar synthetic strategy (Mukherjee et al. ARKIVOC 2003, ix, 104-114). ${ }^{12}$

## Maldonado's Approach

Maldonado et al. ${ }^{29}$ reported the total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone using epoxynitrile anion cyclization reaction as the key step.

Scheme 9: Maldonado et al. Chem. Lett. 2000, 5, 512.


Reaqents and conditions: a) LHMDS, benzene, reflux, $60 \%$; b) PCC, DCM, rt; c) DIBAL-H; d) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{KOH}$, ethylene glycol, heat.

Accordingly, cyclization of epoxynitrile 52 was carried out using LiHMDS in refluxing benzene to afford cyclobutane 53 and cyclopentanol 54 in $60 \%$ yield. Oxidation using PCC gave ketone 55 along with unreacted 53. Ketone 55 on DIBAL-H reduction furnished aldehyde alcohol followed by Wolff-Kishner reduction and PCC oxidation afforded ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone 1.
$\qquad$

## Sindo's Approach

In this communication Sindo et al. ${ }^{10}$ reported the synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone by utilizing novel tandem [2+2] cycloaddition-Dieckmann condensation via ynolate anion.

Scheme 10: Sindo et al. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7818.


Reagents and conditions: a) $n$-BuLi, THF, $78 \%$; b) i) 58, $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 76 \%$; ii) $3 \% \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{EtOH}$, reflux; c) i) $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Ni}\left(\mathrm{acac}_{2}\right)_{2}$; ii) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}, 65 \%$ (over two steps).

Thus, ethyl 2, 2-dibromopropionate 56 was treated with $n$ - BuLi in THF to get methyl substituted ynolate 57 . One-pot reaction of the methyl-substituted ynolate 57 with ethyl 4-oxo-4-(4-methylphenyl) butanoate 58 afforded cyclopentenone 36. The 1,4conjugate addition with trimethylaluminum followed by alkylation furnished $\alpha$ cuparenone.

## Ogasawara's Approach

In this communication Ogasawara et al. ${ }^{42}$ reported an efficient enantioselective route to (-)- $\alpha$-cuparenone utilizing a chiral cyclopentanoid 59 as a starting material.

Scheme 11: Ogasawara et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2639.


Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 90 \%$; b) $\mathrm{AcOH}, 40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 93 \%$; c) 4$\mathrm{MeC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{MgBr}, \mathrm{CuBr} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2}, \mathrm{HMPA}, \mathrm{TMSCl}, \mathrm{THF},-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then TBAF, THF, $87 \%$; d) $\mathrm{Al}-$ Hg, EtOH, 91\%; e) $10 \%$ HCl:dioxane (1:1), $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$.
$\qquad$

Thus, enantiopure diol (-)-60 was accomplished from $59^{51}$ by using enantiodivergent functional group transformation. Oxidation of $\mathbf{6 0}$ with PCC afforded $\beta$ hydroxyketone 61, which on dehydration gave enone 62. Treatment of 62 with Grignard reagent in presence of copper (I) bromide and TMS-chloride allowed convex face selective 1,4-addition to afford cyclopentanone $\mathbf{6 3}$ having benzylic quaternary stereogenic center. Conversion of 63 into known key intermediate to synthesis of (-)- $\alpha$-cuparenone was carried out by reductive cleavage of $\alpha$-oxyketone 63 using aluminium amalgam to afford $\beta$-hydroxyketone $\mathbf{6 4}$ which on treatment with dil. HCl afforded enone 17 from which (-)- $\alpha$-cuparenone has been obtained in two steps. ${ }^{17,34}$

## Satoh's Approach

Satoh et al. ${ }^{43}$ reported asymmetric synthesis of 4,4-disubstituted 2-cyclopentenones from optically active 1 -chlorovinyl $p$-tolyl sulfoxides and its application to the asymmetric total synthesis of $(+)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone.

Scheme 12: Satoh et al. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2003, 14, 281.


Reagents and conditions: a) i) LDA, 4-methyl acetophenone, $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 98 \%$; ii) Acetic anhydride, pyridine, DMAP, 95\%; b) $L^{2 N P h}{ }_{2}, T H F, 93 \%$; c) $L_{i C H}^{2} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{THF}, 75 \%$; d) $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}, \mathrm{AcOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 93 \%$; e) NaH, MeI (excess), DMF, 72\%; f) Pd-C, $\mathrm{H}_{2}, ~ r t, ~ 97 \%$.

Enantiomerically pure ( $R$ )-(-)-65 was treated with LDA at $-50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by 4-methylacetophenone to afford the hydroxy compound, which was acetylated to afford the acetate $\mathbf{6 6}$ in $95 \%$ yield. The reaction of the acetate with lithium diphenylamide gave $93 \%$ yield of the desired 1 -chlorovinyl $p$-tolyl sulfoxides 67 and 68 in 3:1 ratio. The major
$\qquad$
isomer 67 was treated with cyanomethyllithium to give optically active enaminonitrile 69 in $75 \%$ yield. The enaminonitrile $\mathbf{6 9}$ was heated under reflux with $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ in acetic acid to give the desired cyclopentenone 17 in $93 \%$ yield, which was dimethylated followed by hydrogenation in ethyl acetate with catalytic $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ to give $(+)-\alpha$-cuparenone 1.

## Srikrishna's Approach

In this communication Srikrishna et al. ${ }^{44}$ reported the methodology to synthesise sesquiterpenes employing a combination of Claisen rearrangement and ring closing metathesis reactions.

Scheme 13: Srikrishna et al. Synlett 2002, 340.


Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHMgBr}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 92 \%$; b) $\mathrm{PCC}, \mathrm{NaOAc}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}$, $97 \%$; c) Grubbs' catalyst, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, 3 h, $93 \%$; d) Ref. 15.

