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## Abbreviations

| AA | Amino acid |
| :---: | :---: |
| Ac | Acetyl |
| AcOH | Acetic acid |
| $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Acetic anhydride |
| ACT | Artemisinin Combination Therapy |
| AD | Asymmetric dihydroxylation |
| ADP | Adenosine diphosphate |
| AED | Antiepileptic drug |
| ATP | Adenosine triphosphate |
| Anhyd. | Anhydrous |
| Aq. | Aqueous |
| atm. | Atmosphere |
| $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Boron trifluoride-diethyletherate |
| Boc | tert-Butyloxycarbonyl |
| $t$-BuOH | tert-Butanol |
| ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ | $n$-Butyl lithium |
| Bz | Benzoyl |
| ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Degree Celsius |
| cat. | Catalytic |
| Cbz | Carboxybenzyl |
| $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ | Cytotoxic concentration that is toxic to $50 \%$ cells |
| $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ | Deuterated chloroform |
| CDI | Carbodiimide |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ | Chloroform |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | Acetonitrile |
| Conc. | Concentrated |
| $m$-CPBA | $m$-Chloroperbezoic acid |

## Abbreviations

| CQ | Chloroquine |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{DCM}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ | Dichloromethane |
| $(R, R)$-DACH | ( $1 R, 2 R$ )-(+)-1,2-Diaminocyclohexane- $N, N^{\prime}$-bis(2-diphenylphosphino-1-naphthoyl) |
| DBU | 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undecene-7 |
| DCC | $N, N$-Dicyclohexylcarbodiimide |
| DEPT | Distortionless Enhancement by polarization Transfer |
| DHP | 3,4-Dihydro-2H-pyran |
| $(\mathrm{DHQ})_{2} \mathrm{PHAL}$ | 1,4-Bis(dihydroquinin-9-O-yl)phthalazine |
| DIAD | Diisopropylazocarboxylate |
| DIBAL-H | Diisobutylaluminium hydride |
| D-(-)-DIPT | (-)-Diisopropyl D-tartrate |
| DMAP | 4-Dimethylaminopyridine |
| DMF | $N$, $N^{\prime}$-Dimethylformamide |
| DMSO | Dimethyl sulfoxide |
| DMTMM | 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methyl-morpholinium chloride |
| DPAP1 | Dipeptidyl amino-peptidase 1 |
| DPPA | Diphenylphosphoryl azide |
| DTPP | Diethoxytriphenylphosphorane |
| DYKAT | Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Transformation |
| EDCI | 1-Ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide |
| $e e$ | Enantiomeric excess |
| ELSD | Evaporative light scattering detector |
| ESI-LCMS | Electrospray ionization-liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry |
| EtOAc | Ethyl acetate |
| EtOH | Ethanol |
| equiv. | Equivalent |


|  | Abbreviations |
| :---: | :---: |
| FAA | Functionalized amino acid |
| FACS | Fluorescence-activated cell sorting |
| FT-IR | Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy |
| FP-2 | Falcipain-2 |
| $\mu \mathrm{g}$ | Microgram |
| h | hour (s) |
| HAP | Histo-aspartic protease |
| HEPES | $N$-2-Hydroxyethylpiperazine- $N^{\prime \prime}$-2-ethanesulfonic acid |
| HKR | Hydrolytic kinetic resolution |
| HOBT | Hydroxybenzotriazole |
| HPLC | High Performance Liquid Chromatography |
| HRMS | High Resolution Mass Spectrometry |
| HTS | High-throughput screening |
| Hz | Hertz |
| IBCF | Isobutyl chloroformate |
| $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ | Half-maximal inhibitory concentration |
| IPA | Isopropyl alcohol |
| IR | Infra red |
| $J$ | Coupling constant (in NMR) |
| KOH | Potassium hydroxide |
| LiOH | Lithium hydroxide |
| $\mu \mathrm{M}$ | Micromolar |
| Me | Methyl |
| MeI | Methyl iodide |
| MeOH | Methanol |
| mg | Milligram |
| MHz | Megahertz |


|  | Abbreviations |
| :---: | :---: |
| MIC | Minimum inhibitory concentration |
| min. | Minutes |
| mL | Millilitre (s) |
| mmol | Millimole (s) |
| m.p. | Melting point |
| MTT | (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide) |
| $m / z$ | Mass to charge ratio |
| $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ | Sodium borohydride |
| NaH | Sodium hydride |
| $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ | Sodium bicarbonate |
| NaOH | Sodium hydoxide |
| NCE | New chemical entity |
| NMR | Nuclear Magnetic Resonance |
| nM | Nanomolar |
| NMM | $N$-Methyl morpholine |
| NOESY | Nuclear Overhauser Effect Spectroscopy |
| Pd | Palladium |
| Ph | Phenyl |
| PMP | p-methoxy phenyl |
| $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ | Triphenyl phosphine |
| ppm | Parts per million |
| PPTS | Pyridinium $p$-toluenesulfonate |
| PTSA | para-Toluenesulfonic acid |
| Py | Pyridine |
| rac | Racemic |
| $R_{f}$ | Retention factor |
| RM | Reaction mixture |


|  | Abbreviations |
| :---: | :---: |
| RT (rt) | Room temperature |
| SAD | Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation |
| SAR | Structure-activity relationship |
| sd | standard deviation |
| SI | Selectivity index |
| $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ | Silicagel |
| $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}$ | Thionyl chloride |
| SP | Sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine |
| TBAI ( ${ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$ ) | tetra- $n$-Butylammonium iodide |
| TCA-NCO | trichloroacetyl isocyanate |
| TCCA | Trichloroisocyanuric acid |
| TEMPO | (2,2,6,6-Tetramethylpiperidin-1-yl)oxyl |
| tert | Tertiary |
| TFAA | Trifluoroacetic anhydride |
| TFA | Trifluoroacetic acid |
| THF | Tetrahydrofuran |
| TLC | Thin layer chromatography |
| TOF mass analyzer | Time-of-flight mass analyzer |
| $t_{\text {R }}$ | Retention time |
| $p \mathrm{TsCl}$ | para-Toluenesulfonyl chloride |
| UCB | Union Chimique Belge |
| UV | Ultraviolet |
| WHO | World Health Organization |
| XRD | X-ray diffraction |

> Independent compound and reference numbering have been used for each chapter as well as for sections of the chapters.
> All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used as such without any further purification. Starting materials were obtained from commercial suppliers or prepared using known procedures.
$>\quad$ All the known compounds reported in literature were characterized by their NMR spectra.
$>\quad$ Solvents were distilled and dried following standard procedures. Petroleum ether used for column chromatography was of $60-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ boiling range.
$>\quad$ Column chromatographic separations were carried out on silica gel (100-200 or 230400 mesh size).
$>\quad$ Flash chromatography was carried out by CombiFlash ${ }^{\left({ }^{( }\right)} \mathbf{R f} 200 i$ Isco Teledyne Inc., USA instrument using UV/ELSD detector, 230-400 mesh silica gel Redise ${ }^{\circledR}$ column and appropriate solvent system.
> All reactions were monitored by TLC with 0.25 mm pre-coated E-Merck silica gel plates ( 60 F254) and TLC spots were made visible by exposing to UV light, Iodine adsorbed on silica gel or by immersion into an ethanolic solution of phosphomolybdic acid (PMA), $p$-anisaldehyde, ninhydrin or $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}$ followed by heating with a heat gun for $\sim 15 \mathrm{sec}$.
$>\quad$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker AV200 (200.13 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 50.03 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), AV 400 (400.13 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 100.03 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR), Jeol-400 ( 400 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 100 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) and DRX 500 (500.13 MHz for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and 125.03 MHz for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) spectrometers.
$>\quad$ Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) have been expressed in ppm units relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard and coupling constants $(J)$ were measured in Hertz.
$>$ The following abbreviations were used for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $\mathrm{s}=$ singlet, $\mathrm{d}=$ doublet, $\mathrm{t}=$ triplet, $\mathrm{q}=$ quartet, $\mathrm{m}=$ multiplet, brs = broad singlet, $\mathrm{dd}=$ doublet of doublet, $\mathrm{dt}=$ doublet of triplet, $\mathrm{td}=$ triplet of doublet and ddd $=$ doublet of doublet of doublet.
$>\quad$ All the reported melting points are uncorrected and were recorded using Buchi melting point apparatus (Buchi B-540).
$>\quad$ IR spectra were recorded on Shimadzu FT-IR-8300 spectrometer as thin films in chloroform using NaCl plates and absorptions were expressed in $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$.
> Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter at 589 nm (sodium D-line). Specific rotations $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$ are reported in deg/dm, and the concentration (c) is given in $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ in the specific solvent.
$>\quad$ Structures and IUPAC nomenclature were generated using ChemBioDraw Ultra 14.0 software.
> Mass spectra were recorded on LC-MS/MS-TOF API QSTAR PULSAR spectrometer, samples introduced by fusion method using Electrospray Ionization Technique.
$>$ High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) (ESI) were recorded on an Orbitrap (quadrupole plus ion trap) and TOF mass analyzer.
> HPLC was performed with Shimadzu CLASS-VP or Agilent HPLC system (UV detection at 215/220/254 nm, Chiral column: Chiralpak-IA or IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ) or Chiralcel OD-H ( 0.46 cm X 25 cm ), Mobile phase: EtOH in ${ }^{n}$ hexane or Isopropyl alcohol (IPA) in "hexane, Flow rate: $1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ or $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$ ).

## Synopsis
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The thesis is divided into three chapters. Chapter 1 deals with the design and synthesis of artemisinin-based hybrid molecules as novel antimalarial agents targeting falcipain-2 enzyme. These hybrid molecules were assayed for parasiticidal activities against $P$. falciparum and exhibited better activities than the currently available drug artemisinin. Chapter $\mathbf{2}$ deals with the synthesis of syncarpamide, a norepinephrine alkaloid showing the antiplasmodial activity of 2.04 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.06 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Plasmodium falciparum D6 and W2 clones, respectively. Chiral pool synthesis of antiepileptic drug $(R)$-lacosamide from natural amino acid L-serine will also be discussed. Chapter $\mathbf{3}$ deals with the isolation of sesquiterpene lactones zaluzanin C and D . It also deals with the synthesis of analogs of zaluzanin D with new C-N bond formed using Michael addition reaction and analogs with new C-C bond formation using Heck reaction. The anticancer studies of synthesized analogs will also be discussed in this chapter.

Chapter 1: Design and synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules as novel antimalarial agents
Malaria is caused by protozoan parasites of the genus Plasmodium. Development of resistance by the parasites against generally used antimalarial drugs, e.g. quinine, chloroquine, etc. further complicate its treatment. The situation was improved with the isolation of artemisinin, a natural sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide from the Chinese plant Artemisia annua. However, artemisinin resistance has been observed in the P. falciparum strains isolated from patients treated with artemisinin from Cambodia, French Guiana, and Senegal. ${ }^{1}$ The hemoglobin degradation by the malaria parasite is carried out by a variety of proteases present within parasite, and the inhibition of this process is considered to be a potential drug target for the development of new antimalarial agents. ${ }^{2}$
The cysteine proteases present in P. falciparum, e.g., falcipain-2 and falcipain-3 are considered to be the ideal drug targets for the development of new antimalarial agents. Falcipain-2 inhibitors in principle would require hydrophobic interaction in $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pockets and essentially electrophilic center at the active-site of the enzyme. ${ }^{3}$ We visualized that these requirements could be ideally fulfilled by artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules.

## Synopsis

As shown in the Scheme 1, artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules were synthesized by combining dihydroartemisinin with peptidyl vinyl phosphonate through suitable linkers by using etherification reaction ( 12 hybrid molecules were synthesized). A linker could be any compound that has one free hydroxyl group and some functionality which could be easily converted to free acid e.g. methyl ester.


Scheme 1. Design and synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules.
Hybrid molecules 75, 76, and $\mathbf{8 1}$ exhibited parasiticidal activities against P. falciparum in vitro culture in nM range (Figure 1). These molecules were further assayed for their in vitro toxicity against A549 human cells and found to be non-toxic to the human cells.




Figure 1. Synthesized artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules (where, DHA = dihydroartemisinin).







Figure 2. Synthesized diastereomers of hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 (where, DHA = dihydroartemisinin).

Since the active molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were a mixture of two diastereomers, their individual diastereomers were also synthesized by asymmetric synthesis using chiral $(R)$ or ( $S$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate in the final amide coupling reactions (Figure 2). ${ }^{4}$

## Design and synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecules as novel antimalarial agents

Peptidyl vinyl sulfones are known potent irreversible inhibitors of falcipain-2 and 3. They act as Michael acceptors of the catalytic cysteine residue. ${ }^{5}$ The excellent antimalarial activities of hybrid molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ encouraged us to synthesize their sulfone variants $\mathbf{9 2}, \mathbf{9 3}$ and $\mathbf{9 4}$ (and their diastereomers) as shown below in Figure 3. The hybrid molecules 92, 93 and 94 (and their diastereomers) were synthesized by using rac or $(R)$ or ( $S$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfonate in the final amide coupling reaction.
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Figure 3. Synthesized artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfonate hybrid molecules (where, DHA = dihydroartemisinin).

Chapter 2: Section A: Synthesis of syncarpamide, its analogs and study of their in vitro and in vivo antiplasmodial activities
Syncarpamide is a norepinephrine alkaloid isolated from the stems of Zanthoxylum syncarpum. ${ }^{6}$ It shows antiplasmodial activities of $2.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.06 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Plasmodium falciparum D6 and W2 clones, respectively. Syncarapmide was synthesized using Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) of 3,4-dimethoxy styrene. Further, a library of $\mathbf{5 8}$ analogs and oxyanalogs of syncarpamide were synthesized by taking different styrenes and carboxylic acids (Figure 4) and these analogs were assayed for their in vitro and in vivo antimalarial activities. ${ }^{7}$


Figure 4. Structures of syncarpamide, analogs and oxy-analogs.
From SAR study, it was observed that electron donating groups (such as OMe groups) on both ester and amide side chains of syncarpamide increased its in vitro antiplasmodial activity.

## Section B: Synthesis of antiepileptic drug ( $R$ )-lacosamide using chiral pool strategy

$(R)$-Lacosamide (Vimpat) is a drug used to treat epilepsy which is a neurological disorder that disturbs the normal activity of the brain cells. We thought of an efficient and straightforward synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$, which could be achieved on an industrial scale at a much cheaper cost. The key starting material, N -Boc- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-isopropylidene-L-serinol 2 could easily be synthesized in gram scale from natural L-serine in four steps without the need of any purification of the intermediates. $(R)$-Lacosamide 1 was synthesized from 2 in 5 steps with $54 \%$ overall yield and $100 \%$ ee (Scheme 2). ${ }^{8}$


Scheme 2. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide from L-serine.
Chapter 3: Isolation of sesquiterpene lactones zaluzanin $C$ and $D$ from Vernonia arborea, synthesis of analogues of zaluzanin $D$ and their anticancer studies
We wished to undertake systematic chemical examination of Vernonia arborea (family Asteraceae), an endemic plant of the Western Ghats for its bioactive constituents. The genus Vernonia has been reported to a rich source of several bioactive secondary metabolites. We carried out extraction of Vernonia arborea leaves and undertook chemical examination of petroleum extract of the leaves. We isolated two sesquiterpene lactones from the petroleum extract which were identified as zaluzanin C and D using spectroscopic techniques. ${ }^{9}$ Further, we confirmed the structure of zaluzanin D by its single crystal x-ray analysis as shown in below in Figure 5.


zaluzanin $\mathrm{D}(1)$

zaluzanin C (2)

Figure 5. Structures of zaluzanin $C$ and $D$.
Since the amino analogs of sesquiterpene lactones are known for their increased cytotoxicity, ${ }^{10}$ we synthesized several C-N bond amino analogs of zaluzanin D using Michael addition by reacting with different aliphatic or aromatic amines. Further, C-C bond analogs of zaluzanin D were also synthesized using Heck coupling reaction by reacting with different aryl iodides as shown below in Scheme 3. ${ }^{11}$ The synthesized analogues were assayed for their anticancer activities against human breast cancer cell lines, MCF7, MDA-MB-231 and MCF10A.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of analogs of zaluzanin D using Michael and Heck reactions.

## Noteworthy Findings:

1) Design and synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate and sulfonate hybrid molecules as novel antimalarial agents.
2) Synthesis of norepinephrine alkaloid, (+)-syncarpamide and its analogs employing Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) of 3,4-dimethoxy styrene.
3) Synthesis of antiepileptic drug $(R)$-lacosamide using chiral pool strategy from L-serine.
4) Isolation of sesquiterpene lactones zaluzanin C and D from Vernonia arborea, synthesis of CN bond analogs of zaluzanin D using Michael addition reaction and C-C bond analogs of zaluzanin D using Heck reaction and anticancer studies of synthesized analogs.
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## Chapter 1

# Design and Synthesis of Artemisinin-Dipeptidyl Vinyl Phosphonate Hybrid Molecules as Novel Antimalarial Agents 

# Design and Synthesis of Artemisinin-Dipeptidyl Vinyl Phosphonate Hybrid Molecules as Novel Antimalarial Agents 

### 1.1. INTRODUCTION

Malaria is a disease caused by the protozoan parasites of the genus Plasmodium, which is transmitted to humans by the bites of female Anopheles spp. mosquitoes. Species of Plasmodium named $P$. falciparum, $P$. vivax, $P$. malariae and $P$. ovale are responsible for the spread of the disease in humans. Among them, $P$. falciparum is the most dangerous and is the cause of most of the deaths. The parasite $P$. falciparum once enters the human body, first attacks the liver where it multiplies and then invades the red blood cells. According to the latest World Malaria Report 2017, more than 216 million cases of malaria were reported leading to approximately 445,000 deaths worldwide in the year 2016. ${ }^{1}$ In India alone 331 malaria deaths were reported in 2016, making it the highest in the entire Southeast Asia region. The worldwide distribution of malaria disease in various countries is shown in Figure 1. ${ }^{2}$


Figure 1. Worldwide distribution of malaria disease. ${ }^{2}$
The different antimalarial drugs used for the treatment of malaria include sulfadoxine, pyrimethamine, proguanil, quinine, chloroquine, primaquine, pamaquine, tafenoquine, mefloquine, atovaquone, bulaquine, tafenoquine, amodiaquine, pyronaridine, piperaquine,
halofantrine, lumefantrine, pyronaridine, tetracycline, doxycycline, artemisinin and its semisynthetic derivatives, such as artemether and artesunate. Some of the structures of these antimalarial drugs are shown in Figure 2.


Sulphadoxine 1


Pyrimethamine 2


Proguanil 3


Quinine 4


Pamaquine 7

Chloroquine 5

Tefenoquine 8


Atovaquone 10


Artemisinin 11


Dihydroartemisinin $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}) 12$
Artemether $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}) 13$
Arteether ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}$ ) 14
Sodium artesunate 15
( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COONa}$ )
Sodium artelinate 16
( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{COONa}$ )

Figure 2. Structures of selected antimalarial drugs.

### 1.2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 1.2.1. Artemisinin and its derivatives

Artemisinin 11 (qinghaosu) is a sesquiterpene lactone endoperoxide isolated from the Chinese medicinal plant Artemisia аппиа (sweet wormwood), a herb employed in the traditional Chinese system of medicine. It was discovered in 1972 by Prof. Youyou Tu, a Chinese scientist who shared half of the 2015 Nobel Prize in Medicine for her discovery of artemisinin. ${ }^{3}$ The final structure of artemisinin $\mathbf{1 1}$ was established in 1977 by NMR and its single crystal X-ray studies. ${ }^{4}$ It contains an unusual endoperoxide bridge, which is responsible for the mechanism of action of the drug (Figure 2).

It is superior in plasmocidal and blood schizontocidal activities compared to other class of antimalarial drugs such as chloroquine and quinine against malaria. Artemisinin $\mathbf{1 1}$ is found to be active at nanomolar concentrations in vitro against chloroquine-sensitive as well as chloroquine-resistant strains of $P$. falciparum. However, artemisinin has poor pharmacokinetic profile due to the poor solubility either in oil or water, ${ }^{5}$ high rate of parasite recrudescence after treatment, ${ }^{5 b}$ short-plasma half-life (3-5 h) and poor oral activity. ${ }^{5 c}$ This prompted many researchers to design and synthesize various derivatives which can overcome these shortcomings of artemisinin 11. Some of these derivatives are shown in Figure 2. The carbonyl group of artemisinin 11 can easily be reduced to lactol, dihydroartemisinin 12 in high yields using sodium borohydride and MeOH at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The dihydroartemisinin 12 was subsequently converted to a series of semi-synthetic analogs including the oil-soluble artemether 13 and arteether $\mathbf{1 4}$ and water-soluble sodium artesunate 15 and sodium artelinate 16. These derivatives were found to be very potent antimalarial drugs effective against chloroquine-resistant strains of P. falciparum. ${ }^{6}$ These artemisinin derivatives are exceptionally fast acting against intra-erythrocytic asexual blood-stage malarial parasite affecting up to 10000 fold reductions in parasite burden every 48 h .

### 1.2.2. Artemisinin Combination Therapy (ACT) ${ }^{9,10}$

The inherent disadvantage of the artemisinin derivatives (such as dihydroartemisinin 12, artemether 13 , arteether 14 , artesunate 15 and sodium artelinate 16) is their very short in vivo half-lives (typically $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$ in humans). ${ }^{7}$ Also, the World Health Organization
discouraged the use of single-drug artemisinin drugs (artemisinin monotherapy) to prevent malaria parasites from developing resistance to the drug. ${ }^{8}$

As a result, artemisinin derivatives are combined with longer half-life partner drugs, such as lumefantrine, amodiaquine, piperaquine, mefloquine, sulphadoxine-pyrimethamine or pyronaridine to remove the residual parasites (Artemisinin Combination Therapies). ${ }^{9,10}$ These combinations help to prevent recrudescence (which can occur even after five days of artemisinin monotherapy), and hence they are currently recommended by WHO to slow the development of parasite resistance.

The ACTs recommended by the WHO are artemether/lumefantrine, artesunate/amodiaquine, artesunate/mefloquine, dihydroartemisinin/piperaquine, artesunate/pyronaridine and artesunate/sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine.

### 1.2.3. Antimalarial drug resistance ${ }^{11-20}$

The resistance in P. falciparum has emerged against all the available antimalarial drugs throughout the world. ${ }^{11}$ The synthetic antimalarial drug chloroquine (CQ) was initially used in the 1950s to treat, prevent and eradicate malaria worldwide. However, resistance to CQ is widespread throughout the world which led to re-emergence of malaria and the spread of CQ-resistant parasite in Southeast Asia and South America. ${ }^{12}$ Due to the resistance to CQ, CQ-resistant parasite was spread to Africa in 1980s and resulted in 2-3 fold increase in malaria-related deaths. ${ }^{13}$ Hence, CQ was replaced with the two-drug combination, sulfadoxine/pyrimethamine (SP) as the first line of treatment for malaria. But resistance to SP is widespread in Asia, South America and also in Africa. ${ }^{14}$ When the parasites developed resistance against these drugs, these were replaced by the more expensive mefloquine drug monotherapy. However, resistance soon developed for mefloquine in some areas of South East Asia, the Amazon region of South America and sporadically in Africa. ${ }^{15}$ Since then use of two or more drugs has been widely practiced for treating uncomplicated falciparum malaria in all areas rather than using single antimalarial drug preferably with an artemisinin derivative as one of the partner drugs (Artemisinin Combination Therapies). ${ }^{9,10}$
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## Resistance to artemisinin and artemisinin combination therapy (ACT) ${ }^{16-20}$

Unfortunately over the last decade resistance against artemisinins has emerged in $P$. falciparum malaria and spread within Southeast Asia (Myanmar, Thailand, Cambodia, Vietnam, and Laos). ${ }^{16-18}$ Clinically, resistance to artemisinins is defined as a slower rate of parasite clearance in patients treated with an artemisinin derivative or an ACT. Resistance to artemisinin was first reported as a 100 -fold reduction in parasite clearance rate in Pailin, Western Cambodia in 2009. ${ }^{19}$ It is known from the past decades that CQ-resistant $P$. falciparum strains spread to India from Southeast Asian countries through the northeastern states. Now, the artemisinin-resistant strain has been spreading from Southeast Asia to other parts of the world. If artemisinin-resistant malaria does spread to or emerge in India, the human health consequences will be immense. India is already experiencing declining efficacy of its currently recommended first-line ACT (artesunate-sulfadoxinepyrimethamine) in the northeastern states of the country. ${ }^{20}$ Due to the continuous emergence of $P$. falciparum resistance to all available antimalarial drugs, there is an urgent need to develop new antimalarial agents with a different mode of action.

### 1.2.4. Drug Target for Malaria: Falcipain-2 (FP-2)

Malaria parasite degrades most of the host cell hemoglobin which is essential to the survival of the parasite. ${ }^{21}$ Degradation of hemoglobin by the malarial parasites produces "heme" and "globin fragments" which will be further degraded to small amino acids. These amino acids resulting from hemoglobin degradation are transferred to parasite proteins or utilized for energy metabolism of malarial parasites. ${ }^{22}$ The release of heme is toxic to parasite itself and causes membrane damage due to its peroxidative properties ${ }^{23}$ and hence parasites convert heme into an insoluble crystalline form called hemozoin (also called malaria pigment). ${ }^{24 a}$ The formation of hemozoin is essential to the survival of parasites. Antimalarial drugs, such as chloroquine and mefloquine are believed to kill malaria parasites by inhibiting hemozoin biocrystallization. ${ }^{24 b}$

The actual process of hemoglobin degradation is carried out by different proteases present within the malaria parasite (Figure 3). Aspartic proteases named plasmepsins I, II and IV and histo-aspartic protease (HAP) participate in hemoglobin degradation ${ }^{25}$ to release heme moiety and globin fragments followed by further degradation of globin fragments by
cysteine proteases named falcipains-2, -2 , and $-3 .{ }^{26}$ However, the precise sequence of events particularly whether a plasmepsin or a falcipain initiates the degradation step is still unclear. ${ }^{27}$ Further degradation of oligopeptides by a metalloprotease (falcilysin) ${ }^{28}$ and dipeptidyl amino- peptidase 1 (DPAP1) ${ }^{29}$ yields small peptides. Finally, small peptides are then pumped out of the food vacuole into the cytoplasm and an amino peptidase activity provides amino acids essential for parasites survival. ${ }^{30}$ As shown in Figure 3, the degradation process follows an ordered pathway. Therefore, blocking the process or inhibiting any of these enzymes would be lethal to the parasite. It can be concluded from the literature that the inhibition of cysteine proteases (i.e., falcipains) could be considered as an ideal drug target for the development of new antimalarial drugs. ${ }^{31-40}$


Figure 3. Hemoglobin degradation pathway in Plasmodium food vacuole. ${ }^{40 \mathrm{~b}}$
Several falcipain-2 inhibitors have been synthesized in literature ${ }^{32-40}$ and evaluated as potential antimalarial agents such as peptidyl fluromethyl ketones, ${ }^{32}$ peptidyl vinyl sulfones, ${ }^{33}$ peptidyl aldehydes, ${ }^{34}$ peptidyl epoxides, ${ }^{35}$ peptidomimetics based on a 1,4 benzodiazepine scaffold, ${ }^{36}$ chalcones, ${ }^{37}$ isoquinolines, ${ }^{38}$ thiosemicarbazones, ${ }^{39}$ peptidyl vinyl sulfonamide and sulfonate esters, vinyl ketones, amides, esters and nitriles, phenothiazines, pyridines and peptidyl aziridines (Figure 4).

However, the use of many falcipain-2 inhibitors (such as peptide-based FP-2 inhibitors) as therapeutic agents is limited due to some of the following properties of falcipain-2 inhibitors.
Page |6
(i) Poor pharmacological profile; (ii) Poor absorption through cell membranes; (iii) Susceptibility to protease degradation; (iv) Limited bioavailability; (v) Problems with the formulation.

$17\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.36 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$ \& $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=64 \mathrm{nM}\right.$, P.falciparum $)$

$20\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.0 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$
\& $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=1.1 \mathrm{nM}\right.$, P.falciparum $)$

$18\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.0 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$
\& $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=0.2 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, P.vinckei)

$19\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=2.2 \mathrm{nM}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$
\& $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=46 \mathrm{nM}\right.$, P.falciparum $)$

$23\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=8.2 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$

$25\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=5.7 \mu \mathrm{M}\right.$, P.falciparum)

$26\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=3.0 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$

$27\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}=10 \mu \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{FP}-2\right)$
\& inactive to P.falciparum

Figure 4. Some of the reported inhibitors of the falcipain-2 enzyme.

### 1.2.5. General inhibition mechanism of cysteine protease (FP-2) inhibitors

Falcipain-2 inhibitors in principle require hydrophobic interaction in $\mathrm{P}_{1}, \mathrm{P}_{2}$ pockets and an electrophilic (or) an alkylation centre, which behaves as a Michael acceptor (Figure 5). ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}, 31 \mathrm{i}, 31 \mathrm{j}, 33 \mathrm{a}, 40}$ FP-2 inhibitors such as vinyl sulfones inactivate the enzyme by irreversible addition of the thiol group of active site Cys 42 to the electrophilic double bond of vinyl
sulfone moiety. The obtained negative charge at the $\alpha$-carbon is eliminated via protonation by the histidinium residue ${ }^{40 \mathrm{~b}}$ (Figure 6).


Figure 5. General structure of the peptidyl vinyl sulfones containing an amide linkage between the $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ substituent and the peptide residue. $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ represent the side chains of the amino acids ( $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ ).


Figure 6. Mechanism of inhibition of the FP-2 enzyme by vinyl sulfones.

### 1.2.6. Hybrid molecules

Hybrid molecules can be defined as chemical entities with two or more distinct natural or unnatural moieties having a different biological functions and dual activity suggesting that a hybrid molecule acts as two distinct pharmacophores. ${ }^{41}$ Synthesis of hybrid molecules ${ }^{42}$ is a new promising approach in the development of lead molecules for the medicinal and pharmaceutical industry as the biological activities of hybrid molecules is superior to that of individual parent compounds. Another advantage of this concept over a combinatorial chemistry approach is that it may provide possibilities to generate a diverse group of new type of molecules for applications in biology. In the modern combinatorial chemistry ${ }^{43}$ millions of new compounds can be synthesized in a relatively short period and then evaluated for their biological activities using high-throughput screening (HTS) method. ${ }^{44}$ However, the success of such a random approach is limited due to the lack of new chemical entities (NCEs) with high diversity. Hence the synthesis of hybrid molecules can be considered as a new approach to achieve structural diversity in compounds with different
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biological activities. The use of hybrid molecules also reduces the risk of treatment failure and the partner drug may be protected from the spread of resistance.

In fact, nature already used the hybrid molecule approach to synthesize several structurally diverse "natural hybrid molecules" or "naturally occurring hybrid molecules" through various metabolic pathways. For example, nature employed the shikimic acid pathway for the synthesis of natural hybrid molecule vitamin E (28) (Figure 7). Nature also synthesizes several interesting dimeric natural product hybrids. Vinblastine (29) and vincristine (30) are the examples for natural dimeric indole alkaloids used to treat some types of cancer. The dimeric hybrids, vinblastine and vincristine consist of vindoline and catharanthine monomeric alkaloid substructures where the individual monomers do not exhibit any pronounced or useful biological activities. Cephalostatin 1 (31) and blepharocalyxin D (32) are some other examples for dimeric natural product hybrids (Figure 7).


Figure 7. Examples for naturally occurring hybrid molecules.
Artificial or synthetic hybrid molecules can be synthesized by covalently joining the two individual natural or synthetic moieties through a suitable linker. Depending on the types of
linkers connecting the two individual moieties, synthetic hybrid molecules can be classified into four types (Figure 8).
(a) Conjugate hybrids: In conjugate hybrids, both the pharmacophores are joined through a metabolically stable linker which is not part of the either of the two molecules. e.g., aminoquinoline-trioxane hybrid $\mathbf{3 3}$. ${ }^{41 \mathrm{a}}$
(b) Cleavage conjugate hybrids: In this type, both the pharmacophores joined through a metabolically cleavable linker inside the biological system to release the two pharmacophore units that interact independently with different targets. e.g., $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ artemisinin-mefloquine hybrid 34 . ${ }^{45}$
(c) Fused hybrids: In fused hybrids, the size of the linker is decreased/removed such that the framework of the pharmacophores is in contact. Pyrroloquinoline fused hybrid $\mathbf{3 5}$ was synthesized by the reaction of $o$-aminophenylpyrrole and imidazole-2-carboxaldehyde. ${ }^{46}$ Similarly, fused hybrid 36 was synthesized by coupling L-DOPA and $(R)$ - $\alpha$-lipoic acid. ${ }^{47}$
(d) Merged hybrids: The two components are merged by taking advantage of the common pharmacophore in the structures which give rise to smaller and simpler hybrid molecules. Merged hybrid 37 was designed by taking the commonalities of the 4 -aminoquinoline and 9 acridone. ${ }^{48}$

(c)

(d)

Figure 8. Classification of hybrid molecules (a) Conjugate hybrid, (b) Cleavage conjugate hybrid, (c) Fused hybrid, (d) Merged hybrid.


Aminoquinoline-trioxane hybrid (33)



Pyrroloquinoline fused hybrid (35)


L-DOPA and ( $R$ )- $\alpha$-lipoic acid fused hybrid (36)


4-Aminoquinoline and 9 -acridone merged hybrid (37)

Figure 9. Examples of the various types of hybrid molecules.
The design of structurally diverse hybrid molecules has been receiving increasing attention in the field of medicinal chemistry from the past two decades. ${ }^{42}$ The concept of hybrid molecules has been utilized by several research groups to develop a diverse class of compounds to treat several diseases such as cancer, AIDS, diabetes, tuberculosis and is now gaining momentum in the field of antimalarial drug discovery. ${ }^{49}$

## Advantages of antimalarial hybrid drugs over currently used combination therapy for malaria treatment

In the treatment of malaria utilizing drug combination therapy, two or more agents are coformulated into a single tablet termed as a multicomponent drug. Compared to the currently used combination therapy, hybrid drugs may be less expensive with a lower risk of drugdrug adverse interactions compared to multicomponent drugs. A hybrid drug possesses a single pharmacokinetic profile which becomes easy to predict and control ${ }^{41,50}$ and hence superior to the standard combination therapy. ${ }^{51}$ It is believed that hybrid drugs may be absorbed, distributed, metabolized and excreted at one single rate. ${ }^{52}$ Also, pharmacokinetic properties can be controlled by the linkage moiety. ${ }^{53}$ The use of hybrid molecules improves pharmacokinetic profile and activity against resistant strains compared to that of combination therapy but have the disadvantages of less flexible administration.

Walsh et al. synthesized an artemisinin-quinine hybrid 38 (Figure 10) by coupling dihydroartemisinin to the carboxylic acid derivative of quinine via an ester-linkage. ${ }^{49 \mathrm{a}}$ The hybrid 38 exhibited potent in vitro activity against sensitive and resistance strains of $P$. falciparum than that of quinine and artemisinin alone.

The most important challenge when designing hybrid compounds is to overcome issues associated with drug resistance, pharmacokinetics, potency, solubility, metabolism, mode of administration and toxicity. ${ }^{51}$ The fact is that only one synthetic aminoquinoline-trioxaquine hybrid molecule 41 (PA1103/SAR116242) has reached the clinical trials. ${ }^{54}$ However, it was later abandoned in preclinical development. Hence the development of novel hybrid molecules with a different mode of action using different drugs or pharmacophores remains one of few remaining resources as there is a lack of effective treatment for malaria.

Several antimalarial hybrid molecules have been synthesized in literature ${ }^{33 \mathrm{~d}, 49}$ by using different drugs or pharmacophores and some of the examples are shown in Figure 10.


Aminoquinoline-ferrocene hybrid 39

Artemisinin-mefloquine hybrid 40
Artemisinin-quinine hybrid 38

PA1103-SAR116242
Aminoquinoline-trioxaquine hybrid 41


Aminoquinoline-thiosemicarbazone hybrid 42


Artemisinin-acridine hybrid 43

Figure 10. Some of the reported antimalarial hybrid molecules.

### 1.3. PRESENT WORK

## Objective

As part of our ongoing research on synthesizing novel antimalarial agents having a different mode of action, we wished to synthesize antimalarial hybrid molecules targeting falcipain-2 protease enzyme. Although several peptidyl vinyl sulfones as FP-2 enzyme inhibitors have been reported, ${ }^{33}$ vinyl phosphonates as FP-2 inhibitors have not yet been extensively studied. Ettari et al. in 2008 synthesized benzodiazepine-based vinyl and allyl phosphonates as FP-2 inhibitors. ${ }^{55 \mathrm{a}}$ Bhattacharya et al. had reported peptidyl vinyl phosphonates as cysteine protease inhibitors. ${ }^{55 b}$

Therefore, the present work describes the design and synthesis of artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules as novel antimalarial agents targeting falcipain-2 protease enzyme. Further, the synthesized hybrids are assayed for their in vitro and in vivo antimalarial activities against falcipain-2 and various strains of $P$. falciparum.

### 1.4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 1.4.1. Design of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules

Since falcipain-2 inhibitors in principle would require the hydrophobic interaction in $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ pockets and essentially electrophilic center at the active site of the enzyme, ${ }^{31 \mathrm{a}, 31 \mathrm{i}, 31 \mathrm{j}, 33 \mathrm{a}, 40}$ we visualized that these requirements could be ideally fulfilled by artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules as shown in Figure 11. The lactol group (the cyclic equivalent of a hemiacetal or ketal) present in dihydroartemisinin allowed us to design the hybrid molecules by combing it with other suitable molecules through the linkers.


Figure 11. Design of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules.