Thus, reaction of the aldehyde 70, obtained from 4-methylacetophenone ${ }^{39} 32$ in three steps, with vinylmagnesium bromide in THF furnished a $1: 1$ diastereomeric mixture of the dienol 71, in $92 \%$ yield. Oxidation of $\mathbf{7 1}$ with PCC and sodium acetate furnished the dienone 72. RCM of the dienone 72 with Grubbs' catalyst $\left[\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ in methylene chloride was slow ( $18 \mathrm{~h}, 55-60 \%$ conversion), hence the reaction was carried out using dienol 71. Reaction of the dienol 71 with $6 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs' catalyst $\left[\mathrm{PhCH}=\mathrm{RuCl}_{2}\left(\mathrm{PCy}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$ in methylene chloride at room temperature for 3 h furnished the RCM product, cyclopentenol 73 in $97 \%$ yield. Oxidation of the cyclopentenol 73 with PCC furnished the cyclopentenone 17 in $93 \%$ yield, The cyclopentenone 17 has already been transformed into $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone, ${ }^{17}$ via alkylation and hydrogenation.
$\qquad$

## Piras’ Approach

In this communication Piras et al. ${ }^{30}$ reported the short synthesis of $( \pm)-\alpha-$ cuparenone via 2,2-dimethyl cyclopentanones which are prepared by acid catalyzed ring expansion of isopropenylcyclobutanols.

Scheme 14: Piras et al. Chem. Commun. 2005, 30, 3853.


Reagents and conditions: a) $n-B u L i, ~ T H F,-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; b) $\mathrm{MeCOC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Me}$; c) PTSA, benzene, reflux; d) Prop-1-en-2-ylmagnesium bromide, THF, $0-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; e) PTSA, benzene, reflux.

Thus, formation of the alcohol 75 by reaction of lithium cyclopropylphenyl sulfide 74 with 4-methyl acetophenone and its ring expansion by refluxing in benzene in the presence PTSA led to cyclobutanone 76. The cyclobutanone 76 was then reacted with isopropenylmagnesium bromide to give the corresponding cyclobutanol 77. Finally, treatment of 77 with a stoichiometric amount of PTSA in benzene gave excellent yields of the expected $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone 1.

## Garibay's approach

Garibay and coworkers ${ }^{45}$ reported the solid to solid reactions for the stereospecific synthesis of $(+)$ - and (-)-isomers of $\alpha$-cuparenone utilizing photo-induced decarbonylation of crystalline hexasubstituted ketones with adjacent stereogenic quaternary centers.

As a starting point, racemic cyclohexanedione $\mathbf{8 0}$ was prepared in four steps from methyl 2 - $p$-tolylacetate 78 . To prepare the enantiomerically pure natural products a classical resolution of $( \pm)-\mathbf{8 0}$ via the diastereomeric difluorodioxaborinane complexes of $\beta$-keto- $(S)$ - $(\alpha)$-methylbenzylamide 83 was performed. $\beta$-Ketoester $\mathbf{8 1}$ was obtained in $92 \%$ yield by selective C-acylation of ( $\pm$ )-80 with methyl cyanoformate, and subsequent treatment with $\mathrm{BF}_{3} . \mathrm{OEt}_{2}$ gave difluorodioxaborinane $( \pm)$ - 82 in $98 \%$ yield. Reaction of $( \pm)$-82 with $(-)-(S)-(\alpha)$-methylbenzylamine in acetonitrile yielded $80 \%$ of diastereomers 83. Separation by column chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 2:8) led to pure $\mathbf{8 4}$ and $\mathbf{8 5}$

Scheme 15: Garibay et al. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 6485.


Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{KH}, \mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$; b) LDA, ethyl vinyl ketone, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; c) Na, MeOH, reflux, $99 \%$; d) KH, MeI, DMF, $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 81 \%$; e) LiHMDS, $\mathrm{MeO}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{CN}, 92 \%$; f) $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$.OEt $_{2}$, toluene, $100 \%$; g) (S)-(a)-Methylbenzylamine, MeCN, $80 \%$; h) Silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/hexane 2:8); i) $h v$, suspension of nanocrystals in aq. CTAB solution, $80 \%$; j) $\mathrm{MeCO}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$, EtOH, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $>98 \%$; k) $6 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HCl}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 90 \%$.
. Finally syntheses of $(+)-$ and $(-)-(\alpha)$-cuparenone were completed by parallel UV/Vis irradiation of suspended nanocrystals of $(+)-(S, S)-\mathbf{8 4}$ and $(-)-(S, R)-\mathbf{8 5}$ in aqueous cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) solutions to lead to the clean formation of the $(\alpha)$-cuparenone ketoamide derivatives $(+)-(S, S)-86$ and $(-)-(S, R)-87$ with $100 \%$ stereoselectivity in $80 \%$ yield. Removal of the $\mathrm{BF}_{2}$ unit with NaOAc in ethanol followed by amide hydrolysis and decarboxylation gave the $(S)-(+)-\mathbf{1}$ and $(R)-(-)-\mathbf{1}$ each in $90 \%$ yield.
$\qquad$
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## Chapter 3. Synthetic studies towards $\alpha$-cuparenone



## Synthesis of( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone employing one-pot cyclopetannulation

### 3.2.1 Summary

The present section deals with the total synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone. Novel convenient and short synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone employing cyclopentannulation has been achieved starting from commercially available cheap starting material like 4-methylbenzyl cyanide.

### 3.2.2 Introduction

$\alpha$-Cuparenone 1 is a sesquiterpene isolated from Mayurpankhi tree (Thuja orientalis) ${ }^{1}$ and liverwort mania fragrans. ${ }^{2}$ Its structure and absolute configuration were determined by Sukh Dev and Chetty ${ }^{1}$ in 1964.


The structure of $\alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$ is quite interesting as it has a five membered ring having two contiguous quaternary centers. This makes $\alpha$-cuparenone a good synthetic challenge to organic chemist. Although variety of approaches have been employed for the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone, all the reported syntheses have their own advantages and disadvantages and very few of them construct the five membered rings. There still exists need to develop a simple, practical and efficient synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone. Continued interest in synthesis of cyclopentanoid natural products led to the synthesis $\alpha$-cuparenone employing one-pot cyclopentannulation starting from cheap and commercially available starting material like 4-methylbenzyl cyanide.

### 3.2.3 Present work

According to retrosynthetic analysis depicted in scheme $1, \alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$ could be achieved from selective gem-dialkylation of cyclopentanone 2, which in turn could be obtained from cyclopentene 3 having the required quaternary methyl by employing hydroboration-oxidation sequence.