## Retrosynthesis

As shown in Scheme 1, we envisioned artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules could be synthesized by the following steps:
Step 1: Attaching dihydroartemisinin with an amino acid based linker using an etherification reaction to obtain artemisinin monopeptide. A linker could be any compound that has one free hydroxyl group and some functionality which could be easily converted to free acid e.g. methyl ester.

Step 2: Coupling of the free acid of the obtained artemisinin monopeptide with amino acid methyl ester using DCC and HOBT to obtain artemisinin dipeptide.
Step 3: Coupling of the free acid of the obtained artemisinin dipeptide with $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate using DCC and HOBT to obtain artemisinin dipeptidyl hybrid molecule (or artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecule).


Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules.

In Scheme 1, $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ represents the substituent of $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate required for $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ site and phosphonate group of $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate was chosen for $\mathrm{P}_{1}{ }^{\prime}$ site required for falcipain- 2 inhibitors, $R_{2}$ represents the side chain of amino acid required in $P_{2}$ site, and artemisinin attached linker (product obtained from Step 1) comprises $P_{3}$ site required for falcipain-2 inhibitors.
$N$-Cbz protected L-serine, and trans-4-hydroxy L-proline methyl esters were selected as linkers. Both the linkers possessed one hydroxyl group and one methyl ester group, which can be later converted into a free carboxylic acid. The study of cysteine protease inhibitors revealed that phenylalanine and leucine were found to be the best among all the amino acid residues at $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ (See section 1.2.4. and 1.2.5.) and hence, phenylalanine and leucine methyl esters were chosen for the amide coupling to exert an effective binding in the $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket. Finally, $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate was chosen for the final amide coupling.

### 1.4.2. Synthesis of artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules

As depicted in Scheme 1, the synthesis of hybrid molecules requires dihydroartemisinin, linkers, amino acid methyl esters and $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate. The linear synthesis using these four units would provide the required hybrid molecules. Dihydroartemisinin was synthesized by the reduction of artemisinin with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and MeOH following the reported literature procedure. ${ }^{56}$

### 1.4.2.1. Synthesis of linkers

The linkers $N$-Cbz protected L-serine 46 and trans-4-hydroxy L-proline methyl ester $\mathbf{4 7}$ were synthesized from their corresponding free amino acids L-serine 44 and trans-4-hydroxy Lproline 45 , respectively by following the literature procedures ${ }^{57}$ (Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Synthesis of linkers 46 and 47. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}, \mathrm{DCM}, 97 \%$; (b) (i) $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 18 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) $\mathrm{CbzCl}, \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, dioxane/ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 21 \mathrm{~h}, 100 \%$.

### 1.4.2.2. Synthesis of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52

### 1.4.2.2.1. Synthesis of $\mathbf{5 2}$ using Tsuji-Trost reaction

Bhattacharya et al. ${ }^{55 b}$ reported the first synthesis of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52 starting from cinnamaldehyde 48 employing Tsuji-Trost reaction as a key step (Scheme 3). Cinnamaldehyde 48 was treated with diethyl phosphite to afford hydroxy phosphonate 49 in $77 \%$ yield. The hydroxyl group of $\mathbf{4 9}$ was converted to carbonate derivative $\mathbf{5 0}$ which was then subjected to Tsuji-Trost reaction conditions with $p$-anisidine to afford compound $5 \mathbf{5 1 .}$ Final deprotection of p-methoxy phenyl (PMP) group was achieved by treating with trichloroisocyanuric acid (TCCA) in acidic medium to furnish $\mathbf{5 2}$ as its hydrochloride salt.


Scheme 3. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52 using Tsuji-Trost reaction. ${ }^{55 \mathrm{~b}}$ Reagents and conditions: (a) Diethyl phosphite, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $3 \mathrm{~h}, 77 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{MeOCOCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{MeCN}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $12 \mathrm{~h}, 65 \%$; (c) $p$-anisidine, $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$, $71 \%$; (d) TCCA, $\mathrm{MeCN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 21 \%$.

The low yield in final deprotection step and use of $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ catalyst in Tsuji-Trost reaction allowed us to search for an alternate route for the synthesis of vinyl phosphonate 52. Moreover, it is difficult to synthesize the enantiomers of 52 (i.e. $(R)-52$ and $(S)-52)$ with high enantioselectivities employing the asymmetric version of Tsuji-Trost reaction.

### 1.4.2.2.2. Synthesis of $\mathbf{5 2}$ using Overman rearrangement

The Overman rearrangement is the conversion of allylic alcohols into allylic amines involving the rearrangement of an allylic trichloroacetimidate to an allylic trichloroacetamide through an imidate intermediate. ${ }^{58}$ Since Overman rearrangement yields allylic trichloroacetamides, we thought of deprotection of allylic trichloroacetamide 54
would give the required allylic amine 52. As shown in Scheme 4, allylic alcohol (hydroxy phosphonate) 49 was treated with trichloroacetonitrile under basic conditions at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield allylic trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{5 3}$ in $\mathbf{9 8 \%}$ yield. Imidate $\mathbf{5 3}$ underwent rearrangement on refluxing with toluene to furnish allylic trichloroacetamide 54 in $92 \%$ yield with the exclusive formation of the $E$-double bond. Several conditions were employed (acidic and basic conditions, see Table 1) to deprotect the trichloroacetamide group, which resulted in the formation of free amine $\mathbf{5 5}$ with unwanted isomerization of the double bond.


Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) Diethyl phosphite, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 3 h , $77 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{DBU}, \mathrm{DCM},-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 98 \%$; (c) toluene, reflux, $24 \mathrm{~h}, 92 \%$.

Table 1. Different conditions used for the deprotection of allylic trichloroacetamide 54.

| S. No | Conditions | Product formed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\mathrm{AcOH}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| 2 | $30 \% \mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, 80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ | Complex mixture |
| 3 | $3 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KOH}, \mathrm{EtOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 24 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| 4 | $30 \% \mathrm{NH}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 16 \mathrm{~h}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |

### 1.4.2.2.3. Synthesis of $\mathbf{5 2}$ from 2-phenylglycinol (58)

In another approach, the synthesis of $\mathbf{5 2}$ was planned from 2-phenylglycinol $\mathbf{5 8}$ keeping in mind the vinyl phosphonate moiety can be introduced via Wittig olefination of an $\alpha$-amino aldehyde with tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate.
As shown in Scheme 5, the synthesis commenced from ( $\pm$ )-styrene oxide 56. Ring opening of epoxide 56 with sodium azide in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielded azido alcohol 57 in $91 \%$ yield. ${ }^{59} \alpha$ Azido alcohol 57 was subjected to hydrogenation under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ to yield 2-phenylglycinol $\mathbf{5 8}$ in
$\mathbf{9 9 \%}$ crude yield which was used directly for the next step. Compound $\mathbf{5 8}$ was treated with $(\mathrm{Boc})_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and BzCl to furnish Boc and Bz protected amino alcohols 59 and $\mathbf{6 0}$, respectively. Compounds 59 and 60 were oxidized with Dess-Martin periodinane to yield the corresponding $\alpha$-amino aldehydes 61 and 62, respectively. ${ }^{60}$ Unfortunately, Wittig olefination of both the aldehydes 61 and 62 with tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate and NaH in dry THF resulted in the unexpected double bond isomerized Wittig products 63 and 64 (enamides), respectively.


Scheme 5. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3.5 \mathrm{~h}, 91 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{rt}, 12$ $\mathrm{h}, 99 \%$; (c) (Boc) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (or) $\mathrm{BzCl}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$; (d) Dess-Martin periodinane, 3 h , rt; (e) tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 3 h .

To our delight when the trityl protecting group was introduced in $\alpha$-amino aldehyde and performed the same Wittig olefination, isomerization of the double bond was not observed. $\alpha$-Amino alcohol 58 was protected with trityl chloride to afford trityl protected amino alcohol 67 in $63 \%$ yield. Compound 67 on oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane furnished $\alpha$-amino aldehyde $\mathbf{6 8}$ followed by immediate Wittig olefination with tetraethyl methylene diphosphonate yielded olefin 69 in $60 \%$ over two steps without any isomerization of the formed double bond (confirmed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). The final deprotection of the trityl group with TFA in DCM yielded required amine ( $\pm$ )-52 in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme 6). It should be mentioned that the amine $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{5 2}$ is found to be unstable and decomposes slowly at room temperature. Hence, it should be prepared and used just before the coupling reaction.


Scheme 6. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; (c) tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$.

## Synthesis of artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules

After successfully synthesizing $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52, we planned to synthesize the hybrid molecules as designed previously. As sown in Figure 12, "dihydroartemisinin—linker" was allowed to react independently with vinyl phosphonate 52 and amino acid methyl ester to furnish "dihydroartemisinin—linker—vinyl phosphonate" hybrid molecule of type-1 and "dihydroartemisinin-linker-amino acid", respectively. Further coupling of "dihydroartemisinin—linker—amino acid" with vinyl phosphonate 52 furnished "dihydroartemisinin—linker—amino acid—vinyl phosphonate" hybrid molecule of type-2.


Figure 12. Schematic representation of the synthesis of hybrid molecules.
As shown in Scheme 7, reduction of artemisinin 11 was carried out with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ and MeOH at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to afford dihydroartemisinin $\mathbf{1 2} .^{56}$ The linkers 46 and $\mathbf{4 7}$ when reacted with dihydroartemisinin $\mathbf{1 2}$ in the presence of Lewis acid $\left(\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ in dichloromethane at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished artemisinin derivatives 70a and 71a, respectively.

Compounds 70a and 71a were found to be an inseparable mixture of two diastereomers by column chromatography. Chiral HPLC analysis of 70a and 71a revealed that $\beta$-diastereomer is major than that of $\alpha$-diastereomer. Compound 70a was obtained in 95:5 ( $\beta: \alpha$ ) ratio and compound 71a was obtained in 85:15 ( $\beta: \alpha$ ) ratio (See chiral HPLC data Section 1.9).


Scheme 7. Synthesis of artemisinin attached linkers 70a and 71a. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 84 \%$ (b) 46, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 55 \%$; (c) 47, $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$.

The linker attached artemisinin 70a was then subjected to alkaline hydrolysis using 2 M aq LiOH to yield the free acid 70b which was used without any further purification for the next amide coupling reaction with racemic $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{5 2}$ under DCC and HOBT condition to furnish artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecule 72 as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8. Synthesis of hybrid molecule 72. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2 M aq LiOH , THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 79 \%$.

Free acid 70b obtained from the alkaline hydrolysis of 70a was subjected to peptide coupling reactions with L-phenylalanine methyl ester and L-leucine methyl ester to afford dipeptides 73a and 74a, respectively which were hydrolyzed to obtain free acids of dipeptides 73b and 74b, respectively followed by peptide coupling reaction with ( $\mathbf{\pm}$ )-52 furnished artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 75 and 76, respectively as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 9).


Scheme 9. Synthesis of hybrid molecules 75 and 76. Reagents and conditions: (a) Lphenylalanine methyl ester, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) (i) 2 M aq LiOH, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$; (c) Lleucine methyl ester, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 67 \%$; (d) (i) 2 M aq LiOH, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 51 \%$.

Similarly, the linker attached artemisinin compound 71a was subjected to alkaline hydrolysis using 2 M aq LiOH to yield the free acid 71b which was subjected to coupling reaction with racemic $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate ( $\pm$ )-52 under DCC and HOBT condition to furnish the hybrid molecule 77 as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 10).

(a) $\begin{aligned} 71 a \mathrm{R} & =\mathrm{Me} \\ 71 \mathrm{~b} R & =\mathrm{H}\end{aligned}$
(b)


77

Scheme 10. Synthesis of hybrid molecule 77. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2 M aq LiOH , THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 73 \%$.

Free acid 71b obtained from the alkaline hydrolysis of 71a was subjected to peptide coupling reactions with L-phenylalanine methyl ester and L-leucine methyl ester to afford dipeptides 78a and 79a, respectively which were hydrolyzed to obtain free acids of dipeptides $\mathbf{7 8 b}$ and 79b, respectively followed by immediate peptide coupling reaction with $( \pm)-52$ furnished artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 80 and 81, respectively as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 11).


Scheme 11. Synthesis of hybrid molecules 80 and 81. Reagents and conditions: (a) Lphenylalanine methyl ester, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (b) (i) 2 M aq LiOH, THF, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 48 \%$; (c) Lleucine methyl ester, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (d) (i) $2 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{aq} \mathrm{LiOH}, \mathrm{THF}$, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) ( $\pm$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 44 \%$.

Since 71a is an 85:15 inseparable mixture of 71a ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ):71a ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ), hybrid molecules 77, 80 and 81 were obtained as an inseparable mixture of four diastereomers. For example, chiral HPLC of hybrid molecule $\mathbf{8 1}$ showed 4 peaks corresponding to the four diastereomers as shown in Figure 13. Peaks (a) and (b) correspond to diastereomers formed from 71a ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) and 71a ( $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ) with enantiomer $(S)-\mathbf{5 2}$ of ( $\pm$ )-52, respectively. Peaks (c) and (d) correspond to diastereomers formed from 71a ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) and 71a ( $\alpha$ ) with enantiomer $(R)$ - $\mathbf{5 2}$ of $( \pm)-52$, respectively (See Section 1.9).
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Figure 13. Structures of four inseparable diastereomers of hybrid molecule 81.

### 1.4.3. Antimalarial activity of synthesized artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate

 hybrid molecules 72, 75, 76, 77, 80 and 81All the synthesized artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules (72, 75, 76, 77, 80 and 81) were assayed for their inhibition activity against falcipain-2 protease enzyme. The inhibitions of falcipain-2 enzyme by all the hybrid molecules are expressed in $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values and are summarized in Table 2.

Among all six synthesized hybrid molecules, dipeptide hybrid molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ exhibited falcipain-2 enzyme inhibition in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range whereas mono peptide hybrid molecules 72 and 77 were found to be inactive against falcpain-2 enzyme. The dipeptide hybrid molecule 75 having phenylalanine residue in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket and serine in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ pocket showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against FP-2 enzyme. The dipeptide hybrid molecule 76 having leucine residue in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket and serine in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ pocket showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against FP-2. The dipeptide hybrid molecule $\mathbf{8 1}$ having leucine residue in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket and hydroxyproline in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ pocket showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $5.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against $\mathrm{FP}-2$ whereas the dipeptide hybrid molecule $\mathbf{8 0}$ having phenylalanine residue in $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket and hydroxyproline in $\mathrm{P}_{3}$ pocket was found to be inactive against FP-2. The inactivity of mono peptide hybrid molecules 72 and 77 against FP-2 may be attributed due to the complete absence of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket residue.

Table 2. Inhibition of falcipain-2 activity by compounds.

| Compound | $\mathbf{I C}_{50}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | $K_{\mathrm{i}}(\boldsymbol{\mu \mathrm { M } )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $>100$ | NA |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 9 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 4 9} \pm \mathbf{0 . 2 6 6 8}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 6 2} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 3 2} \pm \mathbf{0 . 1 7 7}$ |
| $\mathbf{7 7}$ | $>100$ | NA |
| $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $>100$ | NA |
| $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 6 7} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 5 4} \pm \mathbf{0 . 2 0 6}$ |

Further, all the synthesized artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules (72, 75, 76, 77, 80 and 81) were assayed for their antiplasmodial activities against different strains such as chloroquine-sensitive (3D7), chloroquine-pyrimethamine resistant (7G8) and chloroquine-pyrimethamine-mefloquine resistant (Dd2) strains of $P$. falciparum (Table 3).

Among these, hybrids 75, 76 and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were found to exhibit very effective parasiticidal activities in vitro and in vivo. The parasiticidal efficacies of the hybrids 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were higher than the existing antimalarial agent, artemisinin.

The instant dual-targeting artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrids 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were found to be several-fold more potent than artemisinin alone against various strains of parasites (Table 3). Also, the hybrid molecules effectively killed $P$. falciparum strains that are resistant to commonly used antimalarial compounds such as chloroquine, pyrimethamine and mefloquine (Table 3).

The antiplasmodial activity data in Table $\mathbf{3}$ suggests that the hybrid molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ exhibited potent antiplasmodial activities in the nM range against all the strains of $P$. falciparum, being more active than artemisinin. The hybrid $\mathbf{7 5}$ displayed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 2.7, 0.47 and 1.9 nM against 3D7, 7G8 and Dd2 strains of $P$. falciparum, respectively. The hybrid 76 showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 3.3, 0.23 and 3.5 nM against 3D7, 7G8 and Dd2 strains of P. falciparum, respectively. The hybrid $\mathbf{8 1}$ showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of $2.57,1.2$ and 5.5 nM against 3D7, 7G8 and Dd2 strains of $P$. falciparum, respectively.

Table 3. Parasiticidal activity of selected compounds on P. falciparum in vitro culture.

| Compound | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}$ on <br> P. falciparum 3D7 <br> $(\mathbf{n M})$ | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}$ on <br> P. falciparum 7G8* <br> $(\mathbf{n M})$ | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}$ on <br> P. falciparum $\mathbf{D d 2} * *$ <br> $(\mathbf{n M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 2.7 | 0.47 | 1.9 |
| $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 3.3 | 0.23 | 3.5 |
| $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 2.57 | 1.2 | 5.5 |
| Artemisinin | $\sim 27$ | $\sim 18$ | $\sim 15$ |

[^0]The artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrids 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ showed excellent in vivo antimalarial efficacies (Table 4). It was observed that the treatment with these compounds ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of body weight) completely cleared the parasites in infected mice and $100 \%$ protection was observed in treated group of mice as compared to untreated
control where all mice died after 10-15 days of infection. Moreover, the survival days of mice after treatment with the hybrids $\mathbf{7 5}, \mathbf{7 6}$ and 81 were found to be $>60$ days (Table 4).

Table 4. Protection of mice against malaria after treatment with selected compounds.

| Compound <br> (4 doses of $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 m g} / \mathrm{Kg}$ of <br> body weight) | Complete Protection <br> - Mice with complete <br> parasite clearance <br> (\% of treated mice) | Survival after treatment <br> (Days) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $80 \%$ | $>60$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $100 \%$ | $>60$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $100 \%$ | $>60$ |
| Artemisinin | $0 \%$ | $15-25$ |
| Control (solvent alone) | $0 \%$ | $12-15$ |

The hybrids 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were further assayed for their in vitro toxicity on mammalian cell culture (A549 human cells) and were found to be non-toxic to the human cells. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of these compounds on A549 human cells are shown in Table 5.

Table 5. In vitro toxicity of selected compounds on mammalian cell culture.

| Compound | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}$ on A549 human cells (nM) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $14600 \pm 174.8$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6}$ | $3816 \pm 160.6$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1}$ | $1282 \pm 156.1$ |

As shown in Figure 14, the effect of selected hybrid compounds 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ on the morphology and development of $P$. falciparum through its asexual stage was studied. The ring stage parasites were treated with the selected compounds or solvent alone as control.


Figure 14. Effect of compounds 75, 76 and 81 on P. falciparum morphology.

### 1.4.4. Asymmetric synthesis (diastereoselective synthesis) of artemisinin-dipeptidyl

 vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 (75R\&75S, 76R\&76S and 81R\&81S) Since hybrid molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ are mixtures of diastereomers and exhibited potent antimalarial activities against falcipain- 2 enzyme, hence we were interested in synthesizing the individual diastereomers of $\mathbf{7 5}, \mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ to study the role of stereochemistry on the antimalarial activity. We opined that the synthetic strategy outlined in Scheme 9 and Scheme 11 could be utilized for the diastereoselective synthesis of hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 using chiral $(R)$ or (S)- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate (i.e. $(R)$ - 52 or $(S)-52)$ in the final amide coupling reaction.
### 1.4.4.1. Asymmetric synthesis of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52

The enantiomers of $\mathbf{5 2}$ were synthesized using the racemic strategy described in Scheme 6. (S)-2-Phenylglycinol $((S)-58)$ was protected with trityl chloride to furnish trityl protected amino alcohol ( $S$ )-67 which on oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane furnished $\alpha$-amino aldehyde (S)-68 followed by immediate Wittig olefination with tetraethyl methylene diphosphonate yielded trityl protected olefin $(R)-69$ ( $100 \% e e$ ) without any racemization
during the oxidation and Wittig steps (confirmed by chiral HPLC). The final deprotection of the trityl group with TFA in DCM afforded amine ( $R$ )-52 in 95\% yield (Scheme 12).


Scheme 12. Synthesis of ( $R$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; (c) tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$.

Similarly, trityl protection of $(R)$-2-phenylglycinol $((R)-58)$ yielded $(R)$-67, which on oxidation with Dess-Martin periodinane furnished $\alpha$-amino aldehyde $(R)$ - 68 followed by immediate Wittig olefination with tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate produced ( $S$ )-69 in $100 \%$ ee (chiral HPLC) without any racemization. The final deprotection of the trityl group with TFA in DCM yielded amine ( $S$ )-52 in 95\% yield (Scheme 13).


Scheme 13. Synthesis of ( $S$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate 52. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 30 min ; (c) tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate, $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{THF}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 64 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}, 95 \%$.

Similar to the racemic amine ( $\pm$ )-52, the chiral amines $(R)-52$ and $(S)-52$ are also found to be unstable, racemize and decompose slowly at room temperature. Hence, they should be prepared and used just before the coupling reaction.

Asymmetric synthesis of hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81
After the successful synthesis of $(R)-52$ or $(S)-52$, we targeted the asymmetric synthesis of potent antimalarial hybrid molecules $\mathbf{7 5}, 76$ and 81.

The diastereomers of hybrid molecule 75 (i.e., $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ and $\mathbf{7 5 S}$ ) were synthesized by coupling 73b with $(R)-52$ and $(S)$-52, respectively (Scheme 14).


75R


73b


75S

Scheme 14. Synthesis of hybrid molecules $75 R$ and $75 S$. Reagents and conditions: (a) (R)52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 58 \%$; (b) (S)-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%$.

The diastereomers of hybrid molecule 76 (i.e., $76 R$ and $76 S$ ) were also synthesized by coupling 74b with ( $R$ )-52 and (S)-52, respectively (Scheme 15).


Scheme 15. Synthesis of hybrid molecules 76R and 76S. Reagents and conditions: (a) (R)52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 57 \%$; (b) (S)-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 62 \%$.

Similarly, the diastereomers of hybrid molecule 81 (i.e., $81 R$ and $\mathbf{8 1 S}$ ) were synthesized by coupling 79b with ( $R$ )-52 and (S)-52, respectively (Scheme 16).


Scheme 16. Synthesis of hybrid molecules $81 R$ and $81 S$. Reagents and conditions: (a) (R)52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 75 \%$; (b) ( $S$ )-52, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to 25 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 51 \%$.

### 1.4.5. Antimalarial activity of diastereomers of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 75,76 and 81 ( $75 R \& 75 S, 76 R \& 76 S$ and $81 R \& 81 S$ )

All the synthesized diastereomers of hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 (i.e., $75 R \& 75 S, 76 R$ $\boldsymbol{\&} 76 S$ and $81 R \& 81 S$ ) were assayed for their efficacy against falcipain-2 enzyme. The inhibition of falcipain-2 activity of all the synthesized diastereomers are summarized in Table 6.

It is evident from the Table 6 that the diastereomer $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ synthesized from $(R)-\gamma$-phenyl $-\gamma$ amino vinyl phosphonate was found to be more active against FP-2 than the corresponding diastereomer 75S synthesized from (S)- $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate. Similarly, diastereomers $76 R$ and $81 R$ were found to show more FP-2 inhibition activities than the corresponding diastereomers 76S and 81S, respectively.

Table 6. Inhibition of falcipain-2 activity by compounds.

| Compound | $\mathbf{I C}_{\mathbf{5 0}}(\boldsymbol{\mu M})$ | $\boldsymbol{K}_{\mathbf{i}}(\boldsymbol{\mu} \mathbf{M})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ | $3.78 \pm 0.52$ | 2.78 |
| $\mathbf{7 5 S}$ | $13.46 \pm 6.74$ | 9.92 |
| $\mathbf{7 6 R}$ | $3.38 \pm 0.47$ | 2.49 |
| $\mathbf{7 6 S}$ | $9.38 \pm 1.97$ | 6.91 |
| $\mathbf{8 1} \boldsymbol{R}$ | $3.53 \pm 0.25$ | 2.60 |
| $\mathbf{8 1 S}$ | $>10$ | NA |

Further, all the diastereomers $75 R \& 75 S, 76 R \& 76 S$ and $81 R \& 81 S$ were assayed for their antiplasmodial activities against 3D7 strain of $P$. falciparum (Table 7). All the diastereomers showed potent antiplasmodial activities in nM range compared to the existing antimalarial agent, artemisinin. Also, the diastereomers $\mathbf{7 5 R}, 76 R$ and $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ were found to be more active than the corresponding diastereomers $75 S, 76 S$ and $81 S$. The in vitro antiplasmodial activities of all the diastereomers on 3D7 strain of $P$. falciparum are summarized in Table 7.

The diastereomer $75 R$ exhibited $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 0.95 nM against the 3D7 strain of $P$. falciparum whereas $75 S$ exhibited $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 2.03 nM against the 3D7 strain of $P$. falciparum. The diastereomer $76 \boldsymbol{R}$ showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 10.90 nM against the 3 D 7 strain whereas $76 S$ showed $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 16.73 nM against the 3D7 strain. Similarly, the diastereomer $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ exhibited $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 1.04 nM against the 3D7 strain whereas $\mathbf{8 1 S}$ exhibited $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ value of 6.12 nM against the 3D7 strain.

Table 7. Parasiticidal activity of selected compounds on P. falciparum in vitro culture.

| Compound | $\mathbf{E C}_{50}$ on <br> P.falciparum 3D7 <br> $\mathbf{n M}(\mathbf{S D})$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ | $0.95 \pm 0.49$ |
| $\mathbf{7 5 S}$ | $2.03 \pm 3.10$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6 R}$ | $10.90 \pm 39.6$ |
| $\mathbf{7 6 S}$ | $16.73 \pm 12.40$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ | $1.04 \pm 0.53$ |
| $\mathbf{8 1 S}$ | $6.12 \pm 23.58$ |
| Artemisinin | $\sim 27$ |
|  |  |

In conclusion, the hybrids $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ and $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ could be considered as the ideal compounds for the further development of novel antimalarial drugs with a different mode of action.

### 1.4.6. Plausible mechanism of action of all the synthesized hybrid molecules $\mathbf{7 5}, \mathbf{7 6}, 81$ and their diastereomers $75 R \& 75 S, 76 R \& 76 S$ and $81 R \& 81 S$

The hybrids are believed to exhibit the dual mode of action of both the artemisinin and the peptidyl vinyl phosphonate units. Artemisinin works by the generation of carbon free radicals through its heme-mediated decomposition. The generated free radicals alkylate parasite proteins resulting in the death of parasites. Whereas peptidyl vinyl phosphonate works by inhibiting falcipain-2 proteases present in $P$. falciparum malaria parasite. Falcipain-2 proteases play a vital role in degrading the host hemoglobin into small amino acids. These amino acids resulting from hemoglobin degradation are transferred to parasite proteins or utilized for energy metabolism of the malarial parasites. Thus, inhibiting the
falcipain-2 proteases in $P$. falciparum makes the parasites unable to degrade the host hemoglobin resulting in the parasite death.

### 1.4.7. Synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecules

As discussed in Section 1.2.4. and 1.2.5., peptidyl vinyl sulfones are well-known inhibitors of the falcipain-2 enzyme. ${ }^{33}$ In extension to the synthesis and antimalarial studies of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules as falcipain-2 enzyme inhibitors, we further aimed to synthesize the sulfone variants (i.e., artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecules). We wished to synthesize dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone analogs of the most active artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 to understand the complete structure-activity relationship (SAR) of the hybrid molecules (Figure 15). The sulfone hybrid molecules could be synthesized by coupling $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone $\mathbf{8 0}$ in the final amide coupling reaction.


Figure 15. General structures of (a) artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecule; (b) artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecule.

### 1.4.7.1. Racemic and enantioselective syntheses of $\boldsymbol{\gamma}$-amino vinyl sulfone 90

The enantioselective synthesis of $\gamma$-aryl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfones employing Wittig olefination of the corresponding stereochemically labile $\alpha$-amino- $\alpha$-aryl aldehydes is a challenging task. Pico' et al. reported the asymmetric synthesis of $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90 starting from Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of cinnamyl alcohol. ${ }^{61}$ As shown in Scheme 17, Sharpless asymmetric epoxidation of cinnamyl alcohol $\mathbf{8 2}$ yielded epoxy alcohol 83. Ring opening of epoxide 83 with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{LiClO}_{4}$ followed by protection with $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ furnished Boc-amino diol 85. Boc-amino diol 85 was subjected to Mitsunobu cyclization conditions with triphenylphosphine and diisopropyl azodicarboxylate to afford epoxide 86. Ring opening of the epoxide 86 with thiophenol afforded hydroxy sulfide 87 which on oxidation with $m$ -

CPBA yielded hydroxy sulfone $\mathbf{8 8}$. Dehydration of hydroxy sulfone $\mathbf{8 8}$ was achieved using water-soluble carbodiimide morpho-CDI in the presence of catalytic amounts of copper (II) chloride to furnish Boc-protected vinyl sulfone 89. The final deprotection of $\mathbf{8 9}$ with $40 \%$ TFA in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ afforded the final $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone $\mathbf{9 0}$ as a TFA salt in quantitative yield.


Scheme 17. Reported ${ }^{61}$ synthesis of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $R$ )-90. Reagents and conditions: (a) cat. $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{OiPr})_{4}$, cat. D-(-)-DIPT, ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-20{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80-90 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}, \mathrm{LiClO}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, $94 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, cat. $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{EtOAc}, \mathrm{rt}, 82 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, DIAD, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, reflux, $86 \%$; (e) thiophenol, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, reflux, $100 \%$; (f) $m$ - CPBA , $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 100 \%$; (g) morpho-CDI, cat. $\mathrm{CuCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, reflux, $100 \%$; (h) $40 \% \mathrm{TFA}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt (quant).

We have designed a simple and novel approach for the synthesis of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90 as compared to Pico ${ }^{\prime}$ et al. ${ }^{61}$ synthesis. Compound 90 was synthesized employing Wittig olefination of the trityl protected $\alpha$-amino- $\alpha$-phenyl aldehyde $\mathbf{6 8}$ using the similar strategy used for the synthesis of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate $\mathbf{5 2}$ (please see Scheme 6) without any possible racemization of $\alpha$-amino- $\alpha$-phenyl aldehyde $\mathbf{6 8}$ during the Wittig olefination step.

As shown in Scheme 18, trityl protected $\alpha$-amino- $\alpha$-phenyl aldehyde 68 obtained from Dess-Martin oxidation of 67 was subjected to the Wittig olefination condition with diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate and NaH to afford trityl protected vinyl sulfone 91. Diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate was synthesized using the reported literature procedure. ${ }^{62}$ Final trityl deprotection of 91 with TFA in DCM yielded $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90 in quantitative yield.


Scheme 18. Synthesis of ( $\pm$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min; (c) diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate, NaH, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 74 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, quant.

By using this methodology, the enantiomers of $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $R$ )-90 and (S)-90 were synthesized as shown in Scheme 19 and Scheme 20, respectively. The structure of the trityl-protected compound $(S)-\mathbf{9 1}$ was further confirmed by its single crystal XRD (Figure 16 \& 17).


Scheme 19. Synthesis of $(R)-\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min; (c) diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate, NaH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 71 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, quant.


Scheme 20. Synthesis of ( $S$ )- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone 90. Reagents and conditions: (a) trityl chloride, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, 25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 63 \%$; (b) Dess-Martin periodinane, $\mathrm{DCM}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30$ min; (c) diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate, NaH, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 54 \%$ over two steps; (d) TFA, DCM, $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$, quant.


Figure 16. Single crystal XRD of compound (S)-91.


Figure 17. ORTEP diagram of compound (S)-91 (CCDC 1882103).

## Synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecules 92, 93 and 94

After the successful synthesis of racemic and chiral $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone $\mathbf{9 0}$, we further aimed to synthesize the sulfone analogs of the most active artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl phosphonate hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81.

Free carboxylic acid 73b was subjected to amide coupling with racemic $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $\pm$ )-90 to furnish hybrid molecule 92 as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 21).


Scheme 21. Synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecule 92.
Free carboxylic acid 74b was subjected to amide coupling with racemic $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $\pm$ )-90 to furnish hybrid molecule 93 as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 22).


Scheme 22. Synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecule 93.
Similarly, free carboxylic acid 79b was subjected to amide coupling with racemic $\gamma$-phenyl-$\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $\pm$ )-90 to furnish hybrid molecule 94 as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers (Scheme 23).


Scheme 23. Synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecule 94.

### 1.4.8. Asymmetric synthesis of artemisinin-dipeptidyl vinyl sulfone hybrid molecules

 92, 93 and 94After completing the synthesis of racemic hybrid molecules 92, 93 and 94, we were interested in accomplishing the diastereoselective synthesis of hybrid molecules 92,93 and 94 using similar synthetic strategy. Since we have already synthesized chiral $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$ amino vinyl sulfones $(R)-90$ and ( $S$ )-90, synthesis of diastereomers of hybrid molecules $\mathbf{9 2}$, 93 and 94 could be achieved by coupling of compound 73b, 74b and 79b with chiral $\gamma$ -phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfones $(R)-90$ and ( $S$ )-90 using our earlier amide coupling method (please see Section 1.4.4.). The synthesis of diastereomers of hybrid molecules $\mathbf{9 2}, 93$ and 94 is at present underway in our laboratory (Scheme 24, 25 and 26, respectively).


Scheme 24. Proposed synthesis of chiral hybrid molecules $\mathbf{9 2 R}$ and $92 S$. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $R$ )-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (S)-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

$93 R$

74b


Scheme 25. Proposed synthesis of chiral hybrid molecules $93 R$ and 93S. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $R$ )-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) ( $S$ )-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.


Scheme 26. Proposed synthesis of chiral hybrid molecules $\mathbf{9 4 R}$ and $94 S$. Reagents and conditions: (a) ( $R$ )-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (b) (S)-90, DCC, HOBT, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$.

Further, all the synthesized sulfone hybrid molecules will be assayed for their inhibition against falcipain-2 enzyme in vitro and in vivo and further assayed for their antimalarial activities against different strains of $P$. falciparum.

### 1.5. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, novel artemisinin-peptidyl vinyl phosphonate antimalarial hybrid molecules (72, 75, 76, 77, 80 and 81) have been designed and synthesized targeting falcipain- 2 enzyme of P.falciparum. The amide coupling of "dihydroartemisinin—linker" with freshly prepared $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate ( $\pm$ )-52 furnished "dihydroartemisinin-linker-vinyl phosphonate" hybrid molecules 72 and 77 of type-1. Similarly, the amide coupling of "dihydroartemisinin—linker—amino acid" with ( $\pm$ )-52 furnished "dihydroartemisininlinker—amino acid—vinyl phosphonate" hybrid molecules 75, 76, 80 and $\mathbf{8 1}$ of type-2.

Among all the six synthesized hybrid molecules (72, 75, 76, 77, 80 and $\mathbf{8 1}$ ), dipeptide hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 exhibited falcipain- 2 enzyme inhibition in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ range whereas mono peptide hybrid molecules 72 and 77 were found to be inactive against falcpain- 2 enzyme. The inactivity of mono peptide hybrid molecules 72 and 77 against FP-2 may be due to the complete absence of $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ pocket residue required for a falcpain-2 inhibitor. Further, the hybrids 75,76 and 81 were found to be several-fold more potent in nM range than artemisinin alone against various strains (3D7, 7G8 and Dd2) of P. falciparum. The hybrid molecules 75, 76 and 81 effectively killed $P$. falciparum strains that are resistant to commonly used antimalarial compounds such as chloroquine, pyrimethamine and mefloquine. These hybrids also showed excellent in vivo antimalarial efficacies. It was observed that the treatment with these compounds ( $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ of body weight) completely cleared the parasites in infected mice and $100 \%$ protection was observed in treated group of mice as compared to untreated control where all mice died after 10-15 days of infection. Moreover, the survival days of mice after treatment with the hybrids 75, 76 and 81 were found to be $>60$ days. Also, the hybrids 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ were assayed for their in vitro toxicity on mammalian cell culture (A549 human cells) and were found to be non-toxic to the human cells.

The diastereomers of most potent antimalarial hybrid molecules 75, $\mathbf{7 6}$ and $\mathbf{8 1}$ (i.e., 75R \& 75S, 76R \& 76S and $81 R \& 81 S$ ) were successfully synthesized by using chiral $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$ amino vinyl phosphonate $(R)-52$ or $(S)$ - $\mathbf{5 2}$ in the final amide coupling reaction.

The diastereomers $\mathbf{7 5 R}, \mathbf{7 6 R}$ and $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ were found to be more active than the corresponding diastereomers 75S, 76S and 81S against FP-2 enzyme and P. falciparum. Among all the
diastereomers $75 R$ and $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ showed superior in vitro antiplasmodial activities with $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values of 0.95 and 1.04 nM , respectively against 3D7 strain of $P$. falciparum. Hence, the hybrids $75 R$ and $\mathbf{8 1 R}$ could be considered as the ideal compounds for the further development of novel antimalarial drugs with a different mode of action.

In order to understand the complete structure-activity relationship (SAR), we further synthesized the sulfone variants of most potent antimalarial phosphonate hybrid molecules ( 75,76 and 81 ) by the amide coupling of "dihydroartemisinin—linker-amino acid" with $\gamma$ -phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $\pm$ )-90 to obtain"dihydroartemisinin-linker-amino acidvinyl sulfone" hybrid molecules $\mathbf{9 2}, 93$ and 94 . The synthesis of diastereomers of these sulfone hybrid molecules 92,93 and 94 (i.e., $92 R \& 92 S, 93 R \& 93 S$ and $94 R \& 94 S$ ) using chiral $\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl sulfone ( $R$ )-90 or ( $S$ )-90 is currently underway in our laboratory.

### 1.6. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## (E)-1-(Diethoxyphosphoryl)-3-phenylallyl 2,2,2-trichloroacetimidate (53)



53
To a stirred solution of hydroxy phosphonate 49 (1.0 equiv.) and $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (3.0 equiv.) in dry DCM was added a catalytic amount of DBU ( 0.5 equiv.) under Ar at $-35{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Stirring was continued at this temperature for 30 min . After completion (monitored by TLC), solvent and volatile compounds were removed on a rotator evaporator with external cooling $\left(10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$. The residue was then immediately purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to afford pure trichloroacetimidate 53 as pale yellow syrup. $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.35$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.59(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=16.0,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 6.43-6.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.0,6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.37-4.09(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 6 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 161.4,161.2,135.8,135.0,134.8,129.0,128.6,128.4$, $127.2,126.9,123.4,119.6,119.5,114.1,91.0,75.6,72.2,63.8,63.7,63.5,63.4,62.2,16.5$, 16.4; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 437.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

## Diethyl ( $E$ )-(3-phenyl-3-(2,2,2-trichloroacetamido)prop-1-en-1-yl)phosphonate (54)



54
A solution of trichloroacetimidate $\mathbf{5 3}$ in dry toluene was refluxed under Ar for 24 h . After complete disappearance of starting material (TLC), the solvent was removed in vacuo and the residue was subjected to flash chromatography on silica gel to afford trichloroacetamide 54 as a colorless crystalline solid. $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.35$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.57-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.95(\mathrm{t}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=17.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.69(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 161.3,148.6,148.5,137.2,129.3,128.8,127.3,119.8,118.3,92.4,62.2,62.1$, 57.2, 57.0, 16.4, 16.3, 16.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 437.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Diethyl (Z)-(3-amino-3-phenylallyl)phosphonate (55)


55
Trichloroacetamide 54 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.361 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in 3.5 mL of EtOH and was added 3 N KOH solution $(670 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The whole mixture was stirred for 24 h at rt . After the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 3 X 10 $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude product which was further purified by flash chromatography on silica gel to give pure amine 55 as colorless syrup ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 100 \%$ ). $R_{f}=$ 0.42 (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 10.04$ (brs, 1 H ), 7.49$7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.81-5.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 160.7,139.4$, $139.3,135.8,135.7,128.8,128.6,125.7,125.7,112.4,112.3,92.8,62.8,62.8,26.4,25.3$, 16.5, 16.4; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 292.9(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
tert-Butyl (Z)-(3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)carbamate (63)


63

In a round bottom flask, tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate ( $7.37 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv.) was taken up in dry THF ( 8 mL ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. NaH ( $6.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture. The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . $N$-Boc protected $\alpha$-amino aldehyde $\mathbf{6 1}$ ( $4.33 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was taken up in dry THF ( 7 mL ) and was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then warmed to rt and stirred for 3 h . After completion of reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water, extracted with DCM (3X80 $\mathrm{mL})$. The combined organic layers were washed with water, brine and concentrated to give the crude product which was purified by column chromatography on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether as eluant to give pure olefin 63 as pale yellow syrup. $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=$ 0.31 (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.45-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.37-7.28 (m, 3H), 5.43-5.33 (m, 1H), 4.21-4.08 (m, 4H), $2.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.48-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 153.5,140.1,128.4$, 128.2, 128.1, 126.1, 108.4, 80.2, 62.4, 62.4, 28.1, 27.7, 26.7, 25.5, 16.5, 16.4; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 370.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

## Diethyl (Z)-(3-benzamido-3-phenylallyl)phosphonate (64)



64
Same Wittig procedure as described for $\mathbf{6 3}$ was followed for the synthesis of olefin $\mathbf{6 4}$ using $N$-Bz protected $\alpha$-amino aldehyde 62 and tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate as starting materials. $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.15$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 9.95$ (brs, 1H), 8.17-7.99 (m, 2H), 7.63-7.39 (m, 5H), 7.39-7.21 (m, 3H), $5.60(\mathrm{q}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.26-4.01 (m, 4H), $2.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.26(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 374.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+}$.

General procedure for the synthesis of 2-phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol (( $\pm$ )-67 or (R)-67 or (S)-67)

$( \pm)-67$

(R)-67

(S)-67

To a mixture of 2-phenylglycinol $( \pm)$ - $\mathbf{5 8}$ or $(R)-\mathbf{5 8}$ or $(S)-58(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.) and triphenylmethyl chloride ( $2.03 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.) in dichloromethane ( 25 mL ) was added
triethylamine ( $0.74 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.). The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate ( 75 mL ) and washed with water and brine. The ethyl acetate fraction was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and concentrated. The solid was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether as eluant to furnish the pure product $( \pm)-67$ or $(R)-67$ or $(S)-67$, respectively as colorless viscous syrup or foaming solid.

## 2-Phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol (( $\pm$ )-67)


$( \pm)-67$
Colorless viscous syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4).
( $R$ )-2-phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol ((R)-67)

(R)-67

Colorless viscous syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}-97.3$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.58-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.12(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.78(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 146.6,143.6,129.0,128.4,128.0,127.9,127.2,126.7,126.5,71.9,67.0$, 58.6.
(S)-2-phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol ((S)-67)

(S)-67

Colorless viscous syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+96.7$ (c 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ).

General procedure for the synthesis of diethyl ( $E$ )-(3-phenyl-3-(tritylamino)prop-1-en-1-yl)phosphonate (( $\pm$ )-69 or (R)-69 or (S)-69)

$( \pm)-69$

(S)-69

( $R$ )-69

2-Phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol ( $\pm$ )- $\mathbf{6 7}$ or $(R)-\mathbf{6 7}$ or ( $S$ )-67 ( $1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cold solution was added Dess-Martin
periodinane ( $1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) portion wise over 10 min and then stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 $\min$. The reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM. The reaction mixture was placed in an ice-water bath and a $1: 1$ mixture of saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and saturated $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ solution ( 4 mL ) was added and the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the formation of two clear layers was observed. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel containing a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 x 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give crude aldehyde $( \pm)-68$ or $(R)-68$ or $(S)-68$, respectively as colorless foaming solid. The crude aldehyde residue was used immediately for the next step without any further purification.
Tetraethyl methylenediphosphonate ( $2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv.) was taken up in dry THF (4 mL ) and was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. NaH ( $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 1.98 mmol , 1.5 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture in portion wise over a period of 5-10 min. The solution was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Crude aldehyde $( \pm)-68$ or $(R)-68$ or $(S)-68$ was taken in dry THF $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ and was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water, extracted with DCM ( 3 X 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with water, brine and concentrated to give crude product which was purified either by recrystallization from EtOAc-Petroleum ether mixture or column chromatography on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether as eluant to give pure olefin $( \pm)-69$ or $(S)-69$ or $(R)-69$, respectively as colorless solid.

## Diethyl ( $E$ )-(3-phenyl-3-(tritylamino)prop-1-en-1-yl)phosphonate (( $\pm$ )-69)


( $\pm$-69
Colorless solid; m.p.: $187-188{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 19.09; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 534.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( 0.46 mm $\phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}), 3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$
9.0 min for $(R)$-isomer and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.0 \mathrm{~min}$ for $(S)$-isomer; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{NNaP}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}:$calcd 534.2169, found 534.2160.
Diethyl ( $R, E$ )-(3-phenyl-3-(tritylamino)prop-1-en-1-yl)phosphonate ((R)-69)

(R)-69

Colorless solid; m.p.: $115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-43.8(c$ 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 6 2 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): $\delta$ 19.09; ESI-LCMS: m/z $534.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.0 \mathrm{~min}$ for $(R)$-isomer].

## Diethyl (S,E)-(3-phenyl-3-(tritylamino)prop-1-en-1-yl)phosphonate ((S)-69)


(S)-69

Colorless solid; m.p.: $115-117{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}+41.0(c$ 1.0, $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.51-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.99-6.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.44(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.73-5.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.03-3.87 (m, 4H), 1.32-1.21 (m, 6H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 155.5,155.4,146.1$, $142.3,128.9,128.4,127.8,127.0,126.8,126.6,115.7,114.2,71.9,61.7,61.6,61.6,61.6$, 60.5, 60.3, 16.4, 16.4, 16.3, 16.3, ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 6 2} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta$ 19.09; ESI-LCMS: $m / z$ $534.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $3 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.0 \mathrm{~min}$ for $(S)$-isomer].
General procedure for the synthesis of diethyl $(E)$-(3-amino-3-phenylprop-1-en-1$\mathbf{y l})$ phosphonate $(( \pm)$-52 or $(\boldsymbol{R})$ - 52 or ( $\mathbf{S}$ )-52)

$( \pm)-52$

(R)-52

(S)-52

Trityl protected amine $( \pm)-69$ or $(R)-69$ or $(S)-69(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in DCM and trifluoroacetic acid ( $150 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$ ) was added at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . After completion of the reaction (TLC), DCM was removed under reduced pressure. Water $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the residue and the aqueous layer was washed with diethyl ether ( 3 X 20 mL ). The remaining aqueous layer was basified with a saturated
aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until pH 9 , after which it was extracted with DCM (3X20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give crude amine $( \pm)-52$ or $(R)-52$ or $(S)-\mathbf{5 2}$, respectively as pale yellow syrup in quantitative yield, which was used for the final coupling reaction without any further purification. $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.54(\mathrm{MeOH}-$ DCM, 1:9); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.51-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-$ $5.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.74-4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0}$ $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 155.0,154.9,142.3,128.8,127.7,127.5,126.8,125.9,117.4,113.7,61.8$, 61.7, 58.3, 57.8, 16.4, 16.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 292.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

General procedure for hydrolysis of methyl ester (70a or 71a or 73a or 74a or 78a or 79a) to the corresponding carboxylic acid (70b or 71b or 73b or 74b or 78b or 79b) Methyl ester (70a or 71a or 73a or 74a or 78a or 79a) ( 1.5 mmol ) was dissolved in THF (17 $\mathrm{mL})$ and a solution of 2 M aq. $\mathrm{LiOH}(7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with stirring and stirred for 2 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction (TLC), THF was evaporated and the remaining aqueous layer was neutralized with acetic acid. The compound was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under vacuum to obtain the corresponding crude carboxylic acid (70b or 71b or 73b or 74b or 78b or 79b), which was used as such for the subsequent steps.

## General procedure for peptide coupling reaction

L-Phenylalanine methyl ester or L-leucine methyl ester or $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate ( $\pm$ )-52 or $(R)-52$ or $(S)-52(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$, HOBt $(0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ and free acid obtained from the ester hydrolysis 70b or 71b or 73b or 74b or 78b or 79b ( 0.5 mmol ) were dissolved in dry THF $(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the resulting solution was stirred in an ice-cooled water bath then DCC $(0.6$ mmol ) was added. Stirring was continued for 1 h at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and then an additional 1 h at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of reaction (TLC), the solid which precipitated was removed by filtration and the solvent was evaporated in vacuum. After evaporation of the solvent, the resulting crude product was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 3 X 20 mL ). Finally, the crude peptide derivative was purified by chromatography on a silica gel column to furnish the corresponding peptide.
Methyl $N$-((benzyloxy)carbonyl)-O-((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)-L-serinate (70a)


To a solution of dihydroartemisinin 12 ( $0.46 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.) and alcohol 46 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{DCM}(7 \mathrm{~mL}), \mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(40 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ was added. The resulting mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and then washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ followed by brine $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were dried over $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuum to afford the crude product which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using ethyl acetate: petroleum ether (1:9) as eluant to furnish the pure product $70 \mathbf{a}(0.47 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \%)$ as colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=$ 0.42 (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}=+57.76\left(c 1.0, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right)$; IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right): 3439,3019$, 2955, 2876, 1723, 1698, 1505, 1455, 1377, 1215, $1028 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.44-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $3.77(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-$ $1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.84(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 170.7,155.8$, 136.3, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 104.2, 103.0, 88.0, 80.9, 69.9, 67.1, 54.5, 52.5, 44.2, 37.4, 36.4, 34.5, 30.7, 26.1, 24.6, 24.4, 20.3, 12.8; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 542.8(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{37} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{NNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 542.2361, found 542.2355; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( 0.46 $\mathrm{mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $10 \% \mathrm{IPA}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=10.7 \mathrm{~min}$ for 70a $(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.2 \mathrm{~min}$ for 70a $(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$.
1-Benzyl-2-methyl(2S,4R)-4-(( $(3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 R, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)-3,6,9$-trimethyldeca-hydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1,2-di carboxylate (71a)


To a solution of dihydroartemisinin $\mathbf{1 2}$ ( $2.72 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0$ equiv.) and alcohol 47 ( $6.7 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ equiv.) in $\mathrm{DCM}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}(240 \mu \mathrm{~L})$ at rt . The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h and then washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 30 mL ) followed by brine $(30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated in vacuo to furnish the crude product which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel) using petroleum ether-ethyl acetate (9:1) as eluant to afford the pure product 71a as colorless syrup ( $3.51 \mathrm{~g}, \mathbf{6 7 \%}$ ). $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.34$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3436, 3019, 2956, 2876, 1747, 1704, 1605, 1455, 1422, 1358, $1215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.43-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25-5.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.89-4.80$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.83-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.72-3.56(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-$ $2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.13-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.73(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.0,172.8,155.0,154.4,136.5,128.5,128.4,128.1,128.0,127.9,127.9$, $127.7,104.2,100.8,100.7,98.5,91.1,88.2,80.9,75.2,75.0,74.1,67.3,67.1,58.3,58.1$, $57.8,57.7,53.0,52.5,52.4,52.2,51.8,51.6,51.5,45.2,44.2,37.9,37.5,37.4,37.0,36.4$, 36.2, 35.3, 34.5, 34.2, 32.4, 30.5, 26.1, 25.9, 24.6, 24.5, 22.2, 20.3, 12.7, 12.6; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 568.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{NNa}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 568.2517, found 568.2513; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $10 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.7 \mathrm{~min}$ for $71 \mathbf{a}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=17.0 \mathrm{~min}$ for 71a ( $\alpha$ ).
Benzyl ((2S)-1-(((E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(((3R,5aS, $6 R, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a}$ )-3,6,9-trimethyldecahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3$i$ isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl)carbamate (72)


Pale yellow syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.34$ (MeOH-DCM, 1:19); IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right): 3428,3019,2929,1687$, 1601, 1496, 1450, 1394, 1216, $1029 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.39-7.14$ (m, $11 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.89-5.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.14-5.03(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80-4.69(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-3.91(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 2.60-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.39-1.35 (m, 4H), 1.31-1.22 (m, 7H), 1.21-1.13 (m, 2H), 0.90-0.85 (m, 3H), 0.76-0.66 (m, $3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 168.9,168.9,156.2,150.2,138.5,129.1,128.7$, 128.7, 128.4, 128.3, 128.3, 128.2, 127.4, 127.3, 118.8, 116.9, 104.3, 104.2, 103.1, 102.9, 88.0, 80.9, 80.9, 69.4, 69.0, 67.3, 62.1, 55.4, 55.2, 53.5, 52.5, 52.4, 44.2, 37.4, 36.4, 34.5, 30.8, 29.8, 26.1, 24.7, 24.5, 20.4, 17.7, 16.4, 12.9, 12.9; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $202 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) : $\delta$ 17.61; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 779.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{39} \mathrm{H}_{53} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaP}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 779.3279, found 779.3270; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $10 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.1 \mathrm{~min}$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=17.6 \mathrm{~min}$. Methyl $N$-((benzyloxy)carbonyl)- $O$-((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl deca-hydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)-L-seryl-L-phenylalaninate (73a)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.45-7.03 (m, 10H), $5.57($ brs, 1 H$), 5.46-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.98-4.74(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 4.63-4.27 (m, 1H), 3.99 (dd, $J=10.4,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.87-3.59 (m, 4H), 3.27-3.02 (m, 2H), 2.74-2.55 (m, 1H), 2.37 (dt, $J=14.0,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.82(\mathrm{~m}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.68-1.60(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $0.98-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 171.4,169.7$, 169.2, 135.6, 129.3, 129.2, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 127.2, 126.9, 104.2, 103.0, $101.0,91.1,87.9,80.9,68.9,67.2,67.0,54.7,53.4,52.5,52.3,52.2,51.5,45.2,44.2,37.9$, $37.8,37.4,37.2,36.4,36.2,34.6,34.2,30.7,26.1,25.8,24.6,24.4,22.1,20.3,12.8,12.4 ;$ ESI-LCMS: $m / z 689.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 689.3045, found 689.3029.

Benzyl ((2S)-1-(((2S)-1-(( $(E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(( $(3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 R, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)$-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (75)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.40(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+41.5\left(c \quad 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3422, 2106, 1643, 1217, 1027, 977, 759, $666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): 7.40-7.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.23-7.15(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-$ $5.59(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.45-5.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-5.05(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.04-4.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.34-4.22 (m, 1H), 4.13-3.98 (m, 4H), 3.98-3.81 (m, 2H), 3.27-3.12 (m, 1H), 3.03-2.91 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-1.66$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.24(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-$ 0.78 (m, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.6,169.5,169.3,156.4,150.2,138.6$, $138.4,135.9,135.7,129.2,128.9,128.8,128.8,128.6,128.6,128.4,128.3,128.1,128.0$, $127.5,127.3,127.2,127.1,118.4,116.9,104.3,103.3,101.9,87.9,80.8,80.8,69.1,69.0$, $67.4,67.4,62.0,61.9,55.9,55.7,55.3,55.0,54.2,52.4,52.4,44.1,44.1,38.0,37.4,36.3$, 34.6, 30.7, 26.0, 24.7, 24.6, 20.3, 20.3, 16.3, 12.9; ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (162 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.76$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z$ 926.1 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 926.3963; found: 926.3947; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $18 \%$ IPA in
hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.3 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ diastereomer and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=19.3 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{7 5 S}$ diastereomer.

Benzyl ( $(S)$-1-(((S)-1-(( $(R, E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (75R)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+61.7\left(c \quad 1.01, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3418, 3020, 1668, 1505, 1216, 1027, 770, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.40-$ $7.03(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.83-6.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.76-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81-4.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 3.91-3.78 (m, 2H), 3.23-3.11 (m, 1H), 2.96-2.89 (m, 1H), 2.64-2.54 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.27 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.79(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.37$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.5,169.2,156.5,150.2,138.7,135.9,135.8,129.4,129.3,129.2,129.1,128.9$, 128.7, 128.7, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.8, 127.6, 127.3, 118.6, 116.8, $104.3,104.2,103.4,88.0,80.9,69.0,67.5,62.0,62.0,61.9,56.0,55.2,55.0,54.2,52.5$, $44.2,44.1,37.9,37.5,37.4,36.4,34.6,30.8,26.1,24.7,24.7,20.4,16.5,16.4,12.9 ;{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (162 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta$ 17.77; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 926.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 926.3963$; found: 926.3945; HPLC: de $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $18 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.4 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{7 5 R}$ diastereomer].

Benzyl ((S)-1-(((S)-1-(((S,E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (75S)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+25.0\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3424, 3020, 2095, 1641, 1215, 1027, 756, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.45-$ $7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.01-6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.88-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.78-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $5.43-5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.18-5.06(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.30(\mathrm{td}, J=4.8,6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.12-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.85(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.26-3.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03-2.91(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10-2.00$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.78-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-$ $1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.95(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.5,169.2,156.4,150.1,138.5,135.9,129.2,128.9,128.8,128.6,128.3,128.1$, $127.3,127.2,118.6,117.1,104.2,103.3,88.0,80.8,69.1,67.5,62.0,61.9,55.7,55.3,55.1$, $54.4,52.4,44.1,38.0,37.4,36.3,34.6,30.7,26.1,24.7,24.6,22.7,20.3,16.4,12.9 ;{ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (162 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 17.65; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 926.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{48} \mathrm{H}_{62} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$926.3963; found: 926.3950; HPLC: de $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $18 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, UV detection at $210 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=19.1 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{7 5 S}$ diastereomer].

Methyl $N$-((benzyloxy)carbonyl)-O-((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)-L-seryl-L-leucinate (74a)


Colorless syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $3: 7$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right): \delta$ 7.39-7.26 (m, 5H), 6.67-6.58 (m, 1H), $5.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11$ (s, 2H), 4.82 (brs, 1H), 4.64-4.54 (m, 1H), 4.38 (brs, 1H), 3.96 (dd, $J=10.7,6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.7,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.73-3.68 (m, 4H), 2.68-2.56 (m, 1H), 2.41-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.06-1.95 (m, 2H), 1.92-1.78 (m, 2 H), 1.72-1.42 (m, 10H), $1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.31-1.18(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.91$ (brs, 3 H ), 0.90 (brs, 6 H ), 0.85 $(\mathrm{d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.8,173.0,169.9,169.5,156.1,136.1,128.6$, $128.3,128.2,128.1,104.3,103.2,88.0,80.9,69.3,67.3,54.8,52.5,52.4,50.9,50.8,44.2$, $41.8,41.6,37.3,36.4,34.6,30.8,29.8,26.1,24.9,24.7,24.6,23.2,22.9,22.8,22.1,22.0$, 20.4, 13.1, 12.9; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 655.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{33} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 655.3201, found 655.3190.
Benzyl ((2S)-1-(((2S)-1-(( $(E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl-1-oxopentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(( $(3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 R, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)-3,6,9-t r i m e t h y l$ decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i $]$ isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (76)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.40(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+47.7\left(\right.$ c $\left.1.02, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3422, 2112, 1645, 1217, 1025, 976, 873, 762, $666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~}{ }_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.46-7.22 (m, 10H), 7.00-6.76 (m, 2H), 5.96-5.68 (m, 3H), 5.48-5.3 (m, 1H), 5.22-4.97 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.78($ brs, 1 H$), 4.60-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.40-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17-3.79(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.63$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.95-1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.65$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.82$ (m, 12H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.9,170.7,169.7,156.4,150.5,138.7,138.7$, 136.0, 135.8, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.5, 127.3, 118.7, 118.6, 116.9, 116.7, 104.2, 103.3, 88.0, 87.9, 80.8, 80.8, 69.2, 69.1, 67.4, 67.4, 67.2, 62.0, 62.0, 55.6, $55.5,55.3,55.1,54.9,52.4,52.4,52.1,51.9,44.1,44.0,40.8,40.6,37.3,36.3,34.5,34.4$, $30.7,30.7,26.0,24.8,24.7,24.6,24.5,22.9,22.0,22.0,20.3,20.3,16.4,16.3,16.3,12.8 ;$
${ }^{31}$ P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl ${ }_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 17.68; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 892.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$892.4120; found: 892.4106; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB $(0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL$), 10 \% \mathrm{IPA}$ in hexane, flow rate $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at 215 $\mathrm{nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.4 \mathrm{~min}$ for 76 R diastereomer and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.2 \mathrm{~min}$ for $76 S$ diastereomer.

Benzyl ((S)-1-(((S)-1-(( $(R, E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl-1-oxopentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(( $(3 R, 5 a S, 6 R, 8 a S, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)-3,6,9-t r i m e t h y l$ decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (76R)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+68.9\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3421, 3021, 2402, 2095, 1654, 1508, 1216, 1028, 976, 767, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 0 ~ M H z , ~}$ $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.39-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.97-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{t}, J=17.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.76(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.11-4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.75(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.35-4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.68-2.59$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.9,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.66(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.54-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.44-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.35-1.28$ (m, 6H), 1.29-1.19 (m, 2H), 0.96-0.82 (m, 12H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.6,169.7,156.4,150.5$, 150.4, 138.7, 135.8, 129.0, 128.8, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 127.5, 127.3, 118.5, 117.0, 104.2, $103.3,87.9,80.8,69.0,67.4,62.0,55.5,55.1,55.0,52.4,51.9,44.0,40.6,37.3,36.3,34.4$, 30.7, 26.0, 24.8, 24.6, 22.9, 22.0, 20.3, 16.3, 12.8; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (162 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.71$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 892.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 892.4120; found: 892.4100; HPLC: de $86 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $10 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $215 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.5 \mathrm{~min}$ for $76 \boldsymbol{R}$ diastereomer].
Benzyl ((S)-1-(((S)-1-(((S,E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl-1-oxopentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl
decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (76S)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.40(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+26.4\left(c \quad 1.01, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3417, 1639, 1218, 1030, 769, $672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.42-7.28(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), ~ 6.99-6.79(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.94-5.71(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.21-5.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.85-4.76(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.39-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.13-3.89(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.69-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38(\mathrm{dt}, J$ $=13.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.14-1.97(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.46(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-1.25(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.87(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.87-0.84$ (m, 3H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): $\delta 170.8,169.7,150.4,138.8,136.0,129.0,128.6$, $128.3,128.2,127.3,118.5,117.1,104.2,103.3,88.0,80.8,69.1,67.5,62.0,55.5,55.3,55.1$, $52.4,52.1,44.1,40.9,37.3,36.3,34.5,30.7,26.0,24.8,24.6,22.9,21.9,20.3,16.3,12.8 ;$ ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $162 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 17.65; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 892.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$892.4120; found: 892.4108; HPLC: de $83 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $10 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $215 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.0 \mathrm{~min}$ for $76 S$ diastereomer].
Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS, 6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyldecahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3$i$ iisochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (77)


Colorless syrup; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.34$ (MeOH-DCM, 1:19); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.41-7.06$ $(\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.93-6.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.74-5.63(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.39-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
5.22-5.02 (m, 2H), 4.84-4.71 (m, 1H), 4.54-4.34 (m, 2H), 4.15-3.95 (m, 4H), 3.83-3.61 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.62-2.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.08$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.07-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34-$ $\left.1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97-0.89(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.66(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 0 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 170.4$, $156.8,150.7,150.4,138.5,136.0,129.1,128.7,128.4,128.3,128.2,127.5,127.3,118.4$, $116.7,114.2,104.3,100.0,91.2,88.2,81.0,74.6,67.9,62.1,59.7,55.4,52.5,51.7,45.2$, $44.2,37.5,37.4,36.4,36.3,35.5,34.5,30.5,29.8,26.2,26.0,24.7,24.5,22.3,20.4,16.5$, 16.5, 16.4, 12.7; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 6 2} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~}$ CDCl $_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 18.02; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 805.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{55} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{NaP}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 805.3436, found 805.3423.
Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((S)-1-methoxy-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS, 6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyldecahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3$i$ isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (78a)


Colorless syrup; $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 0}}+30.8\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.34-7.09(\mathrm{~m}$, $10 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.86-4.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.85-$ $3.52(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.29-2.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.61-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.62-1.57 (m, 4H), $1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.28-1.12(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.7,170.8,156.3,136.2,135.8,129.3,128.5,128.4,128.1,128.0,127.0$, $104.2,100.0,88.0,80.9,74.4,67.5,59.3,53.3,52.4,52.3,51.5,44.2,37.8,37.4,36.3,35.5$, 34.5, 30.4, 26.1, 24.6, 24.4, 20.3, 12.6; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 715.5(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((S)-1-methoxy-4-methyl-1-oxopentan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS, 6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyldecahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3$i$ isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1-carboxylate (79a)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta$ 7.60-7.23 (m, 5H), 5.49-5.28 (m, 1H), 5.27-5.02 (m, 2H), 4.90-4.74 (m, 1H), 4.66-4.36 (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.61(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.52-3.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37(\mathrm{dt}, J=13.7,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.25-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.71-1.57$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.73(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 173.2,170.8,156.5,136.4,136.2,128.5,128.5,128.2,128.0$, $127.6,104.2,100.1,98.7,91.1,88.1,80.9,80.2,75.8,74.5,73.7,67.5,67.4,60.1,59.4$, $58.7,53.0,52.5,52.2,51.6,51.0,50.5,45.2,44.2,41.4,38.3,37.5,37.4,36.4,36.3,35.4$, $34.5,34.2,32.5,30.4,26.1,25.9,24.8,24.7,24.6,24.5,22.7,22.2,22.0,20.3,12.6,12.5$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 681.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 681.3358, found 681.3335.

Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((2S)-1-(( $(E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (80)


Colorless syrup; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3409, 3368, 3018, 2957, 2876, 1682, 1497, 1455, 1416, 1358, 1216, $1030 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.17-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}), 6.75-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.71-5.93 (m, 2H), 4.80-5.30 (m, 2H), 4.73-4.90 (m, 2H), 4.27-4.39 (m, 2H), 3.96-4.13 (m, 2 H ), 3.57-4.13 (m, 2H), 3.13-3.33 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.57 (m, 3H), 1.85-1.99 (m, 3H), 1.49-1.70 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 0.94(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.63(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(50 \mathrm{MHz}$,
$\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 171.4,169.9,156.2,151.5,138.4,136.8,136.1,129.2,128.8,128.7,128.6,128.5$, $128.0,127.9,127.4,126.9,117.1,104.2,99.9,88.1,80.9,73.9,67.7,62.1,60.6,55.3,53.8$, $52.4,51.8,44.1,37.4,36.9,36.8,36.3,34.4,30.4,26.1,24.6,24.4,20.3,16.3,16.2,12.6 ;$ ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (162 MHz, CDCl $\mathbf{H}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 18.21; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 953.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.

Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((2S)-1-(( $(E)$-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl-1-oxopentan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(( $3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 \mathrm{R}, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)-3,6,9-t r i m e t h y l$ decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (81)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.32(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+9.0\left(c 1.01, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3419, 3018, 2962, 2402, 1683, 1519, 1418, 1353, 1217, 1101, 1029, 989, 876, 768, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.52-7.24(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03-5.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.46-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28-5.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.06-4.72(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-$ 4.29 (m, 3H), 4.20-3.95 (m, 4H), 3.82-3.61 (m, 1H), 3.41 (t, $J=11.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.60$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.29(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.72-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.40$ $(\mathrm{m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.39-1.21(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.85(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 0.76-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.4,171.0,156.5,150.8,150.5,150.5,138.8,138.7,128.9,128.8$, 128.6, 128.1, 127.8, 127.3, 119.9, 118.7, 118.4, 116.6, 116.6, 116.6, 104.2, 100.0, 91.1, 88.1, 80.9, 80.3, 74.1, 74.0, 68.0, 67.8, 61.9, 60.6, 60.3, 55.4, 55.1, 54.9, 52.5, 52.1, 51.9, $51.6,45.2,44.1,40.1,37.5,37.4,36.7,36.3,34.5,34.2,30.4,26.1,25.9,25.1,24.9,24.6$, 24.5, 23.0, 22.2, 21.6, 21.5, 20.3, 16.4, 16.3, 12.6, 12.6; ${ }^{31} \mathbf{P}$ NMR (202 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 18.01; ESI-LCMS: $m / z$ 918.1 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$918.4276; found: 918.4255; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $215 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.8 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 S}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=14.8 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 S}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$, and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.6 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 R}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=31.2 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 R}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((S)-1-(((R,E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl -1-oxopentan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl
decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (81R)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.30(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+28.7\left(c 1.00, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right):$ 3420, 3339, 3018, 2963, 2878, 2402, 1684, 1516, 1418, 1352, 1216, 1099, 1029, 989, 876, $771,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.44-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{t}, J=18.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50-6.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92(\mathrm{t}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.80(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.05-$ $4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90-4.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{brs}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.41-4.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-4.00(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-$ $3.59(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44-3.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, $1.71-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.36-1.20(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}), 0.97$ (brs, 3 H ), 0.94-0.87 (m, 6H), 0.67 (brs, 3 H ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 171.3,171.0,156.5,150.5,138.8,135.7$, 128.8, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.2, 118.3, 116.8, 104.2, 99.9, 91.2, 88.1, 80.9, $74.0,67.8,61.9,60.6,55.0,54.9,52.5,51.9,51.6,45.2,44.1,40.1,37.5,36.7,36.3,34.4$, 34.1, 30.4, 26.1, 25.9, 25.1, 24.6, 24.4, 23.0, 21.5, 20.3, 16.4, 16.3, 12.6; ${ }^{31}$ P NMR (162 $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 18.05; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 918.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$918.4276; found: 918.4257; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \%$ IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $215 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 20.1 min for $\mathbf{8 1 R}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=29.6 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 R}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$.

Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((S)-1-(((S,E)-3-(diethoxyphosphoryl)-1-phenylallyl)amino)-4-methyl -1-oxopentan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyl decahydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (81S)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.32(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}-14.5\left(c \quad 1.04, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) ; \mathbf{I R}\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ : 3420, 3019, 2964, 2402, 1682, 1519, 1419, 1353, 1216, 1099, 1029, 989, 876, 769, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.47-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{t}, J=18.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.79-6.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.92-5.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30-5.09(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.80$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 4.20-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $2.61(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.12-1.75(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.38(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$, 1.37-1.19 (m, 9H), $0.98(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.95-0.91(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.90-0.86(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.71(\mathrm{~d}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 171.3,171.0,156.7,150.8,138.7,136.1,128.9,128.6,128.4$, $128.2,128.0,127.8,127.3,118.5,117.1,104.2,99.9,91.2,88.1,80.9,74.1,68.0,61.9,60.4$, $55.4,55.2,52.5,52.1,51.9,51.6,44.2,40.1,37.5,36.3,34.5,30.4,26.1,25.9,25.0,24.6$, 24.5, 23.0, 22.2, 21.6, 20.3, 16.3, 12.6; ${ }^{\mathbf{3 1}} \mathbf{P}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 6 2} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 17.96$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 918.2(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{66} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaP}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$918.4276; found: 918.4258; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), 20\% IPA in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $215 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.3 \mathrm{~min}$ for $\mathbf{8 1 S}(\boldsymbol{\beta})$ and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.1 \mathrm{~min}$ for $81 S(\alpha)$.

General procedure for the synthesis of ( $E$ )-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)- $N$-tritylprop-2-en-1-amine (( $\pm$ )-91 or ( $R$ )-91 or ( $S$ )-91)

( $\pm$-91

(R)-91

(S)-91

2-Phenyl-2-(tritylamino)ethan-1-ol $( \pm)-67$ or $(R)-67$ or $(S)-67(1.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in DCM $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this cold solution was added Dess-Martin periodinane ( $1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) portion wise over 10 min and then stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 $\min$. The reaction mixture was allowed to slowly warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was diluted with DCM. The reaction mixture was placed in an ice-water bath and a $1: 1$ mixture of saturated aqueous
$\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution and saturated $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ solution $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the cooling bath was removed and the mixture was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ until the formation of two clear layers was observed. The reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel containing a saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ solution ( 20 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 X 25 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 20 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered and concentrated to give crude aldehyde $( \pm)-68$ or $(R)-68$ or $(S)-68$, respectively as colorless foaming solid. The crude aldehyde residue was used immediately for the next step without any further purification.

Diethyl ((phenylsulfonyl)methyl)phosphonate ( $2.24 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.7$ equiv.) was taken up in dry THF ( 4 mL ) and was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in an ice bath. $\mathrm{NaH}(60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, $1.98 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture in portion wise over a period of 510 min . The solution was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min . Crude aldehyde $( \pm)-68$ or $(R)-68$ or $(S)-$ 68 was taken in dry THF ( 4 mL ) and was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was then warmed to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 3 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in water, extracted with DCM ( 3 X 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with water, brine and concentrated to give crude product which was purified either by recrystallization from EtOAc-Petroleum ether mixture or column chromatography on a silica gel column with ethyl acetate: petroleum ether as eluant to give pure olefin $( \pm)-91$ or $(S)-91$ or $(R)-91$, respectively as colorless solid.

## ( E)-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)- $N$-tritylprop-2-en-1-amine (91)


$( \pm)-91$
Colorless solid; m.p.: $168-169{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (200 $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.74-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.24-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.92(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.67(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,6.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=14.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.45$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.1,145.8,141.3,140.6,133.2$, 129.2, 128.8, 127.9, 127.7, 127.4, 127.1, 126.8, 72.0, 59.0; ESI-LCMS: m/z 538.0 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.3 \mathrm{~min}$ for $(R)$-isomer and $t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.8 \mathrm{~min}$ for (S)-isomer.