## Scheme 1

The corresponding cyclopentene $\mathbf{3}$ could be synthesized from the cyclopentene $\mathbf{4}$ having cyano group at quaternary center, which in turn could be synthesized from commercially available 4-methylbenzyl cyanide 5 by employing cyclopentannulation using cis-1, 4-dichlorobut-2-ene (Scheme 1).

### 3.2.4 Results and discussion

Accordingly, synthesis was initiated from 4-methylbenzyl cyanide 5 which was subjected for cyclopentannulation with cis-1, 4-dichlorobut-2-ene using NaH as base in THF at room temperature to furnish cyclopentene ring $\mathbf{4}$ along with side product $\mathbf{6}$ as a mixture in 2:1 ratio which was inseparable on column chromatography (Scheme 2 ).


Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, cis-1,4-dichlorobutene, THF, rt, 8 h, 80\%.

The formation of mixture of compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ was confirmed by spectroscopic data. IR spectrum of the mixture of $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ displayed strong absorption band at $2238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicating the presence of -CN functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum also showed it to be a mixture of compounds and showed the multiplets at $\delta 1.69-1.83$ and 2.09-2.21 which were attributed to cyclopropane protons in structure 6, while the broad doublets at $\delta 2.93$ and 3.31 having the coupling constant 15.1 Hz were attributed to methylene protons in cyclopentene ring of structure 4. The multiplets at $\delta 5.30-5.41$ and $5.71-5.89$ were attributed to olefinic protons in structure 6 while singlet at $\delta 5.83$ was assigned to olefinic protons in compound 4. The integration values clearly indicated that the ratio of compounds 4 and 6 was 2:1. For confirmation of the structure, the mixture was separated on gas chromatography where it showed two separate peaks which were in the ratio $2: 1$. Finally, the structure of both the compounds 4 and 6 was confirmed by GC mass spectrum. The mass spectrum of both the compounds exhibited $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $183(\mathrm{M})^{+}$which confirmed that the compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ are the isomers of each other.

Though these compounds could not be separated at this stage, it was understandable that this mixture could be readily separated at hydroboration-oxidation step. As 4 on reduction -oxidation would lead to ketone while 6 would lead to acid. So the mixture was carried forward with the hope that it would be possible to separate the compounds at hydroborationoxidation step.

Next it was thought to introduce methyl group at the place of cyano functionality and it can be realised by the reductive alkylation of cyano compound using lithium naphthalenide ${ }^{3}$ reaction. Subjecting the mixture of substrates 4 and 6 to reductive alkylation using naphthalene, lithium metal and methyl iodide in THF led to a complex reaction mixture (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3: Reagents and conditions: a) Naphthalene, lithium metal, THF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} I$, rt.
Having failure in introduction of methyl group in one step, it was thought to solve the problem by using two-step strategy for reduction of -CN functionality. Accordingly DIBALH reduction of the mixture of cyano compounds $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ into corresponding aldehydes $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ was achieved at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and the product without purification was further reduced under Huang-Minlon ${ }^{4}$ reaction condition to furnish the required olefin intermediate 3 having one of the quaternary center (Scheme 4). Formation of single compound was confirmed by spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed no peak corresponding to the -CN functionality.


Scheme 4: Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, NaOH , diethylene glycol, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$ (over two steps).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound 3 showed the presence of a new singlet that appeared at $\delta 1.32$ integrating for three protons which was attributed to methyl protons attached to quaternary center while signal that appeared at $\delta 5.71$ was attributed to olefinic protons and signals at $\delta 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ were attributed to cyclopentene protons. A singlet integrating for three protons appeared at $\delta 2.30$ which was attributed to aryl methyl protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 3 also exhibited the absence of signals of nitrile carbons and revealed new signal that appeared at $\delta 20.8$ which was assigned
to quaternary methyl carbon. DEPT spectrum of 3 showed presence of two methyl carbons while rest of the carbon peaks associated with the compound were seen at expected positions and finally the structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum showed the $m / z$ peak at $173(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

Formation of a single product in Huang-Minlon reduction was initially surprising but it was thought that the cyclopropane product 9 may undergo vinyl-cyclopropane rearrangement ${ }^{5}$ to furnish cyclopentene 3 . In order to confirm the occurrence of vinylcyclopropane rearrangement, it was planned to prepare the compound 6 in pure form and subject it to the reaction conditions. Accordingly compound 6 was synthesized from 4methylbenzyl cyanide by using sodium hydride and trans-1, 4-dibromobut-2-ene in THF as the solvent in $85 \%$ yield (scheme 5).

The compound 6 was characterized by spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed absorption at $2237 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of nitrile functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed signals that appeared in olefinic region at $\delta 5.30-5.41$ as multiplet integrating for two protons ( $-\mathrm{CH}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}$ ) and $\delta 5.71-5.88$ as multiplet integrating for one proton $\left(-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The signals that appeared at $\delta 1.69-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.09-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ were attributed to the vinylic and cyclopropane protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 6 showed the signals that appeared at $\delta 20.9,22.8$ and 33.4 corresponding to cyclopropane ring carbons while the signals at $\delta$ 118.4 and 134.3 were ascribed to vinylic carbons. Additionally DEPT experiment also showed presence of two methylene carbons, while rest of the protons and carbons associated with the compound resonated at their expected positions. Finally appearance of a peak at $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $206(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$in the mass spectrum (ESI) and elemental analysis confirmed the structure of compound 6.
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Having the compound 6 in hand as the sole product, it was planned to subject the compound 6 for further reactions. Accordingly, the compound 6 was subjected to reduction with DIBAL-H in DCM at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to furnish aldehyde 9 , which without purification was further subjected to Huang-Minlon ${ }^{4}$ reduction. Unfortunately, the required cyclopentene intermediate 3 was not obtained even after several trials with starting material undergoing decomposition (Scheme 6).


Scheme 6 : Reagents and conditions: a) DIBAL-H, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; b) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, NaOH , diethylene glycol, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}$.

Hence it was concluded that under Huang-Minlon conditions, the compound 9 decomposed due to strain in the cyclopropane ring. This observation explained the isolation of the cyclopentene intermediate 3, as the sole product from Huang-Minlon reduction of mixture of compounds 8 and 9 (Scheme 4) in $60 \%$ yield (based on the conversion of 4 to 3 ).