## ( $\boldsymbol{R}, \boldsymbol{E}$ )-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)- $N$-tritylprop-2-en-1-amine (( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-91)


(R)-91

Colorless solid; m.p.: $163-165{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}{ }^{\mathbf{5}}-52.3(c$ 1.0, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ESI-LCMS: $m / z 538.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 11.3 min for $(R)$-isomer].
(S,E)-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)- $N$-tritylprop-2-en-1-amine ((S)-91)

(S)-91

Colorless solid; m.p.: $165-166{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+59.0(c$ $1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 7.72-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{t}, J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.56-7.50 (m, 2H), 7.44-7.38 (m, 6H), 7.26-7.12 (m, 12H), 7.06-7.00 (m, 2H), $6.71(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $14.9,6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.9,1.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49$ (brs, 1 H ); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 149.0,145.8,141.3,140.6,133.2,129.2,128.9,128.8$, 128.7, 127.9, 127.7, 127.4, 127.0, 126.7, 72.0, 59.0; ESI-LCMS: m/z $538.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{NNaS}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 538.1811, found 538.1805; HPLC: $e e ~ 99.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \times 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $5 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.6 \mathrm{~min}$ for $(S)$-isomer $]$.
General procedure for the synthesis of (E)-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)prop-2-en-1amine (( $\pm$ )-90 or (R)-90 or (S)-90)

$( \pm)-90$

(R)-90

(S)-90

Trityl protected amine $( \pm)-91$ or $(R)-91$ or $(S)-91(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ equiv.) was dissolved in DCM ( 30 mL ) and trifluoroacetic acid ( $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 2.0$ equiv.) was added at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min . After completion of the reaction (TLC), DCM was removed under reduced pressure. Water ( 15 mL ) was added to the residue and the aqueous layer was washed with diethyl ether ( 3 X 20 mL ). The remaining aqueous layer was basified with a saturated aqueous solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until pH 9 , after which it was
extracted with DCM ( 3 X 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to give crude amine $( \pm)-\mathbf{9 0}$ or $(R)-\mathbf{9 0}$ or $(S)-\mathbf{9 0}$, respectively as colorless solid in quantitative yield, which was used for the final coupling reaction without any further purification. $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.42(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.92-7.81(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.66-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.39-7.18(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.09(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.3,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{dd}, J=14.5,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.76-4.67(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta$ 148.7, 141.5, 140.4, 133.5, 129.7, 129.4, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 126.9, 56.4; ESI-LCMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $296.0(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$.
Benzyl ((2S)-1-oxo-1-(((2S)-1-oxo-3-phenyl-1-(( $(E)$-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)allyl) amino)propan-2-yl)amino)-3-(((3R,5aS,6R,8aS,9R,10S,12R,12aR)-3,6,9-trimethyldeca hydro-12H-3,12-epoxy [1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (92)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.37$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta$ 8.00-7.80 (m, 2H), 7.72-7.48 (m, 3H), 7.46-7.23 (m, 8H), 7.23-6.99 (m, 7H), 6.99-6.82 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.62(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.56-6.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.93-5.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.49-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.16-4.88$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.83-4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.28-4.08(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.32-3.07(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-$ $2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.72-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.80(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.67-1.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.47-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.17(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.01-0.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.89-0.80(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 169.6,169.5,169.3,156.6,145.1,144.5,140.2$, $137.9,135.7,135.6,135.5,133.6,133.4,131.3,129.4,129.3,129.3,129.1,129.1,129.0$, 128.9, 128.6, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 127.7, 127.7, 127.4, 127.4, 127.3, 127.2, 104.3, $103.4,88.0,80.8,69.1,69.0,67.7,67.5,56.3,56.0,54.1,53.3,52.3,44.0,37.5,36.3,34.5$, 34.1, 30.7, 29.7, 26.0, 24.7, 24.6, 23.2, 22.7, 20.3, 14.1, 12.9; ESI-LCMS: m/z 930.1 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{57} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaS}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 930.3606$; found: 930.3594.

Benzyl ((2S)-1-(((2S)-4-methyl-1-oxo-1-(((E)-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)allyl)amino) pentan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-(( $3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 R, 8 \mathrm{aS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)$-3,6,9-trimethyldeca hydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)propan-2-yl) carbamate (93)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.46$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta$ 8.03-7.82 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.47 (m, 3H), 7.42-7.32 (m, 6H), 7.31-7.22 (m, 3H), $7.14(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $15.1,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.64-6.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.91-5.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.47-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.25-5.15 (m, 1H), 5.08-4.94 (m, 1H), 4.85-4.71 (m, 1H), 4.58-4.33 (m, 1H), 4.33-4.17 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), ~ 4.14-3.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11-1.96(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.37-1.19$ (m, 2H), 1.09-0.80 (m, 12H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.6,169.9,156.2,144.5$, $140.1,137.8,135.9,133.6,133.5,131.4,129.4,129.3,129.2,129.1,128.6,128.5,128.4$, 128.1, 127.7, 127.4, 127.3, 126.2, 104.3, 103.5, 88.0, 88.0, 80.8, 69.1, 67.7, 67.6, 55.7, 53.5, 53.3, 52.4, 52.2, 44.1, 40.1, 37.3, 36.3, 34.5, 34.4, 30.7, 26.0, 24.9, 24.6, 24.6, 22.9, 21.8, 20.3, 12.8; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 896.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{47} \mathrm{H}_{59} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaS}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 896.3763, found 896.3752.
Benzyl (2S,4R)-2-(((2S)-4-methyl-1-oxo-1-(( $(E)$-1-phenyl-3-(phenylsulfonyl)allyl)amino) pentan-2-yl)carbamoyl)-4-(( $3 R, 5 \mathrm{aS}, 6 R, 8 \mathrm{SaS}, 9 R, 10 S, 12 R, 12 \mathrm{a} R)-3,6,9-t r i m e t h y l d e c a$ hydro-12H-3,12-epoxy[1,2]dioxepino[4,3-i]isochromen-10-yl)oxy)pyrrolidine-1carboxylate (94)


Colorless solid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.47(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(100 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 171.6$, $171.5,171.1,156.9,156.6,145.0,144.7$, 140.3, 140.2, 138.1, 138.0, 136.0, 135.7, 134.1, 133.6, 133.4, 131.4, 129.4, 129.2, 129.0, 128.7, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 127.3, $126.3,104.4,100.1,91.3,88.2,81.0,74.2,74.0,68.2,68.0,60.8,60.6,53.5,53.4,52.5$, $52.2,51.7,44.2,40.4,39.9,39.7,37.6,37.5,36.9,36.8,36.4,34.7,34.5,31.7,30.5,30.2$, 29.1, 27.0, 26.2, 26.0, 25.4, 25.1, 25.1, 24.7, 24.6, 23.1, 22.7, 21.6, 21.5, 20.8, 20.4, 14.2, 12.7, 11.5; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 922.1(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{49} \mathrm{H}_{61} \mathrm{O}_{11} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{NaS}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 922.3919, found 922.3907.

## Antimalarial activity protocol:

All the Plasmodium falciparum strains used in the present study (3D7, 7G8 and Dd2) were obtained from Malaria Research and Reference Reagent Resource Center (MR4).

## (a) Expression and purification of recombinant falcpain-2 enzyme:

Recombinant falcipain-2 was prepared according to the method described by Shenai et al. ${ }^{63}$ and Kumar et al. ${ }^{64}$ with slight modification. Briefly, Escherichia coli M15 containing pQE30-FP-2 plasmid were grown to mid-log phase and induced with isopropyl-1-thio- $\beta$-Dgalactopyranoside (IPTG, 0.5 mM ) for 5 h at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Cells were harvested, washed with icecold 100 mM Tris-Cl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 7.4 , sonicated ( 12 cycles of 10 s each, with cooling for 10 s between the cycles), and centrifuged at $15,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 45 min at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The pellet was washed twice with 2.5 M urea, 20 mM Tris-Cl, $2.5 \%$ Triton X-100, pH 8.0; centrifuged at $15,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 45 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; and solubilized in 6 M guanidine $\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ Tris-Cl, $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$ imidazole, $\mathrm{pH} 8.0(5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{g}$ of inclusion body pellet) at RT for 60 min with gentle stirring. Insoluble material was separated by centrifuging at 15,000 rpm for 60 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For the purification of the recombinant protein, the supernatant was incubated overnight at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) resin. The resin was loaded on a column and washed with 10 bed volumes each of 6 M guanidine $\mathrm{HCl}, 20 \mathrm{mM}$

Tris-Cl, $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0 ; 8 \mathrm{M}$ urea, 20 mM Tris-Cl, $500 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.0$; and 8 M urea, 20 mM Tris-Cl, 30 mM imidazole, pH 8.0. Bound protein was eluted with 8 M urea, 20 mM Tris-Cl, 1 M imidazole, pH 8.0 and quantified by the Bicinchoninic acid assay. For the refolding, the fractions containing falcipain-2 protein were pooled in the ice-cold refolding buffer: 100 mM diluted in Tris-Cl, 1 mM EDTA, $20 \%$ glycerol, 250 mM Larginine, 1 mM GSH, 1 mM GSSG, pH 8.0 was added in a 100 fold dilution. The mixture was incubated with moderate stirring at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , and concentrated to 25 mL using a stirred cell with a $10-\mathrm{kDa}$ cut-off membrane (Pellicon XL device, Millipore) at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The sample was then filtered using a $0.22-\mathrm{mm}$ syringe filter. The purified and concentrated protein was quantified using bicinchoninic acid assay.

## (b) Fluorometric assay for falcipain-2 activity:

For screening of falcipain-2 inhibitors, a 96-well plate fluorometric assay was developed following a protocol described by Kumar et al. ${ }^{64}$ Briefly, reaction was set up in a 200 mL reaction mixture containing $100 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaOAc}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ DTT, 6 mg enzyme and different concentrations of inhibitors, pH 5.5 .10 mM of fluorogenic substrate benzyloxycarbonyl-Phe-Arg-7-amino-4-methylcoumarin hydrochloride (ZFR-AMC) was added, and the release of 7-amino-4-methylcoumarin (AMC) was monitored (excitation 355 nm ; emission 460 nm ) over 30 min at RT in Perkin Elmer Victor3 multilabel counter. Activities were compared as fluorescence released over time in the assay without or with different concentration of each compound tested. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values were calculated from curve fittings by software Workout V 2.5. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values were derived from the Cheng-Prusoff equation relating both parameters when substrate concentration and $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ are known:

$$
K_{i}=\frac{\mathrm{IC}_{50}}{1+\left(\frac{[\mathrm{S}]}{K_{M}}\right)}
$$

## (c) Expression and activity of heme detoxification protein (HDP)

Briefly, cultures of E. coli M15 cells containing plasmid pHDP (HDP gene cloned in pQE expression vector) were grown to mid-log phase, induced with IPTG ( 1 mM ) for 4 h at 37 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and harvested by centrifugation at $4,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 20 min . The total cell pellet was resuspended in wash buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\cdot \mathrm{HCl}$ at $\mathrm{pH} 7.5,20 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA) containing 0.5
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ lysozyme and incubated for 1 h at room temperature with intermittent shaking, and then with vigorous shaking for an additional 30 min . The washed cell pellet was lysed after adding wash buffer containing 0.5 M NaCl and $2.5 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$. The inclusion bodies were pelleted by centrifugation at $13,000 \mathrm{rpm}$ for 50 min at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, resuspended in wash buffer containing $1 \%$ Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ using a sonicator, pelleted again, and then washed four times in wash buffer without Triton X-100. The inclusion bodies were solubilized for 30 min in 50 mM CAPS buffer at pH 11.0 containing $1.5 \% \mathrm{~N}$-lauryl sarcosine and 0.3 M NaCl and centrifuged at $10,000 \times \mathrm{g}$ for 30 min . The protein was purified from the supernatant using a His-Trap, a high-performance nickel affinity column (GE Health Care) by an imidazole gradient in 50 mM CAPS at pH 11.0 containing $0.3 \% \mathrm{~N}$-lauryl sarcosine and 0.3 M NaCl . Protein-containing fractions were pooled and dialyzed against 25 mM CAPS buffer ( pH 11.0) containing 135 mM NaCl . The activity of the protein was assessed by its ability to convert heme to hemozoin $(\mathrm{Hz}) .{ }^{65}$

## (d) In vitro hemozoin formation assay

The in vitro hemozoin formation assay were carried out in 1 mL reaction buffered with 500 mM sodium acetate at pH 5.2 with 5 mM reduced glutathione containing heme ( $300 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and $0.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$ recombinant HDP, the reaction was carried out at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h . Subsequently, free heme in the reaction was removed by repeated washing of the pellet with 2.5\% SDS and 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate ( pH 9.1 ) followed by repeated washing with distilled water until no soluble heme was visible in the supernatant. The Hz pellet was resuspended in 1 mL of 0.1 N NaOH , and absorbance was measured at 400 nm , heme concentration was calculated from the standard curve. Heme stock $(10 \mathrm{mM})$ was prepared by dissolving 3.3 mg of hemin (Sigma) in $500 \mu 1$ of 1 M NaOH , which was used to make dilutions ranging from $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}-600$ $\mu \mathrm{m}$ to plot the standard curve. A reaction containing buffered heme alone was used as negative control. To assess the effect of different compounds on hemozoin formation, the assay was performed in the presence of the compounds at various concentrations.

## (e) P. falciparum growth inhibition assay

P. falciparum strain 3D7, Dd2 and 7G8 were cultured in RPMI media (Invitrogen) supplemented with $0.5 \%$ albumax and $4 \%$ haematocrit using a protocol described previously. ${ }^{66}$ Cultures were synchronized by repeated sorbitol treatment following a protocol
described previously. ${ }^{67}$ Each growth inhibition assay was performed in triplicate and the experiment was repeated twice. Each well contained 0.5 mL of complete media [RPMI (invitrogen) with $0.5 \%$ albumax], $4 \%$ haematocrit and the parasitaemia adjusted to $\sim 1 \%$; the compound added to the parasite cultures to desired final concentrations ( $0-100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) and same amount of solvent (DMSO) was added to the control wells. The cultures were allowed to grow further for 48 h . Parasite growth was assessed by DNA fluorescent dye-binding assay using SYBR green (Sigma) following Smilkstein et al. procedure. ${ }^{68}$

## (f) In vitro toxicity assay on CHO cells

The cytotoxicity assay was carried out using the CHO cells proliferation test. CHO cells were seeded in triplicates at $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aliquots ( $1 \times 10^{4}$ cells per well) with DMEM medium in Nunclon flat bottom 96-well plates and were allowed to grow for 24 h . Subsequently, the medium was replaced by a test medium containing each inhibitor $(0-500 \mu \mathrm{M})$, or DMSO as a control. The CHO cells were allowed to grow for further 24 h , and then $10 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of the WST1 reagent was added and plates were incubated for 30 min . The plates were read at 450 nm absorption and 630 nm reference wavelengths using a TECAN GENios Pro microplate reader. The percentage growth was calculated by comparing with the control set. The $\mathrm{EC}_{50}$ values for each compound were calculated from the growth inhibition curve.

## (g) In vivo parasiticidal assays with $\boldsymbol{P}$. berghei mice malaria model

Five groups of female BALB/c mice, 6-8 weeks of age (four mice/group) were used for testing the in vivo parasiticidal and protective efficacy of different drug treatments. Mice were injected IP with $1 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{P}$. berghei infected erythrocytes. The drug treatment was initiated 5 days after inducing parasitemia; mice in a group were given 4 doses of a compound at $12.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{Kg}$ of body weight. Control mice were given solvent alone. The parasitemia was assessed every day in thin smears of Giemsa stained RBCs from the tail blood of each mouse, by counting parasitized erythrocytes in more than 10,000 erythrocytes. Time of death of the mice in each group was also monitored.
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### 1.8. SPECTRA

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $53\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 53 ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $54\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $54\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $55\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $63\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
(

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $64\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound (R)-67 (400 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound (R)-67 (100 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound (S)-69 (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound (S)-69 (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $52\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $52\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 70a ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $70 \mathrm{a}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 71a ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $72\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 72 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 73a ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 73a ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $74 \mathrm{a}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $74 \mathrm{a}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $77\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $77\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $75\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 75 ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 75R ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $75 S\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ )



## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $76\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $76\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 76R ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR of Compound $76 R\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 76S ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $76 \mathrm{~S}\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 79a ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $79 \mathrm{a}\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $80\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $81\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 81 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $81 R\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $81 R\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $81 S\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $81 S\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound (S)-91 (500 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound (S)-91 ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $90\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $90\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $92\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 92 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 93 ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 93 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 94 ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 94 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


### 1.9. HPLC Chromatograms of $( \pm)-69,(R)-69$ and $(S)-69$

## Chiralpak-IA, Hexane:EtOH (97:3), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 254 nm





## HPLC Chromatograms of 70a and 71a

## Chiralpak-IB, Hexane:IPA (90:10), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 210 nm



DAD: Signal A,
$210 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{Bw}: 4 \mathrm{~nm}$
Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% | Height | Height \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12.760 | 10176332 | 78.12 | 461824 | 85.70 |
| 17.093 | 2849711 | 21.88 | 77058 | 14.30 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13026043 | 100.00 | 538882 | 100.00 |
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## HPLC Chromatogram of 72

## Chiralpak-IB, Hexane:IPA (90:10), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 210 nm




72

## HPLC Chromatograms of Hybrd Molecules 75, 75R and 75S

## Chiralpak-IA, Hexane:IPA (82:18), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 210 nm



VWD: Signal A, 210 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15.330 | 162146985 | 55.70 |
| 19.333 | 128978787 | 44.30 |
| Totals |  | 100.00 |



VWD: Signal A, 210 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 15.420 | 380790525 | 100.00 |
| Totals | 380790525 | 100.00 |



## HPLC Chromatograms of Hybrd Molecules 76, 76R and 76S

Chiralpak-IB, Hexane:IPA (90:10), flow $0.8 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 215 nm




VWD: Signal A, 215 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12.140 | 12163465 | 8.41 |
| 15.050 | 132446203 | 91.59 |
| Totals |  |  |
|  | 144609668 | 100.00 |
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## HPLC Chromatograms of Hybrd Molecules $81,81 R$ and $81 S$

## Chiralpak-IA, Hexane:IPA (80:20), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 215 nm





VWD: Signal A, 215 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11.320 | 124386826 | 79.47 |
| 14.197 | 32136781 | 20.53 |
| Totals |  |  |
|  | 156523607 | 100.00 |

In the above HPLC chromatograms, peak a corresponds to $\beta$ diastereomer (epimer) of dihydroartemisinin formed from the $(S)-\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate (i.e. (S)-52) and peak $\mathbf{b}$ corresponds to $\alpha$ diastereomer (epimer) of dihydroartemisinin formed from the same ( $S$ ) $-\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate (i.e. (S)-52).

Similarly, peak corresponds to $\alpha$ diastereomer of dihydroartemisinin formed from the $(R)$ -$\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate (i.e. $(R)-52$ ) and peak $\mathbf{d}$ corresponds to $\beta$ diastereomer of dihydroartemisinin formed from the same $(R)-\gamma$-phenyl- $\gamma$-amino vinyl phosphonate (i.e. ( $R$ )-52).


81S ( $\beta$ )
(major)

Peak c

$81 R(\alpha)$
(minor)


Peak d

$81 R(\beta)$
(major)

## HPLC Chromatograms of $( \pm)-91,(R)-91$ and (S)-91

## Chiralpak-IA, Hexane:EtOH (95:5), flow $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 220 nm



DAD: Signal A, $220 \mathrm{~nm} /$ Bw: 4 nm
Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area $\%$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11.387 | 9464848 | 48.59 |
| 12.820 | 10014659 | 51.41 |
| Totals |  |  |
|  | 19479507 | 100.00 |



DAD: Signal A, $220 \mathrm{~nm} / \mathrm{Bw}: 4 \mathrm{~nm}$
Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 11.373 | 25056146 | 100.00 |
| Totals | 25056146 | 100.00 |
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## Chapter 2

## Synthesis of Biologically Active Molecules

## Synthesis of syncarpamide, its analogs and study of their in vitro and in vivo antiplasmodial activities

### 2.1.1. INTRODUCTION

The genus Zanthoxylum is the largest in the family Rutaceae and contains more than 200 species. Plants belonging to the genus Zanthoxylum contain a variety of biologically active secondary metabolites such as alkaloids, coumarins, and lignans exhibiting febrifuge, sudorific, and diuretic properties. ${ }^{1-10}$ The bark of Zanthoxylum integrifoliolum has been used in the traditional Chinese system of medicine by Ya-Mei aborigines in Lanyu island in Taiwan as a remedy for snakebite and dyspepsia and as an aromatic tonic in fever. ${ }^{2-3}$ In Sri Lanka, the genus Zanthoxylum is represented by three species, viz., Z. rhetza, Z. caudatum and Z. tetraspermum. ${ }^{4}$ Among these, Z. tetraspermum (Sinhala-katukeena) is used in folklore medicine for the treatment of rheumatism and some forms of diarrhea. ${ }^{5}$ Antimalarial compounds containing $\alpha$, $\beta$-unsaturated carbonyl moiety have been isolated from the root bark of Z. gilletii. ${ }^{6}$ Sticks prepared from the bark of Z. gilletii are used for preventing toothache. ${ }^{7}$ Z. liebmanniaum is used in Mexican traditional medicine for treating stomach aches, amoebiasis, intestinal parasites and as a local anesthetic agent. ${ }^{8}$

Zanthoxylum syncarpum Tul. is an evergreen tree occurring in both North and South America. ${ }^{9}$ Although several chemical constituents from Zanthoxylum species have been reported ${ }^{3-8}$ in the past however, not many secondary metabolites have been isolated from $Z$. syncarpum. ${ }^{9,10}$ A (+)-norepinephrine derivative, syncarpamide (1) was isolated from the stems of Zanthoxylum syncarpum. ${ }^{10 a, b}$ Syncarpamide (1) exhibited antiplasmodial activities against $P$. falciparum with reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $2.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $3.06 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against D 6 and W 2 clones, respectively.


Figure 1. Structure of Syncarpamide 1.

### 2.1.2. MALARIA-REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Malaria is caused by the protozoan parasites of the genus Plasmodium. Several antimalarial natural products from plant sources were reported in the literature for the treatment of malaria. ${ }^{11}$ Plasmodium parasite developed resistance to the all available antimalarial drugs including artemisinin. ${ }^{12}$ Few examples for natural antimalarial compounds are shown in Figure 2. Resistance to currently available antimalarial agents prompted several research groups worldwide to look for new naturally occurring secondary metabolites from plants as antimalarial compounds.


Quinine


Chloroquine


Artemisinin




Figure 2. Some examples of natural antimalarial compounds

### 2.1.3. PRESENT WORK

## Objective

As a part of our ongoing research program on the development of novel antimalarial agents having a different mode of action, we targeted the first asymmetric synthesis of natural
product syncarpamide 1. Further, we wished to synthesize several analogs by varying the substituents on the aromatic ring and also by varying the ester and amide chains of the parent natural product, syncarpamide. The stereogenic center present in the syncarpamide and its analogs was introduced using Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) as a key step.

### 2.1.4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.1.4.1. Retrosynthesis

We envisioned that the natural product 1 could be obtained by one-pot esterification and amidation of the amino alcohol $\mathbf{1 f}$, which in turn could be obtained by the chiral diol 1c. Chiral diol 1c could be synthesized following the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) of 3,4-dimethoxystyrene 1b followed by functional group manipulations (Scheme 1).


Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of syncarpamide 1.

### 2.1.4.2. Synthesis of syncarpamide 1

As illustrated in Scheme 2, the synthesis of syncarpamide 1 started from commercially available 3,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde 1a. Wittig olefination of 1a with methyltriphenyl phosphonium bromide gave monosubstituted alkene 1b in $98 \%$ yield, which on Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation (SAD) with AD-mix- $\alpha$ furnished chiral diol 1c in $94 \%$ yield. Tosylation of diol $\mathbf{1 c}$ with tosyl chloride furnished $\mathbf{1 d}$ in $87 \%$ yield which was treated with sodium azide at $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give azido alcohol $\mathbf{1 e}$ in $69 \%$ yield. Reduction of azido alcohol 1e under $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ condition furnished amino alcohol $\mathbf{1 f}$ in quantitative yield. Finally, one-pot esterification and amidation of amino alcohol $\mathbf{1 f}$ with cinnamic acid under DCC, DMAP conditions yielded syncarpamide 1 in $75 \%$ yield ( $40 \%$ overall yield). ${ }^{13}$


Scheme 2. Synthesis of Syncarpamide 1 employing Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation. Reagents and conditions: (a) $n-\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{MeBr}^{-}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) $\mathrm{AD}-$ mix- $\alpha, t$-BuOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (c) TsCl, Py, DCM, $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, TBAI, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 69 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 98 \%$; (f) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 75 \%$.

### 2.1.4.3. Synthesis of the enantiomer of syncarpamide (2)

The role of chiral center on the antiplasmodial activity of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ was studied by carrying out the synthesis of its enantiomer 2. As shown in Scheme 3, an enantiomer of syncarpamide was synthesized following the similar strategy by using AD-Mix- $\beta$ in the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation to prepare the chiral diol 2c. Final coupling of the amino alcohol $2 f$ with cinnamic acid under the earlier used DCC/DMAP conditions furnished the enantiomer 2 of syncarpamide 1.





Scheme 3. Synthesis of the enantiomer of syncarpamide 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) $n$ $\mathrm{BuLi}, \mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}^{+} \mathrm{MeBr}^{-}$, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $4 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) AD-mix- $\beta$, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, 12 h, $96 \%$; (c) TsCl, Py, DCM, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, TBAI, DMF, $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 60 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 4 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 98 \%$; (f) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 75 \%$.

### 2.1.4.4. Synthesis of oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (3 and 4)

We then focused on synthesizing oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (i.e., where nitrogen in syncarpamide is replaced by oxygen). Chiral diols $\mathbf{1 c}$ and 2c were obtained by the Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of 3,4-dimethoxystyrene 1b with AD-Mix- $\alpha$ and AD-Mix- $\beta$, respectively which were subjected directly to the coupling reaction with cinnamic acid under DCC and DMAP conditions to furnish the oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (3 and 4) (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Synthesis of oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (3 and 4). Reagents and conditions: (a) AD-mix- $\alpha, t$-BuOH: $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 94 \%$; (b) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, $12 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{rt}, 98 \%$; (c) AD-mix- $\beta, t-\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 96 \%$; (d) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, 12 h, rt, $98 \%$.

### 2.1.4.5. Synthesis of analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide using various substituted aromatic aldehydes (5-38)

Following the strategy mentioned above, several analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (5-38) were synthesized by varying different substituents on aromatic aldehyde. Different substituted aromatic aldehydes were reacted with one-carbon Wittig salt, methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide under strongly basic conditions ( ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ or NaH or
$\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ ) to furnish the corresponding styrenes $\mathbf{b}$. ${ }^{14}$ Substituted styrenes $\mathbf{b}$ on Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation ${ }^{15}$ with AD-mix- $\alpha$ or AD-mix- $\beta$ afforded the $S$ - or $R$-diols $\mathbf{c},{ }^{16}$ respectively with high enantiomeric excess (ee). Substituted chiral diols $\mathbf{c}$ on reaction with cinnamic acid under DCC, DMAP condition furnished the desired oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (Scheme 5) with high enantiomeric excess (ee) as determined by chiral HPLC analysis.
Monotosylation of the substituted chiral diols $\mathbf{c}$ with tosyl chloride furnished monotosylated compounds $\mathbf{d} .{ }^{17}$ Monotosylated compounds $\mathbf{d}$ when treated with $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$ in DMF at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ furnished 1,2-azido alcohols. ${ }^{18}$ Subsequent reduction of azido alcohols with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ furnished the desired 1,2-amino alcohols e. ${ }^{19}$ 1,2-Amino alcohols $\mathbf{e}$ were treated with cinnamic acid under DCC, DMAP condition to furnish the desired analogs of syncarpamide (Scheme 5) with high enantiomeric excess (ee) as confirmed by chiral HPLC analysis. All the analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide synthesized using various substituted aromatic aldehydes are depicted in Table 1.


Scheme 5. Synthesis of analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ by using various substituted aldehydes. Reagents and conditions: (a) Methyltriphenylphophonium bromide, base ( ${ }^{n} \mathrm{BuLi}$ or NaH or $\mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ ), THF, $85-99 \%$; (b) AD-mix- $\alpha$ (or) AD-mix- $\beta$, $t$ - $\mathrm{BuOH}: \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (1:1), $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 80-98 \%$; (c) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 12-16 h, 75-98\%; (d) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Py}, \mathrm{DCM}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}, 75-90 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3},{ }^{n} \mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{NI}$, DMF, $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-4 \mathrm{~h}, 80-95 \%$; (f)
$\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (g) Cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 12-16 h, 75-98\%.

Table 1. Analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide synthesized using various substituted aromatic aldehydes (5-38).


| Analogs |  | Oxy-analogues |  | R-Groups |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$ - | $R$ - | $S$ - | $R$ - | $\mathrm{R}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{R}_{5}$ |
| Config. | Config. | Config. | Config. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | H | OMe | OMe | OMe | H |
| 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | OMe | H | H | H | H |
| 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | H | OMe | H | H | H |
| 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | H | H | OMe | H | H |
| 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | OMe | OMe | H | H | H |
| 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | H | H | H | H | H |
| 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | H | H | Me | H | H |
| 33 | 34 | 35 | 36 | H | -OC | ${ }_{2} \mathrm{O}-$ | H | H |
| n.s. | n.s. | 37 | 38 | -OC | 2 O | H | H | H |

n.s.: Not synthesized
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Figure 3. Structures of analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide (5-38).

### 2.1.4.6. Synthesis of analogs of syncarpamide using various carboxylic acid chains

## 48)

A second variation in the analog synthesis of syncarpamide 1 was thought of varying different aliphatic or aromatic carboxylic acid chains (Scheme 6, Table-2). Amino alcohol 1f $((S)$-configuration) or $\mathbf{2 f}((R)$-configuration) synthesized from 3,4-dimethoxy benzaldehyde was coupled with aliphatic or aromatic carboxylic acid under DCC and DMAP condition to yield analogs 39-48. Table-2 depicts the synthesized analogs of syncarpamide using various aliphatic or aromatic carboxylic acids.


Scheme 6. Synthesis of analogs of syncarpamide using various carboxylic acids

Table 2. Analogs of syncarpamide synthesized using various carboxylic acids (39-48).

n.s.: not synthesized
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Figure 4. Structures of analogs (39-48)

### 2.1.4.7. Synthesis of analogs of syncarpamide using various carboxylic acid chains (49-

 52) (where the ester and amide side chains are different)In the third variation, we thought of synthesizing analogs of syncarpamide where the ester and amide side chains are different as shown in Scheme 7. Azido alcohol 1e was coupled with aliphatic or aromatic carboxylic acid to furnish $\mathbf{1 g}$, which on reduction with either $10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ or $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ furnished compound $\mathbf{1 h}$. Compound $\mathbf{1 h}$ was further coupled with various aliphatic or aromatic acids to furnish the desired analogs 49-52 (Table 3).


Scheme 7. Synthesis of analogs of syncarpamide using various carboxylic acids. Reagents and conditions: (i) Carboxylic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 12-16 h, 74-80\%; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \%$ $\mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}$ (or) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}, \mathrm{rt}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 90-95 \%$; (iii) Carboxylic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 12-16 h, 70-88\%.

Table 3. Analogs of syncarpamide synthesized using various carboxylic acids (49-52).

| Analogue | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $S$-Configuration |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |
| 50 |  |  |
| 51 |  |  |
| 52 |  |  |



Figure 5. Structures of analogs (49-52).

### 2.1.4.8. Synthesis of other analogs of syncarpamide (53-58)

Following the strategy mentioned above, some other interesting analogs were synthesized (53-57). Analogs 53 and 54 were synthesized starting from 2-naphthaldehyde. Further, some more interesting analogs (55-57) have also been synthesized (Figure 6).


53


54


Figure 6. Further synthesized analogs of syncarpamide, 53-57.

## Synthesis of regioisomer of syncarpamide (58)

To study the structure-activity relationship (SAR), we thought of synthesizing a regioisomer 58 of syncarpamide where positions of nitrogen and oxygen atoms in syncarpamide are interchanged. Synthesis of regioisomer $\mathbf{5 8}$ started from 3,4-dimethoxy benzaldehyde 1b. Sharpless amino hydroxylation ${ }^{20}$ of $\mathbf{1 b}$ furnished compound $\mathbf{1 i}$, which on Cbz deprotection with $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}$ furnished the desired amino alcohol $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$. Final coupling of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{j}$ with cinnamic acid under the DCC/DMAP conditions furnished the regioisomer 58 of syncarpamide (Scheme 8).


Scheme 8. Synthesis of regioisomer of syncarpamide via Sharpless aminohydroxylation strategy. Reagents and conditions: (i) Benzyl carbamate, $\mathrm{NaOH}, \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{OsO}_{4} .2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (DHQ) ${ }_{2} \mathrm{PHAL}, t-\mathrm{BuOCl}, n$-PrOH, $75 \%$; (ii) $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{MeOH}, \mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}, 99 \%$; (iii) cinnamic acid, DCC, DMAP, DCM, rt, 12-16 h, $60 \%$.

### 2.1.5. BIOLOGICAL STUDIES

### 2.1.5.1. In vitro antiplasmodial activities

Several analogs of syncarpamide were synthesized by varying substituents on the aromatic ring of syncarpamide by changing the stereocenter at C7 carbon and by varying different acid/amide side chains. All these synthesized analogs were assayed for antiplasmodial activity against $P$. falciparum chloroquine sensitive (CQ) strain 3D7 and chloroquine
resistant strain K1 with chloroquine (CQ) as a reference drug. ${ }^{21,22}$ Also, the effect of regioisomer 58 of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ on antiplasmodial activity was studied. Cytotoxicity of all the synthesized molecules was carried out using Vero cell line (C1008; Monkey kidney fibroblast). ${ }^{23}$

### 2.1.5.1.1. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of syncarpamide 1 , analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide with different substituents on aromatic ring (2-38)

Syncarpamide 1 having $S$-configuration at C 7 carbon showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3.90 and 2.56 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K1 strains of P. falciparum, respectively and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $80.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Vero cell line ( C 1008 ; Monkey kidney fibroblast) whereas enantiomer of syncarpamide, i.e. $\mathbf{2}$ having $R$-configuration at C 7 carbon was found to be less active than $\mathbf{1}$ with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values $>10.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains of $P$. falciparum, respectively and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $66.67 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Vero cell line (Table 4). The oxy-analogs of syncarpamide, i.e. $(S)-\mathbf{3}$ and ( $R$ )-4 also showed less antiplasmodial activities than syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$. Compound $(R)-4$ showed cytotoxicity with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $5.84 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Vero cell line.


Trimethoxyl substituted analog of syncarpamide $(S)-\mathbf{5}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.69 and 3.64 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains of P. falciparum, respectively with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of 66.74 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. Its enantiomer, $(R)-6$ was less active and showed cytotoxicity with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of 5.08 $\mu \mathrm{M}$. Corresponding oxy-analog $(S)-7$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3.37 and $3.47 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains, respectively and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $6.44 \mu \mathrm{M}$ which indicates its cytotoxic nature.


Table 4. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of syncarpamide 1, analogs and oxy-analogs of syncarpamide with different substituents on aromatic ring (2-38).

| Analogs | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \text { (mean } \pm \mathrm{sd} \text { ) against } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ & (\text { mean } \pm \mathrm{sd}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) \\ \text { against } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3D7 } \\ \text { P. falciparum } \end{gathered}$ | K1 <br> P. falciparum | Vero cell line | 3D7 <br> P. falciparum |
| 1 | $3.90 \pm 0.4$ | $2.56 \pm 0.24$ | $80.66 \pm 2.35$ | 20.68 |
| 2 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $66.67 \pm 4.56$ | $<6.68$ |
| 3 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $24.29 \pm 1.45$ | $<2.4$ |
| 4 | > 10.0 | >10.0 | $5.87 \pm 0.56$ | nd |
| 5 | $6.69 \pm 0.31$ | $3.64 \pm 0.64$ | $66.74 \pm 4.32$ | 9.98 |
| 6 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $5.08 \pm 0.4$ | nd |
| 7 | $3.37 \pm 26$ | $3.47 \pm 0.33$ | $6.44 \pm 0.86$ | 1.91 |
| 8 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $121.81 \pm 10.2$ | 12.18 |
| 9 | $7.69 \pm 0.35$ | >10.0 | $77.81 \pm 8.21$ | 10.12 |
| 10 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $117.47 \pm 9.33$ | 11.75 |
| 11 | $3.8 \pm 0.6$ | $3.23 \pm 0.43$ | $14.04 \pm 2.2$ | 3.69 |
| 12 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $51.69 \pm 4.3$ | 5.1 |
| 13 | $6.39 \pm 0.09$ | $4.27 \pm 0.36$ | $147.72 \pm 8.55$ | 23.12 |
| 14 | >10 | >10 | $62.73 \pm 4.7$ | $<6.27$ |
| 15 | >10 | >10 | $61.89 \pm 5.4$ | $<6.19$ |
| 16 | >10 | >10 | $22.31 \pm 1.2$ | <2.23 |
| 17 | $6.82 \pm 0.37$ | $7.26 \pm 0.14$ | $153.0 \pm 11.23$ | 22.43 |
| 18 | >10 | >10 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 19 | >10 | >10 | $33.49 \pm 4.6$ | $<3.35$ |
| 20 | $>10$ | $>10$ | $23.27 \pm 3.2$ | $<2.33$ |
| 21 | $6.41 \pm 0.70$ | $2.71 \pm 0.30$ | >200 | >31.20 |
| 22 | >10 | >10 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 23 | $5.79 \pm 0.36$ | >10 | $28.43 \pm 3.2$ | 4.91 |
| 24 | >10 | >10 | $82.74 \pm 6.5$ | $<8.27$ |
| 25 | $3.85 \pm 0.54$ | >10.0 | $31.69 \pm 4.11$ | 8.23 |


| 26 | $4.72 \pm 0.68$ | >10.0 | $63.88 \pm 5.36$ | 13.53 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | >10 | >10 | $39.15 \pm 6.33$ | $<3.92$ |
| 28 | >10 | >10 | $30.33 \pm 3.23$ | $<3.03$ |
| 29 | $6.77 \pm 0.56$ | >10.0 | $140.67 \pm 5.32$ | 20.78 |
| 30 | $6.97 \pm 1.1$ | >10.0 | $49.36 \pm 4.3$ | 7.08 |
| 31 | >10 | >10 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 32 | >10 | >10 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 33 | $5.99 \pm 0.18$ | $3.99 \pm 1.04$ | $189.61 \pm 21$ | 31.65 |
| 34 | >10 | >10 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 35 | $5.95 \pm 0.23$ | >10 | $31.79 \pm 3.5$ | 5.34 |
| 36 | >10 | >10 | $56.64 \pm 5.5$ | <5.66 |
| 37 | >10.0 | >10.0 | >200 | $\sim 20$ |
| 38 | $7.42 \pm 1.5$ | >10.0 | >200 | >26.95 |
| CQ | $0.005 \pm 0.0$ | $0.25 \pm 0.020$ | $75 \pm 15$ | 15000 |
| Podophyllotoxin | nd | nd | $5.74 \pm 0.65$ | - |

sd values for $>10.0$ were ' 0 '; nd = not done; sd = standard deviation; SI = selectivity index.
Compounds (9-20) are mono methoxyl substituted analogs of syncarpamide. The oxy-analog (S)-11 having methoxy group at the C 2 position of aromatic ring showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3.80 (3D7), $3.23 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{K} 1)$ with cytotoxicity value ( $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ ) of $14.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{- 1 3}$ having methoxy group at C 3 position showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.39 and $4.27 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains, respectively and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $147.72 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound ( $S$ )-17 with a methoxy group at C 4 position exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.82 (3D7) and $7.26 \mu \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{K} 1)$ with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $153.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The analogs $(S) \mathbf{- 1 3}$ and $(S) \mathbf{- 1 7}$ could be considered as one methoxyl deficient parent molecule syncarpamide and hence their antiplasmodial activities may be attributed due to the presence of one methoxy group either in C 3 or C 4 positions whereas parent molecule syncarpamide contains two methoxy groups in C 3 and C 4 positions. Compounds $\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 2}, \mathbf{1 4}, \mathbf{1 5}, \mathbf{1 6}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{1 9}$ and $\mathbf{2 0}$ were found to possess less antiplasmodial activities.