Since during direct formation of cyclopentene 4 a mixture of isomers was obtained, an alternative approach was attempted to overcome this problem. Accordingly, 5 was dialkylated with allylbromide using NaH as base in THF to furnish the diallylated compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in 86 \% yield (Scheme 7). IR spectrum of the product 10 indicated the presence of a nitrile group by revealing absorption at $2237 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed signals that appeared in olefinic region at $\delta$ 5.11-5.19 $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, multiplet integrating for four protons $\left(-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $\delta$ 5.565.72 multiplet integrating for two protons $\left(-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$. The multiplet which appeared at 2.662.70 integrating for four protons $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ was attributed to the allylic methylene protons while rest of the proton peaks associated with the compound resonated at expected positions. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed the signals that appeared at $\delta$ $44.1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $131.7\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\underline{\mathrm{CH}}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and $119.9\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\underline{\mathrm{CH}}_{2}\right)$ corresponding to allyl
chain carbons and signal at $\delta 47.1$ showed presence of quaternary carbon while rest of the carbons associated with the compound resonated at their expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 0}$ showed the $m / z$ peak at $234(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.


Scheme 7 : Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, allyl bromide, THF, rt, 86\%; b) Grubbs’ $1^{\text {st }}$ gen. cat., DCM, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; c) DIBAL-H, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; d) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, diethyleneglycol, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$ (over two steps).

The ring-closing metathesis on $\mathbf{1 0}$ was carried out in the presence of $10 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ${ }^{6} \mathbf{1 1}$ in DCM at room temperature. The required cyclopentene $\mathbf{4}$ was obtained in $96 \%$ yield (Scheme 7). IR spectrum of the product 4 indicated the presence of a cyano group by revealing absorption at $2238 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed absence of allyl group protons and the presence of new signal that appeared in the olefinic region at $\delta$ 5.83 as a singlet integrating for two protons which was attributed to cyclopentene ring protons thus confirming the ring closing metathesis. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of compound 4 showed a signal at $\delta 124.8$ for -CN while the signal at 129.4 was attributed to cyclopentene olefinic carbons. Rest of the protons and carbons associated with the compound 4 resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of 4 showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at $206(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values. DIBAL-H reduction of the compound 4 at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished aldehyde 8, which without
purification was further reduced under Huang-Minlon ${ }^{4}$ reaction conditions to furnish required olefin intermediate $\mathbf{3}$ having methyl at quaternary center (Scheme 7).

Having successfully synthesized the requisite olefin 3 as the sole product, stage was set to complete the total synthesis of target compound 1. Accordingly, the olefin 3 was subjected to hydroboration ${ }^{7}$-oxidation ${ }^{8}$ sequence using $\mathrm{BH}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}-\mathrm{NaOH}$, IBX to furnish cyclopentanone 2 in $85 \%$ yield (Scheme 8 ).


Scheme 8 : Reagents and conditions: a) i) $\mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2}$, THF, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH;} \mathrm{ii)} \mathrm{IBX}, \mathrm{DMSO}$, h, 85\%; b) LiHMDS, MeI, DME, HMPA, 3 h, 70\%.

IR spectrum of the product 2 indicated the presence of a carbonyl group by revealing absorption at $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ confirming hydroboration-oxidation. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed no peak in the olefinic region while appearance of peaks at $\delta 2.46$ and 2.65 as a doublet integrating for one proton each, having geminal coupling constant 17.7 Hz , was attributed to methylene protons between carbonyl and quaternary center. The methylene protons next to carbonyl and quaternary center showed multiplets at $\delta 2.21-2.29$ and 2.32-2.37 respectively integrating for two protons each. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound 2 showed a signal that appeared at $\delta 217.8$ corresponding to carbonyl carbon, while rest of the proton and carbon peaks associated with the compound 2 resonated at expected positions. Finally, the mass spectrum of 2 showed the $m / z$ peak at $189(\mathrm{M}+1)^{+}$and elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values.

The ketone 2 on regioselective alkylation using MeI and LiHMDS as a hindered base, cat. HMPA in DME afforded the target compound ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone 1 in $70 \%$ yield. The IR spectrum of 1 showed characteristic absorption at $1725 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for the ketone carbonyl. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR displayed all the four methyls, as singlets at $\delta 0.61,1.17$ and 1.26 for methyls on
cyclopentanone ring and $\delta 2.35$ for $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$. The multiplets at $\delta$ 1.86-1.97 integrating for one proton, 2.41-2.52 integrating for two protons and 2.58-2.71 integrating for one proton were assigned to the protons in cyclopentanone ring. Aromatic protons appeared at $\delta 7.14-7.30$ as multiplet integrating for four protons. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR and DEPT spectra of compound $\mathbf{1}$ showed the signals at $\delta 18.3,20.8,22.1$ and 25.3 which were attributed to four methyl carbons while signals that appeared at $\delta 29.6$ and 33.7 were attributed to methylene carbons in cyclopentanone ring. The rest of the protons and carbons associated with the compound 1 resonated at expected positions. Finally the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1}$ showed the $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ peak at 216 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right)$and base peak at 145 while the elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with the calculated values. All the spectral data of the $\alpha$-cuparenone were in good agreement with literature reported values ${ }^{9}$ for natural $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone.

### 3.2.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, a very efficient and practical synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone has been accomplished in which all the reaction sequences are easy to perform, essentially mild and proceed in excellent yields. One of the shortest and efficient synthesis of the $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$ cuparenone has been achieved in five steps in $28 \%$ overall yield.
$\qquad$

### 3.2.6 Experimental

## 1-p-Tolylcyclopent-3-enecarbonitrile (4)



Method A: To the suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mmol})$ (washed with dry petroleum ether 2-3 times) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 4-methylbenzyl cyanide $5(5 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) and stirred for 30 min . Then cis-1,4-dichlorobut-2-ene ( $4.7 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added drop wise over 20 min and reaction was stirred at room temperature for 8 h . On completion of the reaction (TLC), it was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution, extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $3 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to afford yellow oil consisting of mixture of compounds 4 and $6(5.52 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%)$ in the ratio of $2: 1$.
Method B: The Grubbs' ${ }^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added to a degassed homogeneous solution of allyl compound 10 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DCM ( 50 mL ) under an atmosphere of argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . On completion of the reaction, the solvent was removed under vacuum and the product was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $2 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to provide thick colourless oil 4 ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ).