2,3-Dimethoxy substituted analog of syncarpamide compound $(S) \mathbf{- 2 1}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 6.41 and $2.71 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains, respectively with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value $>200 \mu \mathrm{M} .3,4-$ (Methylenedioxy) substituted analog ( $S$ ) - $\mathbf{3 3}$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 5.99 (3D7) and $3.99 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (K1) with a $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $189.61 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The antiplasmodial activity of $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 3}$ may be due to its structural similarity with parent compound syncarpamide, which has two methoxy groups in C3 and C4 positions. Compounds $(S)-\mathbf{2 3},(S)-\mathbf{2 5},(R)-\mathbf{2 6},(S)-\mathbf{2 9},(R)-\mathbf{3 0},(S)-\mathbf{3 5}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{3 8}$ showed selective antiplasmodial activities against 3D7 strain only and showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $5.79,3.85,4.72,6.77,6.97,5.95$ and $7.42 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively with cytotoxicity $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right)$ values of $28.43,31.69,63.88,140.67,49.36,31.79$, $>200 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively.


It was observed that analogs $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{6}, 7$ and 11 showed high cytotoxicities with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of 5.87, 5.08, 6.44 and $14.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Table 4). Analog 6 exhibited more cytotoxicity than the standard drug, podophyllotoxin and analogs 4 and 7 showed comparable cytotoxicities to podophyllotoxin.


### 2.1.5.1.2. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of analogs of syncarpamide with different acid chains (39-52)

Analogs 39-52 were synthesized by varying the two side chains of syncarpamide. Analogs (S)-39 and (R)-40 contain benzoyl groups in the side chains instead of cinnamoyl groups and
were found to exhibit selective activity against 3 D 7 strain with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $6.67,3.66 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of 38.94 , and $103.76 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively (Table 5).
Analogs $(S)$ - $\mathbf{4 1}$ and $(R)-42$ were synthesized using 3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamic acid. When the side chains with cinnamoyl groups in syncarpamide were replaced with 3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamoyl groups, an increase in antiplasmodial activities was observed against both the strains of $P$. falciparum. Compound (S)-41 showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 3.16 and $1.78 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K1 strains of $P$. falciparum with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $87.98 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against Vero cell line. Compound $(R)-42$ showed $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 2.28 and $2.07 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $88.94 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The better activity shown by the analogs $(S)-\mathbf{4 1}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{4 2}$ could be attributed to the increase in electron-density in the side chain of both the ester as well as amide groups when compared to parent compound syncarpamide.


41


42

Compounds ( $S$ )-43 and its enantiomer, $(R)-\mathbf{4 4}$ with dihydro cinnamoyl groups in both side chains were also exhibiting selective antiplasmodial activity against 3D7 strain with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $2.86,5.86 \mu \mathrm{M}$ with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of 39.34 , and $73.19 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Compounds (S)-46 and (S)-48 exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 8.49 and $7.92 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and 4.56 and 3.37 $\mu \mathrm{M}$ against K1, respectively. (S)-46 and (S)-48 showed $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of 58.91 and $40.79 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The activities observed in $(S)-46$ and $(S)-48$ may be due to the possibility of resonance in the aromatic ring of side chains with OTBS groups present in $o$ and $p$ positions, respectively. However, compound ( $S$ )-47 lacked the corresponding activity due to the presence of OTBS group at the $m$-position on the aromatic ring of the side chains.


Table 5. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of analogs of syncarpamide with different acid chains (39-52).

| Analogs | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \text { (mean } \pm \mathrm{sd} \text { ) against } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ (mean $\pm \mathrm{sd}$ ) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) \\ \text { against } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3D7 <br> P. falciparum | K1 <br> P. falciparum | Vero cell line | 3D7 <br> P. falciparum |
| 39 | $6.67 \pm 1.2$ | >10.0 | $38.94 \pm 5.56$ | 5.83 |
| 40 | $3.66 \pm 0.5$ | >10.0 | $103.76 \pm 10.22$ | 28.35 |
| 41 | $3.16 \pm 0.2$ | $1.78 \pm 0.22$ | $87.98 \pm 7.14$ | 27.84 |
| 42 | $2.28 \pm 0.39$ | $2.07 \pm 0.34$ | $88.94 \pm 5.75$ | 39.01 |
| 43 | $2.86 \pm 0.53$ | >10.0 | $39.34 \pm 3.55$ | 13.76 |
| 44 | $5.86 \pm 0.8$ | >10.0 | $73.19 \pm 6.21$ | 12.49 |
| 45 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $51.84 \pm 3.4$ | <5.18 |
| 46 | $8.49 \pm 0.8$ | $4.56 \pm 0.81$ | $58.91 \pm 5.5$ | 6.94 |
| 47 | >10.0 | $7.52 \pm 0.63$ | $52.62 \pm 2.58$ | <5.26 |
| 48 | $7.92 \pm 0.75$ | $3.37 \pm 0.4$ | $40.79 \pm 3.56$ | 5.15 |
| 49 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $36.9 \pm 2.1$ | <3.69 |
| 50 | >10.0 | > 10.0 | $44.29 \pm 4.35$ | <4.43 |
| 51 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $42.43 \pm 3.45$ | <4.24 |
| 52 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $29.89 \pm 2.88$ | <2.98 |
| CQ | $0.005 \pm 0.0$ | $0.25 \pm 0.020$ | $75 \pm 15$ | 15000 |
| Podophyllotoxin | nd | nd | $5.74 \pm 0.65$ | - |

sd values for > 10.0 were ' 0 '; nd = not done; sd = standard deviation; SI = selectivity index.
The remaining compounds $(S)-\mathbf{4 5},(S)-\mathbf{4 9},(S)-\mathbf{5 0},(S)-\mathbf{5 1}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{5 2}$ did not show any in vitro antiplasmodial activities against any of the strains due to the complete lack of aromaticity in the side chains of $(S)-45$ and partial lack of aromaticity in the side chains of $(S)$-51 and $(S)$ 52. Effect of partial resonance on the antiplasmodial activity could be observed in the compounds ( $S$ )-49 and ( $S$ )-50 as these two compounds possessed cinnamoyl group in the amide and ester side chains, respectively (Table 5).

### 2.1.5.1.3. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of other analogs of syncarpamide (53-58)

$(S)-53$ and $(R)-\mathbf{5 4}$ are analogs of syncarpamide having naphthalene ring in place of the benzene ring. Only $(R)-\mathbf{5 4}$ showed antiplasmodial activity with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of $2.15 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against K1 strain and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $180.94 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (Table-6).

The two oxy-analogs $(S)-55$ and ( $S$ )-56 contain trimethoxy benzoyl groups in both the side chains and showed good activity against both the strains. Compounds ( $S$ )-55 and ( $S$ )-56 are highly electron-rich due to the presence of methoxyl groups on the aromatic rings of side chains and also on the aromatic ring of the core structure. Compound $(S)-55$ showed the $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 2.34 against 3D7 and $2.62 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against K 1 having $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $55.70 \mu \mathrm{M}$ whereas compound ( $S$ )-56 exhibited an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 6.29 against 3 D 7 and $2.23 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against K 1 and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $47.38 \mu \mathrm{M}$.

Table 6. In vitro antiplasmodial activity of other analogs of syncarpamide (53-58).

| Analogs | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ (\text { mean } \pm \mathrm{sd}) \text { against } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ & (\text { mean } \pm \mathrm{sd}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{SI}\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50} / \mathrm{IC}_{50}\right) \\ \text { against } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3D7 <br> P. falciparum | K1 <br> P. falciparum | Vero cell line | 3D7 <br> P. falciparum |
| 53 | >10.0 | >10.0 | >200 | ~20 |
| 54 | >10.0 | $2.15 \pm 0.22$ | $180.94 \pm 21.33$ | $<18.09$ |
| 55 | $2.34 \pm 0.4$ | $2.62 \pm 0.42$ | $55.70 \pm 4.8$ | 23.80 |
| 56 | $6.29 \pm 1.3$ | $2.23 \pm 0.23$ | $47.38 \pm 2.31$ | 7.53 |
| 57 | $1.89 \pm 0.26$ | $1.93 \pm 0.2$ | $42.68 \pm 4.4$ | 22.58 |
| 58 | >10.0 | >10.0 | $55.59 \pm 5.36$ | <5.56 |
| CQ | $0.005 \pm 0.0$ | $0.25 \pm 0.020$ | $75 \pm 15$ | 15000 |
| Podophyllotoxin | nd | nd | $5.74 \pm 0.65$ | - |

Similarly, compound ( $S$ )-57 showed good antiplasmodial activity with an $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value of 1.89 and $1.93 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 and K 1 strains of P. falciparum, respectively and $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ value of $42.68 \mu \mathrm{M}$. Compound $(S)$ - 57 is highly electron-rich due to the presence of three methoxy groups each on the core structure (aromatic ring) and also on the aromatic rings of the two side chains. Interestingly, compound ( $S$ )-58 which is a regioisomer of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ was found to be inactive against both the strains (3D7 and K1) of P. falciparum (Table 6). This
explains the role of oxygen at C-7 and nitrogen at C-8 positions in the syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ on antiplasmodial activity.


### 2.1.5.2. In vivo antimalarial assay of selected compounds (1, 41, 42, 55 and 57)

Five compounds, syncarpamide 1, $(S)-41,(R)-\mathbf{4 2},(S)-55$ and $(S)-57$ which showed high in vitro antiplasmodial activities against both the strains (3D7 and K1) of P. falciparum were selected for their in vivo antimalarial assay against chloroquine-resistant $P$. yoelii (N-67) strain of Plasmodium. ${ }^{24}$ The results are depicted in Table 7.

Table 7. In vivo antimalarial activity of selected compounds (1, 41, 42, 55 and 57).

| Compound | \% Parasitaemia on day 4 in 5 individual mice <br> Mice-2 |  |  |  | Mice-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Unfortunately, none of the five molecules, 1, (S)-41, (R)-42, (S)-55 and (S)-57 showed any promising in vivo antimalarial activity against $P$. yoelii (N-67) strain. There was no significant difference in percent parasite survival on day 4 in respect to untreated mice, however in mice treated with arteether no parasite survived on day 4.

### 2.1.6. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the first asymmetric synthesis of the antiplasmodial compound, syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ and its enantiomer, $(R) \mathbf{- 2}$ has been achieved using Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of 3,4-dimethoxy styrene. A library of 56 analogs (3-58) of syncarpamide has been synthesized by taking different substituted aromatic aldehydes, by changing the stereocenter at the C-7 carbon and also by varying different ester and amide side chains. Regioisomer (S)-58 of syncarpamide was synthesized using Sharpless amino hydroxylation of 3,4dimethoxy styrene but was found to be inactive. Analogs $(S)-\mathbf{4 1},(R)-\mathbf{4 2},(S)-55$, and $(S)-57$ having 3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamoyl groups as side chains showed better antiplasmodial activity against both the strains (3D7 and K1) than the natural product, syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$. In case of enantiomers $(S)-\mathbf{4 1}$ and $(R)-\mathbf{4 2}$, the stereochemistry at $\mathrm{C}-7$ was not a major contributing factor towards antiplasmodial activities since both were found to possess better antiplasmodial activity against both the strains (3D7 and K1) than the natural product, syncarpamide 1. The electron density and possibility of resonance in both ester and amide side chains increases the in vitro antiplasmodial activity. However, four synthesized compounds (4, 6,7 and 11) showed high cytotoxicities with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of 5.87, 5.08, 6.44 and $14.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Further, five compounds, syncarpamide 1, $(S)-\mathbf{4 1},(R)-\mathbf{4 2}$, (S)55 and ( $S$ )-57 were assayed in vivo assay against chloroquine-resistant $P$. yoelii ( $\mathrm{N}-67$ ) strain of Plasmodium. However, none of the five molecules exhibited any promising in vivo antimalarial activity against $P$. yoelii (N-67) strain. The high order of in vitro antiplasmodial activities exhibited by compounds $(S)-\mathbf{4 1},(R)-\mathbf{4 2},(S)-\mathbf{5 5},(S)-\mathbf{5 6}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{5 7}$ qualifies these compounds as candidates for further development as new antimalarial agents.

### 2.1.7. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## General procedure for the synthesis of substituted styrenes

To a stirred suspension of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide in THF, base (inorganic base like $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{NaNH}_{2}$ or organic base like $n-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ) was added under argon at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and stirred for 30 min . After the mixture was cooled again to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, aromatic aldehyde in THF was added dropwise and stirred for 12 h . The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and residue was dissolved in water, and the aqueous layer was extracted with DCM ( 3 X 35 mL ). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, concentrated and purified by
silica gel (100-200 mesh) column chromatography in petroleum ether: ethyl acetate (95:5) to afford pure styrene (85-99\% yield).

## General procedure for dihydroxylation of styrenes

A round-bottomed flask, equipped with a magnetic stirrer, was charged with 5 mL of tertbutyl alcohol, 5 mL of water, and 1.4 g of AD-mix- $\alpha$ or AD-mix $-\beta$. Stirring at rt produced two clear phases; the lower aqueous phase appears bright yellow. The mixture was cooled to $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ after that some of the dissolved salts precipitated. One mmol of olefin was added at once, and the heterogeneous slurry was stirred vigorously at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-24 \mathrm{~h}$ (progress was monitored by TLC). While the mixture was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, anhydrous sodium sulfite ( 1.5 g ) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to rt and further stirred for $30-60 \mathrm{~min}$. EtOAc ( 10 mL ) was added to the reaction mixture, and after separation of the layers, the aqueous phase was further extracted with $\mathrm{EtOAc}(3 \mathrm{X} 5 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to afford the diol and the ligand. This crude reaction mixture was purified by silica gel column chromatography (100-200 mesh) eluting with EtOAc/petroleum ether (1:1) to afford the pure 1,2-diol in $80-98 \%$ yield.

## General procedure for monotosylation of 1,2-diol

To a stirred solution of diol ( 1.0 equiv.) in DCM ( 10 mL ) was added pyridine ( 10.0 equiv.) at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ followed by $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( 2.0 equiv.) and the reaction mixture was stirred for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was treated with $10 \% \mathrm{HCl}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and extracted with EtOAc ( 3 X 20 mL ). The combined organic layers were pooled and washed with water $(2 \mathrm{X} 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine $(1 \mathrm{X} 20 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and concentrated. The concentrate was purified by silica gel chromatography (100-200 mesh) using EtOAc/petroleum ether (2:3) to furnish the desired monotosylated product ( $75-90 \%$ yield).

## General procedure for preparation of 1,2-azido alcohol

A solution of monotosylate ( 1.0 equiv.), tetrabutylammonium iodide ( 0.05 equiv.) and sodium azide ( 3.0 equiv.) in DMF was heated at $80-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $3-4 \mathrm{~h}$. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and water ( 5 mL ) was added. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate ( 3 X 35 mL ). The combined organic layers were washed with brine ( 2 X 20 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, filtered, and
concentrated. The concentrate was purified by silica gel column chromatography (100-200 mesh) using EtOAc/petroleum ether (3:7) to afford the desired product in $80-95 \%$ yield.

## General procedure for the preparation of 1,2-amino alcohol

1,2-Azido alcohol was dissolved in anhydrous MeOH , and $10 \%$ palladium on carbon ( $10 \%$ w/w) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under a hydrogen atmosphere until completion as determined by TLC. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite (prewashed with MeOH ), and the solvent was removed in vacuo, which gave the crude compound that was used in the next step without further purification.

## General procedure for coupling with DCC/DMAP

To a stirred solution of 1,2-diol/1,2-amino alcohol ( 1 mmol ) in dry DCM ( 5 mL ), corresponding acid ( 2.5 mmol ), DCC ( 2.5 mmol ) and DMAP ( 4.0 mmol ) were added and stirred at rt for 12 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered to remove insoluble DCU, and the filtrate was washed with water ( 10 mL ), brine ( 10 mL ), dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated to furnish a crude residue. The crude residue was purified by silica gel column chromatography (100-200 mesh) using $\mathrm{EtOAc} /$ petroleum ether ( $1: 1$ ) to afford the final compound in $75-80 \%$ yield.

In vitro antiplasmodial activity assay against chloroquine-sensitive (3D7) and resistant (K1) strains of $\boldsymbol{P}$. falciparum
Cultures of chloroquine-sensitive (3D7) and resistant (K1) strains of P. falciparum were maintained in medium RPNI supplemented with 25 mM HEPES, $0.2 \%$ D-glucose, $0.21 \%$ sodium bicarbonate and $0.5 \%$ ALBUMAX- $\mathrm{II}^{21}$ The stock ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ or 10 mM ) solutions of compounds were prepared in DMSO and required dilutions were made in culture medium. For evaluation of $50 \%$ inhibitory concentration $\left(\mathrm{IC}_{50}\right)$ of the compounds, Malaria SYBR Green I-based fluorescence (MSF) assay was carried out. ${ }^{22}$ Two-fold serial dilutions of test compounds were made in 96 well plates and incubated with $1.0 \%$ parasitized cell suspension containing $0.8 \%$ parasitaemia (Asynchronous culture with more than $80 \%$ ring stages). The plates were incubated at $37{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator in an atmosphere of $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and air mixture. 72 hours later $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of lysis buffer containing 2 x concentration of SYBR Green-I (Invitrogen) was added to each well and incubated for one hour at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The plates were examined at $485 \pm 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ of excitation and $530 \pm 20 \mathrm{~nm}$ of emission for relative fluorescence units (RFUs) per well using the fluorescence plate reader (FLX800, BIOTEK). $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values
were obtained by Logit regression analysis of dose-response curves. ${ }^{22}$ Chloroquine was used as the standard reference drug.

Cytotoxicity of the samples was carried out using Vero cell line (C1008; Monkey kidney fibroblast). ${ }^{23}$ The cells were incubated with test sample dilutions for 72 h and MTT was used as a reagent for detection of cytotoxicity. Podophyllotoxin (Sigma) was used as the standard reference drug. $50 \%$ cytotoxic concentration $\left(\mathrm{CC}_{50}\right)$ was determined using non-linear regression analysis of dose-response curves.

## In vivo assay against chloroquine-resistant $P$. yoelii (N-67)

Five molecules syncarpamide 1, $(S)-\mathbf{4 1},(R)-\mathbf{4 2},(S)-\mathbf{5 5}$ and $(S)-\mathbf{5 7}$ were evaluated in vivo against chloroquine-resistant $P$. yoelii (N-67)-Swiss mice model. ${ }^{24}$ The molecules were tested at $100 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ dose through intraperitoneal route. The mice received infective inoculum containing $1 \times 10^{5}$ parasites on day 0 and then received four doses of the test compound on day 0-3 once daily. Five infected mice were used for each molecule, and five infected mice were kept as untreated control. Arteether ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, x4, intramuscular) was used as positive control. Thin blood smear from the tail blood of each mouse was prepared on day 4. The blood smears were fixed in methanol, stained with Giemsa's stain and examined under the light microscope ( 100 X oil immersion). At least $10-15$ fields per smear were scanned to obtain percent parasitaemia in treated as well as untreated mice. If significant suppression in percent parasitaemia is observed on day 4, the monitoring continues up to day 28 .

## Compounds characterization data for final molecules 1-58

(S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (1):


Colorless solid; m.p.: $132-135{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.34$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+41.5(c$ 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3364, 3301, 3068, 3017, 2932, 2846, 1710, 1664, 1627, 1516, 1455, 1336, 1258, 1217, 1163, 1030, 984, 861, $758,672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(400 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.29$ $(\mathrm{m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.85(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.07$ (brs, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right): \delta 166.5,165.9,149.2,149.1,145.8,141.5$, $134.7,134.1,130.5,130.2,129.7,128.9,128.7,128.1,127.8,120.3,119.0,117.6,111.2$, 109.8, 74.7, 55.9, 55.9, 44.6; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 479.95(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 480.1781, found 480.1772; HPLC: ee $99.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA $(0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}), 30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=17.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (2):



Colorless solid; m.p.: $123-125{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.34$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-42.0(c$ $1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3364, 3301, 3068, 3017, 2932, 2846, 1710, 1664, 1627, 1516, 1455, 1336, 1258, 1217, 1163, 1030, 984, 861, $758,672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~} \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.80-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.57-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.29 (m, 6H), 7.06$6.82(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15-5.94(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.90$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.80\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapped); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5$, $165.9,149.2,149.1,145.8,141.5,134.7$, 134.1, 130.5, 130.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.7, 128.1,
127.8, 120.3,119.0, 117.6, 111.2, 109.8, 74.7, 55.9, 55.9, 44.6; ESI-LCMS: m/z 480.25 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 480.1781, found 480.1770; HPLC: ee $96.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=21.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (3)



Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+29.4$ (c 0.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3021,2961,2938,1713,1637,1579,1519,1451,1422,1330,1310$, 1256, 1216, 1161, 1071, 1028, 863, 756, 711, $684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \delta$ 7.82-7.65 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 7.59-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.31 (m, 6H), 7.09$6.84(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), $6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.67-4.42 (m, 2H), $3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.1$, $149.3,149.1,145.5,145.5,134.2,130.4,129.1,128.9,128.1,119.4,117.7,117.5,73.4$, 66.1, 56.0, 55.9; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 481.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 481.1622, found 481.1626; HPLC: ee 97.4\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.0$ min].
(R)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (4)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}-26.9$ (c 0.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3364, 3020, 1712, 1664, 1637, 1518, 1464, 1450, 1421, 1388, 1329, 1310, 1216, 1161, 1027, 988, 928, 864, 771, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.82$ $7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.31 (m, 6H), 7.09-6.83 (m, $3 \mathrm{H})$, 6.59-6.37 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 6.18 (dd, $J=8.2,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.67-4.42$
(m, 2H), $3.91(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\left.50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.1,149.3$, $149.1,145.6,145.6,134.3,134.3,130.5,128.9,128.2,119.4,117.8,117.5,111.2,73.5$, 66.2, 56.0, 56.0; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 481.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 481.1622, found 481.1626; HPLC: ee $97.9 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=22.5$ min].

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (5)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $126-128^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.37($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+39(c 1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3165, 3018, 1711, 1664, 1633, 1594, 1509, 1463, 1422, 1330, 1216, 1164, 1130, 770, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$ or $\{3.87(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped) $\}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6$, $166.0,153.4,149.2,149.1,145.8,141.5,134.7,134.1,130.5,130.2,129.7,128.9,128.8$, $128.2,127.8,120.3,119.0,117.5,111.1,109.8,103.5,74.7,60.8,55.9,55.9,44.6$; ESILCMS: $m / z$ 510.26(M+Na) ${ }^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 510.1887, found 510.1876; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
( $R$ )-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (6)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.37$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}-39(c 1$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3361, 3165, 3018, 1711, 1664, 1633, 1594, 1509, 1463, 1422, 1330, $\left.1216,1164,1130,770,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$,
$7.65(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.44-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.65(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.10-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$ or $\{3.87(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H ), $3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped) $\}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6$, 166.0, 153.4, 149.2, 149.1, 145.8, 141.5, 134.7, 134.1, 130.5, 130.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.8, 120.3, 119.0, 117.5, 111.1,109.8, 103.5, 74.7, 60.8, 55.9, 55.9, 44.6; ESILCMS: $m / z 510.08(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 510.1887, found 510.1873; HPLC: ee $98.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=21.6 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (7)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+23\left(\mathrm{c} 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3019, 2956, 2921, 1713, 1636, 1593, 1503, 1458, 1377, 1311, 1213, 1158, $1129,982,751,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79-7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.57-7.48 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.34 (m, 6H), $6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 3.84 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,160.0,153.4,145.8,145.6,138.1$, 134.2, 132.2, 130.5, 130.5, 128.9, 128.1, 117.5, 117.4, 103.8, 73.7, 66.2, 60.8, 56.2; ESILCMS: $m / z 511.04(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 511.1727, found 511.1736; HPLC: ee 98.6\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), 30\% EtOH in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.7 \mathrm{~min}$ ].
(R)-1-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (8)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}-22.4$ (c 0.5, $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3360, 3164, 3020, 2935, 2848, 1713, 1635, 1603, 1509, 1458, 1419,

1319, 1216, 1164, 866, $762,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} \mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.78-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.57-7.48 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.35 (m, 6H), $6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.54(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0,153.4,145.8,145.6,138.2,134.2,132.2,130.5,130.5,128.9,128.2$, 128.1, 117.5, 117.4, 103.8, 73.7, 66.2, 60.8, 56.2; ESI-LCMS: m/z $511.06(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 511.1727, found 511.1733; HPLC: ee 97.9\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=28.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (9)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+31.5(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3369, 3016, 2925, 2856, 2404, 1710, 1666, 1629, 1526, 1455, 1323, 1215, 1170, 1040, 982, 859, 764, $673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.02-6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.55(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.15($ brs, 1 H$), 3.88$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.97-3.77\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,165.9,156.2$, 145.7, 141.0, 134.8, 134.2, 130.4, 129.6, 129.4, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 127.8, 126.4, 126.1, 120.7, 120.6, 117.7, 110.7, 69.9, 55.5, 43.9; ESI-LCMS: $m / z$ 450.13(M+Na) ${ }^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1668; HPLC: ee $94.4 \%$ [ChiralpakIB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(2-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (10)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}{ }^{2}-28.7(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3369, 3016, 2925, 2856, 2404, 1710, 1666, 1629, 1526, 1455, 1323, 1215, 1170, 1040, 982, 859, 764, $673 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.87(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.38(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.17$ (brs, 1 H$), 3.88$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ), 3.98-3.77 ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,165.9,156.2$, $145.7,141.0,134.8,134.2,130.4,129.6,129.4,128.9,128.7,128.2,127.8,126.4,126.1$, 120.7, 120.6, 117.7, 110.7, 69.9, 55.5, 43.9; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 450.12(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1673; HPLC: ee $96.9 \%$ [ChiralpakIB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.9 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (11)



Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.44$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+0.8\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 2956, 2919, 1713, 1635, 1600, 1493, 1455, 1355, 1308, 1278, 1245, 1157, 1048, 1024, 981, 863, 758, 709, $683 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.29 (m, 12H), 7.04-6.87 (m, 2H), 6.67-6.38 (m, 3H), 4.58$4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,165.9,156.3,145.4,145.2$, 134.4, 130.3, 130.3, 129.4, 128.8, 128.1, 128.1, 126.9, 125.0, 120.6, 118.0, 117.8, 110.6, 68.9, 65.4, 55.5; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 451.04(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 451.1516, found 451.1507; HPLC: ee $97.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 $\mathrm{mmL}), 40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.4 \mathrm{~min}\right]$. (R)-1-(2-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (12)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.44$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}-0.8\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3019, 2360, 2341, 1713, 1663, 1579, 1495, 1439, 1329, 1310, 1283, 1248, 1216, 1162, 1050, 980, 770, 711, $684 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83-7.62(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.29 (m, 12H), 7.05-6.87 (m, 2 H$), ~ 6.66-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 4.57-4.48 (m, 2H), $3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 166.7, 166.0, 156.3, 145.4, $145.2,134.4,130.4,130.4,129.5,128.9,128.9,128.2,128.2,127.0,125.0,120.7,118.0$, 117.8, 110.6, 69.0, 65.4, 55.6; ESI-LCMS: m/z $451.10(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 451.1516, found 451.1514; HPLC: ee 96.7\% [Chiralpak-IB $(0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL$), 40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=9.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (13)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $139-141{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.39$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}+42.5(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3164, 3019, 2400, 1710, 1664, 1634, 1514, 1450, 1411, 1389, 1330, 1311, 1215, 1165, 1129, 1043, 978, 769, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.03(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.9,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H), 3.94-3.78 (m, 2H, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.4,166.0,159.8$, $145.9,141.5,139.3,134.7,134.1,130.5,129.8,129.7,128.9,128.8,128.2,127.8$, 120.3,118.6, 117.5, 113.9, 112.1, 74.7, 55.3, 44.8; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 450.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1673; HPLC: ee $96.9 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.7 \mathrm{~min}$ ].
(R)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (14)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.39\left(\right.$ EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-47.8(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3164, 3019, 2400, 1710, 1664, 1634, 1514, 1450, 1411, 1389, 1330, 1311, 1215, 1165, 1129, 1043, 978, 769, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-$ $7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 7.05-6.95(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{ddd}, J=8.2,2.7,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1$ H), $6.39(\mathrm{~d}, ~ J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13-5.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.99-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \delta 166.3,165.9,159.8,145.9,141.5,139.4,134.7$, $134.2,130.5,129.8,129.7,128.9,128.8,128.2,127.8,120.3,118.7,117.5,113.9,112.2$, 74.7, 55.3, 44.8; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 450.04(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1671; HPLC: ee $96.6 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=17.8$ $\mathrm{min}]$.

## (S)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (15)



Colorless solid; m.p.: 67-69 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 4$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}+22.7(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3365, 3169, 3021, 2931, 1713, 1633, 1451, 1316, 1268, 1216, $1165,1042,986,865,765,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.58-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.26 (m, 7H), 7.09-6.98 (m, 2H), 6.88 (ddd, $J$ $=8.2,2.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), $6.22(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,4.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,165.9$, $159.8,145.6,145.5,138.2,134.3,130.4,129.8,128.9,128.2,119.0,117.7,117.5,114.0$, 112.5, 73.5, 66.2, 55.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 451.00(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 451.1516, found 451.1513; HPLC: $e e>99.9 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$

X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}$ $=17.0 \mathrm{~min}$ ].
(R)-1-(3-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (16)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $67-6{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-25.6(c 0.5$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3365, 3169, 3021, 2931, 1713, 1633, 1451, 1316, 1268, 1216, 1165, 1042, 986, 865, 765, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.58-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.26 (m, 7H), 7.09-6.97 (m, 2H), 6.88 (ddd, $J$ $=8.2,2.5,0.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.59-6.38(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), $6.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.2,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.47(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,165.9$, $159.8,145.6,145.5,138.2$, 134.3, 130.4, 129.8, 128.9, 128.2, 119.0, 117.7, 117.5, 114.0, 112.5, 73.5, 66.2, 55.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 451.01(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 451.1516, found 451.1513; HPLC: ee $98.4 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=45.4$ $\mathrm{min}]$.

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (17)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $137-139{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+51.5(c$ $0.6, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3361, 3165, 3019, 1688, 1620, 1525, 1411, 1390, 1216, 1043, $771,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.77-7.57$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.54-7.42 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.28 (m, 8H), 6.91 (d, 2H, p-disubstituted), 6.54-6.32 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 6.16-6.06 (m, 1H), 6.01 (dd, $J=7.3,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.81(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.79 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right.$ ) $\delta 166.5,165.9,159.7,145.7,141.4,134.7,134.2$, $130.4,129.8,129.7,128.9,128.7,128.1,128.0,127.8,120.4,117.5,114.1,74.7,55.3,44.6 ;$

ESI-LCMS: $m / z 449.96(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1673; HPLC: ee 96.8\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), 40\% EtOH in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(4-methoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (18)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }^{\mathbf{~}}-59.5(c$ $0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3165, 3019, 1688, 1620, 1525, 1411, 1390, 1216, 1043, $771,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.78-7.57$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.54-7.42 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.28 (m, 8H), $6.91(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, p$-disubstituted), 6.55-6.32 (m, 2 H , two doublets overlapped), $6.13(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.81(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 3.79 (s, 3H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,165.9,159.7,145.7,141.4,134.7$, 134.2, 130.4, 129.8, 129.7, 128.9, 128.7, 128.1, 128.0, 127.8, 120.4, 117.5, 114.1, 74.7, 55.3, 44.6; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 450.31(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 450.1676, found 450.1671; HPLC: ee 99.4\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=26.0$ $\mathrm{min}]$.