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Mol. Formula } & : \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}(\text { MW 183.10 }) . \\
\text { IR (Neat) } & : 817,1514,1640,2238,3029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .
\end{array}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 2 H ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 20.7,44.5,48.0$ (2C), 124.8, 125.0 (2C), 128.2 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 137.2, 138.2.

Mass (ESI) m/z
$: 183(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 206(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, 85.21; H, 7.15; N, 7.64\%
: Found: C, 84.84; H, 6.98; N, 7.12\%
$\qquad$

## 1-p-Tolyl-2-vinylcyclopropanecarbonitrile (6)



To the suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(3.6 \mathrm{~g}, 76 \mathrm{mmol})$ (washed with dry petroleum ether) in dry THF ( 20 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 4methylbenzyl cyanide 5 ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 25 mL ) and stirred for 30 min . Then trans-1,4-dibromobut-2-ene $(8.16 \mathrm{~g}, 38 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added drop wise over 20 min and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h . On completion of the reaction, it was quenched by the addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 40 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography using $3 \%$ ethyl acetate-petroleum ether as eluent to afford compound $6(5.8 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%)$ as yellow oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}$ (MW: 183.25).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 817,1216,1514,1640,2237,3019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3} 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.69-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.21(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-$
$5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71-5.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.09-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.9,21.4,22.8,33.4,118.4,120.1,125.4$ (2C), 129.5 (2C), 132.6, 134.3, 137.3.

## Mass (ESI) m/z

$: 183(\mathrm{M})^{+}, 206(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis
: Calculated: C, $85.21 ;$ H, 7.15 ; N, 7.64\%
: Found: C, 84.99; H, 7.05; N, 7.32\%

## 1-Methyl-4-(1-methylcyclopent-3-enyl) benzene (3)



The mixture of compounds 4 and $6(4 \mathrm{~g}, 21 \mathrm{mmol})$ was taken in dry DCM $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$ under argon atmosphere and temperature was lowered to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. DIBAL-H ( $44.0 \mathrm{mmol}, 22 \mathrm{~mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene) was added drop wise and left to stir at same temperature till the completion of the reaction. After completion of reaction, it was quenched at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the drop wise addition of 2 N HCl and then warmed to the room temp. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous
layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the mixture of aldehydes $\mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}$ which was used as such without further purification.

To the stirred solution of crude mixture of aldehydes 8 and $\mathbf{9}$ obtained above in diethylene glycol ( 20 mL ) was added hydrazine monohydrate ( $3.85 \mathrm{~mL}, 88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydroxide ( $3.52 \mathrm{~g}, 88 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 8 h and after completion of the reaction, it was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic layers were then washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a residue which was purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $2 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to obtain compound 3 ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ (MW: 172.27).
IR (Neat) $: 816,1215,1650,2926,3019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73$, (d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.20(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 50 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 20.8,31.3,45.7,47.7$ (2C), 125.8 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 134.6, 148.6.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $173(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, $90.64 ;$ H, $9.36 \%$
: Found: C, 90.75; H, 9.48\%

## 2-Allyl-2-p-tolylpent-4-enenitrile (10)



To the suspension of $60 \% \mathrm{NaH}(0.68 \mathrm{gm}, 16.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ (washed with dry petroleum ether 2-3 times) in dry THF ( 10 mL ) was added 4methylbenzyl cyanide $5(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 7.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 mL ) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . Allyl bromide ( $1.49 \mathrm{~mL}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry THF ( 5 mL ) was added drop wise over 5 min and reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h . On completion of the reaction it was quenched by the addition of
saturated ammonium chloride solution, extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with brine. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography using ethyl acetate/petroleum ether (3:97) as eluent to afford compound $\mathbf{1 0}(1.3 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%)$ as yellowish oil.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}$ (MW: 211.30).
IR (Neat) $: 815,1642,1514,2237,2982 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 2.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-5.19(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-$ $5.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R ~}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.9,44.1$ (2C), 47.1, 119.9 (2C), 121.5, 126.0 (2C), 129.4 (2C), 131.7 (2C), 134.6, 137.3.

## Mass (ESI) m/z

Elemental analysis
: $234(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
: Calculated: C, $85.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.11 ; \mathrm{N}, 6.63 \%$
: Found: C, 84.82; H, 8.05; N, 6.59\%

## 3-Methyl-3-p-tolylcyclopentanone (2)



To the solution of olefin $3(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous THF $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{BH}_{3} . \mathrm{SMe}_{2}(0.331 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred for 4 h . It was then cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and treated with aqueous $\mathrm{NaOH}(2.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $30 \% \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}(0.98 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.7 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature. After 3 h the volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure and residue was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford alcohol which was used as such without further purification.
To the stirred solution of alcohol in dry DMSO ( 10 mL ), were added IBX ( $732 \mathrm{mg}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and left to stirr at room temperature for 4 h . After completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was diluted with water and extracted using diethylether ( 3 x 20 mL ). Combined organic layers were then washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford residue which was purified by flash
column chromatography using $5 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to afford compound 2 as white solid ( $0.276 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ (MW: 188.27).
Melting point
: $58-60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
IR ( $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ )
$: 815,1246,1614,1720,3019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3} \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.39(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32-2.37(\mathrm{~m}$,
$2 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-$ 7.22 ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 5 \mathbf{0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.7,29.3,35.8,36.5,43.3,52.1,125.1$ (2C), 129.0 (2C), 135.5, 145.3, 217.8.

Mass (ESI) m/z $\quad: 189(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 82.84; H, 8.57\%
: Found: C, 82.75; H, 8.54\%

## 2, 2, 3-Trimethyl-3-p-tolylcyclopentanone (1)



To the stirred solution of ketone $2(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DME ( 20 mL ), was added LiHMDS ( $371 \mathrm{mg}, 2.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and catalytic amount of HMPA and stirred for few minutes. Then methyl iodide ( $0.325 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.0$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DME ( 2 mL ) was added drop wise and reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h . After completion, the reaction was quenched by addition of saturated ammonium chloride solution and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 50$ mL ) and washed with brine solution. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by flash column chromatography using $3 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to furnish desired target molecule 1 ( $152 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ) as a colorless oil.