## (S)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (19)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $93-96{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 4$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+32.5(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3022, 2964, 2358, 1713, 1633, 1513, 1452, 1251, 1215, 1167, 1036, 986, 930, 896, 832, 762, 672, $607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.76-7.66(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , two doublets overlapped), 7.56-7.47 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.33 (m, 8H), 6.93 (d, 2H, pdisubstituted), $6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,3.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.57(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$

NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.1,159.8,145.5,134.3,130.4,128.9,128.2,117.8$, 117.5, 114.1, 73.2, 66.1, 55.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 451.07(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}:$calcd 451.1516, found 451.1514; HPLC: ee $98.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IB $(0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL$), 40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=17.7 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (20)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $76-79{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $\left[\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}-31.3(c 0.5\right.$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3022, 2964, 2358, 1713, 1633, 1513, 1452, 1251, 1215, 1167, 1036, 986, 930, 896, 832, 762, 672, $607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.57-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.33 (m, 8H), $6.94(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, p$ disubstituted), $6.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.7,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.0,3.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0,159.8,145.4,134.3,134.2,130.4,128.9,128.7,128.2$, 128.1, $117.8,117.5,114.1,73.2,66.1,55.3$; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 451.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 451.1516, found 451.1514; HPLC: ee $98.7 \%$ [ChiralpakIB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}{ }^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=31.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (21)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $105-108{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); [ $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }^{6}-7.9(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3366, 3170, 3020, 2358, 1706, 1666, 1627, 1520, 1419, 1216, 1168, 1040, 927, 763, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.29(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$
(dd, $J=7.6,2.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.14(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.90-3.84\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapped); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ $166.5,166.0,152.6,146.2,145.8,141.3,134.8,134.2,131.9,130.5,129.7,128.9,128.8$, 128.2, 127.9, 124.6, 120.5, 118.4, 117.6, 112.4, 69.9, 60.9, 55.8, 44.3; ESI-LCMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $480.22(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 480.1781, found 480.1777; HPLC: ee $96.5 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $15 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=32.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(2,3-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (22)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $105-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.38($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+8.0(c$ $0.58, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3366, 3170, 3020, 2358, 1706, 1666, 1627, 1520, 1419, 1216, 1168, 1040, 927, 763, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-6.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.90$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.6,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.45-6.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, overlapped); $\left.{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ $\delta 166.5,166.0,152.6,146.2,145.8,141.3,134.8,134.2,131.9,130.5,129.7,128.9,128.8$, 128.2, 127.9, 124.6, 120.5, 118.4, 117.6, 112.4, 69.9, 60.9, 55.8, 44.3; ESI-LCMS: m/z $480.23(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 480.1781, found 480.1780; HPLC: $e e 93.8 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $15 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=29.1 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (23)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.4$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-17.0\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3021, 2943, 2843, 2358, 1713, 1637, 1482, 1448, 1298, 1272, 1215, 1168,

1084, 1042, 993, 929, 868, 760, 672, $611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.78-7.65$ (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), $7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60-6.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0,152.6,146.4,145.4,145.3,134.3,134.3,130.5,130.4,130.3,128.9$, 128.8, 128.1, 124.2, 118.8, 117.8, 117.7, 112.6, 69.1, 65.6, 60.8, 55.8; ESI-LCMS: m/z $481.04(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 481.1622, found 481.1616; HPLC: ee $97.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.4 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(2,3-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (24)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.4$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}+18.0$ (c 0.5 , $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3021, 2943, 2843, 2358, 1713, 1637, 1482, 1448, 1298, 1272, 1215, $1168,1084,1042,993,929,868,760,672,611 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.80-$ $7.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.58-7.48 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.33 (m, 6H), 7.13-7.02 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 6.92(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.61-6.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ $11.9,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.89(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,152.6,146.4,145.5,145.3,134.3,134.3,130.5,130.4,130.3$, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 124.2, 118.8, 117.8, 117.7, 112.5, 69.1, 65.6, 60.8, 55.8; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 481.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 481.1622, found 481.1619; HPLC: ee $98.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-phenylethyl cinnamate (25)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $181-183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.52($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+59.8(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3292,3022,2927,2864,2404,2358,1711,1667,1630,1516$, 1419, 1327, 1216, 1167, 1095, 1030, 929, 764, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.26-7.82 (m, 17H), 6.30-6.60(m, 2H), $6.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.69-4.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,166.1,146.0,141.6,137.9,134.8,134.2,130.6,129.8,129.0,128.9$, 128.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 120.4, 117.6, 74.9, 44.9; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 420.11(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 420.1570, found 420.1566; HPLC: ee $97.1 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
( $R$ )-2-Cinnamamido-1-phenylethyl cinnamate (26)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $183-185^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.52$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}{ }^{\mathbf{~}}-57.3(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3292, 3022, 2927, 2864, 2404, 2358, 1711, 1667, 1630, 1516, 1419, 1327, 1216, 1167, 1095, 1030, 929, 764, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.81-7.26 (m, 17H), 6.59-6.30 (m, 2H), 6.17-5.99 (m, 2H), 4.07-3.71 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,166.1,146.0,141.6,137.9,134.8,134.3,130.6,129.8,129.0$, 128.9, 128.6, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 120.4, 117.6, 74.9, 44.9; ESI-LCMS: m/z 420.15 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 420.1570, found 420.1562; HPLC: ee $94.8 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.4 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-Phenylethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (27)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $131-133{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:9); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+42.7(c$ $0.5, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3022, 1714, 1638, 1436, 1320, 1216, 1166, 767, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$

NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta$ 7.84-7.65 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.28 (m, 15 H ), 6.60-6.37 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 6.24 (dd, $J=7.5,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.65-4.44 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,145.6,145.5,136.7,134.2,130.4$, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 126.8, 117.7, 117.5, 73.6, 66.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 421.24(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 421.1410, found 421.1411; HPLC: ee 96.5\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-1-Phenylethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (28)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $132-134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:9); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }^{6}-42.0(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3022, 1714, 1638, 1436, 1320, 1216, 1166, 767, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.84-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.28 (m, 15 H ), 6.61-6.37 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 6.24 (dd, $J=7.5,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 4.66-4.45 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,145.6,145.5,136.7,134.2,130.4$, 128.9, 128.7, 128.2, 126.8, 117.7, 117.5, 73.6, 66.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 421.17(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 421.1410, found 421.1404; HPLC: ee $92.6 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=16.6 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(p-tolyl)ethyl cinnamate (29)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $139-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.5($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+55.4(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3441, 3021, 2926, 2403, 1711, 1669, 1630, 1515, 1438, 1326, 1216, 1168, 1036, 928, 858, 768, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79-7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 7.55-7.13 (m, 14H), $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$
$15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-5.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.35(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.1,145.8,141.5,138.5,134.9,134.8,134.3,130.6,129.8,129.5,129.0$, 128.9, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 120.5, 117.7, 74.9, 44.8, 21.3; ESI-LCMS: m/z 434.07 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 434.1727, found 434.1725; HPLC: ee $95.2 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.2 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-2-Cinnamamido-1-(p-tolyl)ethyl cinnamate (30)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $139-141^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.5$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}-59.6(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3441, 3021, 2926, 2403, 1711, 1669, 1630, 1515, 1438, 1326, 1216, 1168, 1036, 928, 858, 768, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.79-7.56(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 7.55-7.13 (m, 14H), $6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16-5.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00-3.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,145.8,141.6,138.5,134.9,134.8,134.3,130.6,129.8,129.5$, 129.0, 128.9, 128.3, 127.9, 126.6, 120.4, 117.7, 74.9, 44.8, 21.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 434.07$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{NO}_{3} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 434.1727, found 434.1721; HPLC: ee $95.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=20.4 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(p-Tolyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (31)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 9) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+40.1(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3} \mathbf{)}: 3361,3164,3022,1712,1634,1523,1445,1401,1316,1268$, 1215, 1165, 1036, 762, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.81-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.59-7.48 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.33 (m, 8H), 7.22 (d, 2H, p-disubstituted),
$6.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61-4.45(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,145.5,138.5,134.3,133.7$, 130.4, 129.4, 128.9, 128.2, 126.8, 117.8, 117.5, 73.5, 66.2, 21.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 435.16$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 435.1567, found 435.1559; HPLC: ee $98.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\min ^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.1 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(p-Tolyl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (32)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $103-105{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:9); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}{ }^{27}-42.3(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3361, 3164, 3022, 1712, 1634, 1523, 1445, 1401, 1316, 1268, 1215, 1165, 1036, 762, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.77-7.66(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.57-7.47 (m, 4H), 7.42-7.32 (m, 8H), 7.22 (d, 2H, p-disubstituted), $6.54(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0), 6.46(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0), 6.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.46(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H), 2.37 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ) ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} 50 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,145.5,138.5,134.3,133.7$, 130.4, 129.4, 128.9, 128.2, 126.8, 117.8, 117.5, 73.5, 66.2, 21.2; ESI-LCMS: m/z 435.16 $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 435.1567, found 435.1563; HPLC: $e e 97.6 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $30 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=23.3 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-cinnamamidoethyl cinnamate (33)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $186-190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.23$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+42.4(c$ $0.53, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3164, 3020, 2932, 2358, 1710, 1666, 1628, 1506, 1444, 1327, 1215, 1167, 1040, 984, 932, 862, 762, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.56-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.43-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-$
$6.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0), 6.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.11$ $\left.(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \delta$ 166.4, 166.0, 147.9, 147.7, 145.9, 141.6, 134.6, 134.1, 131.6, 130.6, 129.7, 128.9, 128.8, 128.2, 127.8, 120.4, 120.2, 117.5, 108.4, 106.9, 101.2, 74.7, 44.7; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 464.16$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 464.1468, found 464.1465; HPLC: ee $94.1 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $50 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.7 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-2-cinnamamidoethyl cinnamate (34)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $200-202{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.23$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }^{6}-38.3$ (c $0.57, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3361, 3164, 3020, 2932, 2358, 1710, 1666, 1628, 1506, 1444, 1327, 1215, 1167, 1040, 984, 932, 862, $762,670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71$ $(\mathrm{d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, 1 \mathrm{H}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.55-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.41-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 6.95-$ $6.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $\left.6.10(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99-5.92(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 166.4,166.0,147.9,147.7,145.9,141.6,134.6,134.1,131.6,130.6,129.7,128.9,128.8$, 128.2, 127.8, 120.4, 120.2, 117.5, 108.4, 106.9, 101.2, 74.7, 44.7; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 464.10$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 464.1468, found 464.1467; HPLC: $e e 93.4 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \times 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $50 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=29.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (35)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $106-107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 4$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+29.1(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3022,1714,1637,1496,1446,1317,1249,1215,1166,1040$,

985, $934,865,761,673,620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.73(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.58-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.43-7.31 (m, 6H), 7.02-6.77 (m, 3H), 6.60-6.38 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), $6.15(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,4.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.63-4.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,166.0,147.9,147.8,145.6,145.5,134.2,130.4$, 128.9, 128.2, 120.6, 117.6, 117.4, 108.4, 107.3, 101.2, 73.4, 66.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 465.14$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 465.1309, found 465.1307; HPLC: $e e 97.5 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (36)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $108-110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}-29.8(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3022, 1714, 1637, 1496, 1446, 1317, 1249, 1215, 1166, 1040, 985, 934, 865, 761, 673, $620 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz, CDCl $_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.79-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.46 (m, 4H), 7.45-7.31 (m, 6H), 7.00-6.88 (m, 2H), $6.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.13(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.1,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.5,166.0,147.9$, $147.8,145.6,145.5,134.2,130.4,128.9,128.2,120.6,117.6,117.4,108.4,107.3,101.2$, 73.4, 66.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / \mathrm{z} 465.26(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 465.1309, found 465.1306; HPLC: ee $97.9 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \%$ EtOH in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=26.7 \mathrm{~min}$ ].
(S)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (37)


Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ (DCM-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+12.9$ (c 0.5, $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3287, 3022, 2923, 2860, 2358, 1716, 1637, 1459, 1317, 1215, 1165,

1040, 987, 934, 855, 761, $672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.29 (m, 6H), 6.99-6.76 (m, 3H), 6.61-6.30 $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0$, $147.7,145.9,145.6,145.1,134.4,130.6,130.5,129.0,129.0,128.3,128.3,122.0,120.1$, 118.3, 117.6, 117.6, 108.9, 101.4, 69.3, 64.8; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd: 465.1309, found 465.1305; HPLC: ee 96.7\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), 40\% EtOH in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.3 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-1-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-4-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (38)



Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ ( DCM -petroleum ether, $7: 3$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}-12.8\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}$ : 3287, 3022, 2923, 2860, 2358, 1716, 1637, 1459, 1317, 1215, 1165, 1040, 987, 934, 855, 761, $672 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.83-7.62$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.60-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.44-7.29 (m, 6H), 6.99-6.76 (m, 3H), 6.61-6.30 (m, 3H), $6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.75-4.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.0,147.7$, $145.9,145.6,145.1,134.4,130.6,130.5,129.0,128.3,128.3,122.0,120.1,118.3,117.6$, 117.6, 108.9, 101.4, 69.3, 64.8; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 465.1309, found 465.1309 ; HPLC: ee $94.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-2-Benzamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl benzoate (39)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $129-131{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.33$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+13.1(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3021, 2928, 1717, 1660, 1603, 1520, 1457, 1376, 1320, 1264, 1218, 1152, 1107, 1029, 927, 855, 763, $666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.10-8.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$,
$1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2, $\left.4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)$ $\delta 167.6,166.3,149.3,149.2,134.3,133.3,131.6,130.2,129.9,129.8,128.6,128.5,126.9$, 119.0, 111.3, 109.8, 77.7, 77.1, 76.5, 75.2, 56.0, 55.9, 45.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 428.12$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 428.1468, found 428.1462; HPLC: ee $91.4 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.3 \mathrm{~min}$ ].

## (R)-2-Benzamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl benzoate (40)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $128-130{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.33$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}-13.0(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3021, 2928, 1717, 1660, 1603, 1520, 1457, 1376, 1320, 1264, 1218, 1152, 1107, 1029, 927, 855, 763, $666 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(400 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 8.11-8.05$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.74-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.60-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.50-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.06(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.63(\mathrm{t}, J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=$ 8.2, $\left.4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.93(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 167.7,166.3,149.2,149.2,134.3,133.4,131.6,130.2,129.9,129.8,128.6,128.5,126.9$, 119.0, 111.2, 109.7, 77.7, 77.1, 76.5, 75.2, 56.0, 55.9, 45.2; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 428.12$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 428.1468, found 428.1468; HPLC: ee $93.8 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=13.6 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(S)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylamido)ethyl(E)-3-

## (3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylate (41)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.42$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $4: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+45.4(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3021,2932,2848,1710,1669,1628,1587,1511,1461,1423$, 1326, 1266, 1217, 1138, 1032, 928, 766, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.71-$ $7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.06-6.83 (m, 3H), $6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.47-$ $6.24\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}\right.$, two doublets overlapped), 6.11-5.93 (m, 2H), 3.95-3.82 (m, 26H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0,153.5,153.5,149.3,149.2,145.8,141.6,140.4,139.7$, $130.3,130.2,129.7,119.6,119.2,116.8,111.3,110.0,105.4,105.1,105.1,77.5,77.3,77.1$, $77.0,76.8,74.8,61.0,56.2,56.2,56.1,56.0,44.7$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 660.2415, found 660.2419; HPLC: ee 97.0\% [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=8.0 \mathrm{~min}\right]$. (R)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-((E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylamido)ethyl(E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylate (42)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $138-140{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.42$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 4:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}{ }^{27}-43.6(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3021, 2932, 2848, 1710, 1669, 1628, 1587, 1511, 1461, 1423, 1326, 1266, 1217, 1138, 1032, 928, 766, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~} \mathbf{N O}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.75-$ $7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 7.08-6.83 (m, 3H), 6.82-6.65 (m, 4H), 6.50-6.21 (m, 2 H , two doublets overlapped), $6.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07-3.72(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 0 0 ~} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 166.6,166.0,153.5,153.5,149.3,149.2,145.9,141.6,140.4,139.7,130.3,130.2$, 129.7, 119.6, 119.2, 116.8, 111.3, 110.0, 105.4, 105.1, 77.6, 77.6, 77.5, 77.3, 77.1, 76.8, $74.8,61.0,61.0,56.2,56.2,56.1,56.0,44.7$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{39} \mathrm{NO}_{11} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 660.2415, found 660.2407; HPLC: ee 96.2\% [Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=11.6$ $\mathrm{min}]$.
(S)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(3-phenylpropanamido)ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (43)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $82-84{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+31.1(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3313,3069,3017,2926,2853,1733,1658,1601,1514,1455$, 1372, 1255, 1230, 1151, 1029, 856, 813, 758, 701, $665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta$ 7.37-7.07 (m, 10H), 6.87-6.72 (m, 3H), $5.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H , two OMe singlets), 3.73-3.36 (m, 2H), 3.04-2.80 (m, 4H), 2.75-2.56 (m, 2H), 2.46-2.25 (m, 2H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.2,172.2,149.2,149.1,140.9,140.4,130.2$, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 126.5, 126.4, 126.3, 119.0, 111.2, 109.7, 74.6, 56.0, 44.3, 38.3, 35.8, 31.5, 30.9; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 484.2094, found 484.2092; HPLC: ee $92.3 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=5.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
(R)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(3-phenylpropanamido)ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (44)


Colorless solid; m.p.: 77-78 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}-30.2(c 0.5$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3313, 3069, 3017, 2926, 2853, 1733, 1658, 1601, 1514, 1455, 1372, 1255, 1230, 1151, 1029, 856, 813, 758, 701, $665 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.35-$ $7.10(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}), 6.85-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.73(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.43-5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}$, 6 H , two OMe singlets), 3.72-3.37 (m, 2H), 3.00-2.83 (m, 4H), 2.73-2.60 (m, 2H), 2.44-2.29 (m, 2H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 172.2,172.2,149.2,149.1,140.9,140.4,130.2$, 128.6, 128.4, 128.3, 126.5, 126.3, 119.0, 111.2, 109.7, 74.6, 56.0, 44.3, 38.3, 35.8, 31.5, 30.9; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 484.2094, found 484.2088; HPLC: ee $90.6 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate 1.0 mL $\mathrm{min}^{-1}, \mathrm{UV}$ detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.7 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-2-Butyramido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl butyrate (45)



Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.47$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:2); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+56.2$ (c 0.5, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3449, 3019, 2962, 2404, 1732, 1668, 1600, 1516, 1459, 1372, 1256, 1218, 1172, 1090, 1030, 929, 855, 761, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 6.97-6.78$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.88-5.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, OMe singlet), 3.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$, OMe singlet), 3.74-3.55 $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.41-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.75-1.5(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00-0.82(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (50 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 173.1,173.0,149.0,130.4,119.0,111.1,109.7,74.2,55.9,44.2$, 38.6, 36.3, 19.1, 18.4, 13.7, 13.7; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 359.98$ (M+Na) ${ }^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 360.1781, found 360.1774; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( 0.46 mm $\phi$ X 250 mmL ), $25 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 4.8 min .
(S)-2-((E)-3-(2-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylamido)-1-(3,4-
dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl(E)-3-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylate (46)


Light yellow liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.61$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $\left.\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+7.8\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;$ IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right): 3437,3021,2930,2858,2402,1715,1666,1628,1516,1470,1260,1216,1157$, 1031, 922, 841, 762, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.96(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.8,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.25-7.18 (m, 2H), 7.02-6.78 (m, 7H), $6.46(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.02-5.94 (m, 1H), $5.85(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-3.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-0.96(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.28-$ 0.12 (m, 12H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,166.1,154.7,154.6,149.1,149.1$, $140.9,137.0,131.6,130.7,130.4,130.3,127.6,127.5,126.3,126.2,125.7,121.6,121.4$, $120.2,120.1,120.1,119.9,119.0,117.2,111.1,109.8,74.6,56.1,55.9,49.2,44.6,34.0$,
25.8, 25.8, 24.9, 18.3, -4.2, -4.2; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 740.3409, found 740.3381 ; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.9 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-2-((E)-3-(3-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylamido)-1-(3,4-
dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl(E)-3-(3-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylate (47)


Viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{27}+25.4\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3438, 3020, 2938, 2859, 2403, 1713, 1632, 1590, 1516, 1475, 1257, 1218, 1162, $1024,850,761,670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.29-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15-7.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.03-6.93(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 6.91-6.79(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.33(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.99$ (brs, 2 H ), $3.95-3.83(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H})$, $0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 12 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5,165.9,156.1,156.0$, $149.2,149.2,145.7,141.5,136.1,135.6,130.2,129.9,129.7,122.4,121.5,121.0,120.4$, $119.5,119.3,119.1,117.7,111.2,109.9,74.7,56.0,55.9,44.6,34.0,25.7,18.2,-4.4 ;$

HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 740.3409, found 740.3384; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=4.2 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-2-((E)-3-(4-((tert-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylamido)-1-(3,4-
dimethoxyphenyl) ethyl(E)-3-(4-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)phenyl)acrylate (48)


Colorless solid; m.p.: 63-65 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{f}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+38.1(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3328, 3019, 2940, 2858, 2405, 1707, 1663, 1602, 1514, 1462, 1424, 1266, 1217, 1160, 1101, 1029, 911, 840, 760, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~} \mathbf{H}_{3}$ )
$\delta 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99(\mathrm{dd}$, $J=1.5,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.23$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{t}, J=5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.93-3.83(\mathrm{~m}$, $8 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~m}, 18 \mathrm{H}), 0.21(\mathrm{~m}, 12 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.8,166.3,158.1$, $157.4,149.1,145.5,141.2,130.4,129.8,129.4,128.0,127.5,120.5,120.4,119.0,118.1$, 115.2, 111.2, 109.9, 74.5, 56.0, 55.9, 44.7, 34.0, 25.6, 24.9, 18.2, -4.4; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 740.3409, found 740.3384; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( 0.46 mm $\phi \times 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $20 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.8 min .
(S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl 3-phenylpropanoate (49)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $101-103{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.34$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9}}{ }^{29}-13.9(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3444, 3021, 2925, 2858, 2358, 1712, 1670, 1515, 1457, 1371, 1318, 1216, 1163, 1030, 928, 857, 763, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $200 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.70(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.45-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.33-7.09(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.98-6.77(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 6.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.7,5.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.72(\mathrm{t}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.88$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.77-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.41(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.3,166.4,149.2,149.1,145.8,140.7,134.2,130.6,130.2,129.0$, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 126.3, 119.0, 117.6, 111.1, 109.7, 74.7, 62.6, 56.0, 55.9, 44.4, 38.3, 31.5; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 482.1938, found 482.1932; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=7.7 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-(3-phenylpropanamido)ethyl cinnamate (50)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $112-114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+27.2(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3434, 3020, 2926, 2855, 2403, 1733, 1668, 1625, 1514, 1457, 1334, 1217, 1152, 1030, 859, 760, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(200 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.14(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.94-6.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $6.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.86(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.3,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.64(\mathrm{t}, J=5.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.82-3.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.79-2.64(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 172.3,165.9,149.2,149.1,141.6,140.3,134.7,130.1,129.8,128.9,128.6$, 128.3, 127.9, 126.4, 120.2, 119.0, 111.1, 109.8, 74.6, 56.0, 44.5, 35.8, 30.8; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{29} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 482.1938, found 482.1934; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( 0.46 mm $\phi \times 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 8.1 min.

## (S)-2-Butyramido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (51)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $93-94{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; R_{f}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{26}+31.5(c 0.5$, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{)}: 3442,3020,2927,2403,1732,1668,1626,1515,1458,1334,1255$, $\left.1216,1173,1031,929,858,762,669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.40-7.33(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.96-6.83(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.93-5.86 (m, 2H), 3.89 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.85-3.72 (m, 2H), 2.35 (dt, $J=7.6,2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.69-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \delta 173.2$, $165.9,149.2,149.1,141.6,134.7,130.3,129.8,128.8,127.8,120.2,119.0,111.2,109.8$, $77.3,77.0,76.8,74.2,56.0,55.9,44.6,36.4,18.4,13.7$; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}$ $(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 420.1781, found 420.1774; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $254 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=6.3 \mathrm{~min}$.

## (S)-2-Cinnamamido-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl butyrate (52)



Colorless liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 3}}-2.9\left(c 0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; IR $\mathbf{( C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3446, 3388, 3018, 2966, 1712, 1605, 1516, 1457, 1317, 1257, 1217, 1165, 927, 861, 761, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.44-7.36 (m, 3H), 6.99-6.95 (dd, $J=1.7,8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.94(\mathrm{t}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.85-5.74(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.95-$ $3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}), 2.18-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.90(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 173.1,166.5,149.2,149.1,145.8,134.2,130.6,130.2,129.0,128.2,119.0,117.6$, 111.1, 109.8, 74.7, 55.9, 44.3, 38.7, 19.1, 13.7; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 420.1781, found 420.1762; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), 40\% EtOH in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=14.8 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (53)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $120-121{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}{ }^{28}-19.5(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3290, 3022, 2926, 2862, 2404, 2358, 1714, 1637, 1524, 1422, $\left.1318,1216,1166,1030,929,763,671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 8.05-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 19H), 6.68-6.32 (m, 3H), 4.78-4.51 (m, 2H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 166.7,166.2$, $145.8,145.7,134.4,134.2,133.5,133.3,130.6,130.5,129.0,129.0,128.7,128.3,128.2$, 127.8, 126.5, 126.3, 124.3, 117.8, 117.6, 73.8, 66.3; ESI-LCMS: $m / z 471.19(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 471.1567, found 471.1566; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=12.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (R)-1-(Naphthalen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-diyl (2E,2'E)-bis(3-phenylacrylate) (54)



Colorless solid; m.p.: $123-124{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.48$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 4$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 8}}+23.9(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3290, 3022, 2926, 2862, 2404, 2358, 1714, 1637, 1524, 1422, 1318, 1216, 1166, 1030, 929, 763, $671 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.96-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, 19H), 6.65-6.34 (m, 3H), 4.76-4.53 (m, 2H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 166.7,166.2$, $145.8,145.7,134.4,134.2,133.5,133.3,130.6,130.5,129.0,129.0,128.7,128.3,128.2$, 127.8, 126.5, 126.3, 124.3, 117.8, 117.6, 73.8, 66.3; ESI-LCMS: m/z $471.16(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $m / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 471.1567, found 471.1570; HPLC: ee 98.4\% [Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=22.8 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.

## (S)-1-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)

 acrylate) (55)

Colorless viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+33.4$ (c 0.5, $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3686, 3021, 2937, 2845, 2403, 1712, 1635, 1585, 1510, 1460, 1423, 1319, 1218, 1139, 1032, 928, 766, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.67-7.59(\mathrm{~m}$, 2 H , two doublets overlapped), $7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.97(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.19(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.7,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.62(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.47(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9$, $3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.94-3.84(\mathrm{~m}, 26 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,166.1,153.5,145.5$, 140.4, 129.7, 129.1, 119.4, 117.0, 116.7, 111.3, 110.2, 105.4, 73.5, 66.1, 61.0, 56.2, 56.0, 56.0; HRMS: $m / z$ for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{38} \mathrm{O}_{12} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 661.2255, found 661.2252; HPLC:

Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi \mathrm{X} 250 \mathrm{mmL}$ ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=15.1 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-1-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)ethane-1,2-diyl(2E,2'E)-bis(3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl) acrylate) (56)


Colorless solid; m.p.: 61-62 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.43$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9}}+29.2(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3290, 3021, 2932, 2404, 2358, 1712, 1634, 1589, 1508, 1460, 1421, 1327, 1217, 1135, 1016, 929, 764, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\left.{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta 7.69-$ $7.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), $6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.44(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.16(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.2,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.61(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9$, $8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92-3.82(\mathrm{~m}, 29 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{OMe}$ and two diastereotopic protons); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.6,153.5,145.8,140.5,138.4$, 132.1, 129.7, 116.8, 116.6, 105.5, 104.1, 73.8, 61.0, 60.8, 56.2; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{O}_{13} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 691.2361, found 691.2354; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB $(0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=$ 13.7 min.
(S)-1-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-((E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylamido)ethyl(E)-3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)acrylate (57)


Colorless solid; m.p.: 67-69 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.28$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}+46.6(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}$ ): 3686, 3441, 3021, 2937, 2403, 1711, 1629, 1589, 1509, 1462, 1424, 1326, 1217, 1136, 1005, 927, 767, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.71-$
7.49 (m, 2H, two doublets overlapped), $6.75(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.48-6.24$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), 6.08-5.92 (m, 2H, NH and methine protons), 3.96-3.79 ( $\mathrm{m}, 29 \mathrm{H}, 9-\mathrm{OMe}$ and two diastereotopic protons); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 5 0 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 166.5$, $166.0,153.5,153.5,153.4,146.0,141.7$, 139.7, 138.1, 133.3, 130.2, 129.6, 119.5, 116.6, 105.4, 105.0, 103.7, 74.9, 61.0, 60.8, 56.3, 56.2, 44.7; ESI-LCMS: m/z $690.25(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{35} \mathrm{H}_{41} \mathrm{NO}_{12} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 690.2521, found 690.2516; HPLC: Chiralpak-IA ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.3 \mathrm{~min}$.
(S)-2-Cinnamamido-2-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)ethyl cinnamate (58)


Colorless solid; m.p.: $117-120{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.47$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9}}+45.9(c$ $0.5, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ): 3366, 3021, 2926, 2858, 2405, 2358, 1708, 1630, 1511, 1457, 1321, 1216, 1168, 1029, 928, 762, $670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( ~} \mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta 7.76-7.57(\mathrm{~m}$, 2H, two doublets overlapped), 7.55-7.42 (m, 4H), 7.41-7.28 (m, 6H), 7.00-6.79 (m, 3H), $6.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.53-6.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, two doublets overlapped), $5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66$ $(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.6,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.43(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.5,4.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.87(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta 167.4,165.6,149.2,148.8,146.0,141.7,134.7,134.1,131.0$, $130.6,129.8,128.9,128.8,128.2,127.9,120.3,118.9,117.2,111.4,110.3,66.2,55.9,52.9 ;$ HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$: calcd 480.1781, found 480.1776; HPLC: Chiralpak-IB ( $0.46 \mathrm{~mm} \phi$ X 250 mmL ), $40 \% \mathrm{EtOH}$ in hexane, flow rate $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$, UV detection at $220 \mathrm{~nm}, t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.8 \mathrm{~min}$.
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2.1.9. SPECTRA OF SELECTED FINAL COMPOUNDS
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $1\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $2\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $2\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $3\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $3\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $4\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $4\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $5\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13}$ C-NMR spectrum of $5\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $6\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $6\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $7\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13}$ C-NMR spectrum of $7\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $8\left(\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $17\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $17\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $19\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13}$ C-NMR spectrum of 19 ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $25\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $33\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $33\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ spectrum of $39\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $39\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $41\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $41\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 3}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $43\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{4 5}\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $53\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $53\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $56\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $56\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $57\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13}$ C-NMR spectrum of $57\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of $58\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $58\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


### 2.1.10. HPLC Chromatograms of enantiomers 1,2 and their racemic mixture

 Chiralpak-IA (250X4.6 cm), EtOH:Hexane (30:70), flow 1 mL/min, det.: UV 254 nm


Detector A-1 (254nm)

| Detector A-1 (254nm) | Pk \# | Retention Time | Area |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 16.867 | 47579021 | Area \% |
| 2 | 20.850 | 30857735 | 60.659 |
| Totals |  |  | 39.341 |



Detector A - 1 ( $\mathbf{2 5 4 n m}$ )

| Detector A-1 (254nm) | Rk | Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | 17.167 | 172196 | 1.861 |  |
| 2 | 21.000 | 9081561 | 98.139 |  |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |

## HPLC Chromatograms of enantiomers 3,4 and their racemic mixture

## Chiralpak-IA (250X4.6 cm), EtOH:Hexane (30:70), flow 1 mL/min, det.: UV 254 nm




VWD: Signal A, 254 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13.530 | 1442373 | 1.04 |
| 22.573 | 137263102 | 98.96 |
| Totals |  |  |
|  | 138705475 | 100.00 |

## HPLC Chromatograms of enantiomers 5, 6 and their racemic mixture

## Chiralpak-IA (250X4.6 cm), EtOH:Hexane (40:60), flow 1 mL/min, det.: UV 220 nm



## HPLC Chromatograms of enantiomers 7, 8 and their racemic mixture

## Chiralpak-IA (250X4.6 cm), EtOH:Hexane (30:70), flow 1 mL/min, det.: UV 254 nm
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### 2.2. SECTION B

## Synthesis of functionalized amino acids using chiral pool approach: synthesis of antiepileptic drug $(R)$-lacosamide

### 2.2.1. INTRODUCTION

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder that disturbs the normal activity of brain cells. It describes the types of recurrent seizures produced by paroxysmal excessive neuronal discharges in the brain. ${ }^{1}$ It is estimated that epilepsy affects approximately 50 million people worldwide and over 10 million people in India alone. ${ }^{2}$ Unfortunately, many medications such as valproic acid, carbamazepine, phenobarbital, phenytoin, etc. are ineffective for approximately one-third of patients with epilepsy. ${ }^{3}$ Many continue to have seizures, while others experience side effects such as drowsiness, dizziness, nausea, liver damage, etc. ${ }^{4}$

Functionalized amino acids (FAAs) of formula $\mathbf{A}$ were identified as a novel class of anticonvulsant agents (also commonly known as antiepileptic drugs or as antiseizure drugs). Anticonvulsants are a diverse group of pharmacological agents used in the treatment of epileptic seizures. ${ }^{5}$ The lead compound among the functionalized amino acids, ( $R$ )lacosamide (Vimpat) $\mathbf{1}$ was identified as a best antiepileptic drug (AED) and has been suggested for the treatment of partial-onset seizures in patients with epilepsy and as add-on treatment in brain tumor patients. ${ }^{6}$ Currently, $(R)$-lacosamide is marketed in U.S. and Europe and according to UCB pharma, its worldwide expected sale in 2015-2020 is set to be $€ 1.2$ billion.


FAAs (A)

(R)-Lacosamide 1

Figure 1. Structures of FAAs $(\mathrm{A})$ and $(R)$-lacosamide 1.
The exact mode of action of this drug in humans has not yet been fully elucidated but it is believed that it increases the slow inactivation of the voltage-gated sodium channels thus
inhibiting repetitive neuronal firing. ${ }^{7}$ Additionally, $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ is also under clinical trials for the treatment of neuropathic pains. ${ }^{8}$ Few examples of functionalized amino acids (FAAs) are shown in Figure 2.
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Figure 2. Selected examples of functionalized amino acids (FAAs) 1-15.

### 2.2.2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Because of the medical importance of $(R)$-lacosamide 1, its synthesis has attracted the attention of researchers around the world and several chiral pool and asymmetric syntheses were reported in literature. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~b}-15}(R)$-Lacosamide 1 could be considered as a functionalized derivative of amino acid D-serine $(R) \mathbf{- 1 6}$. Initial strategies for the synthesis of this drug used non-natural amino acid, D-serine as a starting material. The conversion of D-serine into $\mathbf{1}$
involves N -acylation, and O -methylation steps with protecting groups introduction and manipulation used in different order. ${ }^{9} O$-Methylation was the problematic step because of possible racemization of amino acid during the step. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{l}}$ To overcome this possible racemization, Kuhn's $O$-methylation protocol ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ involving MeI and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was used in the three-step synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 starting from D-serine as a starting material (Scheme 1). ${ }^{9 b}$ The drawbacks of this method are high cost and nonregenerability of silver catalyst and longer reaction time (3-5 days) required for the methylation step.


Scheme 1. Three step synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1.
In another approach, D-serine methyl ester $(R)-\mathbf{1 9}$ was transformed into the corresponding aziridine using diethoxytriphenylphosphorane (DTPP), which upon ring opening with MeOH using $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ as a catalyst followed by hydrolysis of the ester to acid and then amide coupling with benzyl amine furnished ( $R$ )-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 2). ${ }^{9 \mathrm{c}}$


Scheme 2. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1 through aziridine intermediate.

Muthukrishnan et al. (2011) ${ }^{10}$
Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR) strategy was utilized for the synthesis of (R)lacosamide 1. The rac-benzyl glycidyl ether ( $\pm$ )-25 was subjected to Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution conditions with water using the catalyst ( $R, R$ )-Salen $\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{III}) \mathrm{OAc}(0.5 \mathrm{~mol}$ \%) to furnish the required enantiomerically pure epoxide (S)-25a in 47\% yield and $>99 \%$ ee along with its diol $(R) \mathbf{- 2 5 b}$ in $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ yield. Further, functional group transformations provided $(R)$-lacosamide 1 in 8 steps with $18 \%$ overall yield from rac-epichlorohydrin as starting material (Scheme 3).


Scheme 3. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1 using Jacobsen's HKR strategy.

The drawbacks of this method are loss of yield in Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution step and use of expensive Jacobsen's catalyst hence, this synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ is not commercially viable.

Narsaiah et al. (2013) ${ }^{11}$
Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation was used to synthesize $(R)$-lacosamide 1. The asymmetric synthesis commenced from protection of acrylic acid $\mathbf{3 1}$ with benzyl amine.

Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation of $N$-benzylacrylamide 32 with AD-mix- $\beta$ furnished diol $(S)$ - $\mathbf{3 3}$ which on further functional group transformations provided $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ in 8 steps with $29 \%$ overall yield from acrylic acid as starting material (Scheme 4).


Scheme 4. Asymmetric synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1 using asymmetric dihydroxylation. Reagents and conditions: (a) $\mathrm{HOBt}, \mathrm{EDCI}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $8 \mathrm{~h}, 70 \%$; (b) AD-mix$\beta$, $\mathrm{MsNH}_{2}, t-\mathrm{BuOH}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1), 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 80 \%$; (c) $\mathrm{Bu}_{2} \mathrm{SnO}, \mathrm{TsCl}$ (1 equiv), $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $2 \mathrm{~h}, 95 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$; (e) $\mathrm{TsCl}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 92 \%$; (f) $\mathrm{NaN}_{3}$, DMF, $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6 \mathrm{~h}, 87 \%$; (g) $\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}$, THF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (9:1), $50{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 12 \mathrm{~h}, 85 \%$; (h) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 90 \%$.

## Stecko et al. (2014) ${ }^{12}$

Stecko et al. synthesized ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1 from ethyl L-lactate ( $S$ ) $\mathbf{3 9}$ employing stereospecific allyl cyanate to isocyanate rearrangement as a key step. The target molecule was synthesized in 7 steps with $22 \%$ overall yield using ethyl L-lactate as starting molecule.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 using allyl cyanate to isocyanate rearrangement. Reagents and conditions: (a) DHP, PPTS, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, rt, $95 \%$; (b) (i) DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (ii) $\mathrm{NaH},(\mathrm{EtO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{COOEt}, \mathrm{THF}, 0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; (iii) DIBAL-H, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $(75 \%, 3$ steps); (c) (i) $\mathrm{NaH}, \mathrm{MeI}, \mathrm{THF}$, rt; (ii) AcCl ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ), MeOH , rt, ( $78 \%, 2$ steps: $O$ methylation and deprotection); (d) (i) TCA-NCO, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) aq. $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{MeOH}$, rt, $2 \mathrm{~h},\left(82 \%, 2\right.$ steps); (e) (i) TFAA, $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$, THF, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 30 \mathrm{~min}$; (ii) $\mathrm{MeMgBr}, \mathrm{THF},-10{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt , ( $74 \%$, 2 steps); (f) $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 mol \%), $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$, acetone/water (5:1), 79\%; (g) IBCF, NMM, $\mathrm{BnNH}_{2}$, THF, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, $82 \%$.

The drawbacks of this method are a large number of steps involved in the synthesis and use of pyrophoric reagents such as Grignard reagents, DIBAL-H, other expensive reagents such as $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, trichloroacetyl isocyanate (TCA-NCO) and trifluoroacetic anhydride (TFAA).

## Pandey et al. (2015) ${ }^{13}$

Trost's Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Transformation (DYKAT) was employed as a key step to synthesize $(R)$-lacosamide 1. Enantiopure phthaloyl alcohol derivative $(S)$ - $\mathbf{4 7}$ was prepared from the racemic butadiene monoepoxide $( \pm)-46$ by the application Trost's DYKAT strategy using ( $R, R$ )-DACH ligand (Figure 3). Compound ( $S$ )-47 on further functional group transformations furnished $(R)$-lacosamide 1 in 5 steps with $52 \%$ overall yield starting from butadiene monoepoxide $( \pm)-46$ as starting material.


Scheme 6. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1 using Trost's Dynamic Kinetic Asymmetric Transformation (DYKAT). Reagents and conditions: (a) Phthalimide, $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, 1.2 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ $(R, R)$-DACH, $0.4 \mathrm{~mol} \%\left[\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{PdCl}_{2}\right.$, dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{rt}, 14 \mathrm{~h}, 98 \%$; (b) MeI, NaH, DMF, 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$; (c) (i) $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}, \mathrm{NaIO}_{4}, 2,6$-lutidine, dioxane:water $3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}, 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) Oxone, DMF, rt, 12 h ( $78 \%$ over two steps); (d) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, N$-methyl morpholine, isobutyl chloroformate, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $\mathrm{rt}, 1 \mathrm{~h}, 88 \%$; (e) (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, isopropyl
alcohol, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 2 h ; (ii) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{COCl}, \mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, dry toluene, 0 to $5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h},(91 \%$ over two steps).

The main draw of this method is the use of expensive Trost's $(R, R)$-DACH ligand which renders this synthesis to be not commercially viable considering that $(R) \mathbf{- 1}$ is currently being used as a drug.

( $R, R$ )-DACH

$(S, S)$-DACH

Figure 3. Structures of $(R, R)$ and $(S, S)$-DACH ligands.

## Miscellaneous methods for synthesis of ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-lacosamide

Some other synthetic methods of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 were also reported using Ugi multicomponent reaction and chiral Rhodium complexes etc. ${ }^{14}$

### 2.2.3. PRESENT WORK

## Objective

As a part of our research programme in the synthesis of biologically active molecules using chiral building blocks, we considered developing an industrially feasible chiral pool synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ from simple and cheap starting materials without the need of any expensive chiral ligands, hydrolytic kinetic resolution and harsh reaction conditions. We devised the chiral pool strategy for the synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 from natural amino acid L-serine ${ }^{15}$ whereas the previous syntheses relied on using expensive chiral ligands in Trost's dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT), Jacobsen's hydrolytic kinetic resolution (HKR), use of non-natural amino acid, D-serine, chiral Rhodium complexes and other industrially non-feasible methods. ${ }^{9 b-14}$

### 2.2.4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 2.2.4.1. Retrosynthetic analysis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1

Our synthetic strategy for the synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 is outlined in Scheme 7. We envisioned that the target molecule could be synthesized from a key starting material N -Boc$N, O$-isopropylidene-L-serinol 52 which could be readily synthesized in gram-scale from
natural amino acid L-serine 51 in four steps without the need of any purification of the intermediates. ${ }^{16}$ Further protection, deprotection and functional group transformations of 52 would lead to the desired target molecule, $(R)$-lacosamide 1.


Scheme 7. Retrosynthetic analysis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1.

### 2.2.4.2. Synthesis of $(\boldsymbol{R})$-lacosamide 1

As shown in Scheme 8, the synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 started from natural amino acid Lserine 51 which was converted to protected primary alcohol ( N -Boc- $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$-isopropylidene-Lserinol) 52. $N$-Boc- $N, O$-isopropylidene-L-serinol 52 was synthesized in gram-scale from Lserine in 4 steps and $81 \%$ overall yield without the need of purification of any intermediates. ${ }^{16}$ The protected primary alcohol 52 was methylated with MeI and NaH to furnish $\mathbf{5 3}$ in $88 \%$ yield. ${ }^{17}$ It is pertinent to mention here that no racemization was observed during the methylation step whereas in Kuhn et. al. ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ has used neutral conditions for $O$ methylation ${ }^{9 \mathrm{a}}$ using MeI and $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ to avoid racemization (see Scheme 1) in the three-step synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ starting from D-Serine as a starting material. The flexibility of using basic conditions and several industrially preferable methylating agents (such as MeI, dimethyl sulfate, dimethyl carbonate, etc.) for methylation step are the added advantages to the present synthesis.


Scheme 8. Synthesis of ( $R$ )-lacosamide 1. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, MeI, THF, rt, $30 \mathrm{~min}, 88 \%$; (b) PTSA, MeOH , rt, $5 \mathrm{~h}, 86 \%$; (c) TEMPO, $\mathrm{NaOCl}, \mathrm{NaClO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$, $99 \%$; (d) $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, N -methyl morpholine, isobutyl chloroformate, THF, $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to rt, 1
h, $90 \%$; (e) (i) TFA, DCM, rt, overnight; (ii) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, DMAP, DCM, rt, $4 \mathrm{~h},(80 \%$ over 2 steps).

Deprotection of acetonide group of $\mathbf{5 3}$ was carried out in acidic conditions using $p$-toluene sulfonic acid in methanol to furnish compound $\mathbf{5 4}$ in $86 \%$ yield. Oxidation of primary alcohol in $\mathbf{5 4}$ with TEMPO resulted in the clean formation of acid $\mathbf{5 5}$ ( $99 \%$ yield) which was used in the next step as such without any further purification. Acid 55 was coupled with benzyl amine using the well-established mixed anhydride method involving $N$-methyl morpholine and isobutyl chloroformate to furnish amide 56 (90\%). The final Boc deprotection of 56 with TFA followed by acetylation of the crude amine with acetic anhydride and DMAP followed by flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right)$ resulted in the formation of final product $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ in $80 \%$ yield over two steps. Chiral HPLC of $\mathbf{1}$ proved that no racemization had taken place during the synthesis ( $100 \% e e$ ).

### 2.2.5. CONCLUSION

In summary, $(R)$-lacosamide 1 was synthesized using the chiral pool strategy with $100 \%$ ee without any racemization during the intermediate steps. Further, $\mathbf{1}$ was synthesized in 5 steps with an overall yield of $54 \%$ starting from $N$-Boc- $N, O$-isopropylidene-L-serinol 52 which can be readily synthesized in gram-scale from natural amino acid L-serine. The advantages of this present synthesis over the other existing methods are the use of natural amino acid Lserine as a starting material, short and high yielding steps without the need of kinetic resolution or expensive chiral ligands thereby making the synthesis of the drug molecule more easier for the industrial synthesis. Further, several O -substituted, N -substituted and amide substituted diverse analogs could be synthesized by using the chiral pool strategy with $100 \% e e$ for further development of new potent anti-epileptic agents.

### 2.2.6. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## ${ }^{t}$ Butyl (R)-4-(methoxymethyl)-2,2-dimethyloxazolidine-3-carboxylate (53)



53
To a dry THF solution ( 30 mL ) of alcohol $52(3 \mathrm{~g}, 12.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added $\mathrm{NaH}(0.985 \mathrm{~g}$ of $60 \%$ dispersion in mineral oil, 24.64 mmol ) and then MeI was added dropwise ( 1.6 mL ,
25.94 mmol ), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min . After the reaction was complete (TLC), it was cooled on an ice bath and quenched by slowly adding cold water ( 30 mL ). The mixture was extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 X 40 mL ). The combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After concentration of the combined organic layers, the residual oil was subjected to flash chromatography (CombiFlash $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 200i, Teledyne Isco) using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ silica gel column ( 12 g ) eluting with petroleum ether-EtOAc (9:1, isocratic) to furnish methyl ether 53 as a colourless liquid (2.8 $\mathrm{g}, 88 \%) ; \mathbf{R}_{\boldsymbol{f}}=0.35\left(10 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in petroleum ether); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}-46.6\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{Lit} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}\right.$ $\left.-42.4\left(c 1.37, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{17}\right]$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\text {max }}: 3370,3017,2934,1688,1464,1387,1252,1217$, $1168,1095,1030,851,766,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.04-3.88(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $3.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.34-3.26(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.61-1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 15 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 152.2,151.7,93.7,93.3,80.3,79.8,72.1,71.3,65.6,65.3,59.0,58.9,56.3,56.1$, 28.4, 28.4, 27.5, 26.7, 24.3, 23.0; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+$ $\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 268.1519$; found: 268.1506.
${ }^{t}$ Butyl (S)-(1-hydroxy-3-methoxypropan-2-yl)carbamate (54)


To a solution of $\mathbf{5 3}(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 6.11 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(27 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added $p$-toluene sulfonic acid monohydrate $(0.210 \mathrm{~g}, 1.22 \mathrm{mmol})$ and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 5 h . After the completion of the reaction, the reaction mixture was treated with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ( 30 mL ) and then extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 X 30 mL ). The combined organic extracts were concentrated and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (CombiFlash $R_{\mathrm{f}} 200 i$, Teledyne Isco) using RediSep®silica gel column ( 12 g ) eluting with petroleum ether- $\operatorname{EtOAc}(1: 1$, isocratic) to furnish alcohol $\mathbf{5 4}$ as a colourless liquid ( $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ ); $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35\left(50 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in petroleum ether); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+4.8(c$ $\left.1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\mathrm{Lit} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{25}+3.8\left(c 0.95, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{10}\right]$; IR $\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) \mathrm{v}_{\text {max }}: 3440,3015,2934,1699$, 1506, 1376, 1318, 1221, 1169, 1095, 1056, 978, 926, 761, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(200 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 5.22(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.86-3.44(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 156.1,79.7,73.0,63.7,59.2,51.5,28.4 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{NO}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$228.1206; found: 228.1196.

## N -('Butoxycarbonyl)-O-methyl-D-serine (55)



To a solution of compound $54(0.920 \mathrm{~g}, 4.48 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}(4.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ and saturated solution of $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}(4.6 \mathrm{~mL})$ were added $\mathrm{NaClO}_{2}(0.810 \mathrm{~g}$, 8.96 mmol$)$, TEMPO $(0.190 \mathrm{~g}$, $1.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaOCl}(0.19 \mathrm{~mL})$. After stirring for 3 h , the mixture was poured into water $(9 \mathrm{~mL})$ and extracted with dichloromethane ( 3 X 30 mL ). The combined organic extracts were washed with water, brine and dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After evaporation of the solvent, the product 55 was used in the next step without further purification ( $0.985 \mathrm{~g}, 99 \%$ ); light orange liquid; $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.16\left(60 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}\right.$ in petroleum ether); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}-13.4\left(c 1.03, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$ [Lit. $\left.[\alpha]_{D}{ }^{26}-19.2\left(c 1.4, \mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right)^{10}\right]$; IR ( $\left.\mathbf{C H C l}_{3}\right) v_{\max }: 3441,3018,2985,2935,1713,1505$, 1405, 1376, 1218, 1166, 1118, 1063, 925, 850, 762, $669 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~ C D C l ~} \mathbf{H}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 5.43(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.42(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37$ (s, 3H), 1.45 ( $\mathrm{s}, 9 \mathrm{H}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~} \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\delta: 174.8,155.8,80.3,72.5,59.4,28.4 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{NO}_{5} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 242.0999$; found: 242.0987.
${ }^{\boldsymbol{t}}$ Butyl (R)-(1-(benzylamino)-3-methoxy-1-oxopropan-2-yl) carbamate (56)


To a solution of acid $55(0.985 \mathrm{~g}, 4.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry THF ( 10 ml ) was added $N$-methyl morpholine ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under an argon atmosphere. After 5 min , isobutyl chloroformate ( $0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and stirred for another 5 min . To this reaction mixture benzyl amine ( $0.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.39 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the reaction mixture was allowed to come up to room temperature and stirred for another 1 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of celite, and washed with ethyl acetate ( 20 mL ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude reaction mixture was subjected to flash chromatography (CombiFlash $R_{\mathrm{f}} 200 i$, Teledyne Isco) using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ silica gel column ( 12 g ) eluting with petroleum ether-EtOAc (3:2, isocratic) to yield 56 as a white solid ( $1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ); $\mathbf{R}_{f}=0.35$ ( $40 \%$ EtOAc in petroleum ether); mp 63-64 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left[\right.$ Lit. $\left.63-64{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{10}\right] ;[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}-24.3\left(c 1.04, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)[$ Lit. $\left.[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}{ }^{26}-20.5\left(c 0.9, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)^{10}\right]$; IR $\left(\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \cup_{\max }: 3428,3345,3017,2933,2405,1708,1671$,

1493, 1365, 1220, 1167, 1116, 1075, 1025, 922, 860, 762, $668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 2 0 0 ~ M H z , ~}$ $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.40-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{brs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.33(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 4.27 (brs, 1H), $3.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.9,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.50(\mathrm{dd}, J=6.2,9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 9 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta: 170.3,155.5,138.0,128.7,127.5,80.4,72.1$, 59.1, 43.5, 28.3; HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$331.1628; found: 331.1612.

## (R)-2-Acetamido- N -benzyl-3-methoxypropanamide (Lacosamide) (1)


(R)-Lacosamide 1

To a solution of compound $56(0.320 \mathrm{~g}, 1.03 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dichloromethane $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added trifluoroacetic acid ( 1.6 mL ) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. After the completion of reaction (TLC), the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude reaction mixture was dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(7.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and slowly acetic anhydride ( $1.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.57 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) dissolved in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(1.5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and a catalytic amount of DMAP ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.103 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for further 4 h . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (CombiFlash $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ 200i, Teledyne Isco) using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ silica gel column ( 12 g ) eluting with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{MeOH}$ (95:5, isocratic) to afford pure 1 as a white solid $(0.207 \mathrm{~g}, 80 \%$ for 2 steps $) ; \mathbf{R}_{f}=0.4$ ( $10 \%$ MeOH in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); mp 140-141 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [Lit. 140-141 $\left.{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{11} 142-143{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{12} 143-144{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}^{13}\right] ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}$ +15.9 (c 1.01, MeOH) $\left[\right.$ Lit. $+16.2(c 1, \mathrm{MeOH}){ }^{11}{ }^{1}+16.1(c 1.2, \mathrm{MeOH}){ }^{12}+16.1$ (c 1, $\mathrm{MeOH})^{13}$ ]; IR ( $\mathbf{C H C l}_{\mathbf{3}}$ ) $\cup_{\text {max }}: 3671,3421,3304,3015,2933,2404,1657,1515,1456,1376$, $1218,1115,927,762,668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, CDCl $_{3}$ ) $\delta: 7.36-7.21(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.02$ (brs, 1H), $6.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{dt}, J=4.4,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.51-4.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.77$ (ddd, $J=1.7,4.2,9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5,8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.36(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 170.5,170.0,137.9,128.7,127.4,71.9,59.1,52.5,43.5,23.1 ;$ HRMS (ESI): $m / z$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 273.1210$; found: 273.1195; HPLC: ee $100 \%$ [The ee of ( $R$ )-lacosamide (1) was determined by chiral HPLC analysis: Chiralcel OD-H ( 0.46 cm X 25 cm ), iso-propyl alcohol- ${ }^{n}$ hexane-trifluoroacetic acid (30:70:0.1), flow rate $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; UV detection at $\left.220 \mathrm{~nm} ; t_{\mathrm{R}}=10.5 \mathrm{~min}\right]$.
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### 2.2.8. SPECTRA

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound $53\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $53\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR spectrum of compound $54\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $54\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $55\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of compound $56\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR spectrum of $(\boldsymbol{R})$-lacosamide $1\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


### 2.2.9. Chiral HPLC analysis data

## HPLC Analysis of rac-lacosamide

Chiralcel OD-H, PE:IPA:TFA (70:30:0.1), flow $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 220 nm


VWD: Signal A, 220 nm Results

| Retention Time | Area | Area \% |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 10.933 | 60362527 | 48.13 |  |
| 12.690 | 65048227 | 51.87 |  |
| Totals |  | 125410754 | 100.00 |

Column : Chiral OD-H
Eluent System : 70:30:0.1 (PE:IPA:TFA)
Flow rate: $\quad 0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$
Injection vol..: 20 ul
Wavelength: 220 nm
Sample Conc.: $\quad 1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$

## HPLC Analysis of ( $\boldsymbol{R}$ )-lacosamide 1

Chiralcel OD-H, PE:IPA:TFA (70:30:0.1), flow $0.5 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, det.: UV 220 nm


| VWD: Signal A, 220 nm Results Retention Time | Area | Area \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10.533 | 163938209 | 100.00 |
| Totals |  |  |
|  | 163938209 | 100.00 |

Column :
Eluent System :
20 ul
Wavelength: 220 nm
Sample Conc.: $1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$

## Chapter 3

## Studies Directed Towards Bioactives from Vernonia arborea

## Synthesis and anticancer studies of Michael adducts and Heck arylation products of sesquiterpene lactones, zaluzanin $D$ and zaluzanin C from Vernonia arborea

### 3.1. INTRODUCTION

The generation of diverse chemical libraries using natural products as scaffolds is considered one of the effective methods for drug discovery. ${ }^{1}$ Sesquiterpene lactones are sesquiterpenoids ( 3 isoprene units) and contain a lactone ring. Sesquiterpenes bearing $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated $\gamma$-lactones are ubiquitous in nature and have been reported to be isolated from various genera of the family Asteraceae but also occur sporadically in other angiosperm families, Apiaceae, Magnoliaceae and even in some liverworts. They exhibit diverse biological activities such as anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, antiulcer, antiviral, anticancer and antimalarial activities. ${ }^{2}$ Sesquiterpene lactones can be divided into several main classes such as germacranolides, heliangolides, guaianolides, pseudoguaianolides, hypocretenolides, eudesmanolides depending on ring framework as shown in Figure 1.


Germacranolides


Pseudoguaianolides


Heliangolides


Hypocretenolides



Eudesmanolides

Figure 1. Some main classes of sesquiterpene lactones.

The genus Vernonia (family Asteraceae) consists of approximately 1000 species of herbs and shrubs. ${ }^{3}$ Several research groups have isolated structurally diverse sesquiterpene lactones from various species of genus Vernonia possessing various biological properties. ${ }^{4,5}$

$R=A c$, Glaucolide $K$
R = H, Glaucolide L


Vernonilide A


Vernolepin


Vernomenin


Vernopicrin


Vernomelitensin

Figure 2. Selected examples of sesquiterpene lactones isolated from genus Vernonia.

### 3.2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Sesquiterpene lactones react with nucleophilic sulfhydryl groups present in enzymes, proteins, and glutathione. ${ }^{6}$ The use of sesquiterpene lactones as therapeutic agents is limited due to their poor water solubility. To overcome this, amino-adducts of sesquiterpene lactones have been prepared by adding different amines to the $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-lactone substructure to enhance the water solubility of the parent molecules and to retain their biological activity. ${ }^{7,8}$ Regeneration of parent $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $\gamma$-lactone occurs by the retroMichael reaction, potentially through bioactivation at the site of action (Figure 3). This prodrug approach has transformed several sesquiterpene lactones such as alantolactone, ambrosin, arglabin, costunolide, helenalin, parthenolide and ivangustin, into successful clinical candidates. ${ }^{8}$


Figure 3. Conversion of $\alpha$-methylamino- $\gamma$-butyrolactones to $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-butyrolactones and trapping with biological thiols.

### 3.3. PRESENT WORK

## Objective

Continuing our interest in naturally occurring sesquiterpene lactones ${ }^{9}$ and other bioactive secondary metabolites, we wished to take up the chemical examination of $V$. arborea leaves for the isolation of bioactive secondary metabolites, zaluzanin C and zaluzanin D . In the present study, several structurally diverse Michael adducts of zaluzanin D have been synthesized with the formation of one or two new C-N bonds. Analogs of zaluzanin D with a new C-C bond formation have also been synthesized by using Pd catalyzed Heck coupling reaction. The in vitro anticancer activities of all the analogs were tested against human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231.

### 3.4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.4.1. Plant material

The aerial parts of $V$. arborea were collected from the Kolli Hills (Perumakkai Shola), Tamilnadu, India during March 2013. The plant was identified by Prof. Dr. N. Parthasarathy, Department of Ecology and Environmental Sciences, Pondicherry University, India, where a voucher specimen (No. 5318) is being maintained.

## Extraction and Isolation

Air-dried and grounded leaves ( 2.8 kg ) of $V$. arborea were extracted with petroleum ether ( 5 x 5.0 L ) at room temperature for five days. After completion of the extraction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the petroleum ether extract ( 105 g ). The remaining plant material was further extracted with $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \times 5.0 \mathrm{~L}$ ) at room temperature for five days. After completion of the extraction, the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure to afford the MeOH extract ( 224.15 g ).

A portion of the petroleum ether extract ( 5.3 g ) was flash chromatographed on CombiFlash Companion, Isco Teledyne Inc., USA using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ column $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 12 \mathrm{~g}\right.$, stacked together) and isocratic elution was done with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (4:96) to furnish the pure compound ( $66.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \%$ ) which was identified as zaluzanin D 1 on the basis of its spectral data, comparison of spectral data with reported data ${ }^{10}$ and co-TLC with an authentic sample. Further flash chromatography with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (6:94) furnished a pure compound, which was identified as zaluzanin C 2 ( $16.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0098 \%$ ) by its spectral data and comparison of spectral data with reported data. ${ }^{10}$


1


2

Figure 4. Structures of zaluzanin 1 and zaluzanin C 2 isolated from $V$. arborea.

Further flash chromatography with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether (6:94) furnished zaluzanin C 2 (Figure 4). Finally, we proved the structure of zaluzanin D 1 by its single crystal X-ray analysis (Figure 5). ${ }^{11 a}$


Figure 5. ORTEP diagram of zaluzanin D 1.

### 3.4.2. Chemistry

### 3.4.2.1. Synthesis of Michael adducts of zaluzanin D using different chiral/achiral amines

Since Michael addition of primary or secondary amines to $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated lactones resulted in the compounds possessing higher anticancer activities compared to the original
compound, we wished to synthesize a library of amino adducts of zaluzanin D with one or more new C-N bonds. The amino derivatives of zaluzanin D 1 were synthesized via Michael addition of different amines to the $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $\gamma$-lactone functionality present in zaluzanin D. As shown in Scheme 1, a methanolic solution of chiral/achiral amine and zaluzanin D underwent Michael addition at room temperature to furnish various Michael adducts of zaluzanin D, 3-22 (Table 1). ${ }^{11 \mathrm{~b}}$ Michael addition of chiral amine ( $S$ )-(-)- $\alpha-$ methylbenzylamine to zaluzanin D furnished a mixture of two products, compound $\mathbf{3}$ and its corresponding deacetylated product 4 (entry 1, Table 1) which were isolated by flash chromatography. The formation of deacetylated product 4 may be due to the in situ formation of zaluzanin $C$ which in turn formed due to the basicity of amine used in the Michael addition.


Zaluzanin D 1


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Ac}(\text { (or) } \mathrm{H} \\
& \mathrm{R}_{1}=\text { Aryl (or) Alkyl } \\
& \mathrm{R}_{2}=\text { Aryl (or) Alkyl (or) H }
\end{aligned}
$$

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Michael adducts of zaluzanin D 1.
Addition of the amine to zaluzanin D was found to be diastereoselective, and the stereochemistry of C-11 in compound $\mathbf{3}$ was assigned ${ }^{12}$ as $\alpha$ by its NOESY experiment. In the NOESY spectra of compound 3, correlations were observed between $6 \beta-\mathrm{H}$ and $11 \beta-\mathrm{H}$. Also, $5 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ showed a correlation with $7 \alpha-\mathrm{H}$ (Figure 6).


Figure 6. NOE correlations of compound 3.
Similarly, the reaction of zaluzanin D 1 with $(R)-(+)-\alpha$-methylbenzylamine resulted in the formation of compounds 5 and 6. The reaction of zaluzanin D 1 with $(S)$ - and $(R)-1-(1-$
naphthyl) ethylamine also furnished of compounds $\mathbf{7 , 8} \mathbf{8}$ and $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ respectively (entries 3 and 4, Table 1). However, reaction with $(R)$ - and ( $S$ )-1-cyclohexylethylamine furnished the deacetylated product only (compounds 11 and 12, entries 5 and 6, Table 1). Next, we carried out the reaction of zaluzanin D 1 with various six and five-membered cyclic amines, which furnished corresponding C-N derivatives of zaluzanin D 1 (entries 7-11, Table 1). 4Hydroxypiperidine and morpholine on reaction with zaluzanin D 1 yielded acetylated products ( 13 and 17) and deacetylated products ( 14 and 18), respectively (entries 7 and 9, respectively, Table 1). An interesting result was obtained in case of piperazine (entry 9, Table 1), which furnished acetylated dimer 15 and mono deacetylated dimer 16 with two new C-N bonds on both sides of piperazine. However, pyrrolidine and piperidine resulted in the formation of deacetylated products only ( $\mathbf{1 9}$ and 20, respectively) (entries 10 and 11, Table 1). Further, we tried to form a C-N bond of zaluzanin D 1 with amino acid methyl ester hydrochlorides (entries 12 and 13, Table 1). In this case, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ was used as a base for in situ generation of the free amine, which on reaction with zaluzanin D 1 furnished the deacetylated product 21. However, in case of valine methyl ester hydrochloride, excess use of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ resulted in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond formed product 22 .

Table 1. Michael adducts of zaluzanin D synthesized using various amines.
Entry



### 3.4.2.2. Synthesis of Heck arylated analogs of zaluzanin D using different aryl iodides

 (23-34)Although several structural modifications such as Michael addition, reduction, cyclopropanation of the double bond, oxidation of hydroxyl group of sesquiterpene lactones have been made in literature, transition metal catalyzed cross coupling reactions of sesquiterpene lactones were less explored. ${ }^{13}$ We presumed that Heck arylation of $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated $\gamma$-lactone core of zaluzanin D would provide additional information on structure-activity data of zaluzanin D. As shown in Scheme 2, Heck arylation analogs (2334) of zaluzanin D were synthesized ${ }^{11 b}$ using the standard Heck coupling conditions (5 $\mathrm{mol} \% \mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ in DMF at $\left.80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and readily available aryl iodides.


Scheme 2. Synthesis of Heck analogs of zaluzanin D 1.
It should be mentioned here that arylation preferred to take place at the exo methylene of $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $\gamma$-lactone substructure over the other two isolated exo methylene groups present in zaluzanin D and resulted in the exclusive formation of $E$-olefin ${ }^{14}$ containing products only (Table 2).

Table 2. Heck analogs of zaluzanin D synthesized using various aryl iodides.

| Entry | Substrate | Aryl iodide | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 2 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 3 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 4 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 5 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 6 | zaluzanin D |  |  |
| 7 | zaluzanin D |  |  |

zaluzanin D

### 3.4.3. Biology

### 3.4.3.1. In vitro anticancer activities of Michael adducts of zaluzanin $D$ (3-22)

Since zaluzanin D 1 had exhibited anticancer activity against human breast cancer cell line (Table 3), we screened all the synthesized compounds for their antiproliferative activity against breast cancer cell line MCF7 and selected compounds $\mathbf{1 , 9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ against MDA-MB-231. Cells were treated with different concentrations $(0-100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of the synthesized compounds for 48 h and then MTT assay was performed as described in the experimental section. Among these compounds $\mathbf{1 , 9 , 1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ showed activity ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50}<50 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) against MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 (Figure 5 and Table 3). Among these four compounds, ( $R$ )-1-(1naphthyl) ethylamine adducts of zaluzanin D and $\mathrm{C}($ i.e., $\mathbf{9}$ and 10) were found to show most potent antiproliferative effect ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $18.8 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively) against MCF7 cells as compared to other synthesized compounds. Interestingly, compound 9 was found to possess
more potent antiproliferative activity in highly metastatic MDA-MB-231 cell line (IC50 $13.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) as compared to MCF7 ( $\mathrm{IC}_{50} 30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ ) suggesting that $\mathbf{9}$ could be more effective in inducing cell death in higher grades of breast cancer. However, it also has potent cytotoxic effect on the normal breast epithelial MCF10A cells suggesting that compound 9 could not be useful for therapeutic purpose (Figure 5c and Table 3). Interestingly, compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ has the minimal cytotoxic effect against the MCF10A suggesting that it could be a better candidate compound for further designing the small molecule to chemotherapy (Figure 5c and Table 3). The in vitro anticancer activities of all the synthesized Michael adducts are depicted in Table 3.

Table 3. In vitro anticancer activities of Michael adducts of zaluzanin D (3-22).

| Compound | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{IC}_{50} \text { in } \mu \mathrm{M} \\ \text { (mean } \pm \mathrm{sd} \text { ) against } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | MCF7 | MDA-MB-231 | MCF10A |
| 1 (zaluzanin D) | $53.7 \pm 4.11$ | $34.17 \pm 4.48$ | $29.6 \pm 0.84$ |
| 2 (zaluzanin C) | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 3 | $71.2 \pm 0.9$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| 4 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 5 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 6 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 7 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 8 | $75.8 \pm 3$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| 9 | $30 \pm 0.7$ | $13.33 \pm 1.53$ | $42 \pm 4.1$ |
| 10 | $18.83 \pm 1.7$ | $23 \pm 1$ | >100 |
| 11 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 12 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 13 | $56.1 \pm 0.9$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| 14 | $40 \pm 2.16$ | $25 \pm 2.3$ | $>50$ |
| 15 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 16 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |
| 17 | >100 | Not tested | Not tested |


| $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $>100$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $>100$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $>100$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $>100$ | Not tested | Not tested |
| $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $>100$ | Not tested | Not tested |



Figure 5. Effect of selected compounds on growth of breast cancer cell lines. Breast cancer cell line (a) MCF7 (b) MDA-MB-231 and (c) MCF10A were treated with different concentrations of compounds for 48 h , and then MTT assay was performed as described in experimental section. MTT assay was repeated three times and mean values were plotted with standard deviation.

To understand the inhibition of proliferation of cancer cells by these compounds, we have performed the FACS as described in the experimental section. Results showed that treatment of MCF7 cells with $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ significantly increased the apoptotic populations while
compound 1 and 14 induced apoptosis to a lesser extent indicating that compounds 9 and 10 execute their antiproliferative activity through induction of apoptosis (Figure 6a). To validate the apoptotic induction by these two compounds ( 9 and 10), we then checked fragmentation profile of genomic DNA following their treatment. ${ }^{15}$ Results demonstrated that the presence of substantial fragmented DNA ladder following treatment of MCF7 cells with these compounds (Figure 6b). Similar results were also observed in vivo upon staining the DNA with Hoechst (Figure 6c). Results taken together revealed that compounds 9 and 10 are the potent inducer of apoptosis and thereby inhibit the cancer cells proliferation. We then checked the apoptotic pathway and found that $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ induced apoptosis through the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis through cleavage of caspase 9 (Figure 6d). ${ }^{16-17}$ Collectively, these results suggest that $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ may function as anticancer agents through induction of intrinsic apoptosis.


Figure 6. Compound 9 and 10 promote apoptosis in cancer cell line. (a) MCF7 cells were treated with $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{9}$, and $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ for 24 h and cells were then collected for FACS analysis as mentioned in material and methods. The experiment was repeated three times
and mean of the sub-G1 population was plotted. (b) Compound $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ promoted DNA fragmentation of MCF7 cells. MCF7 cells were either vehicle-treated (DMSO) or $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{9}$ or $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ for 24 h . Cells were then collected, and fragmented DNA was isolated as described in the experimental section. (c) Immunofluorescence data depicts the fragmentation of genomic DNA following treatment of Compound $\mathbf{9}$ and $\mathbf{1 0}$ clearly show fragmented DNA (white arrows) and stressed tubulin morphology (orange arrows). Red: tubulin, blur: Hoechst. (d) Compound 9 and 10 induce apoptosis through intrinsic pathway. MCF7 cells were treated with either vehicle-treated (DMSO) or $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{9}$ or $20 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$ for 24 h . Cells were then collected, lysed, and whole cell protein extracts were immunoblotted for indicated proteins.

### 3.4.3.2. In vitro anticancer activities of Heck analogs of zaluzanin $D$ (23-34)

All the synthesized Heck analogs 23-34 were assayed for their antiproliferative activity against breast cancer cell line MCF7 as described in experimental section. Among all the synthesized Heck analogs, three analogs 29, 31 and 32 exhibited good antiproliferative activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $74 \mu \mathrm{M}, 37 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $36.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. The in vitro anticancer activities of all the synthesized Heck analogs are shown in Table 4.

Table 4. In vitro anticancer activities of Heck analogs of zaluzanin D (23-34).

| Compound | IC $_{50}$ in $\mu \mathrm{M}$ <br> against MCF7 |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 4}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 74 |
| $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $>100$ |
| $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 37 |
| $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 36.5 |
| $\mathbf{3 4}$ | $>100$ |

### 3.5. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have isolated two guaianolide class of sesquiterpene lactones, zaluzanin D 1 and zaluzanin C 2 from the leaves of $V$. arborea. A library of several diverse Michael adducts (3-22) and Heck arylation analogs (23-34) of zaluzanin D 1 have been synthesized by reacting with various amines and aryl iodides, respectively. All the new functionalized molecules were assayed for their in vitro anticancer activities against human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 and selected compounds were checked in MCF10A. Isolated zaluzanin D 1 exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 53.7 and $34.17 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines whereas zaluzanin C 2 was inactive to both the cell lines. Four Michael analogs (9,10, 13 and 14) were found to possess potent anti-cancer activity as compared to other synthesized compounds. Out of these four compounds, compound 9 and 10 were found to exhibit potent antiproliferative effect against MCF7 cells. Compound 9 exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $13.33 \mu \mathrm{M}$, whereas compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ exhibited $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $18.83 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $23 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against MCF7 and MDA-MB-231 cell lines, respectively. However, compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ has minimal cytotoxic effect against the normal breast MCF10A cells indicating that compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ could be a potential compound for the development of superior anticancer therapeutic compound. Further, amongst all the synthesized Heck analogs 23-34, two analogs $\mathbf{3 1}$ and $\mathbf{3 2}$ exhibited good antiproliferative activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of 37 and $36.5 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively.

### 3.6. EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

## Zaluzanin D (1):



Colorless solid; m.p.: $105-106{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ [reported $\left.{ }^{10} 103-104{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right] ; \boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.35(\mathrm{DCM}) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+23.5$ (c $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\right.$ reported $\left.^{10}+21.43\left(\mathrm{c} 0.28, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right] ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.22(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.04-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.58-2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.8,170.0,148.1,147.8,139.6$,
120.4, 114.4, 113.6, 83.8, 74.7, 50.3, 45.3, 44.6, 36.5, 34.6, 30.6, 21.3; LC-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ at $311.06(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{4}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$289.1434, found 289.1433.

## Zaluzanin C (2):



Brown viscous liquid; $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 19) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+45.4\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\left[\right.$ reported $^{10}$ $\left.+50\left(\mathrm{c} 0.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)\right] ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 6.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $3.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.46(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.58(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.11(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.1,153.0,148.0,139.7,120.3,114.4,111.3,83.9,73.6,49.9,45.6,44.2,39.0$, 34.2, 30.6; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{O}_{3}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 247.1329$, found 247.1329.

General procedure for the synthesis of amino derivatives of zaluzanin D 1 (3-22):
Zaluzanin D 1 (1 equiv.) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{MeOH}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ and then amine ( 1.5 equiv.) was added to it and stirred at rt for overnight under argon. After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness and the residue was purified using CombiFlash $R \mathrm{f} 200 i$ with UV/VIS and ELSD, Isco Teledyne Inc. using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}{ }^{\circledR}$ column ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) and eluted with EtOAc-petroleum ether $(0 \rightarrow 70 \%$, gradient) to furnish the pure amino derivatives 3-22.