Mol. Formula
IR (Neat)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& : \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O} \text { (MW: 216). } \\
& : 815,1460,1510,1725,2960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3} 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 0.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.30$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}$ ).
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N a}}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 18.3,20.8,22.1,25.3,29.6,33.7,48.3,53.2,126.3$ (2C), 128.9 (2C), 135.8, 141.9, 222.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $216\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 75 \%\right)$.

### 3.2.7 Spectra

(

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of compound $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of compound $2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$


DEPT spectrum of compound $2\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 50 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$
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## Chapter 3. Synthetic studies towards $\alpha$-cuparenone



# Synthesis of $( \pm)-\alpha$-Cuparenone employing gem-diallylation and RCM 

### 3.3.1 Summary

The present section deals with the total synthesis of $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone. New expedient and short synthesis of $( \pm)-\alpha$-cuparenone has been achieved starting from commercially available cheap starting material 4-methyl acetophenone.

### 3.3.2 Introduction

As described in section I of this chapter the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$ is a good synthetic challenge. In keeping with the interest in the expedient construction of the naturally occurring cyclopentane ring ${ }^{1}$ and the utilisation of ring closing metathesis, ${ }^{2}$ it was decided to apply RCM for the efficient synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone.


1

Olefin metathesis is one of the most important tools in the hands of the organic chemist ${ }^{3}$ for the formation of C-C bond and it is gaining increasing importance due to introduction of new and efficient catalysts that are more air stable and have been successfully used for the preparation of both carbocyclic as well as heterocyclic ring systems. More importantly, small, medium and large rings ${ }^{4}$ have been constructed efficiently and thus it has become a reliable tool for natural product synthesis. Also they have high functional group compatibility and activity thus becoming potentially synthetically more useful. ${ }^{3}$

Olefin metathesis is a disproportionation process involving bond formation, bond breakage and reorganization. It was first reported by Anderson and Merckling ${ }^{5}$ in 1955, where $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ metal catalysts were used for polymerization of norbornene. Later on, after introduction of Mo and Ru based catalysts by Schrock ${ }^{6}$ and Grubbs ${ }^{7}$ which are air stable and are tolerant to diverse functional groups, olefin metathesis has become popular in organic synthesis as well.

Scheme-1 depicts the postulated mechanism for ring closing metathesis reaction, which involves an iterative process of $[2+2]$ cycloaddition and cycloreversion between the olefins, metal alkylidene and metallocyclobutane species.


## Scheme 1

The numerous catalysts were developed by Tebbe, Schrock and Grubbs for different kinds of metathesis reactions, which are tolerant to different functional groups and which have wide range in chemical area and their uses are described.




Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation cat. 3
Grubbs' catalyst 4


Tebbe's reagent 5


Grubbs-Hoveyda catalyst 6


Catalyst for chiral metathesis 7


## Scheme 2

### 3.3.3 Present work

Having successful in synthesis of racemic $\alpha$-cuparenone employing cyclopentannulation where carbon bearing aryl ring acts as a nucleophile involves simple dialkylation to construct cyclopentene ring as the key step, this section deals with the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone employing one-pot gem-diallylation of acetophenone, where the carbon bearing aryl group serves as an electrophile, followed by RCM on the resulting substrate to construct the cyclopentene ring. This was elaborated to short and efficient synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone.

According to retrosynthetic analysis depicted in scheme 3, $\alpha$-cuparenone could be achieved from reduction of enone 11, which in turn could be accessed by dialkylation of 12 and enone 12 could be accessed by PDC oxidation of cyclopentene ring 13. Cyclopentene ring 13 could be synthesized from diallyl compound 14 via ring closing metathesis and compound 14 in turn could be synthesized from commercially available 4methyl acetophenone $\mathbf{1 5}$ by employing one-pot gem-diallylation.


## Scheme 3

### 3.3.4 Results and discussion

According to proposed retrosynthetic plan, synthesis started from 4-methyl acetophenone 15, which on treatment with $\mathrm{InCl}_{3},{ }^{8}$ allyl trimethylsilane and TMS-Cl in ethylene dichloride as a solvent at room temperature furnished diallyl compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ in $30 \%$ yield (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4: Reagents and conditions: a) $\mathrm{InCl}_{3}, \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$, allyltrimethylsilane, EDC, 8 h, $30 \%$.

The formation of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was ascertained by spectral analysis. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1 4}$ displayed a singlet at $\delta 1.23$ integrating for three protons and was assigned to quaternary methyl group. Signals at $\delta 2.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ and $2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$ were attributed to the methylene group of allyl moieties $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$, multiplets in olefinic region at $\delta 4.89-4.99\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ integrating for four protons and $\delta 5.40$ -$5.60\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ integrating for two protons were attributed to olefinic protons of allyl groups while singlet at $\delta 2.30$ corresponded to aryl methyl group. This was further confirmed by its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and DEPT spectra, which showed a signal at $\delta 40.2$ for the quaternary carbon bearing aryl group. Signals at $\delta 117.0$ and 135.1 showed presence of olefinic carbons while rest of the protons and carbons associated with the compound resonated at expected positions. Finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 4}$ was confirmed by mass spectral and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of 14 showed the $m / z$ peak at $223(M+N a)^{+}$. In case of elemental analysis the experimental values were found to be in good agreement with its theoretical values.

Though the required diallyl compound was synthesized in one pot, yield of the reaction was not satisfactory. To improve the yield alternative strategy was adopted wherein it was thought to synthesise diallyl compound from 4-methylbenzyl cyanide (Scheme 5). Accordingly, 4-methylbenzyl cyanide 17 was dialkylated with allyl bromide and NaH to furnish the diallylated compound 16 (Scheme 6).


## Scheme 5

DIBAL-H reduction of the compound 16 at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in DCM gave aldehyde 18. The formation of aldehyde was confirmed by spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed presence of aldehyde group by revealing absorptions at 2720 and $1715 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed singlet integrating for one proton at $\delta 9.49$ and was attributed to aldehydic proton and in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum signal that appeared at $\delta 201.4$ indicated the presence of carbonyl group while rest of the protons and carbons associated with the compound resonated at expected positions. Finally, the mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 8}$ showed the $m / z$ peak at $215(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Aldehyde 18 was further reduced under Huang-Minlon ${ }^{9}$ reaction condition to furnish required diallyl compound 14 (Scheme 6). Formation of compound 14 was confirmed by using spectroscopic methods.


Scheme 6 : Reagents and conditions: a) NaH, allyl bromide, THF, RT, 86\%; b) DIBALH, DCM, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; c) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{NaOH}$, diethylene glycol, $180^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$ (over two steps).

The allyl compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ thus obtained was identical to the one obtained by gemdiallylation of 4-methyl acetophenone 15 (Scheme 4).

Having successfully synthesised requisite diallyl compound 14, it was planned to construct the cyclopentene ring employing ring closing metathesis. Accordingly performing ring closing metathesis on diallyl compound 14 by using $1^{\text {st }}$ generation Grubbs' catalyst ${ }^{10}$ afforded the required key intermediate 13 (Scheme 7). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed singlet at $\delta 5.71$ integrating for two protons which was attributed to olefin protons in cyclopentene ring, while all other peaks associated with the olefinic
protons of allyl groups vanished confirming the RCM reaction. Singlets which appeared at $\delta 1.32$ and 2.32 integrating for three protons each were attributed to methyl groups attached to quaternary center and aryl methyl moiety. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum along with DEPT spectrum showed the absence of the carbons belonging to the allyl groups and the presence of new signal that appeared at $\delta 129.3$ which was assigned to cyclopentene olefin carbons. Signal which appeared at $\delta 45.7$ indicated the presence of quaternary methyl carbon and finally the structure of $\mathbf{1 3}$ was confirmed by mass spectrum and elemental analysis. The mass spectrum of $\mathbf{1 3}$ showed the $m / z$ peak at $173(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$. Elemental analysis was also found to be in good agreement with calculated values.

With the cyclopentene unit 13 in hand, an easy and straightforward conversion into corresponding enone 12 could be realised by the PDC oxidation ${ }^{11}$ of the alkene 13. Subjecting the substrate $\mathbf{1 3}$ to react with PDC in pyridine at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 7 h rendered the product 12 in $65 \%$ yield (Scheme 7).


( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-1


Scheme 7: Reagents and conditions: a) Grubbs' cat. $1^{\text {st }}$ generation, DCM, rt, 5 h, 90\%; b) PDC, pyridine, $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 7 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; c) NaH, DMF, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} I$ (excess), rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; d) $\mathrm{H}_{2}-$ Pd/C, EtOH, piperidine, 4 h, quantitative yield.

The structure of enone 12 was confirmed by IR, NMR and mass spectroscopy. The IR spectrum of enone 12 showed absorption at $1720 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ signifying the presence of keto functionality. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of enone $\mathbf{1 2}$ revealed two singlets, one at $\delta 1.63$
$\qquad$
integrating for three protons and other at $\delta 2.34$ integrating for three protons, which were attributed to quaternary methyl $\left(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ and aryl methyl $\left(\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ respectively. The methylene protons $\alpha$ to carbonyl showed a doublet at $\delta 2.58$ with coupling constant $J=7$ Hz and the olefinic protons appeared at $\delta 6.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$. Aromatic protons appeared as a singlet at $\delta 7.16$ integrating for four protons, thus confirming the enone 12. All the signals observed for enone 12 were in complete agreement with the values reported for $\mathbf{1 2} .^{12}$

The alkylation of enone $\mathbf{1 2}$ with NaH and methyl iodide in DMF at ambient temperature afforded alkylated enone $\mathbf{1 1}$ in $70 \%$ yield. The formation of compound $\mathbf{1 1}$ was confirmed by spectroscopic methods. IR spectrum showed absorption at $1713 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for enone carbonyl. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum showed three signals which appeared at $\delta 0.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ for methyl groups present on cyclopentenone ring and a signal that appeared at $\delta 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$ for methyl group attached to aromatic ring. Two olefinic doublets appeared at $\delta 6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ and $7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$ which were attributed to enone protons and a multiplet at $\delta 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$ was assigned to four aromatic protons as reported in literature ${ }^{13}$ thus confirming the structure of alkylated enone 11.

Finally total synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\alpha$-cuparenone was achieved by reduction of enone with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ in dry ethanol in presence of catalytic piperidine in $98 \%$ yield. The $\alpha$-cuparenone 1 thus obtained was identical to the one obtained from previous approach (chapter 3, section 2) in all respect. All the spectral data of the synthetic cuparenone were in good agreement with literature reported values ${ }^{12,1 \mathrm{lb}}$ for natural $( \pm)$ - $\alpha$-cuparenone $\mathbf{1}$.

### 3.3.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, the synthesis of $\alpha$-cuparenone has been accomplished in concise and efficient fashion in five steps employing one-pot gem-diallylation and ring closing metathesis as key steps under simple reaction conditions and from commercially readily available 4-methyl acetophenone as starting material.
$\qquad$

### 3.2.6 Experimental

## 1-Methyl-4-(4-methylhepta-1,6-dien-4-yl)benzene (14)



Method A: To the mixture of $\operatorname{InCl}_{3}(412 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol})$, trimethylsilyl chloride ( $0.228 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and allyltrimethyl silane ( $18 \mathrm{~mL}, 11.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry DME ( 15 mL ), was added 4-methyl acetophenone ( $5 \mathrm{~g}, 32.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) solution in DME and the reaction mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temp. After completion of the reaction, it was quenched by saturated solution of sodium bicarbonate and extracted with ethyl acetate. Organic layer was washed with brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography, eluting with petroleum ether to furnish desired compound $\mathbf{1 4}(2.2 \mathrm{~g}$, 30\%).