## General procedure for the synthesis of Heck arylated analogs of zaluzanin D 1 (23-34)

To a mixture of aryl halide ( 3 equiv.) and palladium (II) acetate ( $5 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) in DMF was added zaluzanin D (1 equiv.) and stirred at room temperature for 10 min and then triethylamine ( 7 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture and heated at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under air for 24 h . After completion of the reaction (TLC), the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature, water ( 2 mL ) was added, and the resultant mixture was extracted with EtOAc ( 5 mL X 3 ). The organic layers were dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and concentrated under reduced pressure and then purified using CombiFlash $R \mathrm{f} 200 i$ with UV/VIS and ELSD, Isco Teledyne Inc. using RediSep ${ }^{\circledR}$ column ( $12 \mathrm{~g}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) and eluted with EtOAc-petroleum ether $(0 \rightarrow 70 \%$, gradient) which furnished the pure aryl derivatives of zaluzanin D (23-34).
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxo-3-((( (S)-1-phenylethyl)amino)methyl) dodecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl-acetate (3):


Dark brown viscous liquid (62\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $2: 3) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}+25.3(c$ $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.36-7.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.22$ (m, 1H), 5.57-5.51 (m, 1H), 5.39 (t, $J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{t}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.97-1.88(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.8$, $170.8,148.6,148.5,144.9,128.6,127.2,126.8,113.6,113.2,84.3,74.8,58.4,50.0,47.3$, 45.0, 44.5, 44.1, 36.4, 36.1, 32.1, 29.8, 24.5, 21.3; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 432.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 410.2326$, found 410.2328.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-((( $(S)$-1-phenylethyl)amino) methyl)decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (4):


Dark brown viscous liquid (32\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+21.1(c$ $1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.36-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32-7.30(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29(\mathrm{t}, J=2.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{tt}, J=7.3,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.18(\mathrm{q}$, $J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04(\mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.77(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-$ $2.35(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.34-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-1.85(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.77 (m, 1H), 1.78-1.68 (m, 1H), $1.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 0 0 ~ M H z , ~}$ $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.9,153.4,148.8,144.9,128.8,128.6,127.8,126.8,126.2,113.6,110.9,84.4$, 73.5, 58.4, 51.1, 47.2, 45.4, 44.5, 43.7, 38.9, 35.9, 29.8, 24.5, 23.3; LCMS (ESI): m/z $390.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 368.2220$, found 368.2220.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxo-3-((((R)-1-phenyl ethyl)amino)methyl) dodecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl-acetate (5):


Brown viscous liquid (66\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 2:3); $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+34.4$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.37-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-548(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.90(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.00(\mathrm{t}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.75(\mathrm{q}, J=6.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.79(\mathrm{td}, J=14.2,6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.6,170.8,148.5,148.3$, $144.3,128.6,127.2,126.7,113.6,113.2,84.2,74.7,58.7,49.9,47.2,45.9,45.7,44.0,36.3$, 36.1, 32.4, 29.7, 23.9, 21.3; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 432.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 410.2326$, found 410.2329 .
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-((( $(R)$-1-phenylethyl)amino) methyl)decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (6):


Brown viscous liquid (28\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+33.1$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.36-7.26(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 5.37(\mathrm{t}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.29$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.59-4.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.03(\mathrm{t}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.75(\mathrm{q}, ~ J=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $2.00-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62-1.47(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.8,153.3,148.7,144.6,128.6,127.2,126.7,113.7,110.9$, 84.3, 73.5, 58.7, 49.6, 47.3, 46.3, 45.8, 43.6, 38.8, 35.9, 32.4, 29.7, 24.0; LCMS (ESI): m/z $390.07(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 368.2220$, found 368.2208 . (3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-3-((( $(S)$-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)amino) methyl)-2-oxododecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (7):


Dark brown viscous liquid (52\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.60$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}+20.4(c$ $1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94-7.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.72-4.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 3.03 (d, $J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 2.84-2.72 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.33 (m, 4H), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.85-1.66$ (m, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.18-1.04(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.8$, $170.9,148.8,148.5,143.2,140.5,134.0,130.8,129.1,127.4,126.1,125.7,125.5,123.0$, $121.5,113.4,113.0,84.3,74.8,53.9,49.9,48.0,46.6,45.5,45.0,43.9,36.4,32.5,24.8$, 23.3, 21.4; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 482.09(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 460.2482, found 460.2487.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-((()S)-1-(naphthalene-1-yl) ethyl)amino)methyl)decahydroazuleno[4,5-b] furan-2(3H)-one (8):


Dark brown viscous liquid (27\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+35.1(c$ $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.22(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.90-7.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.75 (d, $J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.54-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.65(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.52(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05-3.95$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,4.1, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.65(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.13$ (m, 1H), 2.11-2.01 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.83-1.65 (m, 3H), $1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.9,153.4,148.9$, 140.4, 134.1, 131.5, 129.0, 127.4, 125.8, 125.7, 125.4, 123.4, 123.0, 113.5, 110.8, 84.3, 73.6, 53.9, 49.6, 47.9, 46.0, 45.2, 43.5, 38.8, 36.1, 32.5, 23.3; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 440.14(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 418.2377$, found 418.2373.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-3-((( $(R)$-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl)amino)
methyl)-2-oxododecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (9):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (57\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.70\left(\right.$ EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{25}+30.9(c$ 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.29(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.55-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.60(\mathrm{q}, J=6.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.98(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.2,5.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.48-2.40 (m, 1H), 2.40-2.25 (m, 3H), $2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.62(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.19-1.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.7,170.8,148.6,148.4,143.1$, $140.3,133.9,130.7,129.0,127.2,126.0,125.6,125.4,122.9,121.4,113.3,112.9,84.1$, 74.7, 53.8, 49.8, 47.9, 46.4, 45.4, 44.9, 43.8, 36.3, 32.3, 24.7, 23.1, 21.2; LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / z$ $482.05(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 460.2482$, found 460.2487.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-((( $(R)$-1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethyl) amino)methyl)decahydroazuleno[4,5-b] furan-2(3H)-one (10):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (34\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $\left.\boldsymbol{\alpha}\right]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+24.6$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.89-7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.35(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.66(\mathrm{q}, J=6.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.53(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.04-3.97(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87(\mathrm{dd}, J=12.4,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.67(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.14(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.06(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.9,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.90-1.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.53(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.30-1.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.8,153.3,148.7,140.3$, $134.0,131.4,128.9,127.3,125.7,125.6,125.3,123.2,122.9,113.4,110.6,84.2,76.7,73.5$,
53.8, 49.5, 47.8, 45.9, 45.0, 43.4, 38.7, 36.0, 32.3, 23.2; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 440.02(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{27} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 418.2377$, found 418.2379.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((((R)-1-cyclohexylethyl)amino)methyl)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylenedecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (11):


Brown viscous liquid (88\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ;[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 4}}+17.5\left(c \quad 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0}$ $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.23-4.08 (m, 1H), $3.42(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.2,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.55-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.36-2.27 (m, 1H), 2.23-2.07 (m, 2H), 2.04-2.01 (m, 5H), 1.84-1.65 (m, 6H), 1.65-1.51 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.49-1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.20(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.10-1.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 178.3,176.1,153.0,148.2,114.0,111.1,85.1,73.4,59.7,49.4,46.5,45.0,44.5$, 43.6, 40.5, 38.7, 35.3, 31.5, 29.7, 29.5, 29.3, 27.2, 26.2, 26.1, 25.9, 21.7, 14.0; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 396.15(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$374.2690, found 374.2685.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((( $(S)$-1-cyclohexylethyl)amino)methyl)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylenedecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (12):


Dark brown viscous liquid (86\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+25.9(c$ $\left.1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.38-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.9-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.15(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.47(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.10(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.05-1.96(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.65(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.59-1.52$ (m, 1H), 1.47-1.36 (m, 2H), 1.30-1.27 (m, 1H), $1.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.09-1.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.8,176.0,153.1,148.4,113.9,111.0,84.9,77.3,77.0$, $76.8,73.5,58.0,49.4,46.3,45.0,43.6,43.3,40.9,38.7,35.6,31.9,29.7,27.3,26.3,26.2$,
26.0, 21.7, 14.3; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 396.13(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 374.2690$, found 374.2693 .
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)methyl)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxo dodecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (13):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (73\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.50(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 9) ;[\alpha]{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+63.2\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.54(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93-$ $4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=9.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.74-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93(\mathrm{q}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.74$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.74-2.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.31(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.93-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.5,170.9,148.8,148.4,113.5,113.3,83.9,74.8,67.9,57.6$, 52.2, 50.9, 50.2, 47.9, 45.3, 44.2, 36.4, 36.3, 34.6, 34.3, 32.8, 21.4; LCMS (ESI): m/z $412.07(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 390.2275$, found 390.2272.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-3-((4-hydroxypiperidin-1-yl)methyl)-6,9-dimethylenedecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (14):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (20\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40(\mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{DCM}, 1: 9) ;[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+61.8\left(c 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.28-5.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.01-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56-4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.17-4.06 (m, 1H), 3.66-3.53 (m, 1H), 3.20-3.10 (m, 1H), 3.00-2.88 (m, 2H), 2.86-2.79 (m, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.77-2.70(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.63-2.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.52-2.46(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31-2.20(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.17-2.03$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.73-1.66(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58-1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.45-1.37(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 178.7,153.3,149.4,112.0,108.2,84.2,72.4,66.9,56.9$, $51.8,50.8,48.9,44.8,42.9,38.0,35.5,33.6,33.5,32.2$; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 370.12(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 348.2169$, found 348.2156.
(3R,3aS,3'R,3a'S,6aR,6a'R,8S,8'S,9aR,9bS,9a'R,9b'S)-(piperazine-1,4diylbis(methylene) )bis(6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododeca-hydro-azuleno[4,5-b]furan-3,8-diyl) diacetate (15):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (26\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+67.7$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ) ; ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.61-5.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.72-5.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.29-5.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96-2.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 2.67-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 2.56-2.38 (m, 14H), 2.33-2.27 (m, 2H), 2.26-2.16 (m, 2H), 2.11 (s, 6H), 2.04-1.96 (m, 2H), 1.88-1.74 (m, 2H), 1.46-1.38 (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z , ~ C D C l} 3$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.4,170.9,148.8$, $148.4,113.5,113.4,83.9,74.8,57.6,57.6,53.5,53.5,50.1,47.9,47.9,45.2,44.2,36.4$, 36.3, 32.8, 21.4; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 685.39(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{38} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 663.3640$, found 663.3637 .
(3R,3aS,6aR, $8 S, 9 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{bS})$-3-((4-(( $3 R, 3 \mathrm{aS}, 6 \mathrm{a} R, 8 S, 9 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{bS})$ )8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-3-yl)methyl)piperazin-1-yl)methyl)6,9-dimethyl-ene-2-oxododeca-hydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (16):


Pale yellow viscous liquid (15\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30($ EtOAc-petroleum ether, $7: 3) ;[\alpha] \mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{2 6}}+69.0(c$ 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{2 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.58-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.42-5.37(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.32-5.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94-4.91(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08-3.96(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.48-$ $3.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.56(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.45(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$, 2.44-2.35 (m, 4H), 2.35-2.30 (m, 2H), 2.27-2.14 (m, 2H), 2.11 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 1.84-1.71 (m, 2H), 1.39-1.33 (m, 6H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.4,177.3,170.8$, $153.4,148.9,148.8,148.4,113.5,113.5,113.3,111.0,83.9,83.8,74.8,73.6,58.6,57.6$, $57.5,53.5,50.1,49.8,48.2,47.8,45.2,44.1,43.8,38.9,36.4,36.2,36.0,32.8,21.3,8.3$;

LCMS (ESI): $m / z 643.38(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{36} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$621.3534, found 621.3508 .
(3R,3aS,6aR, $8 S, 9 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{bS}$ )-6,9-dimethylene-3-(morpholinomethyl)-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (17):


Brown viscous liquid (84\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.50$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{26}+69.7$ (c 1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.59-5.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.41(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.28(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 4.94-4.90 (m, 2H), 4.02 (t, $J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.64(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.97-2.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.84-2.76$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.65-2.58(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.40(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H}), 2.39-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23(\mathrm{dq}, J=11.5,3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.03(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81$ (quint, $J=6.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.40-1.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, $\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.1,170.8,148.6,148.3,113.5,113.4,83.7,74.7,66.9,58.0$, 54.0, 50.1, 47.8, 44.9, 44.1, 36.3, 36.1, 32.7, 21.3; LCMS (ESI): m/z $398.07(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 376.2118$, found 376.2115.
(3R,3aS,6aR, $8 S, 9 \mathrm{a}$ R,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-(morpholinomethyl)decahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (18):


Brown viscous liquid (14\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 7:3); $[\alpha]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{26}+66.1$ (c 1, $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.31(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.71-3.65(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.45(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.83-2.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.64-2.57(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.53-2.41(\mathrm{~m}$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.37-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.18(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-2.02(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.81-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.32$ (m, 2H); ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} ; 177.3,153.3,148.8,113.5,111.1,83.8,73.6$, 66.9, 58.0, 54.1, 49.7, 48.2, 45.0, 43.7, 38.8, 35.9, 32.8; LCMS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 356.06(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 334.2013$, found 334.2013.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-(pyrrolidin-1-ylmethyl)deca hydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (19):


Brown viscous liquid (78\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.20(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+42.0\left(c \quad 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0}$ $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.39-5.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.00-4.88(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.14-3.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.55-3.36(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.76-2.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.66-2.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.54-2.44(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.21$ $(\mathrm{m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-1.99(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.99-1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.91-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.82-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.76-$ $\left.1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{~ N M R ~ ( 1 2 5 ~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $177.3,153.3,148.9,113.4,110.8,83.9,73.5,54.5,54.4,49.6,47.7,46.3,43.5,38.8,36.2$, 32.6, 23.5; LCMS (ESI): $m / z 318.18(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$ 318.2064, found 318.2060.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-3-(piperidin-1-ylmethyl)deca hydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (20):


Dark brown viscous liquid ( $66 \%$ ); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30(\mathrm{EtOAc}) ;[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+46.1\left(c \quad 1, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}$ $\left(400 \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93$ (s, 1H), $4.55(\mathrm{dt}, J=7.3,1.4, \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.06(\mathrm{dt}, J=9.6,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.70-2.63 (m, 1H), 2.61-2.53 (m, 3H), 2.52-2.46 (m, 3H), 2.38-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.10 (m, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.08-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.65-1.57(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.50-1.42(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.38-1.32$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 177.6,153.4,149.0,113.5,110.9,84.0,73.6$, 57.7, 54.6, 49.7, 48.3, 44.7, 43.6, 38.8, 36.1, 32.5, 29.8, 25.3, 23.8; LCMS (ESI): $m / z$ $354.14(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+} ;$HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{O}_{3} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 332.2220$, found 332.2219. Methyl(( $3 R, 3 \mathrm{aS}, 6 \mathrm{a}, 8 S, 9 \mathrm{a} R, 9 \mathrm{bS})$-8-hydroxy-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-3-yl)methyl)-L-leucinate (21):


Yellow viscous liquid (82\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.30$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:1); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 5}}+29.8$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.72(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.91-2.84 (m, 2H), 2.84-2.77 (m, 1H), $2.72(\mathrm{dd}, J=11.9,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.50(\mathrm{td}, J=13.3,4.6$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-1.98(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.67(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43-$ $1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.53-1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.94-0.88(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ $177.4,176.1,153.4,148.8,113.7,111.1,84.1,73.6,60.7,51.8,49.7,48.0,46.1,46.0,43.7$, 42.6, 38.9, 35.9, 32.5, 25.0, 22.8, 22.3; LCMS (ESI): m/z $414.27(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~N}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{H}]^{+}$392.2431, found 392.2434.
(3R,3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-hydroxy-3-(methoxymethyl)-6,9-dimethylenedecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-2(3H)-one (22):


Yellow viscous liquid ( $87 \%$ ); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.40$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, $1: 1$ ); $[\boldsymbol{\alpha}]_{\mathbf{D}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 6}}+62.4$ (c 1, $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{H}} 5.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.97(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.55(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.05(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.73-3.68(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.63(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.6$, $1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{td}, J=12.8,4.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-2.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 2.24-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.09-2.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.80-1.71(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.42-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 176.1,153.4,148.9,113.7,110.9,84.1,73.6,68.9,59.4,49.5,48.1$, 45.1, 43.6, 38.9, 36.2, 32.6; LCMS (ESI): m/z $300.99(\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na})^{+}$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 301.1410$, found 301.1398 .
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-methylbenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (23):


Brown viscous liquid (48\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.24(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.61$ $(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.9$,
$8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.41(\mathrm{td}, J=7.4,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.95-2.83(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.49-2.44 (m, 1H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.37-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.21-2.15 (m, 1H), $2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.89-$ $1.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.8,170.9,148.0,147.5,139.9,138.1$, 131.0, 129.7, 129.3, 128.4, 114.7, 113.8, 83.7, 74.7, 50.6, 45.2, 43.5, 36.7, 33.3, 28.4, 21.5, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 401.1723$, found 401.1720 .
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxo-3-((E)-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene) dodecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (24):


Brown viscous liquid (53\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.42$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.67-7.61(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.57-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.56-5.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.35-5.31 (m, 1H), $5.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.42(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $7.5,3.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{q}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.91-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{dt}, J=14.2,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.34-2.21 (m, 3H), 2.19-2.13 (m, 1H), $2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}){ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ $\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.9,170.8,147.9,146.9,135.9,134.7,132.8,131.7,129.2,128.6,125.9$, 125.9, 122.4, 115.1, 114.2, 83.6, 74.6, 50.6, 45.3, 43.3, 36.7, 32.3, 28.1, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 455.1441$, found 455.1436.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-chlorobenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (25):


Brown viscous liquid (64\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.42$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.60-7.54(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.39(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.59(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $7.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24-4.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.39-$ $3.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.85(\mathrm{t}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.36-2.26$ $(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.20-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.3,170.8,147.9,147.2,136.5,135.4,132.4,130.8,130.3,128.9,115.0,114.1,83.5$,
74.6, 50.6, 45.2, 43.4, 36.7, 32.9, 28.3, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{ClNa}$ $[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$421.1177, found 421.1171 .
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-2-methoxy-6-nitrobenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxodo decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (26):


Brown viscous liquid (42\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.37$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.86$ (brs, 1H), $5.56(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.10(\mathrm{t}, J=9.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.11-3.01(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.57-$ $2.50(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.28-2.19(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.86-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.64-$ $1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.9,170.9,148.6,148.0,147.8,132.4$, $129.3,122.7,119.7,116.4,115.4,114.3,113.7,83.1,74.7,56.5,50.3,46.4,44.7,36.5,34.3$, 30.6, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{7} \mathrm{NNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 462.1523$, found 462.1521 . (3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-methyl-3-nitrobenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxodo decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (27):


Light brown semi solid (54\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.56$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.57(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.53-7.48(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.55-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{t}, J=1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96$ (s, 1H), 4.23 (dd, $J=10.4,7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.47-3.39(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.10-2.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.93-2.80(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.49-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.38-2.26(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.26-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 1.87-1.78 (m, 1H); ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.8,170.8,149.1,147.9,146.9$, 134.7, 133.9, 133.2, 132.6, 131.9, 126.6, 125.1, 115.3, 114.1, 83.6, 74.6, 50.6, 45.2, 43.3, 36.6, 32.5, 28.2, 21.2, 20.5; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 446.1574$, found 446.1569.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-acetamido-3-(trifluoromethyl)benzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (28):


Brown viscous liquid (68\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.15$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 3:7); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R}(\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.53(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.34-5.31(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.23(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.40$ (dt, $J=7.6,3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.03(\mathrm{q}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.90-2.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43(\mathrm{td}, J=14.0,8.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.35-2.29 (m, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.24-2.15 (m, 2H), 2.12 (s, 3H), 1.87-1.79 (m, $1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.1,170.8,168.5,147.9,147.0,136.0,135.4$, 133.9, 130.7, 127.4, 123.8, 115.2, 114.1, 83.6, 74.6, 50.6, 45.3, 43.2, 36.7, 32.4, 28.1, 24.9, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{NF}_{3} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 512.1655$, found 512.1650.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-2-methylbenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (29):


Brown viscous liquid (56\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.45$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{~ N M R}(500 \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.73(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.27-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.53(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.88-4.82(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.33-3.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.97(\mathrm{q}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.89-2.81(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.44-2.38(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.31$ $(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.27-2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.06-2.00(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.84-1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}),{ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR $\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.4,170.9,148.0,147.4,137.5,136.9,133.4,130.6,130.3,129.3$, 127.9, 125.7, 114.6, 114.1, 83.4, 74.7, 50.7, 45.1, 43.9, 36.6, 33.0, 28.7, 21.3, 19.9; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 401.1723$, found 401.1718 .
Methyl-4-( $(E)$-((3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-8-acetoxy-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxodecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-3(2H)-ylidene)methyl)benzoate (30):


Brown viscous liquid ( $67 \%$ ); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.24$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}}$ 8.07-8.02 $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58-7.52(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48-7.43(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 5.60-5.51 (m, 2H), $5.45(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.95-4.89(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.17(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.90(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.17(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.98-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.86-2.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.59-2.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 2.47-2.32(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.21-2.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.79-1.72(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H})$; ${ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{\mathbf{3}}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.0,170.8,166.6,147.9,147.3,138.3,136.4$, $132.2,130.9,129.3,128.9,128.8,128.4,114.5,114.3,83.0,74.7,52.4,50.8,45.2,43.7$, 36.6, 32.6, 29.0, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{25} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 445.1622$, found 445.1616.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-benzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxododecahydroazuleno [4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (31):


Light brown viscous liquid (55\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.57$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.41-7.36(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.63-5.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.54(\mathrm{t}, J=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.32(\mathrm{t}, J=$ $2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.43-3.35(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $3.01(\mathrm{q}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92-2.80(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.48-2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.33-2.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.19-2.13$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.96-1.89(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.78(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{N M R}\left(\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right)$ $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 171.6,170.9,148.0,147.4,138.0,133.9,129.6,129.4,128.6,128.4,114.8,113.9,83.6$, $74.7,50.6,45.2,43.5,36.7,33.1,28.4,21.3$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{Na}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$ 387.1567, found 387.1563.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-6,9-dimethylene-3-((E)-2-nitrobenzylidene)-2-oxododecahydro azuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (32):


Brown viscous liquid (47\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.20$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.23-8.13(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.87(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.73-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63-7.55(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.59-5.51(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.29(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.81(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.20(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.3,8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.24-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.94(\mathrm{q}, J=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.87-2.77$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.43-2.34(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.23-2.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.01-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.83-1.73$ $(\mathrm{m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.66-1.53(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{C}$ NMR ( $\mathbf{1 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}$ ) $\delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.9,170.3,147.7,147.5$, $146.9,134.2,133.6,132.5,130.8,130.1,129.9,125.1,114.9,114.6,82.9,74.6,50.7,45.2$, 43.7, 36.6, 32.2, 29.0, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+}$432.1418, found 432.1413.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-chloro-2-nitrobenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxodo decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (33):


Brown viscous liquid (52\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.2,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.37(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.60-5.55(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.52(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.33(\mathrm{t}, J=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 4.96-4.93(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.21(\mathrm{dd}, J=10.4,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.19-3.12(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.98-2.92 (m, 1H), 2.84-2.77 (m, 1H), 2.42-2.35 (m, 1H), 2.23-2.17 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s, 3H), 2.04-1.98 (m, 1H), 1.91-1.82 (m, 1H), 1.82-1.76 (m, 1H), 1.67-1.60 (m, 1H); ${ }^{13}$ C NMR ( $\mathbf{1 2 5}$ $\left.\mathbf{M H z}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.8,170.0,147.9,147.6,146.7,135.8,133.7,133.3,132.8,131.2,129.1$, 125.4, 115.1, 114.7, 82.8, 74.6, 50.8, 45.2, 43.7, 36.6, 32.0, 29.1, 21.3; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NClNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 466.1028$, found 466.1027.
(3aS,6aR,8S,9aR,9bS)-3-((E)-4-methyl-2-nitrobenzylidene)-6,9-dimethylene-2-oxodo decahydroazuleno[4,5-b]furan-8-yl acetate (34):


Brown viscous liquid (67\%); $\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathbf{f}}=0.26$ (EtOAc-petroleum ether, 1:4); ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{N M R}(\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}$, $\left.\mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 8.02-7.94(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.82(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.62-5.50(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 5.36-5.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.03-4.90(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25-$ $4.10(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.21-3.14(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.99-2.90(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.88-2.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.42-$ $2.36(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.22-2.16(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.03-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.87-1.63(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $\left.100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathbf{C D C l}_{3}\right) \delta_{\mathrm{C}} 170.9,170.4,147.8,147.4,147.0,140.8,134.3,132.0,129.9$, $127.8,125.4,124.9,114.8,114.5,82.9,74.6,50.7,45.2,43.8,36.6,32.3,29.0,21.3,21.2$; HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{6} \mathrm{NNa}[\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{Na}]^{+} 446.1574$, found 446.1571 .

## Biology

## Anticancer studies

Compounds were dissolved in DMSO (Sigma) to prepare 50 mM concentrations stock solutions. All the further dilutions were also made in DMSO. During the treatment, the final concentration of DMSO was maintained at $<0.02 \%$.

## Antibodies

Anti-Caspase 9 and Anti-Caspase 3 antibodies were purchased from Cell Signaling and Anti- $\alpha$ Tubulin antibody was procured from Sigma, Goat anti-rabbit HRP conjugated secondary antibody was purchased from Bio-Rad, and Goat anti-mouse HRP conjugated secondary antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling.

## Cell culture

Breast cancer cell line MCF7 was grown in DMEM (GIBCO), MBA-MB-231 in RPMI (GIBCO) with $10 \%$ FBS, $100 \mathrm{U} / \mathrm{mL}$ penicillin and $100 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}$ streptomycin and MCF10A in DMEM/F12 (Gibco) containing, horse Serum ( $10 \%$ final), EGF ( $20 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), Hydrocortisone ( $0.5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), Cholera Toxin ( $100 \mathrm{ng} / \mathrm{ml}$ ), Insulin ( $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ ) and Penicillin/Streptomycin mix $(1 \mathrm{ml} / 100 \mathrm{ml})$ at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a humid, $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ regulated incubator.

## Growth inhibition by cytotoxicity assay

The cytotoxic effect of the compounds was determined using MTT (3-(4, 5 dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2-5 diphenyltetrazolium bromide) assay. Cells were seeded (4 X $10^{3}$ per well) in 96
well plates. After 24 hours of seeding, cells were exposed with varying concentrations (0$100 \mu \mathrm{M})$ of respective compounds for 48 hours in triplicates. Then, MTT solution ( $20 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ stock for each well of 96 well plate) was added and further incubated for 3.5 hours in humid $5 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ incubator. Media containing MTT solution was then replaced by MTT solvent (iso-propanol, HCl and Triton $\mathrm{X}-100$ ), incubated for 15 min at room temperature with gentle shaking for complete dissolution of Formazan. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a Thermo Scientific Multiskan G0 Elisa plate reader. All experiments were carried out at least in triplicate, and the percentage of viable cells was calculated as the mean with respect to the controls.

## Western Blot analysis

The cells were harvested, washed with 1XPBS and were lysed in lysis buffer ( 50 mM Tris $\mathrm{pH} 7.4,5 \mathrm{mM}$ EDTA, $250 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{NaCl}, 10 \mathrm{mM}$ sodium fluoride, 0.5 mM sodium orthovanadate and $0.5 \%$ Triton X100) with $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ lysis buffer per 35 mm cell culture plate. The lysate was incubated on ice for 20 minutes followed by centrifugation at 16000 xg for 20 minutes at $4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The supernatant was collected, and the protein content was estimated by Bradford method using bovine serum albumin as a standard. The protein samples were prepared in 1X Lamelli Buffer and boiled for 5 minutes. The protein samples were then resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred onto polyvinylidene fluoride membrane (Merck Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA). Skimmed milk (3\%) in 0.05\% Tween (TBST) was used for blocking the membrane for 1 h . The membrane was then washed and incubated with the respective primary antibodies at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for overnight. The membrane was then washed thrice with TBST and incubated with respective HRP conjugated secondary antibody. Protein bands were detected using the Super Signal West Pico substrate (Thermo Scientific).

## Cell cycle analysis by fluorescence-activated cell shortening (FACS)

Cells were seeded one-day prior the treatment of compounds. Next day cells were incubated with and without selected compounds for 24 h and were then collected for FACS analysis. Propidium iodide staining was performed for the total DNA content of the cells. Briefly, the cells were washed with 1 X PBS, trypsinized and then spin down at 3000xg for 2 minutes at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The cell pellet obtained was fixed and permeabilized using $900 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of $95 \%$ chilled ethanol, which was added dropwise along with continuous vortexing. The cells were then stored overnight at $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The fixed cells were then pelleted at 3000 xg for 2-3 minutes. The
supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was washed twice with 1 X PBS. The pellet was dissolved and stained with 1 mL staining solution ( $900 \mu \mathrm{~L} 1 \mathrm{XPBS}, 2 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{MgCl} 2,50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ Propidium Iodide stock solution ( $5 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ of 1 XPBS ) $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ RNase stock solution ( 1 $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ ) and incubated at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 minutes. Cells were then passed through cell strainers and proceed for FACS accusation on BD FACS Calibur. The data were then analyzed using Cell Quest pro software.

## DNA fragmentation assay

DNA fragmentation assay was done after treating MCF7 cells with respective compounds as per the protocol described previously. ${ }^{15}$ The fragmentation ladder of the DNA was observed on a $2 \%$ agarose gel.

## Immunostaining

The cells were grown on a cover slip as a monolayer overnight. The cells were then exposed with respective compounds at $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ concentration and incubated for 12 hours. The cells on coverslips were fixed in $3.7 \%$ formaldehyde for 20 minutes in the dark at room temperature. The cover slips were washed 2-3 times with 1XPBS. The cells were then permeabilized by $0.5 \%$ Tween- 20 at room temperature for 30 minutes and then washed with PBS for 4 times. The permeabilized cells were blocked with 3\% BSA followed by staining with $\alpha$-tubulin (Sigma) in 3\% BSA solution for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were washed with 1XPBS 5 times and then stained with ALEXA Flour 594 conjugated secondary antibody for 1 h and then washed with 1XPBS for 5 times. Finally, DNA was stained with Hoechst and coverslips were mounted on slides in mounting media ( $8 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{mL}$ DABCO in $80 \%$ glycerol and $20 \%$ PBS).
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### 3.8. SPECTRA

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $1\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $1\left(50 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $2\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $2\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $3\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $3\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $\left.\mathbf{4 ( 4 0 0 ~ M H z , ~} \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $4\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $5\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $5\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $6\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $6\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathbf{H}$ NMR of Compound $7\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13}$ C NMR of Compound $7\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $8\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $8\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $9\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $9\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $10\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $10\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $11\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $11\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $12\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $12\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $13\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $13\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $14\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR of Compound $14\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 15 ( $200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $15\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $16\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $16\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound 17 ( $\mathbf{4 0 0} \mathbf{~ M H z}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $17\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $18\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $18\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $19\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 19 ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $20\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $20\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $21\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $21\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


## ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $22\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$


${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $22\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $23\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 23 ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $24\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $24\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $25\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $25\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $26\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 26 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $27\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 27 ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $28\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $28\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $29\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathbf{C}$ NMR of Compound $29\left(125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $30\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $30\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $31\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $31\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $32\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $32\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $33\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
(
${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound 33 ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ )

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of Compound $34\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$

${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR of Compound $34\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$
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#### Abstract

An efficient total synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide 1 has been achieved from $N$-Boc- $N, O$-isopropylidene-Lserinol 2 which could easily be obtained from natural l-serine. Our synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ starting from 2 using chiral pool strategy resulted in 54\% overall yield.


© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction

Epilepsy is a neurological disorder due to which patients suffering from this disease witness recurrent spontaneous seizures. It is believed that this disease affects approximately 50 million people worldwide and India alone accounts for approximately 10 million cases. ${ }^{1}(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ (Vimpat, Fig. 1) is currently being used clinically in the U.S. and Europe to treat people suffering from this disease. Although, the exact mode of action of this drug in humans is not yet deciphered however, it is widely believed that it increases the slow inactivation of the voltage-gated sodium channels thus inhibiting repetitive neuronal firing. ${ }^{2}$

Till date several synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ have been reported using racemic epichlorohydrin ${ }^{3}$ or acrylic acid ${ }^{4}$ or ethyl L-lactate ${ }^{5}$ or racemic butadiene monoepoxide ${ }^{6}$ or D,L-serine ${ }^{7}$ or D-serine, ${ }^{8}$ respectively as

(R)-Lacosamide (1)

Figure 1. Structure of antiepileptic drug, Lacosamide 1.

[^1]starting materials. The kinetic resolution of the key intermediate using Hydrolytic Kinetic Resolution (HKR) ${ }^{3}$ leads to the loss of yield during the resolution step whereas Trost's dynamic kinetic asymmetric transformation (DYKAT) ${ }^{6}$ requires ligands, which are expensive. In some of the synthesis racemic lacosamide has been resolved to ( $R$ )-enantiomer either using crystallization ${ }^{7 a}$ with chiral carboxylic acid or chiral chromatography. ${ }^{7 \mathrm{~b}}$ The synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ utilizing d-serine as a starting material involves $O$-methylation which undergoes racemization. ${ }^{8 c, g-j, 1}$ In order to overcome this drawback, Kohn et al. ${ }^{8 \mathrm{C}}$ used neutral but rather expensive Kuhn's O -methylation protocol, ${ }^{8 \mathrm{a}}$ which requires $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{O}$.

## Results and discussions

We thought of an efficient and straightforward synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$, which could be achieved on an industrial scale at a much cheaper cost. We envisaged the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ could be achieved as delineated in Scheme 1. The key starting material, $N$-Boc- $N, O$-isopropy-lidene-L-serinol 2 could easily be synthesized ${ }^{9}$ in gram scale from natural l-serine in four steps without the need of any purification of the intermediates. $N$-Boc-protected alcohol 4 would lead us to the desired product 1 after protection/deprotection and functional group manipulations. Also, our synthesis would enable the generation of various $O$-substituted congeners of ( $R$ )-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ for further development of new potent anti-epileptic agents.

We started the synthesis of $(R)$-lacosamide $\mathbf{1}$ from readily available $N$-Boc- $N, O$-isopropylidene-L-serinol 2. ${ }^{9}$ Methylation of the
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#### Abstract

Syncarpamide 1, a norepinephrine alkaloid isolated from the leaves of Zanthoxylum syncarpum (Rutaceae) exhibited promising antiplasmodial activities against Plasmodium falciparum with reported $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $2.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (D6 clone), $3.06 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (W2 clone) and observed by us $3.90 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (3D7 clone) and $2.56 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (K1 clone). In continuation of our work on naturally occurring antimalarial compounds, synthesis of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ and its enantiomer, $(R)-\mathbf{2}$ using Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation as a key step has been accomplished. In order to study structure-activity-relationship (SAR) in detail, a library of 55 compounds ( $3-57$ ), which are analogues/homologues of syncarpamide 1 were synthesized by varying the substituents on the aromatic ring, by changing the stereocentre at the $\mathrm{C}-7$ and/or by varying the acid groups in the ester and/or amide side chain based on the natural product lead molecule and further assayed in vitro against 3D7 and K1 strains of P. falciparum to evaluate their antiplasmodial activities. In order to study the effect of position of functional groups on antiplasmodial activity profile, a regioisomer (S)-58 of syncarpamide $\mathbf{1}$ was synthesized however, it turned out to be inactive against both the strains. Two compounds, ( $S$ )-41 and its enantiomer, ( $R$ )-42 having 3,4,5-trimethoxy cinnamoyl groups as side chains showed better antiplasmodial activity with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $3.16,2.28 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (3D7) and $1.78,2.07 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (K1), respectively than the natural product, syncarpamide 1. Three compounds (S)-13, (S)-17, (S)-21 exhibited antiplasmodial activities with $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values of $6.39,6.82,6.41 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against 3D7 strain, $4.27,7.26$, $2.71 \mu \mathrm{M}$ against K 1 strain and with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of $147.72,153.0,>200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ respectively. The in vitro antiplasmodial activity data of synthesized library suggests that the electron density and possibility of resonance in both the ester and amide side chains increases the antiplasmodial activity as compared to the parent natural product 1. The natural product syncarpamide 1 and four analogues/homologues out of the synthesized library of $55,(S)-41,(R)-42,(S)-55$ and (S)-57 were assayed in vivo assay against chloroquine-resistant $P$. yoelii ( $\mathrm{N}-67$ ) strain of Plasmodium. However, none of the five molecules, 1, ( $S$ )-41, $(R)-42,(S)-55$ and ( $S$ )-57 exhibited any promising in vivo antimalarial activity against $P$. yoelii (N-67) strain. Compounds 4, 6, 7 and 11 showed high cytotoxicities with $\mathrm{CC}_{50}$ values of $5.87,5.08,6.44$ and $14.04 \mu \mathrm{M}$, respectively. Compound 6 was found to be the most cytotoxic as compared to the standard drug, podophyllotoxin whereas compounds 4 and 7 showed comparable cytotoxicities to podophyllotoxin.


© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

[^2]
## 1. Introduction

The protozoan parasites of the genus Plasmodium cause malaria, which affects more than $50 \%$ of the world's population living in tropical and subtropical regions of America, Asia, and Africa. The WHO reported that more than 212 million people were diagnosed

# Efficient synthesis of functionalized olefins by Wittig reaction using Amberlite resin as a mild base 
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#### Abstract

A convenient procedure for the synthesis of olefins by the reaction of stabilized, semistabilized, and nonstabilized phosphorous ylides with various aldehydes or ketone using Amberlite resin as a mild base is described. Our developed method offers facile and racemization-free synthesis of $a, \beta$-unsaturated amino esters and chiral allylic amine. The developed methodology offers mild reaction conditions, high efficiency, and facile isolation of the final products, a practical alternative to known procedures.
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## Introduction

Carbon-carbon bond formation reactions play a major role in the total synthesis of bioactive molecules. Several C-C bond-forming reactions are known in the literature, however, Wittig olefination remains one of the most favored when an alkene group is to be introduced with specificity of bond placement. In the classical Wittig method ${ }^{[1-3]}$ forms a phosphorous ylide is formed upon treatment with appropriate base, which then reacts with a ketone or aldehyde to furnish the corresponding alkene. However, the Wittig method has a few drawbacks ${ }^{[4]}$ such as substrate sensitivity to base, racemization of the adjacent stereogenic center, and self-condensation of the carbonyl to name a few. Several new modifications of Wittig reaction conditions using various bases such as tertiary amines, ${ }^{[5]}$ lithium $1,1,1,3,3,3$-hexafluoro-isopropoxide, ${ }^{[6]} \mathrm{LiCl} / \mathrm{DBU},{ }^{[7]} \mathrm{KOSiMe}_{3},{ }^{[8]}$ $\mathrm{LiOH},{ }^{[9]}$ or $\mathrm{KH}^{[10]}$ have been reported. Recently, $\mathrm{Ag}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a mild base for ylide formation for the Wittig reaction has been reported. ${ }^{[11]}$ Using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a mild base, Wittig
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# Synthesis and anticancer studies of Michael adducts and Heck arylation products of sesquiterpene lactones, zaluzanin D and zaluzanin C from Vernonia arborea $\dagger$ 
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#### Abstract

Sesquiterpene lactones containing $\alpha$-methylene- $\gamma$-lactones, zaluzanin D 1 and zaluzanin C 2 were isolated from the leaves of Vernonia arborea. Several diverse Michael adducts (3-22) and Heck arylation analogs (23-34) of 1 have been synthesized by reacting with various amines and aryl iodides, respectively and were assayed for their in vitro anticancer activities against human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231. Among all the synthesized analogs, Michael adducts 9 and 10 showed better anticancer activities as compared to 1 . However, among these compounds, only 10 has minimal cytotoxic effect on normal breast epithelial MCF10A cells. Our detailed mechanistic studies reveal that compounds 9 and 10 execute their antiproliferative activity through induction of apoptosis and thereby inhibit the cancer cells proliferation and compound 10 could be a lead compound for designing potential anti-cancer compound.


## Introduction

The generation of diverse chemical libraries using natural products as scaffolds is considered as one of the effective methods for drug discovery. ${ }^{1}$ Sesquiterpenes bearing $\alpha, \beta$ unsaturated $\gamma$-lactones are ubiquitous in nature and have been reported to be isolated from various genera of the family Asteraceae but also occur sporadically in other angiosperm families, Apiaceae, Magnoliaceae and even in some liverworts. They exhibit diverse biological activities such as anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, antiulcer, antiviral, anticancer and antimalarial activities. ${ }^{2}$ The genus Vernonia (family Asteraceae) consists of approximately 1000 species of herbs and shrubs. ${ }^{3}$ Several research groups have isolated structurally diverse sesquiterpene lactones from various species of genus Vernonia possessing various biological properties (Fig. 1). ${ }^{4,5}$ Sesquiterpene lactones react with nucleophilic sulfhydryl groups present in enzymes, proteins, and glutathione. ${ }^{6}$ The use of sesquiterpene lactones as therapeutic agents is limited due to their poor water solubility.

[^4]To overcome this, amino-adducts of sesquiterpene lactones have been prepared by adding different amines to the $\alpha$-meth-ylene- $\gamma$-lactone substructure to enhance the water solubility of the parent molecules and to retain their biological activity. ${ }^{7,8}$ Regeneration of parent $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated $\gamma$-lactone occurs by the retro-Michael reaction, potentially through bioactivation at the site of action. This prodrug approach has transformed several sesquiterpene lactones such as alantolactone, ambrosin, arglabin, costunolide, helenalin, parthenolide and ivangustin, into successful clinical candidates (Fig. 1). ${ }^{8}$

Continuing our interest in naturally occurring sesquiterpene lactones ${ }^{9}$ and other bioactive secondary metabolites, we wished to take up the chemical examination of $V$. arborea leaves for the isolation of bioactive secondary metabolites, zaluzanin C and zaluzanin D. In the present study, several structurally diverse Michael adducts of zaluzanin $D$ have been synthesized with the formation of one or two new C-N bonds. Analogs of zaluzanin D with a new C-C bond formation have also been synthesized by using Pd catalyzed Heck coupling reaction. The in vitro anticancer activities of all the analogs were tested against human breast cancer cell lines MCF7 and MDA-MB-231.

## Results and discussion

A portion of the petroleum ether extract ( 5.3 g ) was flash chromatographed on CombiFlash Companion, Isco Teledyne Inc., USA using RediSep® column $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 2 \times 12 \mathrm{~g}\right)$ and elution was carried out isocratically with ethyl acetate-petroleum ether ( $4: 96$ ) to furnish a colorless solid. It was identified as zaluzanin
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