Method B: To the stirred solution of aldehyde $\mathbf{1 8} \mathbf{( 2 \mathrm { g } , 9 . 3 \mathrm { mmol } ) \text { in diethylene glycol ( } 3 0}$ mL ) was added hydrazine monohydrate ( $1.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and sodium hydroxide ( 1.4 $\mathrm{g}, 37.3 \mathrm{mmole}$ ). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux for 8 h and after completion of the reaction, it was diluted with water ( 10 mL ) and extracted using ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 25$ mL ). Combined organic layers were then washed with water, brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a residue which was purified by flash column chromatography using $2 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether as eluent to afford compound $\mathbf{1 4}(1.2 \mathrm{~g}, 65 \%)$ as a colorless thick oil.

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20}$ (MW: 200.32).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 815,1019,1218,1638,3005 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}\right): \delta 1.23(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.25(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.6,13.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $5.40-5.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=8.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.8,24.2,40.2,46.9$ (2C), 117.0 (2C), 126.2 (2C), 128.7 (2C), 134.9, 135.1 (2C), 144.0.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $223(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 89.94; H, 10.06\%
: Found: C, 89.86; H, 9.87\%
$\qquad$

## 2-Allyl-2-(p-tolyl) pent-4-enal (18)



A stirred solution of $\mathbf{1 6}(2 \mathrm{~g}, 9.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry DCM under argon atmosphere was cooled to $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and DIBAL-H ( $18.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 14.6$ $\mathrm{mL}, 2 \mathrm{M}$ solution in toluene) was added drop wise and left to stir at same temperature till the completion of the reaction. After completion of reaction, it was quenched at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the drop wise addition of 2 N HCl and then warmed to the room temp. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). Combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous sodium sulphate, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford aldehyde 18 in quantitative yield ( 2.02 g ) which was pure enough for further use.

Mol. Formula $\quad: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ (MW: 214.30).
IR (Neat) $\quad: 815,1460,1510,1715,2720,2960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-5.12(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 5.46-5.67 (m, 2H), 7.11 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 9.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.9,36.6$ (2C), 56.3, 118.7 (2C), 127.4 (2C), 129.5 (2C), 132.7 (2C), 134.8, 137.0, 201.4.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $215(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
1-Methyl-4-(1-methylcyclopent-3-enyl) benzene (13)


The Grubbs' $1^{\text {st }}$ generation catalyst ( $194 \mathrm{mg}, 1 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added to a degassed homogeneous solution of allyl compound 14 ( $500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.36$ mmol ) in dry DCM ( 50 mL ) under an atmosphere of argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 4 h . On the completion of the reaction (TLC), the solvent was removed under vacuum and purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ using $2 \%$ ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to provide 7 as thick colorless oil ( $390 \mathrm{mg}, 92 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula
: $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ (MW: 172.27).
IR (Neat) : 816, 1215, 1650, 2926, $3019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 1.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.73, (d, $J=14.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.34$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.20$ (d, $J=8.34 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.
${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.8,31.3,45.7,47.7$ (2C), 125.8 (2C), 128.8 (2C), 129.3 (2C), 134.6, 148.6.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $173(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, $90.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 9.36 \%$
: Found: C, 90.75; H, 9.48\%
4-Methyl-4-p-tolylcyclopent-2-enone (12)


To a solution of compound $13(150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ in pyridine $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$, PDC ( $262 \mathrm{mg}, 1.04 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. Reaction mixture was heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h and then cooled, filtered through a short silica gel column and eluted with more DCM. Evaporation of solvent and purification of residue over a flash silica gel column using $4 \%$ ethyl acetate/pet ether as eluent furnished the enone $\mathbf{1 5}$ as oil ( $96 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ).

Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}$ (MW: 186.25)
IR (Neat) $\quad: 815,1246,1614,1720,3019 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{M H z}$ ) : $\delta 1.63(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.20$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $187(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.
Elemental analysis : Calculated: C, 83.83; H, 7.58\%
: Found: C, 83.82; H, 7.55\%
4,5,5-Trimethyl-4-p-tolylcyclopent-2-enone (11)


A solution of enone 12 ( $75 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous DMF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added drop wise over 15 min period to a suspension of sodium hydride ( 21 mg ) in DMF ( 2 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature under the nitrogen atmosphere for 30 min. Methyl iodide ( 600 mg , excess) was added drop wise and reaction mixture was stirred for 15 h . Methanol was added to quench the reaction and it was diluted with ether ( 5 mL ) and filtered. The solid was washed with ether 2-3 times.

Combined organic solution was evaporated under vacuum and the resultant oily residue was purified by column chromatography over neutral alumina in ethyl acetate/pet ether as the eluent to afford the ketone 11 ( $53 \mathrm{mg}, 65 \%$ ) as a viscous liquid.

Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ (MW 214.14).
IR (Neat) $: 816,1019,1123,1384,1459,1513,1594,1713,2917 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathrm{MHz}\right): \delta 0.55(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.25(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$.
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}^{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}\right): \delta 20.2,21.2,26.0,26.6,51.8,54.8,126.9$ (2C), 129.3 (2C), 129.5, 136.6, 140.5, 169.08, 215.1.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $215(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

2, 2, 3-Trimethyl-3-p-tolylcyclopentanone (1)


A mixture of ketone $11(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.24 \mathrm{mmol}), 10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( 10 mg ) and 2 drops of piperidine in dry ethanol was shaken at room temperature under 1 atm hydrogen on Parr hydrogenation unit until the gas uptake had ceased. The reaction mixture was filtered, the catalyst was washed with ethanol and combined organic layer was evaporated and purified by flash column chromatography using 3\% ethyl acetate/petroleum ether as eluent to furnish the desired target molecule 1 in quantitative yield ( 0.051 g ).
Mol. Formula $: \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}$ (MW 216.32).
IR (Neat) $: 815,1460,1510,1725,2960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, 200 \mathbf{~ M H z}$ ) : $\delta 0.61(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.14-7.30 (m, 4H).
$\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C N M R}_{\mathbf{N M}} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}, \mathbf{5 0 M H z}\right): \delta 18.3,20.8,22.1,25.3,29.6,33.7,48.3,53.2,126.3$ (2C), 128.9 (2C), 135.8, 141.9, 222.7.

Mass (ESI) m/z : $216\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 75 \%\right)$.

### 3.3.7 Spectra
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